

# A GENERALIZATION OF BANG'S LEMMA

GERGELY AMBRUS

ABSTRACT. We prove a common extension of Bang's and Kadets' lemmas for contact pairs, in the spirit of the Colourful Carathéodory Theorem. We also formulate a generalized version of the affine plank problem and prove it under special assumptions. In particular, we obtain a generalization of Kadets' theorem. Finally, we give applications to problems regarding translative and homothetic coverings.

## 1. PLANK PROBLEMS

In 1950, Bang [Ba50, Ba51] proved the plank problem of Tarski [Ta32]: he showed that if a convex body  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is covered by a finite number of planks, then the sum of their widths is not less than the minimal width of  $K$ . Here a *plank*  $P$  is the closed region of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  between two parallel hyperplanes, whose distance apart is the *width* of  $P$ , denoted by  $w(P)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}^d$  stand for the family of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Given a convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  and a direction  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , the *width of  $K$  in direction  $u$* , denoted by  $w_u(K)$ , is the width of the smallest plank containing  $K$  whose bounding hyperplanes are orthogonal to  $u$ . The *minimal width of  $K$*  is  $w(K) = \min_u w_u(K)$ .

In the same article, Bang suggested an affine invariant generalization of the problem. Given a convex body  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a plank  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , he defined the *width of  $P$  relative to  $K$*  as

$$(1) \quad w_K(P) = \frac{w(P)}{w_u(K)}$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  is normal to a boundary hyperplane of  $P$ .

**Conjecture 1** (The affine plank problem, Bang [Ba51]). *Assume that the planks  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  cover the convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_K(P_i) \geq 1$ .*

The statement was proved for symmetric  $K$ 's by Ball [Ba91], but is still open for general convex bodies apart from the following special cases: only two planks in the plane [Ba54, Mo58, Al68], at most three planks in the plane [Hu93], or when the planks can be partitioned to two parallel subfamilies [AkKP19].

One of the main ingredients of Bang's proof of the plank problem is the following statement, which has been polished to its present form by Fenchel [Fe51] and Ball [Ba01]:

**Lemma 1** (Bang's Lemma). *Let  $(u_i)_1^n$  be a sequence of unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $(w_i)_1^n$  a sequence of positive numbers. Then for any sequence  $(m_i)_1^n$  of reals, there exists a point  $u$*

---

*Date:* March 15, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A40, 52C15, 52C17, 46C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Bang's lemma, Tarski's plank problem, Kadets' theorem, Translative coverings.

Research was partially supported by Hungarian National Research grant no. NKFIH KKP-133819 and by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, project no. TKP2021-NVA-09.

of the form

$$u = \sum \varepsilon_i u_i w_i$$

with  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  $i \in [n]$ , so that

$$|\langle u, u_k \rangle - m_k| \geq w_k$$

holds for each  $k$ .

Above and later on,  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Bang's lemma has found numerous applications in the past decades. In particular, it is a crucial ingredient of Ball's proof for the symmetric case of the affine plank problem [Ba91], his lower bound on the density of sphere packings [Ba92] as well as Nazarov's solution of the coefficient problem [Na97].

In 2005, Kadets [Ka05] generalized the original plank problem to coverings with arbitrary convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . He proved that if a family of convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^d$  covers  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n r(K_i) \geq r(K)$ , where  $r(K)$  denotes the inradius of  $K$ . The crux of his argument boils down to the following generalization of Theorem 1. Below,  $S^{d-1}$  denotes the  $d$ -dimensional unit sphere.

**Lemma 2** (Kadets' Lemma). *Assume that  $U_1, \dots, U_n \subset S^{d-1}$  are finite sets of unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so that  $0 \in \text{conv } U_i$  for each  $i$ . Let  $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$  be positive numbers. Then for every set of points  $o_1, \dots, o_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  there exist  $u_i \in U_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  so that setting  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i u_i$ ,*

$$\langle u - o_k, u_k \rangle \geq r_k$$

holds for every  $k$ .

