

Atomic mass, Bjorken variable and scale dependence of quark transport coefficient in Drell-Yan process for proton incident on nucleus

Wei-Jie Xu,^{1,*} Tian-Xing Bai,^{1,†} and Chun-Gui Duan^{1,‡}

¹*College of Physics and Hebei Advanced Thin Film Laboratory,
Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, P.R.China*

Abstract

By means of the nuclear parton distributions determined without the fixed-target Drell-Yan experimental data and the analytic expression of quenching weight based on BDMPs formalism, a next-to-leading order analyses are performed on the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratios from Fermilab E906 and E866 Collaborations. It is found that the calculated results with only the nuclear effects of parton distribution are not in agreement with the E866 and E906 experimental data. The incoming parton energy loss effect can not be ignored in the nuclear Drell-Yan reactions. The predicted results indicate that with the quark transport coefficient as a constant, the suppression due to the target nuclear geometry effect is approximately 19.24% for the quark transport coefficient. It is shown that we should consider the target nuclear geometry effect in studying the Drell-Yan reaction on nuclear targets. On the basis of Bjorken variable and scale dependence of the quark transport coefficient, the atomic mass dependence is incorporated. The quark transport coefficient is determined as a function of the atomic mass, Bjorken variable x_2 and scale Q^2 by the global fit of the experimental data. The determined constant factor \hat{q}_0 of the quark transport coefficient is 0.061 ± 0.004 GeV²/fm. It is found that the atomic mass dependence has a remarkable impact on the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient in cold nuclear matter.

Keywords: quark transport coefficient, Drell-Yan, Energy loss

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t; 13.85.Qk; 24.85.+p; 25.40.-h

*E-mail:wjxu@hebtu.edu.cn

†E-mail:txbai@hebtu.edu.cn

‡E-mail:duancg@hebtu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

The insight into quark-gluon plasma(QGP) properties has been one of the most active frontiers in nuclear physics and particle physics up to now. Jet quenching can provide a unique window into the nature of the QGP produced in heavy-ion collisions[1,2]. In order to realize jet quenching, it is necessary to make certain of the energy loss mechanism theoretically because the initial scattering partons with high transverse momentum propagate through the QGP while losing its energy. However, the energy loss mechanism is still not clearly known[3-9].

As for the Drell-Yan reaction[10] of hadron on nucleus and the semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering of lepton on nucleus, the bound nucleons in target nucleus play the role of very nearby detectors for the traversing partons. The hard scattering is localized in space. The properties of target nuclei are well known. In addition, the semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering on nuclear targets is an ideal tool to study the energy loss of the outgoing parton in the cold nuclear medium[11-15]. The hadron-induced Drell-Yan reaction on nuclear targets is an excellent process to investigate the incoming parton energy loss in cold nuclear matter[16-23]. Therefore, the Drell-Yan reaction of hadron on nucleus and the semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering of lepton on nucleus can provide the essential information on the energy loss mechanism of fast partons in cold nuclear matter which help to explore the similar process appearing in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

According to the parton model interpretation, the Drell-Yan process in hadron-nucleus collisions is closely related to the parton distribution functions in nuclei. It is well known that nuclear parton distribution functions are mutually different from those in free nucleons[24]. The nuclear modifications to the nucleon parton distribution functions are usually referred to as the nuclear effects on the parton distribution functions. In consideration of the strong necessity of precise nuclear parton distributions, the global analysis of nuclear parton distribution functions has been employed for over twenty years[25]. Several groups have presented their global analysis of the nuclear parton distribution functions. They are Eskola et al.(EKS98[26], EKPS[27], EPS09[28] and EPPS16[29]), Hirai et al.(HKM[30], HKN04[31], and HKN07[32]), de Florian et al.(nDS[33] and DSZS[34]), I. Schienbein et al.[35], K. Kovarik et al.(nCTEQ15[36]), Marina Walt et al.(TUJU19[37]) and Rabah Abdul Khalek et al.(nNNPDF1.0[38] and nNNPDF2.0[39]). It is noticeable that HKM, TUJU19, nNNPDF1.0 and nNNPDF2.0 proposed the nuclear parton distributions that did not employ the existing experimental data on the fixed-target Drell-Yan process.

As for hadron-nucleus Drell-Yan process, the energy loss of incoming partons is another nuclear effect apart from the nuclear effects on the parton distributions as in deep inelastic scattering.

In order to investigate the energy loss of a high energy parton in the cold nuclear matter, Baier et al.[40,41] (BDMPS hereafter) treated the multiple scattering of the high energy quark in the nucleus by the Glauber approximation. The medium-induced transverse momentum broadening and induced gluon radiation spectrum of a high energy quark traversing a nucleus were studied. BDMPS showed the radiative energy loss of the parton per unit length, grows as the length of the nuclear matter, as does the transverse momentum broadening. In the BDMPS formalism, the quark transport coefficient not only determines the energy loss, but it is also related to transverse momentum broadening of energetic partons propagating in the medium. The quark transport coefficient is defined as

$$\hat{q} = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_s(Q_G^2)C_F}{N_c^2 - 1}\rho x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2), \quad (1)$$

where C_F is the quark colour factor, the number of colors $N_c = 3$, ρ is the nuclear matter density. The strong coupling constant α_s and the gluon distribution function $G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ depend on the virtuality Q_G^2 . The relative calculation indicates that the Bjorken variable $x_G \ll 1$. The virtuality Q_G^2 is equal to transverse momentum broadening of energetic partons. The experimental data from CERN experiment NA10[42] and Fermilab experiment E772[43] show that the virtuality Q_G^2 is less than 1 GeV^2 . However, it is important to keep in mind that the Bjorken variable x_G estimated in theory is an unmeasurable kinematic variable in experiment. The fact limits the predictive power of BDMPS theory.

