

Characterizing Long-Range Entanglement in a Mixed State Through an Emergent Order on the Entangling Surface

Tsung-Cheng Lu^{1,2} and Sagar Vijay³

¹Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

²Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

³Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Topologically-ordered phases of matter at non-zero temperature are conjectured to exhibit universal patterns of long-range entanglement which may be detected by a mixed-state entanglement measure known as entanglement negativity. We show that the entanglement negativity in certain topological orders can be understood through the properties of an emergent symmetry-protected topological (SPT) order which is localized on the entanglement bipartition. This connection leads to an understanding of (i) universal contributions to the entanglement negativity which diagnose finite-temperature topological order, and (ii) the behavior of the entanglement negativity across certain phase transitions in which thermal fluctuations eventually destroy long-range entanglement across the bipartition surface. Within this correspondence, the universal patterns of entanglement in the finite-temperature topological order are related to the stability of an emergent SPT order against a symmetry-breaking field. SPT orders protected by higher-form symmetries – which arise, for example, in the description of the entanglement negativity for \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in $d = 4$ spatial dimensions – remain robust even in the presence of a weak symmetry-breaking perturbation, leading to long-range entanglement at non-zero temperature for certain topological orders.

Strongly-interacting quantum phases of matter at zero temperature can exhibit universal patterns of long-range entanglement [1–3], which may be used to store and manipulate quantum information. Many of these quantum phases, such as topological orders in two and three spatial dimensions, cannot function as self-correcting quantum memories at non-zero temperature [4–11]. It remains of interest to characterize the patterns of long-range entanglement in quantum many-body systems that can survive the presence of thermal fluctuations.

A thermal density matrix $\rho \sim e^{-\beta H}$ of a quantum many-body system described by a local Hamiltonian H is said to exhibit topological order if it cannot be prepared from a classical mixed state using finite-depth local quantum circuits [8]. It was recently proposed [12] that topological order in a thermal state can be detected by the entanglement negativity [13–16], a mixed-state entanglement measure which has been studied extensively in quantum many-body systems [17–41]; in particular, topologically-ordered mixed states were conjectured [12] to possess a universal, constant contribution to the entanglement negativity which quantifies the long-range entanglement that cannot be removed by a finite-depth, local quantum circuit. This correction, termed the *topological entanglement negativity*, coincides with the topological entanglement entropy [42, 43] at zero temperature, though it is known that the latter fails as a diagnostic for topological order in a mixed state. Outstanding questions remain regarding (i) the universality of the topological entanglement negativity within a finite-temperature topological order, and (ii) its behavior across a thermal phase transition in which the topological order is destroyed.

In this work, we make progress towards answering these questions by demonstrating a connection between the entanglement negativity in certain topological quantum orders, and the properties of an emergent, symmetry-protected topological (SPT) order [44, 45] localized on the entanglement bipartition. Specifically, we show that the entanglement negativity is determined by the “strange correlator” [46] for this emergent SPT




FIG. 1: The thermal density matrix for certain topological orders after partial transposition on a subregion \mathcal{A} – denoted $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ – can be related to an emergent symmetry protected topological (SPT) order on the boundary of \mathcal{A} . Eigenvalues of $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ are related to “strange correlators” $\langle + | O | \psi \rangle$, where $| + \rangle$ is a symmetric trivial state and $| \psi \rangle$ is an SPT ordered state. We argue that long-range order in these strange correlators gives rise to a non-zero topological entanglement negativity. This schematic correspondence for the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in d spatial dimensions is shown.

order (see Fig. 1). We argue that the stability of the SPT phase, as characterized by the presence of long-range order in these strange correlators, is intimately related to the robustness of the topological entanglement negativity in the finite-temperature topological order. In addition, we show that proliferating topological excitations near the entanglement bipartition can drive a phase transition in which long-range entanglement across the bipartition is destroyed. We derive universal scaling forms for the topological entanglement negativity by relating this “disentangling” thermal phase transition to a zero-temperature phase transition between the emergent SPT order and a trivial state.

The connection that we identify between an emergent SPT order and a topologically-ordered mixed state may be understood heuristically as follows. In certain gapped topological orders at zero temperature, the “partially-transposed” density matrix with respect to a subsystem — an essential operation




FIG. 2: Schematic phase diagram for the topological negativity (E_{topo}) of a thermal state with tunable temperatures in the bulk (T_{bulk}) and bipartition boundary (T_{∂}) in the 3d toric code when forbidding point-like excitations as well as 4d toric code when forbidding either type of excitations. When $T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$ the bulk is topologically ordered, and tuning T_{∂} drives a “disentangling” phase transition where long-range entanglement across the bipartitioning surface is destroyed. This transition is related to the zero-temperature phase transition between an SPT order and a trivial order.

in the calculation of the entanglement negativity of that region — can be regarded as a wave function in which gapped excitations of the topological order have proliferated near the entanglement bipartition, with relative configurations of the excitations weighted by their statistical braiding phase. In a dual description, this wave function describes an SPT order where the protecting symmetry is inherited from the gauge symmetry of the bulk topological order, when restricted to the entanglement bipartition. When the bulk topological order is at a non-zero temperature, the excitations in this state are no longer localized to the entangling surface, and the partially-transposed density matrix may be related to an emergent SPT state which is acted upon by a symmetry-breaking field. While most SPT orders are immediately destroyed by such a perturbation, if the symmetry to be broken is a one-form symmetry [48], the SPT order can remain robust below a finite strength of the symmetry-breaking field, resulting in a stable long-range entanglement below a finite critical temperature T_c . This scenario occurs in the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in $d = 4$ spatial dimensions as well as in $d = 3$ dimensions with \mathbb{Z}_2 charge excitations forbidden.

While we focus on \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in various dimensions throughout this work, our characterization of long-range entanglement in a mixed state through the stability of an emergent SPT order also holds for \mathbb{Z}_n topological orders as well as certain fracton orders [47]. The applicability of this correspondence to other topological orders, as well as the behavior of the negativity across phase transitions in which the entire bulk topological order is destroyed by thermal fluctuations remain important open questions of our work.

Entanglement negativity— Given a density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ acting on a bipartite Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$, the entanglement

negativity between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is defined by taking the partial transpose of the density matrix with respect to the Hilbert space of the \mathcal{A} subsystem. The negativity E_N is defined with respect to this partially-transposed density matrix $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ as $E_N = \log(\|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1)$, where $\|M\|_1$ denotes the 1-norm of the matrix M , i.e. the sum of all absolute eigenvalues of M . Given a local Hamiltonian \hat{H} and a thermal density matrix $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$, the negativity E_N between a subsystem \mathcal{A} of linear size $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ and its complement \mathcal{B} in d space dimensions can be written as $E_N = E_{\text{local}} - E_{\text{topo}}$ [12, 49]. E_{local} captures short-range entanglement along the bipartition boundary, and exhibits area-law scaling $E_{\text{local}} \sim \alpha_{d-1} L_{\mathcal{A}}^{d-1}$ to leading order in $L_{\mathcal{A}}$ while E_{topo} is the *topological entanglement negativity*, a universal constant contribution that is believed to characterize the long-range entanglement in topological order.

Entanglement Negativity at Zero Temperature and an Emergent SPT Order— We now demonstrate the emergence of an SPT order on the entanglement bipartition in a topologically-ordered state at zero temperature, and the relevance of this order for the topological entanglement negativity. We focus on the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in various spatial dimensions, and find that the emergent SPT order is protected by a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. The SPT order hosts two distinct symmetry charges corresponding to two species of gapped excitations in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order, namely the charge (e) and flux (m), and the protecting symmetry is given by the action of emergent conservation laws in the topological order along the entanglement bipartition. The emergent SPT order completely characterizes the negativity spectrum (i.e. the eigenspectrum of the partially transposed density matrix $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$) in that various eigenvalues correspond to various operator choices in the strange correlators[46] that diagnoses the SPT orders.

Here we outline the approach for taking a partial transpose and discuss the emergence of SPT wave functions localized on the bipartition boundary. Consider a stabilizer Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -J \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\theta}_j$, where each stabilizer $\hat{\theta}_j$ is a tensor product of Pauli operators over lattice sites. Distinct terms in the Hamiltonian mutually commute, and each stabilizer has eigenvalues $\theta_j = \pm 1$. As a result, the density matrix for this system at zero temperature is $\hat{\rho} = \prod_j \frac{1+\hat{\theta}_j}{2} = 2^{-N} \sum_{\{s_j\}} \left[\prod_j \hat{\theta}_j^{s_j} \right]$ with $s_j \in \{0, 1\}$. Alternatively, the spectrum ρ can be expressed as an expectation value of operators evaluated in the Hilbert space of the $\{s_j\}$ variables: $\rho = \langle + | \prod_j Z_j^{\frac{1-\theta_j}{2}} | + \rangle$, where $|+\rangle = 2^{-N/2} \sum_{\{s_j\}} |\{s_j\}\rangle$, and the Pauli operator Z_j acts within the $\{s_j\}$ Hilbert space as $Z_j |s_j\rangle = (1 - 2s_j) |s_j\rangle$. Only the choice of stabilizer eigenvalues $\theta_j = 1 \forall j$ gives a non-zero eigenvalue of the density matrix, as expected.

