

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO MULTI-CRITICAL ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

Fanqing Liu, Jianfu Yang¹

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, Jiangxi
330022, P. R. China

and

Xiaohui Yu

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong
518060, P. R. China

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the existence of multiple solutions to the following multi-critical elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u + \sum_{i=1}^k (|x|^{-(N-\alpha_i)} * |u|^{2_i^*}) |u|^{2_i^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

in connection with the topology of the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 4$, where $\lambda > 0$, $2_i^* = \frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}$ with $N-4 < \alpha_i < N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are critical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev exponents and $2 < p < 22_{min}^*$ with $2_{min}^* = \min\{2_i^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We show that there is $\lambda^* > 0$ such that if $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ problem (0.1) possesses at least $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions. We also study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the limit problem of (0.1).

Key words : Multi-critical problem, Multiple solutions, elliptic equation.

MSC2020: 35J10, 35J20, 35J61

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate the existence of multiple positive solutions to the following multi-critical elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u + \sum_{i=1}^k (|x|^{-(N-\alpha_i)} * |u|^{2_i^*}) |u|^{2_i^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

in connection with the topology of the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 4$, where $\lambda > 0$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = (\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $2_i^* = \frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}$ with $N-4 < \alpha_i < N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are critical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev exponents and $2 < p < 22_{min}^*$ with $2_{min}^* = \min\{2_i^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

¹Email addresses: fanqliu@163.com, jfyang_2000@yahoo.com, xiaohui.yu@szu.edu.cn

If $k = 1$ and $p = 2$, equation (1.1) becomes the critical Choquard problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + (|x|^{-(N-\alpha)} * |u|^{2_\alpha^*}) u^{2_\alpha^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $2_\alpha^* = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}$. Such a problem has been extensively studied for subcritical and critical cases in [13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22] and references therein. Especially, a solution of critical problem (1.2) was found in [13] by the mountain pass lemma in spirit of [5]. In [13], the compactness of the $(PS)_c$ sequence associated with problem (1.2) is retained if c is strictly less than a threshold value related to the best constant

$$S_\alpha = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} |u(y)|^{2_\alpha^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}}} \quad (1.3)$$

of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. For multi-critical problems, such a threshold value varies from problem to problem. Indeed, for the doubly critical problem defined in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + K(x) \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} + Q(x) \frac{u^{2^*(t)-1}}{|x-x_0|^t} + f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $x_0 \neq 0$, $2^*(s) = \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$ and $2^*(t) = \frac{2(N-t)}{N-2}$ are the critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents, it was proved in [12] that the $(PS)_c$ condition holds true if

$$c \in (0, \min\left\{ \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} K(0)^{\frac{N-2}{s-2}} S_{\mu,s}^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}, \frac{2-t}{2(N-t)} Q(x_0)^{\frac{N-2}{t-2}} S_{0,t}^{\frac{N-t}{2-t}} \right\}),$$

where $S_{\mu,s}$ and $S_{0,t}$ are the best Sobolev-Hardy constants defined in [12]. In general, it was studied in [18] the existence of solutions for multi-critical Sobolev-Hardy problems with different singularities. The situation becomes different if $x_0 = 0$, or all Sobolev-Hardy terms have the same singular point. In this case, the multi-critical problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^s} u^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\lambda_i}{|x|^{s_i}} u^{2^*(s_i)-1} + u^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

was considered in [11]. The threshold value for compactness relies on the ground state solution of the limit problem

$$-\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\lambda_i}{|x|^{s_i}} |u|^{2^*(s_i)-2} u + |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.6)$$

that is, a minimizer of the problem

$$P_{min} = \inf\{E(u) : u \in \mathcal{M}_E\}, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{i=0}^l \frac{\lambda_i}{2^{*(s_i)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{2^{*(s_i)}}}{|x|^{s_i}} dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_E = \{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle E'(u), u \rangle = 0\}$$

as well as

$$D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$$

with the norm $\|u\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It is known from [28] that problem (1.6) has a positive ground state solution U satisfying

$$U(x) \leq C(1 + |x|^{2-N}) \quad |\nabla U(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{1-N}). \quad (1.8)$$

The decaying law in (1.8) allows one to verify $(PS)_c$ condition for the functional associated with problem (1.6) if $c \in (0, P_{min})$. An existence result of problem (1.6) is then given in [11]. We remark that similar problems with the singular point $0 \in \partial\Omega$ have been extensively studied in [8, 17, 20] etc, see also references therein.

