

Artificial Intelligence for Suicide Assessment using Audiovisual Cues: A Review

Sahraoui Dhelim ¹, Liming Chen ², Huansheng Ning ^{3*} and Chris Nugent ²

¹School of Computer Science, Dublin College University, Ireland

²School of Computing, Ulster University, United Kingdom

³School of Computer and Communication Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, China

*Corresponding author: ninghuansheng@ustb.edu.cn

Abstract

Death by suicide is the seventh of the leading death cause worldwide. The recent advancement in Artificial Intelligence (AI), specifically AI application in image and voice processing, has created a promising opportunity to revolutionize suicide risk assessment. Subsequently, we have witnessed fast-growing literature of researches that applies AI to extract audiovisual non-verbal cues for mental illness assessment. However, the majority of the recent works focus on depression, despite the evident difference between depression signs and suicidal behavior non-verbal cues. In this paper, we review the recent works that study suicide ideation and suicide behavior detection through audiovisual feature analysis, mainly suicidal voice/speech acoustic features analysis and suicidal visual cues.

Keywords: suicide detection; machine learning; speech analysis; visual cues; suicide ideation detection;

1. Introduction

Suicide is a global mental health problem, yearly more than 800,000 people pass away from suicide, and more than 16 million people attempt suicide [1]. Suicide is currently the second leading cause of death for people aged between 15 and 29 years. The costs to the families and economy are high. It is still a challenge to assess suicide risks and detect suicide due to the transient and ambivalent nature of severe suicide intent, and the willingness to disclose genuine suicidal thoughts and intensity. Most suicide risk assessment methods depend on voluntary disclosure of the patient; most of the suicidal patients deny suicide ideation during an interview. In such cases, the clinician relies on various secondary information sources, such as the patient's health records, the nurse observation, and other secondary factors. However, the final judgment about the suicide risk level is based on the clinician's intuition and observation of the patient's behaviors during the interview. Although the clinician can observe obvious emotions and body gestures, however, the clinician cannot observe micro facial expressions and biosignals such as heartbeat rate and brain waves. Therefore, building an AI-enabled suicide risk assessment tool that observes the patient's biomarker and audiovisual cues and learns suicide ideation patterns might be a decisive indicator that could help the clinician to reach a clear judgment. In recent years, automatic mental disorders detection using audiovisual data has become an active research topic. Where the patient's acoustic and visual markers are analysed to detect mental disorders such as depression [2].

The recent advancement in Artificial Intelligence (AI), specifically AI application in image and voice processing, has created a promising opportunity to revolutionize suicide risk assessment. Subsequently, we have witnessed fast-growing literature of researches that applies AI to extract audiovisual non-verbal cues for mental illness assessment. However, the majority of the recent works focus on depression, despite the evident difference between depression signs and suicidal behavior non-verbal cues. In this paper, we review the recent works that study suicide ideation and suicide behavior detection through audiovisual feature analysis, mainly suicidal voice/speech acoustic features analysis and suicidal facial expression cues. Previous researches have proved the relationship between acoustic features and suicide tendency [3]–[5]; suicidal patients have lower acoustic energy, breathy voice quality and abnormal glottal control compared

to people without suicide ideation history. In this paper, we review the recent works that study suicide ideation and suicide behavior detection through audiovisual feature analysis, mainly suicidal voice/speech acoustic features analysis and suicidal visual cues. The suicidal marker that can be extracted from audiovisual data, can be roughly divided into two main categories. Audio markers that convey the suicidal cue that can be obtained by analysing the acoustic features of the speech, and visual markers that can be observed in the suicidal patient's upper body behaviors during suicidal assessment procedure such as a clinical interview. Figure 1 shows the most used acoustic and visual features used in the literature of suicidal assessment using audiovisual data.




Figure 1 Suicidal audiovisual markers classification

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we focus on speech analysis and audio-based suicide assessment. In Section 3, we review the works that used upper body features extracted from visual cues for suicide assessment. While Section 4 focuses on the detection models applied on audiovisual data for suicide behavior detection. In section 5, we summarize the findings and outline possible future directions. Finally, Section 6 concludes the review.

2. Suicidal audio markers

Audio markers are classified as prosodic features, source features, formant features, and spectral features.

2.1. Prosodic features

Prosodic features measure the long-time the variations in speaker's speech rhythm, speech stress level, and intonation of speech. Some of the prominent examples of prosodic features are the speaking rate, the speaker's pitch and loudness level, and the speaker's energy dynamics. Among these prosodic features, the energy, and the fundamental frequency (also known as F_0 , e.g. the rate of vocal fold vibration) and are the most commonly used prosodic features, as they are direct indicators of pitch and loudness. Gideon et al. [6] extracted eGeMAPS features that include prosodic features along with emotional features obtained from the speech from recordings of natural phone conversations to detect suicidal callers. They could extract low-level descriptors (LLDs) for frequency, amplitude, spectral and energy, the parameters in every speech section, which yielded 23 values per frame. Scherer et al. [4] analysed speech properties of prosodic as well

as source (voice quality) features that were extracted from the dyadic interview corpus of both suicidal and non-suicidal adolescents. They have found various statistically significant differences between the speech properties of suicidal and healthy subjects. The source and voice quality features exhibit the strongest differences between the two subject groups. Specifically, NAQ, OQ and peak features are strongly associated with voice qualities on the tense dimension to breathy, which show that suicidal subjects' voices are more breathy than the voice of healthy people. France et al. [7] studied the prosodic feature (f₀ and AM), along with other acoustic features extracted from two recording samples, male subjects sample and female subjects samples. They found that formant and spectral features are the best discriminators between male and female samples, and that AM feature is the most discriminator within male subjects.