In order to improve the predictive power of theory, we explored the relation between quark transport coefficient and the measurable kinematic variable in deep inelastic scattering[15] or nuclear Drell-Yan reaction[22]. By means of the semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering of lepton on nucleus, we used the analytic parameterization of quenching weight based on BDMPS formalism[44] with the target nuclear geometry effect. The hadron multiplicity ratios were calculated with comparison to the HERMES charged pions production data[45] on the quarks hadronization occurring outside the nucleus. The relation is discovered between quark transport coefficient and the measurable kinematic variables in deep inelastic scattering. The quark transport coefficient is determined as a function of the Bjorken variable and the photon virtuality[15]. By means of the proton-nucleus Drell-Yan process, we used the HKM nuclear parton distributions[30] determined only with lepton-nuclear deep inelastic scattering experimental data and the analytic parameterization of quenching weight based on BDMPS formalism. The nuclear Drell-Yan differential cross section ratios were computed as a function of Feynman variable from Fermilab E906[46] and E866[47] experimental data. The relation is discovered between quark transport coefficient and the measurable kinematic

variables in Drell-Yan process. The quark transport coefficient is determined as a function of the invariant mass of the lepton pair and the momentum fraction of the partons in target nucleus[22]. In the two published papers[15,22], our theoretical calculations were performed at leading order QCD approximation. The atomic mass dependence is not involved of quark transport coefficient.

In fact, a high energy parton encounters the various nucleons in the target nucleus when it traverses cold nuclear matter. In the definition of quark transport coefficient, the gluon distribution function $G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ should be that of the bound nucleon in the target nucleus. In other word, the gluon distribution function $G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ depends on the atomic mass number. In the present article, the next-to-leading order analysis are performed of the differential cross section ratios in the nuclear Drell-Yan process from Fermilab E906[46] and E866[47] experimental data. The nuclear effects on the parton distribution functions, energy loss effect based on BDMPS formalism and the target nuclear geometry effect are discussed respectively. The atomic mass, Bjorken variable and scale dependence of quark transport coefficient is explored for the first time. It is hoped to gain new knowledge about the quark transport coefficient in cold nuclear medium.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the briefly formalism for the differential cross section in the nuclear Drell-Yan process is presented. In Section III, the results and discussion obtained are presented. Finally, a summary is presented.

II. BRIEF FORMALISM FOR DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION IN NUCLEAR DRELL-YAN REACTION

As for proton-nucleus Drell-Yan process[10], the perturbative QCD leading order contribution is quark-antiquark annihilation into a lepton pair of mass M . In the collinear factorization approach, the leading order(LO) Drell-Yan cross section in Feynman variable x_F and M is given by

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{\text{LO}}}{dM dx_F} = \frac{8\pi\alpha_{\text{em}}^2}{9Ms} \frac{1}{x_1 + x_2} H^{\text{LO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2), \quad (2)$$

with

$$H^{\text{LO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2) = \sum_f e_f^2 [q_f^{\text{P}}(x_1, Q^2) \bar{q}_f^{\text{A}}(x_2, Q^2) + \bar{q}_f^{\text{P}}(x_1, Q^2) q_f^{\text{A}}(x_2, Q^2)], \quad (3)$$

where α_{em} is the fine structure coupling constant, \sqrt{s} is the center of mass energy of the hadronic collision, x_1 (respectively x_2) is the momentum fraction carried by the projectile (respectively target) parton, the factorization scale $Q^2 = M^2$, the sum is carried out over the light flavor $f = \text{u, d, s}$, $q_f^{\text{P(A)}}(x, Q^2)$ and $\bar{q}_f^{\text{P(A)}}(x, Q^2)$ are the quark and antiquark distributions in the proton (nucleon in the nucleus A).

In the perturbative QCD next-to-leading order(NLO) approximation, additional emission of a parton (quark or gluon) into the final state has to be taken into account. The next-to-leading order contribution includes quark-antiquark annihilation processes ($q + \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^* + g$) and gluon Compton scattering ($q + g \rightarrow \gamma^* + q$). The NLO correction to the Drell-Yan cross section is given by

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{dMdx_{\text{F}}} = \frac{8\pi\alpha_{\text{em}}^2\alpha_s(M^2)}{9Ms} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dz \frac{1}{x_1 + x_2} H^{\text{NLO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2, z), \quad (4)$$

with

$$H^{\text{NLO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2, z) = \sum_f e_f^2 \{q_f^{\text{P}}(x_1, Q^2) \bar{q}_f^{\text{A}}(x_2, Q^2) f_q(z) + g^{\text{P}}(x_1, Q^2) [q_f^{\text{A}}(x_2, Q^2) + \bar{q}_f^{\text{A}}(x_2, Q^2)] f_g(z) + (x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2)\}, \quad (5)$$

where $g^{\text{P(A)}}(x, Q^2)$ are the gluon distributions in the proton (nucleon in the nucleus A). By combining the dimensionless variable $\tau = zx_1x_2$ and the Feynman variable $x_{\text{F}} = x_1 - x_2$, one can easily find