We note that the planar case of Kadets' theorem was also proved much earlier by Ohmann [Oh53], and later independently by Bezdek [Be07]. Prior to that, Bezdek and Bezdek [BeB95] solved Conway's potato problem and showed that if  $K$  is successively sliced by  $n - 1$  hyperplane cuts, dividing just one piece by each cut, then one of the remaining pieces must have inradius at least  $\frac{1}{n}r(K)$ . In a follow-up article [BeB96], they extended their result to  $K$ -inradii instead of inradii: given a convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  and a convex set  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the  $K$ -inradius of  $L$  is defined as

$$(2) \quad r_K(L) = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda K + x \subset L \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.\}$$

Note that for a plank  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(3) \quad w_K(P) = r_K(P).$$

The connection to plank problems is provided by Alexander [Al68], who proved that for  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ , the sum of the  $K$ -inradii of  $n$  planks covering  $K$  is guaranteed to be at least 1 if and only if for an arbitrary set of  $n - 1$  hyperplanes, there exists a convex body  $L \subset K$  with  $r_K(L) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  not cut by any of these hyperplanes.

Along this direction, Akopyan and Karasev [AkK12] proved analogues of Kadets' result for  $K$ -inradii: among other results, they showed that if  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  form an *inductive partition* of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ , then  $\sum r_K(K_i) \geq 1$  holds, moreover, the same statement is true in the plane for arbitrary convex partitions. Balitskiy [Ba21] generalized the theorems of Bang and Kadets to multi-planks.

The goal of the present paper is to generalize Lemmas 1 and 2 in the spirit of Bárány's Colourful Carathéodory Theorem [Bá82]. The resulting statement may be applied to general covering problems involving  $K$ -inradii, and in particular, to translative covering problems.

Let  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be convex bodies. It is a well-known fact that if  $K'$  is a maximal homothetic copy of  $K$  inscribed in  $L$ , then there exists a set of points  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with corresponding normal directions  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $u_i$  is a common boundary point of  $K'$  and  $L$  with corresponding (common) outer normal vector  $v_i$  for every  $i$ , moreover,  $0 \in \text{conv}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . The pairs  $(u_i, v_i)$  are called *contact pairs* of  $K'$  and  $L$ . A set of contact pairs is called *complete* if  $0 \in \text{conv}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Carathéodory's theorem implies that in the above setting, there always exists a complete set of contact pairs of cardinality at most  $d + 1$ .

We are going to generalize Bang's lemma to sets of contact pairs. The forthcoming arguments will use the following setup. For vectors  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  as  $w = (u, v)$ . For any such vector  $w = (u, v)$ , let  $\hat{w} = (v, u)$ . Here comes the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $W_1, \dots, W_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  are finite sets such that  $(0, 0) \in \text{conv } W_i$  for each  $i \in [n]$ . For any set of vectors  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exist  $w_i \in W_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$  so that by setting  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ ,*

$$(4) \quad \langle w - z_k, \hat{w}_k \rangle \geq \langle w_k, \hat{w}_k \rangle$$

*holds for each  $k$ .*

Theorem 1 is formulated in the context of contact pairs  $(u_i, v_i)$ . Setting  $v_i = u_i$  and  $y_i = x_i$  for every  $i$ , it takes the following simpler form.

**Corollary 1.** *Assume that all the finite vector sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  contain the origin in their convex hull. Then for any set of vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we may select  $u_i \in U_i$  for each  $i \in [n]$  so that setting  $u = \sum_i u_i$ ,*

$$\langle u - x_k, u_k \rangle \geq |u_k|^2$$

*holds for every  $k$ .*

When all the sets  $U_i$  consist of unit vectors, we recover Kadets' lemma, while the case  $U_i = \{-u_i, u_i\}$  with  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  corresponds to Bang's lemma.

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 lead towards the following generalization of the affine plank problem. We say that the convex sets  $C_1, \dots, C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  permit a translative covering of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  if

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (C_i + x_i)$$

for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Conjecture 2.** *Assume that the convex sets  $C_1, \dots, C_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  permit a translative covering of the convex body  $B \in \mathcal{K}^d$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_B(C_i) \geq 1$$

*holds.*

Equation (3) shows that this is indeed an extension of Conjecture 1, the affine plank problem. Balitskiy [Ba21] conjectures an even more general statement.

In addition to the special cases of the affine plank problem discussed earlier, Conjecture 2 has been proved if  $B$  is an ellipsoid [Oh53, Be07, Ka05] or if the sets  $C_i$  form a partition of  $B$  in the plane, or an inductive partition in higher dimensions [AkK12]. Corollary 1 implies that it also holds in a wide range of cases.

**Theorem 2.** *Conjecture 2 holds if for every  $i \in [n]$  there exists some  $o_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $r_B(C_i)B - o_i$  and  $C_i - o_i$  have a complete set of contact pairs  $W_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $(0, 0) \in \text{conv } W_i$ , so that for any two such contact pairs  $(u_i, v_i) \in W_i$ ,  $(u_j, v_j) \in W_j$  with  $i \neq j$ ,*

$$(5) \quad \langle u_i, v_j \rangle = \langle u_j, v_i \rangle$$

*holds.*

We immediately obtain the following generalization of Kadets' theorem [Ka05].

