# HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS ON SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACES AND GENERALIZED KUMMER VARIETIES WITH FINITE GROUP ACTIONS 


#### Abstract

Göttsche and Soergel gave formulas for the Hodge numbers of Hilbert schemes of points on a smooth algebraic surface and the Hodge numbers of generalized Kummer varieties. When a smooth projective surface $S$ admits an action by a finite group $G$, we describe the action of $G$ on the Hodge pieces via point counting. Each element of $G$ gives a trace on $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} H^{i}\left(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}\right) q^{n}$. In the case that $S$ is a K3 surface or an abelian surface, the resulting generating functions give some interesting modular forms when $G$ acts faithfully and symplectically on $S$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$. In GS93, the Hodge numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points of $S$ are computed via perverse sheaves/mixed Hodge modules:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h\left(S^{[p]}, u, v\right) t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p, q}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h^{p q}}(-1)^{i(p+q+1)}\binom{h^{p q}}{i} u^{i(p+m-1)} v^{i(q+m-1)} t^{m i}\right)^{\left(-1 p^{p+q+1}\right.},
$$

where $S^{[n]}$ is the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points of $S, h\left(S^{[n]}, u, v\right)=\sum_{p, q} h^{p q}\left(S^{[n]}\right) u^{p} v^{q}$ is the Hodge-Deligne polynomial, and $h^{p q}$ are the dimensions of the Hodge pieces $H^{p, q}(S, \mathbb{C})$. The Hodge numbers of the higher order Kummer varieties (generalized Kummer varieties) of an abelian surface are also computed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(K_{n}(A),-u,-v\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{((1-u)(1-v))^{2}} \sum_{\alpha \in P(n)} g c d(\alpha)^{4}(u v)^{n-|\alpha|}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta^{i} \in P\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{\beta_{j}^{i}} \beta_{j}^{i}!}\left(\left(1-u^{j}\right)\left(1-v^{j}\right)\right)^{2 \beta_{j}^{i}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where $\alpha=\left(1^{\alpha_{1}} 2^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots\right)$ is a partition of $n,|\alpha|$ is the number of parts, and $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha):=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \alpha_{i} \neq 0\right\}$.

In this paper $G$ will always be a finite group. We will consider a smooth projective K3 surface $S$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a $G$-action, and ask whether we can prove similar equalities for $G$-representations. We use an equivariant version of the idea in Göttsche Göt90], which studies the cohomology groups by counting the number of rational points over finite fields. Then we lift the results to the Hodge level by p-adic Hodge theory.

We will consider the G-equivariant Hodge-Deligne polynomial for a smooth projective variety $X$

$$
E(X ; u, v)=\sum_{p, q}(-1)^{p+q}\left[H^{p, q}(X, \mathbb{C})\right] u^{p} v^{q}
$$

where the coefficients lie in the ring of virtual G-representations $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, of which the elements are the formal differences of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-representations of $G$. The addition is given by direct sum and the multiplication is given by tensor product.

Theorem 1.1. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with a $G$-action. Let $S^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points of $S$. Then we have the following equality as virtual $G$-representations.

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(S^{[n]}\right) t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p, q}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h_{p, q}}(-1)^{i}\left[\wedge^{i} H^{p, q}(S, \mathbb{C})\right] u^{i(p+m-1)} v^{i(q+m-1)} t^{m i}\right)^{(-1)^{p+q+1}}
$$

where $h_{p, q}$ are the dimensions of the Hodge pieces $H^{p, q}(S, \mathbb{C})$.
Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 has been proved in [Zha21, Theorem 1.1], where the proof uses Nakajima operators. We give a new proof here using the Weil conjecture and p-adic Hodge theory.

For a complex K3/abelian surface $S$ with an automorphism $g$ of finite order $n$, $H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}\right)=\mathbb{C} \omega_{S}$ has dimension 1 , and we say $g$ acts symplectically on $S$ if it acts trivially on $\omega_{S}$, and $g$ acts non-symplectically otherwise, namely, $g$ sends $\omega_{S}$ to $\zeta_{n}^{k} \omega_{S}$, $0<k<n$, where $\zeta_{n}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity.

Denote by $[e(X)]$ the virtual graded G-representation $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left[H^{i}(X, \mathbb{C})\right]$ for a smooth projective variety $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a G-actoin.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a finite group which acts faithfully and symplectically on a smooth projective $K 3$ surface $S$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$. Suppose $p \nmid|G|$. Then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}=\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon\left(\operatorname{ord}\left(g^{k}\right)\right) t^{m k}}{k}\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$, where $\epsilon(n)=24\left(n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{-1}$. In particular, if $G$ is generated by a single element $g$ of order $N$, then we deduce that

| $N$ | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $t / \eta^{24}(t)$ |
| 2 | $t / \eta^{8}(t) \eta^{8}\left(t^{2}\right)$ |
| 3 | $t / \eta^{6}(t) \eta^{6}\left(t^{3}\right)$ |
| 4 | $t / \eta^{4}(t) \eta^{2}\left(t^{2}\right) \eta^{4}\left(t^{4}\right)$ |
| 5 | $t / \eta^{4}(t) \eta^{4}\left(t^{5}\right)$ |
| 6 | $t / \eta^{2}(t) \eta^{2}\left(t^{2}\right) \eta^{2}\left(t^{3}\right) \eta^{2}\left(t^{6}\right)$ |
| 7 | $t / \eta^{3}(t) \eta^{3}\left(t^{7}\right)$ |
| 8 | $t / \eta^{2}(t) \eta\left(t^{2}\right) \eta\left(t^{4}\right) \eta^{2}\left(t^{8}\right)$ |

