The issue of Branched Hamiltonian in F(T) Teleparallel Gravity

Manas Chakrabortty ^{*}, Kaushik Sarkar [†] and Abhik Kumar Sanyal[‡]

*,[†] Dept. of Physics, Bankura University, Bankura, India - 722155, [‡] Dept. of Physics, Jangipur College, Murshidabad, India - 742213

January 24, 2022

Abstract

As in the case of Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, the main advantage of F(T) gravity is said to be that it leads to second order field equations, while F(R) gravity theory leads to fourth order equations. We show that it is rather a disadvantage, since it leads to the unresolved issue of 'Branched Hamiltonian'. The problem is bypassed in F(R, T) gravity theory.

Keywords: f(T) gravity, Branched Hamiltonian.

1 Introduction

In the recent years, in analogy to the F(R) theory of gravity, yet another extended theory of gravity, dubbed as 'Teleparallel gravity' has drawn lot of attention. It is a generalized version of the so-called 'Teleparallel gravity' originally proposed by Einstein [1]. Einstein's attempt was to unify gravity and electromagnetism going beyond the Riemannian metric. He characterized the concept of 'direction', 'equality of directions' or the so-called 'parallelism' for finite distances introducing a vierbein field along with the concept of absolute parallelism or Teleparallelism. In Teleparallel gravity, the curvature-less Weitzenböck connection [2] is considered, rather than the torsion-less Levi-Civita connection, which is used in General Relativity. Although, F(T) Teleparallel theory of gravity was revived to drive inflation [3], later, it was proposed to drive the current accelerated expansion of our universe without considering dark energy [4, 5, 6, 7]. A comprehensive review of F(T) teleparallel theory of gravity is available in the literature [8].

To consider Teleparallelism, the orthonormal tetrad components $e_C(x^{\alpha})$ [9, 10], where an index C runs over 0, 1, 2, 3 are employed to the tangent space at each point x^{α} of the manifold. Their relation to the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{CD} e^C_{\alpha} e^D_{\beta},\tag{1}$$

(2)

where α and β are coordinate indices on the manifold and also run over 0, 1, 2, 3, and e_{α}^{C} forms the tangent vector on the tangent space over which the metric η_{CD} is defined. The non-null torsion $T_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}$ and contorsion $K_{\rho}^{\alpha\beta}$ of Weitzenbock connection in Teleparallelism [2] are defined by

$$T^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv e^{\rho}_{C} [\partial_{\alpha} e^{C}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} e^{C}_{\alpha}]$$

^{*}E-mail:manas.chakrabortty001@gmail.com

[†]E-mail: sarkarkaushik.rng@gmail.com

[‡]E-mail: sanyal_ak@yahoo.com

$$K^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} [T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\rho} - T^{\beta\alpha}{}_{\rho} - T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\rho}], \tag{3}$$

respectively. Moreover, instead of the Ricci scalar R for the Lagrangian density in General Relativity, the Teleparallel Lagrangian density is presented by the torsion scalar T as follows

$$T \equiv S_{\rho}^{\ \alpha\beta} T^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta},\tag{4}$$

where,

$$S_{\rho}^{\ \alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [K^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\rho} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\rho} T^{\theta\beta}{}_{\theta} - \delta^{\beta}_{\rho} T^{\theta\alpha}{}_{\theta}].$$
⁽⁵⁾

The modified Teleparallel action of F(T) gravity is given by

$$\mathbb{A} = \int d^4x \mid e \mid F(T) + S_m,\tag{6}$$

where $|e| = \det e_{\alpha}^{C} = \sqrt{-g}$, S_m is the matter action, and the units has been chosen so that $c = 16\pi G = 1$. Now, since canonical formulation of F(T) theory following Lagrange multiplier technique may be performed with finite degrees of freedom only, therefore we restrict ourselves to the spatially flat Robertson-Walker (R-W) universe whose space-time is described by,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)dX^2, (7)$$

where a(t) is the scale factor. One can therefore treat $T + 6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = 0$ as a constraint and introduce it in the action (6) through a Lagrange multiplier (λ) as,

$$\mathbb{A} = 2\pi^2 \int \left[F(T) - \lambda \left\{ T + 6\left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right) \right\} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{,\mu}\phi^{,\mu} - V(\phi) \right] a^3 dt, \tag{8}$$

where, $2\pi^2$ is an outcome of integration over the 3-space. As already mentioned, F(T) gravity has been introduced to drive late-stage of cosmic acceleration without the need for dark energy. A scalar field (ϕ) has therefore been introduced in the above action (8) to drive inflation at the very early stage of cosmic evolution [11]. Now varying the action with respect to T one gets $\lambda = F'(T)$, where F'(T) is the derivative of F(T) with respect to T. Substituting it in the action one obtains,

$$\mathbb{A} = 2\pi^2 \int \left[F(T) - F'(T) \left\{ T + 6 \left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right] a^3 dt.$$
(9)