**Corollary 2.** *Conjecture 2 holds if for every  $i \in [n]$  there exists some  $o_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $r_B(C_i)B - o_i$  and  $C_i - o_i$  have a complete set of contact pairs of the form  $(u, u)$ .*

A particular case is when  $o_i \in r_B(C_i)B$ , and the contact points between  $r_B(C_i)B$  and  $C_i$  are the local extrema of the radial function  $|x - o_i|$  for  $x \in \partial(r_B(C_i)B)$ , provided that 0 is contained in the convex hull of these. Such a situation is illustrated on Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Convex discs with complete sets of contact pairs of the form  $(u, u)$

The direct application of Theorem 1 yields another sufficient condition.

**Proposition 1.** *Conjecture 2 holds in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  if for every  $i$  there exists some  $o_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  such that  $r_B(C_i)B - x_i$  and  $C_i - o_i$  have a complete set of contact pairs of the form  $(w, \hat{w})$ .*

Applications of Theorem 1 to translative coverings are listed in Section 3.

Although the above results are formulated for finite vector sets/families of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , they may be extended to an arbitrary number of vectors/convex sets in finite dimensional real or complex Hilbert spaces using the standard techniques.

Further developments, historical and mathematical details related to the plank problem may be found in [Am10, Be14, FT22+].

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For each  $i$ , let  $w_i = (u_i, v_i)$  and  $z_i = (x_i, y_i)$  with  $u_i, v_i, x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Select  $w_i \in W_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$  so as to maximize

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle - \sum_i \langle x_i, v_i \rangle - \sum_j \langle u_j, y_j \rangle$$

and set  $w = (u, v) = \sum_i w_i$ , that is,  $u = \sum_i u_i$  and  $v = \sum v_i$ . We will show that (4) holds for every  $k$ , that is,

$$(7) \quad \langle u - x_k, v_k \rangle + \langle u_k, v - y_k \rangle \geq 2\langle u_k, v_k \rangle.$$

Let  $k \in [n]$  be arbitrary. By the condition of the theorem, there exist non-negative numbers  $\alpha(w'_k)$ ,  $w'_k \in W_k$  so that  $\sum_{w'_k \in W_k} \alpha(w'_k) = 1$  and

$$\sum_{w'_k \in W_k} \alpha(w'_k) w'_k = (0, 0).$$

Moreover, since (6) is maximal, for each  $w'_k = (u'_k, v'_k) \in W_k$ ,

$$0 \geq \sum_{i \neq k} \langle u_i, v'_k - v_k \rangle + \sum_{j \neq k} \langle u'_k - u_k, v_j \rangle - \langle x_k, v'_k - v_k \rangle - \langle u'_k - u_k, y_k \rangle.$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\alpha(w'_k)$  and summing up for all  $w'_k \in W_k$  leads to

$$0 \geq \sum_{i \neq k} \langle u_i, -v_k \rangle + \sum_{j \neq k} \langle -u_k, v_j \rangle - \langle x_k, -v_k \rangle - \langle -u_k, y_k \rangle,$$

which directly implies (7).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We may assume that  $0 \in B$ . Let  $\lambda_i = r_B(C_i)$  for every  $i$ , and  $\lambda := \sum \lambda_i$ . Assume on the contrary that  $\lambda < 1$  and  $B \subset \bigcup (C_i + x'_i)$  with some  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $(1 + \varepsilon)\lambda < 1$ . For each  $i$ , let  $W_i$  be the complete set of contact pairs between  $\lambda_i B - o_i$  and  $C_i - o_i$  which contains  $(0, 0)$  in its convex hull. Also, set  $o = (1 + \varepsilon) \sum o_i$  and  $x_i = x'_i + o_i - o$  for each  $i$ .