where $\eta(t)=t^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{n}\right)$.
Remark 1.4. If $g$ acts symplectically on $S$, then $g$ has order $N \leq 8$ by DK09, Theorem 3.3] since the G-action is tame. These eta quotients coincide with the results in the characteristic zero case. See [BG19, [BO18, Lemma 3.1], or [Zha21].

Theorem 1.5. Let $g$ be a symplectic automorphism (fixing the origin) of order $N$ on an abelian surface $S$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then

| $N$ | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $\eta^{8}\left(t^{2}\right) / \eta^{16}(t)$ |
| 3 | $\eta^{3}\left(t^{3}\right) / \eta^{9}(t)$ |
| 4 | $\eta^{4}\left(t^{4}\right) / \eta^{4}(t) \eta^{6}\left(t^{2}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\eta^{4}\left(t^{6}\right) / \eta(t) \eta^{4}\left(t^{2}\right) \eta^{5}\left(t^{3}\right)$ |

Remark 1.6. If $g$ is a symplectic automorphism on a complex abelian surface, then $g$ has order 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 by [Fuj88, Lemma 3.3]. These eta quotients coincide with the results of [Pie21, Theorem 1.1] when $G$ is cyclic.

Define a multiplication $\odot$ on the ring of power series $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)[[u, v, w]]$ by $u^{n_{1}} v^{m_{1}} w^{l_{1}} \odot$ $u^{n_{2}} v^{m_{2}} w^{l_{2}}:=u^{n_{1}+n_{2}} v^{m_{1}+m_{2}} w^{g c d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $A$ be an abelian surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with a $G$-action. Let $K_{n}(A)$ be the generalized Kummer variety. Then we have the following equality as virtual $G$-representations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(K_{n}(A) ; u, v\right) t^{n}=\frac{\left(w \frac{d}{d w}\right)^{4}}{E(A)} \\
\bigodot_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1+w^{m}\left(-1+\prod_{p, q}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h_{p, q}}(-1)^{i}\left[\wedge^{i} H^{p, q}(S, \mathbb{C})\right] u^{i(p+m-1)} v^{i(q+m-1)} t^{m i}\right)^{(-1)^{p+q+1}}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

When we say $S$ is a surface with a $G$-action over a field $K$, we mean that both $S$ and the $G$-action can be defined over $K$.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Then we can choose a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $X \cong \mathcal{X} \times{ }_{\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$ for a regular projective scheme $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{R}$, and we can choose a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ has good reduction modulo $\mathfrak{q}$. Since there are comparison theorems between étale cohomology and singular cohomology, we focus on characteristic $p$.

Now let $X$ be a quasi-projective variety over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ with an automorphism $\sigma$ of finite order. Suppose $X$ and $\sigma$ can be defined over some finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $F_{q}$ be the corresponding geometric Frobenius. Then for $n \geq 1$, the composite $F_{q}^{n} \circ \sigma$ is the Frobenius map relative to some new way of lowering the field of definition of $X$ from $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ ([DL76, Prop.3.3] and [Car85, Appendix(h)]). Then the Grothendieck trace formula implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(F_{q}^{n} \sigma\right)^{*}, H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)$ is the number of fixed points of $F_{q}^{n} \sigma$, where $H_{c}^{k}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ are the compactly supported l-adic cohomology groups.

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two smooth projective varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ with finite group $G$-actions. Suppose $X, Y$ and the actions of $G$ can be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where
$q$ is a $p$ power. If $\left|X\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|=\left|Y\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $g \in G$, then $H^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right) \cong H^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ as $G$-representations for every $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Fix $g \in G$. Denote by $F_{q}$ the geometric Frobenius over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since the finite group action is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the action $g$ commutes with $F_{q}$ and the action of $g$ on the cohomology group is semisimple. There exists a basis of the cohomology group such that the actions of $g$ and $F_{q}$ are in Jordan normal forms simultaneously. Let $\alpha_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, a_{i}$ (resp. $\beta_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, b_{i}$ ) denote the eigenvalues of $F_{q}$ acting on $H^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ (resp. $\left.H^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)$ in such a basis, where $a_{i}$ (resp. $b_{i}$ ) is the $i$-th betti number. Let $c_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, a_{i}$ (resp. $d_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, b_{i}$ ) denote the eigenvalues of $g$ acting on the same basis of $H^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)$. Then the Grothendieck trace formula ([DL76, Prop.3.3] and [Car85, Appendix(h)]) implies that

$$
\left|X\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(g F_{q^{n}}\right)^{*}, H^{i}\left(X . \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\left|X\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|=\left|Y\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|$ for every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{a_{i}} c_{i, j} \alpha_{i, j}^{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{i}} d_{i, j} \beta_{i, j}^{n}
$$

for every $n \geq 1$. By linear independence of the characters $\chi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, n \mapsto \alpha^{n}$ and the fact that $\alpha_{i, j}, \beta_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots$ all have absolute value $q^{i / 2}$ by Weil's conjecture, we deduce that $a_{i}=b_{i}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a_{i}} c_{i, j}=\sum_{j=1}^{b_{i}} d_{i, j}$ for each $i$. But since $g$ is arbitrary, this implies that the $G$-representations $H^{i}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ are the same.