2.2. Source features

Source features are the main influencers of the changes in voice quality, changes in vocal fold vibration, loudness, vocal tract shape and pitch variation. The source features measures the quality of the voice production process, and observes the changes as the airflow from the lungs and passes through the glottis. When the patient is in a suicidal state, the laryngeal control is affected, such as harshness, breathiness and creakiness. hence this change is reflected on the source feature [8]. The source features are either extracted by measuring the changes of glottal characteristics or changes in vocal fold movements and subsequently the change in voice quality features. The majority of source features are computed by analysing the time-series data of the glottal flow signal [9], [10]. Nonetheless, it is challenging to determine the critical time points because of the non-uniform vocal fold activity, signal noise [11]. Source features widely used in suicidal speech analysis include the jitter, which represents the cycle-to-cycle fluctuations in glottal pulse timing during a suicidal speech; shimmer, which represents the cycle-to-cycle fluctuations in glottal pulse amplitude in voiced regions; and harmonic-to-noise ratio (HNR), which represent the ratio of harmonics to inharmonic. These source features have been proven to be correlated with suicidality [5][12], that is because they are directly related to vocal fold vibration, which influences the vocal fold tension and subglottal pressure [13]. However, due to the difficulties of accurately extracting source features from speech signals, most of the works in automatic suicidal detection fail to establish a strong correlation between source features only and suicidal, therefore the source features are usually combined with features from other audio categories. Another influencing factor when extracting source features such as shimmer, jitter and HNR is the speech type from which these features were extracted, such as continuous speaking or held vowels context. Generally, the held vowels make the extraction of source features much easier, but they are prone to an error related to individual-related differences in sound pressure levels, which could leads to inaccuracy feature analysis. Whereas the analysing continuous speech to extract source features is more challenging than extracting them from held vowels, as it is extremely difficult to automatically determine voiced segments in the studied utterance [14]. Identification of speech sections that contain significant source features is an active research direction in the field of suicidal speech analysis [14]. Chakravarthula et al. [15] analysed source acoustic features in military couples' conversations and tried to deduce suicidal markers from these acoustic markers. Specifically, they have combined voice quality features (jitter, shimmer) with features from other acoustic categories, mainly prosodic features (pitch, intensity), and spectral features (MFCCs, line spectral frequencies). They fused these acoustic features with lexical features (e.g. LIWC) and behavioral features and applied SVM to classify the subjects into various classes (risk vs no-risk classes, degree of risk classes, non-severe vs severe risk classes). Belouali et al. [16] combined source features, mainly jitter, shimmer, along with other features prosodic features to study the statistical significance of the correlation of these features in army veterans' daily conversation and suicidality. A fused set of 15 acoustic features extracted from the speech were measured by the ensemble feature selection. Random Forest (RF) classifier was applied to determine suicidal group, which yielded 86% sensitivity and 70% specificity. Venek et al. [17] fused source features (NAQ, QOQ) with prosodic

features (f0, PSP, MDQ, PS) Formant features (f1,f2) to analyze suicidal speech. They applied hierarchical classifier (SVM then AdaBoostM1) on speech of 60 audio-recorded dyadic clinician-patient interviews of 30 suicidal patient. They found that there were 37 statistically significant features that could distinguish suicidal from non-suicidal subjects, that including: Speech time, Pause time, Personal Pron, 1st person Pron, Impersonal Pron, Past Tense, Negation, Positive emotion, Negative emotion, Tentative, Death, Nonfluencie, Assent, f0, NAQ, QOQ, PSP, MDQ, PS, Rd, F1.

2.3. Formant features

Formant features are also known as filter features, which measure the resonant characteristic of the nasal and vocal tracts that filter the source coming from the vocal folds. The shape of the nasal and vocal tracks are used to reduces some frequencies and increase other frequencies. As the formant features include vocal tract and acoustic resonance information, hence these features can capture the changes in the suicidal patient's speech changes, if these changes are reflected in mucus secretion and muscle tension. Many studied have proven the relationship between formant features changes and suicidal state. For instance, the early study by France et al. [7] showed that the increase in formant features, specifically the formant frequencies and the decrease in formant bandwidth are higher within suicidal patients. Stasak et al [18] extracted the formant F1 features, in addition to the disfluency and voice quality features from the speech of 226 psychiatric inpatients with a recorded suicidal behavior. They manually annotated the recorded speeches and convert them to low-dimensional vectors, which help to identify suicidal patients with more than 73% accuracy. Shah et al. [19] extracted the F0, F1-F3 bandwidths, spectral flux, loudness and average temporal interval of voiced, as well as silent speech sections. Every feature was averaged over the total duration of the video yielding scalar features. Variance in pitch was measured as the standard deviation in the F0 values in voiced sections.

