arXiv:2201.09326v1 [math.DS] 23 Jan 2022

An Improved Convergence Case for Diophantine Approximations on IFS Fractals

Itamar Cohen-Matalon

January 25, 2022

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to (partially) address the issue of finding an analogue to Khintchine's theorem for IFS Fractals. We study the convergence case for Diophantine approximations, and show an improved result for higher dimensions. This matter has been previously studied by Kleinbock, Lindenstrauss and Weiss in [KLW05] and by Pollington and Velani in [PV05]. [PV05] show a similar result to the one in this paper (a Khinchine convergence case) and we shall show how our result is an improvement in the higher dimensional cases. A recent paper by Khalil and Luethi [KL21] shows a full Khinchine theorem for a certain class of fractals, but here we try to address a more general class of fractals. In this paper, we shall use use the translation into the world of random walks, in the spirit of Simmons and Weiss [SW19], and use results by Benoist and Quint from [BQ13a] and [BQ13b], and Khalil's [Kha20] that shall be central to our proof. To introduce our result, we first need to define a few important parameters:

Definition 1 ([Kha20] (1.3) & (6.1))**.** For $1 \leq k \leq d$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}(d,k)$ the set of all of the affine subspaces of dimension k in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{A}(d,k)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ the open ε-neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric. Given a compactly supported Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$ we define:

$$\alpha_l(\mu) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{A}(d,d-l)} \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\log(\varepsilon)}. \tag{1}$$

We shall then define:

$$\varpi := \min_{1 \leq l \leq d} \alpha(l)(d-l+1). \tag{2}$$

Our main result is as follows (see Section 2 where we present the general setting and definitions):

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be the limit set of an irreducible, finite system of contracting similarity maps, with a common contraction ratio $0 < \kappa < 1$, satisfying the open set condition and let $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ be a Bernoulli measure on $\mathcal{K}$. Let $0 < \alpha < \varpi$, $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ monotone decreasing such that:*

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1} \psi^{\alpha}(x) < \infty, \tag{3}$$

then $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$-a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$ is not ψ-approximable.

An interesting example we shall observe, is the product of Cantor sets, for which we shall calculate the exact constants:

Lemma 1. *Let $d \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^d$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the standard middle thirds Cantor set. Let $s = \dim_H(\mathcal{K})$, and let $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the restriction to $\mathcal{K}$ of the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Then $\varpi = s = d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$.*

and this shall give us the the specific result:

Corollary 1. *For $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^d$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ as in Lemma 1, the result of the theorem holds for $0 < \alpha < \varpi = d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$.*

This result improves upon a previous result by Pollington & Velani in [PV05]. The Pollington-Velani result states, in our notation, that if the following series converges:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha_1}{d}-1}\psi\left(x\right)^{\alpha_1}, \tag{4}$$

then $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\psi - approximable\right) = 0$, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1\left(\mu_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ as defined in (1).

Our result from Theorem 1 states that for $0 < \alpha < \varpi$, if the following series converges:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi\left(x\right)^{\alpha}, \tag{5}$$

then $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}\left(\psi - approximable\right) = 0$. Taking as an example the Cantor set product $\mathcal{C}^d$ demonstrates the strength of our result. When $d > 1$ we have $\varpi = d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} > \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} = \alpha_1$, making our result a tighter one. When $d = 1$, $\varpi = \alpha_1$, our result is almost as good, as we have $\alpha < \varpi = \alpha_1$, and the result from [PV05] can handle more general functions.

We believe that with some additional work it should be possible to adjust the proof and remove the "common contraction ratio" limitation from the iterated function system in Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements: This work is part of the authors M.Sc. thesis, conducted in Tel Aviv University under the supervision of Barak Weiss. The author acknowledges funding through grants ISF 2919/19 and BSF 2016256.

1.1 Proof Outline

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will start by proving bounds on the behaviour of the random walk that corresponds to the IFS that generates $\mathcal{K}$, and later, using a Lemma from [SW19] and the Dani Correspondence from Kleinbock & Margulis's [KM99], translate this result into the required bound on approximations.

For giving a bound on the escape rate of the IFS random walk, we shall use the machinery of Benoist-Quint ([BQ13a] & [BQ13b]) and observe an exponentially recurrent subset $Y \subset X$, to which we shall (with probability 1) return. Using the upgraded contraction hypothesis given by [Kha20], we can give an interesting bound on the length of each excursion from Y and back of the random walk. The bounds on the excursions will translate into escape distance bounds, which we will then be able to translate into the required approximation bounds.

2 Setting and Definitions

Definition 2. Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to [0, \infty)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We shall say $\mathbf{x}$ is **ψ-approximable** if for infinitely many $q \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\left\|\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{q}\right\|_{\infty} < \frac{\psi(q)}{q}$.

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_{d+1}\left(\mathbb{R}\right)$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_{d+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$, $X = G/\Gamma$. Let E be a finite set, $s \mapsto h_s$ is a map from E to G and $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}\left(E\right)$ a measure such that $\mathrm{supp}\left(\mu\right) = E$. Sometimes we will choose to consider $E \subseteq G$, and then $s = h_s$. We denote $B = E^{\mathbb{N}}$. For $b = (s_1, s_2, ...) \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $b_1^n = (s_1, ..., s_n)$ and $h_{b_1^n}$ denotes the product $h_{s_n} \cdots h_{s_1}$. B is equipped with the measure $\beta = \mu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, and $T : B \to B$ is the left shift. We shall define a random walk on X using the transition probabilities that are determined by μ in the following manner: P_x is the pushforward of μ under the map $s \mapsto h_s x$. I.e.:

$$P_x\left(A\right) = \int_G \mathbb{1}_A\left(h_s x\right)\ \mathrm{d}\mu\left(s\right). \tag{6}$$

Specifically, we will be interested in finite, contracting Iterated Function Systems (IFS). This is a set of similarity maps which are maps $\Phi = \left(\phi_s\right)_{s\in E}$, where a map $\phi_s : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a similarity map if:

$$\phi\left(x\right) = \kappa_s x O_s + y_s, \tag{7}$$

where O_s is a $d \times d$ matrix orthogonal with respect to the inner product, $\kappa_s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa_s > 0$ and $y_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We will require they be *contracting*, i.e. with a *contraction ratio* - κ_s that satisfies $\kappa_s < 1$. We will also be limiting ourselves to IFSes with a common contraction ratio for all maps. Notice that we chose x to be a row vector, with matrices acting from the right, as this will be more consistent with the calculations in the paper.

An IFS Φ is said to satisfy the *open set condition* if $\exists U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and non-empty such that $(\phi_s(U))_{s\in E}$ is a disjoint collection of subsets of U ($\phi_s(U) \subseteq U$ for each $s \in E$). It is also called *irreducible* if there is no proper affine subspace $\mathcal{L} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that:

$$\phi_s(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \qquad \forall s \in E. \tag{8}$$

Definition 3 (Coding Map)**.** The coding map of Φ shall be defined as $\pi : B \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by:

$$\pi(b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{b_n^1}(\alpha_0), \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an arbitrary fixed point and:

$$\phi_{b_n^1} = \phi_{b_1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{b_n}. \tag{10}$$

The image of B under the coding map π is called the *limit set* of Φ. We denote it by $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\Phi)$. In our setting, of a finite strictly contracting IFS, π converges everywhere and is continuous [SW19] (chapter 8.1), and therefore the limit set $\mathcal{K}$ is compact.

