

Argon force field revisited: a molecular dynamic study

José Guillermo Méndez-Bermúdez¹, Iván Guillén-Escamilla¹, Gloria Arlette Méndez-Maldonado²,
and José Abundio Daniel Alva-Tamayo¹

¹Centro Universitario de los Valles, Universidad de Guadalajara, Carretera Guadalajara-Ameca Km. 45.5, Ameca, 46600, Jalisco, México

²Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingenierías, Universidad de Guadalajara, Blvd. Marcelino García Barragán No. 1412, Guadalajara, 44430, Jalisco, México

E-mail: jose.bermudez@academicos.udg.mx, ivan.guillen@academicos.udg.mx

Abstract. We report the improvement of five argon force fields by scaling Lennard-Jones energy (ϵ) and distance (σ) parameters to reproduce liquid-vapor phase diagram and surface tension simultaneously, with molecular dynamics. Original force fields reproduce only liquid-vapor phase diagram among other properties except surface tension. Results showed that all force fields converge in a nearby region in the ϵ - σ phase space, which is different from the original values. This study gives the intervals where the numerical values of ϵ and σ reproduce both properties mentioned above.

Keywords: argon, molecular dynamics, reparameterization, force field

Submitted to: *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*

Reparameterization is an empirical (fast and easy) method to obtain better properties of modeled compounds by scaling charges, Lennard-Jones (LJ) energy and distance parameters, and bond distance to reach the dielectric constant, surface tension, density or micelle radius [1, 2], and self-diffusion constant [3], respectively. There are many argon force fields that reproduce the liquid-vapor phase diagram, but the surface tension is not obtained with the same force field [4, 5, 8, 6, 7]. In Figure 1 we can appreciate different force fields; the force field named Go, developed by Goujon et al. [4], was obtained by considering an extra quadrupolar term to the LJ potential, this force field has been improved to obtain both the liquid-vapor phase diagram and surface tension, adding to last property the effect of three-body interactions. The force field developed by White (Wh) is based on a renormalization group theory [5], the phase diagram fits excellently with the experiment except for surface tension. Similar behaviors to the one mentioned above are the force fields developed by Barker et al. [6], Rowley et al. [7], and Rahman [8], labeled as Ba, Ro, and Ra, respectively.




Figure 1. a) Liquid-vapor phase diagram and b) surface tension as a function of temperature for different Lennard-Jones parameters. The experimental results in both graphs are shown as a solid line [10].

To avoid any finite size effects to calculate the surface tension, we analyze different interfacial areas and cut radius (r_{cut}) as illustrated in figure 2 a), where the surface tension values for an area of 4 nm x 4 nm are not stable, these values increase as




Figure 2. a) Surface tension in different interfacial area by varying cut radius, b) reparameterization of the argon parameters for different temperatures, 90K, 110K and, 130K. The surface tension experimental values are shown as the solid line [10].

the r_{cut} increases, for the area of 6nm x 6 nm they are stable from $r_{\text{cut}} = 2.8$ nm, and for 8 nm x 8 nm stability is reached from 2.6 nm. Then, molecular simulation was performed at $r_{\text{cut}} = 2.8$ nm with an interfacial area of 6 nm \times 6 nm and 30 nm in Z direction containing $N = 6750$ argon atoms. This analysis and the scaling process improved the value of the surface tension, and avoiding the addition of three-body interaction to the surface tension to reach its experimental value, as applied by Goujon et al. [4].

The molecular dynamics simulation was carried out using GROMACS software [9] with the Velocity-Verlet algorithm, an NVT ensemble was used to obtain the surface tension and density profile. A V-rescale thermostat was used ($\tau = 0.5$ ps) to keep the temperature constant. Furthermore, a time step of $\Delta t = 0.002$ ps and periodic boundary conditions were established. Zero charge and molecular weight of 39.948 was used. All simulations were run 5 ns of production after 5ns of equilibration. The surface tension was calculated from the mechanical definition,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}L_z \left[P_{zz} - \frac{1}{2}(P_{xx} + P_{yy}) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Table 1. Left table) Literature and right table) reparameterized ϵ and σ Lennard-Jones parameters for argon compound in kJ/mol and nm, respectively.

