arXiv:2201.09558v1 [math.AG] 24 Jan 2022

ON THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF EFFECTIVE COMPACT KÄHLER ORBIFOLDS WITH NEF ANTICANONICAL BUNDLE

ZHINING LIU

Abstract. We show that the orbifold fundamental group of an effective compact Kähler orbifold with nef anticanonical bundle has polynomial growth, which generalizes M.Păun's results for manifolds [Pău97, Theorem 1,Theorem 2]

Contents

1. introduction 1
Acknowledgement 2
2. preliminaries 2
3. riemannian orbifolds 9
3.1. Differential calculus on orbifold 9
3.2. Metric structures on orbifolds 11
3.3. Volume comparisons 15
4. Orbifold coverings and generalized Magulis lemma 16
4.1. Orbifold coverings and orbifold fundamental group 16
4.2. Dirichlet domains and generalized Margulis lemma 18
5. main theorem 20
6. a further result 24
References 27

1. introduction

In [Pău97], Mihai Păun proved the following results

Theorem 1.1 ([Pău97, Theorem 1]). *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold with its anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ nef. We have the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ has polynomial growth.*

Theorem 1.2 ([Pău97, Theorem 2]). *Let X be a projective manifold of dimension n with $-K_X$ nef. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is virtually Abelian.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is by showing the Abanese morphism of X is surjective then combining a result ([Cam95, Théorèm 2.2]) comparing the fundamental group of X and the fundamental group of $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$. It is now known that $\alpha_X : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is surjective when X is compact Kähler (*cf* [Pău17, Theorem 1.7]). Hence the result actually holds for compact Kähler manifolds X with $-K_X$ nef.

An orbifold is a geometric object whose local model is $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ where G is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. As a natural generalization of manifolds, many results of manifolds whose proof only involves differential geometry are generalized to orbifold case by adapting existing proof for manifold case.

As Păun's argument used only results in complex analytic geometry and differential geometry, one may consider adapt his arguments to the orbifold case and expect to get the same results for campact Kähler orbifolds. We prove the follwoing

Theorem 1.3 (=Theorem 5.13, Main Theorem)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be an effective Kähler orbifold such that $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ is compact. If the anti-canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ is nef, then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ has polynomial growth.*

Theorem 1.4 (=Theorem 6.3)**.** *Let (X, Δ) be a projective orbifold pair (see Definition 6.1) with $-(K_X + \Delta)$ nef. The orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$ is virtually Abelian.*

The article is organized in the following. In Section 2, we recall the preliminaries of orbifolds. In particular we recall the groupoid representation developed in [MP97] and using groupoid to construct orbi-vector bundles (Definition 2.19). In Section 3, we examine the metric space structure and Bishop-Gromov theorem (Theorem 3.23) on a Riemannian orbifold. In Section 4, we give the definition of orbifold fundamental group π_1^{orb} (Definition 4.6) and use a results in [BGT12] to show a version of orbifold Margulis lemma (Lemma 4.19). In Section 5, we adapt Păun's argument by using the orbifold Margulis lemma to show Theorem 1.3. In Section 6, we use the pair model for orbifolds to use the Albanese morphism argument as in the smooth case to obtain Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgement

I'm grateful for my thesis director Benoît Claudon for his constant support, for patient explaining many of my questions and for carefully reading and providing various corrections and suggestions on this article. I also thank my co-director Andreas Höring for many discussions and for insisting me typing my hand written notes to latex.

2. Preliminaries

This section is to introduce and recall the basic notions and results in orbifolds. Through this paper, we use the classical theories of orbifolds developed mainly by Satake [Sat56, Sat57], Thursthon [Thu79] and Moerdijk and Pronk [MP97], as they are sufficient for the main theorem. It seems more natural and trending to describe orbifolds via stacks. The reader could consult for example [HM04] [Par20] .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space, fix $n \geq 0$.

(1) An n-dimensional real orbifold chart on X is a triple consisting of an open subset $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a finite subgroup G of $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{U})$ and a homeomorphism $\phi : \tilde{U}/G \to U$, where U is an open subset of X.
(2) Suppose $U \subset V$ be two open subsets of X. A chart embedding $\lambda : (\tilde{U}, G, \phi) \to (\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ is a smooth embedding $\lambda : \tilde{U} \to \tilde{V}$ such that $\psi \circ \lambda = \phi$
(3) An orbifold atlas on X is a family $\mathcal{U} = \{(\tilde{U}, G, \phi)\}$ of orbifolds charts such that $\{U = \phi(\tilde{U})\}$ covers X. And for any $x \in X$ covered by U and V, there

exists a third orbifold chart $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu)$ with $x \in W$ and two chart embedding $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu) \to (\tilde{U}, G, \phi)$ and $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu) \to (\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$.

(4) An atlas $\tilde{V}$ is said to refine $\tilde{U}$ if every chart of $\tilde{V}$ embeds into some chart of $\tilde{U}$. Two atlas are said equivalent if they have a common refinement.

(5) One could proceed with open subsets in $\mathbb{C}^n$ to form analytic charts and atlas

Definition 2.2. A real (*resp.* complex) effective orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ of dimension n is a collection of the following data:

(i) A topological space X which is Hausdorff and second countable;
(ii) An equivalence class $[\mathcal{U}]$ of real (*resp.* complex) n-dimensional orbifold atlas.

In the following, we often denote without tilde an open subset of the underline space of an orbifold and with tilde for the corresponding open subset of its orbifold charts.

Example 2.3.

0) Any manifold is an orbifold with its manifold charts together with trivial group serving as orbifold charts;
1) $H := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0\}$ can be given an orbifold structure by consider $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to H$, $(x, y) \mapsto (|x|, y)$. The group action $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$;

Definition 2.4. A smooth (resp. holomorphic) map f between to orbifolds $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{U})$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{V})$ is a continuous map on the underline spaces, i.e. $f : X \to Y$, such that for any $x \in X$ if we denote $y = f(x)$, there exists a chart $(\tilde{U}, G, \phi)$ for x and a chart $(\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ for y and a smooth(resp. holomorphic) map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{U} \to \tilde{V}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{V} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & V \end{array} .$$

Though with the name 'smooth', we note that smooth map does not behaves well. For example, we don't know if $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is smooth, then f induces pullback morphism of differential forms. And the author does not know if for $x \in |X|$, f induces a morphism of local groups $G_x \to G_{f(x)}$. To overcome this problem, we use the notion of "strong morphism" introduced by Moerdijk and Pronk [MP97].

[MP97] deals with orbifolds by identifying them with certain groupoids and define the maps between orbifolds to be the ones induced by morphisms between groupoids.

Definition 2.5. A topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ consists of a topological space G_0 of objects and a topological space G_1 of arrows, together with five continuous structure maps listed below:

1. The source map $s : G_1 \to G_0$, which assigns to each arrow $g \in G_1$ its source $s(g)$.
2. The target map $t : G_1 \to G_0$, which assigns to each arrow $g \in G_1$ its target $t(g)$. For any two objects $x, y \in G_0$, one writes $g : x \to y$ to indicate that $g \in G_1$ is an arrow with $s(g) = x$ and $t(g) = y$.

3. The composition map $m : G_1 \ {}_s\!\times_t G_1 \to G_1$. If $h : y \to z$, $g : x \to y$, then $hg = m(h, g) : x \to z$. m is required to be associative.
4. The identity map $u : G_0 \to G_1$ which is a two-sided unit for the composition.
5. The inverse map $i : G_1 \to G_1$. If $g : x \to y \in G_1$, then $g^{-1} = i(g) : y \to x$ is the two sided inverse to g, i.e. ,$g \circ i(g) = u(y)$ and $i(g) \circ g = u(x)$.

One can also consider the topological groupoid as a groupoid (i.e. a category whose morphisms are all isomorphisms) equipped with two topological structure on the sets of objects and morphisms such that the structural maps are continuous. We then define the

Definition 2.6. A Lie groupoid is a topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ where G_0 and G_1 are smooth manifolds and all the structure maps s, t, m, u and i are smooth. Furthermore, s and t are required to be submersions (hence $G_1 \ {}_s\!\times_t G_1$ is a manifold).

With the topological/smooth structures on G_0 and G_1, one can define the morphisms between groupoids to be functors with required continuity/smoothness on the sets of obejects and morphisms. Similarly, a groupoid natural transformation will require the continuity on the functions assign each objects in source groupoid to the morphisms in the target groupoid.

Example 2.7. Let M be a topological space K a topological group acting on M. One defines a topological groupoid $K \ltimes M$, by setting $(K \ltimes M)_0 = M$ and $(K \ltimes M)_1 = K \times M$, with $(g, x) : x \to gx$. This groupoid is called the action groupoid or translation groupoid associated to the group action. Note if M is a manifold and K a Lie group, then $K \ltimes M$ becomes a Lie groupoid. If moreover, $K = \{e\}$, then the associated groupoid (called the unit groupoid) is nothing but a manifold.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid. For a point $x \in G_0$, we define the isotropy group G_x of $\mathcal{G}$ to be $s^{-1}(x) \cap t^{-1}(x)$. And we define the orbit space $|\mathcal{G}|$ of $\mathcal{G}$ to be the quotient of G_0 by the equivalence relation $x \sim y$ iff $\exists\, g : x \to y$.

Lemma 2.9 (*cf.* [Mac05, Corollary 1.4.11])**.** *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid. Set G_x^y being $s^{-1}(x) \cap t^{-1}(y)$. Then G_x^y is a smooth manifold, and the morphism $m : G_y^z \times G_x^y \to G_x^z$ is smooth. In particular, G_x is a Lie group.*

Now we define types of groupoids.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid.

(a) $\mathcal{G}$ is proper, if $(s, t) : G_1 \to G_0 \times G_0$ is proper.
(b) $\mathcal{G}$ is called a foliation groupoid if each isotropy group G_x is discrete.
(c) $\mathcal{G}$ is étale, if s and t are local diffeomorphisms. In this case, one defines the dimension of $\mathcal{G}$ to be $\dim(\mathcal{G}) := \dim(G_0) = \dim(G_1)$.

A direct observation is that when $\mathcal{G}$ is proper étale, G_x^y is finite. Now if $g : x \to y$ then as s and t are diffeomorphisms around g, x and y we get (*via* $t \circ s^{-1}$) a local diffeomorphism $\phi_g : U_x \to U_y$. After shrinking U_x and U_y, we get a morphism $\phi : G_x^y \to \mathrm{Diff}(U_x, U_y)$. One can prove that $\phi(hg) = \phi(h) \circ \phi(g)$. In particular, $\phi : G_x \to \mathrm{Diff}(U_x)$ is a group morphism.

Definition 2.11. We define an orbifold groupoid to be a proper étale Lie groupoid. An orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is effective if $\forall\, x \in G_0$, $\phi : G_x \to \mathrm{Diff}(U_x)$ is injective.

Definition 2.12. A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ between Lie groupoids is called an equivalence if both conditions below are satisfied:

(i) the map
$$t\pi_1 : G_1 \,{}_s\!\times_\phi H_0 \to G_0$$
defined on the fibered product of manifolds is a surjective submersion;

(ii) $(H_1, \phi_1, (s,t))$ is a fibered product of $\phi \times \phi : H_0 \times H_0 \to G_0 \times G_0$ and $(s,t) : G_1 \to G_0 \times G_0$.

Note that a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ induces a continuous map $|\mathcal{H}| \to |\mathcal{G}|$. When ϕ is an equivalence, the induced map on orbit spaces is an homoemorphism.

Here is Moerdijk and Pronk's definition (*cf.* [MP97, Theorem 4.1.] [ALR07, Definition 1.48.]) of orbifolds.

Definition 2.13.

(1) Two Lie groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are said to be Morita equivalent, if there exists a third groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ and two equivalences
$$\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}'.$$

(2) An orbifold structure on a paracompact Hausdorff space X consists of an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and a homeomorphism $f : |\mathcal{G}| \to X$. We say two orbifold structures $f : |\mathcal{G}| \to X$ and $g : |\mathcal{H}| \to X$ are equivalent, if there exists an equivalence of orbifolds $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = g \circ |\phi|$.