Thus, the action finally may be expressed in R-W metric (7) as

$$\mathbb{A}(a,\dot{a},T,\dot{T}) = \int \left[-6a\dot{a}^2 F' + a^3 (F - F'T) + a^3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \right) \right] dt, \tag{10}$$

where we have absorbed the constant $2\pi^2$ in the action. Clearly, unlike F(R) gravity, the action is not canonical, since the Hessian determinant of the above action, being devoid of \dot{T} term, vanishes and hence the action is singular. It is therefore required to perform Dirac's constraint analysis to find the canonical Hamiltonian. General Hamiltonian formulation of f(T) gravity has already been performed by several authors [12, 13, 14, 15]. It has been found that due to the violation of Lorentz invariance, three extra degrees of freedom appears in 4-dimensions [12, 13, 14]. However, the issue is debatable [15]. Nonetheless, around flat R-W space-time, which is our present concern, f(T) does not seem to propagate any additional degrees of freedom [15].

In the following section, we perform Dirac's constraint analysis, to compute canonical Hamiltonian for the F(T) theory in the background of spatially flat R-W metric (7) under consideration. The Hamiltonian so obtained is found to be impossible to handle, due to the presence of quadratic momentum in the denominator. Next, in section

3, we consider a particular form of F(T), express the action as $\mathbb{A}(a, \dot{a})$. The action thus becomes non-singular. It is important to mention that, the field equations of F(T) gravity are second order, as in the case of Lanczos-Lovelock gravity. This is treated as the main advantage over F(R) gravity, in which field equations are of fourth order or even higher. We show that, it is essentially a disadvantage, since it leads to the pathology of branched Hamiltonian, which has no unique resolution till date. The pathology is finally bypassed by adding a curvature squared term (R^2) in the action. In section 4, we summarize our findings.

2 Constraint analysis and the Hamiltonian:

As mentioned, the Hessian determinant for the action (10) vanishes, since \dot{T} is not invertible. Note that the situation is different for F(R) theory, since under integration by parts, \dot{R} appears in the action, which is invertible. So in this section we sketchily analyse the constraint following Dirac's algorithm. The generic momenta with respect to variables a, ϕ and T are:

$$p_a = -12a\dot{a}F', \quad p_\phi = a^3\dot{\phi}, \quad p_T = 0.$$
 (11)

Clearly the constraint,

$$\xi = p_T \approx 0,\tag{12}$$

vanishes weakly, since $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial p_T} \neq 0$. So, the constrained Hamiltonian reads as,

$$H_c(a, T, \phi, p_a, p_T, p_\phi) = -\frac{p_a^2}{24aF'} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2a^3} - a^3(F - F'T) + V(\phi)a^3.$$
(13)

The primary Hamiltonian may therefore be expressed in the following form,

$$H_{p1} = H_c + \lambda p_T = -\frac{p_a^2}{24aF'} + \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2a^3} - a^3(F - F'T) + V(\phi)a^3 + \lambda p_T.$$
(14)

where, λ is a Lagrange multiplier, and the Poisson brackets, $\{a, p_a\} = \{\lambda, p_T\} = 1$ hold. Since, $\{\xi, H_c\}$ does not vanish even weakly, so ξ is a second class primary constraint. As the theory is devoid of first class primary constraint, so there must not exist any undetermined Lagrange multiplier of the theory. Now the constraint must be preserved in time, i.e.,

$$\dot{\xi} = \{\xi, H_{p1}\} = -F'' \left(\frac{p_a^2}{24aF'^2} + a^3T\right) \approx 0.$$
(15)