Apply Theorem 1 to the sets  $(1 + \varepsilon)W_i$  and the corresponding points  $z_k = (2x_k, 0)$ . It implies the existence of  $w_i = (u_i, v_i) \in W_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$  so that setting  $w = (u, v) = \sum (1 + \varepsilon)w_i$ ,

$$\langle u - 2x_k, (1 + \varepsilon)v_k \rangle + \langle v, (1 + \varepsilon)u_k \rangle \geq 2(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \langle u_k, v_k \rangle$$

holds for each  $k$ . Since (5) implies that  $\langle u, v_k \rangle = \langle v, u_k \rangle$ , the above equation simplifies to

$$\langle u - x_k, v_k \rangle \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \langle u_k, v_k \rangle > \langle u_k, v_k \rangle.$$

Since  $u_k$  is a boundary point of  $C_k - o_k$  with outer normal  $v_k$ , the convexity of  $C_k$  implies that  $u - x_k \notin C_k - o_k$ , equivalently,  $u + o \notin C_k + x'_k$  for any  $k$ . On the other hand,  $u_i \in \lambda_i B - o_i$  for every  $i$ . Therefore,

$$u \in \sum_i (1 + \varepsilon) \lambda_i B - \sum_i (1 + \varepsilon) o_i = (1 + \varepsilon) \lambda B - o.$$

Since  $B$  is convex and  $0 \in B$ ,  $(1 + \varepsilon) \lambda B \subset B$ . Hence,  $u + o \in B$ , but it is not covered by any of the sets  $C_k + x'_k$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The proof of Proposition 1 is nearly identical, thus we leave it to the dedicated reader.

### 3. APPLICATIONS TO TRANSLATIVE COVERINGS

Corollary 1 readily implies the next statement regarding translative coverings.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$  be a family of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  containing the origin in their interior. For each  $i$ , let  $V_i \subset S^{d-1}$  be a set of direction vectors for which  $0 \in \text{conv } V_i$ . Denote by  $U_i$  the set of projection vectors of 0 onto the supporting hyperplanes of  $K_i$  corresponding to members of  $V_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  does not permit a translative covering of  $U_1 + \dots + U_n$ .*

A particular case is when all the  $K_i$ 's are homothets of a fixed convex body  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ . Such homothetic coverings have been studied extensively, see e.g. [Na18], Section 3.2 of [BrMP05] and Section 15.4 of [FT22+]. A related conjecture is due to Soltan [So90]:

**Conjecture 3** (V. Soltan). *Assume that  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  and that  $\lambda_1 K, \dots, \lambda_n K$  permit a translative covering of  $K$  with  $\lambda_i \in (0, 1)$  for every  $i$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \geq d.$$

Let  $T^d$  denote the  $d$ -dimensional regular simplex. Setting  $K = T^d$ ,  $n = d + 1$  and  $\lambda_i = \frac{d}{d+1}$  shows that the above bound may not be improved.

Conjecture 4 was proved for  $d = 2$  or  $n = d + 1$  by Soltan and Vászárhelyi [SoV93] and for  $K = B^d$  by Glazyrin [Gl19], while Naszódi [Na10] showed that  $\sum \lambda_i > \alpha d$  for any fixed  $\alpha < 1$  if  $d$  is sufficiently large.

Vászárhelyi [Vá84] showed that given a triangle  $T$  in the plane of area 1, any family of its negative homothets whose areas sum up to at least 4 permits a translative covering of  $T$ . We prove a reverse statement resembling Conjecture 4 which holds in arbitrary dimensions.

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a non-degenerate simplex, and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$  are so that the family  $-\lambda_1 T, \dots, -\lambda_n T$  permits a translative covering of  $T$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \geq d.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $T = T^d$  with its centre at 0. Let  $V$  be the set of normal directions of the facets of  $T^d$  and  $U$  be the set of projection vectors of 0 onto the facets of  $T^d$ . It is well-known that  $\text{conv } U = -\frac{1}{d}T^d$ . Applying Proposition 2 with  $K_i = -\lambda_i T^d$ ,  $V_i = V$  and  $U_i = \lambda_i U$  yields an uncovered point in

$$U_1 + \dots + U_n \subset (\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)T^d,$$

which implies (8). □

Based on Theorem 3, G. Fejes Tóth [FT22+] formulated the following extension of Soltan's conjecture to coefficients of arbitrary sign.

**Conjecture 4** (G. Fejes Tóth). *Assume that  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$  and that  $\lambda_1 K, \dots, \lambda_n K$  permit a translative covering of  $K$  with  $\lambda_i \in (-1, 1)$  for every  $i$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \geq d.$$

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to G. Fejes Tóth, O. Ortega Moreno, and J. Pach for the illuminating conversations on the subject.

I would like to dedicate this piece of work to the loving memory of my father, Imre Ambrus (1953-2021.)