(3) An orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ is a space X together with a class of equivalent orbifold structure. An element $f : |\mathcal{G}| \to X$ is called a presentation of the orbifold $\mathcal{X}$.

It turns out that the local structure of an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ around $x \in G_0$ is completely determined by the local group G_x. More precisely, we have the following

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an orbifold groupoid. $\forall x \in G_0$, and for any neighbourhood U of x, there exists an open neighbourhood $N_x \subset U$, such that the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ over N_x is isomorphic, as Lie groupoid, to the translation groupoid $G_x \ltimes N_x$ and the quotient space N_x/G_x is an embedded open subsets of $|\mathcal{G}|$ via the natural morphism $\mathcal{G}|_{N_x} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. For the proof, see [ALR07, Proposition 1.44]. □

Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, f : |\mathcal{G}| \to X)$ be an orbifold in the sense of Definition 1.10. Take $x \in X$ and $\tilde{x} \in G_0$ one of its pre-image. By Proposition 1.1., we give an orbifold chart $(U_{\tilde{x}}, G_{\tilde{x}}) \to f(U_{\tilde{x}}/G_{\tilde{x}})$ around x. It's easy to see that we could get an atlas consisting of all these charts. Hence we get an orbifold $(X, \tilde{U})$ in the sense of Definition 2.2. We call $\mathcal{U}$ the orbifold atlas associated to $\mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$, then their associated atlases are equivalent. In [MP97], Moerdijk and Pronk prove that for any orbifold $(X, \tilde{U})$ in the sense of Definition 2.2, we could get a unique (up to equivalence) groupoid representation of X.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{U})$ be an orbifolds in the sense of Definition 2.2. There exists up to a Morita equivalence, a unique effective orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and a homeomorphism $|\mathcal{G}| \to X$, such that the associated atlas $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{U}$.*

Thus we may interchange freely both definitions of orbifolds: in terms of atlas or in terms of groupoid. We now give the defintion of strong maps.

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be two orbifolds. A strong map f from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ is a continuous map $f : |\mathcal{X}| \to |\mathcal{Y}|$ such that there are representations $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ respectively and a groupoid morphism $F : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{G}| & \xrightarrow{|F|} & |\mathcal{H}| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\mathcal{X}| & \xrightarrow{f} & |\mathcal{Y}| \end{array} .$$

A strong map is clearly smooth. From the above definition, we see that f induces morphisms between local groups.

One of the advantage of Definition 2.13 is to give a simple way to define fiber bundles and vector bundles. For details, see [ALR07, Chapter 2]. We only define vector bundles.

Definition 2.17. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a topological groupoid, G_1 its arrows and G_0 its objects. A left-$\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle is a triple (E, π, μ), where $\pi : E \to G_0$ is a vector bundle over G_0 and $\mu : G_1 \ {}_s\times_\pi E \to E$ is a continuous map, satisfying the following

(1) $\mu(g'g, e) = \mu(g', \mu(g, e))$;
(2) $\mu(1, e) = e$;
(3) $\mu(g, -) : E_{s(g)} \to E_{t(g)}$ is a linear isomorphism.

Let E be a left-$\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle over $\mathcal{G}$. We may associate with E a groupoid $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E$ in the following way: take E to be its objects and $G_1 \ {}_s\times_\pi E$ be its arrows. The source map is $(g, e) \mapsto e$ and target map is $(g, e) \mapsto \mu(g, e)$. If $e \in E_x$, the identity arrow of e is $(1_x, e)$. The inverse arrow of (g, x) is (g^{-1}, gx). $\pi : E \to G_0$ extends to a morphism $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \to \mathcal{G}$ by taking the objects map π and the arrows map $(g, x) \mapsto g$. We may also note $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E$ by $\mathcal{E}$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be another groupoid and $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ a morphism between groupoid. Consider the pullback vector bundle $\phi_0^*(E) \to H_0$. It has a natural left-$\mathcal{H}$-vector bundle structure $\nu : H_1 \ {}_s\times \phi_0^*(E) \to \phi_0^*(E)$, by defining $\nu(h, (x, e)) = \mu(\phi_1(h), e)$. Its associated groupoid $\mathcal{H} \ltimes \phi_0^*(E)$ fits in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} \ltimes \phi_0^*(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \end{array} .$$

Thus it makes sense to call $\mathcal{H} \ltimes \phi_0^*(E)$ the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ and note it also by $\phi^*(\mathcal{E})$.

Suppose now we have $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ be an equivalence between two orbifold groupoids. Then ϕ as a functor is an equivalence. Applying Proposition 2.14 to both $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, one sees this indicated that ϕ_0 is a local diffeomorphism. Let E be a left-$\mathcal{H}$-vector bundle, $x \in G_0$, $G_1 \ni g_1 : x \to x_1$, and $G_1 \ni g_2 : x \to x_2$ such that $x_1 = \phi_0(y_1)$, $x_2 = \phi_0(y_2)$. We know that there exists a unique $h \in H_1$ such that $\phi_1(h) = g_1 g_2^{-1}$. As ϕ_0 is a local diffeomorphism, we could, via pullback, get *a priori* two vector bundles around x. Denote ψ_i, $i = 1, 2$ the local inverse of ϕ_0 at y_i. We have vector bundles $g_1^* \psi_1^*(E)$ and

$g_2^*\psi_2^*(E)$. They are indeed canonically isomorphic. Since E is a $\mathcal{H}$ vector bundle, we have $h^*E = E$ and

$$g_2^*\psi_2^*(E) = g_2^*\psi_2^*h^*(E) = g_2^*(g_1g_2^{-1})^*\psi_2^*(E) = g_1^*\psi_1^*(E).$$

Thus for any point $x \in G_0$ there is a well-defined vector bundle $(\phi_0^{-1})^*(E)$. It's easy to see they glue up to a vector bundle on G_0, and from the construction, this vector bundle is actually a left-$\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle. We call it the pushfoward $\phi_*(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$. We have $\phi^*\phi_*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$. If either $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{G}$ fails to be orbifold groupoid, the ϕ_0 fails in general to be local diffeomorphism. This happens, for example when we consider the Morita equivalence $e : \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Even $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are orbifold groupoids, $\mathcal{K}$ needs not to be an orbifold groupoid. Hence the above explicit construction can not be used on Morita equivalence. However, we have

Proposition 2.18 (*cf.* [MP97, page 11 Remark (4)])**.** *Let $e : \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a Morita equivalence between two topological groupoids. Then e induces an equivalence $e^* : Sh(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow Sh(\mathcal{G})$ between two toposes.*

We may now form our definition of orbi-vector bundles on orbifolds.

Definition 2.19. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold. A real (resp. complex) vector bundle of rank r is a strong map $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ together with the following

(1) A representation $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{X}|$ of $\mathcal{X}$;
(2) A real (resp, complex) left-$\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle E on G_0;
(3) A homeomorphism $g : \mathcal{G} \ltimes E \rightarrow |\mathcal{V}|$, such that g gives a representation of the orbifold $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ represents p

As in the manifold case, one need another definition for holomorphic orbi-vector bundles. Our holomorphic bundle is defined in Definition 5.4. There is also other issues on how to define sections, see Remark 5.5. For a better definition, one could see the vector bundles defined via representable 2-functors as in [Par20].

Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an orbi-vector bundle represented by $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{G} \ltimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Let $G_x \ltimes U_x \cong \mathcal{G}|_{U_x}$ be as in Proposition 2.14 for a $x \in G_0$. We may take U_x sufficiently small such that there is a trivialization $E|_{U_x} \cong U_x \times \mathbb{F}^r$. Then there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_{U_x} \cong G_x \ltimes (U_x \times \mathbb{F}^r)$. The actions of G_x fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_x \times (U_x \times \mathbb{F}^r) & \longrightarrow & U_x \times \mathbb{F}^r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_x \times U_x & \longrightarrow & U_x \end{array}.$$

Hence we have $(U_x \times \mathbb{F}^r)/G_x$ and U_x/G_x be the orbifold charts of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ respectively. Note for any $y \in U_x/G_x$, its fiber $|\mathcal{V}|_y$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}^r/G'$, where G' is a subgroup of G_x.

Definition 2.20. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow G_0$ be a left-$\mathcal{G}$-vector bundle. A $\mathcal{G}$-section of E over $U \subset G_0$ is a section $s : U \rightarrow E$ of π such that for any $g \in G_1$, we have $g \cdot s(x) = s(g \cdot x)$. Note s induces a morphism $\mathcal{G}|_U \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \ltimes E)|_U$.

If the orbi-vector bundle $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is represented by $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we say $\sigma : U/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ a section of p if σ corresponds to a $\mathcal{G}$-section s of E.

Example 2.21. If E and F are left-$\mathcal{G}$-bundles for the topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, $E\otimes F$, $E\wedge F$, $\mathrm{Sym}^n(E)$, $\mathrm{Hom}(E,F)$ and $E^\vee$ have natural left-$\mathcal{G}$-bundle structures, and we denote their associated groupoids by $\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{E}\wedge\mathcal{F}$, $\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ respectively.

Example 2.22. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a real orbifold of dimension n. Suppose $\mathcal{U}$ be its atlas. For each chart $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, \phi_i)$, we associate a G_i-space $(T\tilde{U}_i, G_i)$, where g_i acts on $T\tilde{U}_i$ by its tangent associated to its action on $\tilde{U}_i$. We identify $[v_i]\in T\tilde{U}_i/G_i$ and $[v_j]\in T\tilde{U}_j/G_j$ if there are orbifold chart embeddings $\rho_i:\tilde{V}\to\tilde{U}_i$ and $\rho_j:\tilde{V}\to\tilde{U}_j$ such that $T\rho_i(v_i)=T\rho_j(v_j)$. The topological space $\{\bigsqcup_i \tilde{U}_i/G_i\}/([v_i]\sim[v_j])$ has an orbifold atlas, whose charts are $(T\tilde{U}_i, G_i)$. We denote this orbifold $T\mathcal{X}$. Note by construction we have a natural projection $T\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}$. If $f:|\mathcal{G}|\to|\mathcal{X}|$ is a representation of $\mathcal{X}$, then $T\mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{G}\ltimes TG_0$ is a representation of $T\mathcal{X}$ and the projection $T\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}$ is represented by $T\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$.

Hence when considering tangent bundles and cotangent bundles of $\mathcal{X}$, we don't distinguish the representation groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ used to construct the representation $T\mathcal{G}$ of $T\mathcal{X}$.

For the construction of tangent bundle $T\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{X}$, we see that giving a p-form ω over $O\subset X$ an open subset of $X=|\mathcal{X}|$ is equivalent to find a cover of O by orbifold charts (U_i,G_i) and G_i-invariant p-forms ω_i on U_i such that for any chart embedding $\lambda:U_i\to U_j$, one has $\lambda^*(\omega_j)=\omega_i$. One can say the same for p-tensors (but not for currents).

