arXiv:2201.09409v1 [math.CA] 24 Jan 2022

CHAIN SEQUENCES AND ZEROS OF A PERTURBED R_{II} TYPE RECURRENCE RELATION

VINAY SHUKLA[†] AND ANBHU SWAMINATHAN [#‡]

Abstract. In this manuscript, new algebraic and analytic aspects of the orthogonal polynomials satisfying R_{II} type recurrence relation given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_n)\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \lambda_n(x - a_n)(x - b_n)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where λ_n is a positive chain sequence and a_n, b_n, c_n are sequences of real or complex numbers with $\mathcal{P}_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1$ are investigated when the recurrence coefficients are perturbed. Specifically, representation of new perturbed polynomials (co-polynomials of R_{II} type) in terms of original ones with the interlacing and monotonicity properties of zeros are given. For finite perturbations, a transfer matrix approach is used to obtain new structural relations. Effect of co-dilation in the corresponding chain sequences and their consequences onto the unit circle are analysed. A particular perturbation in the corresponding chain sequence called complementary chain sequences and its effect on the corresponding Verblunsky coefficients is also studied.

1. Introduction

The fact that the construction of new sequences by modifying the original sequence is a powerful tool with many applications to the theoretical and physical problems form the basis of perturbation theory. Orthogonal polynomials on the real line (OPRL) satisfy a second order recurrence relation [16] of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n)\mathcal{R}_n(x) - \gamma_n\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(x), \quad \mathcal{R}_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad \mathcal{R}_0(x) = 1, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The analysis by adding a constant to the first coefficient b_0, called co-recursive, is available in the pioneer work [11]. Such perturbations are not artificial as they are useful objects in the study of Hamiltonian operator appearing in quantum mechanics. Motivated by this problem, properties of the co-recursive, co-dilated and co-modified polynomials have been studied [15, 27, 30]. Later, it was needed to make perturbations anywhere on the surface of the target many-body system and to study how the spectroscopic properties gets changed. This led to the study of generalized co-recursive, co-dilated and co-modified polynomials [22]. In this direction, finite perturbations were studied in [26]. For perturbations of recurrence coefficients occuring in higher order recurrences and its extensions to Sobolev OPRL, see [20, 21]. Recently, a transfer matrix approach is introduced in [8] to study polynomials perturbed in a (generalized) co-dilated and/or co-recursive way.

The sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (OPUC) denoted by $\{\phi_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, satisfy Szegő recurrence given as

$$\begin{bmatrix}\phi_{n+1}(z)\\ \phi_{n+1}^*(z)\end{bmatrix} = T_n(z)\begin{bmatrix}\phi_n(z)\\ \phi_n^*(z)\end{bmatrix}, \quad T_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} z & -\overline{\alpha_n}\\ -\alpha_n z & 1\end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C05, 30C15, 15A24.

Key words and phrases. Complementary chain sequence; Finite perturbations; Transfer matrix; Verblunsky coefficients; Orthogonal polynomials; R_{II} type recurrence; Zeros;

[#]Corresponding author.

with initial condition $\phi_0(z) = 1$, where $\phi_n^*(z) = z^n\overline{\phi_n(1/z)}$ is the reversed polynomial and $T_n(z)$ is said to be the transfer matrix [29]. The elements of the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ where $\alpha_n = -\overline{\phi_{n+1}(0)}$ are known as Verblunsky coefficients and lie in the unit disc.

Verblunsky theorem states that the OPUC are completely determined by their reflection coefficients. This fact motivated the authors in [6] to study perturbations of Verblunsky coefficients. Again, a transfer matrix approach is used to study the so called co-polynomials on unit circle (COPUC). The structural relations and rational spectral transformation for C-functions, associated with COPUC have been discussed.

The R_I type recurrence relation [17] are given as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}_{n+1}(z) = k_n(z - c_n)\mathcal{U}_n(z) - \lambda_n(z - a_n)\mathcal{U}_{n-1}(z), \quad n \geq 0, \\ &\mathcal{U}_{-1}(z) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{U}_0(z) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Perturbations of parameters of R_I type recurrence relation, distribution of zeros, interlacing properties and spectral transformations have been dealt in detail in a previous work by the authors [28].

Recurrence relation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_n)\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \lambda_n(x - a_n)(x - b_n)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ &\mathcal{P}_{-1}(x) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

has been studied in [17]. Infact, it was shown that if $\lambda_n \neq 0$ with $\mathcal{P}_n(a_n) \neq 0$, $\mathcal{P}_n(b_n) \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$, then there exits a rational function $\psi_n(x) = \dfrac{\mathcal{P}_n(x)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(x - a_j)(x - b_j)}$ and a linear functional $\mathfrak{N}$ such that the orthogonality relations

$$\mathfrak{N}\left[x^k\psi_n(x)\right] \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq k < n,$$

holds [17, Theorem 3.5]. Conversely, starting from a sequence of rational function $\psi_n(x)$ satisfying a three-term recurrence relation and having poles at $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, we can obtaion (1.4). Following [17], the recurrence relation (1.4) will be referred as recurrence relation of R_{II} type and the $\mathcal{P}_n(x), n \geq 1$, generated by it as R_{II} polynomials.

Note that the infinite continued fraction

$$\mathcal{R}_{II}(x) = \frac{1}{x - c_0} - \frac{\lambda_1(x - a_1)(x - b_1)}{x - c_1} - \frac{\lambda_2(x - a_2)(x - b_2)}{x - c_2} - \cdots, \tag{1.5}$$

terminates when $x = a_k$ or $x = b_k$, $k \geq 1$. Following [17], we call it as R_{II}-fraction. For the ease of notation (following [1]), (1.5) can also be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_{II}(x) = \frac{1}{w_0(x)} - \frac{\chi_1^L(x)\chi_1^R(x)}{w_1(x)} - \frac{\chi_2^L(x)\chi_2^R(x)}{w_2(x)} - \cdots, \tag{1.6}$$

where $w_j(x)$, $\chi_j^L(x)$ and $\chi_j^R(x)$ are non-zero polynomials of degree one. The polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ of degree atmost n are the numerator polynomials associated with (1.5). Also, the polynomials of second kind of degree atmost $n-1$ [17, page 16] associated with recurrence relation (1.4) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_n)\mathcal{Q}_n(x) - \lambda_n(x - a_n)(x - b_n)\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \\ &\mathcal{Q}_0(x) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_1(x) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

such that the rational function $\dfrac{\mathcal{Q}_n(x)}{\mathcal{P}_n(x)}$ is the n-th convergent of the continued fraction (1.5) and is associated to a linear pencil $x\mathcal{J}_n - \mathcal{H}_n$ where $\mathcal{J}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n$ are tridiagonal. In

matrix notation, we have,

$$x\mathcal{J}_n - \mathcal{H}_n = \begin{pmatrix} w_0(x) & -\chi_1^R(x) & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -\chi_1^L(x) & w_1(x) & -\chi_2^R(x) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi_2^L(x) & w_2(x) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & w_{n-1}(x) & -\chi_n^R(x) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\chi_n^L(x) & w_n(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ satisfying (1.4) is the characteristic polynomial of the pencil matrix $x\mathcal{J}_n - \mathcal{H}_n$ [32]. In [17, Theorem 3.7], the existence of a natural Borel measure associated with R_{II}-fraction was also established. R_{II} type recurrence relation and corresponding R_{II} polynomials have a rich literature as they are dealt in numerous ways by many authors. The little and big -1 Jacobi polynomials and their q analogues are extensively studied in the literature [14, 16, 31]. It is illustrated in [4] that they can also be related to R_{II}- type recurrence relation either via linear pencil matrix or by a simple möbius transformation. The rational functions satisfying a doubly spectral relation which can be reduced to R_{II}- type recurrence relation under specific conditions have been shown related to Pseudo-Jacobi polynomials, which are also called the Routh-Romanovski polynomials [13]. Further, the complementary Routh-Romanovski polynomials (abbreviated as CRR polynomials), which follow from complex form of Jacobi polynomials, satisfy a special R_{II}- type recurrence (2.1). Such polynomials are shown to be related to a special class of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Also, these are useful in the study of Schrődinger equations, regular Coulomb wave functions and extended regular Coulomb wave functions [23, 24]. Interested authors may look at [4, 5, 18] and references therein for some recent progress related to R_{II} type recurrence relations and R_{II} polynomials.

