

# Anomaly-Free Special Weyl Symmetry

Mikhail Shaposhnikov<sup>1,\*</sup> and Anna Tokareva<sup>2,3,4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O.Box 35 (YFL), FIN-40014, Finland*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute for Nuclear Research of Russian Academy of Sciences, 117312 Moscow, Russia*

<sup>4</sup>*Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, 00014, Finland*

(Dated: January 25, 2022)

In this Letter, we address the question of whether the conformal invariance can be considered as a global symmetry of a theory of fundamental interactions. To describe Nature, this theory must contain a mechanism of spontaneous breaking of the conformal symmetry. Besides that, the fundamental theory must include gravity, whereas all known extensions of the conformal invariance to the curved space-time suffer from the Weyl anomaly. We propose an extension of the flat space conformal invariance to the curved space-time which is anomaly-free. We discuss how the effective Lagrangian respecting this special Weyl symmetry can be used for the description of particle phenomenology and cosmology.

*Introduction.*—What is the global symmetry of Nature? In the *absence of gravity* the most obvious answer to this question is given by the special relativity and is associated with the Poincaré group. The Poincaré transformations – time and space translations, together with Lorentz boosts and rotations – do not change the form of Maxwell equations. As was noted a long time ago, the Maxwell equations without external currents have a wider symmetry group [1, 2] – the 15 parameters conformal invariance, containing in addition to ten Poincaré generators, four special conformal transformations, and dilatations. Dilatations change the length of the rulers, while special conformal transformation can bend the lines but do not alter the angles between them. Could it be that the symmetry of all interactions is conformal?

The answer is certainly “no” if the ground state of the theory respects the conformal invariance. The theories enjoying the conformal symmetry – CFTs – do not contain any intrinsic mass scale and do not have well-defined particle states, in contrast with observations. However, if the conformal invariance (CI) is spontaneously broken,  $\langle O \rangle \neq 0$  (here  $O$  is some operator with non-zero mass dimension), the scale appears, massive particle excitations show up, and the resulting theory may happen to be relevant for the description of all particle interactions.

The theories with exact but spontaneously broken conformal invariance are very interesting from many points of view:

- The spontaneous breaking of CI leads to the existence of massless Goldstone particle – dilaton. The degeneracy of the vacuum ensures that the energy of the ground state is equal to zero [3–6] – an intriguing fact given the astonishing small value of the observed cosmological constant (dark energy).
- The Poincaré group has much more representations for the massless states than were ever observed in Nature. In particular, particles with continuous spin can exist (a textbook discussion can be found in [7], see also [8, 9]) if the Nature is just Poincaré invariant. The puzzling

absence of these states gets explained as the conformal symmetry does not allow for this kind of irreducible representations [10, 11].

- If the mass of the Higgs boson in the Lagrangian of the Standard Model is put to zero, this theory becomes conformally invariant at the classical level. Perhaps, this is a key for an explanation why the Fermi scale is much smaller than the Planck scale [12–16].

The list of known UV complete CFTs is very limited and contains only supersymmetric theories such as N=4 Yang-Mills or fishnet CFT [17]. The relevance of these theories for the description of Nature is obscure. Coming from another end – low energies, it is possible to construct phenomenologically viable (e.g. containing just the Standard Model) quantum *effective field theories* with exact but spontaneously broken conformal symmetry [6, 18–21]. These theories are non-renormalizable but weakly coupled below the energy scale of CI breaking. One may hope that their UV limit is given by some hypothetical well-defined CFT.

Suppose that indeed such a theory can be constructed in Minkowski space-time. Will it survive if gravity is added in such a way that the flat space remains a solution to the Einstein equations? In more formal terms, the question can be formulated as follows. The spectrum of spontaneously broken conformally invariant Minkowski theory contains several massive particles and one massless particle – the dilaton [22]. In the low energy domain, all massive particles can be integrated out, leading to the most general CI action for the dilaton. Consider now an arbitrary action containing two massless particles – a scalar field and graviton, invariant under local coordinate transformations (Diffs), and some additional symmetry SWS (the origin of the name will be explained below). Can one find the symmetry SWS such that if the general metric is replaced by the non-dynamical Minkowski one, the resulting scalar action will be the most general conformally invariant one? Note that this problem is different from that of construction of anomaly free Weyl

invariant theory of gravity, addressed in [23].