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x_{\text{F}}^2 + 4(\tau/z)} + x_{\text{F}}), \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x_{\text{F}}^2 + 4(\tau/z)} - x_{\text{F}}). \quad (6)$$

The coefficient functions $f_{q,g}(z)$ [48-50] are, in the DIS factorization scheme,

$$f_q(z) = C_F[\delta(1-z)(1 + \frac{4\pi^2}{3}) - 6 - 4z + (\frac{3}{1-z})_+ + 2(1+z^2)(\frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z})_+],$$

$$f_g(z) = \frac{1}{2}[(z^2 + (1-z)^2) \ln(1-z) + \frac{3}{2} - 5z + \frac{9}{2}z^2]. \quad (7)$$

The 'plus' distributions are defined by

$$\int_0^1 dx f(x) [g(x)]_+ = \int_0^1 dx (f(x) - f(1))g(x). \quad (8)$$

Therefore, up to the next to leading order, the differential cross section in a Drell-Yan reaction can be written as

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dMdx_{\text{F}}} = \frac{d^2\sigma^{\text{LO}}}{dMdx_{\text{F}}} + \frac{d^2\sigma^{\text{NLO}}}{dMdx_{\text{F}}}. \quad (9)$$

In the hadron-nucleus Drell-Yan reaction, the projectile suffers multiple collisions and repeated energy losses in the nuclear matter. In other words, each quark or gluon in the beam hadron can lose a finite fraction of its energy in the nuclear target due to QCD bremsstrahlung. After considering the parton energy loss in nuclei, the incident parton momentum fraction can be shifted from $x_1 + \Delta x_1$ to x_1 at the point of fusion. $\Delta x_1 = \Delta E/E_{\text{p}}$ with ΔE (E_{p}) the quark energy loss in

the nuclear medium (the projectile hadron energy). After adding the energy loss of the incoming parton in the target nucleus,

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\text{LO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2) &= \int_0^{(1-x_1)E_p} d(\Delta E) P_q(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) H^{\text{LO}}(x_1 + \Delta x_1, x_2, Q^2), \\
H^{\text{NLO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2, z) &= \int_0^{(1-x_1)E_p} d(\Delta E) \sum_f e_f^2 \{ P_q(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) q_f^p(x_1 + \Delta x_1, Q^2) \bar{q}_f^A(x_2, Q^2) f_q(z) \\
&\quad + P_g(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) g^p(x_1 + \Delta x_1, Q^2) [q_f^A(x_2, Q^2) + \bar{q}_f^A(x_2, Q^2)] f_g(z) \\
&\quad + (x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2) \}, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

where the quenching weight $P_{q,g}(\Delta E, \omega_c, L)$ are the probability that the radiated gluons carry altogether a given energy ΔE for an incident quark and gluon, respectively. ω_c is the characteristic gluon frequency, which is equal to $(1/2)\hat{q}L^2$ with the path length L traversed by the incoming parton.

If we do not consider the target nuclear geometry effect, the average path length of the incident parton in the nucleus is given by $L = (3/4)R_A$ for the case of a hard-sphere nucleus. The nuclear radius $R_A = 1.12A^{1/3}$ fm with atomic mass number A [51]. With adding the target nuclear geometry effect[14], the colored incoming parton interacting at y the coordinate along the direction of the incident quark will traverse the path length $L = \sqrt{R_A^2 - b^2} + y$ with \vec{b} its impact parameter. After taking both nuclear geometry and energy loss effects into account,

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\text{LO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2) &= \int d^2b dy \rho_A(\vec{b}, y) \int_0^{(1-x_1)E_p} d(\Delta E) P_q(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) H^{\text{LO}}(x_1 + \Delta x_1, x_2, Q^2), \\
H^{\text{NLO}}(x_1, x_2, Q^2, z) &= \int d^2b dy \rho_A(\vec{b}, y) \int_0^{(1-x_1)E_p} d(\Delta E) \sum_f e_f^2 \{ P_q(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) \\
&\quad \times q_f^p(x_1 + \Delta x_1, Q^2) \bar{q}_f^A(x_2, Q^2) f_q(z) \\
&\quad + P_g(\Delta E, \omega_c, L) g^p(x_1 + \Delta x_1, Q^2) [q_f^A(x_2, Q^2) + \bar{q}_f^A(x_2, Q^2)] f_g(z) \\
&\quad + (x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2) \}, \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_A(\sqrt{b^2 + y^2}) = (\rho_0/A)\Theta(R_A - \sqrt{b^2 + y^2})$ with ρ_0 the nuclear density.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present analysis, the experimental data are taken from E906[46] and E866[47] Collaborations at Fermilab. E866 Collaboration reported the observation of the ratios of the Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon for an 800 GeV proton beam incident on Be, Fe and W targets. The Drell-Yan events were recorded in the range $4.0 < M < 8.4$ GeV, $0.01 < x_2 < 0.12$, $0.21 < x_1 < 0.95$ and

$0.13 < x_F < 0.93$. E906 Collaboration performed the observation of the ratios of the Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon for an 120 GeV proton beam incident on C, Fe and W targets. Muon pairs were recorded in the range in the range $4.5 < M < 5.5$ GeV and $0.1 < x_2 < 0.3$. To be emphasized, E866 and E906 data cover the momentum fraction of the target parton from 0.01 to 0.12, and from 0.1 to 0.3, respectively. The fact makes E866 and E906 data show possibly the measurable kinematic variables dependence of the quark transport coefficient in the cold nuclear medium.