Definition 2.23. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a real orbifold of dimension n. we say that $\mathcal{X}$ is orientable if there exists a non-vanishing n-form α on $\mathcal{X}$. We say a chart $(\tilde{U},G,\phi)$ is compatible with this orientation if $\phi^*(\alpha)=\lambda\cdot vol_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, λ is a positive function. For ω an n-form supported in U, we define its integration $\int_{\mathcal{X}}\omega:=\frac{1}{|G|}\int_{\tilde{U}}\phi^*\omega$. For general case, we cover $\mathcal{X}$ by charts $(\tilde{U}_i,G_i,\phi_i)$, take a partition of unity ρ_i with respects to $\{U_i\}$, and define

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}}\omega:=\sum_i\int_{\mathcal{X}}\rho_i\omega.$$

Suppose ω is supported in U, and $(\tilde{U}_i,G_i,\phi_i)$ be charts that embedded via λ_i to the chart $(\tilde{U},G,\phi)$. (*cf.* [MP97, page 5 Remark (6)]) we have G_i is a subgroup of G and all the distinct embedding of $(\tilde{U}_i,G_i,\phi_i)$ into $(\tilde{U},G,\phi)$ will be $g\cdot\lambda_i$, where $g\cdot G_i$ forms the cosets. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{X}}\omega&=\frac{1}{|G|}\int_{\tilde{U}}\phi^*\omega\\&=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_i\int_{\tilde{U}}(\rho_i\circ\phi)\phi^*\omega\\&=\sum_i\sum_g\frac{1}{G}\int_{g\lambda(\tilde{U}_i)}(\rho_i\circ\phi)\phi^*\omega\\&=\sum_i\frac{1}{G_i}\int_{\tilde{U}_i}(\rho_i\circ\phi_i)\phi_i^*\omega\end{aligned}$$

where in the forth equation g runs through the representatives of cosets G/G_i. For the general case, if there are two covering $\tilde{U}_i$, $\tilde{V}_j$ we take a third covering $\tilde{W}_k$ such that each $\tilde{W}_k$ embeds into some $\tilde{U}_i$ and $\tilde{V}_j$. Then it reduces the argument to a single chart and its refinement. Integration is thus well defined.

Let $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathcal{X})$ be the global differential p-forms on $\mathcal{X}$. We see that the exterior differential d maps $\mathcal{A}^p$ to $\mathcal{A}^{p-1}$. Hence, it makes sense to consider the de Rham cohomolology of $\mathcal{X}$. We recall some basic results.

Proposition 2.24. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a real n-orbifold, $X = |\mathcal{X}|$. We have a canonical isomorphism $H^p(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^p_{dR}(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. We give a direct proof. Consider the sheaf $C^\infty_{\mathcal{X}}$ on X, given by $V \mapsto \mathrm{Mor}(V, \mathbb{R})$. Note $\mathcal{X}$ has partition of unity by smooth function with respect to any open cover. We have $\mathcal{A}^p$ is fine and acyclic (*cf.* [Voi02, Definition 4.35 and Proposition 4.36]). On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ is a resolution of $\mathbb{R}_X$. Hence we have the canonical isomorphism $H^p(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^p_{dR}(\mathcal{X})$. □

In [Sat56, section 7], Satake shows there is a canonical morphism

$$H^{sing}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \to \check{H}_p(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}),$$

where the latter is the Čech homology group. If we define $\check{H}_p(X, \mathbb{R}) = \varprojlim \check{H}_p(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$. Then we have

Proposition 2.25 (*cf.* [Sat56, Theorem 2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a real n-orbifold, $X = |\mathcal{X}|$. We have a canonical isomorphism $H^{sing}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \to \check{H}_p(X, \mathbb{R})$*

As $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$ is dual to $\check{H}_p(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$, we see the isomorphism $H^{n-p}_{dR}(\mathcal{X}) \cong H^{sing}_p(X, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we have the Poincaré duality for orbifolds:

Proposition 2.26. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a compact real n-orbifold, the natural map*

$$\begin{cases} H^p_{dR}(\mathcal{X}) \times H^{n-p}_{dR}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ (\omega, \theta) & \mapsto & \int_{\mathcal{X}} \omega \wedge \theta \end{cases}$$

is a perfect paring.

3. RIEMANNIAN ORBIFOLDS

From this section onward, all orbifolds are understood to be effective.

3.1. **Differential calculus on orbifold.**

Definition 3.1. A Riemmanian orbifold is a pair $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ where $\mathcal{X}$ is an orbifold and g is an (equivariant) section of $(T^2\mathcal{X})^\vee$ such that the following equivalent condition is satisfied:

(i) If $\mathcal{X}$ is represented by $\mathcal{G}$, and g corresponds to $\sigma : G_0 \to (T^2 G_0)^\vee$ then σ is a Riemannian metric on G_0;
(ii) There exists a family of charts $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i)$ with G_i-invariant metrics $\tilde{g}_i$ represent g.

Most operators on Riemannian manifolds can be generalized to Riemannian orbifolds. We begin to treat some basic results on covariant derivatives on orbifolds.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold, $\{\mathcal{G}, f : |\mathcal{G}| \to |\mathcal{X}|\}$ being a groupoid representation of $\mathcal{X}$. We know from Example 2.22. that $T^p\mathcal{X} \otimes T^q(T\mathcal{X}^\vee)$ is represented by $T^p\mathcal{G} \otimes T^q(T\mathcal{G}^\vee)$. A (p,q)-tensor over an open subset U of $|\mathcal{X}|$ is thus a collection of G_i-invariant (p,q)-tensor over $\tilde{U}_i$ such that $U_i = \tilde{U}_i/G_i$ cover U. For $T = X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_p \otimes S$, we have

$$g \cdot T = g_*(X_1) \otimes g_*(X_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_*(X_p) \otimes (g^{-1})^*(S).$$

As all the calculation can be performed locally, in the following we consider a local model where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset, H a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$, and g a Riemannian metric on U which is H-invariant. For any $h \in H$, we note the action of h on $x \in U$ by $L_h(x)$ or $h \cdot x$. Also for any smooth function f, we define the H-action on f by $h \cdot f = f \circ L_{h^{-1}}$. A easy consequence for this adaption is that for any (p,q)-tensor T, we have $h \cdot (fT) = (h \cdot f)(h \cdot T)$.

Now consider T be a $(0,p)$-tensor over U, $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$ p vector fields over U. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(T)(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p)(x) &= T(hx)(T_x L_h X_1(x), \ldots, T_x L_h X_p(x)) \\ &= T(hx)(h_* X(xh), \ldots, h_* X(xh)) \\ &= T(h_* X_1, \ldots, h_* X_p)(hx) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can characterize T being H-invariant by

$$T(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) = T(h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(X_p)) \circ L_h$$

for any $h \in H$ and any vector fields $X_1, ...X_p$.

The metric g being H-invariant, we infer that L_h is an isometry for any $h \in H$ and we have $h_*(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_{(h_* X)}(h_* Y)$ where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g.

To convince the reader that most conceptions in Riemannian manifolds generalize to Riemannian orbifolds. We show the the following

Lemma 3.2. *If T is a $(0,p)$-tensor which is H-invariant, we have the $(0,p+1)$-tensor ∇T is H-invariant.*

Proof. Let $X_0, \ldots, X_p$ be $p+1$ vector fields. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &((\nabla T)(h_*(X_0), h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(X_p))) \circ L_h \\ &\quad = ((\nabla_{h_*(X_0)} T)(h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(X_p))) \circ L_h \\ &\quad = \Big((h_*(X_0) \cdot T(h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(X_p) \\ &\qquad - \sum_i T(h_*(X_1), \ldots, \nabla_{h_*(X_0)} h_*(X_i), \ldots, h_*(X_p)) \Big) \circ L_h \\ &\quad = \Big((X_0 \cdot (T(h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(X_p)) \circ L_h)) \circ L_{h^{-1}} \\ &\qquad - \sum_i T(h_*(X_1), \ldots, h_*(\nabla_{X_0} X_i), \ldots, h_*(X_p)) \Big) \circ L_h \\ &\quad = X_0 \cdot T(X_1, \ldots, X_p) - \sum_i T(X_1, \ldots, \nabla_{X_0} X_i, \ldots, X_p) \\ &\quad = (\nabla T)(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_p). \end{aligned}$$

□

As differential commutes with pull-back, we see that if ω is an invariant p-form, so is $d\omega$. In particular, if f is H-invariant smooth function, the df is an invariant 1-form and $\nabla f = (df)^\sharp$ is an invariant vector field.

Suppose now we have two orbi-vector filed W, V over $\mathcal{X}$. Take $(\tilde{U}, G)$ a chart for $\mathcal{X}$ such that W, V are represented by G-invariant field $\tilde{W}, \tilde{V}$ respectively. Then we have $h_*(\nabla_{\tilde{W}}\tilde{V}) = \nabla_{\tilde{W}}\tilde{V}$ for any $h \in G$. If $\lambda : (\tilde{U}', G') \to (\tilde{U}, G)$ is an chart embedding, and $\tilde{W}', \tilde{V}'$ are the representations of W, V on $\tilde{U}'$, then $\nabla_{\tilde{W}'}\tilde{V}' = \lambda^*(\nabla_{\tilde{W}}\tilde{V})$. Thus all the local representations glue back to an orbi-vector field. We may thus define:

Definition 3.3. Let W, V be two orbi-vector fields over $(\mathcal{X}, g)$, represented by $\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{V}_i$ on a covering $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i)$ respectively. Let ∇_i be the Levi-Civita connection on $\tilde{U}_i$, then there is a unique vector filed $\nabla_W V$ on $\mathcal{X}$ corresponding to the family $\nabla_{i\tilde{W}_i}\tilde{V}_i$. We define the association $\nabla : W, V \mapsto \nabla_W V$ as the Levi-Civita connection on $(\mathcal{X}, g)$.

If $\tilde{R}_i$ is the curvature tensor of $(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{g}_i)$, we may glue them to an orbi-tensor R. We call this tensor the curvature of $\mathcal{X}$. Similarly, we can glue all the $\tilde{\mathrm{ric}}_i$ to get an orbi-tensor ric_g on $\mathcal{X}$.

3.2. **Metric structures on orbifolds.** Let $\phi : (\tilde{U}, H) \to U$ be a chart on $\mathcal{X}$, with $\tilde{g}$ representing g locally. Let $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{U}$ be a pre-image of the point $p \in U$. If $\tilde{c} : [0, \epsilon) \to \tilde{U}$ is a geodesic emanating from $\tilde{p}$, as H acts by isometry on $\tilde{U}$, we know that $g \cdot \tilde{c} = L_g \circ \tilde{c}$ is a geodesic emanating from $g \cdot \tilde{p}$. If $V \in T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{U} = \tilde{c}'(0)$, then $TL_g(V) = g \cdot V = (L_g \circ \tilde{c})'(0) \in T_{g\tilde{p}}\tilde{U}$. In the orbi-fibre $T_p\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^n/G_p$, V and $g \cdot V$ represent same orbi-vector. Hence taking $c_{[V]} := \phi \circ \tilde{c}$, we call it the geodesic emanating from p determined by the orbi-vector $[V]$. It is obvious that the definition does not depend on the choice of orbi-chart.

From the construction, we also note that for $v \in T_p\mathcal{X}$, the geodesic $c_v : I \to \mathcal{X}$ is smooth.

Definition 3.4. Let $p \in X$ be a point. Take $O := \{v \in T_p\mathcal{X} : c_v \text{ is defined on } [0, 1]\}$. We define the exponential map $\exp_p : O \to X$ by $\exp(v) = c_v(1)$.

As a topological map, $\exp_p$ is continous. Note that $[0] \in O$ and $\exp_p[0] = p$. If $\tilde{U}_p, G_p$ is a fundamental chart at p, then $\exp_p$ has as lifting $\exp_{\tilde{p}} : \tilde{\Omega} \to \tilde{U}_p$, where $\tilde{\Omega} \subset T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{U}$ is an G_p-invariant open subset containing 0, and $exp_{\tilde{p}}$ is the classical Riemannian exponential map. One can see that O is indeed of the form $\tilde{O}/G_p$ for some G_p-invariant open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, hence should be regarded as an open sub-orbifold of $T_p\mathcal{X}$. As $\exp_{\tilde{p}} : \tilde{\Omega} \to \tilde{U}_p$ gives G_p-invariant local diffeomorphism at 0. We know that $\exp_p$ restricts to some $W = [\tilde{W}/G_p]$ gives an open embedding.

We also remark that if $p \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, then the geodesics, hence the exponential map on X are identical to the Riemannian ones around p.