Definition 4 (Bernoulli Measure on $\mathcal{K}$)**.** A measure $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ is called a Bernoulli measure on $\mathcal{K}$ if it is the pushforward of such a measure $\beta = \mu^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$ onto the fractal $\mathcal{K}$ by the coding map π.

Example 1. An interesting example of such a Bernoulli measure is the Hausdorff measure on the fractal. Let $s = \dim_H(\mathcal{K})$, and let $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the restriction to $\mathcal{K}$ of the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure. A result by Hutchinson shows that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ is in-fact a Bernoulli measure, resulting when μ, the measure on E is chosen to be uniform [SW19] (Chapter 8.1).

We shall fix $\Phi = \{\phi_s\}_{s\in E}$ to be an irreducible, finite system of contracting similarity maps on $\mathbb{R}^d$, chosen according to a law μ, with a common contraction ratio $0 < \kappa < 1$, satisfying the open set condition. We construct the random walk defined by $H = \{h_s\}_{s\in E} \subseteq G$ acting on the space X in Chapter 2.1, where each step is chosen by the same law μ.

We shall denote the limit set by $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\Phi)$, and the Bernoulli measure which is the projection of $\mu^{\otimes\mathbb{N}}$ onto $\mathcal{K}$ by $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$. Note that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ depends on μ, despite the fact that our notation does not show this.

2.1 Translation Between Iterated Function Systems and Random Walks

To those unfamiliar with the notations of [SW19], this chapter will introduce the translation between IFS language and Random Walk language (as done in Chapter 10.1 of [SW19]).

Given an IFS $(\phi_s)_{s\in E}$ our objective is to translate the maps ϕ_s into elements h_s that act on X. Notice that in the IFS setup, we were interested in $\phi_{b_n^1}$ (see Definition 3), and in the homogeneous space we are interested in the objects $h_{b_1^n} := h_{b_n} \cdots h_{b_1}$. Therefore we would like the relation to be of the form $h_s = \phi_s^{-1}$, so that the coding map agrees with the random walk and we have $h_{b_1^n} = \phi_{b_n^1}^{-1}$. So we need to understand how to view the similarity map as an element of G.

Notation 1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define:

$$a_t = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/d} I_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\alpha \\ 0 & I_d \end{bmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

and $A = \{a_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, U = \{u_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$. Fixing an inner product on R^d, we shall define by O_d the group of matrices preserving this inner product, and define:

$$K = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & O \end{bmatrix} \mid O \in O_d \right\}. \tag{12}$$

Finally let $P = AKU < G$. Notice that A and K commute and normalize U.

As A and K normalize U, we have the natural projections $\pi_A : P \to A$ and $\pi_K : P \to K$ which are homeomorphisms. Observe $\iota : \mathbb{R}^d \to P/AK$ defined by $\iota(\alpha) = u_{-\alpha}AK$. ι is a homeomorphism, and $\iota(0)$ is the identity coset $AK \in P/AK$.

Now consider the action ρ of P on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that results from conjugating the action of P on P/AK by left multiplication, by ι.

We'll have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(u_\alpha)(\beta) &= \iota^{-1}(u_\alpha \iota(\beta)) = \iota^{-1}(u_\alpha u_{-\beta} AK) = \iota^{-1}(u_{\alpha-\beta}) = \beta - \alpha \\
\rho(a_t)(\beta) &= \iota^{-1}(a_t \iota(\beta)) = \iota^{-1}(a_t u_\beta AK) = \iota^{-1}(a_t u_\beta a_{-t} AK) = \iota^{-1}\left(u_{e^{t+t/d}\beta} AK\right) = e^{t+t/d}\beta \\
\rho(O')(\beta) &= \iota^{-1}(O' \iota(\beta)) = \iota^{-1}(O' u_\beta AK) = \iota^{-1}\left(O' u_\beta O'^{-1} AK\right) = \iota^{-1}\left(u_{\beta O^{-1}} AK\right) = \beta O^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $O' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & O \end{bmatrix}$. Notice that ρ is faithful, and ρP is the group of similarity maps on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We can therefore identify P with the group of similarity maps of $\mathbb{R}^d$, allowing the translation into the matrices h_s acting on X in the random walk process by: $h_s = \phi_s^{-1}$.

3 Exponentially Recurrent Subset

The core of the proof relies on bounding excursions from a specific compact set within X, to which the random walk returns with probability 1. The machinery for finding such sets was introduced by Benoist-Quint in [BQ13a] and [BQ13b]. In the key result of this chapter - Corollary 4, we prove the existence of such a family of sets, with specific parameters that shall allow us to prove the bounds on excursions in Chapter 4.

Definition 5. Let $Y \subset X$ be a Borel set. We define the *return time* $\tau_{Y,x} : B \to \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ for $b \in B$ as:

$$\tau_{Y,x}(b) = \inf\left\{n \geq 1 \mid h_{b_1^n} x \in Y\right\}. \tag{14}$$

Definition 6. We define the n-th consecutive return time, for $n \geq 2$ as:

$$\tau_{Y,x}^n(b) = \inf\left\{n > \tau_{Y,x}^{n-1} \mid h_{b_1^n} x \in Y\right\}, \tag{15}$$

and set $\tau_{Y,x}^1 = \tau_{Y,x}$.

We may sometimes drop part of the notation parameters when they are clear from the context, as it will make equations easier to read.

Notation 2. We denote the sub-level sets of a function $f : X \to [0,\infty]$ as: $X_M = \{x : f(x) \leq M\}$ and denote $\tau_{M,x} := \tau_{X_M,x}$.

Definition 7 ([BQ13a] - Definition 6.1)**.** We say that Y is exponentially μ recurrent if for some $a_0 < 1$ one has:

$$\sup_{x \in Y} \int_B a_0^{-\tau_{Y,x}(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) < \infty. \tag{16}$$

Definition 8. We denote by P_μ the one step averaging operator of the random walk on X defined by $P(f)(x) = \int_E f(h_s x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(s)$.

Lemma 2 ([BQ13a] - Proposition 6.3)**.** *Using the existing notations, let μ a Borel probability on G and denote by P_μ the one step averaging operator for μ. Let $f : X \to [0,\infty]$ be a non-negative Borel function satisfying $P_\mu f \leq af + c$ for some $0 < a < 1$ and $c > 0$. Let $a < a_0 < 1$ and let $M \geq M_0 = \frac{b+1}{a_0 - a}$. Then for all $x \in X$:*

$$\int_B a_0^{-\tau_{M,x}(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) \leq \max(M, f(x)). \tag{17}$$

Functions satisfying $P_\mu f \leq af + c$ for some $0 < a < 1$ and $c > 0$ are called Margulis-Lyapunov functions. Lemma 2 allows us to find exponentially recurrent subsets using such functions. The "quality" of the exponentially recurrent subset is effectively determined by the parameter a. In his paper [Kha20], Khalil constructs a family of such functions, we will use here. We will use a simpler form of the Contraction Hypothesis ([Kha20] Definition 3.1) using the simplification in [Kha20] Remark 3.2 (1). Specifically, Khalil constructs the Margulis function, and the contraction hypothesis for our setup in section 6 (page 28) of [Kha20].