2.4. Spectral features

Spectral features measure the spectrum of the subject's speech; which represents the frequency distribution of the speech signal at a given time. Some of the widely used spectral features for suicidal speech analysis include Mel Frequency Cepstral Features (MFCCs) and Power Spectral Density (PSD). Many previous studies have proven the relationship between spectral features, such as energy shift that is measured by PSD, and suicidal speech [20]. Akkaralaertsest et al. [21] extracted and fused spectral features, including the Glottal Spectral Slope (GSS) and Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) from the voiced section of the speech sample database. Following that, they combined these spectral features with other acoustic features, mainly source and formant features to a classification model. Their results prove that by combining features from the speech production system (source features), along with spectral features can largely increase the classification accuracy. Keskinpala et al [22] extracted and analysed the spectral features of male and female speech samples from high-risk suicidal patients, specifically, they analysed MFCC and energy in frequency bands. Their results suggest that mel-cepstral coefficients and energy in frequency band features are highly effective to distinguish between depressed and suicidal patients. Anunvrapong et al. [23] leveraged MFCC and Delta-MFCC (Δ MFCC) spectral features. They extracted and analysed Δ MFCCs which is the sixteen consecutive MFCCs, then trained a classifier using various speech datasets of depressed and suicidal patients. Their proposed classifier can distinguish between suicidal and depression patients with 95% accuracy. Ozdas et al. [24] extracted MFCC features by dividing the voiced speech segments and measure the logarithm of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of every speech segment. Every log-spectrum is further filtered with 16 triangular filters. Using only the MFCC features, they could reach 80% accuracy in classifying near-term suicidal patients and non-depressed subjects. Similarly, Wahidah et al. [25] used Power Spectral Density (PSD) and MFCC features and achieved 79% accuracy in classifying suicidal patients.

Table 1 Acoustic suicidal markers

Types	Feature	Study
Prosodic features	f0 mean	[16][26][6] [4][7]
	f0 variability	[17][16][26][6] [4][7]
	f0 range	[16][26][6] [4] [7]
	Intensity mean	[15]
	Intensity variability	[15]
	Energy	[16] [27][6] [4]
	Energy velocity	[16] [27][6] [4]
	Maximum phonation time	[16]
	Speech rate	[17]
	Articulation rate	
	Time talking	[17]
	Pause duration mean	[17]
	Pause variability	[17]
	Pause rate	[17]
	Pauses total	[17]
	Pitch	[15] [16][27] [6] [19]
	PSP	[17] [26]
	MDQ	[26]
	PS	[26]
	Energy	[22] [16] [27][6] [4]
Source features	Jitter	[15] [16][6][5]
	Shimmer	[15] [16][6]
	QOQ	[17] [16] [26] [4]
	NAQ	[17] [16][26] [4]
	Spectral slope	[6] [5] [4] [21]
	vocal-tract	[28] [20]
Formant features	F1 mean	[26][6][18] [19] [7]

	F2 mean	[6] [19] [7]
	F1 variability	[17] [26][6] [18] [19] [7]
	F2 variability	[17][6] [19] [7]
	MFCC	[15][16] [6] [21][23] [24] [22] [25] [29] [30]
	Line spectral features	[15]
	Spectral flex	[6] [19]
	PSD	[31] [22] [25] [29] [20]
	Spectral stationarity	[4]

3. Suicidal visual markers

Visual markers are classified as facial features, eye movement features or posture features.

3.1. Facial features

A growing literature in mental disorder detection has proven that facial appearance and facial expression can carry significant non-verbal cues that can be further interpreted to assess various mental disorders such as depression [2], bipolar [32] and social anxiety [33]. As depression and other mental disorders are contributing factors in many suicide cases, add to that the abnormal facial behavior that suicidal patients usually exhibit when dealing with stressful situations. All of this has made facial expression an important non-verbal suicidal cue for distinguishing suicidal patients. Kleiman et al. [34] analysed the facial features extracted from photos of 40 people who committed suicides. After performing t-test, they found that accuracy in discerning whether a subject had committed suicide was significantly higher than chance guessing by participants. Laksana et al. [35] extracted various visual features including frowning, smiling, head movement and eyebrow-raising behaviors. They investigated the occurrence and the frequency of these behaviors. The results suggest that facial expressions such as smiling behaviours are more statistically correlated with suicide than other visual features such as eye movements and head movement. Eigbe et al. [36] studied the smile dynamics (e.g. genuine vs fake smiles) of three groups: people with suicide ideation, people with depression and healthy control subjects. They found that suicidal subjects had the shortest smiling time duration, and the healthy control subject had the longest smiling time. Moreover, the percentage of genuine smiles in suicidal subjects was the lowest, and the healthy control subjects also had a higher percentage of speaking smiles and the longest laughing duration compared to depressed and suicidal groups.

3.2. Eye movement features

Besides that facial expression and smile features, eye movement features have been proven to have a strong correlation with suicidal intent, especially when the suicidal patients are intimidated by a suicide-related question that they often try to avoid answering. In such circumstances, the patients tend to avoid eye contact with the interviewer, with frequent gazing down behaviours. Eigbe et al. [36] studied the gaze aversion (e.g. looking down) of three groups: people with suicide ideation, people with depression and healthy control subjects. They found that the depressed group had significantly less gaze down count than the suicidal

group and healthy control group. Additionally, suicide group subjects have higher gazing down, they also found that suicidal patients spent a higher frequency of gazing down than control patients, and their gazes time were longer than other groups as well. Shah et al. [19] investigated the eye gaze movement and concluded that the frequent shift in eye gaze aversion and eye gaze represents a vital behavioural indicator, as they reflect the subjects' social avoidance, which is strongly correlated with suicidal ideation.

3.3. Posture features

Although posture features extracted from body movement have been proven to be a strong indicator of depression [2], however very few works have studied the relationship between body movement and suicidal behaviors. Galatzer-Levy et al. [37] used OpenFace to extract the angle of the head's yaw (horizontal movements) and head's pitch (vertical movement) from a video recording of suicidal patients' interviews. After analysing the head movement along with vocal and facial features, and their correlation with suicidality, their results indicate that head yaw and head pitch variability have significant negative linear relationships with suicidality and that low levels of head movement is strongly correlated with suicide severity. Laksana et al. [35] studied head movements that are interpreted as anxious expressions, such as fidgeting, looking around the room, and their relationship with suicide ideation. They observed that suicidal patients often exhibit anxious expression and their high head velocity is higher than healthy control subjects that remain relatively stable during the interview.