Proposition 2.2. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety with a $G$-action over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Denote the dimension of $X$ by $N$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\left[H^{i}\left(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right] z^{i} t^{k}=\prod_{j=0}^{2 N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{b_{j}}(-1)^{i}\left[\wedge^{i} H^{j}\left(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right] z^{i j} t^{i}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}
$$

where the coefficients lie in $R_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}}(G)$.
Proof. By the Weil conjectures, we have
$\exp \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}\right)\right| \frac{t^{r}}{r}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|X^{(k)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| t^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|X^{(k)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{F_{q}}\right| t^{k}=\prod_{j=0}^{2 N}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{b_{j}}\left(1-\alpha_{j, i} t\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}$,
where $\alpha_{j, i}$ are the eigenvalues of $F_{q}$ on $H^{j}\left(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$.

By the discussion at the beginning of the section and the Grothendieck trace formula, we deduce that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \sum_{i} h_{k, m, i} \beta_{k, m, i}^{n} t^{k}=\prod_{j=0}^{2 N}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{b_{j}}\left(1-g_{j, i} \alpha_{j, i}^{n} t\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}
$$

where $h_{k, m, i}$ (resp. $\beta_{k, m, i}$ ) are the eigenvalues of $g\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F_{q}\right)$ on $H^{m}\left(X_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$, and $g_{j, i}$ are the eigenvalues of $g$ on $H^{j}\left(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$. Hence we deduce that the trace of $g$ on the left hand side equals the trace of $g$ on the right hand side for each graded piece in the equality in Proposition 2.2 by the proof of Lemma 2.1,

We obtain the information of Hodge pieces via $p$-adic Hodge theory by using an equivariant version of the method in [Ito03, §4].

Proposition 2.3. [Ser68, I. 2.3] Let $K$ be a number field, $m, m^{\prime} \geq 1$ be integers, and $l$ be a prime number. Let

$$
\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K) \rightarrow G L\left(m, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime}: \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K) \rightarrow G L\left(m^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)
$$

be continuous l-adic $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$-representations such that $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are unramified outside a finite set $S$ of maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. If

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho\left(\text { Frob }_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\text { Frob }_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \text { for all maximal ideals } \mathfrak{p} \notin S \text {, }
$$

then $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ have the same semisimplifications as $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$-representations. Here Frob $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the geometric Frobenius at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Let $p$ be a prime number and $F$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ be a $p$ adic completion of an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of $F$. Define $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(0)=\mathbb{Q}_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(1)=$ $\left(\lim _{\longleftarrow} \mu_{p^{n}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, and for $n \geq 1, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(n)=\mathbb{Q}_{p}(1)^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}(-n)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}(n), \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Moreover, we define $\mathbb{C}_{p}(n)=\mathbb{C}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{Q}_{P}(n)$, on which $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ acts diagonally. It is known that $\left(\mathbb{C}_{p}\right)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)}=F$ and $\left(\mathbb{C}_{p}(n)\right)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)}=0$ for $n \neq 0$.

Let $B_{H T}=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{p}(n)$ be a graded $\mathbb{C}_{p}$-module with an action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$. For a finite dimensional $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-representation $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, we define a finite dimensional graded $F$-module $D_{H T}(V)$ by $D_{H T}(V)=\left(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} B_{H T}\right)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)}$. The graded module structure of $D_{H T}(V)$ is induced from that of $B_{H T}$. In general, it is known that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{F} D_{H T}(V) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} V .
$$

If the equality holds, $V$ is called a Hodge-Tate representation.

Theorem 2.4. [Fal88] Tsu99] (Hodge-Tate decomposition) Let $X$ be a proper smooth variety over $F$ and $k$ be an integer. The p-adic étale cohomology $H_{e t t}^{k}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ of $X_{\bar{F}}=X \otimes_{F} \bar{F}$ is a finite dimensional $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-representation over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then, $H_{\text {ett }}^{k}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is a Hodge-Tate representation, Moreover, there exists a canonical and functorial isomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^{i}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{j}\right) \otimes_{F} \mathbb{C}_{p}(-j) \cong H_{e t t}^{k}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{C}_{p}
$$

of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-representations, where $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ acts on $H^{i}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{j}\right)$ trivially and the right hand side diagonally.