Remark 3.5. With the Levi-Citiva connection defined on $(\mathcal{X}, g)$, one may consider define a covariant connection along a smooth curve $c : I \to \mathcal{X}$. However, even when c is lifted as $\tilde{c} : I \to \tilde{U}$, we don't know if all the lifts are of the form $g \cdot \tilde{c}$. Another hurdle for mere smooth curves is that the definition of orbi-vector fields along them. One of the possible

definition is to restrict the curves to be strong curve, i.e. $c : I \to \mathcal{X}$ is strong. In this situation, we could pull the orbi-vector bundle $T\mathcal{X}$ together with ∇ back on I via c. If the strong curve c has image in X_{reg}, the definition coincides with the classical one.

We follow the treatment of [Bor93] for the metric aspects of Riemannian orbifolds. For the basics of metric spaces, we refer the reader to Chapter 1 of [BH99].

For $(\tilde{U}, G)$ a chart for $\mathcal{X}$, let $\tilde{g}$ be the representation of g over $\tilde{U}$. Then $(\tilde{U}, d_{\tilde{g}})$ is well-defined metric space. If $\lambda : \tilde{V} \to \tilde{U}$ is an orbifold embedding, then λ is an isometry. If for a continuous curve $c : I \to X$, we have local lifts on charts that cover $c(I)$, we may then define the length of c by adding the lengths of its local liftings. We now precise the definition.

First, we have

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a left G-space, with G a compact Lie group. Let $f : I \to X/G$ be any path. Then there exists a lifting $f' : I \to X$ covering f, i.e. $p \circ f' = f$.*

Proof. See [Bre72, Chapter 2, Lemma 6.1]. □

By a compactness argument, we see for a path $c : [0, 1] \to X$, there exists a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_k = 1$, orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $c|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ has image in U_i and lifting $\tilde{c}_i$ in $\tilde{U}_i$.

As the liftings are not unique, we give the following definition

Definition 3.7. Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian orbifold with underlying space $X = |\mathcal{X}|$. Let $c : [0, 1] \to X$ be a path. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of the local liftings that glue back to c, i.e. an element of $\mathcal{P}$ is a triple (A, B, C) where A is a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_k = 1$, B is a family of chart $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$ and C is a family of curves $\tilde{c}_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \to \tilde{U}_i$ that cover $c|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$. We define the length of c to be

$$\mathrm{L}_g(c) = \inf_{\mathcal{P}} \sum \mathrm{L}_i(\tilde{c}_i).$$

If $c_1 : [0, 1] \to X$, $c_2 : [0, 1] \to X$ are two curves such that $c_1(1) = c_2(0)$, then we may consider the curve $c_1 * c_2 : [0, 1] \to X$ defined by $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \mapsto c_1(2t)$ and $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \mapsto c_2(2t-1)$. And easy observation is that $\mathrm{L}_g(c_1 * c_2) = \mathrm{L}_g(c_1) + \mathrm{L}_g(c_2)$. Hence the definition is coherent with the intuition of the length of a curve.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $c : [0, 1] \to \tilde{U}/G$, $\tilde{x}$ be a pre-image of $x = c(0)$. If there exists a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_k = 1$, orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $c|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ has image in U_i and lifting $\tilde{c}_i$ in $\tilde{U}_i$, then there is a lifting $\tilde{c} : I \to \tilde{U}$ such that $\mathrm{L}(\tilde{c}) = \sum \mathrm{L}_i(\tilde{c}_i)$.*

Proof. Suppose we have constructed $\tilde{c} : [0, t_i] \to \tilde{U}$ that lift $c|_{[0,t_i]}$ with length equals to $\sum_{j \leq i} \mathrm{L}_i(\tilde{c}_i)$. We now extend $\tilde{c}$ on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. We have the projection of $\tilde{c}(t_i)$ and $\tilde{c}_i(t_i)$ on X equal $c(t_i)$. Hence by the definition of orbifolds, there exists a chart $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{y})$ at $c(t_i)$ and two chart embeddings $\lambda : \tilde{V} \to \tilde{U}$ and $\rho : \tilde{V} \to \tilde{U}_i$ such that $\lambda(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{c}(t_i)$ and $\rho(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{c}_i(t_i)$. If $\rho(\tilde{V})$ contains $\tilde{c}_i([t_i, t_i + \epsilon])$,we may then extend $\tilde{c}$ on $[t_i, t_i + \epsilon]$ via $\lambda \circ (\rho)^{-1} \circ \tilde{c}_i$. Note ρ and λ are isometries, we have $\mathrm{L}(\tilde{c}|_{[t_i, t_i+\epsilon]}) = \mathrm{L_i}(\tilde{c}|_{[t_i, t_i+\epsilon]})$. A compactness argument shows we can construct $\tilde{c}$ on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ with $\mathrm{L}(\tilde{c}|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}) = \mathrm{L_i}(\tilde{c}_i)$. □

Hence for a curve $c : I \to \tilde{U}/G$, we may define its length by only considering its liftings on $\tilde{U}$.

From the length L_g, we can define the distance d_g on X by

$$\mathrm{d}_g(x, y) = \inf \mathrm{L}_g(\gamma)$$

where the infimum is taken over all the curves that join x and y, with the convention $\inf_\emptyset = \infty$.

We see easily that d_g is a distance on X and $\mathrm{d}(x, y) = \infty$ iff x and y are in different components of X.

Let $(\tilde{U}_x, G_x)$ be a fundamental chart at $x \in X$. As $\tilde{U}_x$ is a Riemannian manifold, we know there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in B_\delta(\tilde{x})$ there is a unique geodesic $\tilde{c}$ with endpoints $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{z}$, such that $\mathrm{L}(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$. By taking the projection $\tilde{U} \to U$ and combine Lemma 3.8, we have

Lemma 3.9. *The metric topology induced by d_g is the same as the original topology on X. For any $x \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $y, z \in B_\delta(x) \subset X$, there exists a unique geodesic c with endpoints y and z and $\mathrm{L}_g(c) = \mathrm{d}_g(y, z)$.*

Note for a metric space (X, d) there is a natural length $\mathrm{L_d}$ associated to a curve $c : I \to X$.

Definition 3.10. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $c : [a, b] \to X$ is a curve. The length $\mathrm{L_d}(c)$ of c is defined by

$$\mathrm{L_d}(c) = \sup_{a=t_0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_n = b} \sum_i d(c(t_i), c(t_{i+1})),$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible partitions (no bound on n) with $a = t_0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_n = b$.

A direct consequence of Lemma 3.9 is:

Corollary 3.11. *Let $c : I \to \mathcal{X}$ be a geodesic. Then with respect to the metric d_g, c is locally minimising, i.e. $\forall t \in I, \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall t_1, t_2 \in (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon)$ one has $\mathrm{L_d}(c|_{[t_1,t_2]}) = \mathrm{d}_g(c(t_1), c(t_2))$.*

Suppose $c : [0, 1] \to X$ be a geodesic. By compactness, using Corollary 3.11 and Lemma 3.9, we have an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for $s, t \in [0, 1], |s - t| < \epsilon$,

$$\mathrm{d}_g(c(s), c(t)) = \mathrm{L_d}(c|_{[s,t]}) \le \mathrm{L}_g(c|_{[s,t]}) = \mathrm{d_g}(c(s), c(t)).$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{L}_g(c) = \sum \mathrm{L}_g(c|_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}) = \sum \mathrm{L_d}(c|_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}) = \mathrm{L_d}(c).$$

We have thus

Proposition 3.12. *For a geodesic $c : [0, 1] \to X$, we have $\mathrm{L}_g(c) = \mathrm{L_d}(c)$.*

Proposition 3.13 (*cf.* [Bor93, Page 6])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian orbifold with underline space $X = |\mathcal{X}|$. With the metric d_g defined above, (X, d_g) is a length space.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{d_i}$ denote the inner metric associated with d_g. We only need to show $\mathrm{d}_g \ge \mathrm{d_i}$. Suppose $\mathrm{d}_g(x, y) < \infty$. Take $\epsilon > 0$. By definition, there exists a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y$ and $\mathrm{L}_g(\gamma) \le \mathrm{d}_g(x, y) + \epsilon$. By Lemma 3.9, there exists δ such that if $|t - t'| < \delta$, there exits a geodesic c with endpoints $\gamma(t), \gamma(t')$ satisfying

$\mathrm{d}_g(\gamma(t),\gamma(t')) = \mathrm{L}_g(c)$. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = 1$ be a partition of $[0,1]$ with $t_{i+1} - t_i < \delta$ and c_i the corresponding geodesic. Let $c = *_i c_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_g(x,y) + \epsilon \geq \mathrm{L_g}(\gamma) = \sum \mathrm{L_g}(\gamma|_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}) \geq \sum \mathrm{d_g}([t_i,t_{i+1}]) = \sum \mathrm{L_g}(c_i)\\ \geq \sum \mathrm{L_d}(c_i) = \mathrm{L_d}(c) \geq \mathrm{d_i}(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

□

We now recall the

Theorem 3.14 (Hopf-Rinow)**.** *Let X be a length space. If X is complete and locally compact, then*

(1) every closed bounded subset of X is compact;
(2) any two point of X can be joined by a segment.

For the proof, see [BH99, Proposition 3.7].

As any segment is locally minimizing, combining this fact with Corollary 3.11, we have:

Lemma 3.15. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian orbifold. If (X, d_g) is complete, then any two point can be joined by a minimising geodesic.*

Remark 3.16. In the case of a Riemannian manifold (M, g), one associates a metric d_g on M by defining $d_g(x,y) = \inf \mathrm{L}_g(c)$, where c is a piece-wise smooth curve and $\mathrm{L}_g(c) = \int |c'(t)|dt$. For the metric d_g, we can associate another length L_d as in Definition 3.10. One sees easily $\mathrm{L}_g \geq \mathrm{L}_d$ and it is a classical result that $\mathrm{L}_g = \mathrm{L}_d$. The author does not know if this still holds in the orbifold setting.

For a complete orbifold $(\mathcal{X}, g)$, its geodesics have a good property:

Theorem 3.17 (*cf.* [Bor93, Lemma 13])**.** *Suppose $\gamma : I = [0,1] \to \mathcal{X}$ is a minimal geodesic. Let $p = \gamma(0), q = \gamma(1)$. Then we have one of the following mutually exclusive conditions:*

(1) $\gamma(I) \subset X_{\mathrm{sing}}$
(2) $\gamma(I) \cap X_{\mathrm{sing}} \subset \{p, q\}$.

Hence for $p, q \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, the minimal geodesic γ joining p and q lies completely in X_{reg}. One sees then γ is also the minimal geodesic in the Riemannian manifold X_{reg}.

Corollary 3.18. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian orbifold. If (X, d_g) is complete, then (X_{reg}, g) is a convex Riemannian manifold.*

Let (M, g) be a convex Riemannian manifold, $p \in M$. For any $u \in U_pM$ a unit tangent vector, γ_u the geodesic emanating from p with $\gamma_u'(0) = u$, we define

$$t(u) := \sup\{t > 0 \mid \gamma_u \text{ is defined on } [0,t] \text{ and } \gamma_u|_{[0,t]} \text{ is minimal} \in [0,\infty]\}.$$

We may define the cut locus in the convex situation by

Definition 3.19. Let M be a convex Riemannian manifold and $p \in M$. We define

$$\tilde{C}_p := \{t(u)u \mid u \in U_pM \text{ such that } t(u) < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_p := \exp_p(\tilde{C}_p)$$

the tangent cut locus and cut locus of p respectively. We also set

$$\tilde{I}_p := \{tu \mid u \in U_pM,\ 0 < t < t(u)\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_p := \exp_p(\tilde{I}_p).$$

Then we have similar results as in the complete case:

Lemma 3.20.