Table 2 Visual suicidal markers

Facial	Smile Intensity	[35][2][38]
	Smile duration	[2][38]
	Duchenne Smile Percentage	[35]
	Sharpness of Smile Onset/Offset	[35]
	Frowning Behavior	[35]
	Eyebrow Raises	[35]
	Facial expression (Action unit)	[37][39]
	Smile	[36]
	frequent changes in facial expression	[19]
	Mouth nose distance	[40]
	Mouth landmark/ mouth centroid	[41]
	Facial landmark velocity/acceleration	[42]
	Polynomial fitting	[42]
Eyes	shift in eye gaze	[19]
	eye gaze aversion	[19][43]
	gazing down behavior	[36]

	pupil location	[19]
	gaze angle	[19]
	frequently shifting gaze	[19]
	eye pupil movement	[40]
	blinking frequency	[40]
	Eyebrows and mouth corners movement	[40]
	Distance between eyebrows	[40]
	Distance upper-lower eyelid	[41]
	Average vertical gaze	[2] [38]
	Vertical eyelid movement	[43]
Posture	Head Motion Velocity	[35] [43]
	head's pitch (up and down movement)	[37] [43]
	Head yaw (side to side movement)	[37] [43]
	STIP features (upper body movement)	[44][45]

Table 3 Audiovisual suicide assessment scheme

Ref	Recording	Acoustic features	Visual features	Model
[15]	Conversation	MFCCs line spectral frequencies jitter shimmer	N/A	SVM
[17][6][26]	Interview	Normalized Amplitude Quotient (NAQ) Quasi-Open Quotient (QOQ) Parabolic Spectral Parameter (PSP) Maxima Dispersion Quotient (MDQ) Peak Slope (PS) Liljencrants-Fant model parameter Rd Formants (F1, F2)	N/A	SVM AdaBoostM1

[16]	Interview	Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) Frequency, Energy, Amplitude F0, jitter, shimmer, amplitude perturbation quotient, pitch perturbation quotient, logarithmic energy, opening quotient (OQ), normalized amplitude quotient (NAQ)	N/A	logistic regression (LR); random forest (RF); support vector machines (SVM); XGBoost (XGB); k-nearest neighbors (KNN); and deep neural network (DNN).
[27]	Interview	pitch energy spectral feature voice quality	N/A	Statistical analysis
[37]	Interview	speech prevalence	The angle of the head's pitch (up and down movement) yaw (side to side movement) Facial Action Coding Scheme (FACS)	Statistical analysis
[6]	Conversation EMA	eGeMAPS features	Emotions	DNN (emotion recognition)
[18]	Reading task	F1 Disfluency GRBASI voice quality	N/A	SVM KNN
[35]	Interview	N/A	smiling, Frowning Behavior, Eyebrow Raises,	SVM Random Forest, Multinomial Naive Bayes.

			Head Motion Velocity	
[19]	Conversation	F0, F1-F3, F1-F3 bandwidths, loudness, spectral flux pitch variations	the shift in eye gaze eye gaze aversion pupil location gaze angle frequently shifting gaze	Multimodal predictive modelling
[34]	N/A	N/A	Images	N/A
[36]	Interview	N/A	Smile Gazing down behavior	SVM Random Forest Multinomial Naïve Bayes
[4]	Interview	Energy Fundamental frequency (f0) Peak slope (peak) Spectral stationarity (ss)	N/A	HMM SVM
[7]	Interview	Voice fundamental frequency (f0) Amplitude modulation (AM) Formants Power distribution	N/A	Statistical analysis
[31]	Read speech interview	Power spectral densities peak power, peak location, PSD1, PSD2, PSD3	N/A	Statistical analysis
[5]	Natural speech	Jitter Glottal flow spectrum	N/A	Statistical analysis
[21]	Interview Read speech	Glottal Spectral Slope (GSS) Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC)	N/A	PCA Least Squares (LS)

[23]	interview	MFCC	N/A	ML and LMS
[28]	Interview Read speech	vocal-tract articulation	N/A	GMM
[24]	Interview	MFCC	N/A	GMM
[22]	Interview Read speech	mel-cepstral coefficients, Energy in frequency bands (power spectral density).	N/A	unimodal Gaussian modelling
[25] [29]	Interview Read speech	Power Spectral Density (PSD) MFCC	N/A	Statistical analysis
[30]	Interview Read speech	MFCC	N/A	GMM
[20]	Interview Read speech	Power Spectral Density (PSD) vocal-tract articulation	N/A	GMM