Now for a finite dimensional $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-representation $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, suppose it is also a $G$-representation such that the $G$-action commutes with the $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-action. In this case, we call it a $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ - $G$-representation and we define a $G$-representation over $F$ :

$$
\left[h^{n}(V)\right]:=\left(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{C}_{p}(n)\right)^{\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)} .
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $W_{2}$ be a Hodge-Tate $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ - $G$-representation and

$$
0 \rightarrow W_{1} \rightarrow W_{2} \rightarrow W_{3} \rightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence of finite dimensional $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ - $G$-representations over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then $W_{1}$ and $W_{3}$ are Hodge-Tate representations and

$$
\left[h^{n}\left(W_{2}\right)\right]=\left[h^{n}\left(W_{1}\right)\right] \oplus\left[h^{n}\left(W_{3}\right)\right]=\left[h^{n}\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{3}\right)\right]
$$

as $G$-representations for all $n$.

Proof. It follows from [Ito03, Lemma 4.4] that $W_{1}$ and $W_{3}$ are Hodge-Tate representations and we have the following short exact sequence of $G$-representations

$$
0 \rightarrow D_{H T}\left(W_{1}\right) \rightarrow D_{H T}\left(W_{2}\right) \rightarrow D_{H T}\left(W_{3}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

which implies that

$$
\left[h^{n}\left(W_{2}\right)\right]=\left[h^{n}\left(W_{1}\right)\right] \oplus\left[h^{n}\left(W_{3}\right)\right]=\left[h^{n}\left(W_{1} \oplus W_{3}\right)\right] .
$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $X$ be a proper smooth variety over $F$ with a $G$-action. Then

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{j}\right)=\left[h^{j}\left(H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)^{s s}\right)\right] \text { as } G \text {-representations for all } i, j,
$$

where $H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)^{\text {ss }}$ denotes the semisimplification of $H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ as a $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ representation.

Proof. By theorem [2.4, if we take the $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$-invariant of $H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \mathbb{C}_{p}(j)$, we have

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{j}\right)=\left[h^{j}\left(H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

On the other hand, since $H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is a $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)$ - $G$ Hodge-Tate representation,

$$
\left[h^{j}\left(H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right)\right]=\left[h^{j}\left(H^{i+j}\left(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)^{s s}\right)\right]
$$

by lemma 2.5. Hence we are done.
Theorem 2.7. Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be n-dimensional smooth projective varieties over a number field $K$ with $G$-actions. Suppose for all but finitely many good reductions, we have

$$
\left|X\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right|=\left|Y\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)^{g F_{q^{n}}}\right| \text { for every } n \geq 1 \text { and } g \in G,
$$

where $X, Y$ are the good reductions over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then

$$
H^{p, q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \cong H^{p, q}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)
$$

for all $p, q$ as $G$-representations.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3, we deduce that $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ have the same semisimplifications as $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ - $G$-representations.

Now take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ dividing $l$. Let $F$ be the completion of $K$ at $\mathfrak{q}$. Fix an embedding $\bar{K} \hookrightarrow \bar{F}$. Then we have an inclusion $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F) \subset$ $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$. Therefore, $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ have the same semisimplifications as $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{F} / F)-G$-representations. By Corollary 2.6, we conclude that

$$
H^{q}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{p}\right) \cong H^{q}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{p}\right)
$$

for all $p, q$ as $G$-representations.

## 3. Hilbert scheme of points

We denote by $X^{[n]}$ the component of the Hilbert scheme of a projective scheme $X$ parametrizing subschemes of length $n$ of $X$. For properties of Hilbert scheme of points, see references [Iar77], Göt94] and Nak99].

Lemma 3.1. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface with a $G$-action over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Suppose $g \in G$ and let $F_{q}$ be the geometric Frobenius. Then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|S^{[n]}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| t^{n}=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g^{r} F_{q}^{r}}\right| t^{n r}\right)^{\left|P_{r}\left(S, g F_{q}\right)\right|}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}}, x}\right)$ is the punctual Hilbert scheme of $n$ points at some $g^{r} F_{q}^{r}$-fixed point $x \in S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$, and $P_{r}\left(S, g F_{q}\right)$ is the set of primitive 0 -cycles of degree $r$ of $g F_{q}$ on $S$, whose elements are of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} g^{i} F_{q}^{i}(x)$ with $x \in S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g^{r} F_{q}^{r}} \backslash\left(\cup_{j<r} S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g^{j} F_{q}^{j}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Z \in S^{[n]}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$. Suppose $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ is a partition of $n$ and $Z=$ $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{r}\right)$ with $Z_{i}$ being the closed subscheme of $Z$ supported at a single point with length $n_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{Supp} Z$ decomposes into $g F_{q}$ orbits. We can choose an ordering $\leq$ on $S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$. In each orbit, we can find the smallest $x_{j} \in S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$. Suppose $Z_{j}$ with length $l$ is supported on $x_{j}$ and $x_{j}$ has order $k$. Then the component of $Z$ which is supported on the orbit of $x_{j}$ is determined by $Z_{j}$, namely, it is $\cup_{i=0}^{k-1} g^{i} F_{q}^{i}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ with length $k l$. Also notice that $Z_{j}$ is fixed by $g^{k} F_{q}^{k}$. Hence, to give an element of $S^{[n]}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$ is the same as choosing some $g F_{q}$ orbits and for each orbit choosing some element in $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g^{k} F_{q}^{k}}$ for some $g^{k} F_{q}^{k}$-fixed point $x$ in this orbit such that the final length altogether is $n$. Combining all of these into power series, we get the desired equality.