We now consider the entanglement negativity within the ground state. By dividing the system into disjoint subsystems \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , taking a partial transpose on \mathcal{A} gives: $\left[\prod_j \hat{\theta}_j^{s_j} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \left[\prod_j \left(\hat{\theta}_j^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \right)^{s_j} \right] \psi(s)$, where $\psi(s) = \pm 1$ is a non-trivial sign determined by the number of pairs of stabilizers that anticommute when restricted in \mathcal{A} . Specifically, introducing $\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to denote the part of $\hat{\theta}_i$ that acts within \mathcal{A} and the matrix C that encodes the commutation relation among

$\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}: C_{ij} = 0, 1$ for $[\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\theta}_j|_{\mathcal{A}}] = 0$ and $\{\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\theta}_j|_{\mathcal{A}}\} = 0$, respectively, the sign introduced by partial transpose is

$$\psi(\{s_j\}) = (-1)^{\sum_{i<j} s_i C_{ij} s_j}. \quad (1)$$

We now focus on the stabilizer Hamiltonian for the toric code, which describes \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order in d spatial dimensions. Since only those stabilizers on the bipartition boundary can anticommute with each other when restricted in \mathcal{A} , the negativity spectrum of the toric code at zero temperature depends only on the boundary part of the Hamiltonian, namely, $\hat{H}_{\partial} = -\lambda_A \sum_{i \in R_a} \hat{A}_i - \lambda_B \sum_{j \in R_b} \hat{B}_j$, where $\{\hat{A}_i\}$, $\{\hat{B}_j\}$ are the Pauli- X and Z -type stabilizers corresponding to the gapped \mathbb{Z}_2 charge and flux excitations of the toric code, while R_a , R_b denote the locations of those stabilizers acting across the bipartitioning boundary. Following Eq. 1, one finds $\left[\prod_{i \in R_a} \hat{A}_i^{a_i} \prod_{j \in R_b} \hat{B}_j^{b_j} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \left[\prod_{i \in R_a} \hat{A}_i^{a_i} \prod_{j \in R_b} \hat{B}_j^{b_j} \right] \psi(a, b)$, with $a_i, b_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and the sign $\psi(a, b)$ may be written as

$$\psi(a, b) = \prod_{i \in R_a} (-1)^{a_i \sum_{j \in \partial i} b_j} = \prod_{j \in R_b} (-1)^{b_j \sum_{i \in \partial j} a_i}, \quad (2)$$

where $\sum_{j \in \partial i} b_j$ denotes a sum of b_j variables adjacent to a_i , and $\sum_{i \in \partial j} a_i$ denotes a sum over the a_i variables adjacent to b_j . This is because any two adjacent \hat{A}_i and \hat{B}_j must anticommute when restricted on a subregion. Using Eq. 2, one can define the state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\{a_i, b_j\}} \psi(a, b) |a, b\rangle$ with normalization constant N , and the negativity spectrum can be derived as [51]

$$\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \langle + | \prod_{i \in R_a} Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \prod_{j \in R_b} Z_j^{\frac{1-B_j}{2}} | \psi \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The wavefunction $|\psi\rangle$ exhibits a non-trivial SPT order with respect to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetries, which arise from restricting the conservation laws obeyed by the \mathbb{Z}_2 charge and flux excitations to the entanglement bipartition. Each conservation law (e.g. the global \mathbb{Z}_2 charge conservation $\prod_i A_i \in R_a = 1$ for the $d = 2$ toric code) gives rise to a symmetry of the wavefunction (e.g. $a_i \rightarrow 1 - a_i$ on all sites). In addition, $|\psi\rangle$ is the ground-state of the Hamiltonian $H_p = -\sum_{i \in R_a} X_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} Z_j - \sum_{j \in R_b} X_j \prod_{i \in \partial j} Z_j$, where the first term is the product of a Pauli- X on site i in R_a and the Pauli- Z s on its neighboring sites in R_b . The second term is defined similarly. The SPT wave function $\psi(a, b)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry may be understood in a “decorated domain wall” picture [50]; the phase $\prod_{i \in R_a} (-1)^{a_i \sum_{j \in \partial i} b_j}$ implies decorating the domain walls of b_j charges using a_i charges, and $\prod_{j \in R_b} (-1)^{b_j \sum_{i \in \partial j} a_i}$ implies decorating the domain walls of a_i charges using b_j charges.

The robust braiding of the symmetry defects in this SPT order can be observed in strange correlators, and gives rise to a topological entanglement negativity for the original \mathbb{Z}_2 toric code. Below we demonstrate these features using the 2d toric code as an example. The model is defined on a 2d lattice with every bond accommodating a spin-1/2 degree of freedom. The Hamiltonian reads $\hat{H} = -\sum_s \hat{A}_s - \sum_p \hat{B}_p$, where \hat{A}_s is

the product of four Pauli- X ’s on bonds emanating from a site s , and \hat{B}_p is the product of four Pauli- Z ’s on bonds locating on the boundary of a plaquette p . Considering a subsystem \mathcal{A} with a closed boundary of size L , one can label the star and plaquette stabilizers on the boundary as $\hat{A}_1, \hat{B}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{B}_2, \dots, \hat{A}_L, \hat{B}_L$, and the negativity spectrum is $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \langle + | \prod_{j=1}^L \left[Z_{2j-1}^{\frac{1-A_j}{2}} Z_{2j}^{\frac{1-B_j}{2}} \right] | \psi \rangle$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is the 1d cluster state with the parent Hamiltonian $H_p = -\sum_{\text{odd } i} Z_{i-1} X_i Z_{i+1} - \sum_{\text{even } i} Z_{i-1} X_i Z_{i+1}$ under the periodic boundary condition. $|\psi\rangle$ exhibits an SPT order protected by the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry generated by $\prod_{\text{odd } i} X_i$ and $\prod_{\text{even } i} X_i$. As a consequence, only even number of A_j excitations ($A_j = -1$) and B_j excitations ($B_j = -1$) can give non-vanishing strange correlators. This number parity conservation reflects the number parity conservation of anyon charges in the topological order.

Key features in the entanglement negativity are encoded in the SPT order. For the eigenvalue of $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ with two excitations $A_m = A_n = -1$, the corresponding strange correlator gives $\langle + | Z_{2m-1} Z_{2n-1} | \psi \rangle = \langle + | Z_{2m-1} Z_{2n-1} \left[\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} Z_{2i-1} X_{2i} Z_{2i+1} \right] | \psi \rangle = \langle + | \psi \rangle$. On the other hand, exciting both A_j and B_j in such a way that the excitations must be exchanged in order to return to the ground state produces a sign (-1) for the strange correlator. A simple example is given by considering $A_1 = B_1 = A_2 = B_2 = -1$, where the corresponding eigenvalue $\langle + | Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 | \psi \rangle$ can be written as $\langle + | Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 | \psi \rangle = \langle + | Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 (Z_1 X_2 Z_3) (Z_2 X_3 Z_4) | \psi \rangle = -\langle + | \psi \rangle$. One can easily generalize the above discussion to arbitrary patterns of excitations, and conclude that operators O which are invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry exhibit order in the strange correlator $\langle + | O | \psi \rangle \neq 0$, and that the spectrum of $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ only contains two eigenvalues $\pm \langle + | \psi \rangle$, where plus/minus sign corresponds to trivial/non-trivial braiding of two species of excitations. Knowledge of the entire negativity spectrum allows us to derive the zero temperature entanglement negativity [51] $E_N = L \log 2 - E_{\text{topo}}$ with $E_{\text{topo}} = \log 2$ being the topological entanglement negativity that reflects the underlying topological order. E_{topo} may be understood as a universal reduction in the negativity due to the fact that only operators O which are invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry exhibit order in the strange correlator $\langle + | O | \psi \rangle \neq 0$.

Entanglement Negativity Transition at Finite Temperature—For stabilizer models at finite temperature, the partial transpose still acts non-trivially on the boundary of \mathcal{A} region, resulting in $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}})} (e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$, where $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}}/\hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ contains stabilizers supported only on \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B} , and \hat{H}_{∂} denotes the interaction between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . The negativity spectrum from the boundary part remains in the form of a strange correlator: $(e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \langle + | \prod_{i \in R_a} Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \prod_{j \in R_b} Z_j^{\frac{1-B_j}{2}} | \psi(T) \rangle$, but crucially, a non-zero temperature T amounts to introducing a symmetry-breaking field that tends to polarize a_i, b_j spins: $|\psi(T)\rangle \sim \sum_{\{a_i, b_j\}} \psi(a, b) \prod_{i \in R_a} \tanh(\beta \lambda_A)^{a_i} \prod_{j \in R_b} \tanh(\beta \lambda_B)^{b_j} |a, b\rangle$ where the phase $\psi(a, b)$ are given by Eq. 2. The Hamiltonian

whose ground-state is $|\psi(T)\rangle$ is given by

$$H_p = - \sum_{i \in R_a} [X_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} Z_j + \sinh(K_A) Z_i] - \sum_{j \in R_b} [X_j \prod_{i \in \partial j} Z_j + \sinh(K_B) Z_j] \quad (4)$$

with $K_A = -\log[\tanh(\beta\lambda_A)]$ and $K_B = -\log[\tanh(\beta\lambda_B)]$, and therefore, any non-zero $T/\lambda_A, T/\lambda_B$ correspond to the non-zero on-site field K_A, K_B , as shown in the Supplemental Material [51].

For the thermal density matrix of the 2d toric code under partial transposition, the corresponding state $|\psi(T)\rangle$ on the entangling surface is a 1d cluster state perturbed by an on-site field, which destroys the SPT order. This can be seen by computing the negativity spectrum, which takes the form of correlation functions in 1d Ising model, with different choices of $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ corresponding to different spin insertion and coupling strength between neighboring spins: $(e^{-\beta H_\partial})^{T_A} \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i = \pm 1\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_i \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_i B_i \tau_i \tau_{i+1}}$. Since the Ising order cannot survive at any finite temperature or any finite symmetry-breaking field, the negativity spectrum is short-range correlated, and the non-trivial braiding structure between $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_i\}$ no longer exists. As a result, $E_{\text{topo}} = 0$ at any non-zero temperature in the thermodynamic limit[12], corresponding to the vanishing topological order in the thermal Gibbs state.

3d toric code — Now we discuss the negativity spectrum and the emergent SPT wave function localized on the entangling surface for the 3d toric code with the Hamiltonian $H = -\sum_s \hat{A}_s - \sum_p \hat{B}_p$, where \hat{A}_s is the product of six Pauli-Xs on bonds emanating from a site s , and \hat{B}_p is the product of four Pauli-Zs on bonds on the boundary of a plaquette p . Therefore, the stabilizers on the 2d bipartition boundary consists of \hat{A}_i living on sites and \hat{B}_{ij} living on links. Using the formalism introduced above, the negativity spectrum of $\hat{\rho}^{T_A}$ at zero temperature reads $\rho^{T_A} = \langle + | \left[\prod_i Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \right] \left[\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in C} Z_{ij}^{\frac{1-B_{ij}}{2}} \right] | \psi \rangle$, where $|\psi\rangle$ is the ground state of the Hamiltonian $H_p = -\sum_i X_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} Z_{ij} - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} Z_i X_{ij} Z_j$. The first term $X_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} Z_{ij}$ is a product of Pauli-X on the site i and four Pauli-Zs acting on links whose boundary contains the site i . The second term $Z_i X_{ij} Z_j$ is a product of Pauli-X on the link $\langle ij \rangle$ and two Pauli-Zs on the boundary of the link. $|\psi\rangle$ exhibits an SPT order protected by a \mathbb{Z}_2 0-form $\times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry. Here the 0-form symmetry is implemented by $\prod_i X_i$ and the 1-form symmetry is implemented by $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in C} X_{ij}$, where C is any 1d closed loop. Note that such an SPT order defined on a two-dimensional three-colorable graph has been discussed in Ref.[52].

Due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 0-form and \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry, eigenvalues of ρ^{T_A} are non-vanishing only when the number of excitations in A_i is even, and excitations in B_{ij} exist along a closed loop. Similar to the 2d toric code at zero temperature, the negativity spectrum only contains two distinct eigenvalues with an opposite sign $\pm \langle + | \psi \rangle$, where the minus sign corresponds to the non-trivial braiding between two species

of excitations. Specifically, a loop formed by B_{ij} excitations enclosing an odd number of A_i excitation will give a minus one sign. In this case, the degeneracy of negativity spectrum originates from the long-range correlation in the following two kinds of strange correlators: $\langle + | Z_i Z_j | \psi \rangle / \langle + | \psi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle + | \prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in C} Z_{ij} | \psi \rangle / \langle + | \psi \rangle = 1$.