The answer to this question is usually taken to be “no”. It is often stated (see, e.g. [24]) that a natural extension of the conformal symmetry of flat space-time to curved space-time is the Weyl symmetry, constituting in replacement  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary function of space-time coordinates. Physically, Weyl invariance is the local freedom of changing the length units. Now, the Weyl symmetry conflicts with quantum theory because of the Weyl anomaly: the classical theory invariant with respect to Weyl transformation loses this property when quantum effects are accounted for (see a review [25] and references therein) if the requirement of the locality of the effective action is imposed. Even the approach suggested in [26] and developed in [6] based on defining the theory as Weyl-invariant in  $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$  dimensions by identifying the mass parameter of dimensional regularisation  $\mu$  with the dilaton field  $\phi$  does not help to avoid the Weyl anomaly with  $D$  even (and in particular, in  $D = 4$ ) [27].

This Letter aims to demonstrate that there is a finite subgroup of the Weyl group, which can be considered as an anomaly-free extension of the special conformal transformations and dilatations of the conformal group in Minkowski space-time to curved space-time. This symmetry can be called Special Weyl Symmetry (SWS for short). The existence of such a symmetry tells that indeed Nature may happen to be invariant with respect to diffeomorphisms of General Relativity supplemented by the global but spontaneously broken SWS, transforming into conformal symmetry in the absence of gravity in flat space.

*General structure of the dilaton effective action in Minkowski space-time.*—Though our interest is in the theory in 4-dimensional space-time it would be convenient to consider arbitrary  $D$  dimensions. The dilaton field is denoted by  $\phi$  and is assumed to have a canonical kinetic term  $\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$ . The mass dimension of  $\phi$  is  $\Delta = (D/2 - 1)$  and is non-zero for  $D = 4$ , allowing for spontaneous breaking of conformal symmetry by the dilaton vacuum expectation value. The most general conformally invariant action can be constructed with the use of the following two ingredients [28]. The first one is the combination

$$O_N = \phi^{\frac{2(N+1)}{2-D}} \square^N \left( \phi^{\frac{D-2N}{D-2}} \right), \quad (1)$$

which changes like  $\phi$  under special conformal transformation,  $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x') = \Omega^{-\Delta} \phi(x)$ , where  $\Omega = (1 + 2a_\mu x^\mu + a^2 x^2)^{-1}$  and  $a_\mu$  is an arbitrary  $D$ -vector. Here  $\square$  is the  $D$ -dimensional Laplacian and  $N$  is an integer number. The second ingredient is the differential operator

$$\hat{O}_N = \phi^{\frac{2(N+1)}{2-D}} \square^N, \quad (2)$$

which can be applied to any scalar operator with mass dimension  $D/2 - N$ .

The conformally invariant Lagrangian can be written as a sum of all combinations of the form

$$\phi^q \hat{O}_{N_1} [\phi^{\alpha_1} O_{m_1} \dots O_{m_k}] \dots \hat{O}_{N_p} [\phi^{\alpha_p} O_{s_1} \dots O_{s_l}], \quad (3)$$

where the powers  $\alpha_i$  are fixed in such a way that the mass dimension of the operators in square brackets is equal to  $D/2 - N_i$  and the power  $q$  is singled out from the requirement that the action is dimensionless. Not all of these operators are independent, some of them can be related to each other by integration by parts. The key observation in the procedure of construction of conformal operators is that the power of the  $\square$  operator has to be properly adjusted to the conformal weight of the operator which is differentiated.

The conformally invariant operators can be classified by the total number of derivatives (in what follows we take  $D = 4$ ). There is one operator  $\phi^4$  without derivatives, one operator  $\phi \square \phi$  (the kinetic term) with two derivatives, two operators with 4 derivatives (there is one more operator in scale-invariant but not CI theory,  $O_3 = \frac{1}{\phi^4} (\partial_\mu \phi)^4$ ),

$$Q_1 = \tau \square^2 \tau, \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{\phi^2} (\square \phi)^2, \quad (4)$$

(here  $\tau = \log(\phi/\mu)$  [29]), four operators with 6 derivatives (while there are 7 scale-invariant ones), etc. Note that the operator  $Q_1$  (it will play an important role below) can be derived as the formal limit  $Q_1 = \lim_{D \rightarrow 4} \left( \frac{2}{D-4} \square \phi^{\frac{D-4}{2}} \right)^2$ .