The objective of this manuscript is to study some properties of polynomials which satisfy the recurrence relation (1.4) with new recurrence coefficients, perturbed in a (generalized) co-dilated and/or co-recursive way, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) = (x - c_n^*)\mathcal{P}_n(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) - \tilde{\lambda}_n(x-a_n)(x-b_n)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k), \tag{1.7}$$

with initial conditions $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{-1}(x) = 0$. In other words, we consider arbitrary single modification of recurrence coefficients as follows:

$$c_n^* = c_n + \mu_k\delta_{n,k}, \qquad (\text{co} - \text{recursive}) \tag{1.8}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \nu_k^{\delta_{n,k}}\lambda_n, \qquad (\text{co} - \text{dilated}) \tag{1.9}$$

where k is a fixed non-negative integer number. As far as we know, this problem has not been studied in the literature.

A sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence [12], if there exists another sequence $\{m_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $0 \leq m_1 < 1$, $0 < m_{n+1} < 1$ and $(1-m_n)m_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$. The sequence $\{m_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is called a parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$. A positive chain sequence can have multiple (infinitely many) parameter sequences, but it always has a minimal parameter sequence. Denote by $\{l_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, the minimal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ which is given by $l_1 = 0$, $0 < l_{n+1} < 1$ and $(1-l_n)l_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$. We study the effect of a special type of perturbation called co-dilation in the chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$, that gives a new set of Verblunsky coefficients from which the sequence of Szegő polynomials is constructed (see Section 3.1 and Section 3.2). A new sequence of R_{II} polynomials is obtained in two ways: one, in Section 3, via a direct computation using Szegő polynomials and another

from the transfer matrix approach given in Section 5. Further, it is shown that the results obtained from both the approach are consistent. Apart from this, such perturbations lead to interesting consequences related to parameter sequences, corresponding measure and quadrature rules.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, theory related to the study of new polynomials arising out of the old ones is developed. Section 3 involves study of two forms of perturbation in the chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$, one is the co-dilation and another called complementary chain sequences. Their effects on the corresponding OPUC are analysed by the means of separate illustrations. In Section 4, some interlacing, monotonicity and sharing properties concerning zeros are discussed. The key ingredient of this section are CRR polynomials. In Section 5, the structural relation based on a transfer matrix approach presented in [8] are found when finite number of perturbations are made.

2. Co-polynomials of R_{II} type

Consider the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = (x-c_n)\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \lambda_n(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ &\mathcal{P}_{-1}(x) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\{c_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a real sequence and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence. Perturbing the coefficients c_n and λ_n at any fixed level k according to Favard theorem [17, Theorem 3.5] generates new sequence of R_{II} polynomials. Modification of c_n, called generalised co-recursive, modification of λ_n, called generalised co-dilated and modification of both c_n and λ_n at the same level k, called generalised co-modified, are considered.

For orthogonal polynomials satisfying (1.1), perturbation of the form (1.8) for the case $k = 0$, called co-recursive was introduced and studied in [11]. Perturbations like (1.9) for the case $k = 1$, called co-dilated were introduced by [15]. The general case, called generalized co-recursive and generalized co-dilated arising from perturbation of coefficients in TTRR at any level was studied in [22].

The properties of the co-modified classical orthogonal polynomials has been studied in [27]. Interlacing properties and some new inequalities involving the zeros of co-modified OPRL, called co-polynomials on real line (COPRL) has been investigated in [8, 10]. For details on co-polynomials on unit circle and co-polynomials of R_I type, see [6] and [7, 28] respectively.

Recall that polynomials generated by (1.4) are called R_{II} polynomials. Consider the case when both modifications (1.8) and (1.9) are done at the same level k in (1.4). A more general situation can be the introduction of μ_k at the level k and ν_k' at the level k'. Following the terminology given in [22], the recurrence relation so obtained will be called generalised co-modified polynomials of R_{II} type (or simply *co-polynomials of R_{II} type*) and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) &= (x-c_n)\mathcal{P}_n(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) - \lambda_n(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k), && n < k, \\ \mathcal{P}_{k+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) &= (x-c_k-\mu_k)\mathcal{P}_k(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) - \nu_k\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k), && n = k, \\ \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) &= (x-c_n)\mathcal{P}_n(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) - \lambda_n(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k), && n \geq k+1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. *It can be easily verified that associtaed polynomials of order r, $\mathcal{P}_n^{(r)}$ satisfy*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) = (x-c_{n+r})\mathcal{P}_n^{(r)}(x) - \lambda_{n+r}(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(r)}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-1}^{(r)}(x) = 0$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_{0}^{(r)}(x) = 1$. *Hence, by Favard theorem* [17], *there exits a moment functional with respect to which* $\{\mathcal{P}_n^{(r)}\}$ *is also a sequence of* R_{II} *poynomials.*

We can solve the last recurrence relation in terms of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and associated polynomials of order r, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_{n-r}^{(r)}$ to obtain the representation of new perturbed polynomials in terms of unperturbed ones in the following way (see Theorem 2.1).

Let us define

$$D(u_n, v_n) = \begin{vmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ u_{n+1} & v_{n+1}, \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

the Casoratti determinant associated with two arbitrary sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$. From the theory of linear difference equations, we know that the two sequences are said to be linearly independent if the Casoratti determinant is non-zero for every n [25]. Let us consider

$$\mathbb{P}_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} & \mathcal{P}_n \end{bmatrix}^T, \qquad \mathbf{T}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x - c_n & -\lambda_n(x^2+1) \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Now, from (1.4), we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{n+1} = \mathbf{T}_n \mathbb{P}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x - c_n & -\lambda_n(x^2+1) \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_n \\ \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_0)\mathbb{P}_0, \qquad \mathbb{P}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_0 & \mathcal{P}_{-1} \end{bmatrix}^T. \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}$ is a solution of the recurrence relation (1.4) with initial conditions $\mathcal{P}_{-1}^{(k)} = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_{0}^{(k)} = 1$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} & \mathcal{P}_{n-k+1}^{(k)} \\ \mathcal{P}_n & \mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_n \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_n & \mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)} \\ \mathcal{P}_{n-1} & \mathcal{P}_{n-k-1}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$D(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}) = \lambda_n(x^2+1) D(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, \mathcal{P}_{n-k-1}^{(k)}). \tag{2.4}$$

Let X denote the set of zeros of $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$. From (2.4), we get

$$D(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}) = \prod_{j=k}^{n} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^{n-k} \mathcal{P}_{k-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

which means that $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}\backslash X$.

Theorem 2.1. *For* $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash X$, *the following relations hold:*

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \nu_k) = \mathcal{P}_n(x), \qquad n \le k,$$
$$\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \nu_k) = \mathcal{P}_n(x) - \mathcal{S}_k(x)\mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}(x), \qquad n > k,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_k(x) = \mu_k \mathcal{P}_k(x) + (\nu_k - 1)\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$.

Proof. It follows from the theory of difference equations that any solution of (2.1) will be linear combination of two linearly independent solutions and vice-versa, thus assuming $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x) \neq 0$, there exists functions $A(x)$ and $B(x)$, such that

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \nu_k) = A(x)\mathcal{P}_n(x) + B(x)\mathcal{P}_{n-k}^{(k)}(x).$$

For $n = k$ and $n = k + 1$, we have $A(x) = 1$ and $B(x) = -\mu_k \mathcal{P}_k(x) + (1 - \nu_k)\lambda_k(x^2 + 1)\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$ which proves the theorem. □

Remark 2.2. *Observe that if $\mu_k = 0$, degree of $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$ is $k + 1$, also, if $\nu_k = 1$, degree of $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$ is k. From here, we conclude that for $\mu_k \neq 0$ and $\nu_k \neq 1$, $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $k+1$. Note that this is not the case with OPRL satisfying* (1.1)*, see* [8, Theorem 2.1] (also see [22])*. Moreover, this result is different from the one obtained for R_I polynomials in* [28, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \nu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ have common zeros, then such zero will also be common to $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$.*

Proof. Suppose β is a common zero of $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \nu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k(\beta) \neq 0$. Let $Y := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{S}_k(y) = 0\}$. Then, since $\beta \in \mathbb{R}\backslash(X \cup Y)$, Theorem 2.1 implies $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{n-k}(\beta) = 0$, a violation to linear independence of $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}_{n-k}(x)$. □

Corollary 2.1. *The co-recursive polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ have k zeros in common which are same as the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$.*

The recurrence relations for the generalised co-recursive R_{II} polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(z; \mu_k)$ and for the generalised co-dilated R_{II} polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(z; \nu_k)$ and the representation of new perturbed polynomials in terms of unperturbed ones can be obtained by substituting $\nu_k = 1$ and $\mu_k = 0$ respectively in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.3. *Polynomials obtained after perturbations in* (1.1)*, in* (1.2) *and in* (1.3) *have been called co-polynomials on real line (COPRL)* [8]*, co-polynomials on unit circle (COPUC)* [6] *and co–polynomials of R_I type respectively* [28]*. Following an analogous nomenclature, we may call perturbed polynomials introduced Section 2 as* ***co-polynomials of R_{II} type****.*

Example 2.1. *In the recurrence relation* (1.4)*, following* [5]*, allow the parameters to be constant sequences, e.g. let $c_n = 0$, $\lambda_n = \dfrac{1}{4}$, $a_n = -i$ and $b_n = i$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = x\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + 1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \\ &\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1, \qquad \mathcal{P}_1(x) = x. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

It can be verified that

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x) = i\left(\frac{x - i}{2}\right)^{n+1} - i\left(\frac{x + i}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Assuming μ to be positive, if we make a perturbation at the beginning of the sequence $\mathcal{P}_1(x) = x - \mu$. Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x; \mu) = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) - \mu\mathcal{P}_n(x).$$

This is the case for perturbation at $k = 0$ level. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1$ and $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_n(x) = \mathcal{P}_n(x)$ along with Theorem 2.1 yields the above relation. If $\mu = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x; 1) &= \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x) \\ &= i(x - 2 - i)\left(\frac{x - i}{2}\right)^{n+1} - i(x - 2 + i)\left(\frac{x + i}{2}\right)^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x; 1)$ satisfies (2.6) with perturbed initial conditions $\mathcal{P}_0(x; 1) = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_1(x; 1) = x - 1$, and that verifies our results in this section.