(1) $I_p \cap C_p = \emptyset$, $M = I_p \cup C_p$, *and* $\bar{I}_p = M$.
(2) $\exp_p : \tilde{I}_p \to I_p$ *is a diffeomorphism.*
(3) C_p *has measure 0.*

Proof. See [Sak96, Proposition III.4.1 and Lemma III.4.4]. Note though all the statements are for complete manifold M, the proofs only use the fact that M is convex. □

3.3. **Volume comparisons.** We first recall the classical Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem:

Theorem 3.21. *Let* (M^n, g) *be a convex Riemannian manifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}_g \geq (n-1)k$. *Let* $v(n,k,r)$ *be the volume of a ball of radius* r *in the model space with constant curvature* k. *The volume ratio*

$$r \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(p,r))}{v(n,k,r)}$$

is a non-increasing function whose limit is 1 *as* $r \to 0$.

For the proof, see Lemma 7.1.4 in [Pet16]. Note the proof only uses the fact $\exp_p : \tilde{I}_p \to I_p$ is a diffeomorphism and that C_p has measure zero.

Before we state the orbifold version Bishop-Gromov theorem, we first need to define the measure on X for a Riemannian orbifold $(\mathcal{X}, g)$.

For $(\mathcal{X}, g)$, if $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{g})$ is a chart, then after taking an orientation of $\tilde{U}$, we have a unique volume form $\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{g}}$. Hence $\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{g}}$ is defined up to a sign. If $O \subset X$ is an open subset covered by $\tilde{U}_i/G_i$, we can take a partition of unity ρ_i with respects to $\{U_i\}$ and define

$$\mathrm{vol}_g(O) := \sum_i \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \rho_i \,\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{g}_i} \right|.$$

It's easy to see $\mathrm{vol}_g(O)$ is well defined.

Lemma 3.22 (*cf.* [Bor93, Lemma 18])**.** *Let* $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ *be a Riemmanian orbifold and* ν *be its canonical measure on* X. *Then* X_{sing} *has measure zero.*

Proof. Note X_{sing} is a closed subset of X, hence it is measurable. As X is second countable, we may cover X_{sing} by countable many local charts. Hence it suffices to show for $(\tilde{U}, G)$ the non-free point set has measure zero. As G is finite, it is trivial. □

Now we state the orbifold Bishop-Gromov theorem

Theorem 3.23 (*cf.* [Bor93, Proposition 20])**.** *Let* $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ *be a Riemannian orbifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}_g \geq (n-1)k$. *Let* $v(n,k,r)$ *be the volume of a ball of radius* r *in the model space with constant curvature* k. *The volume ratio*

$$r \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(p,r))}{v(n,k,r)}$$

is a non-increasing function whose limit is $|G_p|$ *as* $r \to 0$.

Proof. First suppose $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$. We have $\text{vol}_g(B(p,r)) = \text{vol}_g(B(p,r) \cap X_{\text{reg}})$. Let $B'(p,r) = B(p,r) \cap X_{\text{reg}}$ and vol'_g be the volume on X_{reg}. We have

$$\frac{\text{vol}_g(B(p,r))}{v(n,k,r)} = \frac{\text{vol}'_g(B'(p,r))}{v(n,k,r)}$$

hence by Theorem 3.21, we get the sought result.

If $p \in X_{\text{sing}}$, let us pick $(p_i)_{i\geq 1} \in X_{\text{reg}}$ a sequence that converges to p. Then $\text{vol}_g(B(p,r)) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \text{vol}_g(B(p_i,r))$. For any $r' > r$, by the results for regular points, we have

$$\frac{\text{vol}_g(B(p_i,r))}{\text{vol}_g(B(p_i,r'))} \geq \frac{v(n,k,r)}{v(n,k,r')}.$$

After taking the limit as $i \to \infty$, we have

$$\frac{\text{vol}_g(B(p,r))}{\text{vol}_g(B(p,r'))} \geq \frac{v(n,k,r)}{v(n,k,r')}.$$

For r small enough, we have $B(p,r) \subset \tilde{U}_p/G_p$, with $(\tilde{U}_p, G_p)$ a fundamental chart at p. If we denote by $\tilde{B}(\tilde{p},r)$ the ball of radius r in $\tilde{U}_p$ centred at $\tilde{p}$, we then have:

$$\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}\, \tilde{B}(\tilde{p},r) = |G_p| \cdot \text{vol}_g(B(p,r)).$$

The limit $|G_p|$ as $r \to 0$ follows from Theorem 3.21. □

4. Orbifold coverings and generalized Magulis lemma

4.1. **Orbifold coverings and orbifold fundamental group.** There are several different but equivalent definitions of orbifold covering spaces and the respective definition of orbifold fundamental groups. These groups are however canonically isomorphic. We will treat Thurston's original definition in this section and the pair definition in Section 6. For the covering groupoid definition, we refer to [ALR07, Chapter 2.2.]. For the $\mathcal{G}$-path definition, we refer to [BH99, Chapter III.$\mathcal{G}$.3.].

We begin by recalling the definition of covering map.

Definition 4.1 ([*cf.* [Thu79, Definition 13.2.2.]). A smooth map $p : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is called an orbifold covering map, if for any $y \in Y$, there exists an orbifold chart $(\tilde{V}, G, \psi)$ such that each component U_i of $p^{-1}(V)$ has $(\tilde{V}, G_i, \phi_i)$ as a chart for some subgroup G_i of G and p is lifted with respect to this chart as identity. We call such a neighborhood V an elementary neighborhood with respect to p at y.

We note that the name elementary neighborhoods are not a standard name in literature. Quite surprisingly, there are neighborhoods of a point $y \in Y$ that are always elementary neighborhoods with respect to any covering p. More precisely:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a covering map and $p \in Y$. Let $(\tilde{V}, G)$ be a chart at y. If $\tilde{V}$ is simply connected then $V = \tilde{V}/G$ is an elementary neighbourhood with respect to y.*

Proof. See [Cho04, Proposition 6] . □

We will only use a direct consequence of the proposition in this paper.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $p : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a covering map and $p \in Y$. The elementary neighborhoods at y with respect to p form a basis of the topology of Y at y.*

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a connected orbifold, $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. We call a covering map $p : (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{x}) \to (\mathcal{X}, x)$ a universal covering if $|\tilde{\mathcal{X}}|$ is connected and for any covering $q : (\mathcal{Y}, y) \to (\mathcal{X}, x)$ there exists a unique smooth map $f : (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{x}) \to (\tilde{Y}, y)$ such that $p = q \circ f$.

Theorem 4.5 (Thurston)**.** *For any orbifold $\mathcal{X}$, its universal cover exists and is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. see [Thu79, Proposition 13.2.4.] or [Cho04, Proposition 8]. □

Definition 4.6. Let $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the universal covering of $\mathcal{X}$. The orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined to be $\mathrm{Aut}(p)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the universal covering of $\mathcal{X}$. The orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ acts by isomorphism on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. For any $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $F_x := p^{-1}(x) \subset \tilde{X}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ acts freely and transitively on F_x*

Proof. See [Cho04, Corollary 2.(i)] □

We will need the following theorem in the sequel.

Theorem 4.8 (Thurston)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold. Then there is a one-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of orbifold coverings of $\mathcal{X}$ and the conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. See [Cho04, Corollary 2.(2)] □

Suppose that $p : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a covering map. One could show that p is indeed strong. Hence if g is a metric on X, we could consider $p^*(g)$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. In particular, for $(\mathcal{X}, g)$, p induces a morphism of metric spaces $(Y, d_Y) \to (X, d_X)$ such that $d_Y(a, b) \geq d_X(p(a), p(b))$.

For a Galois covering $p : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$, d_X and d_Y are nicely related:

Proposition 4.9. *Let p be as above and $\mathrm{Gal}(p)$ be the Galois group of p. Then $X = Y/\mathrm{Gal}(p)$ and d_X is the quotient metric of d_Y by $\mathrm{Gal}(p)$.*

For the proof, see [Lan20, Lemma 2.8].

One sees from this if $d_Y = d_X$, then $p : X \to Y$ is a covering map in the category of topological spaces.

For our purpose, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a Riemannian orbifold and $p : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ a covering of $\mathcal{X}$. If (X, d_X) is complete, (Y, d_Y) is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (Y, d_Y). We may assume that $\{y_n\}$ lies in a compact subset K of a component V of the pre-image $p^{-1}(U)$ of an elementary neighborhood $U = [\tilde{U}/G]$. Hence there exists $H \leqslant G$ such that $V = [\tilde{U}/H]$. Let $U \ni x_n = p(y_n)$ be the image of y_n under p. We know that $x_n \in p(K)$ and as p does not increase distances, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \in p(K) \subset U$. Take $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$ be a lifting of x. Then there exist $\tilde{x}_n$ lifting x_n such that $\tilde{x}_n \to \tilde{x}$. Note for each n there

exists $g_n \in G$ such that $g_n\tilde{x}_n$ lifts y_n. As G is finite, there exists $g \in G$ that appears infinitely many times in $\{g_n\}$. Hence there is a sub-sequence $g \cdot \tilde{x}_{n_i} \to g \cdot \tilde{x}$. If y is the image of $g \cdot \tilde{x}$ in V, we finally have $y_n \to y$. □

By Theorem 3.6, we see that for any covering $p : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ and path $c : [0,1] \to \mathcal{X}$ with $c(0) = x \in X$ and $x' \in p^{-1}(x)$, there exists lifting $c' : [0,1] \to \mathcal{X}'$ starts from x'. However, this will not be unique. Consider $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with the action $(x, y) \to (-x, y)$. The path $t \to (t, 0)$ has lifting $t \to (t, 0)$ and $t \to (-t, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. If $(\tilde{U}, G_{x'}) \to (\tilde{U}, G_x)$ is a local lifting of p, and c is a geodesic, then it has at least $[G_x : G_{x'}]$ lifting.

4.2. **Dirichlet domains and generalized Margulis lemma.** Let $\pi : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be the universal covering of $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ and Γ be its Galois group. We endow $\mathcal{X}'$ with the pullback metric $p^*(g)$. Then (X', d') is a complete length space and Γ acts on X' such that $d'(\gamma \cdot y, \gamma \cdot z) \leq d'(y, z)$. Hence Γ acts by isometries on X'. Suppose now we have $\mathrm{diam}(X) \leq 1$. Let $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{reg}})$. We now show some basic properties of the Dirichlet domain of Γ based at x_0:

$$F := \{p \in X' : \mathrm{d}'(x_0, p) \leq \mathrm{d}'(\gamma \cdot x_0, p) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Lemma 4.11. $\mathrm{diam}(F) \leq 2$.