In order to study the property of the quark transport coefficient, we calculate the Drell-Yan cross section ratio of two different nuclear targets bombarded by proton,

$$R_{A_1/A_2}(x_F) = \int \frac{d^2\sigma^{pA_1}}{dM dx_F} dM \bigg/ \int \frac{d^2\sigma^{pA_2}}{dM dx_F} dM, \quad (12)$$

in perturbative QCD next-to-leading order approximation. The comparison is performed with selected experimental data. The integral range in above equation is given by means of the relative experimental kinematic region.

In order to research the nuclear effects from parton distribution functions on the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio, χ^2 is calculated with the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratios R_{A_1/A_2} as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_j \frac{(R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{data}} - R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{theo}})^2}{(R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{err}})^2}, \quad (13)$$

where the experimental error is given by $R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{err}}$, and $R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{data}}$ ($R_{A_1/A_2,j}^{\text{theo}}$) indicates the experimental data (theoretical) value for the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio R_{A_1/A_2} as a function of Feynman variable. We employ the nNNPDF2.0 nuclear parton distribution functions from the NNPDF collaboration[39] in our calculations without the consideration of quark energy loss effect. The calculated values of χ^2 per number of degrees of freedom are 0.432, 3.174, 6.626 and 7.819 for the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio Fe/Be, W/Be, Fe/C and W/C, respectively. Our obtained values of χ^2/ndf are 1.803 and 7.222 for the E866 and E906 experimental data, respectively. In total, the value of χ^2/ndf is given by 4.126 for the E906 and E866 experimental data(see TABLE I). The theoretical results in the global fit are compared with the measured Drell-Yan differential cross-section ratios from E906 and E866 collaboration in Fig.1(black solid curves). Obviously, the calculated results with only considering the nuclear effects of parton distribution do not agree with the E866 and E906 experimental data. In addition, the main contribution of χ^2/ndf is from the E906 experimental data in the global fit. It is found that the value of χ^2/ndf by the cross-section

TABLE I: The values of \hat{q}_0 and χ^2/ndf extracted from fitting the selected experimental data on the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio from the E906 and E866 experiments by considering the nuclear effects on parton distribution functions (NEPDF) and adding energy loss (EL) effect without and with the nuclear geometry effect.

	E866		E906	
	Fe/Be	W/Be	Fe/C	W/C
NEPDF	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.432$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 3.174$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 6.626$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 7.819$
	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.803$		$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 7.222$	
$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 4.126$				
EL ($L = 3/4R_A$)	$\hat{q}_0 = 0$	$\hat{q}_0 = 1.005 \pm 0.234$	$\hat{q}_0 = 0.718 \pm 0.061$	$\hat{q}_0 = 0.331 \pm 0.025$
	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.432$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.552$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.567$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.689$
	$\hat{q}_0 = 1.028 \pm 0.219$		$\hat{q}_0 = 0.346 \pm 0.022$	
$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 0.674$		$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 2.201$		
		$\hat{q}_0 = 0.343 \pm 0.022$	$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.562$	
EL($L = \sqrt{R_A^2 - b^2} + y$)	$\hat{q}_0 = 0.277 \pm 0.001$		$\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.334$	

ratio Fe/Be is remarkably lower than those from W/Be, Fe/C and W/C. It is shown that the theoretical prediction is in good agreement with the experimental data on the cross section ratio Fe/Be. We think that the theoretical result overestimates the nuclear effects on parton distribution functions in the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio Fe/Be. Therefore, it is necessary to refine the nuclear parton distribution in the future. The accurate nuclear parton distribution can highlight the potential of the fixed-target Drell-Yan measurements to probe the parton energy loss mechanism in a robust manner.

On the basis of the nuclear effects on parton distribution functions, the energy loss effect of the incoming parton is added in the following. The analytic expressions[44] for the quark and gluon quenching weight can be given by using the BDMPS gluon radiation spectrum for an incoming parton in QCD media[52]. The simple analytic expressions can easily be used to compute the Drell-Yan differential cross section. By means of the CERN subroutine MINUIT[53], the undetermined parameters in the quark transport coefficient \hat{q} can be obtained by minimizing χ^2 .

We first make the quark transport coefficient \hat{q} as a constant \hat{q}_0 without its kinematic variable dependence. If we neglect the target nuclear geometry effect, the incoming hard parton will travel an average path length $L = (3/4)R_A$ in the target nuclear medium. Consequently, only one parameter \hat{q}_0 needs to be pinned down. The values of \hat{q}_0 and χ^2/ndf extracted from fitting the selected experimental data on the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio are listed in TABLE




FIG. 1: Ratios of the differential cross section per nucleon for Drell-Yan reaction versus Feynman variable x_F . The black solid curves are the predicted cross-section ratios in the global fitting E906 and E866 experimental data without energy loss effect. The blue dashed curves are the predicted results from the fitting respectively E906 and E866 measurements without the target nuclear geometry effect. As for the global fitting E906 and E866 measurements, the red dotted (green dot-dashed) curves correspond to the theoretical predictions without (with) target nuclear geometry effect. The experimental data are taken from E906 and E866 Collaboration.