*Weyl anomaly versus Weyl symmetry.*—There is yet another way to construct the local dilaton effective action which is based on the following observation. Take an arbitrary Diff-invariant action constructed from the metric only (i.e. consider pure gravity) and replace the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  by  $g_{\mu\nu} \phi^2 / M_P^2$ , where  $M_P$  is any scale normally taken to coincide with the Planck mass. One gets in this way a scalar-tensor gravity which is Weyl-invariant (under transformation  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \Omega^{-1} \phi$ ) by construction. Now, it is obvious that a Weyl-invariant theory is automatically conformal invariant if the metric is taken to be flat. This method has been used for a construction of the dilaton action in spaces with different dimensions (see, e.g. [24, 30–32]).

This procedure leads to an impression that the natural extension of the conformal invariance to curved space-time is the Weyl symmetry. However, the Weyl symmetry happened to be anomalous [25]. The reason why this is the case is based on a simple counting of the available Diff-invariant operators. For  $D = 4$ , and for four derivatives, these are:  $R^2$ ,  $R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $W^2 = W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ , and  $\square R$ , where  $R$  is the scalar curvature,  $R_{\mu\nu}$  and  $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  are the Ricci and Weyl tensors respectively. Out of these four operators,  $\square R$  is a full derivative and thus it cannot be used for construction of the conformal action in flat

space,  $W^2$  is Weyl-invariant and thus it does not lead to any non-trivial scalar action, whereas the combination  $E_4 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$  is the Euler density which is a surface term. In other words, only one operator, say  $R^2$ , can be used for the generation of the conformally invariant action, meaning that one out of the two operators defined in (4) will be missing (it appears to be  $Q_1$ ).

In  $D = 4$  only one conformally invariant operator, containing four derivatives -  $Q_1$  - is failed to be constructed by the procedure outlined above. All CI operators containing 2 derivatives, as well as 6 and more derivatives can be found in this way. A similar story happens in higher even dimensions  $D = 2k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , namely the CI operators  $\tau \square^k \tau$  cannot be derived from local Diff-invariant pure gravity action, because of the existence of topological Euler densities in  $2k$ -dimensional space. (However, *non-local* operators providing the Weyl invariant action can be constructed [23, 33].) This makes it

clear that the extension of the flat space CI to the curved space cannot be the Weyl symmetry if the dimension of space-time is even and the locality of the action is imposed.

*Special Weyl transformations.*—The conformal group in flat space depends on a finite number of parameters, whereas the Weyl symmetry is local and thus is controlled by an arbitrary function of space. This poses the question of whether one can find a finite subgroup of the Weyl group which is anomaly-free and matches with the flat-space conformal symmetry.

To analyse this problem we note that the correspondence between the number of conformal operators with 4 derivatives in flat space and the number of Diff-invariant operators in curved space is restored if  $D \neq 4$ . It is customary to take formally  $D \neq 4$ , as in dimensional regularisation, and consider eventually the limit  $D \rightarrow 4$ . Perhaps, the most compact Weyl-invariant extension of the  $Q_1$ -type flat space dilaton action to curved space is

$$\int d^4x (\tau \square^2 \tau) \rightarrow S = \lim_{D \rightarrow 4} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \tau \Delta_4 \tau + 2\tau \left( -\frac{1}{6} \square R + \frac{1}{4} E_4 \right) + \frac{R^2}{36} + L_{anom} \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta_4$  is the so-called Riegert operator [34–36] which is conformally invariant for a scalar field with mass dimension zero,

$$\Delta_4 = \square^2 + 2R^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \frac{2}{3} R \square + \frac{1}{3} (\nabla^\mu R) \nabla_\mu$$

and

$$L_{anom} = \frac{E_4}{2(D-4)}. \quad (6)$$

The action  $S$  can be modified by adding the surface or Weyl-invariant terms such as  $\square R$  or  $W^2$ . The appearance of the formally singular at  $D \rightarrow 4$  term in (5) is exactly the manifestation of the Weyl anomaly [37]. There is no way to construct a local operator in  $D = 4$  with Weyl transformation properties of  $L_{anom}$ .