The idea we used above is explained in detail in [Göt90, lemma 2.7]. We implicitly used the fact that $\pi:\left(S_{(n)}^{[n]}\right)_{\text {red }} \rightarrow S$ is a locally trivial fiber bundle in the Zariski topology with fiber $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)_{\text {red }}$ Göt94, Lemma 2.1.4], where $S_{(n)}^{[n]}$ parametrizes closed subschemes of length $n$ that are supported on a single point.

We need the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface with a $G$-action over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. If $x \in S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$, where $g \in G$ and $F_{q}$ is the geometric Frobenius, then

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{F_{q}}\right| .
$$

We will prove this lemma later in this section.
From Lemma 3.2, we observe that $\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathbb{F}}}, x}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|$ is a number independent of the choice of the $g F_{q}$-fixed point $x$.

We denote $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)$ by $V_{n}$. Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|S^{[n]}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| t^{n}=\prod_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|V_{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{F_{q}^{r}}\right| t^{n r}\right)^{\left|P_{r}\left(S, g F_{q}\right)\right|}
$$

Recall the following structure theorem for the punctual Hilbert scheme of points.

Proposition 3.3. ES87, Prop 4.2] Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. Then $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}(k[[s, t]])$ over $k$ has a cell decomposition, and the number of $d$-cells is $P(d, n-$ $d)$, where $P(x, y):=\#\{$ partition of $x$ into parts $\leq y\}$.

Denote by $p(n, d)$ the number of partitions of $n$ into $d$ parts. Then $p(n, d)=$ $P(n-d, d)$. Now we can proceed similarly as in the proof of [Göt90, Lemma 2.9].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{1-z^{i-1} t^{i}}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(n, n-i) t^{n} z^{i}
$$

by Proposition 3.3 we get

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \#\left\{\mathrm{~m}-\operatorname{dim} \text { cells of } \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}[[s, t]]\right)\right\} t^{n} z^{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-z^{i-1} t^{i}}
$$

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by choosing sufficiently large $q$ powers $Q$ such that the cell decomposition of $V_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{Q}$ for $n \leq N$, we deduce that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|V_{n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{Q^{r}}\right)\right| t^{n r} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-Q^{r(i-1)} t^{r i}} \quad \bmod t^{N}
$$

Now consider a good reduction of $S$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|S^{[n]}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{Q}}\right| t^{n} & \equiv \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{1-Q^{r(i-1)} t^{r i}}\right)^{\left|P_{r}\left(S, g F_{Q}\right)\right|} \bmod t^{N} \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\left|P_{r}\left(S, g F_{Q}\right)\right| Q^{h r(i-1)} t^{h r i} / h\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{r \mid m} r\left|P_{r}\left(S, g F_{Q}\right)\right|\right) Q^{m(i-1)} t^{m i} / m\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left|S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g^{m} F_{Q}^{m}}\right| Q^{m(i-1)} t^{m i} / m\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|S^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{Q}}\right| Q^{n(i-1)} t^{n i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By replacing $Q$ by $Q$-powers and using the proof of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.7, we obtain

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(S^{[n]}\right) t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p, q}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h_{p, q}}(-1)^{i}\left[\wedge^{i} H^{p, q}(S, \mathbb{C})\right] u^{i(p+m-1)} v^{i(q+m-1)} t^{m i}\right)^{(-1)^{p+q+1}}
$$

since we can reduce to the case where everything is defined over a number field K as in [Ito03, Prop. 5.1].

Corollary 3.4. For a smooth projective surface $S$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right] t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{4}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{b_{j}}(-1)^{i}\left[\wedge^{i} H^{j}\left(S, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right][-2 i(m-1)] t^{m i}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}}
$$

where the coefficients lie in $R_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}}(G)$, and $[-2 i(m-1)]$ indicates shift in degrees.
Remark 3.5. Notice that the generating series of the topological Euler characteristic of $S^{[n]}$ is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e\left(S^{[n]}\right) t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{m}\right)^{-e(S)}$. But this is not the case if we consider $G$-representations and regard $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{m}\right)^{-[e(S)]}$ as

$$
\left.\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[e(S)]\left(-\log \left(1-t^{m}\right)\right)\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[e(S)]\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{m k} / k\right)\right)\right)
$$

What we have is actually

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{b_{1}}\left(1-g_{1, i} t^{m}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{b_{3}}\left(1-g_{3, i} t^{m}\right)\right)}{\left(1-t^{m}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{b_{2}}\left(1-g_{2, i} t^{m}\right)\right)\left(1-t^{m}\right)}\right)
$$

$$
=\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{m k}}{k}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{b_{1}} g_{1, i}^{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} g_{2, i}^{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{b_{3}} g_{3, i}^{k}+1\right)\right)
$$

We will use this expression to determine the $G$-representation $\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]$ later when $S$ is a K3 surface or an abelian surface.

Now we start to prove lemma 3.2,
Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with an automorphism $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of finite order. If $x \in S\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$ where $F_{q}$ is the geometric Frobenius, then $x$ lies over a closed point $y \in S$. Denote the residue degree of $y$ by $N$. Hence $x \in S\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}\right)$ and there are $N$ geometric points $x, F_{q}(x), \ldots, F_{q}^{N-1}(x)$ lying over $y$.