I. The calculated values of $\hat{q}_0(\chi^2/\text{ndf})$ are $0.0 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (0.432), $1.005 \pm 0.234 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (0.552), $0.718 \pm 0.061 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (1.567) and $0.331 \pm 0.025 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (0.689) for the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio Fe/Be, W/Be, Fe/C and W/C, respectively. The obtained values of $\hat{q}_0(\chi^2/\text{ndf})$ are $1.028 \pm 0.219 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (0.674) and $0.346 \pm 0.022 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ (2.201) for the E866 and E906 experimental data, respectively. In view of the measured different x_2 range in the E906 and E866 experiment, we can come obviously to the conclusion that the quark transport coefficient \hat{q} depends on the momentum fraction of the target parton. However, it is a great pity that the global fitting the E906 and E866 experimental data gives $\hat{q}_0 = 0.343 \pm 0.022 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ with $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.562$. Therefore, it is worth intensively looking into the kinematic variable dependence of the quark transport coefficient. Just to confirm this conclusion, we need more accurate experimental data

on the Drell-Yan differential cross section ratio. We strongly desire that Fermilab Experiment 906 collaboration can refine their experimental data, and report their precise measurement of the ratios of the Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon in the future published paper.

To demonstrate intuitively the energy loss effect of an incoming parton on the Drell-Yan cross section ratio, the calculated results combining nNNPDF2.0 nuclear parton distribution functions are compared with the selected experimental data in Fig.1. The blue dashed curves are the predicted cross-section ratios for the case of fitting respectively E906 and E866 measurements without the target nuclear geometry effect. As for the global fitting E906 and E866 measurements, the red dotted curves are the theoretical predictions without target nuclear geometry effect. In particular is worth mentioning that the Drell-Yan cross section ratio of Fe and C nuclear targets bombarded by proton. As shown in TABLE I and Fig.1, the agreement is bad between the experimental data and the theoretical results from fitting the E906 measurement and only the cross section ratio Fe/C. The fact reminds us that the precise measurement of the ratios of the Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon and accurate nuclear parton distribution functions are indispensable for knowing deeply the energy loss mechanism in the cold nuclear medium.

Furthermore, we explore the energy loss with the target nuclear geometry effect on the Drell-Yan cross section ratio. In this case, the path length $L = \sqrt{R_A^2 - b^2} + y$ for the incident parton traversing the nucleus. Our calculated result indicates that $\hat{q}_0 = 0.277 \pm 0.001$ GeV²/fm with $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.334$ from the global fitting the E906 and E866 experimental data. The comparison of our theorized expectations (green dot-dashed curves) is presented with the experimental measurements in Fig.1. From TABLE I, it is found that the target nuclear geometry effect could reduce the quark transport coefficient by as much as 19.24%. Therefore, we should consider the target nuclear geometry effect over the course of studying the nuclear Drell-Yan reaction.

Now, let us investigate the kinematic variable dependence of the quark transport coefficient. In our preceding article[22], we discovered the relation between the Bjorken variable x_G in the quark transport coefficient and the momentum fraction of the target parton in Drell-Yan process. In other words, the Bjorken variable x_G can be replaced with the momentum fraction x_2 . Consequently, we can deduce that the virtuality Q_G^2 should also be expressed with the measurable scale Q^2 , which is the square of the lepton pair mass M . By means of fitting the experimental data on the Drell-Yan cross section ratio, the quark transport coefficient has been determined as a function of the Bjorken variable x_2 and scale Q^2 .

As regarding the gluon density $x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ from the quark transport coefficient \hat{q} , $x_G \ll 1$, and $Q_G^2 < 1$ GeV²[22]. Phenomenological work[54] provided a model based on the concept of

saturation for small Q_G^2 and small x_G . A good description of data on the proton structure function was presented by means of the proton saturation scale. According to the saturation model, the gluon density

$$x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2) \sim x_G^{-\lambda}. \quad (14)$$

With using the Bjorken variable x_2 instead of x_G ,

$$x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2) \sim x_2^{-\lambda}. \quad (15)$$

Hence, the Bjorken variable x_2 dependence of the quark transport coefficient can be written as

$$\hat{q} = \hat{q}_0 x_2^\alpha. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, adding the intermediate and large x_2 correction with the evolution of gluon distribution with Q^2 , the quark transport coefficient can be supposed to be

$$\hat{q}(x_2, Q^2) = \hat{q}_0 \alpha_s(Q^2) x_2^\alpha (1 - x_2)^\beta \ln^\gamma(Q^2/Q_0^2), \quad (17)$$

where $Q_0^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ in order to make the argument in the logarithm dimensionless. Four undetermined parameters are \hat{q}_0 , α , β and γ .

In fact, the gluon density $x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ in the quark transport coefficient should be that of the bound nucleon in the target nucleus. The gluon density $x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2)$ depends on the atomic mass number in the fixed-target experiment. The saturation scale of a nucleus[55,56] is enhanced relative to the nucleon one by a factor $A^{1/3}$. With the atomic mass number A and x_2 dependence of the saturation scale in the nucleus, the gluon density

$$x_G G(x_G, Q_G^2) \sim A^{1/3} x_G^{-\lambda} \sim A^{1/3} x_2^{-\lambda}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, we can give the atomic mass, Bjorken variable and scale dependence of the quark transport coefficient,

$$\hat{q}(A, x_2, Q^2) = \hat{q}_0 A^{1/3} \alpha_s(Q^2) x_2^\alpha (1 - x_2)^\beta \ln^\gamma(Q^2/Q_0^2). \quad (19)$$

Thus, there are still four parameters to fit: \hat{q}_0 , α , β and γ .