The infinitesimal Weyl transformation  $\Omega = 1 + \omega$ ,  $\omega \ll 1$  of the anomaly term is  $\delta_\omega L_{anom} = \frac{1}{2} E_4 \omega$ . It is finite and non-zero when  $D \rightarrow 4$ . Our main observation is that  $E_\omega = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} E_4 \omega$  can be rewritten as a surface integral in the space with arbitrary metric if and only if

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \omega = 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\nabla_\alpha$  is a covariant derivative. To see this, we consider the variation of  $E_\omega$  with respect to the metric,  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ . A tedious but straightforward computation gives  $\delta E_\omega = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} h_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \omega$ , where  $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  is some tensor constructed from the Riemann tensor and the metric (for the explicit expression

see [28]). Therefore,  $\delta E_\omega$  vanishes for arbitrary metric variation provided (7) is satisfied, proving the statement. Consequently, the infinitesimal Weyl transformation with  $\omega$  satisfying (7) are anomaly free, and  $L_{anom}$  can be removed by the invariant counter-term. We call these transformations Special Weyl Transformations (SWT) and the corresponding symmetry Special Weyl Symmetry (SWS). This symmetry leaves unchanged the geometric invariants such as  $R_{\mu\nu}$  and  $R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^\rho$ . However, the metric and the matter fields transform non-trivially under SWT.

The general structure of the solution to eq. (7) can be readily established. Rewriting (7) as

$$\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \omega = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \omega \quad (8)$$

and fixing the values of  $\omega$  and its first derivatives at some point  $x_0$ :  $\omega(x_0) = c$  and  $\partial_\mu \omega(x_0) = a_\mu$  (five arbitrary conditions for  $D = 4$ ) we can find the second derivatives of  $\omega$  through  $c$  and  $a_\mu$ . Obviously, if we take the partial derivative of (8) we will get all third derivatives of  $\omega$  linearly expressed through  $c$  and  $a_\mu$ . Repeating the procedure we have a (local) solution [38]

$$\omega(x) = c(x_0)A(x, x_0) + a_\mu(x_0)B^\mu(x, x_0), \quad (9)$$

where the functions  $A(x, x_0)$ ,  $B_\mu(x, x_0)$  do not depend on  $c$  and  $a_\mu$ . In flat space, these parameters correspond to dilatations and special conformal transformations respectively.

The infinitesimal SWS  $\omega$  can be extended to finite transformations  $\Omega$  in a way that they form a group by re-

quiring (for generalisation to arbitrary dimension  $D$  see [28])

$$\hat{P}\Omega^{-1} = \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \square \right) \Omega^{-1} = 0, \quad \square\Omega = 0. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, one can check by direct computation that if we make two metric transformations,  $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\tilde{g}}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\Omega}^2 \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$  then from the conditions  $\hat{P}\Omega^{-1} = 0$ , and  $\hat{\tilde{P}}\tilde{\Omega}^{-1} = 0$  it follows that  $\hat{P}(\Omega\tilde{\Omega})^{-1} = 0$ . The same is true for the second requirement in (10). These two non-linear conditions on  $\Omega$  imply the linear condition,

$$\square^2\Omega = 0. \quad (11)$$

The flat space solutions to (10) contain the function  $\Omega = c(1 + 2a_\mu x^\mu + a^2 x^2)^{-1}$  with constant  $c$  and  $a_\mu$ , exactly corresponding to dilatations and special conformal transformations. This means that SWS of the curved space naturally turns out into CI of flat space.

A remark is now in order. There are two other finite subgroups of the Weyl symmetry which were considered in the literature [39–42]. A covariant extension of the conformal transformations in curved space can be defined [39, 40] (see also [43]) via the Killing vector  $\xi_\mu$  satisfying the equation

$$\nabla_\mu \xi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu = \frac{2}{D} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\alpha \xi_\alpha. \quad (12)$$

---

Here  $A, B, C, F, G, H$  and  $J$  are arbitrary constants,  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor,  $\tau = \log(\phi/\mu)$  with  $\mu$  being an arbitrary scale [44]. The field  $\tau$  transforms under SWT as  $\tau \rightarrow \tau - \omega$ . The invariance of all the terms under SWS can be checked through the explicit computation. Note that the Euler density  $E_4$  is SWT invariant.