Thus $(:: F'' \neq 0)$,

$$\chi = \frac{p_a^2}{24aF'^2} + Ta^3 \approx 0,$$
(16)

is again a second class constraint, since

$$\{\xi,\chi\} = \frac{p_a^2 F''}{12aF'^3} - a^3 \neq 0,\tag{17}$$

although, it is not a new one. In fact, plugging in p_a^2 from (11), one can retrieve $T + 6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = 0$. Next, since $\{\xi, \chi\} \neq 0$, so the constraint is second class as mentioned, and one has to make the consistency check by finding,

$$\dot{\chi} = \{\chi, H\} = \left(-\frac{p_a^2}{24a^2F'^2} + 3a^2T\right) \left(-\frac{p_a}{12aF'}\right) - \frac{p_a}{12aF'^2} \left(\frac{p_a^2}{24a^2F'} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{p_{\phi}^2}{a^4} - 3a^2(F - F'T) + 3Va^2\right) + \lambda \left[-\frac{p_a^2F''}{12aF'^3} + a^3\right],$$
(18)

which determines the lagrange multiplier as,

$$\lambda = \frac{3a^2 F' p_a}{p_a^2 F'' - 12a^4 F'^3} \left[F - 2F'T + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2a^6} - V(\phi) \right].$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

This results in the following form of the canonical Hamiltonian,

$$H = -\frac{p_a^2}{24aF'} - a^3(F - F'T) + \frac{3a^2F'p_ap_T}{p_a^2F'' - 12a^4F'^3} \left[F - 2F'T + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2a^6} - V(\phi)\right].$$
(20)

Clearly the Hamiltonian is extremely difficult to handle, if not impossible, particularly, since it contains momentum in the denominator. Note that in the absence of the scalar field, the Hamiltonian takes a simple form provided 2F'T = F. But then, it simply means $F \propto \sqrt{T} = i\sqrt{6\frac{\dot{a}}{a}}$, which is meaningless. Let us therefore consider a particular case.

3
$$F(T) = \beta T + \gamma T^2$$
:

It is noteworthy that once a form of F(T) is chosen, and the form of $T = -6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}$ is substituted, the Lagrangian is $L = L(a, \dot{a}.\phi, \dot{\phi})$ becomes non-singular (the Hessian determinant is non-vanishing), and thus constraint analysis is no longer required. Regarding the above form of F(T) we recall that the form $F(T) = (T^2 + 6\beta T - 3\beta^2))$ [7] and $F(T) = T + T^2 - c$ [16] are outcome of reconstruction program, which is the simplest generalization of F(T)gravity. Further, the form $F(T) = \alpha T + \beta T^2$ has already been treated by several authors [11, 17, 18], particularly to study early inflation and late stage of cosmic acceleration. In this section, we therefore proceed to construct the Hamiltonian in view of this form of F(T). The point Lagrangian for the above form of F(T) reduces to:

$$L = -6\beta a\dot{a}^{2} + 36\gamma \frac{\dot{a}^{4}}{a} + a^{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} - V(\phi)\right).$$
⁽²¹⁾

The momentum canonically conjugate to the scale factor is

$$p_a = -12\beta a\dot{a} + 144\gamma \frac{\dot{a}^3}{a}.$$
(22)

The presence of cubic kinetic term in the momentum makes the theory intrinsically nonlinear, as in the case of Lanczos-Lovelock gravity theory. Hence the standard Hamiltonian formulation of such an action following the conventional Legendre transformation remains obscure. This pathology arises due to the fact that the Lagrangian is quartic in velocity, and therefore the expressions for velocity is multi-valued functions of momentum, resulting in the so-called multiply branched Hamiltonian (Energy) with cusps. This makes the classical solution unpredictable, as at any instant of time one can jump from one branch of the Hamiltonian (Energy) to the other, because the equation of motion allows for such jumps. Despite serious attempts [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24], there is no unique resolution to this issue of branched Hamiltonian. For example, in view of a toy model, it was shown that, in the path integral formalism one can associate a perfectly smooth quantum theory possessing a clear operator interpretation and a deterministic classical limit [19]. Nevertheless, it cannot be extended in the case of a realistic model. Further, it puts up question on the standard classical variational principle and on the canonical quantization scheme. Some authors tried to handle the issue, tinkering with some fundamental aspects, e.g., loosing Heaviside function to obtain manifestly hermitian convolution [20], sacrificing the Darboux coordinate to parametrize the phase space [21] and ignoring the usual Heisenberg commutation relations [22]. On the contrary, to obtain a single-valued Hamiltonian, Legendre–Fenchel transformation method was applied by the some authors [23], while a modified version of Dirac's constrained analysis following generalized Legendre transformation was employed by some others [24]. It is noteworthy that although both [23] and [24] considered the same toy model, they happened to find two completely different Hamiltonians, which are not related under any sort of transformation [25]. Under such circumstances, it was shown that the problem although cannot be alleviated, but may be bypassed by adding a higher-order term in the action [25, 26, 27]. In line with such earlier attempts [25, 26, 27], we therefore modify the F(T) gravity by F(R,T) gravity and express the action as,