We perform the global analysis of the quark transport coefficient by comparing with the experimental data from the E906 and E866 collaboration. The parameter values are pinned down in the quark transport coefficient.

TABLE II: The parameter values of \hat{q} and χ^2/ndf extracted by the global analysis of E866 and E906 experimental data.

	$\hat{q}(\text{GeV}^2/\text{fm})$	\hat{q}_0	α	β	γ	χ^2/ndf
$\hat{q}(x_2, Q^2)$ (without geometry effect)	0.424 ± 0.022	-0.083 ± 0.026	3.879 ± 0.383	1.426 ± 0.045	1.561	
$\hat{q}(x_2, Q^2)$ (with geometry effect)	0.393 ± 0.018	-0.106 ± 0.006	4.441 ± 0.052	1.452 ± 0.021	1.218	
$\hat{q}(A, x_2, Q^2)$ (without geometry effect)	0.076 ± 0.005	-0.242 ± 0.028	4.399 ± 0.452	1.174 ± 0.051	1.524	
$\hat{q}(A, x_2, Q^2)$ (with geometry effect)	0.061 ± 0.004	-0.138 ± 0.006	4.557 ± 0.073	1.448 ± 0.033	1.352	




FIG. 2: The calculated Drell-Yan cross section ratios $R_{A/B}$ versus Feynman variable x_F . The black solid(red dashed) curves are the predicted cross-section ratios from the quark transport coefficient $\hat{q}(x_2, Q^2)$ without(with) target nuclear geometry effect. The blue dotted(green dot-dashed) curves correspond to the theoretical predictions for the quark transport coefficient $\hat{q}(A, x_2, Q^2)$ without(with) target nuclear geometry effect. The experimental data are taken from E906 and E866 Collaboration.

As for the quark transport coefficient without atomic mass dependence and target nuclear geometry effect, the extracted parameter values are $\hat{q}_0 = 0.424 \pm 0.022 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ with the relative uncertainty $\delta\hat{q}_0/\hat{q}_0 \simeq 5.2\%$, $\alpha = -0.083 \pm 0.026$ with $\delta\alpha/\alpha \simeq 31.3\%$, $\beta = 3.879 \pm 0.383$ with $\delta\beta/\beta \simeq 9.9\%$, and $\gamma = 1.426 \pm 0.045$ with $\delta\gamma/\gamma \simeq 3.2\%$ and $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.561$. In regard to the

quark transport coefficient with no atomic mass dependence and target nuclear geometry effect, the obtained parameter values are $\hat{q}_0 = 0.393 \pm 0.018$ GeV²/fm with $\delta\hat{q}_0/\hat{q}_0 \simeq 4.5\%$, $\alpha = -0.106 \pm 0.006$ with $\delta\alpha/\alpha \simeq 5.6\%$, $\beta = 4.441 \pm 0.052$ with $\delta\beta/\beta \simeq 1.1\%$, and $\gamma = 1.452 \pm 0.021$ with $\delta\gamma/\gamma \simeq 1.4\%$ and $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.218$. As regards the quark transport coefficient with atomic mass dependence and no target nuclear geometry effect, the theoretical parameter values are $\hat{q}_0 = 0.076 \pm 0.005$ GeV²/fm with $\delta\hat{q}_0/\hat{q}_0 \simeq 6.6\%$, $\alpha = -0.242 \pm 0.028$ with $\delta\alpha/\alpha \simeq 11.6\%$, $\beta = 4.399 \pm 0.452$ with $\delta\beta/\beta \simeq 10.2\%$, and $\gamma = 1.174 \pm 0.051$ with $\delta\gamma/\gamma \simeq 4.3\%$ and $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.524$. In the case of the quark transport coefficient with atomic mass dependence and target nuclear geometry effect, the determined parameter values are $\hat{q}_0 = 0.061 \pm 0.004$ GeV²/fm with $\delta\hat{q}_0/\hat{q}_0 \simeq 6.5\%$, $\alpha = -0.138 \pm 0.006$ with $\delta\alpha/\alpha \simeq 4.3\%$, $\beta = 4.557 \pm 0.073$ with $\delta\beta/\beta \simeq 1.6\%$, and $\gamma = 1.448 \pm 0.033$ with $\delta\gamma/\gamma \simeq 2.2\%$ and $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 1.352$. The comparison of our theorized results is presented with the experimental measurements in Fig.2. In Table II, we summarize the extracted parameter values of the quark transport coefficient. From Table II, it is shown that the atomic mass dependence reduces the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient by approximately 82.1% without the target nuclear geometry effect. After incorporating the target nuclear geometry effect, the atomic mass dependence reduces the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient by as much as 84.5%. Therefore, the atomic mass dependence has a significant impact on the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient in cold nuclear matter.