In this paper, we first consider the existence of positive solution of problem (1.1). Such a solution will be found as a critical point of the functional

$$I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_+^p dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{22_i^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_+(x)^{2_i^*} u_+(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \quad (1.9)$$

in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, where $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. In the context, for the simplicity we write u^q as u_+^q for $2 \leq q \leq 2^*$ etc in functionals. It is standard to show that critical points of $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u)$ are positive solutions of problem (1.1), see for instance [25]. In order to verify $(PS)_c$ condition for $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u)$, that is, any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_n) \rightarrow c$, $I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contains a convergent subsequence, we need to take into account the limit problem of problem (1.1):

$$-\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^k (|x|^{-(N-\alpha_i)} * |u|^{2_i^*}) |u|^{2_i^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.10)$$

The threshold value for the compactness of $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u)$ will be given by

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \inf\{J(u) : u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}\}, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{22_i^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x)^{2_i^*} u(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \quad (1.12)$$

is the corresponding functional of problem (1.10) and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N} = \{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'(u), u \rangle = 0\} \quad (1.13)$$

is the associated Nehari manifold. We will show that $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u)$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition if $c \in (0, m(\mathbb{R}^N))$. To verify this condition, one has to know not only the existence of a ground state solution of problem (1.10), but also the decaying law of the solution at infinity. Our first result consists of the existence of ground state solutions of problem (1.10) and their decay at infinity. Actually, we find a ground state solution of problem (1.10) with an explicit form. The result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Problem (1.10) has a unique positive solution in the form*

$$U(x) = C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Our proof of the existence part in Theorem 1.1 is elementary and straightforward in contrast with variational approaches used in [26] and [28] etc. The approach for the uniqueness is based on the moving spheres method. Similar result for double critical problems was presented in [27].

Using Theorem 1.1, we prove that there is a positive solution for problem (1.1).

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $N \geq 4$ and $2 < p < 22_{min}^*$, then $m_{\lambda,\Omega}$ is achieved and problem (1.1) possesses at least a positive solution.*

Next, we consider the existence of multiple solutions of problem (1.1) linking with the topology of the domain Ω . The study of the existence of positive solutions in non-contractible was initiated by Kazdan and Warner [19] and Coron [10]. Actually, the existence and the multiplicity of solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{q-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

linking to the topology of domain Ω have been obtained in [10] for $\lambda = 0$ and $q = 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $N \geq 3$ provided Ω is non-contractible. Later on, it was proved in [2] that critical problem (1.14) has a positive solution if $H_d(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$ for some d . In critical and near critical cases, it is shown in [1, 3, 4, 24, 25] that problem (1.14) possesses at least $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions. The subcritical problem of (1.14) was studied in [6, 7] in exterior domains, $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ number positive high energy solutions was found by the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory.

Multiple positive solutions for the nonlinear Choquard problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = (|x|^{-(N-\alpha)} * |u|^q) |u|^{q-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

are obtained in [14] related to the Ljusternik-Schnirelman category $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ of domain Ω . It was proved that if Ω is bounded and q is closed to the critical exponent $2_\alpha^* = \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$, problem (1.15) possesses at least $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions; similar results were obtained in [15] for

the critical case $q = 2_\alpha^*$. Moreover, positive high energy solutions was also found in [16] for the critical case in an annular domain. It seems that for the multi-critical problem (1.1), no multiple solutions linking to the topology of the domain Ω might be found in literatures. Our result in Theorem 1.1 allows us to find such a result as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $N \geq 4$ and $2 < p < 22_{min}^*$, then there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, problem (1.1) possesses at least $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions.*

We remark that one might easily imagine similar multiple results hold for problem (1.6), but it will encounter essential difficulties in doing so.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, a key ingredient is to show that the barycenter of functions in certain level set belonging to a neighborhood of Ω . In general, this is done by the concentration-compactness principle. Such an argument seems hard to apply to multi-critical problems. We use improved Sobolev inequalities related to the Morrey space to replace the concentration-compactness principle, we prove essentially that any minimizing sequence of $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has a subsequence strongly converging in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ up to translations and dilations.

This paper is organized as follows. We first study the limit problem in Sections 2 and 3, then the existence of a positive solution is proved in Section 4. Multiple results are established in Section 5.