3. Connection with unit circle: Complementary Chain sequences and Co-dilation

3.1. **Co-polynomials and consequences on unit circle.** Following [18], consider a special form of R_{II} recurrence

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = (x - c_{n+1})\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \lambda_{n+1}(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \\ &\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1, \qquad \mathcal{P}_1(x) = x - c_1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{c_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a real sequence and $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence. In a recent work, such recurrence relation is shown to be related to a generalized eigenvalue problem whose eigenvalues are zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ [18, Theorem 1.1]. The transformation

$$\xi(x) = \frac{x+i}{x-i},$$

maps real line onto the unit circle with a slit $\mathbb{T} = \{\xi = e^{i\theta}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi\}$. The inverse of this transformation is $x(\xi) = i\dfrac{\xi+1}{\xi-1}$. With this transform, it was pointed out in [18] that R_{II} polynomials are related to sequence of polynomials $\{r_n\}$ given by

$$r_n(\xi) = \frac{2^n \mathcal{P}_n(x)}{(x-i)^n}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

satisfying

$$r_{n+1}(\xi) = ((1+ic_{n+1})\xi + (1-ic_{n+1}))r_n(\xi) - 4\lambda_{n+1}\xi r_{n-1}(\xi), \quad n \geq 1,$$

that turn out to be related to OPUC via the relation

$$\phi_{n-1}(\xi) = \frac{r_n(\xi) - 2(1-l_n)r_{n-1}(\xi)}{(z-1)\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+ic_j)}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently,

$$\phi_{n-1}(\xi) = \frac{-i2^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+ic_j)} \frac{1}{(x-i)^{n-1}}[\mathcal{P}_n(x) - (1-l_n)(x-i)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x)], \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.4}$$

by the modification of the corresponding measure of orthogonality [18, Theorem 1.2]. Paraorthogonal polynomials on the unit circle are given by $\rho_n(z) = z\phi_{n-1}(z) - \tau_n\phi^*_{n-1}(z)$ where $|\tau_n| = 1$ and $\phi^*_n(z) = z^n\overline{\phi_n(\bar{z})}$ and their zeros lie on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ [16, 29]. The sequence of R_{II} polynomials $\{\mathcal{P}_n(x)\}_{n\geq 0}$ satisfying (3.1) are shown to be related to a certain sequence $\{z\phi_{n-1}(z) - \tau_n\phi^*_{n-1}(z)\}$ of para-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle [18].

Theorem 3.1. [18, Theorem 3.2] *Consider the recurrence relation* (3.1) *where* $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ *is a positive chain sequence having minimal parameter sequence* $\{l_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ *and let* μ *be the positive measure on the unit circle such that its Verblunsky coefficients are*

$$\alpha_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{1-2l_{n+1}-ic_{n+1}}{1-ic_{n+1}}, \qquad \tau_n = \tau_{n-1}\frac{1-ic_n}{1+ic_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tau_0 = 1$.

The effect on the OPUC when the recurrence coefficients of OPRL (1.1) are perturbed has been studied in [8, 9]. The reverse situation, i.e. effect on OPRL by modifying the Verblunsky coefficients has been the matter of research in [6]. In the same, some connection formulas for recurrence coefficients have also been derived explicitly. On the same lines, the next theorem gives relation among Verblunsky coefficients of the perturbed

OPUC and POPUC, recurrence coefficients of (3.1), (3.6) and Verblunsky coefficients of OPUC and POPUC associated with R_{II} type recurrence.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of Verblunsky coefficients of the corresponding COPUC and Co-POPUC associated to*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = (x - a_{n+1})\mathcal{P}_n(x) - b_{n+1}(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$a_{n+1} = \begin{cases} c_{n+1}, & n \neq k \\ a_{k+1}, & n = k \end{cases}, \qquad b_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{n+1}, & n \neq k \\ b_{k+1}, & n = k \end{cases}, \tag{3.7}$$

Then for $n \geq k$,

$$\gamma_{n-1} = \frac{1-ia_n}{1+ia_n}\frac{1+ic_n}{1-ic_n}\frac{1-ic_{n+1}}{1-ia_{n+1}}\left[\alpha_{n-1} - \frac{1}{\tau_n}\left\{\frac{2(l_{n+1}-l'_{n+1}) + i(c_{n+1}-a_{n+1})}{1-ic_{n+1}}\right\}\right], \tag{3.8}$$

where $\{l'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the minimal parameter sequence of $\{b_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ and

$$\begin{gathered} \eta_n = \tau_n,\ n \leq k, \quad \eta_{k+1} = \frac{1-ia_{k+1}}{1+ia_{k+1}}\frac{1+ic_{k+1}}{1-ic_{k+1}}\tau_{k+1},\ n = k+1, \\ \eta_n = \eta_{n-1}\frac{1-ic_n}{1+ic_n},\ n > k+1. \end{gathered} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. With $\{l'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ as the minimal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{b_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ and let $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the Verblunsky coefficients, then corresponding to (3.6) from Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\gamma_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\eta_n}\frac{1-2l'_{n+1}-ia_{n+1}}{1-ia_{n+1}}, \qquad \eta_n = \eta_{n-1}\frac{1-ia_n}{1+ia_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, (3.9) is straight forward from expression for τ_n in (3.5) and η_n in (3.10). Now, using (3.9) and expression for α_{n-1} in (3.5) in γ_{n-1} defined above gives (3.8) after some elementary computations. □

Corollary 3.1. *If* (3.6) *is considered with co-dilation only and further with $c_{n+1} = a_{n+1} = 0$, $\forall\ n$. Then Theorem 3.2 implies*

$$\gamma_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} - \hat{\alpha}_{n-1},\ \textit{where } \hat{\alpha}_{n-1} = 2(l_{n+1} - l'_{n+1}).$$

The term $\hat{\alpha}_{n-1}$ quantifies the change in the Verblunsky coefficients caused by co-dilation.

3.2. **Co-dilation and Chain sequences.** Considering $c_n = 0$, $n \geq 1$, $\lambda_2 = 1/2$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = 1/4$, $n \geq 2$ in (3.1). The sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a SPPCS (single parameter positive chain sequence) and uniquely determines its parameters i.e. $l_1 = 0$ and $\{l_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1} = 1/2$ which is also the minimal parameter sequence of $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$. With these conditions, it can be easily verified that

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x) = \left(\frac{x-i}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{x+i}{2}\right)^n, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_n(x) = i\left(\frac{x-i}{2}\right)^n - i\left(\frac{x+i}{2}\right)^n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.12}$$

which from (3.11), in the view of (3.2) and transform $z = \dfrac{x+i}{x-i}$ implies

$$r_n(z) = z^n + 1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and hence, from (3.3), we have the monic OPUC as

$$\phi_n(z) = z^n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The associated Verblunsky coefficients are $\alpha_n = 0$, $n \geq 0$ which can be computed via (3.5) and the corresponding probability measure μ is the Lebesgue measure given by $d\mu(\xi) = \dfrac{1}{2i\pi\xi} d\xi$.

Consider a new sequence $\{\lambda'_{n+1} = 1/4\}_{n\geq 1}$ obtained by a single modification at the starting of the chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ i.e. $\lambda'_2 = \nu_2\lambda_2$ where $\nu_2 = 1/2$ is the dilation factor chosen in such a way that $\{\lambda'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is again a positive chain sequence.