Two other research groups have explored the transport coefficient in cold nuclear matter. François Arleo et al.[21, 57] studied the transport coefficient parameterization as a function of Bjorken variable without the virtuality Q^2 evolution. The coefficient \hat{q}_0 is the only parameter of their model. The value of \hat{q}_0 is given by 0.07–0.09 GeV²/fm. Peng Ru et al.[58] performed the global analysis of the jet transport coefficient in the framework of the generalized QCD factorization formalism. The jet transport coefficient has the Bjorken- x and scale Q^2 dependent parametrization. The coefficient \hat{q}_0 is $0.0195_{-0.0065}^{+0.0085}$ GeV²/fm. Therefore, it is hoped that the theoretical progress should be made in the future. With the precision experimental data on the semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering and nuclear Drell-Yan reaction, a quantitative understanding of the parton energy loss mechanism will be thus achieved in cold nuclear matter.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We study the Drell-Yan process in proton-nucleus collisions, supplementing the perturbative QCD factorized formalism with radiative parton energy loss. By means of the nuclear parton

distributions determined without the fixed-target Drell-Yan process experimental data and the analytic expression of quenching weight based on BDMPS formalism, a next-to-leading order analyses on the differential cross section ratios from the nuclear Drell-Yan process are performed, and compared with the experimental data from Fermilab E906 and E866 Collaborations. It is found that the calculated results with only considering the nuclear effects of parton distribution do not agree with the E866 and E906 experimental data. The incoming quark energy loss effect is indispensable in nuclear Drell-Yan process. With the quark transport coefficient as a constant and no target nuclear geometry effect, the global fitting the E906 and E866 experimental data gives $\hat{q}_0 = 0.343 \pm 0.022 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$. With the target nuclear geometry effect, $\hat{q}_0 = 0.277 \pm 0.001 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$. The suppression due to the target nuclear geometry effect is approximately 19.24% for the quark transport coefficient. It is shown that we should consider the nuclear geometry effect over the course of studying the Drell-Yan reaction on nuclear targets. On the basis of Bjorken variable and scale dependence of the quark transport coefficient, the atomic mass dependence is combined. The quark transport coefficient is determined as a function of the atomic mass, Bjorken variable x_2 and scale Q^2 by the global fit of the experimental data. The constant factor \hat{q}_0 of the quark transport coefficient are respectively $0.393 \pm 0.018 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ and $0.061 \pm 0.004 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ without and with the atomic mass dependence. The suppression due to atomic mass dependence is approximately 84.5% for the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient. It is found that the atomic mass dependence has a remarkable effect on the constant factor \hat{q}_0 in the quark transport coefficient in cold nuclear matter.

It is well known that there are the quark energy loss effect and the nuclear effects on the parton distributions in the nuclear Drell-Yan process. The accurate nuclear parton distribution functions are crucial for studying deeply the energy loss mechanism in the cold nuclear medium. The proton incident nuclei Drell-Yan data can result in an overestimate for nuclear modification of the sea quark distribution function if leaving the quark energy loss effect out. Therefore, we suggest that the new global analysis of nuclear parton distribution functions should not employ the available experimental data on the fixed-target hadron-nucleus Drell-Yan process.

The precise measurement of the ratios of the proton-nucleus Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon is essential for clarifying the energy loss mechanism in the cold nuclear medium. Our results strongly suggest that Fermilab Experiment 906 collaboration can refine their experimental data, and report their precise measurement of the ratios of the Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon in the future published paper.

The investigation into the energy loss mechanism in cold nuclear matter helps to understand

the similar process appearing in relativistic heavy ion collisions. The hadron-induced Drell-Yan reaction on nuclei is an excellent process to explore the incoming parton energy loss in cold nuclear matter. We expect that our determined parametrization of the quark transport coefficient provides a useful information on the identification of the transport property of the quark-gluon plasma. It is desirable to operate precise measurements in the nuclear Drell-Yan process from J-PARC experiment[59] and LHCb-SMOG experiment[60]. These new experimental data should shed light on the parton propagation mechanism in nuclear matter.

Acknowledgments This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(NSFC) under Grants No.11975090 and No.11575052.

-
- [1] J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 27, 140 (1983).
 - [2] D. d’Enterria, Jet quenching: Datasheet from Landolt-Börnstein - Group I Elementary Particles, Nuclei and Atoms, Volume 23: "Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics" in SpringerMaterials, Springer (2010). arXiv:0902.2011.
 - [3] J. Casalderrey-Solana, C. A. Salgado, Acta Phys. Polon. B 38, 3731 (2007). arXiv:0712.3443.
 - [4] U. A. Wiedemann, Jet quenching in heavy ion collisions: Datasheet from Landolt-Börnstein - Group I Elementary Particles, Nuclei and Atoms, Volume 23: "Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics" in SpringerMaterials, Springer (2010). arXiv:0908.2306.
 - [5] A. Majumder, M. Van Leeuwen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 41 (2011). arXiv:1002.2206.
 - [6] S. Cao, X. Wang, Rept. Prog. Phys. 84, 024301 (2021). arXiv: 2002.04028.
 - [7] S. Cao et al., Phys. Rev. C 104, 024905 (2021). arXiv: 2102.11337.
 - [8] D. Everett et al., Phys. Rev. C 103, 054904 (2021). arXiv: 2011.01430.
 - [9] A. Kumar et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 034908 (2020). arXiv:1909.03178
 - [10] S. Drell, T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 316 (1970).
 - [11] L. H. Song, C. G. Duan, Phys. Rev. C 81, 035207 (2010). arXiv:1109.3836.
 - [12] L. H. Song, N. Liu, C. G. Duan, Chin. Phys. C 37, 104102 (2013). arXiv:1310.5285.
 - [13] L. H. Song, N. Liu, C. G. Duan, Chin. Phys. C 37, 084102 (2013). arXiv:1310.5692.
 - [14] N. Liu, et al., Phys. Lett. B 749, 88 (2015). arXiv:1511.00767.
 - [15] T. X. Bai, C. G. Duan, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 1181 (2021). arXiv: 2011.14350.
 - [16] L. H. Song, C.G. Duan, N. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 708, 68 (2012). arXiv:1206.3815.
 - [17] C. G. Duan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 50, 585 (2007). arXiv:hep-ph/0609057.
 - [18] C. G. Duan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 29, 557 (2003). arXiv:hep-ph/0405113.
 - [19] C. G. Duan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 39, 179 (2005). arXiv:hep-ph/0601188.