$$A = \int \left[\alpha R^2 + \beta T + \gamma T^2\right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4 x + \Sigma_{R^2},\tag{23}$$

where, the supplementary boundary term $\Sigma_{R^2} = 4\alpha \int RK\sqrt{h}d^3x$, K being the trace of the extrinsic curvature tensor K_{ij} , and h is the determinant of the three metric h_{ij} . Note that, no longer we are required to associate a scalar field in the action, since R^2 drives inflation in the very early universe, while T^2 drives late-stage of cosmic acceleration. In the modified Horowitz' formalism [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], the action in the first place, is expressed in terms of the basic variable $h_{ij} = a^2 \delta_{ij} = z \delta_{ij}$, so that,

$$A = \int \left[36\alpha \frac{\dot{z}^2}{\sqrt{z}} - 6\beta \frac{\dot{z}^2}{\sqrt{z}} + 9\gamma \frac{\dot{z}^4}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right] dt + \Sigma_{R^2}.$$
 (24)

We now introduce the auxiliary variable

$$q = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \ddot{z}} = 72\alpha \frac{\ddot{z}}{\sqrt{z}},\tag{25}$$

and judiciously express the above action as,

$$A = \int \left[q\ddot{z} - \frac{\sqrt{z}q^2}{144\alpha} - 6\beta \frac{\dot{z}^2}{\sqrt{z}} + 9\gamma \frac{\dot{z}^4}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right] dt + \Sigma_{R^2}.$$
(26)

Upon integration by parts, the total derivative term gets cancelled with the supplementary boundary term Σ_{R^2} , and we are left with

$$A = \int \left[-\dot{q}\dot{z} - \frac{\sqrt{z}q^2}{144\alpha} - 6\beta \frac{\dot{z}^2}{\sqrt{z}} + 9\gamma \frac{\dot{z}^4}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right] dt.$$
(27)

One can easily check that the definition of the auxiliary variable is recovered, from the q variation equation. The canonical momenta are

$$p_q = -\dot{z}; \quad p_z = -\dot{q} - \frac{12\beta\dot{z}}{\sqrt{z}} + \frac{36\gamma\dot{z}^3}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}}, \tag{28}$$

and the Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H = -p_q p_z + 6\beta \frac{p_q^2}{\sqrt{z}} - 9\gamma \frac{p_q^4}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{\sqrt{z}q^2}{144\alpha}.$$
(29)

Now to translate Hamiltonian in terms of basic variables $\{h_{ij}, K_{ij}\}$, let us make the transformation, viz. $q \to p_x$ and $p_q \to -x$, where, $x = \dot{z}$ (Note that $K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{h}_{ij} = -\frac{\dot{z}}{2}\delta_{ij} = -\frac{x}{2}\delta_{ij}$). Note that the transformation is canonical since the Poisson bracket, $\{x, p_x\} = 1$ and else vanish. Thus the Hamiltonian reads as,

$$H = xp_z + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{144\alpha} p_x^2 + 6\beta \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{z}} - 9\gamma \frac{x^4}{z^{\frac{5}{2}}},\tag{30}$$

which is constrained to vanish, due to diffeomorphic invariance. Note that the Hamiltonian (20) does not reduce to (30) under the above choice of F(T), and also are not canonically equivalent. This indicates that Hamiltonian must be expressed in terms of the basic variables (h_{ij}, K_{ij}) , as repeatedly pointed out while dealing with F(R) gravity theories. Canonical quantization of the above Hamiltonian leads to,

$$\frac{i\hbar}{\sqrt{z}}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial z} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{144\alpha x} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{n}{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\Psi + 3x \left[\frac{2\beta}{z} - \frac{3\gamma x^2}{z^3}\right]\Psi,\tag{31}$$