At a finite temperature, the boundary part of the negativity spectrum is $(e^{-\beta H_\partial})^{T_A} \sim \langle + | \prod_i Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} Z_{ij}^{\frac{1-B_{ij}}{2}} | \psi(T) \rangle$, where $|\psi(T)\rangle$ is the ground state of the perturbed SPT Hamiltonian $H_p = -\sum_i [X_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} Z_{ij} + \sinh(K_A) Z_i] - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [Z_i X_{ij} Z_j + \sinh(K_B) Z_{ij}]$. The strange correlators can be written as correlation functions in the 2d Ising model:

$$\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_i \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j}. \quad (5)$$

As the 2d Ising model exhibits a spontaneous symmetry-breaking order up to a finite critical temperature T_c only in the absence of symmetry-breaking fields (i.e. $K_A = 0$), the existence of the long-range strange correlator and the long-range entanglement negativity requires taking $\beta\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$. This is consistent with the observation that forbidding point-like excitations in the Gibbs state of 3d toric code supports a finite-T topological order[9, 12, 53, 54]. Within the SPT order picture, setting $\beta\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$ while tuning $\beta\lambda_B$ corresponds to enforcing the zero-form symmetry while adding a perturbation to break the 1-form symmetry. Crucially, the 1-form symmetry will be emergent at low energy as long as the Hamiltonian remains gapped and away from a quantum critical point as shown by Hastings and Wen based on the quasi-adiabatic continuation[55]. Therefore, the boundary SPT order is protected by this emergent symmetry up to a finite perturbation strength, corresponding to the persistence of long-range entanglement up to a finite critical temperature.

When prohibiting the excitations away from the entangling surface and only allowing the loop-like excitations on the entangling surface, the transition in long-range entanglement negativity corresponds to the transition from an SPT order to a trivial state, which turns out to be the order-disorder transition in the 2d Ising model. Specifically, we derive the exact entanglement negativity E_N in the 3d toric code with a $L \times L$ bipartition boundary at all temperatures in the Supplemental Material [51]:

$$E_N(T) = L^2 \log 2 - \beta E_g - \log Z(T), \quad (6)$$

where $E_g = -2L^2 \lambda_B$ is the ground state energy and $Z(T) = \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$ is the partition function for the Ising model on a square lattice of size $L \times L$. This result indicates that the negativity relates to the free energy in the 2d Ising model. As approaching the zero temperature, the partition function Z take the form of $N_g e^{-\beta E_g}$ with $N_g = 2$ being the number of ground states, giving the zero-temperature negativity $E_N(T=0) = L^2 \log 2 - \log N_g$. As a result, one finds topological entanglement negativity E_{topo} simply reveals the number of symmetry broken sectors through the expression

$E_{\text{topo}} = \log N_g = \log 2$. Moreover, since the transition in the Ising model occurs at a finite temperature T_c , above which $\log Z(T)$ no longer has the subleading term $\log N_g$ in the thermodynamic limit (due to the restoration of the Ising symmetry), the topological entanglement negativity exhibits a discontinuity in the thermodynamic limit: $E_{\text{topo}} = \log 2, 0$ for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$, corresponding to the presence and absence of long-range entanglement across the bipartition surface. In particular, as $T \rightarrow T_c^+$, the Ising partition function is dominated by the largest and the next-largest eigenvalues of the row transfer matrix, i.e. $Z \approx \lambda_0^L + \lambda_1^L = \lambda_0^L (1 + (\lambda_1/\lambda_0)^L) \approx \lambda_0^L (1 + e^{-L/\xi})$ with ξ being the correlation length for the 2-points function in the 2d Ising model[56]. This suggests the following scaling form of topological negativity

$$E_{\text{topo}} = \log \left(1 + e^{-L/\xi} \right). \quad (7)$$

where the critical properties in ξ are within the 2d Ising universality class.

Above we have discussed a disentangling transition in long-range entanglement is destroyed by thermalizing the boundary while the bulk remains fixed at zero temperature. Now we consider the general situation (Fig. 2) with a tunable bulk temperature $T_{\text{bulk}} = 1/\beta_{\text{bulk}}$ and a tunable boundary temperature $T_{\partial} = 1/\beta_{\partial}$, namely, $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta_{\text{bulk}}(\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B)} e^{-\beta_{\partial} \hat{H}_{\partial}}$. We still impose the condition $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$ so that the point-like excitations are prohibited in the thermal state. As $T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$, the bulk is topologically ordered, and the corresponding loop-like excitations are well-defined and obey an emergent, local constraint after an appropriate coarse-graining. This local constraint results in an emergent one-form symmetry localized on the entanglement bipartition and gives rise to an emergent SPT order in the description of the partially-transposed density matrix; this implies that the topological negativity $E_{\text{topo}} = \log 2$ at small T_{∂} . More precisely, we show that the negativity relates to the annealed average of the 2d boundary theory over the 3d bulk fluctuation, and for $T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$, the bulk fluctuations can be integrated out, leaving behind a coarse-grained 2d boundary theory whose universal properties remain the same as in $T_{\text{bulk}} = 0$ [51]. Therefore, at a fixed $T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$, increasing the boundary temperature T_{∂} leads to a disentangling transition from long-range entanglement ($E_{\text{topo}} = \log 2$) to short-range entanglement ($E_{\text{topo}} = 0$) where the universality belongs to the aforementioned 2d Ising universality. Alternatively, the long-range entanglement can vanish by destroying the bulk topological order when increasing T_{bulk} at a fixed T_{∂} . This is because for $T_{\text{bulk}} > T_{\text{bulk},c}$, the loop-like excitations are in the confined phase where the emergent gauge symmetry no longer exists. This in turn invalids the description of SPT localized on the bipartition boundary, which indicates the absence of long-range entanglement.

4d toric code — Finally, we discuss the 4d toric code where spins reside on each face (i.e. 2-cell) of a 4 dimensional hypercube. The Hamiltonian is $\hat{H} = -\lambda_A \sum_l \hat{A}_l - \lambda_B \sum_c \hat{B}_c$, where \hat{A}_l is the product of 6 Pauli-X operators on the faces adjacent to the link l , and \hat{B}_c is the product of 6 Pauli-Z operators on the faces around the boundary of the cube c . Since this model only possesses loop-like excitations, it exhibits a topo-

logical order up to a finite critical temperature. The boundary of a 4d hypercube is a 3d lattice, where the boundary stabilizers are \hat{A}_l living on links and \hat{B}_p living on plaquettes. The negativity spectrum of 4d toric code at zero temperature reads $\rho^{T_A} = \langle + | \left[\prod_l Z_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] \left[\prod_p Z_p^{\frac{1-B_p}{2}} \right] | \psi \rangle$, where $|\psi\rangle$ is the ground state of 3d cluster state Hamiltonian[57]: $H_p = -\sum_p X_p \prod_{l:l \in \partial p} Z_l - \sum_l X_l \prod_{p:l \in \partial p} Z_p$. The 3d cluster state exhibits an SPT order protected by the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form $\times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry so that the corresponding symmetry transformation acts on closed deformable two-dimensional surfaces. Specifically, any symmetry transformation can be obtained by taking the product of the following symmetry generators $S_c = \prod_{p:p \in \partial c} X_p$ and $S_v = \prod_{l:v \in \partial l} X_l$. It follows that the non-zero eigenvalues of ρ^{T_A} require the operator $\left[\prod_l Z_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] \left[\prod_p Z_p^{\frac{1-B_p}{2}} \right]$ respect the one-form symmetry. This implies that these operators are closed loops supported on the edges of the direct lattice and its dual lattice, and the braiding between a loop in the direct lattice and a loop in the dual lattice gives a -1 sign factor in the negativity spectrum as a consequence of the emergent SPT order. Note that the sign structure of braiding between loops in this SPT order has been discussed in Ref.[58].

At finite temperature, negativity spectrum from the boundary Gibbs state is given by the strange correlator $(e^{-\beta H_{\partial}})^{T_A} \sim \langle + | \left[\prod_l Z_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] \left[\prod_p Z_p^{\frac{1-B_p}{2}} \right] | \psi(T) \rangle$, where $|\psi(T)\rangle$ is the ground state of $H_p = -\sum_p [X_p \prod_{l:l \in \partial p} Z_l + \sinh(K_B) Z_p] - \sum_l [X_l \prod_{p:l \in \partial p} Z_p + \sinh(K_A) Z_l]$, namely, the 3d cluster state Hamiltonian under an on-site perturbation. One finds that the strange correlators are the Wilson loop operators in 3d Ising gauge theory coupled to dynamical matter fields

$$\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_l \frac{1-\tau_l}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_p} \quad (8)$$

with $K_A = -\log[\tanh(\beta \lambda_A)]$. Since the deconfined phase of the gauge theory persists up to a finite T/λ_A , T/λ_B , [59–63], the SPT order in $|\psi(T)\rangle$ persists up to a finite symmetry-breaking field that corresponds to T_c , below which the long-range entanglement negativity exists. Note that despite the perturbation, the SPT order is protected by the emergent 1-form symmetry through out the entire deconfined phase[55, 63–65].

Using the emergent SPT order, we now discuss the nature of transition in long-range entanglement. As considering zero temperature in the bulk, tuning the boundary temperature drives a disentangling transition that corresponds to the presence/absence of long-range entanglement across the bipartition surface. The universal properties of this transition is governed by the transition from an SPT order to a trivial state. In particular, for the case where one type of excitations on the entangling surface is prohibited, e.g. say $\beta \lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$ so the matter fields are absent in Eq.8, we determine the entanglement negativity in the Supplemental Material to be [51]

$$E_N = 3L^3 - \beta E_g - \log Z(T). \quad (9)$$

Such an expression resembles Eq.6 for the 3d toric code with point-like charges forbidden, but here $E_g = -3L^3\lambda_B$ and $Z(T) = \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} e^{\beta \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}$ denote the ground state energy and the partition function in the 3d classical pure \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory. This expression implies that the transition in negativity is mapped to a confinement-deconfinement transition of the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory. Moreover, across the critical temperature T_c in the thermodynamic limit, $\log Z$ exhibits a discontinuity in its universal subleading term, i.e. $\log Z(T_c^-) - \log Z(T_c^+) = 2 \log 2$, indicating $E_{\text{topo}} = 2 \log 2, 0$ respectively for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$. This can be seen by mapping the finite-temperature 3d classical \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory to the ground subspace of $2 + 1\text{D}$ quantum \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory $H = -\sum_p \prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial p} Z_{ij} - g \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} X_{ij}$ with the Gauss law imposed on every vertex $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in +} X_{ij} = 1$. Tuning g drives a transition from a deconfined phase with four degenerate ground states to a confined phase with a single ground state, thus resulting in a discontinuity of $\log 4$ in $\log Z$ at the critical point of the 3d classical \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory, which belongs to the 3d Ising universality.