Ref.	ϵ	σ	Ref.	ϵ	σ
Ti=[4]	0.97107	0.33952	Ti=[4]	0.94191	0.33646
Wh=[5]	0.98275	0.34050	Wh=[5]	0.94639	0.33713
Ba=[6]	1.18144	0.33605	Ba=[6]	0.93570	0.33605
Ro=[7]	0.99607	0.34050	Ro=[7]	0.93631	0.33641
Ra=[8]	0.99774	0.34000	Ra=[8]	0.94071	0.33677

The temperature to perform reparameterizations was kept constant, after obtaining surface tension and density of the liquid; the temperature was varied to obtain the complete liquid-vapor phase diagram and the temperature dependence of the surface tension. To perform the reparameterization, a temperature value of 110K was chosen to ensure that the simulations data fit as best as possible over the entire temperature range. We reached that conclusion by taking the force field from Goujon et al. [4], then reparameterizing the force field at different temperatures, figure 2 shows the reparameterized data at 90 K, 110 K, and 130 K. The data obtained at a temperature of 110 K are closer to the experimental liquid density than other temperatures, so the other force fields were reparameterized at that temperature.

The reparameterization procedure was applied to obtain the surface tension and the liquid-vapor phase diagram at a fixed temperature. The temperature dependence of these properties is shown in Figure 3, it is observed that all force fields are close to the experimental surface tension as a function of temperature, the Wh force field is closer than the other force fields [5] for both properties, see insets in Figure 3, this is independent of how the original parameters were obtained and depends on the ability to adjust them to obtain the experimental properties. The phase diagram has a similar behavior for almost all force fields; the liquid density branches are well described, although the vapor line is not as good as the Wh force field, the surface tension and phase diagram are well reproduced by this model after reparameterization.

The Lennard-Jones parameters from literature and the reparameterized ones (obtained in this work) are summarized in Table 1. The new or reparameterized parameters are close to each others, but far from the original ones that only reproduce the liquid-vapor phase diagram, Figure 4, both force fields represent separate regions in the ϵ - σ phase space, there is an original force field (Ba) [6] which is not part of any region, this force field did not reproduce both properties, this phase space indicates that, if we want to reproduce the liquid-vapor phase diagram, we have to chose a value of ϵ from 0.97107 kJ/mol to 0.99774 kJ/mol and an σ value between 0.33605 nm to 0.34050 nm, if we also want to reproduce the surface tension then we have to chose a value of ϵ from 0.94191 kJ/mol to 0.94637 kJ/mol and a value of σ from 0.33605 nm to 0.33713 nm of σ .

The empirical process used in this work could be improved by obtaining the LJ parameters by a renormalization group theory, as in the Wh model, which was obtained [5, 11] by applying it not only to liquid-vapor phase diagram prediction, but also to the surface tension. The original methodology considers the contribution of the repulsive potential only for high temperatures and for low temperatures the part of the attractive potential, considering a renormalization procedure to the Helmholtz free energy, this expression and a correct choice of parameters (ϵ , σ) provides a complete




Figure 3. Reparametrized a) liquid-vapor phase diagram and b) surface tension as a function of temperature for different Lennard-Jones parameters. The experimental results in both graphs are shown as solid line [10].




Figure 4. ϵ - σ LJ parameters phase diagram.

prediction of the liquid-vapor phase diagram.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the computer facilities from Laboratorio Nacional de Supercómputo del Suroeste de México (LNS) project 201801014N1R and 201901004N. This work was partially supported by grant CB-2016-01 286238 CONACyT, México.

References

- [1] Salas FJ, Méndez-Maldonado GA, Núñez-Rojas E, Aguilar-Pineda GE, Domínguez H and AlejandreJ 2015 *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **11** 683.
- [2] Ríos-López M, Méndez-Bermúdez JG and Domínguez H 2018 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **122** 4558.
- [3] Alva-Tamayo JAD, Guillén-Escamilla I, Méndez-Maldonado GA and Méndez-Bermúdez JG 2021 Improving 1-propanol Force Field: a New Methodology (10.21203/rs.3.rs-947062/v1)
- [4] Goujon F, Malfreyt P and Tildesley DJ 2014 *J. Chem. Phys.* **140** 244710.
- [5] White JA 1999 *J. Chem. Phys.* **111** 9352.
- [6] Barker JA, Fisher RA and Watts RO 1971 *Mol. Phys.* **21** 657.
- [7] Rowley LA, Nicholson D and Parsonage NG 1975 *J. Comp. Phys.* **17** 401.
- [8] Rahman A 1964 *Phys. Rev.* **136** A405.
- [9] Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B and Lindahl E 2015 *Software X* **1-2** 19.
- [10] Lemmon EW, McLinden MO, and Friend DG “Thermophysical properties of fluid systems,” in NIST Chemistry Webbook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, edited by P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 2005).
- [11] White JA 1993 *J. Chem. Phys.* **99** 2012.