Let us study the relative Hilbert scheme of $n$ points at a closed point.

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S, y}}\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]]\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)
$$

Since $g$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ fix $y$, they act on this Hilbert scheme. Over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S, y}}\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]]\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)
$$

by the base change property of the Hilbert scheme. Denote by $u$ a primitive element of the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and denote by $f(x)$ the irreducible polynomial of $u$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since we have an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-algebra isomorphism

$$
\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{q^{N}} \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /(f(x))\right) \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[x] /(x-u) \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[x] /\left(x-u^{q^{N-1}}\right)
$$

by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S, y}}\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} & \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)[[s, t]]\right) / \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\coprod \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]] / \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-valued points of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S, y}}\right) / \operatorname{SpecF} \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ correspond to the closed subschemes of degree $n$ of $\coprod \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]$, i.e. the closed subschemes of degree $n$ of $S$ whose underlying space is a subset of the points $x, F_{q}(x), \ldots, F_{q}^{N-1}(x)$.

Since $F_{q}$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]]$ by sending $s$ to $s^{q}$, $t$ to $t^{q}$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$ to $c^{q}$, we deduce from the above discussion that $F_{q}$ acts on $\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)[[s, t]]$ by sending $s$ to $s^{q}, t$ to $t^{q}$ and $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ to $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_{0}\right)$. This is actually an algebraic assertion, which can also be seen geometrically. For example, $F_{q}$ is a $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-morphism from $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\bar{F}_{q}}, F_{q}(x)}} \cong\left(\{0\} \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times\{0\}\right)[[s, t]]$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}, x}} \cong\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times\{0\} \times \ldots \times\{0\}\right)[[s, t]]$.

Let $\sigma$ be an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Recall that for an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$-vector space $V$, a $\sigma$-linear map $f: V \rightarrow V$ is an additive map on $V$ such that $f(\alpha v)=\sigma(\alpha) f(v)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$ and $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $H=\langle g\rangle$. Suppose $p \nmid|H|$. Then we can choose $s$ and $t$ such that $g$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]] \sigma$-linearly, where $\sigma$ is the inverse of the Frobenius automorphism of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Proof. The automorphism $g$ acts as an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$automorphism on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]]$ fixing the ideal $(s, t)$ and sending $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$. Since we know $F_{q}$ sends $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times$ $\ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ to $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ and $g F_{q}$ fixes the geometric points $x, F_{q}(x), \ldots, F_{q}^{N-1}(x)$, we deduce that $g$ sends $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ to $\left(\alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-3}, \alpha_{N-2}\right)$. Hence $g(\alpha)=\sigma(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$ where $\sigma$ is the inverse of the Frobenius automorphism.

For any element $h \in H$, we write $h(s)=a s+b t+\ldots$ and $h(t)=c s+d t+\ldots$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ since $h$ commutes with $F_{q}$. Define an automorphism $\rho(h)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}[[s, t]]$ by $\rho(h)(s)=a s+b t, \rho(h)(t)=c s+d t$ and the action of $\rho(h)$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$ is the same as the action of $h$. Then we denote the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{N}}$-automorphism $\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h \rho(h)^{-1}$ by $\theta$. Notice that $\theta$ is an automorphism because the linear term of $\theta$ is an invertible matrix, and here is the only place we use the assumption that $p \nmid|G|$. We deduce that $g \theta=\theta \rho(g)$, which implies $\theta^{-1} g \theta=\rho(g)$. Hence we are done.

The above discussion implies that the $g$-action on $\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)[[s, t]]$ is given by sending $s$ to $(a, \ldots, a) s+(b, \ldots, b) t, t$ to $(c, \ldots, c) s+(d, \ldots, d) t$ and $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}\right) \in$ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ to $\left(\alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-3}, \alpha_{N-2}\right)$.

Hence the action of $g F_{q}$ on $\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)[[s, t]]$ is given by sending $s$ to $(a, \ldots, a) s^{q}+$ $(b, \ldots, b) t^{q}, t$ to $(c, \ldots, c) s^{q}+(d, \ldots, d) t^{q}$ and $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ to itself. This implies that $g F_{q}$ acts on each complete local ring, which is what we expected since $g F_{q}$ fixes each geometric point over $y$. In particular, it acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}}, x}$ $\cong\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q} \times\{0\} \times \ldots \times\{0\}\right)[[s, t]] \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}, x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ parametrizes closed subschemes of degree $n$ of $S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}$ supported on $x$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First we define an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-automorphism $\tilde{g}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]$ by

$$
\tilde{g}(s)=a s+b t \text { and } \tilde{g}(t)=c s+d t
$$

Recall that the action of $F_{q}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-endomorphism sending $s$ to $s^{q}$ and $t$ to $t^{q}$. By the above discussion, we observe that the action of $g F_{q}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]$ on the left is the same as the action of $\tilde{g} F_{q}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]$ on the right. Hence we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}, x}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{\tilde{g} F_{q}}\right| .
$$

Now for the right hand side, $\tilde{g}$ is an automorphism of finite order and $F_{q}$ is the geometric Frobenius. Then by the Grothendieck trace formula, we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{\tilde{g} F_{q}}\right|=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\tilde{g} F_{q}\right)^{*}, H_{c}^{k}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]\right), \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right)
$$