2. THE LIMIT PROBLEM: EXISTENCE

In this section, we will show that the limit problem (1.10) has a ground state solution. By a ground state of equation (1.10) we mean a solution that minimizes the associated functional $I(u)$ defined in (1.12) among all nontrivial solutions. Furthermore, we prove the uniqueness of positive solutions for equation (1.10) up to translations and dilations, and work out explicitly form of the solution.

Lemma 2.1. *The function*

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2}t - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{22_i^*} \left(\frac{t}{S_i} \right)^{2_i^*} \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique maximum point, where $S_i = S_{\alpha_i}$ defined in (1.3).

Proof. Since $f(t) > 0$ if $t > 0$ small and $f(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ if $t \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$f(t_0) = \max_{t \geq 0} f(t)$$

and

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2_i^*} t_0^{2_i^*-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Now we show the maximum point is unique. Suppose on the contrary, there would exist another maximum point $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ of $f(t)$ satisfying

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{-2_i^*} t_1^{2_i^*-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

If $t_1 \neq t_0$, we find a contradiction from (2.2) and (2.3). The proof is complete. \square

We consider the minimization problem $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined in (1.11). We may prove as Lemma 2.1 that for any $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there is a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$. This enables us to show as [25] that

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \inf_{u \neq 0} \max_{t > 0} J(tu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, J(\gamma(1)) \leq 0, \gamma(1) \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, we may verify that there exists $c > 0$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$, there holds $c \leq \|u\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, and $m(\mathbb{R}^N) > 0$.

It is known from [13] that S_α defined in (1.3) is achieved if and only if

$$u_{\lambda,\xi}(x) = C \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Based on Lemma 2.1 and (2.5), we have the following existence result.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a positive constant $C_0 > 0$ such that the minimization problem $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined in (1.11) is achieved by the function*

$$V_{\varepsilon,\xi}(x) = C_0 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x - \xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \quad (2.6)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. Alternatively, $V_{\varepsilon,\xi}$ is a ground state solution of problem (1.10).

Proof. Denote $U(x) = u_{1,0}$, where $u_{1,0}$ is defined in (2.5). Since $I(u)$ is invariant under translations and dilations, we need only to find a minimizer of $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in the form of $U(x)$. Observing that

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \inf_{u \neq 0} \max_{t > 0} J(tu) = \inf_{\|u\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}=1} \max_{t > 0} J(\sqrt{t}u)$$

for $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$J(\sqrt{t}u) \geq \frac{1}{2}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t^{2_i^*}}{22_i^*} \left(\frac{1}{S_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{2_i^*} = f(t).$$

Thus,

$$\max_{t > 0} J(\sqrt{t}u) \geq \max_{t > 0} f(t) = f(t_0)$$

implying

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) \geq f(t_0).$$

On the other hand, we may choose $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that $V = \tilde{C}U$ satisfying $\|V\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$. Noting that $S_i = S_{\alpha_i}$ is achieved by U , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\sqrt{t}V) &= \frac{1}{2}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t^{2_i^*} \tilde{C}^{22_i^*}}{22_i^*} \left(\frac{1}{S_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx \right)^{2_i^*} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t^{2_i^*}}{22_i^*} \left(\frac{1}{S_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx \right)^{2_i^*} \\ &= f(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Hence,

$$\max_{t>0} J(\sqrt{t}V) = f(t_0),$$

which yields

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) = \inf_{\|u\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}=1} \max_{t>0} J(\sqrt{t}u) \leq f(t_0).$$

Consequently,

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) = f(t_0) = J(\sqrt{t_0}V).$$

Let $V_0(x) = \sqrt{t_0}V(x) = \sqrt{t_0}\tilde{C}U(x)$. By the fact

$$0 = f'(t_0) = \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2} S_i^{-2_i^*} t_0^{2_i^*-1},$$

and $\|V\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$, we obtain as (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} t_0 |\nabla V|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k t_0^{2_i^*} \left(\frac{1}{S_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx \right)^{2_i^*} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V_0|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k t_0^{2_i^*} \tilde{C}^{22_i^*} \left(\frac{1}{S_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx \right)^{2_i^*} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V_0|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V_0^{2_i^*}(x) V_0^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $V_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$. This means that V_0 is a minimizer of $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the assertion follows. \square

3. THE LIMIT PROBLEM: UNIQUENESS

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of positive solution for the limit problem (1.10) up to translations and dilations, the argument used is based on the moving spheres method. Denote

$$w^i(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

then (1.10) can be written as

$$-\Delta u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k w^i(x) u(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.1)$$