As mentioned in the Section 1, we can obtain the new sequence of R_{II} polynomials via direct computation. To be precise, it is interesting to note that this new chain sequence $\{\lambda'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is not a SPPCS. Its minimal and maximal parameter sequences are given as

$$l'_{n+1} = \frac{n}{2n+2}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{M}'_{\mathrm{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0,$$

respectively. This fact, alongwith Theorem 3.2 gives new sequence of Verblunsky coefficients $\gamma_{n-1} = -\dfrac{1}{n+1}$. Using $\{\gamma_{n-1}\}_{n\geq 1}$, from the Szegő recurrence (1.2), monic OPUC can be constructed as

$$\phi'_n(z) = \frac{(n+1)z^n + nz^{n-1} + (n-1)z^{n-2} + \ldots + 2z + 1}{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Comparing it to (3.3), we get the palindromic polynomials

$$r'_n(z) = \frac{z^{n+1} - 1}{z - 1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

which from (3.2) gives new sequence of R_{II} polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}'_n(x) = i\left(\frac{x-i}{2}\right)^{n+1} - i\left(\frac{x+i}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The corresponding measure of orthogonality is found to be $d\mu(\xi) = \dfrac{(1-\xi)(\xi-1)}{4i\pi\xi^2} d\xi$ [18]. For a recent treatise on numerical quadrature arising out of these polynomials, see [5].

3.3. **Complementary chain sequences.** Related to the chain sequences is the important concept of complementary chain sequences which can be defined as the following:

Definition 3.1. [2] *If $\{l_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is the minimal parameter sequence of $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$, then the sequence $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$, whose minimal parameter sequence is a new sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, is the complementary chain sequence of $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ when $k_1 = 0$ and $k_n = 1 - l_n$, $n \geq 2$.*

The motivation for this study follows from the fact that the expression (3.4) gives an explicit relation among R_{II} polynomials, Szegő polynomials and minimal parameter sequence $\{l_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{c_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ be as given in (3.1). Suppose $\{l_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the minimal parameter sequence of $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ and further let $\{k_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the minimal parameter sequence of positive chain sequence $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ which is obtained as complementary to $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$. Suppose*

$$\alpha_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{1 - 2l_{n+1} - ic_{n+1}}{1 - ic_{n+1}}, \qquad \beta_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{1 - 2k_{n+1} - ic_{n+1}}{1 - ic_{n+1}},$$

where $\tau_n = \tau_{n-1}\dfrac{1 - ic_n}{1 + ic_n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $\mu(z)$ and $\nu(z)$ be the probability measures corresponding to the Verblunsky coefficients α_{n-1} and β_{n-1}. Then, the following can be derived:

(1) *If* $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ *has multiple parameter sequences and measure* $\mu(z)$ *is such that the value of the integral* $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|z-1|^2} d\mu(z)$ *is finite, then corresponding to complementary chain sequence* $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$*, measure* $\nu(z)$ *is such that the value of the integral* $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|z-1|^2} d\nu(z)$ *is infinite.*
(2) $\beta_{n-1} = -\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\bar{\tau}_n\bar{\tau}_{n+1},\ n \geq 1$ *i.e. the Verblunsky coefficients* β_{n-1} *are just the linear transformation of* α_{n-1}*.*
(3) *If* $c_n = (-1)^n c,\ c \in \mathbb{R},\ \beta_{n-1} = -\frac{1+ic}{1-ic}\alpha_{n-1},\ n \geq 1.$

Proof. Given that $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a non-SPPCS, it follows from [2, Lemma 2.4] that its complementary chain sequence $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a SPPCS. By Wall's criteria

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\prod_{j=2}^{n}\frac{k_j}{1-k_j} = \infty.$$

Using above fact in the proof of [18, Theorem 3.2] proves the first part of the theorem.

The second part can be proved using the following set of expressions

$$\beta_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{1-2k_{n+1}-ic_{n+1}}{1-ic_{n+1}} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{-1+2l_{n+1}-ic_{n+1}}{1-ic_{n+1}}$$
$$\text{and } \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} = \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}_n}\frac{-1+2l_{n+1}-ic_{n+1}}{1+ic_{n+1}}.$$

The proof of third part is straight forward. □

3.4. **An illustration.** Consider the R_{II} type recurrence

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) = \left(x - \frac{\theta}{\zeta+n+1}\right)\mathcal{P}_n(x) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{n(2\zeta+n+1)}{(\zeta+n)(\zeta+n+1)}(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x),$$
$$\text{with}\quad c_n = \frac{\theta}{\zeta+n},\quad \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{n(2\zeta+n+1)}{(\zeta+n)(\zeta+n+1)},\quad \zeta,\theta \in \mathbb{R},\ \zeta > -1,\ n \geq 1.$$

The positive chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ has multiple parameter sequence except $-1/2 \geq \zeta > -1$. Its minimal parameter sequence is

$$l_{n+1} = \frac{n}{2(\zeta+n+1)},\quad n \geq 0.$$

As shown in [18], the R_{II} polynomials for $\theta = 0$ (which means when $c_n = 0$) are given as

$$\mathcal{P}_n(x) = \frac{(2\zeta+2)_n}{(\zeta+1)_n}\frac{(x-i)^n}{2^n}F(-n,\zeta+1;2\zeta+2;\frac{-2i}{x-i}),\quad n \geq 1,$$

and hence, from (3.4), the monic OPUC, corresponding Verblunsky coefficients and associated probability measure are given, respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_n(z) = \frac{(2\zeta+3)_n}{(\zeta+2)_n}F(-n,\zeta+2;2\zeta+3;1-z),\\
&\alpha_{n-1} = -\frac{(\zeta+1)_n}{(\zeta+2)_n},\quad n \geq 1,\qquad \text{and}\\
&d\mu(e^{it}) = \frac{2^{2\zeta+1}(\Gamma(\zeta+2))^2}{\pi\Gamma(2\zeta+3)}\sin^{2\zeta+2}t/2dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

As it can be seen that polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x)$ depends on ζ, we will use the notation $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{(\zeta)}(x)$ and similarly, $\lambda_{n+1}^{(\zeta)}$ for λ_{n+1} and $c_n^{(\zeta)}$ for c_n. Now, consider the parameter sequence $k_n = 1 - l_n = (2\zeta + n + 1)/(2\zeta + 2n)$, $n \geq 1$. The resulting chain sequence is

$$d_2^{(\zeta)} = \frac{2\zeta + 3}{2\zeta + 4}, \quad d_{n+1}^{(\zeta)} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(n-1)(2\zeta + n + 2)}{(\zeta + n)(\zeta + n + 1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Let β_{n-1} be the Verblunsky coefficients corresponding to measure $\nu(z)$. From Theorem 3.3, we get

$$\beta_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\tau_n}\frac{1 - 2k_{n+1} - ic_{n+1}}{1 - ic_{n+1}}, \tag{3.14}$$

and from (3.4), the corresponding monic OPUC

$$\hat{\phi}_{n-1}(\xi) = \frac{-i2^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 + ic_j^{(\zeta)})}\frac{1}{(x-i)^{n-1}}[\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{(\zeta)}(x) - (1 - k_n)(x - i)\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{(\zeta)}(x)], \quad n \geq 1,$$

where the polynomials $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n(x)$ given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n+1}^{(\zeta)}(x) = (x - c_{n+1}^{(\zeta)})\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{(\zeta)}(x) - d_{n+1}^{(\zeta)}(x^2 + 1)\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1}^{(\zeta)}(x), \quad n \geq 1,$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(\zeta)}(x) = 1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(\zeta)}(x) = x - c_1^{(\zeta)}$ are obtained. A characterization of the recurrence coefficients of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{(\zeta)}(x)$ in terms of the recurrence coefficients of $\mathcal{P}_n^{(\zeta)}(x)$ can be given as $d_{n+1}^{(\zeta)} = \lambda_n^{(\zeta+1)}$, $n \geq 2$ and $c_{n+1}^{(\zeta)} = c_{n+1}^{(\zeta+1)}$, as it is difficult to find a closed expression for $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_n^{(\zeta)}(x)$. For this case too, $c_{n+1}^{(\zeta)}(= c_{n+1}) = 0$, $n \geq 0$ which, by Theorem 3.3, implies that $\alpha_{n-1} = -\beta_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. This can also be verified using the expression for k_n in (3.14) and then comparing it with (3.13).