Notation 3. Recall the notation of a_t in 1. We shall denote:

$$g_t = a_{-\frac{d \log t}{d+1}}. \tag{18}$$

Notice that unlike a_t which satisfies an additive identity: $a_t a_s = a_{t+s}$, g_t satisfies a multiplicative one: $g_t g_s = g_{ts}$.

Definition 9 (Contraction Hypothesis)**.** Let X and $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ be as previously defined. Given a collection of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_m : X \to (0, \infty) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a real number $\alpha > 0$, we say that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}, \alpha)$-**contraction hypothesis** on X if the following properties hold:

1. The functions f_m are proper for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, f_m is $\mathrm{SO}(d+1, \mathbb{R})$-invariant and uniformly log Lipschitz with respect to the G action. That is, for every bounded neighborhood $\mathcal{O} \subseteq G$ of the identity, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{O}} \geq 1$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{O}$, $x \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$:
$$C_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} f_m(x) \leq f_m(gx) \leq C_{\mathcal{O}} f_m(x). \tag{19}$$
3. There exists a constant $c \geq 1$ such that the following holds: for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $T > 0$ such that for all $y \in X$:
$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} f_m(g_{t_m} u_{\mathbf{x}} y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq A_m f_m(y) + B_m, \tag{20}$$
where $t_m = \kappa^m$, $A_m = c\kappa^{m\alpha}$ and $B_m = T\kappa^{-m\alpha}$.

Theorem 2 (Contraction Hypothesis for $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$)**.** *Let $0 < \varrho < 1$. There exists a family of functions $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}, \alpha)$-contraction hypothesis with:*

$$\alpha = \frac{\varrho \varpi}{d+1}. \tag{21}$$

We would like to use this result, together with the Benoist-Quint machinery, in order to prove the existence of an exponentially recurrent set, with favorable parameters. This is achieved in Corollary 4. To do this, we first need a translation between the trajectory of the diagonal flow and the random walk, coming from an important lemma from [SW19], which is Lemma 3 in this paper.

Definition 10. We say a trajectory $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ **remains within bounded distance** of a trajectory $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ if there exists some bounded neighborhood of the identity, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq G$, such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find some $g \in \mathcal{O}$ such that:

$$x_n = g y_n. \tag{22}$$

We shall say this bound is **independent** of some parameters if we can find a common $\mathcal{O} \subseteq G$ that satisfies the requirements for each choice of parameters.

Lemma 3. *For all $b \in B$, the trajectory of the diagonal flow $\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x_0\right)_{t>0}$ remains bounded distance from the random walk trajectory $\left(h_{b_1^n} x_0\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This bound is independent of n, x_0 and b. Specifically, $a_{t_n} u_{\pi(b)} x_0$ remains bounded distance from $h_{b_1^n} x_0$ where a_{t_n} is the projection onto the diagonal group element of $h_{b_1^n}$.*

This Lemma can be deduced from the proof of Lemma 11.3 in [SW19]. The proof can be found in Chapter 5, together with the notations that translate IFSs into Random Walks. We would like to continue by calculating t_n for this "translation". The IFS is composed of elements of the form:

$$\phi_{O,b}(v) = \kappa(vO + b), \tag{23}$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $O \in \mathrm{SO}(d, \mathbb{R})$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking such $\phi = \phi_{O,b}$ we would like calculate the projection onto the diagonal group of the corresponding element $h \in H$, which we'll denote by a_t (as in [SW19] chapter 10.1, where it is shown that the projection is also a group homomorphism). This is determined by κ, so it is identical for all the elements of H. As h corresponds to the inverse of ϕ (Chapter 2.1), the diagonal element of ϕ is a_{-t}. We therefore have that: $\kappa = e^{-t/M - t/N}$, where in our case $M = 1, N = d$. So:

$$\kappa = e^{-t/M - t/N} = e^{-\frac{d+1}{d}t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log\kappa = -\frac{d+1}{d}t \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = -\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}. \tag{24}$$

As the projection to the diagonal group is the product of the projections of the n acting elements, each contributing a_t, the total projection is a_{nt}, and so:

$$t_n = nt = -n\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}. \tag{25}$$

Notice that this projection can be written as g_{κ^n} by (18).

Corollary 2. *In the same notation above, and α as in (21) we have that there exists some $C \geq 1$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $y \in X$:*

$$P^m f_m(y) \leq CA_m f_m(y) + CB_m. \tag{26}$$

Proof. Clearly:

$$P^m f_m(y) = \int_B f_m\left(h_{b_1^n} y\right) \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b). \tag{27}$$

Denote by $b_n^{\mathbf{x}}$ the prefix of length n of the path corresponding to $\mathbf{x}$, and notice that for $\mathbf{x} = \pi(b)$ we have the identity: $b_1^n = b_n^{\pi(\mathbf{b})}$. As $\mathcal{K}$ is the image of B under the coding map, and $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the pushforward of β (Definitions 3 and 4) we have that:

$$P^m f_m(y) = \int_B f_m\left(h_{b_1^n} y\right) \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f_m\left(h_{b_m^{\mathbf{x}}} y\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{28}$$

By Lemma 3, there is some compact neighborhood of the identity, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq G$ (independent of b) such for any n and y there exists some $g \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $h_{b_n^{\mathbf{x}}} y = g a_{t_n} u_{\mathbf{x}} y$, and as $a_{t_n} = g_{\kappa^n}$, we have the integrand in Formula (20). By (2) of the Definition 9, $f_m\left(h_{b_n^{\mathbf{x}}} y\right) \leq C_{\mathcal{O}} f_m(g_{\kappa^n} u_{\mathbf{x}} y)$, and so:

$$\begin{aligned} P^m f_m(y) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f_m\left(h_{b_m^{\mathbf{x}}} y\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \int_{\mathcal{K}} C_{\mathcal{O}} f_m(g_{\kappa^m} u_{\mathbf{x}} y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}) = \\ = C_{\mathcal{O}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} f_m(g_{\kappa^m} u_{\mathbf{x}} y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_{\mathcal{O}}(A_m f_m(y) + B_m) = C_{\mathcal{O}} A_m f_m(y) + C_{\mathcal{O}} B_m, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

as required. □

Now that we see f_m are in the form required by Lemma 2, but we still have one more requirement before we can use the lemma:

Corollary 3. *Let C be as in Corollary 2. There exists a large enough m_0, such that for any $m \geq m_0$ $CA_m < 1$.*

Proof. As by Definition 9 part (3), $A_m = c\kappa^{m\alpha}$, and as $\kappa < 1$ and $\alpha > 0$, A_m is monotone decreasing in m (with limit 0 in infinity), so for large enough values, $CA_m < 1$. □

We can now apply Lemma 2:

Corollary 4. *Let C be as in Corollary 2 and let m_0 be as in Corollary 3. For every $m \geq m_0$, $\delta \in (0, -\log(CA_m))$ there exists a compact $Y \subseteq X$ such that:*

$$\sup_{x \in Y} \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) < \infty, \tag{30}$$

where $\tau = \tau_{Y,x}$.