- [20] C. G. Duan et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 048201 (2009). arXiv:0811.0675.
- [21] F. Arleo et al., JHEP 01, 129 (2019). arXiv:1810.05120.
- [22] T. X. Bai, C. G. Duan, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 649 (2021). arXiv: 2012.03167.
- [23] A. Accardi, et al., Riv. Nuovo. Cim., 32, 439 (2010). arXiv:0907.3534.
- [24] J. J. Aubert et al., Phys. Lett. B 123, 275 (1983).
- [25] J. J. Ethier, E. R. Nocera, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 70, 43 (2020). arXiv: 2001.07722.
- [26] K. J. Eskola, V. J. Kolhinen, P. V. Ruuskanen, Nucl. Phys.B 535, 351 (1998). arXiv: hep-ph/9802350.
- [27] K. J. Eskola et al., JHEP 05, 002 (2007). arXiv: hep-ph/0703104.
- [28] K. J. Eskola, H. Paukkunen, C. A. Salgado, JHEP 04, 065 (2009). arXiv: 0902.4154.
- [29] K. J. Eskola et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 163 (2017). arXiv: 1612.05741.
- [30] M. Hirai, S. Kumano, M. Miyama, Phys. Rev. D 64, 034003 (2001). arXiv: hep-ph/0103208.
- [31] M. Hirai, S. Kumano, T. H. Nagai, Phys. Rev. C 70, 044905 (2004). arXiv: hep-ph/0404093.
- [32] M. Hirai, S. Kumano, T. H. Nagai, Phys. Rev. C 76, 065207 (2007). arXiv: 0709.3038.
- [33] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074028 (2004). arXiv: hep-ph/0311227.
- [34] D. de Florian et al., Phys. Rev. D 85, 074028 (2012). arXiv: 1112.6324.
- [35] I. Schienbein et al., Phys. Rev. D 80, 094004 (2009). arXiv: 0907.2357.
- [36] K. Kovarik et al., Phys. Rev. D 93, 085037 (2016). arXiv: 1509.00792.
- [37] M. Walt, I. Helenius, W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 100, 096015 (2019). arXiv: 1908.03355.
- [38] R. A. Khalek, J. J. Ethier, J. Rojo, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 471 (2019). arXiv: 1904.00018.
- [39] R. A. Khalek et al., JHEP 09, 183 (2020). arXiv: 2006.14629.
- [40] R. Baier et al., Nucl. Phys. B 484, 265 (1997). arXiv: hep-ph/9608322.
- [41] R. Baier et al., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50, 37 (2000). arXiv: hep-ph/0002198.
- [42] P. Bordalo et al., Phys. Lett. B 193, 373 (1987).
- [43] D. M. Alde et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2285 (1991).
- [44] F. Arleo, JHEP 11, 044 (2002). arXiv: hep-ph/0210104.
- [45] A. Airapetian, et al., HERMES Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 113 (2011). arXiv: 1107.3496.
- [46] P. J. Lin, Ph.D. Thesis, Colorado University (2017).
- [47] M. A. Vasiliev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2304 (1999). arXiv:hep-ex/9906010.
- [48] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B 143, 521 (1978).
- [49] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B 157, 461 (1979).
- [50] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B 146, 544(erratum) (1978).
- [51] G. T. Garvey, J. C. Peng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 092302 (2003). arXiv: hep-ph/0208145.
- [52] R. Baier et al., Nucl. Phys. B 531, 403 (1998). arXiv: hep-ph/9804212.
- [53] F. James, CERN Program Library Long Writeup D506.
- [54] K. Golec-Biernat, M. Wusthoff, Phys. Rev. D 59, 014017 (1998). arXiv: hep-ph/9807513.
- [55] H. Kowalski, T. Lappi, R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 022303 (2008). arXiv: 0705.3047.
- [56] J. L. Albacete, C. Marquetb, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 76, 1 (2014). arXiv: 1401.4866.

- [57] F. Arleo, C. Naïm, JHEP 07, 220 (2020). arXiv:2004.07188.
- [58] P. Ru et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, L031901 (2021). arXiv:1907.11808.
- [59] S. Kumano, AIP Conf. Proc. 1056, 444 (2008). arXiv: 0807.4207.
- [60] A. Bursche, et al., Technical Report LHCb-PUB-2018-015, CERN-LHCb-PUB-2018-015(2018).