Results analogous to Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.1 can be stated using complementary chain sequences. In this regard, we give the following remark:

Remark 3.1. *If $\{k'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the minimal parameter sequence of the co-dilated complementary chain sequences, say $\{d'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$. Then Corollary 3.1 implies*

$$\gamma_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} + \hat{\alpha}_{n-1}, \text{ where } \hat{\alpha}_{n-1} = 2(k_{n+1} - k'_{n+1}).$$

To illustrate the consequences of co-dilation in complementary chain sequences, consider the chain sequence $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ with minimal parameters $l_1 = 0$, $l_{n+1} = (n+2)/(2n+2)$, $n \geq 1$. By [2, Lemma 2.5], $1/2 < l_{n+1} < 1$ implies $\{\lambda_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is SPPCS. Now, the sequence $\{k_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ with $k_1 = 0$ and $k_{n+1} = 1 - l_{n+1} = n/(2n+2)$ is the minimal parameter sequence of the complementary chain sequence $\{d_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ where d_{n+1} is the constant sequence $\{1/4\}$ which is known to be non-SPPCS. In this case, it is shown in Section 3.2 that the measure μ associated to the Szegő polynomials is the Lebesgue measure given by $d\mu(z) = \dfrac{1}{2i\pi z}dz$ and with respect to which $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|z-1|^2}d\mu(z)$ does not exist, which in turn means that $z = 1$ is in the support of the measure μ.

The co-dilated complementary chain sequence $\{d'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ obtained on perturbing d_2 as $d'_2 = \nu_2 d_2$ where $\nu_2 = 2$ is given as $d'_2 = 1/2$ and $d'_{n+1} = 1/4$, $n \geq 2$. Its minimal parameter sequence is $\{k'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ where $k'_1 = 0$ and $k'_{n+1} = 1/2$ which is also maximal and this makes $\{d'_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ a SPPCS. Further, from [18, Example 1], the associated measure is $d\mu'(z) = \dfrac{(1-z)(z-1)}{4i\pi z^2}dz$ with respect to which it is clear that $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|z-1|^2}d\mu'(z)$ exists which implies $z = 1$ is not in the support of μ'.

4. Interlacing and monotonicity of Zeros

We need the following results given in [18] to prove our next result.

Theorem 4.1. [18, Theorem 2.1] *The polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n$ is of exact degree n with positive leading coefficient. Precisely, if we denote by $\mathfrak{p}$ the leading coefficient of $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$, then $\mathfrak{p}_0 = 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_1 = 1$ and*

$$0 < (1 - l_{n-1}) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_n}{\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}} < 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Here, $\{l_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the minimal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$.

Theorem 4.2. [18, Theorem 2.2] *The zeros $x_j^{(n)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots n$ of $\mathcal{P}_n$ are real and simple. Assuming the ordering $x_j^{(n)} < x_{j-1}^{(n)}$ for the zeros, we also have the interlacing property*

$$x_{n+1}^{(n+1)} < x_n^{(n)} < x_n^{n+1} < \ldots < x_2^{(n+1)} < x_1^{(n)} < x_1^{(n+1)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n \geq k$ and $x_j^{(n)}(\mu)$ and $x_j^{(n)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots l$ be the l non common real zeros corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$. If $\mu < 0$, then*

$$x_l^{(n)}(\mu) < x_l^{(n)} < x_{l-1}^{(n)}(\mu) < x_{l-1}^{(n)} < \ldots < x_1^{(n)}(\mu) < x_1^{(n)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the role of the zeros $x_j^{(n)}(\mu)$ and $x_j^{(n)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots l$ gets intercharged when $\mu > 0$.

Proof. For $n \geq k$, using (2.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{P}_n(x), \mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)) &= \mathcal{P}_n(x)\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x; \mu_k) - \mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) \\ &= \lambda_n(x^2 + 1)D(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x; \mu_k)), \end{aligned}$$

which yeilds after a few computation

$$D(\mathcal{P}_n(x), \mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)) = -\mu_k(\lambda_n \ldots \lambda_k)(x^2 + 1)^{n-k}\mathcal{P}_k^2(x). \tag{4.2}$$

From Theorem 4.2, we have $(-1)^j \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x_j^{(n)}) > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots n$. Recall that $x_j^{(n)}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots n$ are the n real zeros corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$. Let us assume that $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ have no common zeros. When $\mu < 0$ and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence, (4.2) implies $-\mathcal{P}_n(x_j^{(n)}; \mu_k)\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x_j^{(n)}) > 0$, leading to

$$(-1)^{j+1}\mathcal{P}_n(x_j^{(n)}; \mu_k) > 0, \quad j \geq 1.$$

which shows that the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ will interlace as (4.1). For $\mu > 0$, sign in (4.2) changes and subsequently, the result follows from similar analysis. □

Example 4.1. *To illustrate Theorem 4.3 when $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k)$ have common zeros, let us consider special R_{II} type recurrence* (2.1) *with $c_n = 0$, $n \geq 0$ and $\lambda_n = 1/4$, $n \geq 1$. The sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence.*

Rewriting (2.1) with the above assumptions, we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1} = x\mathcal{P}_n - \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + 1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Precisely, following two cases are considered depending upon the sign of μ_k. All the

calculations are performed and figures are drawn using Maple 18 with Intel Core i3-6006U CPU @ 2.00 Ghz and 8 GB RAM.

Case I: $\mu_k < 0$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_9(x;-0.7)$ have four zeros in common (see Table 1) which is in accordance with Corollary 2.1. The location of zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_9(x;-0.7)$ in Figure 1 verifies Theorem 4.3.

Case II: $\mu_k > 0$.

Observe that $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_7(x;0.43)$ have three zeros in common (Table 2) which is in accordance with corollary 2.1. Now, let us look (see Figure 2) at the location of uncommon zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_7(x;0.43)$. Theorem 4.3 is true for this possibility as well.

TABLE 1

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x;-0.7)$
-1.376381920	-1.376381920
1.376381920	1.376381920
0.3249196962	0.3249196962
-0.3249196962	-0.3249196962
3.077683537	1.685063442
0.7265425280	.4309372535
0	$-.2251211415$
-0.7265425280	-1.137754967
-3.077683537	-10.75312459




FIGURE 1 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ (red diamonds) and $\mathcal{P}_9(x;-0.7)$ (blue circles)




FIGURE 2 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ (red diamonds) and $\mathcal{P}_7(x;0.43)$ (blue circles)

Example 4.2. *The complementary Routh-Romanovski polynomials* $\mathcal{P}_n(e;x)$ *given by the hypergeometric expression* [24]

$$\mathcal{P}_n(e;x) = \frac{(x-i)^n}{2^n}\frac{(2\zeta)_n}{(\zeta)_n}{}_2F_1\left(-n, e; e+\bar{e}; \frac{-2i}{x-i}\right),$$

satisfy the R_{II}*- type recurrence relation*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(e;x) = (x - c_{n+1}^{(e)})\mathcal{P}_n(e;x) - \lambda_{n+1}^{(e)}(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(e;x), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{4.4}$$

with $\mathcal{P}_0(e;x) = 1$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_1(e;x) = x - c_1^{(e)}$*, where*

$$c_n^{(e)} = \frac{\theta}{\zeta + n - 1}, \qquad \lambda_{n+1}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{n(2\zeta+n-1)}{(\zeta+n-1)(\zeta+n)}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

for $e = \zeta + i\theta$*,* $\zeta > 0$*. They are shown* [23] *to be orthogonal with respect to the weight*

$$\omega^{(\zeta,\theta)}(x) = \frac{2^{2\zeta-1}|\Gamma(b)|^2 e^{\theta\pi}(e^{-arccot(x)})^{2\theta}}{\Gamma(2\zeta-1)2\pi(1+x^2)^{\zeta}}.$$

The CRR polynomials are considered to verify Theorem 4.3 when $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_n(x;\mu_k)$ *have no common zeros. Here, parameters* $\xi = 10$ *and* $\theta = 12$ *are taken to be positive. The case* $\theta < 0$ *provides different situation.*

Depending upon the sign of μ_k, following two cases are considered:

Case I: $\mu_k < 0$.

Let us perturb the recurrence coefficient c_n at fouth level such that $c_3 \to c_3 + \mu_3$ where $\mu_3 = -0.3$ to generate a new sequence of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(x; -0.3)$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.3)$ have no common zeros as shown in Table 3. Clearly, these zeros satisfy the interlacing property in accordance with Theorem 4.3 (see Figure 3).

TABLE 2

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x; 0.43)$
0	0
1	1
−1	−1
2.414213562	3.336754639
.4142135624	.5388256504
−.4142135624	−.2996923982
−2.414213562	−1.855887891

Case II: $\mu_k > 0$.

For this purpose, let us perturb the recurrence coefficient c_n at fourth level such that $c_3 \to c_3 + \mu_3$ where $\mu_3 = 1.2$ to generate a new sequence of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(x; 1.2)$. Computed zeros $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_7(x; 1.2)$ are listed in Table 4. Note that $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_7(x; 1.2)$ have no common zeros. Now, let us look (see Figure 4) at the location of these zeros. The results follows Theorem 4.3.