The structure of the action given by (13,14) allows also to clarify the situation with the energy-momentum tensor. It is well known [45] that in CFT in flat space it is possible to define an (improved) stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  with zero trace,  $T^\mu_\mu = 0$ . If the theory were Weyl invariant, this relation would remain in force in the gravitational background. However, when the quantum corrections are incorporated in a Weyl-invariant way in  $D$ -dimensions as in [6, 26], and the limit  $D \rightarrow 4$  is taken,  $T^\mu_\mu$  receives several contributions containing Diff invariants  $W^2$ ,  $E_4$ ,  $R^2$  and  $\square R$  [25]. One of them ( $E_4$ , the so-called a-anomaly) cannot be removed by the Weyl-

The corresponding infinitesimal Weyl factor is given by  $\omega = \nabla_\alpha \xi^\alpha$ . One can check that for this choice  $\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \omega \neq 0$  for generic non-flat metric [28], meaning that this symmetry is anomalous.

Another related possibility for the extension of the conformal symmetry named restricted Weyl transformations was studied in [41, 42]. In these works, it was shown that in  $D = 4$  the transformations satisfying  $\square\Omega = 0$  form a subgroup in the group of Weyl transformations. This symmetry is also anomalous, only a subgroup of it defined in (10) can survive at the quantum level.

*SWS invariant action.*—Here we present the first few operators in the derivative expansion of the Lagrangian for the dilaton and gravity in  $D = 4$  which is invariant under the special Weyl transformations. All the terms that could be written at the level of zero and two derivatives are

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \zeta \phi^2 R + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $\zeta$  and  $\lambda$  are arbitrary constants (note that Weyl invariance would impose a specific value for the non-minimal coupling  $\zeta = -1/6$ .) Making a transition to Einstein frame one can see that the combination  $\frac{\lambda M_P^4}{\zeta^2}$  is the vacuum energy. In these terms, the cosmological constant problem is converted to the question why  $\lambda/\zeta^2 \ll 1$ .

The general Lagrangian invariant under the special Weyl transformations and respecting parity at the level of four derivatives can be written as

---


$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ A(\square\tau)^2 + BR(\square\tau + (\partial_\mu\tau)^2) + C(\square\tau + (\partial_\mu\tau)^2)^2 + FG^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu\tau \partial_\nu\tau + G\tau E_4 + HR^2 + JW_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$

---

invariant counter-term, signalling that the Weyl symmetry is anomalous [46]. The SWS in curved space *does not impose* that the trace of stress-energy tensor is zero, only a weaker condition  $\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} T^\mu_\mu \omega = 0$ , where  $\omega$  obeys (7), must be satisfied.

Talking about phenomenology and cosmology, the graviton-dilaton action (13) can be complemented by all the fields of the Standard Model or  $\nu$ MMSM [47, 48] in an SWS invariant way, the explicit equations can be found in [18]. In this work, only the scale-invariance was imposed. Our findings reveal that scale invariance implies SWS at the level of the lowest order action. It has been shown that this Lagrangian can solve all the observational problems of the Standard Model (such as inflation, neutrino masses, baryon asymmetry of the Universe, and Dark Matter), provided the scale symmetry is spontaneously broken (for discussion of inflation see [20], and for review of other problems [49]). It is of crucial importance that

the non-minimal couplings of the Higgs and dilaton fields to the Ricci scalar are not constrained by any value ( $-\frac{1}{6}$  for the Weyl symmetry). We note also that the massless dilaton does not lead to the fifth force and thus is harmless from the experimental point of view [18, 50–52].

*Conclusions.*—In this Letter, we found the anomaly-free extension of the conformal symmetry of flat space-time to curved space-time. It constitutes a finite subgroup of the local Weyl symmetry, defined in (8). This global Special Weyl symmetry coincides with the conformal invariance in the Minkowski space in the absence of gravity and can be kept at a quantum level even if dynamical gravity is present. If spontaneously broken, it is consistent with all available experiments and observations and thus may play a role as the global symmetry of Nature.

There are several important and very challenging problems that need to be solved to complete the effective field theory picture discussed in this Letter. One of them is the reason for the existence of the flat direction along the dilaton field, required for the very possibility of spontaneous breaking of conformal symmetry. This is related closely to the cosmological constant problem. Yet another difficult task is building the connection between the low energy effective theory with spontaneously broken SWS and its high energy limit hopefully leading to UV complete CFT with restored SWS.