where, the index n removes some but not all the operator ordering ambiguities. Under a further change of variable, $(z^{\frac{3}{2}} = \sigma)$, the above modified Wheeler-DeWitt equation takes the following form,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\sigma} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{216\alpha x} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{n}{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\Psi + 2x \left[\frac{2\beta}{\sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}} - \frac{3\gamma x^2}{\sigma^2}\right]\Psi = \hat{H}\Psi,\tag{32}$$

where the proper volume $(\sigma = z^{\frac{3}{2}} = a^3)$ acts as the internal time parameter. The above Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} is hermitian under the choice n = -1, and standard quantum mechanical probabilistic interpretation holds. The effective potential $V_e = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(2\beta\sigma^{\frac{4}{3}}x - 3\gamma x^3 \right)$ may be extremized with respect to x, to obtain

$$a = a_0 e^{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{18\gamma}}t},\tag{33}$$

ensuring de-Sitter expansion.

4 Conclusion:

The action for F(T) teleparallel gravity is singular, and so it is required to analyse the constraint to cast the canonical Hamiltonian. This we have performed in the background of isotropic and homogeneous Robertson-Walker space-time. The canonical Hamiltonian so found contains momenta in the denominator, and therefore it is impossible to handle. We have thereafter taken a particular form of $F(T) = \alpha T + \beta T^2$ and exhibited the fact that the theory is plagued with the pathology of branched Hamiltonian. There is no unique resolution to the problem, despite attempts over decades. In this sense, the so-called main advantage of F(T) gravity over F(R) gravity theory, that the field equations are second order instead of fourth or higher, turned out to be a disadvantage in particular. However, we have earlier encountered the problem in the context of Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, and bypassed the issue taking into account a curvature squared term in the action. The problem here has been resolved in the same manner. The action is quite reasonable, since R^2 term drives inflation in the early universe while T^2 drives late-stage of accelerated cosmic evolution. The Hamiltonian so found is hermitian and the standard quantum mechanical probabilistic interpretation holds. Further, as a byproduct, we have found de-Sitter expansion upon extremizing the effective potential. F(R, T) gravity may therefore be treated as a more fundamental action than F(T) theory of gravity.

References

- A. Unzicker and T. Case, Translation of Einstein's Attempt of a Unified Field Theory with Teleparallelism, arXiv:physics/0503046.
- [2] G. R. Bengochea, Observational information for f(T) theories and Dark Torsion, Phys. Lett. B 695, 405 (2011) [1008.3188],
- [3] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Modified teleparallel gravity: Inflation without an inflaton, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 084031, gr-qc/0610067.
- [4] G.R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, Dark torsion as the cosmic speed-up, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 124019, [0812.1205].
- [5] E.V. Linder, Einstein's Other Gravity and the Acceleration of the Universe, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 127301, [1005.3039].