Proof. Taking $m \geq m_0$ we denote by $\tau_m = \tau_{Y,x,m}$ the first return time to $Y \subseteq X$, along the sampled times (every m steps). Using Lemma 2 we have that for any $CA_m = a < a_0 < 1$ there exists some $M_m = M_m(a_0) > 0$ for f_m such that X_{M_m} is exponentially recurrent, as:

$$\sup_{x \in X_{M_m}} \int_B a_0^{-\tau_{x,m}(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) \leq \sup_{x \in X_{M_m}} \max(M_m, f_m(x)) \leq M_m, \tag{31}$$

denoting: $\tau_{x,m} := \tau_{X_{M_m},x,m}$.

Notice, that as f_m is proper, X_{M_m} is compact. Changing variables to $\delta = \log a_0$ we have that for any $\delta \in (0, -\log(CA_m))$ exists a large enough $M = M(\delta) = M_m(a_0)$, so that taking $Y = X_M$ gives us:

$$\sup_{x \in Y} \int_B e^{\delta \tau_{Y,x,m}(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) \leq M < \infty. \tag{32}$$

As $\tau_{Y,x,m}$ is the first return time to Y sampled every m steps it corresponds to time $m\tau_{Y,x,m}$ along the full random walk. We therefore have $\tau_{Y,x} \leq m\tau_{Y,x,m}$. Substituting gives the required result. □

4 Excursion Bounds

Using the family of exponentially recurrent subsets from Corollary 4, we shall now give bounds on the length of the excursions from these sets. These bounds are achieved in Lemma 4 and Corollary 5.

Definition 11. For $n \geq 1$ define the n-th excursion length by: $\sigma_{Y,x}^n = \tau_{Y,x}^{n+1} - \tau_{Y,x}^n$, and: $\sigma_{Y,x}^0 = \tau_{Y,x}^1$. We can view $\sigma_{Y,x}^n$ as a random variable that depends on the trajectory.

Definition 12. We shorthand $\tau(x,n) := \tau_{Y,x}^n$ and $h_{b,k} := h_{b_1^k}$ denote:

$$y_n(x,b) := h_{b,\tau(x,n)}x \qquad \left(= h_{b_1^{\tau_{Y,x}^n}}x\right), \tag{33}$$

i.e. the n-th return point in Y.

We continue by proving a Lemma & Corollary inspired by [BQ13b] - Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 4. *Fix $x \in X$ and $r : \mathbb{N} \to [0,\infty)$. Taking Y and δ as in Corollary 4 and denoting $\theta = \sup_{x \in Y} \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau_{Y,x}(b)}$, we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\beta\left(\sigma_{Y,x}^n \geq r(n)\right) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)}\theta. \tag{34}$$

Proof. First we use Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\beta\left(\sigma_{Y,x}^n \geq r(n)\right) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)} \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\sigma_{Y,x}^n(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b). \tag{35}$$

Notice that $\sigma_{Y,x}^n = \tau_{Y,y_n(x,b)}\left(T^{\tau_{Y,x}^n}b\right)$, i.e. the first return time from the previous point in Y, along the shifted sequence b. As $y_n(x,b)$ depends only on $\left(b_1, ..., b_{\tau_{Y,x}^n}\right)$ and $T^{\tau_{Y,x}^n}b = \left(b_{\tau_{Y,x}^n+1}, ...\right)$, these are independent, and so we can write:

$$\int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\sigma_{Y,x}^n(b)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) = \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau_{Y,y_n(x,b)}\left(T^{\tau_{Y,x}^n}b\right)} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b) = \int_B \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau_{Y,y_n(x,b)}(b')} \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b') \, \mathrm{d}\beta(b). \tag{36}$$

As $y_n(x,b) \in Y$, we have that $\int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau_{Y,y_n(x,b)}(b')}\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b') \leq \theta$, and so:

$$\int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\sigma^n_{Y,x}(b)}\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b) = \int_B \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\tau_{Y,y_n(x,b)}(b')}\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b')\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b) \leq \int_B \theta\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b) = \theta. \tag{37}$$

Finally we get:

$$\beta\left(\sigma^n_{Y,x} \geq r(n)\right) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)} \int_B e^{\frac{\delta}{m}\sigma^n_{Y,x}(b)}\,\mathrm{d}\beta(b) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)}\theta, \tag{38}$$

as required. □

Corollary 5. *In the above notation, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)} < \infty$, then for β-a.e. $b \in B$ there exists a large enough $n_0 = n_0(x,b)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$:*

$$\sigma^n_{Y,x} < r(n). \tag{39}$$

Proof. We can consider only paths for which all the excursions from Y return to Y, as for each n the measure of paths that escape Y at the n-th excursion is 0 by a similar calculation to that in the previous lemma, and so it is the intersection of a countable set of full measure sets.

As $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)} < \infty$, we also have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)}\theta < \infty$ and so the corollary is a direct result of Borel-Cantelli and the previous lemma. □

This gives us a bound on the excursion lengths of the random walk out of such a set Y. We will need to translate this to a result on the diagonal flow (Lemma 7), as bounds on it can later be translated into Diophantine approximation results.

Definition 13. We shall denote by $\bar{\tau}^n_{Y,x}(b)$ the consecutive return time for the diagonal flow sampled only along t_n (calculated in (25)), and the excursion length by $\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y,x}$. Specifically:

$$\bar{\tau}^n_{Y,x}(b) = \inf\left\{n > \bar{\tau}^{n-1}_{Y,x} \mid a_{t_n} u_{\pi(b)} x \in Y\right\}, \tag{40}$$

where:

$$\bar{\tau}^1_{Y,x} = \bar{\tau}_{Y,x} = \inf\left\{n > 0 \mid a_{t_n} u_{\pi(b)} x \in Y\right\}. \tag{41}$$

And the excursion length is also similarly defined for $n \geq 1$ as: $\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y,x} = \bar{\tau}^{n+1}_{Y,x} - \bar{\tau}^n_{Y,x}$, and for $n = 0$, it is simply: $\bar{\sigma}^0_{Y,x} = \bar{\tau}^1_{Y,x}$

Corollary 6. *Fix $x \in X$ and some monotone increasing $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\delta}{m}r(n)} < \infty$. There exists a compact set $Y' \subseteq X$ such that for β-a.e. $b \in B$, exists some n_1 such that for all $n \geq n_1$:*

$$\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x} \leq r(n). \tag{42}$$

Proof. Take Y to be as before. By Lemma 3 the diagonal flow remains bounded distance from the random walk, we shall define by some compact neighborhood of the identity O. Choose $Y' = \bigcup_{y \in Y} Oy$ to be a bounded compact set containing these neighborhoods for all the elements of Y (which is compact). Denote by B' the full measure set from Corollary 5 for which bound (39) holds. Take some $b \in B'$ and the corresponding n_0. Denote by $n_1 = \tau^{n_0}_{Y,x}$. Take some $n \geq n_1$ and consider the n-th excursion out of Y' (possibly of length 1 if we remain in Y'). As each excursion is at least of length 1, the initial time ($\bar{\tau}^n_{Y,x}$) is greater than $\tau^{n_0}_{Y,x}$.