Finally, for the more general case when the bulk is thermal (but one type of excitations is still prohibited), the schematic phase diagram of bulk topological order and the long-range entanglement still follows Fig.2. In particular, when the bulk is topologically ordered ($T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk,c}}$), tuning the boundary temperature drives the disentangling transition, where the critical properties of long-range entanglement are still governed by the SPT-trivial order transition as we argue in the Supplemental Material[51]. On the other hand, for the case where both types of excitations are allowed on the boundary, since the negativity spectrum is described by 3d Ising gauge theory coupled to matter, we expect the transition in the negativity remains governed by a deconfinement transition. This is also suggested based on the replica calculation[51], but we are unable to derive a closed-form expression of negativity.

Summary and discussion—In this work, we point out an intriguing connection between topological order and SPT order via partial transpose. The gapped excitations in \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order manifest as symmetry charges in the SPT order localized on the entangling surface, and these symmetry charges exhibit long-range correlation and robust braiding structure which reflect the underlying topological order. In particular, stability of topological order at finite temperature corresponds

to stability of SPT order under symmetry breaking fields. The robustness of the SPT is possible if the broken symmetry is a one-form symmetry, in agreement with the fact that a finite-T topological order is allowed when supporting loop-like excitations. This provides a novel understanding in the existence of finite-T topological order in the 4d toric code, as well as the 3d toric code with point-like charges forbidden. In addition, assuming the excitations only occur on the bipartition boundary, we completely determine the nature of the transition for topological order via a mapping to certain statistical models.

We mainly focus on the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological order, so it is natural to ask whether the emergent SPT order picture applies to more general types of topological order. In this regard, we briefly discuss two other generalizations, the details of which will be presented in the forthcoming work [47]. First, our result can be generalized from \mathbb{Z}_2 to \mathbb{Z}_n gauge group, in which case a partial transpose acting on a \mathbb{Z}_n topological order leads to a $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ SPT order localized on the entangling surface. Such a calculation is more involved since a partial transpose acting on a stabilizer string with \mathbb{Z}_n structure not only induces a non-trivial sign, but also acts non-trivially on stabilizers such that the resulting local operators no longer commute. This non-commuting structure means that the partially transposed Gibbs state is not diagonal in the eigenbases of stabilizers. Nevertheless, SPT order is encoded in the matrix elements, and one can still analytically solve for the negativity spectrum. Second, the physics of emergent SPT orders induced by partial transpose is applicable to fracton topological order. Specifically, for X-cube model[66] and Haah's code[67], i.e. the representative of type-I and type-II fracton orders, a partial transpose results in an SPT order that is protected by subsystem symmetries[68] and fractal symmetries respectively[69].

Acknowledgments—T.-C. Lu thanks Tarun Grover, Chong Wang, Liujun Zou for helpful discussions, and acknowledges support from Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. SV acknowledges that part of this work was performed at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1607611.

-
- [1] X. G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7387 (1989).
 - [2] X. G. Wen and Q. Niu, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 9377 (1990).
 - [3] X. G. Wen, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **04**, 239 (1990).
 - [4] E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 4452 (2002).
 - [5] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 064302 (2008).
 - [6] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 16944 (2009).
 - [7] S. Bravyi and B. Terhal, *New Journal of Physics* **11**, 043029 (2009).
 - [8] M. B. Hastings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 210501 (2011).
 - [9] B. Yoshida, *Annals of Physics* **326**, 2566 (2011).
 - [10] O. Landon-Cardinal and D. Poulin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 090502 (2013).
 - [11] B. J. Brown, D. Loss, J. K. Pachos, C. N. Self, and J. R. Wootton, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 045005 (2016).
 - [12] T.-C. Lu, T. H. Hsieh, and T. Grover, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 116801 (2020).
 - [13] A. Peres, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1413 (1996).
 - [14] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Physics Letters A* **223**, 1 (1996).
 - [15] J. Eisert and M. B. Plenio, *Journal of Modern Optics* **46**, 145 (1999).

- [16] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 032314 (2002).
- [17] K. Audenaert, J. Eisert, M. Plenio, and R. Werner, *Physical Review A* **66**, 042327 (2002).
- [18] V. Eisler and Z. Zimborás, *New Journal of Physics* **17**, 053048 (2015).
- [19] C. D. Nobile, A. Coser, and E. Tonni, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2016**, 083102 (2016).
- [20] V. Eisler and Z. Zimborás, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 115148 (2016).
- [21] D. Bianchini and O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, *Nuclear Physics B* **913**, 879 (2016).
- [22] H. Shapourian, K. Shiozaki, and S. Ryu, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 165101 (2017).
- [23] H. Shapourian and S. Ryu, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2019**, 043106 (2019).
- [24] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 130502 (2012).
- [25] A. Coser, E. Tonni, and P. Calabrese, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2014**, P12017 (2014).
- [26] M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev, and G. Policastro, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2014**, 10 (2014).
- [27] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **48**, 015006 (2015).
- [28] C. D. Nobile, A. Coser, and E. Tonni, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2015**, P06021 (2015).
- [29] H. Wichterich, J. Molina-Vilaplana, and S. Bose, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 010304 (2009).
- [30] P. Calabrese, L. Tagliacozzo, and E. Tonni, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2013**, P05002 (2013).
- [31] P. Ruggiero, V. Alba, and P. Calabrese, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 035152 (2016).
- [32] J. Gray, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.01685 (2018).
- [33] Y. Javanmard, D. Trapin, S. Bera, J. H. Bardarson, and M. Heyl, *New Journal of Physics* **20**, 083032 (2018).
- [34] X. Turkeshi, P. Ruggiero, and P. Calabrese, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 064207 (2020).
- [35] Y. A. Lee and G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 042318 (2013).
- [36] C. Castelnovo, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 042319 (2013).
- [37] X. Wen, P.-Y. Chang, and S. Ryu, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016**, 12 (2016).
- [38] X. Wen, S. Matsuura, and S. Ryu, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 245140 (2016).
- [39] O. Hart and C. Castelnovo, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 144410 (2018).
- [40] S. Sang, Y. Li, T. Zhou, X. Chen, T. H. Hsieh, and M. P. Fisher, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 030313 (2021).
- [41] B. Shi, X. Dai, and Y.-M. Lu, arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.00040 (2020).
- [42] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110405 (2006).
- [43] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110404 (2006).
- [44] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 035107 (2011).
- [45] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235128 (2011).
- [46] Y.-Z. You, Z. Bi, A. Rasmussen, K. Slagle, and C. Xu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 247202 (2014).
- [47] T.-C. Lu and S. Vijay, Unpublished.
- [48] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015**, 172 (2015).
- [49] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 043345 (2020).
- [50] X. Chen, Y.-M. Lu, and A. Vishwanath, *Nature Communications* **5**, 3507 (2014).
- [51] See supplemental material.
- [52] B. Yoshida, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 155131 (2016).
- [53] D. Mazac and A. Hamma, *Annals of Physics* **327**, 2096 (2012).
- [54] C. Castelnovo and C. Chamon, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 155120 (2008).
- [55] M. B. Hastings and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 045141 (2005).
- [56] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics* (Elsevier, 2016).
- [57] R. Raussendorf, S. Bravyi, and J. Harrington, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 062313 (2005).
- [58] C. Wang, A. Nahum, and T. Senthil, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 195131 (2015).
- [59] E. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3682 (1979).
- [60] G. A. Jongeward, J. D. Stack, and C. Jayaprakash, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 3360 (1980).
- [61] I. S. Tupitsyn, A. Kitaev, N. V. Prokof'ev, and P. C. E. Stamp, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 085114 (2010).
- [62] J. Vidal, S. Dusuel, and K. P. Schmidt, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 033109 (2009).
- [63] A. M. Somoza, P. Serna, and A. Nahum, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 041008 (2021).
- [64] X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 205139 (2019).
- [65] N. Iqbal and J. McGreevy, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.12610 (2021).
- [66] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 235157 (2016).
- [67] J. Haah, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 042330 (2011).
- [68] Y. You, T. Devakul, F. J. Burnell, and S. L. Sondhi, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 035112 (2018).
- [69] T. Devakul, Y. You, F. J. Burnell, and S. L. Sondhi, *SciPost Phys.* **6**, 7 (2019).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

In this supplemental material, we provide details on statements of the main text. Appendix.A presents the derivation of negativity spectrum for Gibbs states of stabilizer models. Appendix.B presents the derivation of the parent Hamiltonian for the state localized on the bipartition surface induced by partial transpose. Appendix.C and Appendix.D discuss the details on the 3d toric code and 4d toric code respectively.

Appendix A: Negativity spectrum for Gibbs states of stabilizer models

1. General formalism

Here we present an general formalism for taking a partial transpose for Gibbs states of stabilizer Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -J \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\theta}_j$, where each stabilizer $\hat{\theta}_j$ is a tensor product of Pauli operators over lattice sites. The corresponding Gibbs state is $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta \hat{H}} =$