TABLE 3

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.3)$
0.3324095627	0.2430260465
0.6295725714	0.5966623160
.9197511115	0.8619781365
1.273243623	1.250348102
1.826806110	1.813673082
2.724441863	2.533580638




FIGURE 3 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (red diamonds) and $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.3)$ (blue circles)




FIGURE 4 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ (red diamonds) and $\mathcal{P}_7(x; 1.2)$ (blue circles)

Remark 4.1. *Hence, it is established that the zeros of CRR- polynomials and co-recursive CRR polynomials interlace. Moreover, it can be seen that zeros of co-recursive CRR polynomials exhibit interlacing in themselves. However, for large perturbations, some information may be lost.*

Corollary 4.1. *Denoting by* $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$*, the polynomials obtained on perturbing two consecutive recurrence coefficients and let* $x_j^{(n)}(\mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$*,* $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ *be the corresponding zeros. With* $\mu_k > 0$ *and* $\mu_{k+1} > 0$*,* j *fixed and* $n \geq k$*,* $x_j^{(n)}(\mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$ *are strictly increasing function of* μ_k *and* μ_{k+1}*. Analogously, whenever* $\mu_k < 0$ *and* $\mu_{k+1} < 0$*, the zeros of* $\mathcal{P}_n(x; \mu_k, \mu_{k+1})$ *are strictly decreasing function of* μ_k *and* μ_{k+1}*.*

To illustrate the above corollary, recurrence relation satisfied by CRR polynomials is taken into consideration again.

Case I: $\mu_k > 0$ and $\mu_{k+1} > 0$.

The zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.3, 0.4)$ using perturbations $c_3 \to c_3 + 0.3$ and $c_4 \to c_4 + 0.4$ are listed in Table 5. These zeros are represented by blue circles in Figure 5. Now, take $\mu'_k > \mu_k$ and $\mu'_{k+1} > \mu_{k+1}$. The zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.5, 0.6)$ are plotted with green squares. Finally, red diamonds represent the zeros of unperturbed polynomial $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$.

Table 4

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_7(x; 1.2)$
0.2389794289	0.2720925666
0.5107520351	0.6671359684
0.7737829160	0.8062631388
1.053542176	1.111244050
1.437251708	1.705005737
2.019702291	2.045309617
2.953906046	4.019126394




Figure 5 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.3, 0.4)$ (blue circles), $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.5, 0.6)$ (green squares) and $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (red diamonds).

Table 5

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.3, 0.4)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; 0.5, 0.6)$
0.3324095627	0.5005414531	0.5631868840
0.6295725714	0.6667100353	0.6803702451
0.9197511115	0.9815128474	1.016823459
1.273243623	1.352930479	1.416833556
1.826806110	1.942194994	1.990311187
2.724441863	3.229291555	3.556960381

Case II: $\mu_k < 0$ and $\mu_{k+1} < 0$.

The zeros of initial CRR polynomial $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (red diamonds) and perturbed ones i.e. $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.2, -0.3)$ (blue circles) and $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.4, -0.5)$ (green squares) are given in Table 6 and plotted in Figure 6. Observe that the result holds in this case as well.

Table 6

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.2, -0.3)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x; -0.4, -0.5)$
0.3324095627	.1599408957	0.001082138805
0.6295725714	0.5840010301	0.5193524908
0.9197511115	.8799756728	0.8456227563
1.273243623	1.232311882	1.207028405
1.826806110	1.700534290	1.583284261
2.724441863	2.458156724	2.307246095




FIGURE 6 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_6(x;-0.2,-0.3)$ (blue circles), $\mathcal{P}_6(x;-0.4,-0.5)$ (green squares) and $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (red diamonds).

Some important observations: Based on the numerical experiments with several examples of R_{II} polynomials given in the literature, the following has been observed:
(1) It is shown that zeros of the co-recursive R_{II} polynomials and their unperturbed ones have nice interlacing and monotonicity properties. However, these may not hold in the case of co-dilated and co-modified polynomials.

Example 4.3. *For the purpose of illustration, we would recall the recurrence relation* (2.6) *discussed in Example 2.1.*

The zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_9(x;0,0.6)$ are listed in Table 7 when a perturbation $\lambda_3 \to 0.6\times\lambda_3$ is made. Clearly, the zeros do not show any kind of interlacing for this case (see Figure 7). Similarly, one can check that co-modified R_{II} polynomials too do not have such relation with the unperturbed ones.
Note: This observation raises a question that what conditions to be imposed on μ_k's and ν_k's such that the co-dilated (or co-modified) R_{II} polynomials do show some interlacing with the initial ones. Although, this aspect is not fully discussed in this manuscript and is still open.

TABLE 7

Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$	Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x;0,0.6)$
0	0
−3.077683537	−2.428062818
−1.376381920	−1.248215157
−0.7265425280	−.7432443609
−0.3249196962	−.2950948155
0.3249196962	.2950948155
0.7265425280	.7432443609
1.376381920	1.248215157
3.077683537	2.428062818




FIGURE 7 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ (red diamonds) and $\mathcal{P}_9(x;\nu_3=0.6)$ (blue circles).

(2) For the co-dilated case, it is found that when $0 < \nu_k < 1$, the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x;\nu_k)$, $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$, $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$ are interlaced in a special manner i.e., whenever there are two consecutive zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x;\nu_k)$ (see Figure 7), there lies at least one zero of $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ between them and further between two consecutive zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$, there is a zero of $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$ (see Figures 8 to 10). However, the converse of the above fact need not true, i.e., the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ not necessarily be present only between consecutive zeros of $\mathcal{P}_n(x;\nu_k)$ (see Figure 9). This fact is numerically verified for different values of ν_k and at various levels of perturbation in recurrence relation (2.6), recurrence relation satisfied by CRR polynomials (Example 4.2) and the Chebyshev polynomials of R_{II} type. The Chebyshev polynomials of R_{II} type [17] satisfy

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(z) = (z-\sqrt{ab})\mathcal{P}_n(z) - \frac{1}{4}(z-a)(z-b)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(z), \quad n \geq 0,\ a,b>0.$$




FIGURE 8 Zeros of $\mathcal{P}_9(x)$ (red diamonds) obtained from (2.6), $\mathcal{P}_9(x;\nu_3 = 0.6)$ (blue circles), $\mathcal{P}_3(x)$ (green squares) and $\mathcal{P}_2(x)$ (brown cross).




FIGURE 9 Zeros of CRR polynomials: $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ (red diamonds), $\mathcal{P}_7(x;\nu_4 = 0.5)$ (blue circles), $\mathcal{P}_4(x)$ (green squares) and $\mathcal{P}_3(x)$ (brown cross).




FIGURE 10 Zeros of Chebyshev polynomials of R_{II} type with parameters $a = b = 1$: $\mathcal{P}_{10}(x)$ (red diamonds), $\mathcal{P}_{10}(x;\nu_5 = 0.7)$ (blue circles), $\mathcal{P}_5(x)$ (green squares) and $\mathcal{P}_4(x)$ (brown cross). Note that largest zero of each polynomial is not represented in figure above for sake of clarity.

(3) Let $y_{k,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k+1$ be the zeros of $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$ defined in Theorem 2.1. With $\mu_k \neq 0$, $\nu_k \neq 1$ and $c = \frac{\nu_k - 1}{\mu_k}$, it attracts our interest to look at interlacing between zeros of $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$, $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$. If $x_{k,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $x_{k-1,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$ are the zeros of $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x)$ respectively arranged in increasing order, then, for $c > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-\infty, x_{k,1}]$, we have

$$x_{k-1,1} < y_{k,3} < x_{k,2} < \ldots < x_{k-1,k-1} < y_{k,k+1} < x_{k,k}.$$




FIGURE 11 Zeros of $\mathcal{S}_7(x)$ (red diamonds) when $\mu_7 = 0.4$ and $\nu_7 = 1.2$, the CRR polynomials $\mathcal{P}_7(x)$ (blue circles) and $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (green squares).

Furthermore, when $c < 0$, then on $\mathbb{R}\backslash[x_{k,k}, \infty]$, we have

$$x_{k,1} < y_{k,1} < x_{k-1,1} < \ldots < x_{k,k-1} < y_{k,k-1} < x_{k-1,k-1}.$$




FIGURE 12 Zeros of $\mathcal{S}_6(x)$ (red diamonds) when $\mu_6 = 0.6$ and $\nu_6 = 0.8$, the CRR polynomials $\mathcal{P}_6(x)$ (blue circles) and $\mathcal{P}_5(x)$ (green squares).

It is possible that for some values of μ_k and ν_k, $\mathcal{S}_k(x)$ may have complex zeros. We have avoided this situation while making above conclusions. Note that a zero of $\mathcal{S}_7(x)$ lying far on left side of $x_{k,1}$ (Figure 11) and a zero of $\mathcal{S}_6(x)$ lying far on right side of $x_{k,k}$ (Figure 12 are omitted for pictorial clarity.