We thank Georgios Karananas, Alexander Monin and Arkady Tseytlin for very useful discussions and comments on the manuscript. A.T. thanks EPFL, where a part of this work was done, for hospitality. This work was supported by ERC-AdG-2015 grant 694896 and by the Swiss National Science Foundation Excellence grant 200020B 182864. The work was also supported by the Academy of Finland grant 318319. The part of work of A. T. related to obtaining the conformal operators with higher derivatives was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-12-00393.

---

\* mikhail.shaposhnikov@epfl.ch

† tokareva@ms2.inr.ac.ru

- [1] H. Bateman, The Conformal Transformations of a Space of Four Dimensions and Their Applications to Geometrical Optics, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s2-7**, 70 (1909) arXiv:1107.2163 [hep-ph].
- [2] E. Cunningham, The Principle of Relativity in Electrodynamics and an Extension Thereof, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s2-8**, 77 (1910) arXiv:1107.2163 [hep-ph].
- [3] D. J. Amit and E. Rabinovici, Breaking of Scale Invariance in  $\phi^6$  Theory: Tricriticality and Critical End Points, Nucl. Phys. **B257**, 371 (1985).
- [4] M. B. Einhorn, G. Goldberg, and E. Rabinovici, Quasirenormalizable Models, Nucl. Phys. **B256**, 499 (1985).
- [5] E. Rabinovici, B. Saering, and W. A. Bardeen, Critical Surfaces and Flat Directions in a Finite Theory, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 562 (1987).
- [6] M. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, Quantum scale invariance, cosmological constant and hierarchy problem, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 162 (2009), arXiv:0809.3406 [hep-th].
- [7] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- [8] P. Schuster and N. Toro, Continuous-spin particle field theory with helicity correspondence, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 025023 (2015), arXiv:1404.0675 [hep-th].
- [9] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, and H. Takata, BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for bosonic continuous spin field, Phys. Lett. B **785**, 315 (2018), arXiv:1806.01640 [hep-th].
- [10] G. Mack and I. Todorov, Irreducibility of the ladder representations of  $u(2,2)$  when restricted to the Poincaré subgroup, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2078 (1969).
- [11] G. Mack and A. Salam, Finite component field representations of the conformal group, Annals Phys. **53**, 174 (1969).
- [12] C. Wetterich, Fine Tuning Problem and the Renormalization Group, Phys. Lett. **140B**, 215 (1984).
- [13] W. A. Bardeen, On naturalness in the standard model, in *Ontake Summer Institute on Particle Physics Ontake Mountain, Japan* (1995).
- [14] M. Shaposhnikov and A. Shkerin, Conformal symmetry: towards the link between the Fermi and the Planck scales, Phys. Lett. B **783**, 253 (2018), arXiv:1803.08907 [hep-th].
- [15] M. Shaposhnikov and A. Shkerin, Gravity, Scale Invariance and the Hierarchy Problem, JHEP **10**, 024, arXiv:1804.06376 [hep-th].
- [16] M. Shaposhnikov, A. Shkerin, and S. Zell, Standard Model Meets Gravity: Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Inflation, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 033006 (2021), arXiv:2001.09088 [hep-th].
- [17] G. K. Karananas, V. Kazakov, and M. Shaposhnikov, Spontaneous Conformal Symmetry Breaking in Fishnet CFT, Phys. Lett. B **811**, 135922 (2020), arXiv:1908.04302 [hep-th].
- [18] M. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, Scale invariance, unimodular gravity and dark energy, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 187 (2009), arXiv:0809.3395 [hep-th].
- [19] F. Gretschi and A. Monin, Perturbative conformal symmetry and dilaton, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 045036 (2015), arXiv:1308.3863 [hep-th].
- [20] J. Garcia-Bellido, J. Rubio, M. Shaposhnikov, and D. Zenhausern, Higgs-Dilaton Cosmology: From the Early to the Late Universe, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 123504 (2011), arXiv:1107.2163 [hep-ph].
- [21] F. Bezrukov, G. K. Karananas, J. Rubio, and M. Shaposhnikov, Higgs-Dilaton Cosmology: an effective field theory approach, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 096001 (2013), arXiv:1212.4148 [hep-ph].
- [22] The realistic theory would also contain a massless photon. The addition of a massless vector field can be made without difficulties and thus is not considered in what follows.
- [23] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Conformal Anomaly in Weyl Theory and Anomaly Free Superconformal Theories, Phys. Lett. B **134**, 187 (1984).