4. Artificial intelligent suicide detection models

In order to detect suicidal signs from audiovisual data, most of the existing works applied either statistical analysis to describe the uniqueness of suicidal patients compared to healthy subjects, or machine learning classifiers to infer the commonalities among suicidal patients. Statistical analysis methods [6], [7], [25], [27], [29], [31] are usually performed to infer meta-knowledge from the extracted audiovisual features. For instance, Doval et al. [27] modeled the speech feature stream as the observed variable of a nonlinear dynamical system, then they calculated the largest Lyapunov coefficient and correlation dimension of speech series. Gideon et al. [6] investigated the statistical relationship between suicidal ideation and emotion estimated from speech. Specifically, they computed the within-subject standard deviation of each emotion to gauge each emotion's variability. Similarly, Shah et al. [19] computed GNorm distance to identify the statistical significance between suicidal and non-suicidal groups. On the other hand, ML classification methods are used to categorize the subjects with similar audiovisual features and are expected to distinguish suicidal patients from the healthy subjects. Among all the classifiers, SVM is by far the most used one [4], [15]–[18], [26], [35], [36]. For example, Chakravarthula et al. [15] leveraged SVM classifier to categorize the subjects into various classes (risk vs no-risk, degree of risk, non-severe vs severe risk). Venek et al. [17][26] proposed a hierarchical classification method with two layers. In the first layer, the suicidal patients and the non-suicidal patients are distinguished using SVM classifier. In the second layer, the suicidal repeaters and the non-repeaters are classified using the ensemble algorithm AdaBoostM1. Similarly, Stasak et al. [18] used an automatic 2-class classification using SVM and non-linear cosine k-nearest neighbor (KNN) algorithm. While some other works [20], [24], [28] have applied GMM to model the suicidal patients' class distributions of the extracted audiovisual features. Surprisingly, deep-learning models are rarely used in the literature of suicide detection from audiovisual data, despite their popularity in similar tasks such as depression detection [46], as deep-learning models have been proven to achieve good results when applied on audiovisual data.

5. Discussion and limitations

Data collection scenario: There are three methods for eliciting audiovisual data. (1) through an open interview between the patient and the clinician; (2) by capturing the audiovisual recording during casual conversations; (3) or by asking to patients to read a speech or a set of sentences. Each one of these methods has its advantages. The interview gives the upper hand to the clinician to guide the interview, and try to intimidate the patient with deep questions to provoke him/her in the quest of getting the patient to manifest suicidal markers. The reading task method makes it easy to distinguish suicidal patient when compared to the rest of the control participant, that is because the recorded sentence is the same for all the participant, which enable the machine learning model to focus on the audiovisual feature differences between suicidal patients and the control participants. The second advantage of the reading task method is that the model requires less data to learn, as it does not need to learn of the context of the spoken sentence from the data, unlike the interview method, where the model needs to deduce the context of the spoken sentences. For example, unlike the reading task, in the interview method; if there is a sudden change in one of the acoustic markers such as jitter or f_0 , the model needs to distinguish the reason behind that sudden change from the context of the interview, is it because of grammatical contexts, such as intonation, or a suicidal cue. The second advantage of the reading task is that the clinician can design the reading passage to target specific sounds or syllables that are related to certain suicidal cue patterns. One of the famous reading task known as the “rainbow passage”, which is used in speech science because it contains all of the normal sounds in spoken English, and is phonetically balanced.

Large-size dataset and Population generalization: One of the main limitations of some related works is the relatively small size of the dataset used to train the proposed models, both in terms of the number of patients and recorded duration. E.g. $n=20$ [37], $n=90$ [19], $n=97$ [27], $n=126$ [35], $n=124$ [15]. As the assessment tool in this project will be developed in the form of a mobile app, this will allow us to gather a large dataset in terms of the number of participants, as the assessment does not require the presence of a clinician, and also the end-to-end automatic does not require any human annotator, this will allow the collection of large training data. Many of the previous work has focused their studies on specific high-risk groups, and the dataset that they used to generate the results and draw the conclusion is related only to that specific group. For example, army veterans [16][27], Adolescent [26], LGBT [48]. However, acoustic variability is highly affected by linguistic information and speaker characteristics such as age, gender and cultural background. Therefore, the challenges in finding a speech-based marker for suicide require the study of a large population from different groups to tune and generalize the proposed suicide assessment tool.

Recording settings: The audiovisual data recording is conducted in a controlled, semi-controlled, or free environment. In controlled settings, the recording is conducted inside an acoustically ideal environment such as an anechoic chamber with a high-quality microphone, and in some cases, the patients were explicitly instructed not to drink caffeinated drinks or alcoholic beverages for several hours prior to the recording to ensure the use of these did not influence their voice quality. While in semi-controlled environment, the requirement of the soundproof chamber and high-quality microphone is relaxed, therefore the recording can be done remotely, however, the subjects are still instructed to follow some guidelines, such as being in an empty room, or facing a laptop during the recording. Finally, in the free environment, the recording is conducted in any circumstances, usually using smartphones, which gives the advantage of interacting with the patients in natural environments, hence minimize recall bias and maximize ecological validity, and allow the study of micro-processes that influence behavior in real-world contexts [49]. On the other hand, this poses a great challenge in processing the voice in an uncontrolled environment. Which involves extra work on noise removal and acoustic feature pre-processing, due to the low quality of smartphone

microphones compared to dedicated microphones [50]. Table 3 presents study samples and data collection scenarios of the reviewed works.