- [6] J.B. Dent, S. Dutta, E.N. Saridakis, f(T) gravity mimicking dynamical dark energy. Background and perturbation analysis, JCAP 1101, 009 (2011) [1010.2215].
- [7] R. Myrzakulov, Accelerating universe from F(T) gravity. Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1752 (2011), [1006.1120].
- [8] Y.F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M.De Laurentis and E.N. Saridakis, f(T) teleparallel gravity and cosmology, Rept. Prog. Phys. 79, 106901 (2016), [1511.07586].
- [9] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Non trivial frames for f(T) theories of gravity and beyond, Phys. Lett. B 702, 75 (2011), [1103.0824].
- [10] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Cosmological frames for theories with absolute parallelism, IJMP (Conference Series), 3 (2011) 227, [1106.6349].
- [11] M. Chakrabortty, N. Sk, S. Sanyal and A.K. Sanyal, Inflation with F(T) teleparallel gravity, Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2021) 136:1213, [2112.09609].
- [12] M. Lia, R-X Miao and Y-G Miao, Degrees of freedom of f(T) gravity, JHEP, 07:108, (2011), [1105.5934v4].
- [13] Rafael Ferraro1 and M.J. Guzman, Hamiltonian formalism for f(T) gravity, Phys. Rev., D 97 (10):104028, 2018, [1802.02130v3].
- [14] M. Blagojević and J.M. Nester, Local symmetries and physical degrees of freedom in f(T) gravity: A Dirac Hamiltonian constraint analysis, Phys. Rev. D, 102(6):064025, 2020, [2006.15303v3].
- [15] D. Blixt, M.J. Guzman, M. Hohmann and C. Pfeifer, Review of the Hamiltonian analysis in teleparallel gravity, IJGMMP (2020), [2012.09180].
- [16] H. F. Abadji, M. G. Ganiou, M. J. S. Houndjo and J. Tossa, Inflationary scenario driven by type IV singularity in f(T) gravity, [1905.00718].
- [17] G.G.L. Nashed, W.El Hanafy and Sh.Kh. Ibrahim, Graceful Exit Inflation in f(T) Gravity [1411.3293v2].
- [18] A. Awad, W. El Hanafy, G.G.L. Nashed S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, Constant-roll Inflation in f(T) Teleparallel Gravity, JCAP 1807 (2018) no. 07, 026, [1710.00682v3].
- [19] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, Quantum mechanics for multivalued Hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. A 36, 4417 (1987).
- [20] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, Branched Quantization, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 200402 (2012), [1207.2677].
- [21] L. Zhao, P. Yu and W. Xu, Hamiltonian description of singular Lagrangian systems with spontaneously broken time translation symmetry, Mod. Phys. Lett. A28, 1350002 (2013), [1206.2983v2].
- [22] X.O. Camanho, J.D. Edelstein, G. Giribet and A. Gomberoff, Generalized phase transitions in Lovelock gravity, Phys. Rev. D90, 064028 (2014), [1311.6768].
- [23] H.-H. Chi and H.-J. He, Single-valued Hamiltonian via Legendre–Fenchel transformation and time translation symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 885, 448 (2014), [1310.3769].
- [24] E. Avraham and R. Brustein, Canonical structure of higher derivative theories, Phys. Rev. D90, 024003 (2014), [1401.4921].
- [25] S. Ruz, R. Mandal, S. Debnath and A.K. Sanyal, Resolving the issue of branched Hamiltonian in modified Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, Gen Relativ Gravit (2016) 48:86, [1409.7197].
- [26] S. Debnath, S. Ruz, R. Mandal, and A.K. Sanyal, History of cosmic evolution with modified Gauss-Bonnetdilatonic coupled term, Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77:318, [1608.04669].
- [27] K. Sarkar, N. Sk, R. Mandal and Abhik Kumar Sanyal, Canonical formulation of Pais–Uhlenbeck action and resolving the issue of branched Hamiltonian, IJGMM P, 14, (2017) 1750038, [1507.03444].
- [28] A.K. Sanyal and B. Modak, Quantum cosmology with a curvature squared action, Phys. Rev. D 63, 064021 (2001), gr-qc/0107001.

- [29] A.K. Sanyal and B. Modak, Quantum cosmology with $R + R^2$ gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 515 (2002), gr-qc/0107070.
- [30] A.K. Sanyal, Quantum Mechanical Formulation Of Quantum Cosmology For Brane-World Effective Action, gr-qc/0305042.
- [31] A.K. Sanyal, Hamiltonian formulation of curvature squared action, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 37, 1957 (2005), hep-th/0407141.
- [32] A.K. Sanyal, S. Debnath and S. Ruz, Canonical formulation of the curvature-squared action in the presence of a lapse function, Class. Quantum Grav. 29, 215007 (2012), [1108.5869].
- [33] S. Debnath and S. Ruz and A.K. Sanyal, Canonical formulation of scalar curvature squared action in higher dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 90, 047504 (2014), [1408.1765].
- [34] A.K. Sanyal, Degenerate Hamiltonian operator in higher-order canonical gravity—The problem and a remedy, Annals of Physics 411, 167971 (2019), [1807.02769].
- [35] A.K. Sanyal and C. Sarkar, The role of cosmological constant in f(R,G) gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 37, 055010 (2020), [1908.05680].
- [36] R. Mandal, D. Saha, M. Alam and A.K. Sanyal, Probing early universe with a generalized action, Annals of Physics 422, 168317 (2020), [2004.04332].
- [37] R. Mandal, D. Saha, M. Alam and A.K. Sanyal, Early Universe in view of a modified theory of gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 38 025001 (2021), [2101.02851].
- [38] S. Debnath and A.K. Sanyal, Canonical equivalence, quantization and anisotropic inflation in higher order theory of gravity, Class. Quantum Grav. 38, 125010 (2021), [2105.09811].