5. A transfer matrix approach for finite perturbations

Theorem 2.1 has some restrictions. At first, the structural relation is not useful if one is interested in finite composition of perturbations from computational point of view. Further, the structural relation doesn't hold for the entire real line. The motivation of this section is to use a transfer matrix approach to tackle these restrictions. Using this approach, the co-polynomials on the real [8], perturbed Szegö recurrence [6], g-fraction with missing terms [3] and perturbed R_I recurrence [28] has been studied.

Using matrix notation (2.3), we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) = (\mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1})\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k,\nu_k)(\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\dots\mathbf{T}_0)\mathbb{P}_0, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k,\nu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} x-c_k-\mu_k & -\nu_k\lambda_k(x^2+1) \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Using (2.3) and (5.1), we deduce that following relation holds in $\mathbb{R}$.

$$\mathbb{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) = (\mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1})\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathbf{T}_k^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1})^{-1}\mathbb{P}_{n+1}(x), \tag{5.2}$$

The expression (5.2) has a computational edge as compared to Theorem 2.1 and it holds in $\mathbb{R}$. Further, (5.2) can be improved using sequence of associated polynomials.

Note that the so called associated polynomials of second kind $\{\mathcal{V}_n\}$ too satisfy recurrence relation 1.4 with initial conditions $\mathcal{V}_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 = 1$. Note that $\mathcal{Q}_n = (1-\lambda_n)^{-1}\mathcal{V}_n$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n-1$ Theorem 4.1. In the sequel, we follow the analysis given in [8].

Theorem 5.1. *The following relation hold in* $\mathbb{R}$*:*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{N}_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{N}_k$ *is*

$$\mathbf{N}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k + \mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{Q}_k & \mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{P}_k \\ \mathcal{Q}_k\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k & \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k\mathcal{P}_k + \displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k(x) = -\mu_k\mathcal{Q}_k(x) - (\nu_k-1)\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{Q}_{k-1}(x),$$
$$\mathcal{S}_k(x) = \mu_k\mathcal{P}_k(x) + (\nu_k-1)\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(x).$$

Proof. Let us introduce the matrix $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ given by

$$\mathbb{F}_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} & -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1} \\ \mathcal{P}_n & -\mathcal{Q}_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_n\mathbb{F}_n.$$

$$D(\mathcal{P}_{n+1}, -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}) = \lambda_n(x^2+1)det\mathbb{F}_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^n.$$

then, $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ is non-singular.

Clearly, $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ can be written as the product of the transfer matrices

$$\mathbb{F}_{n+1} = \mathbf{T}_n \mathbb{F}_n = \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{T}_k\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\dots\mathbf{T}_0. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)$ be the polynomial matrices corresponding to co-modified polynomials of R_{II} type and $\mathbb{F}^{(k)}_{n-k}$ be the product of transfer matrix for associated polynomials of order k. Recall that associated polynomials of order k are of degree $n-k$.

$$\mathbb{F}^{(k)}_{n-k} = \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_k,$$

then, from (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}^{(k+1)}_{n-(k+1)} &= \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1} \\
&= \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{T}_k\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\dots\mathbf{T}_0(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-1} \\
&= \mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-1}. \qquad (5.4)\\
\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) &= \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\dots\mathbf{T}_0 \\
&= \mathbb{F}^{(k+1)}_{n-(k+1)}\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k. \qquad (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}^T_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) &= [\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k]^T[\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-1}]^T \\
&= [\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k]^T(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-T}\mathbb{F}^T_{n+1}, \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the following

$$\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k = \mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\dots\mathbf{T}_0 = \mathbb{F}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k),$$

which means

$$\mathbb{F}^T_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) & \mathcal{P}_k \\ -\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) & -\mathcal{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k]^T. \tag{5.7}$$

Now

$$\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k = \mathbb{F}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{k+1} & -\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} \\ \mathcal{P}_k & -\mathcal{Q}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence, by determinant formula

$$det(\mathbb{F}_{k+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^k = \mathfrak{K}(x), \quad \text{(say)}$$

implies

$$(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-T} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{K}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{Q}_k & -\mathcal{P}_k \\ \mathcal{Q}_{k+1} & \mathcal{P}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.8}$$

Using (5.7) and (5.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k]^T(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-T} &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{K}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) & \mathcal{P}_k \\ -\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k) & -\mathcal{Q}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{Q}_k & -\mathcal{P}_k \\ \mathcal{Q}_{k+1} & \mathcal{P}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathcal{Q}_k + \mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} & -\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathcal{P}_k + \mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathcal{Q}_k - \mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} & \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathcal{P}_k - \mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1}. \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Now, each entry of the above matrix can be computed one by one as:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathcal{Q}_k+\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} &= [-(x-c_k-\mu_k)\mathcal{P}_k+\nu_k\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{P}_{k-1}]\mathcal{Q}_k+\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}\\
&= -[\mathcal{P}_{k+1}-\mathcal{S}_k]\mathcal{Q}_k+\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}\\
&= \mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{P}_k+\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}-\mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1}\\
&= \mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{Q}_k+\mathfrak{K}(x),\\
-\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathcal{P}_k+\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1} &= \mathcal{P}_k[\mathcal{P}_{k+1}-\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(\mu_k,\nu_k)] = \mathcal{S}_k\mathcal{P}_k,\\
\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathcal{Q}_k-\mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} &= \mathcal{Q}_k\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k,\quad \text{where}\quad \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k=-\mu_k\mathcal{Q}_k-\lambda_k(\nu_k-1)(x^2+1)\mathcal{Q}_{k-1},\\
\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathcal{P}_k-\mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1} &= [(x-c_k-\mu_k)\mathcal{Q}_k-\nu_k\lambda_k(x^2+1)\mathcal{Q}_{k-1}]\mathcal{P}_k+\mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1}\\
&= [\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}+\hat{\mathcal{S}}_k]\mathcal{P}_k-\mathcal{Q}_k\mathcal{P}_{k+1} = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_k\mathcal{P}_k+\mathfrak{K}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting above four relations reduces (5.9) to

$$[\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k,\nu_k)\mathbb{F}_k]^T(\mathbf{T}_k\mathbb{F}_k)^{-T}=\frac{\mathbf{N}_k}{\mathfrak{K}(x)}=\frac{\mathbf{N}_k}{\prod_{j=1}^k\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k}. \tag{5.10}$$

Using (5.10) in (5.6) gives

$$\prod_{j=1}^k\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k,\nu_k)=\mathbf{N}_k\mathbb{F}_{n+1}. \tag{5.11}$$

After some elementary calculation, the theorem follows from (5.11). □

Corollary 5.1. *The following relation holds for k,m being non-negative integers and $m<k$:*

$$\prod_{j=m+1}^k\lambda_j(x^2+1)^{k-m}\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k)\\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x;\mu_k,\nu_k)\end{bmatrix}=\mathbf{N}_k\mathbf{N}_m^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;\mu_m,\nu_m)\\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x;\mu_m,\nu_m).\end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. The finite product (5.11) implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^k\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k,\nu_k)=\mathbf{N}_k\mathbb{F}_{n+1}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\text{and}\quad \prod_{j=1}^m\lambda_j(x^2+1)^m\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_m,\nu_m)=\mathbf{N}_m\mathbb{F}_{n+1}. \tag{5.13}$$

Substituting the value of $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}$ from (5.13) in (5.12), we get

$$\prod_{j=1}^k\lambda_j(x^2+1)^k\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k,\nu_k)=\prod_{j=1}^m\lambda_j(x^2+1)^m\mathbf{N}_k\mathbf{N}_m^{-1}\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_m,\nu_m),$$

and hence the result. □

The next theorem tell us about the finite composition of perturbations.