Table 3 Studied samples and data collection scenarios

Ref	N	Sample	Collection scenario
[15]	124	military couples	Recorded home conversations of veteran couples, following that they subjects were asked to take reasons-for-living (RFL) and relationship counselling interviews. The interviews are 10 minutes long where the subjects were asked to discuss about life meaning and the their relationship conflicts.
[17][26]	60	Adolescent	Total of 30 male and 30 females were asked to fill Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire-Junior (SIQ-JR) and Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS), and take an interview with a trained social worker.
[16]	124	Army veterans	Subjects regularly complete suicide assessment questionnaire in audio, and submit the recording via an Android app. The questionnaires contains open-ended questions, where the subjects can express their views about life meaning and other suicide related topics.
[27]	54	military personnel	The subjects were interviewed for 10 minutes to 1 hour by 5 therapists specialized in suicide risk assessment, where the subjects answered Beck Scale for Suicidal Ideation (BSSI) and the Suicide Attempt Self-Injury Interview (SASII) questionnaires . The interview conversations were recorded using microphone in controlled environment.
[37]	20	Psychiatric hospital patients with suicidal history	Collected interview video recording of suicidal patients in hospital, and remote patient monitoring video using smartphones app when these patients were discharged from the hospital.
[6]	43	Suicidal patients	Following suicide patients discharge from hospital, they were asked to install android app that records their daily phone conversations. A total of 4,078 calls over 402 hours were collected.
[18]	246	Suicidal patients	Collected audio recordings of suicidal patients reading set of selected sentences, such as “my life has meaning”.
[35]	379	126 mentally ill patients, and 130 suicidal patients	Collected the video recording of every patients when answering interview questions for 8 minutes.
[19]	90	Suicidal social media users	Collected social media videos that were shared by suicidal patients. And only the videos where the subjects were talking directly to the camera is selected.

[34]	40	Suicidal students	Extracted photos of suicidal students from their high school yearbook in the past.
[36]	379	126 mentally ill patients, and 130 suicidal patients	Collected the video recording of every patient when answering interview questions for 8 minutes.
[51]	253	Suicidal patients	Recorded the subjects' responses to standardized suicide interviews designed to harvest thought markers.
[4]	60	teenagers between the ages of 12 and 17	Collected the video recording of subjects when answering 5 open-ended questions related to suicide ideation. The recordings were collected in a controlled examination room using a tabletop microphone.
[7]	22	Suicidal patients	Collected the audio of suicidal patients when interviewed by therapists.
[31]	23	Suicidal patients	Collected the audio recording of each patient during the interview with the therapist, as well as when the patient is reading a pre-selected rainbow passage from a book.
[5]	20	Suicidal patients	Collected the audio recording of suicidal patients during real-life situations, the recording was filtered and only high-quality recordings were considered.
[21]	30	Suicidal and depressed patients	Collected the audio recording of each patient during the interview with the therapist, as well as when the patient is reading a pre-selected rainbow passage from a book.
[23]	10	Suicidal and depressed patients	Collected the audio recording of the subject's speech when answering interview questions in a controlled environment.
[28]	30	Suicidal and depressed patients	Collected the audio recording of each patient during the interview with the therapist, as well as when the patient is reading a pre-selected rainbow passage from a book.
[24]	30	Suicidal and depressed patients	Collected the audio recording of the subject's speech when answering interview questions in a controlled environment.
[22]	30	Suicidal and depressed patients	Collected the audio recording of the patient reading a pre-selected rainbow passage from a book. The rainbow passage is selected to include all the sounds of spoken English, and phonetically balanced.
[25][29]	23	Suicidal and depressed patients	The patients were recorded during the interview in a soundproof acoustically ideal room, where the patients were asked to take Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD) and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II). Following that, the patients were asked to read a rainbow passage.

[20]	20	Suicidal and depressed patients	One is a speech sample recorded from a clinical interview with a therapist and another is a speech sample recorded from a text-reading session.
------	----	---------------------------------	---

Important audiovisual features: Among all the acoustic features, MFCC and F0 is the most used feature that has been strongly correlated with the features has consistently been observed to change with a speaker's mental state. And when MFCCs are inputted to GMM as an effective mechanism of speech analysis, this combination has been proven to be ideal for classifying either high-low levels of suicidality. Facial expression is the most effective feature that has been strongly correlated with suicide. Most of the facial features used in the reviewed works are dynamic features, where the changes in the facial feature are observed over a period of time, such as smile intensity or eye movement, which require video recording. Therefore, we clearly observe that works that used video have generally high accuracy compared to works that use only static visual features extracted from images. Furthermore, works that used multimodal features from different categories or even within the same category usually yield better accuracy compared with single modality schemes, e.g., combining multiple audio features from different categories or visual cues from face and upper body for instance.

Standardized audiovisual processing framework: Preprocessing, extracting and manipulation of audiovisual features can pose many challenging tasks. However, the usage of collaborative and freely available repositories for speech and video processing frameworks can facilitate boost researches in this field. For acoustic features processing the collaborative voice analysis repository for speech technologies (COVAREP) [52] and The Geneva minimalistic acoustic parameter set (GeMAPS) [53] are the most popular tools of acoustic features manipulation. For the visual feature, one of the prominent tools is OpenFace library, which is capable of facial landmark detection, head pose estimation, facial action unit recognition, and eye-gaze estimation.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have reviewed the recent works that study suicide ideation and suicidal behavior detection through audiovisual feature analysis, mainly suicidal voice/speech acoustic features analysis and suicidal visual cues. Although that audiovisual have been proven to convey significant non-verbal cue that can be further interpreted to assess various mental disorders, however, the literature of audiovisual based suicide assessment still relatively limited to few small-scale experiments. Although the clinician can observe obvious emotions and body gestures, however, the clinician cannot observe micro facial expressions and biosignals such as heartbeat rate and brain waves. Therefore, building an AI-enabled suicide risk assessment tool that observes the patient's biomarker and audiovisual cues and learns suicide ideation patterns might be a decisive indicator that could help the clinician to reach a certain judgment. Developing AI-enabled audiovisual suicide assessment tools is a promising research direction.