Notice that by the construction of Y', if the random walk returns to Y, then the diagonal flow must return to Y'. Therefore, excursions out of Y' of the diagonal flow are fully contained in excursions out of Y of the random walk, but this is in a "time-wise" sense as the diagonal flow is continuous as opposed to the discrete random walk. If we denote by t_s the starting time and by t_e the end time of the diagonal flow excursion, then there exists an excursion of the random walk, whose index we will denote by n', such that:

$$\tau^{n'}_{Y,x} \leq t_s \leq t_e \leq \tau^{n'+1}_{Y,x} \tag{43}$$

Therefore, by the above it is clear that both: $n \geq n'$ (as we cannot return to Y' without returning to Y) and $\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x} \leq \sigma^{n'}_{Y,x} \leq r(n')$. As r is monotone, we have:

$$\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x} \leq \sigma^{n'}_{Y,x} \leq r(n') \leq r(n). \tag{44}$$

□

4.1 Minimal Vectors Bounds Along an Excursion

We shall now show how a bound on the length of the minimal non zero vector can be obtained from the bound on the excursion length. We'll denote by Δ the sup norm of the minimal vector in the lattice, set:

$$l(x) = \log \Delta^{-1}(x), \tag{45}$$

and write:

$$\nu^n_{Y',x}(b) = \max_{t_{\bar{\tau}^n_{Y',x}(b)} \leq t < t_{\bar{\tau}^{n+1}_{Y',x}(b)}} l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x\right), \tag{46}$$

which is the maximum along the n-th excursion of the diagonal flow, when sampling the excursions at times t_i, but the maximum is for all times.

Lemma 5. *Let Y' be as in Corollary 6. There exists $Q > 0$ depending on Y' such that we have the following bound on $\nu^n_{Y',x}(b)$:*

$$\nu^n_{Y',x}(b) \leq -\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x}(b) \frac{d \log \kappa}{(d+1)^2} + Q. \tag{47}$$

Proof. First notice that Y' is bounded, and therefore by Mahler's Criterion (see e.g. [Kle02] - Kleinbock survey, Theorem 2.1) Δ is bounded from below on Y'. We'll denote by $\varepsilon = \inf_{y \in Y'} \Delta(y) > 0$. Notice that by the definition of $\bar{\tau}$, if we denote $n_s = \bar{\tau}^n_{Y',x}(b)$ and $n_e = \bar{\tau}^{n+1}_{Y',x}(b)$ then we have $l\left(a_{t_{n_s}} u_{\pi(b)} x\right) \leq -\log \varepsilon$ and $l\left(a_{t_{n_e}} u_{\pi(b)} x\right) \leq -\log \varepsilon$.

Next, notice that $n_e - n_s = \bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x} =: \sigma$ and that $a_{t_{n_e}} u_{\pi(b)} x$ differs from $a_{t_{n_s}} u_{\pi(b)} x$ exactly by applying the map a_T, for $T := t_{n_e} - t_{n_s}$. Recall we had the formula (25) that states: $t_n = -n \frac{d \log \kappa}{d+1}$, so:

$$T = t_{n_e} - t_{n_s} = -(n_e - n_s) \frac{d \log \kappa}{d+1} = -\sigma \frac{d \log \kappa}{d+1}. \tag{48}$$

Assume that the **minimal** minimal vector along the excursion is attained at time $t' = t_{n_s} + t$, and denote it by v. It is the image of some vector in the lattice at time t_{n_s}, which is $a^{-1}_{t'-t_{n_s}} v = a_{-t} v$, and has an image in the lattice at time t_{n_e}, which is $a_{t_{n_e}-t'} v = a_{T-t} v$. We have that $\|a_{-t} v\| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\|a_{T-t} v\| \geq \varepsilon$ as these are vectors of lattices in Y', for which the minimal vector's norm is bounded below by ε (and these aren't necessarily minimal).

Notice that a_{-t} can expand the vector by at most $e^{t/d}$, and then a_{T-t} can expand by at most e^{T-t}. Both must expand to a vector of norm ε at least, so we can therefore give the bound:

$$\|v\| \geq \max\left\{\varepsilon e^{-(T-t)}, \varepsilon e^{-t/d}\right\} \geq \varepsilon e^{-\frac{T}{d+1}}, \tag{49}$$

as when $t = \frac{d}{d+1} T$ these expressions are equal, and otherwise one of them must be greater than this value. In conclusion, as l is at a maximum when Δ is at a minimum:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^n_{Y',x}(b) = \max_{t_{\bar{\sigma}^n_{Y',x}(b)} \leq t < t_{\bar{\sigma}^{n+1}_{Y',x}(b)}} l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x\right) = \\ = l\left(a_{t'} u_{\pi(b)} x\right) = \log \|v\|^{-1} \leq \\ \leq \frac{T}{d+1} - \log \varepsilon = -\sigma \frac{d \log \kappa}{(d+1)^2} - \log \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Taking $Q = -\log \varepsilon$ gives the desired result. □

Corollary 7. *Fix $x \in X$ and some monotone increasing $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa} r(n)\right) < \infty. \tag{51}$$

There exists a compact set $Y \subseteq X$ such that for β-a.e. $b \in B$, exists some n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$\nu_{Y,x}^n \leq r(n). \tag{52}$$

Proof. Denote $\bar{r}(n) = -\frac{(d+1)^2}{d \log \kappa}(r(n) - Q)$. $\bar{r}$ is also monotone increasing as $\log \kappa < 0$. Notice:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{m}\bar{r}(n)\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa} r(n) - Q\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa}\right) = \\ &= \exp\left(-Q\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa} r(n)\right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

We can therefore use it in Corollary 6, and take $n_0 = n_1$, $Y = Y'$. From Corollary 6 we have that for all $n \geq n_0$ $\bar{\sigma}_{Y,x}^n \leq \bar{r}(n)$. Therefore using Lemma 5 we get:

$$\nu_{Y,x}^n(b) \leq -\bar{\sigma}_{Y,x}^n(b) \frac{d \log \kappa}{(d+1)^2} + Q \leq -\bar{r}(n) \frac{d \log \kappa}{(d+1)^2} + Q = r(n). \tag{54}$$