Proof. Suppose $p \in F$. As X' is complete, there is a segment $c' : [0,1] \to X'$ joining x_0 and p such that $\mathrm{L}_d(c') = \mathrm{d}'(x_0, p)$. In particular, c' is a geodesic. We consider $c := \pi \circ c'$, which joins $\pi(x_0)$ and $\pi(p)$. If c is not a segment, then there is a c_1 which is a segment that joins $\pi(x_0)$ and $\pi(p)$. We have $\mathrm{d}_g(\pi(x_0), \pi(p)) < \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}(c) = \mathrm{L}_g(c)$. As c_1 is a geodesic, it has a lifting c_1' starting at x_0. As $\pi \circ c_1' = c_1 \neq c = \pi \circ c'$, we have $c_1' \neq c'$. Let $\gamma \cdot p = c_1'(1)$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}'(x_0, \gamma p) \leq \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}(c_1') = \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}(c_1) < \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}(c) = \mathrm{d}'(x_0, p).$$

Thus c is also a segment and $\mathrm{L}(c') = \mathrm{L}(c) \leq 1$. Thus $\mathrm{diam}(F) \leq 2$. □

We consider the subset of Γ defined by

$$S := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \mathrm{d}(\gamma \cdot x_0, x_0) \leq 3\}.$$

One sees easily that S is symmetric and contains 1. We have

Lemma 4.12. *S generates Γ.*

Proof. One has $\cup \gamma \cdot F = X'$. We take a segment $c : [0,1] \to X'$ that joins $x_0, \gamma_0 \cdot x_0$. As $c(I)$ is compact, $c(I)$ is contained in a ball $B_r(x_0)$ that meets only finitely many translates $\gamma \cdot F$ of F. Hence c passes through finitely many $\gamma \cdot F$. We list these elements by $1 = \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k = \gamma_0$, ordered by the time when c enters γ_i, we note they are not necessarily different. Then $\gamma_i \cdot F \cap \gamma_{i+1} \cdot F \neq \emptyset$ and we thus have $\mathrm{d}'(\gamma_i x_0, \gamma_{i+1} x_0) \leq 2$. Finally we remark that

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma_k = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (\gamma_{i+1} \cdot \gamma_i^{-1}) \in S^{k-1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *Let $r > 0$ be an integer. $B(x_0, r) \subset S^r \cdot F \subset B(x_0, 3r + 2)$.*

Proof. If $p \in S^r \cdot F$, $p = \gamma_1 ... \gamma_r \cdot q$ with $q \in F$ and $\gamma_i \in S$. It yields

$$d'(x_0, p) \leq d'(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_r \cdot x_0, x_0) + d'(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_r \cdot x_0, \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_r \cdot q) \leq 2 + 3r.$$

If $p \in B(x_0, r)$, as $\cup \gamma \cdot F = X'$, there exists $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_0^{-1} \cdot p \in F$. Then $d'(x_0, \gamma \cdot x_0) \leq d'(x_0, p) + d'(\gamma_0 \cdot x_0, p) \leq r + 1$. Hence $\gamma_0 \in S^r$. □

For any $\gamma \neq 1$, $\gamma \cdot F \cap F \subset \partial F$ and we have $\partial F = \cup_{\gamma \neq 1}(\gamma \cdot F \cap F)$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let μ be the canonical measure associated with $p^*(g)$. Then $\mu(\partial F) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider a point $p \in \partial F$. If $\pi(p) \in X_{\text{reg}}$, one has $p \in X'_{\text{reg}}$. Suppose $p \in F \cap \gamma \cdot F$. Then $d'(x_0, p) = d(\gamma \cdot x_0, p)$. The proof of Lemma 4.12 shows that we could then find two distinct segments on X joining $\pi(x_0)$ and p. By Theorem 3.17, these two segments are minimizing geodesics in the convex manifold X_{reg}. Hence $\pi(p)$ lies in the cut locus $C_{\pi(x_0)}$ of x_0 in X_{reg}. Thus one has $\pi(\partial F) \subset C_{\pi(x_0)} \cup X_{\text{sing}}$. Now cover $\pi(\partial F)$ by elementary neighborhoods with respect to π. By second countability, we may find countably many neighborhoods $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, \phi_i)$. Note $\phi_i^{-1}(C_{\pi(x_0)} \cup X_{\text{sing}})$ has measure 0 in $\tilde{U}_i$ and ∂F is covered by countably many $\tilde{U}_i / H_{ij}$. Hence $\mu(\partial F) = 0$. □

With Theorem 3.23 and Proposition 4.9 , the Margulis lemma for fundamental groups of compact manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below by [BGT12] holds for the orbifold case, thus we have

Proposition 4.15 (*cf.* [BGT12, Corollary 11.13])**.** *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$ such that: for any n-dimensional Riemmanian orbifold $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ with compact underlying space $|X|$, if $\text{Ric}_g \geq -\epsilon$ and $diam(X) \leq 1$, then $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is virtually nilpotent.*

Proof. Let π, x_0, F, be defined as above. With Lemma 4.13 and Lemma 4.14, we have

$$\frac{|S^r|}{|S|} \leq \frac{\mu(B(x_0, 3r+2))}{\mu(B(x_0, 1))}.$$

By Theorem 3.23, we have

$$\frac{\mu(B(x_0, r))}{\mu(B(x_0, 1))} \leq \frac{v(n, -\epsilon, r)}{v(n, -\epsilon, 1)}.$$

Let ω_n be the volume of $(n-1)$-dimensional unit sphere in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $v(n, -\epsilon, r) = \omega_n \int_0^r (\frac{sinh(\sqrt{\epsilon}t)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}})^{n-1} dt$. The latter tends to $\omega_n r^n / n$ when ϵ tends to 0. Thus for any $R_0 \geq 1$, there exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(d, R_0)$ such that

$$\frac{|S^r|}{|S|} \leq 2(3r+2)^n$$

for all $r \leq R_0$ provided that $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. The existence of $\epsilon = \epsilon(d)$ follows from Corollary 11.5 of [BGT12]. □

For the main theorem, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 4.16. Let (X, d) be a metric space. We say X to have bounded packing with packing constant K if there exists $K > 0$ such that every ball of radius 4 in X can be covered by at most K balls of radius 1.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a complete Riemannian orbifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_g > -(n-1)$. Then $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ has bounded packing with packing constant $K = K(n)$.*

Proof. Let $p \in X$. For the ball $B(p,5)$ and a ball $B(q,\frac{1}{2}) \subset B(p,5)$, by Theorem 3.23 we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(p,5))}{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(q,\frac{1}{2})} \leq \frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(q,10))}{\mathrm{vol}_g(B(q,\frac{1}{2})} \leq \frac{v(n,-1,10)}{v(n,-1,\frac{1}{2})} = K(n).$$

Let $B(q_i,\frac{1}{2})$ be a family of disjoint balls that is contained $B(p,5)$ such that for any $q \neq q_i$, if $B(q,\frac{1}{2}) \subset B(p,5)$, then $B(q,\frac{1}{2})$ intersects with one of the $B(q_i,\frac{1}{2})$. We know the family has most $K(n)$ balls. Note the balls $B(q_i,1)$ cover $B(p,4)$. Hence we have the packing constant $K = K(n)$. □

Let $(\mathcal{X}, g)$ be a complete Riemannian orbifold and $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ its universal covering. For any point $x \in X = |\mathcal{X}|$, its (topological) fiber $p^{-1}(x) \subset \tilde{X} = |\tilde{\mathcal{X}}|$ is a discrete space. Let us pick $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$. We then have $\min\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}')\} > 0$, where the minimum is taken for all $\tilde{x}' \in p^{-1}(x) \setminus \{\tilde{x}\}$. We thus see $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{X})$ acts on $\tilde{X}$ discretely, *i.e.*, for any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$, for any bounded set $\Sigma \subset \tilde{X}$, the set $\{\gamma \in \pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{X}) \mid \gamma \cdot \tilde{x} \in \Sigma\}$ is finite.

Finally we recall the generalized Margulis lemma established in [BGT12]

Theorem 4.18 (*cf.*[BGT12, Corollary 11.17])**.** *Let $K \geq 1$ be a parameter. There exists $\epsilon(K) > 0$, such that the following is true. Suppose X is a metric space with packing constant K and Γ is a subgroup of isometries of X that acts discretely. Then for every $x \in X$ the "almost stabiliser"*

$$\Gamma_\epsilon(x) = \langle \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mathrm{d}(\gamma \cdot x, x) < \epsilon \rangle$$

is virtually nilpotent.

With Lemma 4.17, applying Theorem 4.18 to complete Riemannian orbifolds, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.19 (*cf.* [BGT12, Corollary 11.19])**.** *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. There exists $\alpha = \alpha(n) > 0$ such that the following holds true. Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a complete Riemannian orbifold with its Ricci curvature bounded by $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)$ and Γ a subgroup of $Isom(|\mathcal{X}|)$ acting properly discontinuosly by isometries on $|\mathcal{X}|$. Then for every $x \in |\mathcal{X}|$, the "almost stabliser"*

$$\Gamma_\alpha(x) := \langle \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mathrm{d}(\gamma \cdot x, x) < \alpha \rangle$$

is vertually nilpotent.

5. Main theorem

In this section and onwards, we only deal with complex orbifolds.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold and $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbi-vector bundle over $\mathcal{X}$, that is there exists a representation of $\mathcal{X}$ by an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ and a left $\mathcal{G}$-space E such that p is represented by $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \to \mathcal{G}$. An Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}$ is a map $h : |\mathcal{E}| \times_{|\mathcal{X}|} |\mathcal{E}| \to \mathbb{C}$ such that h lifts to a map $\tilde{h} : E \times_{\mathcal{G}_0} E \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{h}$ is Hermitian and $\mathcal{G}$-invariant.

One can always get a Hermitian metric on an orbi-vector bundle by partition of unity:

Proposition 5.2 (*cf.* [Par20, Lemma 5.1])**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold and $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex orbi-vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. Then there exists an Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}$.*

For a complex orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0\}$, we know that the structure maps between G_i are holomorphic (actually they are étale). In particular, for any arrow $g : x \to y$ in $\mathcal{G}_1$, the induced local diffeomorphism $U_x \to U_y$ is biholomorphic. Its tangent groupoid is $T\mathcal{G} = G_1 \ltimes TG_0$. We see that the almost complex structure J, differential operators d, ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are G_1-invariant. Thus for a complex orbifold $\mathcal{X}$, we have the decomposition $T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{X} = T^{1,0}\mathcal{X} \oplus T^{0,1}\mathcal{X}$. We define the anti-canonical bundle of $\mathcal{X}$ to be $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1} = \det(T^{1,0}\mathcal{X})$.

Definition 5.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbifold. A Kähler form on $\mathcal{X}$ is a closed real $(1,1)$-form $\omega \in \Gamma(X, (T^2\mathcal{X})^\vee \cap (T^{(1,1)}\mathcal{X})^\vee)$ such that $\omega(-, J-)$ defines a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{X}$.

We give a definition of holomorphic orbi-vector bundles that suits our later discussion.

Definition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbifold, $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$ a complex orbi-vector bundle over $\mathcal{X}$. A holomorphic orbi-vector bundle structure over $\mathcal{E}$ is a representation $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \to \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$ together with a holomorphic vector bundle structure on $E \to \mathcal{G}_0$. We call $\mathcal{E}$ together with the holomorphic structure a holomorphic orbi-vector bundle.

With the above definition, we could see that $(T\mathcal{X}, J)$ and $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ carry natural holomorphic structure. It is thus considered as holomorphic orbi-vector bundle in the rest of the article.

Remark 5.5. The definition is not optimal. Suppose $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ is an equivalence, then one will have a nature holomorphic vector bundle $\phi_0^*(E) \to \mathcal{H}_0$. One should consider $\phi^*(\mathcal{G} \ltimes E) = \mathcal{H} \ltimes \phi_0^*(E)$ gives same holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$. Hence the right definition will be the

{representation + holomorphic structure on the representation} *mod* "equivalence".

Due to the inability of the author, we could not give a satisfying equivalence relation. However, the given definition suffices for our purpose.