References

- [1] W. H. Organization and others, Preventing suicide: A global imperative. World Health Organization, 2014.
- [2] A. Pampouchidou et al., “Automatic assessment of depression based on visual cues: A systematic review,” *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 445–470, 2017.
- [3] N. Cummins, S. Scherer, J. Krajewski, S. Schnieder, J. Epps, and T. F. Quatieri, “A review of depression and suicide risk assessment using speech analysis,” *Speech Commun.*, vol. 71, pp. 10–49, 2015.
- [4] S. Scherer, J. Pestian, and L.-P. Morency, “Investigating the speech characteristics of suicidal adolescents,” in 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 2013, pp. 709–713.
- [5] A. Ozdas, R. G. Shiavi, S. E. Silverman, M. K. Silverman, and D. M. Wilkes, “Investigation of Vocal Jitter and Glottal Flow Spectrum as Possible Cues for Depression and Near-Term Suicidal Risk,” *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 1530–1540, Sep. 2004.
- [6] J. Gideon, H. T. Schatten, M. G. McInnis, and E. M. Provost, “Emotion recognition from natural phone conversations in individuals with and without recent suicidal ideation,” in *Interspeech*, 2019.
- [7] D. J. France, R. G. Shiavi, S. Silverman, M. Silverman, and M. Wilkes, “Acoustical properties of speech as indicators of depression and suicidal risk,” *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 829–837, 2000.
- [8] T. Drugman, B. Bozkurt, and T. Dutoit, “A comparative study of glottal source estimation techniques,” *Comput. Speech & Lang.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 20–34, 2012.
- [9] M. Airas, “TKK Aparat: An environment for voice inverse filtering and parameterization,” *Logop. Phoniatr. Vocology*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 49–64, 2008.
- [10] B. Doval, C. d’Alessandro, and N. Henrich, “The spectrum of glottal flow models,” *Acta Acust. united with Acust.*, vol. 92, no. 6, pp. 1026–1046, 2006.
- [11] J. Walker and P. Murphy, “A review of glottal waveform analysis,” *Prog. nonlinear speech Process.*, pp. 1–21, 2007.
- [12] T. F. Quatieri and N. Malyska, “Vocal-source biomarkers for depression: A link to psychomotor activity,” in *Thirteenth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association*, 2012.
- [13] J. Sundberg, S. Patel, E. Bjorkner, and K. R. Scherer, “Interdependencies among voice source parameters in emotional speech,” *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 162–174, 2011.
- [14] J. Kane, M. Aylett, I. Yanushevskaya, and C. Gobl, “Phonetic feature extraction for context-sensitive glottal source processing,” *Speech Commun.*, vol. 59, pp. 10–21, 2014.
- [15] S. N. Chakravarthula et al., “Automatic prediction of suicidal risk in military couples using multimodal interaction cues from couples conversations,” in *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2020, pp. 6539–6543.
- [16] A. Belouali et al., “Acoustic and language analysis of speech for suicidal ideation among US veterans,” *BioData Min.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2021.

- [17] V. Venek, S. Scherer, L.-P. Morency, A. S. Rizzo, and J. Pestian, "Adolescent Suicidal Risk Assessment in Clinician-Patient Interaction," *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 204–215, Apr. 2017.
- [18] B. Stasak, J. Epps, H. T. Schatten, I. W. Miller, E. M. Provost, and M. F. Arme, "Read speech voice quality and disfluency in individuals with recent suicidal ideation or suicide attempt," *Speech Commun.*, vol. 132, pp. 10–20, 2021.
- [19] A. P. Shah, V. Vaibhav, V. Sharma, M. Al Ismail, J. Girard, and L.-P. Morency, "Multimodal Behavioral Markers Exploring Suicidal Intent in Social Media Videos," in *2019 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, 2019, pp. 409–413.
- [20] T. Yingthawornsuk, H. K. Keskinpala, D. M. Wilkes, R. G. Shiavi, and R. M. Salomon, "Direct acoustic feature using iterative EM algorithm and spectral energy for classifying suicidal speech," in *Eighth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association*, 2007.
- [21] T. Akkaralaertsest and T. Yingthawornsuk, "Comparative analysis of vocal characteristics in speakers with depression and high-risk suicide," *Int. J. Comput. Theory Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 448, 2015.
- [22] H. K. Keskinpala, T. Yingthawornsuk, D. M. Wilkes, R. G. Shiavi, and R. M. Salomon, "Screening for high risk suicidal states using mel-cepstral coefficients and energy in frequency bands," in *2007 15th European Signal Processing Conference*, 2007, pp. 2229–2233.
- [23] P. Anunvrapong and T. Yingthawornsuk, "Characterization of Δ MFCC in depressed speech sample as assessment of suicidal risk," in *International Conference on Advanced Computational Technologies & Creative Media (ICACTCM '2014)*, 2014, pp. 119–123.
- [24] A. Ozdas, R. G. Shiavi, D. M. Wilkes, M. K. Silverman, and S. E. Silverman, "Analysis of vocal tract characteristics for near-term suicidal risk assessment," *Methods Inf. Med.*, vol. 43, no. 01, pp. 36–38, 2004.
- [25] N. N. W. NH, M. D. Wilkes, and R. M. Salomon, "Timing Patterns of Speech as Potential Indicators of Near-Term Suicidal Risk," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Curr. Res.*, vol. 3, 2015.
- [26] V. Venek, S. Scherer, L.-P. Morency, A. Rizzo, and J. Pestian, "Adolescent suicidal risk assessment in clinician-patient interaction: A study of verbal and acoustic behaviors," in *2014 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, 2014, pp. 277–282.
- [27] M. Nasir, B. R. Baucom, C. J. Bryan, S. S. Narayanan, and P. G. Georgiou, "Complexity in speech and its relation to emotional bond in therapist-patient interactions during suicide risk assessment interviews.," in *Interspeech*, 2017, pp. 3296–3300.
- [28] T. Yingthawornsuk and R. G. Shiavi, "Distinguishing depression and suicidal risk in men using GMM based frequency contents of affective vocal tract response," in *2008 International Conference on Control, Automation And Systems*, 2008, pp. 901–904.
- [29] N. N. W. NH, M. D. Wilkes, and R. M. Salomon, "Investigating the Course of Recovery in High Risk Suicide using Power Spectral Density," *Asian J. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2015.
- [30] H. Kaymaz Keskinpala, T. Yingthawornsuk, R. M. Salomon, R. G. Shiavi, and D. M. Wilkes, "Distinguishing High Risk Suicidal Subjects among Depressed Subjects Using Mel-Frequency Cepstrum