□

Recall from Corollary 3: $\delta \in (0, -\log(CA_m))$, from Definition 9 (3): $A_m = c\kappa^{m\alpha}$ and from Theorem 2: $\alpha = \frac{\varrho\varpi}{d+1}$ (for $0 < \varrho < 1$). Combining these, we get:

$$0 < \delta < -\log(CA_m) = -\log(Cc\kappa^{m\alpha}) = -\log\left(Cc\kappa^{m\frac{\varrho\varpi}{d+1}}\right) = -m\frac{\varrho\varpi}{d+1}\log\kappa - \log Cc. \tag{55}$$

First take $\varepsilon > 0$, and set $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and choose $\varrho = 1 - \varepsilon'$, and then choose:

$$\delta = -m\frac{\varrho\varpi}{d+1}\log\kappa - \log Cc - \eta = -m\frac{(1-\varepsilon')\varpi}{d+1}\log\kappa - \log Cc - \eta, \tag{56}$$

where $\eta \in (0,1)$ is small enough so that δ is within the required range. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md \log \kappa} &= \left(-m\frac{(1-\varepsilon')\varpi}{d+1}\log\kappa - \log Cc - \eta\right)\frac{(d+1)^2}{md\log\kappa} = \\ &= -\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-\varepsilon') + \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{(d+1)^2}{-d\log\kappa}(\log Cc + \eta)\right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-\varepsilon') + \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{(d+1)^2}{-d\log\kappa}(\log Cc + 1)\right) = -\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-\varepsilon') + \frac{D}{m}. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Notice $\log \kappa < 0$, and denoting by $D := \left(\frac{(d+1)^2}{-d\log\kappa}(\log Cc + 1)\right)$ we see D is a constant (i.e., does not depend on m, ρ, but only on the IFS). Next choose $m > m_0$ (recall m_0 from Corollary 4) large enough such that $\frac{D}{m} < \varepsilon'\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$, which is possible as $\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$ is also a constant of the IFS. Then we get:

$$\frac{\delta (d+1)^2}{md\log\kappa} \leq -\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-\varepsilon') + \frac{D}{m} \leq -\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-2\varepsilon') = -\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}(1-\varepsilon). \tag{58}$$

This gives us the following result, which is no longer dependent on ϱ or m:

Lemma 6. *For any* $0 < \gamma < \frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$ *and any* $x \in X$ *if* $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is monotone increasing such that:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\gamma r\left(n\right)\right) < \infty, \tag{59}$$

then there exists a compact set $Y \subseteq X$ *such that for* β*-a.e.* $b \in B$*, exists some* n_0 *such that for all* $n \geq n_0$*:*

$$\nu_{Y,x}^{n} \leq r\left(n\right). \tag{60}$$

Lemma 6 gives us a bound for excursions along the trajectory, sampled at discrete times. In Lemma 7 we give a result for continuous time, and pass to a bound on on the function l (see (45)) at every time point, rather than the maximal value along an excursion.

Lemma 7. *For any* $0 < \gamma < \frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$ *and any* $x \in X$ *if* $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is monotone increasing such that:*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\gamma r\left(t\right)\right) < \infty, \tag{61}$$

then for β*-a.e.* $b \in B$*, exists some* t_0 *such that for all* $t \geq t_0$*:*

$$l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x\right) \leq r\left(t\right), \tag{62}$$

where l *is as in* (45)*.*

Proof. Notice that because r is monotone, by using the integral test for convergence, passing first to the convergence of the integral and then back to the convergence of a series, we have that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\gamma r\left(-n\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}\right)\right) < \infty. \tag{63}$$

We'll define $g\left(n\right) = r\left(-n\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}\right)$. So we can use the previous lemma on n, giving us a compact set $Y \subseteq X$ such that for β-a.e. $b \in B$, exists some n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$\nu_{Y,x}^{n} \leq g\left(n\right). \tag{64}$$

Take $t_0 = t_{n_0}$ and fix some $t \geq t_0$. It belongs to some excursion, which we shall index by n_1. Since $\nu_{Y,x}^{n_1}$ gives a bound for all the excursion, and by monotonicity of r, as $t_n = -n\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}$:

$$l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x\right) \leq \nu_{Y,x}^{n_1} \leq g\left(n_1\right) = r\left(-n_1\frac{d\log\kappa}{d+1}\right) = r\left(t_{n_1}\right) \leq r\left(t\right). \tag{65}$$

□

This concludes the proof of a bound on the rate of escape for the diagonal flow, which we must now translate into a Diophantine approximation result in Chapter 6.

5 Proof appendix

Proof of Lemma 3:

Proof. This Lemma can be deduced from the proof of Lemma 11.3 in [SW19]. We shall repeat the proof here, and emphasize the additional conclusions. This proof is dependent on additional notations, definitions and lemmas from [SW19] chapter 10.1 which I will not repeat here.

Decompose $h_n = h_{b_1^n} = a_{t_n} k_n u_{\alpha_n}$ for some $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $k_n \in K$ and $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^d$. Also write $\beta_n = \pi\left(T^n b\right)$, and let $\bar{h}_n = u_{-\beta_n} a_{t_n} k_n u_{\pi(b)}$. Obviously $\bar{h}_n$ and h_n agree on their projections to AK, and on the other hand, letting them act on $\mathcal{M}$ via the isomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{M} \to P/AK$, we have (recall ρ from Chapter 2.1):

$$\rho\left(\bar{h}_n^{-1}\right)(\beta_n) = \rho\left(u_{\pi(b)}^{-1} k_n^{-1} a_{t_n}^{-1} u_{-\beta_n}^{-1}\right)(\beta_n) = \rho\left(u_{\pi(b)}^{-1} k_n^{-1} a_{t_n}^{-1}\right)(0) = \rho\left(u_{\pi(b)}^{-1}\right)(0) = \\ = \pi(b) = \phi_{b_n^1}(\beta_n) = \rho\left(h_n^{-1}\right)(\beta_n). \quad (66)$$

So $\bar{h}_n = h_n$, and thus $\bar{h}_n x_0 = h_n x_0$. Since Φ is strictly contracting, the limit set $\mathcal{K}$ is compact, and so the sequence $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, and specifically one can find a common bound for all b. Since K is also compact, this shows that the distance from $\bar{h}_n x_0$ to $a_t u_{\pi(b)} x_0$ is bounded by a number independent of n, b and clearly of x_0 as evident from the definition (Definition 10). Since the sequence $(a_{t_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has bounded gaps in $(a_t)_{t\geq 0}$, we have the required result. □

6 The Main Computation

We shall now translate the bound on the rate of escape for the diagonal flow from Lemma 7 into a Khinchine style result, using the Dani-Correspondence [KM99] (chapter 8). Notice a slight difference in notation: in [KM99] the approximation is done for matrices, where here we handle vectors, i.e. $n = 1, m = d$ in their notation. Additionally, when testing against ψ, [KM99] raise the norm by a power of m. We shall therefore reformulate the result in our notation:

Lemma 8. *Fix some $x_0 > 0$. Let $\psi : [x_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a non-increasing continuous function. Then there exists a unique continuous function $r : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $t_0 = \frac{d}{d+1} \log x_0 - \frac{1}{d+1} \log \psi(x_0)$ such that*