$e^{\beta J \sum_j \hat{\theta}_j}$, where \sim is used to indicate that we omit the normalization. Utilizing the expansion $e^{\beta J \hat{\theta}_j} = \cosh(\beta J) + \hat{\theta}_j \sinh(\beta J) = \cosh(\beta J) \sum_{s_j=0,1} [\hat{\theta}_j \tanh(\beta J)]^{s_j}$, the Gibbs state can be written as a sum over stabilizer strings

$$\hat{\rho} \sim \sum_{\{s_j\}} \left[\prod_j \hat{\theta}_j^{s_j} \right] [\tanh(\beta J)]^{\sum_j s_j}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $s_j = 0, 1$ is a classical variable denoting the absence or presence of the stabilizer $\hat{\theta}_j$. By dividing the system into \mathcal{A} and its complement \mathcal{B} and taking a partial transpose over the region \mathcal{A} for stabilizer strings generates a sign $\phi(\{s_j\})$

$$\left[\prod_j \hat{\theta}_j^{s_j} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \left[\prod_j [(\hat{\theta}_j)^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^{s_j} \right] \phi(\{s_j\}). \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\phi(\{s_j\}) = 1, -1$. To determine the sign, we introduce $\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}$ to denote the part in $\hat{\theta}_i$ that acts non-trivially on \mathcal{A} , and introduce a matrix C that encodes the commutation relation between the restricted stabilizers, namely, $C_{ij} = 0, 1$ for $[\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\theta}_j|_{\mathcal{A}}] = 0$ and $\{\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\theta}_j|_{\mathcal{A}}\} = 0$. It follows that the sign resulting from partial transpose is

$$\phi(\{s_j\}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} s^T C s} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} s_i C_{ij} s_j} = (-1)^{\sum_{i < j} s_i C_{ij} s_j}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

which can take the value 1 or -1 depending on whether the number of pairs of restricted stabilizer $\hat{\theta}_i|_{\mathcal{A}}$ that anticommute is even or odd. Therefore the partially transposed matrix reads

$$\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \sum_{\{s_j\}} \left[\prod_j [(\hat{\theta}_j)^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^{s_j} \right] [\tanh(\beta J)]^{\sum_j s_j} \phi(\{s_j\}). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Since $(\hat{\theta}_j)^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ are mutually commuting, the negativity spectrum $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$, i.e. the eigenspectrum of $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$, can be obtained by replacing $(\hat{\theta}_j)^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ with number $\theta_j \in \{1, -1\}$:

$$\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \sum_{\{s_j\}} \left[\prod_j \theta_j^{s_j} \right] \psi(\{s_j\}), \quad (\text{A5})$$

where

$$\psi(\{s_j\}) = [\tanh(\beta J)]^{\sum_j s_j} \phi(\{s_j\}) = [\tanh(\beta J)]^{\sum_j s_j} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} s_i C_{ij} s_j}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

The negativity spectrum can be expressed as a strange correlator. To see this, we introduce a computational basis $|s_j\rangle$ with $Z_j |s_j\rangle = (1 - 2s_j) |s_j\rangle$ and the state $|\psi\rangle$:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_0}} \sum_{\{s_j\}} \psi(\{s_j\}) |s_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_0}} \left[\prod_i \tanh(\beta J)^{\frac{1-Z_i}{2}} \right] \prod_{i < j} [C Z_{ij}]^{C_{ij}} |+\rangle. \quad (\text{A7})$$

The normalization constant is $Z_0 = \sum_{\{s_i\}} [\psi(\{s_i\})]^2$. $|+\rangle$ is the $+1$ eigenstates of Pauli-X operators, and $C Z_{ij}$ is the two-qubit control-Z gate with the operation $C Z_{ij} |s_i, s_j\rangle = (-1)^{s_i s_j} |s_i, s_j\rangle$. In fact, one can derive the parent Hamiltonian of the state $|\psi\rangle$ (see Appendix.B for derivation):

$$H = - \sum_j \left[X_j \prod_{\{i|C_{ij}=1\}} Z_i - e^{-\alpha Z_j} \right], \quad (\text{A8})$$

where $\alpha = -\log [\tanh(\beta J)]$, and the term $\prod_{\{i|C_{ij}=1\}} Z_i$ denotes the product of Z_i so that $\{\theta_i|_{\mathcal{A}}, \theta_j|_{\mathcal{A}}\} = 0$. Using the state $|\psi\rangle$, the negativity spectrum $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ in Eq.A4 can be expressed as a strange correlator

$$\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{1}{N} \langle + | \prod_j Z_j^{\frac{1-\theta_j}{2}} | \psi \rangle, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where we have used $\theta_j^{s_j} = (1 - 2s_j)^{\frac{1-\theta_j}{2}}$, and \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant such that sum of all eigenvalues is one.

Now we apply the above formalism to the toric code Hamiltonian: $\hat{H} = -\lambda_A \sum_i \hat{A}_i - \lambda_B \sum_j \hat{B}_j$, where \hat{A}_i and \hat{B}_j denote the stabilizers consisting of Pauli-X and Pauli-Z operators respectively. First we divide the entire system into two subsystems \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , and write the Hamiltonian as $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{H}_{\partial}$, where $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ denotes the terms in \hat{H} supported on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})$, and \hat{H}_{∂} denotes the interaction between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Since only the stabilizers acting on the bipartition boundary can anticommute when restricted in a subregion, the partial transpose acts on the boundary part of $\hat{\rho}$ as $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\partial})} (e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$, and the non-trivial feature of spectrum of $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ solely comes from the boundary part $(e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$, which we derive below. Let R_a and R_b label the collection of lattice sites corresponding to the location of A_i and B_j stabilizers acting on the boundary (e.g. see Fig.3), applying Eq.A9 gives the following spectrum

$$(e^{-\beta H_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \langle + | \prod_{i \in R_a} Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \prod_{j \in R_b} Z_j^{\frac{1-B_j}{2}} | \psi \rangle. \quad (\text{A10})$$

where the state $|\psi\rangle$ lives in the Hilbert space spanned by $|a_i, b_j\rangle$, and can be written as (via Eq.A6)

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_0}} \sum_{\{a_i, b_j\}} [\tanh(\beta \lambda_A)]^{\sum_{i \in R_a} a_i} [\tanh(\beta \lambda_B)]^{\sum_{j \in R_b} b_j} \phi(\{a_i, b_j\}), \quad (\text{A11})$$

where the sign $\phi(\{a_i, b_j\}) = \prod_{i \in R_a} (-1)^{a_i \sum_{j \in \partial i} b_j}$ (via Eq.A3) with $\sum_{j \in \partial i}$ indicating a summation over the sites $j \in R_b$ that are adjacent to the site $i \in R_a$. This is because any two adjacent boundary stabilizer \hat{A}_i and \hat{B}_j must anticommute when restricted on a subregion. Alternatively, the sign $\prod_{i \in R_a} (-1)^{a_i \sum_{j \in \partial i} b_j}$ can be written as $\prod_{j \in R_b} (-1)^{b_j \sum_{i \in \partial j} a_i}$. Therefore, Eq.A10 and Eq.A11 show that the eigenspectrum of $(e^{-\beta H_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ are given by various choices of $\{A_i, B_j\}$, which correspond to various choices of Pauli-Z's insertion in the strange correlators.

Strange correlators as conventional correlators in classical statistical models:

By explicitly computing the strange correlators, one finds that they can be expressed as multi-spin correlation functions of τ_i spins ($\tau_i = 1 - 2a_i = \pm 1$) in a classical statistical model:

$$(e^{-\beta H_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \left[\prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \right] e^{-\tilde{H}_A}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

where \tilde{H}_A is consisting of the onsite-field terms as well as the interactions with a coupling strength specified by B_j : $\tilde{H}_A = K_A \sum_i \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} - \beta \lambda_B \sum_j B_j \prod_{i \in \partial j} \tau_i$ with $K_A = -\log(\tanh(\beta \lambda_A))$. Alternatively, the negativity spectrum can also be expressed as multi-spin correlation functions of $\sigma_j = 1 - 2b_j = \pm 1$ in the corresponding “dual” classical model:

$$(e^{-\beta H_{\partial}})^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \sim \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \left[\prod_j \sigma_j^{\frac{1-B_j}{2}} \right] e^{-\tilde{H}_B}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

where $\tilde{H}_B = K_B \sum_j \frac{1-\sigma_j}{2} - \beta \lambda_A \sum_i A_i \prod_{j \in \partial i} \sigma_j$ with $K_B = -\log(\tanh(\beta \lambda_B))$. This formalism allows us to derive the statistical models that determines the negativity spectrum for d -dim toric code.

2. 2d toric code

The boundary of the 2d toric code involves alternating $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 \dots A_L, B_L$ stabilizers, and therefore one can define a 1d lattice with A_i defined on the i -th site and B_i defined on the link between the i and $i+1$ -sites. It follows that the classical model describing the negativity spectrum is given by the 1d classical Ising model: $\tilde{H}_A = K_A \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} - \beta \lambda_B \sum_{i=1}^L B_i \tau_i \tau_{i+1}$. Alternatively, one can consider the dual description by \tilde{H}_B , which is again a 1d Ising model.




FIG. 3: The location of boundary stabilizers in d -dim toric code, where blue circles and red squares label the lattice sites corresponding to A_i and B_j stabilizers. (a) 1d bipartition boundary in 2d toric code. (b) 2d bipartition boundary in 3d toric code. (c) 3d bipartition boundary in 4d toric code.

3. 3d toric code

The boundary of the 3d toric code involves A_i on lattices and B_{ij} on links in a 2d lattice. The effective classical model describing the negativity spectrum is given by a 2d classical Ising model: $\tilde{H}_A = K_A \sum_i \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} - \beta\lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j$. Alternatively, one can consider the dual description by \tilde{H}_B , which is a 2d Ising gauge theory: $\tilde{H}_B = K_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \frac{1-\sigma_{ij}}{2} - \beta\lambda_A \sum_i A_i \prod_{\langle ij \rangle | i \in \partial \langle ij \rangle} \sigma_{ij}$, where $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle | i \in \partial \langle ij \rangle} \sigma_{ij}$ is the interaction between four σ spins on links that share the same boundary site i .

4. 4d toric code

The boundary of the 4d toric code involves A_l on links and B_p on plaquettes in a 3d lattice. The effective classical model describing the negativity spectrum is a 3d classical Ising gauge theory: $\tilde{H}_A = K_A \sum_l \frac{1-\tau_l}{2} - \beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l$. Alternatively, one can consider the dual description by \tilde{H}_B , which is again a 3d classical Ising gauge theory (but defined on the dual lattice): $\tilde{H}_B = K_B \sum_p \frac{1-\sigma_p}{2} - \beta\lambda_A \sum_l A_l \prod_{p | l \in \partial p} \sigma_p$, where $\prod_{p | l \in \partial p} \sigma_p$ is the interaction between four σ spins on plaquettes that share the same boundary link l .

Appendix B: Derivation for the parent Hamiltonian of the state $|\psi\rangle$

As discussed above, the negativity spectrum is $\rho^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{1}{N} \langle + | \prod_j Z_j^{\frac{1-\theta_j}{2}} | \psi \rangle$, where $|\psi\rangle \sim \left[\prod_i \tanh(\beta J) \frac{1-Z_i}{2} \right] \prod_{i < j} [CZ_{ij}]^{C_{ij}} | + \rangle$. Here we present the derivation for the parent Hamiltonian of which $|\psi\rangle$ is the ground state. To start, we define $U_{cz} = \prod_{i < j} [CZ_{ij}]^{C_{ij}}$ and the operator

$$Q_j = -U_{cz} X_j U_{cz}^\dagger + e^{-\alpha Z_j}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

with $\alpha = -\log[\tanh(\beta J)]$. A simple calculation shows that

$$Q_j |\psi\rangle \sim [U_{cz} X_j U_{cz}^\dagger - e^{-\alpha Z_j}] e^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_j Z_j} U_{cz} | + \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{B2})$$

On the other hand, Q_j is a positive semi-definite matrix by noticing that it can be unitarily transformed to the matrix $-X_j + e^{-\alpha Z_j}$, which has non-negative eigenvalues 0 and $2 \cosh(\alpha)$. Therefore, $|\psi\rangle$ is the exact ground state with zero energy of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_j Q_j = - \sum_j [U_{cz} X_j U_{cz}^\dagger - e^{-\alpha Z_j}]. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Appendix C: Structure of negativity spectrum and entanglement negativity in 3d toric code

1. Structure of negativity spectrum

The 3d toric code exhibits a topological order below a certain critical temperature T_c when forbidding the point-like excitations. As a simplification, here we consider only the bipartition-boundary part of the density matrix by forbidding any excitations in the

bulk. We show that the negativity spectrum encodes long-range braiding between two types of charges below T_c , and we derive the exact result of entanglement negativity at all temperatures.