Coefficients and Cross Validation Technique,” *Disting. High Risk Suicidal Subj. among Depress. Subj. Using Mel-Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients Cross Valid. Tech.*, pp. 1000–1004, 2007.

[31] T. Yingthawornsuk, H. K. Keskinpala, D. France, D. M. Wilkes, R. G. Shiavi, and R. M. Salomon, “Objective estimation of suicidal risk using vocal output characteristics,” in *Ninth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, 2006.

[32] H. R. Venn et al., “Perception of facial expressions of emotion in bipolar disorder,” *Bipolar Disord.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 286–293, 2004.

[33] P. J. Silvia, W. D. Allan, D. L. Beauchamp, E. L. Maschauer, and J. O. Workman, “Biased recognition of happy facial expressions in social anxiety,” *J. Soc. Clin. Psychol.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 585–602, 2006.

[34] S. Kleiman and N. O. Rule, “Detecting Suicidality From Facial Appearance,” *Soc. Psychol. Personal. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 453–460, Jul. 2013.

[35] E. Laksana, T. Baltrusaitis, L.-P. Morency, and J. P. Pestian, “Investigating Facial Behavior Indicators of Suicidal Ideation,” in *2017 12th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2017)*, 2017, pp. 770–777.

[36] N. Eigbe, T. Baltrusaitis, L.-P. Morency, and J. Pestian, “Toward Visual Behavior Markers of Suicidal Ideation,” in *2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018)*, 2018, pp. 530–534.

[37] I. Galatzer-Levy et al., “Validation of Visual and Auditory Digital Markers of Suicidality in Acutely Suicidal Psychiatric Inpatients: Proof-of-Concept Study,” *J. Med. Internet Res.*, vol. 23, no. 6, p. e25199, 2021.

[38] S. Scherer et al., “Automatic audiovisual behavior descriptors for psychological disorder analysis,” *Image Vis. Comput.*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 648–658, 2014.

[39] J. R. Williamson et al., “Detecting depression using vocal, facial and semantic communication cues,” in *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge*, 2016, pp. 11–18.

[40] Q. Wang, H. Yang, and Y. Yu, “Facial expression video analysis for depression detection in Chinese patients,” *J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent.*, vol. 57, pp. 228–233, 2018.

[41] R. Gupta et al., “Multimodal prediction of affective dimensions and depression in human-computer interactions,” in *Proceedings of the 4th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2014, pp. 33–40.

[42] M. Nasir, A. Jati, P. G. Shivakumar, S. Nallan Chakravarthula, and P. Georgiou, “Multimodal and multiresolution depression detection from speech and facial landmark features,” in *Proceedings of the 6th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2016, pp. 43–50.

[43] S. Alghowinem et al., “Multimodal depression detection: fusion analysis of paralinguistic, head pose and eye gaze behaviors,” *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 478–490, 2016.

[44] J. Joshi, R. Goecke, G. Parker, and M. Breakspear, “Can body expressions contribute to automatic depression analysis?,” in *2013 10th IEEE International Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, FG 2013*, 2013.

- [45] J. Joshi, A. Dhall, R. Goecke, M. Breakspear, and G. Parker, "Neural-net classification for spatio-temporal descriptor based depression analysis," in Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR2012), 2012, pp. 2634–2638.
- [46] L. He and C. Cao, "Automated depression analysis using convolutional neural networks from speech," *J. Biomed. Inform.*, vol. 83, pp. 103–111, 2018.
- [47] N. Cummins, A. Baird, and B. W. Schuller, "Speech analysis for health: Current state-of-the-art and the increasing impact of deep learning," *Methods*, vol. 151, pp. 41–54, 2018.
- [48] D. M. Skerrett, K. Kølves, and D. De Leo, "Are LGBT populations at a higher risk for suicidal behaviors in Australia? Research findings and implications," *J. Homosex.*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 883–901, 2015.
- [49] I. Gratch et al., "Detecting suicidal thoughts: The power of ecological momentary assessment," *Depress. Anxiety*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2021.
- [50] B. Barsties and M. De Bodt, "Assessment of voice quality: current state-of-the-art," *Auris Nasus Larynx*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 183–188, 2015.
- [51] J. Pestian et al., "A Machine Learning Approach to Identifying Future Suicide Risk," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018.
- [52] G. Degottex, J. Kane, T. Drugman, T. Raitio, and S. Scherer, "COVAREP—A collaborative voice analysis repository for speech technologies," in 2014 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2014, pp. 960–964.
- [53] F. Eyben et al., "The Geneva minimalistic acoustic parameter set (GeMAPS) for voice research and affective computing," *IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 190–202, 2015.