1. *the function $t \mapsto t - r(t)$ is strictly increasing and tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.*
2. *the function $t \mapsto \frac{t}{d} + r(t)$ is nondecreasing.*
3. *$\psi\left(e^{t-r(t)}\right) = e^{-\frac{t}{d}-r(t)}$ for all $t \geq t_0$.*

Conversely, given $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous function $r : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that (1) & (2) hold, there exists a continuous non-increasing function $\psi : [x_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, with $x_0 = e^{t_0 - n r(t_0)}$ satisfying (3). Furthermore, for every non negative integer q,

$$I_1 := \int_{x_0}^{\infty} (\log x)^q \psi(x)\, \mathrm{d}x < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad I_2 := \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^q e^{-(d+1)r(t)}\, \mathrm{d}t < \infty. \quad (67)$$

Theorem 3. *(Dani Correspondence) Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to (0, \infty)$, and l as in (45). Then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is ψ-approximable iff there exist arbitrarily large positive t such that*

$$l\left(a_t u_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \geq r(t), \quad (68)$$

where r is as in Lemma 8.

Corollary 8. *Let $0 < \gamma < \frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$, $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ monotone increasing such that:*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\gamma r(t)\right) < \infty \quad (69)$$

and ψ be the corresponding function from Lemma 8. Then $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$-a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$ is not ψ-approximable.

Proof. By Lemma 7, taking $x \in X$ to be the identity, we get that for β-a.e. $b \in B$, there exists some t_0 such that for all $t \geq t_0$:

$$l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)}\right) = l\left(a_t u_{\pi(b)} x\right) \leq r(t), \quad (70)$$

and so we can immediately conclude that $\pi(b)$ is not ψ-approximable by Theorem 3. As $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the pushforward of β under the coding map (Definition 4), we get the desired result. □

We would like to translate this requirement into a requirement on ψ rather than r. This could be done by passing to integrals (which can be done as these functions are monotonic) and changing variables.

Proof of Theorem 1. First we shall extend ψ into a continuous differentiable monotone decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$. As ψ is monotonic, we have that:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x)\ \mathrm{d}x<\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x)\ \mathrm{d}x<\infty. \tag{71}$$

Let r, x_0 are as in Lemma 8. We shall use the following change of variables:

$$x(t)=e^{t-r(t)}, \tag{72}$$

which also gives us by Lemma 8 item 3 that:

$$\psi(x(t))=e^{-\frac{t}{d}-r(t)}. \tag{73}$$

Notice that:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}x(t)=(1-r'(t))\,e^{t-r(t)}. \tag{74}$$

So, by changing variables, we get that:

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x)\ \mathrm{d}x<\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} x(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x(t))\,x'(t)\ \mathrm{d}t<\infty. \tag{75}$$

We shall now simplify integral in RHS of (75):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} x(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x(t))\,x'(t)\ \mathrm{d}t &= \int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{(t-r(t))\left(\frac{\alpha}{d}-1\right)}e^{\alpha\left(-\frac{t}{d}-r(t)\right)}(1-r'(t))\,e^{t-r(t)}\ \mathrm{d}t = \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{\infty}(1-r'(t))\exp\left((t-r(t))\left(\frac{\alpha}{d}-1\right)+\alpha\left(-\frac{t}{d}-r(t)\right)+t-r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t = \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{\infty}(1-r'(t))\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{d}t-t-\frac{\alpha}{d}r(t)+r(t)-\frac{\alpha}{d}t-\alpha r(t)+t-r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t = \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{\infty}(1-r'(t))\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{d}r(t)-\alpha r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t = \int_{t_0}^{\infty}(1-r'(t))\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t = \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)-r'(t)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t = \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t+\left[\frac{d}{\alpha(d+1)}\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)\right]_{t=t_0}^{\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

As the second term in the last line is finite (because r is monotone increasing), we have that:

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} x(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\psi^{\alpha}(x(t))\,x'(t)\ \mathrm{d}t<\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}r(t)\right)\ \mathrm{d}t<\infty. \tag{77}$$

Notice that as $0<\alpha<\varpi$, we get that $0<\frac{\alpha(d+1)}{d}<\frac{\varpi(d+1)}{d}$, and so r satisfies the requirement of Corollary 8, which gives us the required result, i.e. that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$-a.e. $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{K}$ is not ψ-approximable. □

7 Calculation of the Constants for Cantor Set Products

In this section, for our fractal $\mathcal{K}$, we shall be considering a very specific measure - the Hausdorff measure. Let $s=\dim_H(\mathcal{K})$, the measure we will choose is $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$, the restriction to $\mathcal{K}$ of the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

In his paper [Kha20], Khalil shows that for $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{C}$, the constant $\alpha_1=\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$, which in turn gives the result that $\varpi=\frac{2\log 2}{\log 3}$ (as one can easily show $\alpha_2=\frac{2\log 2}{\log 3}$ as well). We shall prove the more general result, Lemma 1.

Notation 4. Let $d \geq 1$. Consider the IFS $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by the maps of the form:

$$h_v(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}+v}{3}, \qquad v \in E := \{0,2\}^d. \tag{78}$$

The limit set $\mathcal{K}$ coincides with the product of cantor sets $\mathcal{C}^d$. Let μ be the measure on $\mathcal{K}$ derived from the Hausdorff measure (recall Example 1).

First we shall prove that:

Lemma 9. *For $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^d$ as before, $\alpha_l(\mu) \geq l\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$.*

From which we can immediately deduce that:

$$\varpi = \min_{1\leq l\leq d} \alpha_l(d-l+1) \geq \min_{1\leq l\leq d} \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} l(d-l+1) \geq d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}. \tag{79}$$

Proof. Fix $\mathcal{L} = C^{d-l} \times \{0\}^l$. This is clearly an affine subspace of dimension l. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and notice that for every $\varepsilon \in \left(\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{3^n}\right]$, $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ the open ε-neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}$ contains all of the points corresponding to words with a prefix of 2^{n+1} of elements from $E^{d-l} \times \{0\}^l$, and no points outside the set of points corresponding to words with a prefix of 2^n of elements from $E^{d-l} \times \{0\}^l$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{2^{l(n+1)}} \leq \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{ln}}$, and therefore:

$$\alpha_l(\mu) \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\log \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\log(\varepsilon)} = l\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}. \tag{80}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $\alpha_1 \leq \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$, as then we have:

$$\varpi = \min_{1\leq l\leq d} \alpha(l)(d-l+1) \leq \alpha_1 d \leq d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}. \tag{81}$$

Together with (79) we get $\varpi = d\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$. □

Lemma 10. *For $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^d$ as before, $\alpha_1 \leq \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$.*

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{A}(d, d-1)$. To prove this, we shall use an inductive argument on d. We shall be interested in covering $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ with cubes of the form: $h_v\left(I^d\right)$ for $v \in E^n$ and $I = [0,1]$. This will give us an upper bound on $\mu(L^\varepsilon)$, as for each such cube is $\mu\left(h_v\left(I^d\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2^{dn}}$, and then we can easily bound $\mu(L^\varepsilon)$ with the measure of the covering.