Let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a holomorphic orbi-vector bundle represented by $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E \to \mathcal{G}$. The holomorphic structure on E gives E a natural complex structure and hence $\mathcal{G} \ltimes E$ a complex orbifold groupoid. For $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$, we take $U_x \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ such that $\mathcal{G}|_{U_x} \cong G_x \ltimes U_x$ and $E|_{U_x} \cong \mathbb{C}^r \times U_x$ by a holomorphic frame. Then $(\mathcal{G} \ltimes E)|_{U_x} \cong G_x \ltimes (\mathbb{C}^r \times U_x)$ as complex orbifold groupoid. In particular, $G_x \times (\mathbb{C}^r \times U_x) \to \mathbb{C} \times U_x$ is holomorphic. For an element $g \in G_x$, the action is $(v, y) \mapsto (g(y) \cdot v, y)$ where $g(y) \in GL(r, \mathbb{C})$. One sees easily $y \mapsto g(y)$ is holomorphic. Thus $g \in G_x$ transfers holomorphic section of E on U_x to a holomorphic section. With the same argument, one could show $g : x \to y$ transfers a local holomorphic section around x to a local holomorphic section around y. We define the holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}$ to be a $\mathcal{G}$-invariant holomorphic section in E. Let s be a $\mathcal{G}$-invariant holomorphic section of E, and $g : x \to y$ be an arrow in $\mathcal{G}_1$. Suppose that s is defined around x and y, such that $s = \sum \phi_i e_i$ around x and $s = \sum \psi_j f_j$ around y, where $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_j\}$ are holomorphic frames. We know $g \cdot e_i = \sum_j A_{ji} f_j$ for some holomorphic functions A_{ji} around y and A_{ji} is invertible. Now $\bar{\partial}_E(s) = \sum_i \bar{\partial}\phi_i \otimes e_i$

around x. We have around y,

$$g \cdot \bar{\partial}_E(s) = \sum_i (g^{-1})^* \bar{\partial}\phi_i \otimes g \cdot e_i = \sum_{i,j} (g^{-1})^* \bar{\partial}\phi_i A_{ji} \otimes f_j$$
$$= \sum_j \bar{\partial}\psi_j \otimes f_j = \bar{\partial}_E(s).$$

Thus the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}_E$ passes to an orbifold Dolbeaut operator $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a holomorphic orbi-line bundle with an Hermitian mectric h. We take a representation $\mathcal{G} \ltimes L \to \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{h}$ be the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant metric on L. For an arrow $g : x \to y$, we consider two trivializations by holomorphic sections e and f around x and y respectively. Suppose that $g \cdot e(w) = \phi(g^{-1}w)f(w)$. We have the local matrix for $\tilde{h}$ too be $h_1 = \tilde{h}(e, e)$ and $h_2 = \tilde{h}(f, f)$. From the equality $h(g \cdot e, g \cdot e) = h(e, e)$, we see that

$$h_2(w)\phi(g^{-1}w)\overline{\phi(g^{-1}w)} = h_1(g^{-1}w).$$

Hence

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(log \circ h_2) = \partial\bar{\partial}(\log \circ h_1 \circ L_{g^{-1}}) = (g^{-1})^* \partial\bar{\partial}(\log \circ h_1) = g \cdot \partial\bar{\partial}(\log \circ h_1),$$

which means that the Chern curvature $\Theta_{\tilde{h}} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{h}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, hence corresponds to an equivariant section.

We are now ready to give the definition of nefness.

Definition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbifold with underline space $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ compact and $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{X}$ a holomorphic line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. We fix a Kähler form ω on $\mathcal{X}$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *nef*, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a Hermitian metric h_ϵ on $\mathcal{L}$ such that its Chern curvature Θ_{h_ϵ} satisfies

$$\Theta_{h_\epsilon} \geq -\epsilon\omega.$$

Remark 5.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{X}$ is represented by $\mathcal{G} \ltimes L \to \mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{G}_0$ is compact, it is obvious that L is then a nef line bundle on $\mathcal{G}_0$. However, in general $\mathcal{G}$ is not compact, and it makes no sense to say L is nef or not.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbifold, whose underlying space $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ is compact. We fix a Kähler metric ω on $\mathcal{X}$ and suppose $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ is nef. We repeat the technique used by in [DPS93] to construct a sequence of Kähler metrics $\{\omega_\epsilon\}$ in the same cohomology class of ω such that the Ricci form $\mathrm{Ricci}_{\omega_\epsilon} \geq -\epsilon\omega_\epsilon$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, since $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ is nef, we have a Hermitian metric h_ϵ on $K_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}$, such that $u_\epsilon = \Theta_{h_\epsilon} \geq -\epsilon\omega$. It is thus sufficient to search ω_ϵ such that

$$\mathrm{Ricci}_{\omega_\epsilon} = -\epsilon\omega_\epsilon + \epsilon\omega + u_\epsilon. \tag{1}$$

The $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma still holds in the orbifold setting.

Lemma 5.8 (*cf.* [Bai56, Theorem H, Theorem K])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be a Kähler orbifold such that $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ is compact. If α is a d-exact (p, q)-form, then α is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-exact.*

Hence we may write $u_\epsilon = \mathrm{Ricci}_\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f_\epsilon$. And to search ω_ϵ is the same as search a potential ϕ_ϵ such that $\omega_\epsilon = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\epsilon$. Equation (1) on ω_ϵ is thus equivalent to

$$\frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\epsilon)^n}{\omega^n} = \exp(\epsilon\phi_\epsilon - f_\epsilon) \tag{2}$$

By the following theorem, Equation (2) has a unique solution.

Theorem 5.9 (Aubin-Yau Theorem)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be a Kähler orbifold such that $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ is compact. For any smooth function f on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\lambda > 0$. The equation*

$$\log \mathrm{M}(\phi) = \lambda\phi + f \tag{MA}$$

where $\mathrm{M}(\phi) = \frac{(\omega+\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)^n}{\omega^n}$ is the Monge-Ampère operator, has a unique admissible solution.

Proof. As it is well-known that Equation (MA) has a unique solution when $\lambda > 0$ and a unique solution up to a constant when $\lambda = 0$, we will just give a sketch and some references in the end for the interested readers.

The uniqueness follows from Hopf's maximum principle [PW84, Chapter 3, Section 3, Theorem 8], for the argument *cf.* [Aub82, Proposition 7.13]. Note that [Aub82, Proposition 7.12] also points out that any C^2 solution to Equation (MA) will automatically be admissible. In fact, suppose ϕ is a C^2 solution and attains minimum at $x \in X = |\mathcal{X}|$, then in a local charts $\partial_i\partial_{\bar{j}}\tilde{\phi}$ is non-negative at $\tilde{x}$. Hence $\omega_\phi := \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ is positive at x. Note $\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n} = \exp(\lambda\phi + f) > 0$. By continuity, ω_ϕ can not have non-negative eigenvalue. Hence ϕ is admissible.

The existence of the solution is by the standard continuity method. Consider the following family of equations

$$\log \mathrm{M}(\phi) = \lambda\phi + tf \tag{MAt}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$. Consider the set

$$S := \{t \in [0, 1] : \text{Equation (MAt) has a smooth solution } \phi_t\}.$$

We know $0 \in S$, as $\phi_0 = 0$ is an obvious solution. Equation (MA) has a smooth solution is equivalent to $1 \in S$. Our aim is to show S is both open and closed. The openess follows from implicit function theorem and the closedness follows from an a priori $C^{3,\alpha}$-estimates. For the detail, we refer to [Fau19, Section 3 to 5] and [Szé14, Chapter 3]. □

Thus we have

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complex orbifold with $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ compact and $-K_\mathcal{X}$ nef. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $\mathcal{X}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a Kähler metric ω_ϵ cohomologous to ω, and the Ricci form of ω_ϵ satisfinging*

$$\mathrm{Ricci}_{\omega_\epsilon} \geq -\epsilon\omega_\epsilon$$

To prove our main results, we first note $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is finitely generated. In fact we have

Lemma 5.11 (*cf.* [MP99, Corollary 1.2.5.])**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold and $\mathcal{U}$ be an atlas of $\mathcal{X}$. There exists an atlas $\mathcal{V}$ for $\mathcal{X}$ such that*

(1) $\mathcal{V}$ refines $\mathcal{U}$;
(2) For every chart $(\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ in $\mathcal{V}$, both $\tilde{V}$ and $V = \psi(\tilde{V}) \subset |\mathcal{X}|$ are contractible;
(3) The intersection of finitely many chart is empty or again a chart in $\mathcal{V}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an orbifold with $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ compact. We may take a finite atlas $\mathcal{V}$ by Lemma 5.11. Note that each open sub-orbifold $[\tilde{V}/H]$ has fundamental group

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}([\tilde{V}/H]) \cong H.$$

By Van-Kampen theorem (*cf.* [BH99, Excercise III.$\mathcal{G}$.3.10]), we know that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is finitely generated.

We note the following lemma, which is proved in manifold case in [DPS93], holds true in the orbifold case with exactly the same proof.

Lemma 5.12 (*cf.* [DPS93, Lemma 1.3.])**.** *Let U be a compact subset of $\tilde{X} = |\tilde{\mathcal{X}}|$. Then for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a closed subset $U_{\epsilon,\delta} \subset U$ such that $\mathrm{vol}_\omega(U \setminus U_{\epsilon,\delta}) < \delta$ and $\mathrm{diam}_{\omega_\epsilon}(U_{\epsilon,\delta}) < C_1\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where C_1 is a constant independent of ϵ and δ.*

Theorem 5.13 (=Theorem 1.3)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be a Kähler orbifold such that $X = |\mathcal{X}|$ is compact. If the anti-canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ is nef, then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ has polynomial growth.*

Proof. We could reproduce the argument by [DPS93] and [Pău97] in manifold case. Let ω_ϵ be the sequence of Kähler metrics we obtained in Lemma 5.10, and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the universal covering of $\mathcal{X}$. We fix a finite system of generators $\{\gamma_i\}$ of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$. Hence to prove the main theoreit suffices to show there exists ω' such that for all i, $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_\alpha$ in Lemma 4.19.

Take a compact subset $U \subset \tilde{X}$ which contains the fundamental domain F of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$. As $\{\gamma_i\}$ is finite, we may take U large enough, such taht $U \cap \gamma_j U \neq \emptyset$ for all j. We choose $\delta > 0$ sufficient small such that $\delta < \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{vol}_\omega(U \cap \gamma_j U)$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{vol}_\omega F$. By Lemma 5.12, there exists a subset $U_{\epsilon,\delta} \subset U$, such that $\mathrm{diam}_{\omega_\epsilon}(U_{\epsilon,\delta}) < C_1\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} := C$. By the choice of δ, we know that $U_{\epsilon,\delta} \cap \gamma_j U_{\epsilon,\delta} \neq \emptyset$. Take $\tilde{x} \in U_{\epsilon,\delta}$, we know that $d_{\omega_\epsilon}(\tilde{x}, \gamma_j\tilde{x}) < C$. We set $\tilde{\omega_\epsilon} := \frac{\epsilon}{n-1}\omega_\epsilon$. Then $\mathrm{Ricci}_{\tilde{\omega_\epsilon}} \geq -(n-1)\tilde{\omega_\epsilon}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\tilde{\omega_\epsilon}}(\tilde{x}, \gamma_j\tilde{x}) < \frac{\epsilon}{n-1}C$. For ϵ sufficient small, we see that $\frac{\epsilon}{n-1}C < \alpha$. Hence by Lemma 4.19, we know $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\tilde{X})$ is virtually nilpotent. By Gromov's theorem on polynomial growth (*cf.* [Gro81, Main Theorem]), we know that for a finitely generated group G, G is of polynomial growth is equivalent to G is virtually nilpotent. Hence $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\tilde{X})$ has polynomial growth □

6. A further result

For a complex orbifold $\mathcal{X}$ of dimension n, its orbifold structure gives rises to a klt pair (X, Δ_X). It turns out, the pair complete determines $\mathcal{X}$. We first recall the definition.