When forbidding the bulk excitations, the negativity spectrum ρ^{T_A} is solely given by $(e^{-\beta H_\partial})^{T_A}$, which can be written as correlation functions in the 2d Ising model under a symmetry-breaking field (via Eq.A12):

$$\rho^{T_A}(\{A_i, B_{ij}\}) \sim \langle + | \prod_i Z_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} Z_{ij}^{\frac{1-B_{ij}}{2}} | \psi \rangle \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \left[\prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \right] e^{-K_A \sum_i \frac{1-\tau_i}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j}. \quad (C1)$$

Here the constraint $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial p} B_{ij} = 1$ is imposed on every plaquette p , which is a consequence of the local constraint in the 3d toric code that the product of six B_p stabilizers on the boundary of each cube equals identity as the bulk excitations are prohibited. $\{A_j\}, \{B_{ij}\}$ determine the choice for correlators and the sign of interactions between neighboring spins. The above expression suggests that a finite-temperature order can exist only when the symmetry-breaking field $K_A = 0$ (i.e. $\beta \lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$), corresponding to prohibiting point-like excitations in Gibbs states at any temperatures. In this limit, the negativity spectrum is

$$\rho^{T_A}(\{A_i, B_{ij}\}) \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \left[\prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \right] e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j}. \quad (C2)$$

Due to the Ising symmetry in the Boltzmann weights, non-vanishing negativity spectrum requires the quantity $\prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}}$ having even number of τ_i spins, which amounts to the constraint that $\prod_i A_i = 1$. Now let's analyze the sign structure of negativity spectrum. First consider the case with no charges, i.e. $A_i, B_{ij} = 1$, the corresponding eigenvalue $\rho^{T_A} \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$ is surely positive. Next, we consider $B_{ij} = 1 \forall \langle ij \rangle$, which gives the eigenvalue $\rho^{T_A} \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$. Choosing $A_i = A_j = -1$ gives the two-point correlation $\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \tau_i \tau_j e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$. This is again positive since it can be written as $\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \tau_i \tau_j \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} [\cosh(\beta \lambda_B) + \tau_i \tau_j \sinh(\beta \lambda_B)]$, where one can expand the product $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle}$ and notice that only terms without containing τ_i spin variables will survive after the summation $\sum_{\{\tau_i\}}$. Such an argument applies to the expectation value of $2n$ -point functions for any integer n . We now consider a case with negative eigenvalue by flipping $\{A_i\}$ and $\{B_{ij}\}$ at the same time. Notice that the constraint $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial p} B_{ij} = 1$ implies different allowed $\{B_{ij}\}$ configurations are generated by flipping B_{ij} on four links emanating from the site i . To have a negative eigenvalue of ρ^{T_A} , one can set $A_i = A_j = -1$ and $B_{ij} = -1$ on four links emanating from the site i . The corresponding eigenvalue is negative as can be seen by making a local spin flip at the site i , giving rise to $\rho^{T_A} \sim - \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \tau_i \tau_j e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$. Pictorially, one can connect the two lattice sites i, j with $A_i = A_j = -1$ with the A -string, and construct a B -loop corresponding to four links with $B_{ij} = -1$. A minus sign results from the A -string piercing through the B -loop.

2. Exact entanglement negativity

Utilizing the analysis of negativity spectrum above, we here derive the entanglement negativity for 3d toric code when point-like charges forbidden (same limit as considered above), and show that the transition of the topological order at finite temperature can be understood as a spontaneous symmetry breaking transition of the 2d Ising model. To start with, we utilize the negativity spectrum to write down the one-norm of the partially transposed Gibbs state: $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{Z_n}{Z_d}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= \sum_{\{A_i\}} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} \left| \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j} \right| \\ Z_d &= \sum_{\{A_i\}} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (C3)$$

where $\sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}}$ denotes a summation over $\{B_{ij}\}$ subject to the local constraint $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial p} B_{ij} = 1$. For the denominator Z_d , it is straightforward to sum over $\{\tau_i\}$ and $\{A_i\}$ to find $Z_d = 2^{L^2} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij}}$. For the numerator Z_n , using the fact that $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial p} B_{ij} = 1$ and the local gauge invariance of the Gibbs weight, namely, $\tau_i \rightarrow -\tau_i$ and $B_{ij} \rightarrow -B_{ij}$ on four links emanating from the site i , one can remove B_{ij} in the Gibbs weight and find

$$Z_n = \sum_{\{A_i\}} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} \left| \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j} \right| = \sum_{\{A_i\}} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} \tau_i \tau_j} = 2^{L^2} \sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{2\beta \lambda_B L^2} \quad (C4)$$

As a result,

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1 = \frac{\sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{2L^2 \beta \lambda_B}}{\sum'_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} B_{ij}}}. \quad (C5)$$

One can introduce the dual variable τ_i on each lattice site by defining $B_{ij} = \tau_i \tau_j$ for neighboring sites so that the local constraint in B_{ij} is implicitly satisfied. It follows that

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{2L^2 \beta \lambda_B}}{\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} \tau_i \tau_j}} = \frac{2^{L^2} e^{-\beta E_g}}{Z(T)}. \quad (C6)$$

where $E_g = -2\lambda_B L^2$ and $Z(T) = \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} \tau_i \tau_j}$ is the energy and partition function for the 2d Ising model. Taking a logarithm gives the negativity

$$E_N = L^2 \log 2 - \beta E_g - \log Z(T) \quad (C7)$$

Such an expression shows that in the 3d toric code where the bulk excitations and all point-like excitations forbidden, the negativity relates to the free energy of the 2d classical Ising model, which therefore exhibits a singularity across a finite critical temperature T_c . In particular, one can extract the topological negativity, i.e. the long-range component of negativity, by canceling out the short-range area-law component of negativity: $E_{\text{topo}} = E_N(2N) - 2E_N(N) = -\log Z(2N, T) + 2\log Z(N, T)$ with $N = L^2$ being the number of 2d lattice sites. In the thermodynamic limit, one expects $Z(N, T > T_c) = e^{-\beta N f(T)}$ for $T > T_c$, where f is the free energy density. In contrast, for $T < T_c$ (the ordered phase), the existence of two spontaneous symmetry breaking sectors implies a universal prefactor in the partition function $Z(N, T) = 2e^{-\beta N f(T)}$. Consequently, the topological part of the negativity E_{topo} exhibits a discontinuity at T_c :

$$E_{\text{topo}} = \begin{cases} \log 2 & \text{for } T < T_c \\ 0 & \text{for } T > T_c. \end{cases} \quad (C8)$$

In a finite-size system, the partition function Z can be evaluated via the transfer matrix method, and in the leading order, $Z = \Lambda_0^L + \Lambda_1^L$, where Λ_0 and Λ_1 are the largest eigenvalue and the next-largest eigenvalue of the row transfer matrix[56]. In particular, for $T > T_c$, the correlation length ξ is controlled by the ratio between these two eigenvalues via $\xi = \frac{1}{\log(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_0)}$. Therefore, when approaching to T_c from above, the partition function is $Z = \Lambda_0^L (1 + e^{-L/\xi})$, and the topological negativity behaves as $E_{\text{topo}} = \log(1 + e^{-L/\xi})$ for $T > T_c$.

3. Entanglement negativity when bulk excitations are allowed

We here discuss the details on entanglement negativity when bulk excitations are allowed, but any point-like charges are prohibited (via $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$) so that the topological order persists up to a certain critical temperature. In this case, we consider the partially transposed Gibbs state $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}})} \left[e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} / Z$, where Z is the thermal partition function $\text{tr} e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{H}_{\partial})}$ and the spectrum of the partial transpose of the boundary Gibbs state $\left[e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is given by Eq.A12. Here we employ a replica trick by studying $\text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^n$ for even integer n , from which negativity can be obtained by taking $n \rightarrow 1$ limit, i.e. $E_N = \log \|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1$ with $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1 = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^n$. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_1 &= \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\text{tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}})} \left[\left(e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{\partial}} \right)^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \right]^n \right\}}{\text{tr} \left[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{H}_{\partial})} \right]} \\ &= \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{\{A_s\}} \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{-\beta(H_{\mathcal{A}} + H_{\mathcal{B}})} \cosh^{L^2}(\beta \lambda_A) \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i \tau_i^{\frac{1-A_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{(ij)} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j} \right]^n}{\sum_{\{A_s\}} \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{-\beta(H_{\mathcal{A}} + H_{\mathcal{B}} + H_{\partial})}}, \end{aligned} \quad (C9)$$

where the trace has been replaced by a sum over stabilizer $\{A_s\}$ and $\{B_p\}$, subject to the local constraint that the product of six B_p stabilizers on the boundary of each cube is one:

$$f(\{B_p\}) = \prod_{\text{cube}} \delta \left(\prod_{p \in \partial \text{cube}} B_p = 1 \right). \quad (\text{C10})$$

Also note that we have expressed the negativity spectrum of the boundary Gibbs state in terms of correlation functions of Ising spins in 2d.