Lemma 11. *There exists a constant C_d dependent only on the dimension d such that for every affine hyperplane $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3^n}$, we can find a covering of $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon$ with at most $C_d 2^{(d-1)n}$ cubes of the form $h_v\left(I^d\right)$ for $v \in E^n$.*

Proof. We shall begin with the base argument, for $d = 1$. This case is quite simple, as $\mathcal{L}$ is a single point, which we can denote by x, and $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon = (x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)$. Clearly, such a segment, of length 2ε can intersect at most 3 disjoint segments of length ε. As all the segments ("cubes") $h_v(I)$ for $v \in E^n$ are of length $\frac{1}{3^n} = \varepsilon$, and are disjoint, we can choose $C_1 = 3$. One can even notice that every two segments are separated by a distance of at least ε, so we can event take $C_1 = 2$.

Next, we shall continue with the induction step, with $d \geq 2$. We'll denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^d$ by x_i for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $\mathcal{L}$'s equation by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i = d \right\}. \tag{82}$$

We shall observe "slices" of cubes for a specific coordinate, where a slice is the set of cubes that have a common projection onto the given coordinate. We can assume WLOG that the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ are

descending in size (absolute value), i.e. $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq ... \geq |a_d|$. We shall look at slices with respect to coordinate x_d. Notice that only 2^n of 3^n of the slices have positive mass, as the projection onto x_i coincides with cantor set, and so only the slices that coincide with the n-th step cubes of the one dimensional case have positive mass.

Fix such a slice, $S = [t, t+\varepsilon]$ (where $t = \frac{a}{3^n}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$). We would like to count how many of the cubes are required to cover $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times S$. Observe $\mathcal{L}' = \left\{\mathbf{x} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i = d - a_d t\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. In this lower dimensional setting, we can use the previous step induction, to find a covering A'' of $\mathcal{L}'^{(3\varepsilon)}$ with at most $C_{d-1}2^{(d-2)(n-1)}$ dimensional cubes of dimension $d-1$ and size 3ε. Notice each such cube can be broken up into 3^{d-1} cubes of size ε out of which only 2^{d-1} have positive mass, so we can consider this to be a covering A' of $C_{d-1}2^{d-1}2^{(d-2)(n-1)} = C_{d-1}2^{(d-2)n+1}$ cubes of size ε.

Take $A = \{B \times S \mid S \in A'\}$. Notice that all of A's elements are cubes of size ε in our slice: they clearly project to S on x_d, and as they where cubes of size ε in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and S is a segment of length ε in $\mathcal{C}$, then they are cubes in the product space. We shall prove that A covers $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times S$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times S$ then there exists some $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') < \varepsilon$. Clearly, as the distance is bounded by ε, so must be the difference in the x_d coordinate, so we can deduce that $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times (t-\varepsilon, t+2\varepsilon)$. We would like to show that there exists some $B \in A$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in B$. Project onto $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We denote the projection by π. Clearly the $d(\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')) < \varepsilon$ as well. As $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i' = d$, so $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i' = d - a_d x_d'$. Therefore, we have:

$$d(\mathcal{L}', \pi(\mathbf{x}')) = \frac{|a_d t - a_d x_d'|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i^2}} = \frac{|a_d|\,|t - a_d x_d'|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i^2}} < \frac{|a_d|\,2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i^2}} \leq 2\varepsilon, \tag{83}$$

where the last inequality is because a_d is the smallest coefficient (in absolute value, by our assumption earlier), and the equation before last is by $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times (t-\varepsilon, t+2\varepsilon)$.

Therefore, we have that $d(\mathcal{L}', \pi(\mathbf{x}')) < 2\varepsilon$, and $d(\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')) < \varepsilon$ so $d(\mathcal{L}', \pi(\mathbf{x})) < 3\varepsilon$, i.e. $\pi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}'^{(\varepsilon)}$, and therefore by our construction, there exists some $B' \in A'$ such that $\pi(\mathbf{x}) \in B'$. Finally, notice that $\mathbf{x} \in B' \times S \in A$, concluding the proof that A covers the slice $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times S$.

Recall that there are at most 2^n relevant slices with positive measure, and as within each slice, $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ can be covered with at most $C_{d-1}2^{(d-2)n+1}$ cubes of size ε as we just saw. Therefore we can cover $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ entirely with $2^n C_{d-1}2^{(d-2)n+1} = 2C_{d-1}2^{(d-1)n}$ cubes. Taking $C_d := 2C_{d-1}$ concludes our proof. □

We can now prove Lemma 10.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be an affine hyperplane. By the previous lemma, for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3^n}$, $\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}$ can be covered by at most $C_d 2^{(d-1)n}$ cubes of size ε, each of measure $\frac{1}{2^{dn}}$, and so: $\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}\right) \leq \frac{C_d 2^{(d-1)n}}{2^{dn}} = \frac{C_d}{2^n}$. Therefore:

$$\alpha_1(\mu) := \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\log \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{A}(d,d-1)} \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)}{\log(\varepsilon)} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{A}(d,d-1)} \mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\left(\frac{1}{3^n}\right)}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{1}{3^n}\right)} \leq$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log\left(\frac{C_d}{2^n}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{1}{3^n}\right)} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log 2 - \log C_d}{n \log 3} = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}. \tag{84}$$

□

Corollary 9. *Let $d \geq 1$, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}^d$ and μ be the measure on $\mathcal{K}$ derived from the Haar measure. Then $\alpha_1(\mu) = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 10 and (80). □

References

[BQ13a] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint. Stationary measures and invariant subsets of homogeneous spaces (II). *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(3):659–734, January 2013.

[BQ13b] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint. Stationary measures and invariant subsets of homogeneous spaces (III). *Annals of Mathematics*, 178(3):1017–1059, November 2013.

[Kha20] Osama Khalil. Singular vectors on fractals and projections of self-similar measures. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 30(2):482–535, April 2020.

[KL21] Osama Khalil and Manuel Luethi. Random walks, spectral gaps, and khintchine's theorem on fractals. https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.05797, January 2021.

[Kle02] Dmitry Kleinbock. Some applications of homogeneous dynamics to number theory. *arXiv Mathematics e-prints*, page math/0210301, October 2002.

[KLW05] Dmitry Kleinbock, Elon Lindenstrauss, and Barak Weiss. On fractal measures and diophantine approximation. *Selecta Mathematica*, 10(4):479–523, April 2005.

[KM99] D.Y. Kleinbock and G.A. Margulis. Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 138(3):451–494, December 1999.

[PV05] Andrew Pollington and Sanju Velani. Metric diophantine approximation and 'absolutely friendly' measures. *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, 11(2):297–307, December 2005.

[SW19] David Simmons and Barak Weiss. Random walks on homogeneous spaces and diophantine approximation on fractals. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 216(2):337–394, January 2019.