## REFERENCES

- [AkK12] A. Akopyan, R. Karasev, *Kadets-type theorems for partitions of a convex body*. Discrete Comput. Geom. **48** (2012), 766–776.
- [AkKP19] A. Akopyan, R. Karasev, and F. Petrov, *Bang's problem and symplectic invariants*. J. Symplectic Geom. **17** (2019) no. 6, 1579–1611.
- [Al68] R. Alexander, *A problem about lines and ovals*. Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 482–487.
- [Am10] G. Ambrus, *Appendix: Plank problems*. Manuscript, 2010.
- [Ba91] K.M. Ball, *The plank problem for symmetric bodies*. Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 535–543.
- [Ba92] ———, *A lower bound for the optimal density of lattice packings*. Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 217–221.
- [Ba01] ———, *Convex Geometry and Functional Analysis*. in: Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, vol. 1 (ed. W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss), Elsevier (2001), 161–194.
- [Ba50] Th. Bang, *On covering by parallel-strips*. Matematisk Tidsskrift B (1950), 49–53.
- [Ba51] ———, *A solution of the "Plank problem"*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 990–993.
- [Ba54] ———, *Some remarks on the union of convex bodies*. In: Tolfte Skandinaviska Matematikerkongressen, Lund, (1953), Lunds Universitets Matematiska Institution, Lund, (1954), pp. 5–11.
- [Ba21] A. Balitskiy, *A multi-plank generalization of the Bang and Kadets inequalities*. Israel J. Math. **245** (2021), 209–230.
- [Bá82] I. Bárány, *A generalization of Carathéodory's theorem*. Discrete Math. **40** (1982) no. 2–3, 141–152.
- [BrMP05] P. Brass, W. Moser, J. Pach, *Research problems in discrete geometry*. Springer, 2005.
- [Be07] A. Bezdek, *On a generalization of Tarski's plank problem*. Discrete Comput. Geom. **38** (2007), 189–200.
- [BeB95] A. Bezdek, K. Bezdek, *A solution of Conway's fried potato problem*. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 5, 492–496.
- [BeB96] ———, *Conway's fried potato problem revisited*. Arch. Math. **66** (1996) no. 6, 522–528.
- [Be14] K. Bezdek, *Tarski's Plank Problem Revisited*. In: Geometry – Intuitive, Discrete, and Convex, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. **24**, János Bolyai Math. Soc. and Springer (2014), pp. 45–64.
- [FT22+] L. Fejes Tóth, *Lagerungen – Arrangements in the Plane, On the Sphere and in Space. With additional material by G. Fejes Tóth and W. Kuperberg*. To appear, Springer Verlag.
- [Fe51] W. Fenchel, *On Th. Bang's solution of the plank problem*. Matematisk Tidsskrift B (1951), 49–51.
- [Gl19] A. Glazyrin, *Covering a ball by smaller balls*. Discrete Comput. Geom. **62** (2019), no 4, 781–787.
- [Hu93] H.F. Hunter, *Some special cases of Bang's inequality*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993) no. 3, 819–821.
- [Ka05] V. Kadets, *Coverings by convex bodies and inscribed balls*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **133** (2005) no. 5, 1491–1496.
- [Mo58] W.O.J. Moser, *On the relative widths of coverings by convex bodies*. Canad. Math. Bull. **1** (1958), 154, 168, 174.
- [Na10] M. Naszódi, *Covering a set with homothets of a convex body*. Positivity **14** (2010), no. 1, 69–74.
- [Na18] M. Naszódi, *Flavors of translative coverings*. In: New trends in intuitive geometry, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. **27**, János Bolyai Math. Soc. and Springer (2018), pp. 335–358.
- [Na97] F. Nazarov, *The Bang solution of the coefficient problem*. Algebra i Analiz **9** (1997), 272–287.
- [Oh53] D. Ohmann, *Über die Summe der Inkreisradien bei Überdeckung*. Math. Ann. **125** (1953), 350–354.
- [So90] V.P. Soltan, *personal communication*, 1990.
- [SoV93] V.P. Soltan, É. Vásárhelyi, *Covering a convex body by smaller homothetic copies*. Geometriae Dedicata **45** (1993), 163–172.
- [Ta32] A. Tarski, *Uwagi o stopniu równoważności wielokątów* (Further remarks about the degree of equivalence of polygons). Odbilka Z. Parametru **2** (1932), 310–314.
- [Vá84] É. Vásárhelyi, *Über eine Überdeckung mit homothetischen Dreiecken*. Beiträge Algebra Geom. **17** (1984), 61–70.

GERGELY AMBRUS

*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd Research Network, Budapest, Hungary, and Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary*  
 e-mail address: [ambrus@renyi.hu](mailto:ambrus@renyi.hu)