By considering the limit $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$, every star stabilizers A_s is pinned at 1 in the denominator and for the numerator, only A_s in the bulk is pinned at 1, i.e. the boundary star stabilizers A_i are allowed to fluctuate. As a result,

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} 2^{-L^2} \frac{\sum_{\{A_i\}} \sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p} \left(\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i A_i^{\frac{1-\tau_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j} \right)^n}{\sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p}}. \quad (\text{C11})$$

Introducing n copies of the Ising spins $\{\tau_i^\alpha\}$ with replica index $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$, one can sum over $\{A_i\}$ in the numerator:

$$\sum_{\{A_i\}} \left(\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \prod_i A_i^{\frac{1-\tau_i}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i \tau_j} \right)^n = 2^{L^2} \sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \dots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha}. \quad (\text{C12})$$

This is essentially a partition function for n copies of the 2d Ising model, where the spins in different replicas at any given lattice site index i are coupled through the delta function constraint. Therefore, the negativity is given by $E_N = \log \|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1$ with

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \dots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p}}. \quad (\text{C13})$$

It is useful to express the result above in terms of the ratio of two partition functions with an annealed average over the bulk fluctuations of B_p stabilizers that are described by the 3d Ising gauge theory:

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\left\langle \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \dots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right] \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}}}{\left\langle \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{ij} B_{ij}} \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}}} \quad (\text{C14})$$

where the numerator is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \dots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right] \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \dots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C15})$$

and the denominator is

$$\left\langle \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{ij} B_{ij}} \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{\sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p} \left[\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{ij} B_{ij}} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_p\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p^{\text{bulk}} B_p}} \quad (\text{C16})$$

As a sanity check for the replica trick, one can show that when forbidding the bulk excitations, i.e. $B_p = 1$ in the bulk, $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1$ recovers the result in Appendix C2. In this case,

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} B_{ij} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{ij} B_{ij}}} \quad (\text{C17})$$

with $\{B_{ij}\}$ subject to the constraint that $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial P} B_{ij} = 1$ on the 2d bipartition boundary. Using the local gauge symmetry in the numerator: $B_{ij} \rightarrow -B_{ij}$ for four links emanating from a site i with $\tau_i^\alpha \rightarrow -\tau_i^\alpha$ for all replicas $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$, one can remove B_{ij} in the Gibbs weight, the numerator can be simplified as

$$\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_i^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_i^n\}} \prod_i \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_j^\alpha} \right] = \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \delta(\tau_i = 1) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j} = \sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B 2L^2}. \quad (\text{C18})$$

Note that such the aforementioned gauge symmetry exists only for even n while for odd n , sending τ_i^α to $-\tau_i^\alpha$ for all replicas is not allowed (due to the violation of the constraint $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_i^\alpha = 1$). On the other hand, natively taking $n \rightarrow 1$ would lead to $\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{ij} B_{ij}}$ for the numerator, which would give $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = 1$ (i.e. negativity would be zero).

Using the constraint $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial P} B_{ij} = 1$, one can introduce the Ising variables via $B_{ij} = \tau_i \tau_j$ so that

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{2L^2 \beta \lambda_B}}{\sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}} = \frac{2^{L^2} e^{2\beta \lambda_B L^2}}{Z} \quad (\text{C19})$$

with $Z = \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j}$ being the partition function of 2d Ising model that behaves as $Z = 2e^{-\beta L^2 f}$ for $T < T_c$ and $e^{-\beta L^2 f}$ for $T > T_c$ with f being the free energy density. Therefore, the non-zero $\log 2$ topological entanglement negativity results from the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Ising model that emerges from the local constraint of the boundary B_{ij} stabilizers, namely, $\prod_{\langle ij \rangle \in \partial P} B_{ij} = 1$.

Now we consider the Gibbs state with tunable temperature in the bulk and the boundary, i.e. $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta_{\text{bulk}}(H_A + H_B)} e^{-\beta_{\partial} H_{AB}}$. The 2d boundary theory is coupled to the 3d Ising gauge theory in the bulk, and the aforementioned constraint of the boundary plaquettes no longer exists due to the fluctuating B_p stabilizers in the bulk. However, when the bulk is in the low-temperature deconfined phase ($T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$), the Wilson loop operator satisfies the perimeter law, i.e. $\langle W(C) \rangle \sim e^{-\alpha|C|}$ where $|C|$ is the perimeter of the closed loop C . It is known that one can find a renormalized (fattened) Wilson loop operator such that it satisfies the zero-law (i.e. the Wilson loop does not decay at all). Since the product of plaquette across the bipartition boundary is equivalent to the product of two Wilson loops in the bulk, one expects to find an emergent constraint on those boundary plaquettes satisfied on a larger length scale so that one can find a coarse-grained 2d Ising model, which displays an order-disorder transition as tuning the boundary temperature. On the other hand, for $T_{\text{bulk}} > T_{\text{bulk},c}$, due to the confinement of the Wilson loop in the bulk, the emergent constraint on the boundary plaquettes no longer exists. Therefore, there is no emergent 2d Ising model description that exhibits an ordered phase, contributing to topological entanglement negativity.

Appendix D: Structure of negativity spectrum and entanglement negativity in 4d toric code

1. Structure of negativity spectrum

The 4d toric code exhibits a topological order below a certain critical temperature T_c . As a simplification, here we consider only the bipartition-boundary part of the density matrix by forbidding any excitations in the bulk. The spectrum of the partially transposed boundary part of the Gibbs state is characterized by strange correlators that can be written as correlation functions in a 3d Ising gauge theory coupled to matter field:

$$[e^{-\beta H_{\partial}}]^{T_A} \sim \langle + | \left[\prod_l Z_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] \left[\prod_p Z_p^{\frac{1-B_p}{2}} \right] |\psi(T)\rangle \sim \sum_{\{\tau_i\}} \left[\prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] e^{-K_A \sum_l \frac{1-\tau_l}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \quad (\text{D1})$$

with $K_A = -\log[\tanh(\beta \lambda_A)]$. Here $\{A_l\}$ determines the spin insertion in the correlator and $\{B_p\}$ determines the sign of interaction between spins in the 3d lattice. To understand the structure of negativity spectrum, it is useful to denote $A_l = -1$ with an occupied link in the lattice and denote $B_p = -1$ with an occupied link in the dual lattice piercing through the plaquette p on the original lattice. Due to the local constraints in 4d toric code, the bipartition-boundary stabilizers A_l and B_p are subject to the constraints that the product of 6 plaquettes B_p on the boundary of each cube is one, and the product of 6 links A_l emanating

from each vertex is one. The above constraints amount to imposing the condition that only closed loops of A_l (denoted as A -loops) in the direct lattice and closed loops of B_p (denoted as B -loops) in the dual lattice are allowed. Eq.D1 shows that the negativity spectrum is characterized by a classical 3d Ising gauge theory coupled to matter fields, which therefore exhibits a deconfinement-confinement transition at a certain critical temperature. As a result, such a transition corresponds to the transition for topological order in the toric code. Note that it is interesting that while the thermal partition function $\text{tr } e^{-\beta \hat{H}_{AB}}$ can be written as a product of two partition functions for two independent pure gauge theories (therefore exhibiting two transitions with critical temperatures $T_A \sim O(\lambda_A)$ and $T_B \sim O(\lambda_B)$), the partially transposed Gibbs state exhibits a single deconfined transition which is determined by both λ_A and λ_B . It is also interesting that setting $\lambda_A = \lambda_B$ while varying the temperature corresponds to a transition along the well-known self-dual line in the gauge theory[59–63]

2. Exact entanglement negativity

Here we consider the limit $\beta\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $K_A = 0$, and discuss the sign structure of negativity spectrum and the derivation of entanglement negativity. In this case, the negativity spectrum is given by the pure gauge theory

$$[e^{-\beta H_\partial}]^{T_A} \sim \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \left[\prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} \right] e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

Here we first discuss the braiding structure between A -loops and B -loops. Consider the case with a single A -loop, the corresponding eigenvalue is $\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \left[\prod_{l \in C_A} \tau_l \right] e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}$, which is nothing but a Wilson loop in the 3d Ising gauge theory. Such a quantity exhibits a long-range correlation below a certain critical temperature T_c (deconfined phase) in the sense of a perimeter-law $e^{-\alpha|C_A|} > 0$, where $|C_A|$ denotes the length of the close loop C_A . Now we consider adding a B -loop in the dual lattice that braids with the A -loop by flipping B_p . It follows that the corresponding eigenvalue can be written as $-\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \left[\prod_{l \in C_A} \tau_l \right] e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}$, which remains long-range correlated in the deconfined phase with the perimeter-law scaling $-e^{-\alpha|C_A|} > 0$. The above analysis indicates that the braiding and sign structure survives in the long-distance below T_c .

Now we discuss the calculation of negativity. First, the one norm of $\hat{\rho}^{T_A}$ with $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial}$ is the sum of all absolute eigenvalues:

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{B_p\}} \left| \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l A_l^{\frac{1-\tau_l}{2}} e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right|}{\sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{B_p\}} \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l A_l^{\frac{1-\tau_l}{2}} e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}}, \quad (\text{D3})$$

where the denominator is simply fixed by the requirement that sum of all eigenvalues of ρ^{T_A} is one. Here $\sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{B_p\}}$ refers to summing over $\{A_l\}$ and $\{B_p\}$ subject to the constraints that the product of 6 plaquettes B_p on the boundary of each cube is one, and the product of 6 links A_l emanating from each vertex is one. To resolve the local constraint of $\{B_p\}$, one introduces the dual variables $\{g_l\}$ on links via $B_p = \prod_{l \in \partial p} g_l$, and therefore $\sum_{\{B_p\}}$ can be replaced by summing over independent g_l variables. Using a calculation analogous to 3d toric code, we find

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{g_l\}} e^{3N\beta\lambda_B}}{\sum_{\{g_l\}} e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} g_l}} = \frac{2^{3N} e^{-\beta E_g}}{Z(T)} \quad (\text{D4})$$

where $N = L^3$, $E_g = -3N\lambda_B$ and $Z(T) = \sum_{\{g_l\}} e^{\beta\lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} g_l}$ is ground state energy and the partition function for the 3d pure \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory. Taking a logarithm gives the entanglement negativity

$$E_N = 3N \log 2 - \beta E_g - \log Z(T). \quad (\text{D5})$$

This expression allows us to compute the topological negativity E_{topo} , i.e. the long-range component of entanglement negativity: $E_{\text{topo}} = E_N(2N) - 2E_N(N) = -\log Z(2N, T) + 2\log Z(N, T)$. To compute such a quantity, a simple way is by mapping the finite-temperature 3d classical Ising gauge theory to the zero-temperature 2+1D quantum Ising gauge theory with Gauss law imposed:

$$Z = \sum_{\{g_l\}} e^{\beta \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} g_l} \sim \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr} \left(e^{M\beta \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} Z_l + M\beta g \sum_l X_l} \right) \quad (\text{D6})$$

with $e^{-2\beta g} = \tanh(\beta)$. Therefore, $Z \sim N_g e^{-M\beta E_g}$, where E_g is the ground state energy of the 2+1D quantum Ising gauge theory, and N_g is the corresponding ground state degeneracy. Crucially, tuning g in such a model induces a confinement-deconfinement transition at a critical g_c . The regime $g < g_c$ corresponds to the deconfined phase with $N_g = 4$, while the regime $g > g_c$ corresponds to the confined phase with $N_g = 1$. As a result, there exists a universal subleading term in $\log Z$ that characterizes the number of topological sector in the gauge theory, and $E_{\text{topo}} = 2 \log 2$ or 0 for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$.