But the action of $\tilde{g}$ factors through $G L_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$. Now we use the fact that if $G$ is a connected algebraic group acting on a separated and finite type scheme $X$, then the action of $g \in G$ on $H_{c}^{*}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$ is trivial [DL76, Corollary 6.5]. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{\tilde{g} F_{q}}\right| & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(F_{q}\right)^{*}, H_{c}^{k}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[[s, t]]\right), \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right) \\
& =\left|\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{F_{q}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $S$ is a smooth projective K3 surface over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ with a G-action. Recall that a Mathieu representation of a finite group G is a 24 -dimensional representation on a vector space $V$ over a field of characteristic zero with character

$$
\chi(g)=\epsilon(\operatorname{ord}(g))
$$

where

$$
\epsilon(n)=24\left(n \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{-1} .
$$

Proposition 3.7. [DK09, Proposition 4.1] Let $G$ be a finite group of symplectic automorphisms of a K3 surface $X$ defined in characteristic $p>0$. Assume that $p \nmid G$. Then for any prime $l \neq p$, the natural representation of $G$ on the l-adic cohomology groups $H^{*}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{l}^{24}$ is Mathieu.

Proof of theorem 1.3. By Remark 3.5, we deduce that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}=\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{k},[e(S)]\right) t^{m k}}{k}\right)
$$

Then by Proposition 3.7, we obtain the equality we want.

When $G$ is a cyclic group of order $N$, we know that $N \leq 8$ by [DK09, Theorem 3.3]. Then the proof is the same as the proof in [Zha21] in the characteristic zero case.

Proof of theorem 1.5. If $g$ is a symplectic automorphism (fixing the origin) on a complex abelian surface, then $g$ has order $1,2,3,4$ or 6 by Fuj88, Lemma 3.3]. We will do the case when the order $N=4$, and the calculation for other cases are similar. By Fuj88, Page 33], we know the explicit action of $g$ on the torus $S=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \bigwedge$ in each case. If $N=4$, then the action on $H^{1}(S, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence we deduce that $\operatorname{Tr}(g,[e(S)])=\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{3},[e(S)]\right)=4$, and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{2},[e(S)]\right)=16$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n} & =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{k},[e(S)]\right) t^{m k}}{k}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1,3} \frac{4 t^{m k}}{k}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \equiv 2} \frac{16 t^{m k}}{k}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4 t^{m k}}{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4 t^{2 m k}}{2 k}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{16 t^{2 m k}}{2 k}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{16 t^{4 m k}}{4 k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{m}\right)^{-4}}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{2 m}\right)^{-2}} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{2 m}\right)^{-8}}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{4 m}\right)^{-4}} \\
& =\frac{\eta^{4}\left(t^{4}\right)}{\eta^{4}\left(t \eta^{6}\left(t^{2}\right)\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.8. Fix a smooth projective surface $S$ and an automorphism $g$ of finite order. From the proof of Theorem 1.3 or Theorem 1.5, we notice that if $\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{k},[e(S)]\right)$ only depends on the order of $g^{k}$ in the cyclic group $\langle g\rangle$ for $k \geq 0$, then the generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(S^{[n]}\right)\right]\right) t^{n}$ is an eta quotient by the inclusion-exclusion principle.

## 4. Generalized Kummer varieties

Let $A$ be an abelian surface over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\omega_{n}: A^{[n]} \rightarrow A^{(n)}$ be the Hilbert-Chow morphism and let $g_{n}: A^{(n)} \rightarrow A$ be the addition map. The generalized Kummer variety of $A$ is defined to be

$$
K_{n}(A):=\omega_{n}^{-1}\left(g_{n}^{-1}(0)\right) .
$$

This is a smooth projective holomorphic symplectic variety. We follow the strategy in Göt94.

Now suppose $A$ is an abelian surface with a $G$-action over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Define the map $\gamma_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n}: \coprod_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right)\right. & \left.\times \mathbb{A}^{n-|\alpha|}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right) \rightarrow S^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}} \\
\left(\left(\zeta_{i}\right)_{i}, v\right) & \mapsto \sum i \cdot \zeta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.1. For any $\zeta \in S^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$, we have $\left|\gamma_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)=\left|\omega_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)\right|\right.$.
Proof. Let $\zeta=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} \zeta_{i} \in S^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$, where $\zeta_{i}$ are distinct primitive cycles of degree $d_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)\right| & =\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left|V_{n_{i}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{\left(g F_{q}\right)^{d_{i}}}\right| \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left|V_{n_{i}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{\left(F_{q}\right)^{d_{i}}}\right| \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{\beta_{j}^{i} \in P\left(n_{i}\right)} q^{d_{i}\left(n_{i}-\left|\beta_{j}^{i}\right|\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{n}=\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[s, t]]\right)$. Here we use the key Lemma 3.2.
For $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $\beta^{i}=\left(1^{\beta_{1}^{i}}, 2^{\beta_{2}^{i}}, \ldots\right)$ be a partition of $n_{i}$, and let $\alpha=\left(1^{\alpha_{1}}, 2^{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots\right)$ be the union of $d_{i}$ copies of each $\beta^{i}$, where $\alpha_{j}=\sum_{i} d_{i} \beta_{j}^{i}$. Let

$$
\eta_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_{j}^{i} \zeta_{i} .
$$