Definition 6.1 (*cf.*[CC14, Definition 3.1])**.** A klt pair (X, Δ) is an orbifold pair if Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor of the form

$$\Delta = \sum(1 - \frac{1}{m_i})D_i,$$

where $m_i \geq 2$ are integers and (X, Δ) satisfies the locally uniformizable condition: there exists finite morphisms $\phi_j : U_j \to X$ such that

(1) $\phi_j(U_j) \subset X$ is open and $\bigcup \phi_j(U_j) = X$;
(2) $\phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j)$ is a Galois analytical cover and it's branching divisor $B(\phi_j) = \Delta|_{\phi_j(U_j)}$

For the basics of orbifold paris we refer to [GK07] section 3 and section 4, [CC14] section 3. We emphasise that an orbifold pair (X, Δ) induces a unique complex orbifold structure on the underlying topological space X_{top} and vice versa. We note that the complex space structure on $|\mathcal{X}|$ is induced via the holomorphic quotient theorem of Cartan (*cf.* [BM19, Theorem 3.8.13]) and that for a Galois analytical covering $\pi : Y \to X$, the branching divisor $B(\pi)$ is defined so that we have the equation on $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor classes

$$K_Y = \pi^*(K_X + B). \tag{3}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a complex orbifold of dimension n which is represented by an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0\}$ and $(X = |\mathcal{X}|, \Delta)$ its associated orbifold pair. For any $x \in G_0$ by Proposition 2.14, there exists open neighborhood $x \ni U_x \subset G_x$, such that $\pi_x : U_x \to U_x/G_x \subset |\mathcal{X}|$ gives an orbifold chart. By holomorphic quotient theorem, U_x/G_x has a unique normal complex space structure such that $\pi_x : U_x \to U_x/G_x$ is a Galois analycial covering. As we mentioned above, the complex space structure of $|X|$ comes from the holomorphic quotient theorem. Hence $U_x/G_x \subset X$ is an open subvariety and $\pi_x : U_x \to U_x/G_x$ is a local uniformization as in Definition 6.1. We also know $\pi : G_0 \to X$ is holomorphic. As G_0 is Kähler, by [Var89, Proposition 3.3.1], X is a complex Kähler space. We consider the canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}}$. From Definition 5.4, we know it is represented by $\det(\Omega_{G_0})$. We denote by K_{G_0} its canonical class. Then by Equation (3), we have

$$K_{G_0}|_{U_x} = \pi_x^*(K_X + \Delta). \tag{4}$$

If $U_x/G_x \subset U_y/G_y$, we have an element $g \in G_1$ which induces an embedding $\rho_g : U_x \to U_y$ and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_x & \xrightarrow{\rho_g} & U_y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_y} \\ U_x/G_x & \longrightarrow & U_y/G_y \end{array}$$

Thus the local equations Equation (4) glue together to

$$K_{G_0} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)$$

We may thus regard $K_X + \Delta$ as the canonical class of (X, Δ). As (X, Δ) has klt singularities, we know there exists a positive integer $a(X)$ such that $a(X)(K_X + \Delta)$ is a Cartier divisor, hence we have the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(a(X)(K_X + \Delta))$ on X. We thus have $\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(K_{G_0})^{\otimes a(X)} = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(a(X)(K_X + \Delta))$. It h is an Hermitian metric on $K_{\mathcal{X}}$, then the Hermitian metric $h^{\otimes a(X)}$ on $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes a(X)}$ induces an Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_X(a(X)(K_X+\Delta))$, since h is G_1-invariant. On the other hand, the pullback of an Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_X(a(X)(K_X + \Delta))$ by π will induce an Hermitian metric on $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes a(X)}$. In particular, if X is compact, $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ being nef is equivalent to $-(K_X + \Delta)$ being nef.

Definition 6.2. Let (X, Δ) be a klt pair with $\Delta = \sum(1 - \frac{1}{m_i})D_i$ where $m_i \geq 2$ are integers. We define its fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$ by

$$\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}} \setminus |\Delta|)/N,$$

where N is the normal group generated by $\gamma_j^{m_j}$, with γ_j a small loop around the component D_j with multiplicity m_j of Δ.

By [Cam01, lemme 1.9.9], we know that when (X, Δ) is an orbifold pair, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to K \to \pi_1(X, \Delta) \to \pi_1(X) \to 1$$

with K generated by torsion elements.

It is a well-known fact that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a complex orbifold with (X, Δ) its associated pair, then there is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1(X, \Delta) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$.

We have the following result.

Theorem 6.3 (=Theorem 1.4)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a compact Kähler orbifold with $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ nef. Let (X, Δ) be the associated orbifold pair of $\mathcal{X}$. If X is projective, then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is virtually Abelian.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, we know there exists a nilpotent subgroup $\Gamma < \pi^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ such that its index $[\pi^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X}) : \Gamma]$ is finite. By Theorem 4.8, we may find a finite cover $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) = \Gamma$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and the Hermitian metric h_ϵ such that $\Theta_{h_\epsilon} \geq \epsilon\omega$, we may take induced metric on $-K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}$. In particular, we have $-K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}$ nef.

To simplify the notation, we may assume that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is nilpotent. Consider (X, Δ), we know that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. By [Zha05, Corollary 2.], we know the Albanese map $\alpha_X : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is dorminant. Recall that the Albanese map of X is defined by the Albanese morphism of its smooth model. Let $r : Y \to (X, \Delta)$ be a log resolution, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_Y}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\alpha_X} & \\ \mathrm{Alb}(Y) =: \mathrm{Alb}(X) & & \end{array}$$

As X has rational singularities, by [Rei83, Propsition 2.3.] α_X is defined on all X and hence surjective. We have

$$K_Y + r_*^{-1}(\Delta) = r^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum E_j,$$

where $E_j \subset \mathrm{exc}(r)$ are the prime exceptional divisors. We have

$$Y \setminus (r_*^{-1}(\Delta) \cup \mathrm{exc}(r)) = X_{\mathrm{reg}} \setminus |\Delta|. \tag{5}$$

If l_j is a loop in Y around E_j, we have $r \circ l_j$ will be a loop around some D_i. By the definition of $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$, we could find a large enough n_j such that $(r \cdot l_j)^{n_j}$ is the unit in $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$. We define

$$\Delta_Y = r_*^{-1}(\Delta) + \sum (1 - \frac{1}{n_j}) E_j.$$

By Equation (5), the choice of n_j and Definition 6.2, we have $\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y) = \pi_1(X, \Delta)$. As $r : Y \to X$ is a log resolution, $|\Delta_Y|$ is snc. If $r_*^{-1}(D_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq i_0$ and E_j, $1 \leq j \leq j_0$ are all the components of Δ_Y passing through a point $y \in Y$. Then there is holomorphic chart (U, ϕ) centered at y, such that $\phi_*(\Delta_Y|_U)$ is the branching divisor of the following map

$$(z_1, ..., z_{i_0}, z_{i_0+1}, ..., z_{i_0+j_0}, ..., z_n) \mapsto (z_1^{m_1}, ..., z_{i_0}^{m_{i_0}}, z_{i_0+1}^{n_1}, ..., z_{i_0+j_0}^{n_j}, z_{i_0+j_0+1}, ..., z_n)$$

Hence (Y, Δ_Y) is an orbifold pair. We write the short exact sequence

$$1 \to K \to \pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y) \to \pi_1(Y) \to 1$$

Recall that (by [Cam01, lemme 1.9.9]) K is generated by torsion elements. As $\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y) = \pi_1(X, \Delta)$ is nilpotent, we have $\pi_1(Y)$ is also nilpotent. By [Hir38], for any nilpotent group N of finite type, the torsion element of N forms a finite normal subgroup $N_{\text{tor}} \trianglelefteq N$ and the nilpotent limit of N is N/N_{tor}. By the above exact sequence, we have

$$\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y)/\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y)_{\text{tor}} = \pi_1(Y)/\pi_1(Y)_{\text{tor}}.$$

As α_Y is surjective, by [Cam95, Théorèm 2.2], we have $\pi_1(Y)/\pi_1(Y)_{\text{tor}} = \pi_1(\text{Alb}(X))$. By [Cla07, Lemme A.0.1], we know this means $\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y)$ is virtually Abelian. As $\pi_1(Y, \Delta_Y)$ has finite index in $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$, we know that $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{X})$ is also virtually Abelian. □

Remark 6.4. As one can see from the proof of Theorem 6.3, the hypothesis X is projective is used only to show that the Albanese morphism is surjective. One may reformulate Theorem 6.3 as following:

If the covering (X', Δ') corresponds to the nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$ has surjective Albanese morphism, then $\pi_1(X, \Delta)$ is virtually Abelian.

References

[ALR07] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan. *Orbifolds and Stringy Topology*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2007. 5, 6, 16

[Aub82] Thierry Aubin. *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge-Ampère Equations*, volume 252 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1982. 23

[Bai56] Walter L. Baily. The decomposition theorem for v-manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 78(4):862–888, 1956. 22

[BGT12] Emmanuel Breuillard, Ben Green, and Terence Tao. The structure of approximate groups. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 116:115–221, 2012. 2, 19, 20

[BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1999. 12, 14, 16, 24

[BM19] Daniel Barlet and Jón Magnússon. *Complex Analytic Cycles I*, volume 356 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer, Cham, 2019. 25

[Bor93] Joseph Borzellino. Orbifolds of maximal diameter. *Indiana University Mathematics*, 42(1):37–53, January 1993. 12, 13, 14, 15

[Bre72] Glen Bredon. *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, volume 46 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, 1 edition, 1972. 12

[Cam95] Frédéric Campana. Remarques sur les groupes de kähler nilpotents. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 4e série, 28(3):307–316, 1995. 1, 27

[Cam01] Frédéric Campana. Ensembles de Green-Lazarsfeld et quotients resolubles des groupes de Kähler. *J. Algebr. Geom.*, 10(4):599–622, 2001. 26, 27

[CC14] Fréderic Campana and Benoît Claudon. Abelianity conjecture for special compact kähler 3-folds. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 57(1):55–78, 2014. 24, 25

[Cho04] Suhyoung Choi. Geometric structures on orbifolds and holonomy representations. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 104(1):161–199, 2004. 16, 17

[Cla07] Benoît Claudon. Déformations de variétés kählériennes compactes : invariance de la gamma-dimension et extension de sections pluricanoniques. Phd Thesis, 2007. 27

[DPS93] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider. Kähler manifolds with numerically effective ricci class. *Compositio Mathematica*, 89(2):217–240, 1993. 22, 24

[Fau19] Mitchell Faulk. On yau's theorem for effective orbifolds. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 37(4):382–409, 2019. 23

[GK07] Alessandro Ghigi and János Kollár. Kähler-einstein metrics on orbifolds and einstein metrics on spheres. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 82(4):877–902, January 2007. 25

[Gro81] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps (with an appendix by jacques tits). *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 53:53–78, 1981. 24

[Hir38] K. A. Hirsch. On infinite soluble groups (ii). *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-44:336–344, 1938. 27

[HM04] Andre Henriques and David S. Metzler. Presentations of noneffective orbifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 356(6):2481–2499, February 2004. 2

[Lan20] Christian Lange. Orbifolds from a metric viewpoint. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 209(1):43–57, 2020. 17

[Mac05] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie. *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2005. 4

[MP97] Ieke Moerdijk and Dorret A. Pronk. Orbifolds, sheaves and groupoids. *K-Theory*, 12(1):3–21, 1997. 2, 3, 5, 7, 8

[MP99] Ieke Moerdijk and Dorret A. Pronk. Simplicial cohomology of orbifolds. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 10(2):269–293, 1999. 23

[Par20] John Pardon. Enough vector bundles on orbispaces, 2020. 2, 7, 21

[Pău97] Mihai Păun. Sur le groupe fondamental des variétés kählériennes compactes à classe de ricci numériquement effective. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics*, 324(11):1249–1254, 1997. 1, 24

[Pău17] Mihai Păun. Relative adjoint transcendental classes and albanese map of compact kähler manifolds with nef ricci curvature. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 74:335–356, 2017. 1

[Pet16] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian Geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer International Publishing, 3 edition, 2016. 15

[PW84] Murray H. Protter and Hans F. Weinberger. *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1984. 23

[Rei83] Miles Reid. Projective morphisms according to kawamata. 1983. 26

[Sak96] Takashi Sakai. *Riemannian Geometry*, volume 149 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 1996. 15

[Sat56] Ichiro Satake. On a generalization of the notion of manifold. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 42(6):359–363, 1956. 2, 9

[Sat57] Ichiro Satake. The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for V-manifolds. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 9(4):464 – 492, 1957. 2

[Szé14] Gábor Székelyhidi. *An Introduction to Extremal Kähler Metrics*, volume 152 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, 2014. 23

[Thu79] William Thurston. *Geometry and topology of three-manifolds*. Princeton University, 1979. 2, 16, 17

[Var89] Jean Varouchas. Kähler spaces and proper open morphisms. *Mathematische Annalen*, 283(1):13–52, 1989. 25

[Voi02] Claire Voisin. *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry I*, volume 1 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2002. 9

[Zha05] Qi Zhang. On projective varieties with nef anticanonical divisors. *Mathematische Annalen*, 332(3):697–703, 2005. 26

Univ Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR–UMR 6625, F-35000 Rennes, France
Email address: zhining.liu@univ-rennes1.fr