3. Replica calculation for general λ_A and λ_B when forbidding bulk excitations

In the discussion above, we consider the limit $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$ to derive the entanglement negativity. For the negativity at any λ_A and λ_B , we employ a replica trick by studying $\text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^n$ for even integer n , from which negativity can be obtained by taking $n \rightarrow 1$ limit, namely, $E_N = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}]^n = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \tilde{Z}_n / Z$, where Z is the thermal partition function $Z = \text{tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial}$ and \tilde{Z}_n is the n -th moment for the boudary part of the Gibbs state, i.e. $\tilde{Z}_n = \text{tr} \left\{ \left[e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \right\}^n$. Using the negativity spectrum (Eq.D1), one finds

$$\tilde{Z}_n \sim \sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{B_p\}} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_l \frac{1-\tau_l}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right]^n, \quad (\text{D7})$$

where $K_A = -\log[\tanh(\beta \lambda_A)]$ and \sim indicates that we have omitted a prefactor $[\cosh(\beta \lambda_A)]^{N_l}$ with N_l being the number of links in the 3d lattice. Due to the local constraint for B_p that the product of six B_p on the boundary of a cube is one, any allowed $\{B_p\}$ can be reached by flipping four B_p sharing a link l , which is equivalently to flipping the spin τ_l . Therefore, one can introduce independent $g_l = \pm 1$ variables living on links to resolve the local constraint on $\{B_p\}$ and find

$$\tilde{Z}_n \sim \sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{g_l\}} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_l \frac{1-g_l \tau_l}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right]^n. \quad (\text{D8})$$

By introducing n replicas:

$$\tilde{Z}_n \sim \sum'_{\{A_l\}, \{g_l\}} \sum_{\{\tau_l^\alpha\}} \prod_l (A_l)^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1-\tau_l^\alpha}{2}} e^{-K_A \sum_{l,\alpha} \frac{1-g_l \tau_l^\alpha}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p \sum_\alpha \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha}, \quad (\text{D9})$$

with α denoting the replica index, we can sum over $\{A_l\}$ subject to the constraint that the product of six A_l on links emanating from a vertex is one. This effectively couples spins on different replicas: $\sum'_{\{A_l\}} \prod_l (A_l)^{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1-\tau_l^\alpha}{2}} \sim \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right)$, where the constraint is that for any given plaquette p , the product of spins on its boundary across all replicas is one. Therefore, one finally simplifies $\tilde{Z}_n = \text{tr} \left\{ \left[e^{-\beta H_\partial} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \right\}^n$ as

$$\text{tr} \left\{ \left[e^{-\beta H_\partial} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} \right\}^n \sim \sum_{\{g_l\}} \sum_{\{\tau_l^\gamma\}} e^{-K_A \sum_{l,\gamma} \frac{1-g_l \tau_l^\gamma}{2} + \beta \lambda_B \sum_p \sum_\gamma \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\gamma} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\gamma, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\gamma = 1 \right), \quad (\text{D10})$$

and evaluating such a quantity for even n followed an analytic continuation to $n \rightarrow 1$ gives entanglement negativity. Although we are unable to compute such a quantity analytically, this expression suggests that the transition in negativity relates to the deconfinement-confinement transition of 3d Ising gauge theory coupled to matter fields.

4. Entanglement negativity when bulk is thermal

We here discuss the entanglement negativity when bulk excitations are allowed, but one type of excitations is prohibited (via $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$). In this case, we consider the partially transposed Gibbs state $\hat{\rho}^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} = e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}})} \left[e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}} / Z$, where Z is the thermal partition function $\text{tr} e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{B}} + \hat{H}_\partial)}$ and the spectrum of the partial transpose of the boundary Gibbs state $\left[e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial} \right]^{T_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is given by

Eq.D1. Here we employ a replica trick to compute the negativity, i.e. $E_N = \log \|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1$ with $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} [\hat{\rho}^{T_A}]^n$. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 &= \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\text{tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B)} \left[\left(e^{-\beta \hat{H}_\partial} \right)^{T_A} \right]^n \right\}}{\text{tr} \left[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B + \hat{H}_\partial)} \right]} \\ &= \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sum_{\{A_l\}} \sum_{\{B_c\}} f(\{A_l\}, \{B_c\}) e^{-\beta(H_A + H_B)} \cosh^{3L^3}(\beta \lambda_A) \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l \tau_l^{\frac{1-A_l}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right]^n}{\sum_{\{A_s\}} \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{A_l\}, \{B_p\}) e^{-\beta(H_A + H_B + H_\partial)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D11})$$

where the trace has been replaced by a sum over stabilizer $\{A_l\}$ defined on 1-cells and $\{B_c\}$ defined on 3-cells in the 4d lattice, subject to the local constraint that the product of six B_p stabilizers on the boundary of each cube is one:

$$f(\{A_l\}, \{B_c\}) = \prod_{4\text{-cell}} \delta \left(\prod_{c \in \partial 4\text{-cell}} B_c = 1 \right) \prod_{0\text{-cell}} \delta \left(\prod_{0\text{-cell} \in \partial l} A_l = 1 \right). \quad (\text{D12})$$

In addition, we use B_p to denote the cube stabilizers B_c across the 3d bipartition boundary since those stabilizers live on plaquettes of in the 3d lattice.

By considering the limit $\lambda_A \rightarrow \infty$, every stabilizer A_l is pinned at 1 in the denominator and for the numerator, only A_l in the bulk is pinned at 1, i.e. the stabilizers A_l across the 3d bipartition boundary fluctuate. Note that these boundary A_l stabilizers are subject to the constraint that the product of six A_l emanating from a vertex is one in the 3d bipartition boundary, i.e. $\prod_v \delta(\prod_{v \in \partial l} A_l = 1)$. As a result,

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} 2^{-3L^3} \frac{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{A_l\}}^\partial \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{A_l\}, \{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c} \left(\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l A_l^{\frac{1-\tau_l}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right)^n}{\sum_{\{B_c\}} f(\{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c B_c}}. \quad (\text{D13})$$

Introducing n copies of the Ising spins $\{\tau_l^\alpha\}$ with $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$, one can sum over $\{A_l\}$ in the numerator, subject to the constraint $\prod_v \delta(\prod_{v \in \partial l} A_l = 1)$:

$$\sum_{\{A_l\}} \left(\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \prod_l A_l^{\frac{1-\tau_l}{2}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \right)^n = 2^{3L^3} \sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha}, \quad (\text{D14})$$

where different replicas are coupled by the constraint that for any given plaquette p , the product of spins its boundary across all replicas is one. Therefore, the negativity is given by $E_N = \log \|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1$ with

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_c\}} f(\{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c B_c}}. \quad (\text{D15})$$

It is useful to express the result above in terms of the ratio of two partition functions with an annealed average over the bulk fluctuations of B_c stabilizers that are described by the 4d Ising gauge theory:

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\left\langle \sum_{\{B_p\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right] \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}}}{\left\langle \sum_{\{B_p\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p} \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}}} \quad (\text{D16})$$

where the numerator is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \sum_{\{B_p\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right] \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} \sum_{\{B_p\}} f(\{B_p\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D17})$$

and the denominator is

$$\left\langle \sum_{\{B_p\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p} \right\rangle_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c} \left[\sum_{\{B_p\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_c\}}^{\text{bulk}} f(\{B_c\}) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_c^{\text{bulk}} B_c}} \quad (\text{D18})$$

As a sanity check for the replica trick, one can show that when forbidding the bulk excitations, i.e. $B_c = 1$ in the bulk, $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1$ recovers the result in Appendix. D2. In this case,

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{B_p\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha, l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p B_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right]}{\sum_{\{B_{ij}\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p}} \quad (\text{D19})$$

with $\{B_p\}$ subject to the constraint the product of 6 B_p on the boundary of each 3-cell is one, i.e. $\prod_{p \in \partial c} B_p = 1$ in the 3d bipartition boundary. Using the local gauge symmetry in the numerator: $B_p \rightarrow -B_p$ for four plaquettes sharing the same boundary edge labeled by l with $\tau_l^\alpha \rightarrow -\tau_l^\alpha$ for all replicas $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$, one can remove B_p in the Gibbs weight, the numerator can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{B_p\}} \lim_{\text{even } n \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{\{\tau_l^1\}} \cdots \sum_{\{\tau_l^n\}} \prod_p \delta \left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l^\alpha} \right] &= \sum_{\{B_p\}} \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \delta \left(\prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l = 1 \right) e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l} \\ &= \sum_{\{B_p\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B 3L^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D20})$$

Note that such the aforementioned gauge symmetry exists only for even n while for odd n , sending τ_l^α to $-\tau_l^\alpha$ for all replicas is not allowed (due to the violation of the constraint that couples different replicas). On the other hand, natively taking $n \rightarrow 1$ would lead to $\sum_{\{B_p\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p B_p}$ for the numerator, which would give $\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = 1$ (i.e. negativity would be zero).

To resolve the constraint $\prod_{p \in \partial c} B_p = 1$, one can introduce the Ising variables τ_l defined on links via $B_p = \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l$ so that

$$\|\hat{\rho}^{T_A}\|_1 = \frac{\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} e^{3L^3 \beta \lambda_B}}{\sum_{\{\tau_l\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}} = \frac{3L^3 e^{3\beta \lambda_B L^3}}{Z} \quad (\text{D21})$$

with $Z = \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} e^{\beta \lambda_B \sum_p \prod_{l \in \partial p} \tau_l}$ being the partition function of 3d Ising pure gauge theory. By mapping this partition function at finite temperature to the zero-temperature 2+1D quantum Ising gauge theory, one finds $\log Z$ has a universal subleading term $2 \log 2, 0$ for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$. Such a term corresponds to the topological entanglement negativity results from the deconfinement transition of the Ising gauge theory that emerges from the local constraint of the boundary B_p stabilizers, namely, $\prod_{p \in \partial c} B_p = 1$.

Now we consider the Gibbs state with tunable temperature in the bulk and the boundary, i.e. $\hat{\rho} \sim e^{-\beta_{\text{bulk}}(\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B)} e^{-\beta_{\partial} \hat{H}_{\partial}}$. The 3d boundary theory is coupled to the 4d Ising gauge theory in the bulk, and the aforementioned constraint of the boundary plaquettes no longer exists due to the fluctuating B_c stabilizers in the bulk. However, when the bulk is in the low-temperature deconfined phase ($T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$), one can still find an emergent constraint on the B_p stabilizers in the 3d bipartition boundary (similar to the discussion for 3d toric code in Appendix.C3) to derive a coarse-grained 3d Ising gauge theory that exhibits a deconfinement-confinement transition as tuning the boundary temperature T_{∂} . This implies that for $T_{\text{bulk}} < T_{\text{bulk},c}$, tuning the boundary temperature T_{∂} still drives a transition from long-range entangled phase to a short-range entangled phase whose universality is the same as the case with $T_{\text{bulk}} = 0$.