- [24] M. A. Luty, J. Polchinski, and R. Rattazzi, The  $a$ -theorem and the Asymptotics of 4D Quantum Field Theory, *JHEP* **01**, 152, arXiv:1204.5221 [hep-th].
- [25] M. J. Duff, Twenty years of the Weyl anomaly, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11**, 1387 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9308075.
- [26] F. Englert, C. Truffin, and R. Gastmans, Conformal Invariance in Quantum Gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **117**, 407 (1976).
- [27] However, within this construction the scale [18] and conformal [19, 28] symmetries can be kept at the quantum level in the *flat space*.
- [28] M. Shaposhnikov and A. Tokareva, in preparation, (2022), arXiv:???????? [hep-th].
- [29] Note that the action does not depend on the dimensionful parameter  $\mu$ , as it disappears after integration by parts.
- [30] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, On Renormalization Group Flows in Four Dimensions, *JHEP* **12**, 099, arXiv:1107.3987 [hep-th].
- [31] F. Baume and B. Keren-Zur, The dilaton Wess-Zumino action in higher dimensions, *JHEP* **11**, 102, arXiv:1307.0484 [hep-th].
- [32] H. Osborn and A. Stergiou, Structures on the Conformal Manifold in Six Dimensional Theories, *JHEP* **04**, 157, arXiv:1501.01308 [hep-th].
- [33] I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, 4-D quantum gravity in the conformal sector, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 2013 (1992).
- [34] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, One Loop Beta Function in Conformal Supergravities, *Nucl. Phys. B* **203**, 157 (1982).
- [35] S. Paneitz, A Quartic Conformally Covariant Differential Operator for Arbitrary Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds, MIT preprint (1983).
- [36] R. J. Riegert, A Nonlocal Action for the Trace Anomaly, *Phys. Lett. B* **134**, 56 (1984).
- [37] Notice that for the field with zero mass dimension the singularity in  $D = 4$  appears starting from Weyl-invariant extension of  $\square^3$  operator [40].
- [38] The existence of a global solution is a non-trivial problem which we do not address here.
- [39] A. Iorio, L. O’Raifeartaigh, I. Sachs, and C. Wiesendanger, Weyl gauging and conformal invariance, *Nucl. Phys. B* **495**, 433 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9607110.
- [40] G. K. Karananas and A. Monin, Weyl vs. Conformal, *Phys. Lett. B* **757**, 257 (2016), arXiv:1510.08042 [hep-th].
- [41] A. Ederly and Y. Nakayama, Restricted Weyl invariance in four-dimensional curved spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 043007 (2014), arXiv:1406.0060 [hep-th].
- [42] A. Ederly and Y. Nakayama, Generating Einstein gravity, cosmological constant and Higgs mass from restricted Weyl invariance, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **30**, 1550152 (2015), arXiv:1502.05932 [hep-th].
- [43] S. Rychkov, *EPFL Lectures on Conformal Field Theory in  $D > 3$*  SpringerBriefs in Physics (2016) arXiv:1601.05000 [hep-th].
- [44] Nothing depends on this scale in perturbative computations as it disappears after integrating by parts. This may not be the case for non-perturbative effects associated with configurations with non-zero Euler characteristics coming from the term containing  $G$ .
- [45] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman, and R. Jackiw, A New improved energy - momentum tensor, *Annals Phys.* **59**, 42 (1970).
- [46] Note that the term  $W^2$  representing the so-called c-anomaly can be taken away by the Weyl-invariant counter-term  $\phi^\epsilon W^2/\epsilon$  [26].
- [47] T. Asaka, S. Blanchet, and M. Shaposhnikov, The nuMSM, dark matter and neutrino masses, *Phys. Lett. B* **631**, 151 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0503065.
- [48] T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, The  $\nu$ MSM, dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe, *Phys. Lett. B* **620**, 17 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0505013.
- [49] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, The Role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59**, 191 (2009), arXiv:0901.0011 [hep-ph].
- [50] C. Wetterich, Cosmology and the Fate of Dilatation Symmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **302**, 668 (1988), arXiv:1711.03844 [hep-th].
- [51] C. Wetterich, Cosmologies With Variable Newton’s ‘Constant’, *Nucl. Phys. B* **302**, 645 (1988).
- [52] P. G. Ferreira, C. T. Hill, and G. G. Ross, No fifth force in a scale invariant universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 064038 (2017), arXiv:1612.03157 [gr-qc].