Theorem 5.2. *Let k,m be two fixed non-negative integer numbers with $m<k$. Then for $n>m$, the following relation holds:*

$$\prod_{j=m}^k\prod_{l=0}^j\lambda_l(x^2+1)^l\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x;;\mu_m,\nu_m,\dots\mu_k,\nu_k)\\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x;;\mu_m,\nu_m,\dots\mu_k,\nu_k)\end{bmatrix}=\prod_{j=m}^k\mathbf{N}_j\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(x)\\ -\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{N}_k$ depends on the first $k+1$ original recurrence coefficients and the perturbed c_k and λ_k, Equation (5.11) implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^k \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k) = \mathbf{N}_k \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T.$$

From (5.5), it is easy to show that

$$\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k)[\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\mathbb{F}_{k-1}]^{-1} = \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k). \tag{5.14}$$

The expression for $\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k, \mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1})$ given by

$$\mathbb{F}_{n+1}(\mu_k, \nu_k, \mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1}) = \mathbf{T}_n \dots \mathbf{T}_{k+1}\mathbf{T}_k(\mu_k, \nu_k)\mathbf{T}_{k-1}(\mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1})\mathbf{T}_{k-2} \dots \mathbf{T}_0. \tag{5.15}$$

Substituting (5.14) in (5.15) and taking transpose on both sides, we get

$$\mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k, \mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1}) = [\mathbf{T}_{k-1}(\mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1})\mathbb{F}_{k-1}]^T [\mathbf{T}_{k-1}\mathbb{F}_{k-1}]^{-T} \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k),$$

which, using technique discussded in Section 5, implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^{k-1} \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k, \mu_{k-1}, \nu_{k-1}) = \mathbf{N}_{k-1} \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k).$$

In similar fashion, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j (x^2+1)^m \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k \dots \mu_m, \nu_m) = \mathbf{N}_m \mathbb{F}_{n+1}^T(\mu_k, \nu_k, \dots \mu_{m-1}, \nu_{m-1}).$$

Thus, a forward substitution argument implies the theorem. □

An illustration via transfer matrix approach: In this part, apart from $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ (3.11), as an extra advantage, we can obtain some additional information about the second kind R_{II} polynomials $\mathcal{Q}_n(x)$ (3.12). The transfer matrix $\mathbf{N}_k$ corresponding to the perturbation discussed in Section 3.2 is

$$\mathbf{N}_k = \begin{bmatrix} (x^2+1)/2 & -x(x^2+1)/4 \\ 0 & (x^2+1)/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The perturbed polynomials $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n(x)$ are obtained using Theorem 5.1 as

$$(x^2+1)/2 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n(x) \\ -\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n(x) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{N}_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_n(x) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_n(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n(x) &= i\left(\frac{x-i}{2}\right)^{n+1} - i\left(\frac{x+i}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n(x) &= \mathcal{Q}_n(x), \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that, as expected, the polynomials $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n(x)$ are same as the polynomials $\mathcal{P}'_n(x)$ obtained in Section 3.2. Further, we see that the second kind polynomials $\mathcal{Q}_n(x)$ remains unaffected under the aforementioned perturbation (it is easy to verify that this is not true in general) and in this case, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{n+1}(x) = \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n(x)$.

6. Concluding remarks

(1) **An Application:** The electrostatic energy $E = E(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ [24] is given by

$$E = -\sum_{1\le j\le i\le m} \ln|x_i - x_j| + \frac{\zeta_m}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}[\ln|x_j - i| + \ln|x_i + i|] - \theta\sum_{j=1}^{m}\arctan(x_j).$$

where the electrostatic field consists of i and $-i$ two fixed negative charges of size ζ_m, m movable positive unit charges and an external energy field of arctan type. In [23, Theorem 3.1], it was shown that the set $\{x_1^m(e), x_2^m(e)\ldots x_m^m(e)\}$ that maximizes E are zeros of $\mathcal{P}_m(e;x)$. Perturbation of the form (1.8) in (4.4) gives a new set of zeros, say $\{x_1^m(e;\mu), x_2^m(e;\mu)\ldots x_m^m(e;\mu)\}$. It would be of interest to know whether the zeros of perturbed polynomial too maximizes E or some analogue of [23, Theorem 3.1] would exist.

(2) **Open problem:** In Section 4, behaviour of zeros has been discussed for a particular case when $a_n = -i$ and $b_n = i$ in recurrence relation (1.4). It would be interesting to investigate behaviour of zeros for other real and complex values of a_n and b_n and then study the interlacing relation between perturbed and unperturbed R_{II} polynomials. This demands extension of results analogous to those discussed in [18] to a more general set up.

Acknowledgments. This research work of the second author is supported by the MATRICS Project No. MTR/2019/000029/MS of Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India.

References

[1] B. Beckermann, M. Derevyagin and A. Zhedanov, The linear pencil approach to rational interpolation, J. Approx. Theory **162** (2010), no. 6, 1322–1346.
[2] K. K. Behera, A. Sri Ranga and A. Swaminathan, Orthogonal polynomials associated with complementary chain sequences, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), Paper No. 075, 17 pp.
[3] K. K. Behera and A. Swaminathan, Orthogonal polynomials related to $_g$-fractions with missing terms, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **18** (2018), no. 2, 193–219.
[4] K. K. Behera and A. Swaminathan, Biorthogonal rational functions of R_{II}-type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 7, 3061–3073.
[5] C. F. Bracciali, J. A. Pereira and A. S. Ranga, Quadrature rules from a R_{II} type recurrence relation and associated quadrature rules on the unit circle, Numer. Algorithms **83** (2020), no. 3, 1029–1061.
[6] K. Castillo, On perturbed Szegő recurrences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **411** (2014), no. 2, 742–752.
[7] K. Castillo, Monotonicity of zeros for a class of polynomials including hypergeometric polynomials, Appl. Math. Comput. **266** (2015), 183–193.
[8] K. Castillo, F. Marcellán and J. Rivero, On co-polynomials on the real line, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **427** (2015), no. 1, 469–483.
[9] K. Castillo, F. Marcellán and J. Rivero, On perturbed orthogonal polynomials on the real line and the unit circle via Szegő's transformation, Appl. Math. Comput. **302** (2017), 97–110.
[10] K. Castillo, F. Marcellán and J. Rivero, On co-polynomials on the real line and the unit circle, Operations research, engineering, and cyber security, Springer Optim. Appl., Springer, Cham, **113** (2017), 69–94.
[11] T. S. Chihara, On co-recursive orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 899–905.
[12] T. S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978.
[13] M. Derevyagin, A note on Wall's modification of the Schur algorithm and linear pencils of Jacobi matrices, J. Approx. Theory **221** (2017), 1–21.
[14] M. Derevyagin, L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, CMV matrices and little and big -1 Jacobi polynomials, Constr. Approx. **36** (2012), no. 3, 513–535.

[15] J. Dini, Sur les formes lineaires et les polynomes orthogonaux de Laguerre-Hahn, These de Doctorat, Univ. P. et M. Curie, Paris VI, 1988.
[16] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
[17] M. E. H. Ismail and D. R. Masson, Generalized orthogonality and continued fractions, J. Approx. Theory **83** (1995), no. 1, 1–40.
[18] M. E. H. Ismail and A. Sri Ranga, R_{II} type recurrence, generalized eigenvalue problem and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Linear Algebra Appl. **562** (2019), 63–90.
[19] M. E. H. Ismail and D. Stanton, Expansions in the Askey-Wilson polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **424** (2015), no. 1, 664–674.
[20] E. Leopold, Perturbed recurrence relations. II. The general case, Numer. Algorithms **44** (2007), no. 4, 347–366.
[21] E. Leopold, Perturbed recurrence relations. III. The general case—some new applications, Numer. Algorithms **48** (2008), no. 4, 383–402.
[22] F. Marcellán, J. S. Dehesa and A. Ronveaux, On orthogonal polynomials with perturbed recurrence relations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **30** (1990), no. 2, 203–212.
[23] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, L.L. Silva Ribeiro, A. Sri Ranga and M. Tyaglov, Complementary Romanovski-Routh polynomials: from orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle to Coulomb wave functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 6, 2625–2640.
[24] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, L.L. Silva Ribeiro, A. Sri Ranga and M. Tyaglov , Complementary Romanovski-Routh polynomials, orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, and extended Coulomb wave functions, Results Math. **75** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 42, 23 pp.
[25] L. M. Milne-Thomson, *The Calculus of Finite Differences*, Macmillan and Co., Ltd., London, 1951.
[26] F. Peherstorfer, Finite perturbations of orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **44** (1992), no. 3, 275–302.
[27] A. Ronveaux, Some 4th order differential equations related to classical orthogonal polynomials, in *Orthogonal polynomials and their applications (Spanish) (Vigo, 1988)*, 159–169, Esc. Téc. Super. Ing. Ind. Vigo, Vigo.
[28] Vinay Shukla and A. Swaminathan, Rational spectral transformation of continued fractions associated to a perturbed R_I type recurrence relations, arXiv: 2201.05422v1, 22 pages, 2022.
[29] B. Simon, *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 54, Part 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
[30] H. A. Slim, On co-recursive orthogonal polynomials and their application to potential scattering, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **136** (1988), no. 1, 1–19.
[31] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, A limit $q = -1$ for the big q-Jacobi polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 10, 5491–5507.
[32] A. Zhedanov, Rational spectral transformations and orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **85** (1997), no. 1, 67–86.

†Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee-247667, Uttarakhand, India
Email address: vshukla@ma.iitr.ac.in

‡Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee-247667, Uttarakhand, India
Email address: mathswami@gmail.com, a.swaminathan@ma.iitr.ac.in