Let $\eta$ be the sequence $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Then for all $w \in \mathbb{A}^{n-|\alpha|}$ we have

$$
\gamma_{n}((\eta, w))=\zeta,
$$

and in this way we get all the elements of $\gamma_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Hence

$$
\left|\gamma_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)\right|=\sum_{\beta^{1} \in P\left(n_{1}\right)} \sum_{\beta^{2} \in P\left(n_{2}\right)} \cdots \sum_{\beta^{r} \in P\left(n_{r}\right)} q^{n-\sum d_{i}\left|\beta^{i}\right|}=\left|\omega_{n}^{-1}(\zeta)\right| .
$$

Lemma 4.2. Denote by $h_{n}: A^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}} \rightarrow A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$ the restriction of $g_{n}$. Then $h_{n}$ is onto and $\left|h_{n}^{-1}(x)\right|$ is independent of $x \in A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$.

Proof. Since $g F_{q}$ is the Frobenius map of some twist of $A$, we can replace $g F_{q}$ by $F_{q}$ in the statement, and this is true by [Göt94, Lemma 2.4.8].

For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{l}^{g F_{q}}$ be the image of the multiplication $(l): A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}} \rightarrow$ $A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mu=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{t}\right)$ be a partition of a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mu}:\left(A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right)^{t} \rightarrow A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\mu)}^{g F_{q}} \\
&\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_{i} x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

is onto and $\left|\sigma_{\mu}^{-1}(x)\right|$ is independent of $x \in A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\mu)}^{g F_{q}}$.
Proof. As the above lemma, we can replace $g F_{q}$ by $F_{q}$, and this is true by Göt94, Lemma 2.4.9].

We denote $\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right) \times \mathbb{A}^{n-|\alpha|}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right.$ by $A[\alpha]$. Denote the restriction map of $\gamma_{n}$ on $A[\alpha]$ by $\gamma_{n, \alpha}: A[\alpha] \rightarrow S^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}$.

Lemma 4.4.

$$
\left|K_{n}(A)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|=\frac{1}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|} \sum_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left(g c d(\alpha)^{4} q^{n-|\alpha|} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|A^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|\right) .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\left|K_{n}(A)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|=\left|\gamma_{n}^{-1}\left(h_{n}^{-1}\right)\right|=\sum_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left|\gamma_{n, \alpha}^{-1}\left(h_{n}^{-1}(0)\right)\right| .
$$

Suppose $\alpha=\left(1^{\alpha_{1}}, 2^{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots\right)$. Let

$$
\mu=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right):=\left(1^{\mu_{1}}, 2^{\mu_{2}}, \ldots\right)
$$

where $\mu_{i}=\min \left(1, \alpha_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\alpha}: S[\alpha] \rightarrow\left(A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right)^{t} \\
\left(\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{t}\right), w\right) \mapsto\left(g_{\alpha_{m_{1}}}\left(\zeta_{1}\right), \ldots, g_{\alpha_{m_{t}}}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the following diagram commutes:


By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, $\sigma_{\mu} \circ f_{\alpha}$ maps $S[\alpha]$ onto $A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\alpha)}^{g F_{q}}=A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\mu)}^{g F_{q}}$, and $\left|f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\mu}^{-1}(x)\right)\right|$ is independent of $x \in A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\alpha)}^{g F_{q}}$. Since the multiplication with $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha)$ is an étale morphism of degree $(\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha))^{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{n}(A)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| & =\sum_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left|f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\mu}^{-1}(x)\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in P(n)} \frac{|A[\alpha]|}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)_{g c d(\alpha)}^{g F_{q}}\right|} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|} \sum_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left(g c d(\alpha)^{4} q^{n-|\alpha|} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|A^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of theorem 1.7. By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|K_{n}(A)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| t^{n} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|} \sum_{\alpha \in P(n)}\left(g c d(\alpha)^{4} q^{n-|\alpha|} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|A^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|\right) t^{n} \\
& =\frac{\left(w \frac{d}{d w}\right)^{4}}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in P(n)} w^{g c d(\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|A^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| q^{(i-1) \alpha_{i}} t^{i \alpha_{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(w \frac{d}{d w}\right)^{4}}{\left|A\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right|} \bigodot_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1+w^{m}\left(-1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|A^{(n)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)^{g F_{q}}\right| q^{(m-1) n} t^{m n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the proof of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.7, the theorem follows.
Remark 4.5. It is calculated in Göt94, Corollary 2.4.13] that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e\left(K_{n}(A)\right) q^{n}=$ $\frac{\left(q \frac{d}{d q}\right)^{3}}{24} E_{2}$, where $E_{2}:=1-24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{1}(n) q^{n}$ is a quasi-modular form. As in the case of Hilbert schemes of points, we can calculate $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g,\left[e\left(K_{n}(A)\right)\right]\right) t^{n}$, where $g$ is a symplectic automorphism of finite order on the abelian surface $A$. But it is not
obvious to the author whether or not the sum can be expressed by quasi-modular forms.
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