The moving spheres method can not be directly applied to u since no decay law of u at infinity is known. So we turn to use the moving spheres method on the Kelvin transformation of u . The Kelvin transformations of u and w^i are defined as

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right), \quad z^i(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-\alpha_i}} w^i\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We remark that the solution u of (1.10) belongs to $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, this can be done by the same argument in [27]. Therefore, $v(x)$ and $z^i(x)$ decay at infinity as $|x|^{2-N}$ and $|x|^{\alpha_i-N}$ respectively. It can be verified that $v(x)$ and $z^i(x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} z^i(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ -\Delta v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k z^i(x) v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We can also verify that the reflection functions

$$v_\lambda(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2} v\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}} u\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

and

$$z_\lambda^i(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-\alpha_i} z^i\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-\alpha_i}} w^i\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right),$$

of $v(x)$ and $z^i(x)$ with respect to $\partial B_\lambda(0)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} z_\lambda^i(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v_\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The spirit of the moving spheres method is to compare the value of functions with their reflections with respect to the sphere. For this purpose, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $z^i(x)$ and $z_\lambda^i(x)$ satisfy equation (3.2) and equation (3.3) respectively, then we have*

$$z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x) = \int_{B_\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} - \frac{1}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{N-\alpha_i} \right] [v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}] dy. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of (3.2) and (3.3). Indeed, we deduce from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy \\ &= \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy + \int_{B_\lambda^C} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy - \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy - \int_{B_\lambda^C} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy \\ &= \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy + \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2})^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \frac{\lambda^{2N}}{|y|^{2N}} dy \\ &\quad - \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy - \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2})^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \frac{\lambda^{2N}}{|y|^{2N}} dy \\ &= \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy + \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \frac{\lambda^{N-\alpha_i}}{|y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy \\ &\quad - \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dy - \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{N-\alpha_i} dy \\ &= \int_{B_\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} - \frac{1}{|x-\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}|^{N-\alpha_i}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{N-\alpha_i} \right] [v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(y)^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}}] dy. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

To compare the values of $v(x)$ and $v_\lambda(x)$ in $B_\lambda(0)$, we need the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $v(x)$ and $z^i(x)$ satisfy (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, then we have the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\lambda} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx &\leq C \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+2\alpha_i}{N-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \int_{B_\lambda} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. To deal with the possible singularity of v at zero, we first define a cut-off function

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \eta_\varepsilon(|x|) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in B_\varepsilon, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in B_{2\varepsilon}^c \end{cases}$$

with $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ in $B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon$. Let

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \eta_\varepsilon^2(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+, \quad \psi_\varepsilon(x) = \eta_\varepsilon(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+,$$

then we have

$$|\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 = \nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x)) \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon + [(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+]^2 |\nabla \eta_\varepsilon|^2.$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\lambda \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_\lambda} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ & = \int_{B_\lambda} \nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x)) \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx + \int_{B_\lambda} [(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+]^2 |\nabla \eta_\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ & = - \int_{B_\lambda} \Delta(v_\lambda(x) - v(x)) \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx + I_\varepsilon \\ & \leq \int_{B_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^k [z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - z^i(x) v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}] (v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+ dx + I_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $I_\varepsilon = \int_{B_\lambda} [(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+]^2 |\nabla \eta_\varepsilon|^2 dx$. We claim that $I_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon & \leq \left(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}} [(v_\lambda(y) - v(x))^+]^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \left(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}} |\nabla \eta|^N dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{B_{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\lambda^2}}} u(x)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \left(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}} |\nabla \eta|^N dx \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Next, we estimate $z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - z^i(x) v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}$ in B_λ . If $z_\lambda^i(x) \leq z^i(x)$, apparently we have

$$z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - z^i(x) v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} \leq z_\lambda^i(x) [v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}]. \quad (3.7)$$

While if $z_\lambda^i(x) > z^i(x)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - z^i(x) v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} \\ & = [z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x)] v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} + z^i(x) [v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}] \\ & \leq [z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x)]^+ v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} + z^i(x) [v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}]^+ \\ & \leq [z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x)]^+ v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} + z_\lambda^i(x) [v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} - v(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}]^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_\lambda \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{B_\lambda} [z_\lambda^i(x) - z^i(x)]^+ v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} (v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+ dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{B_\lambda} z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} [(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+]^2 dx + I_\varepsilon \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{B_\lambda} \int_{B_\lambda} \frac{v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} [v_\lambda(y) - v(y)]^+ v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} [v_\lambda(x) - v(x)]^+ dx dy \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{B_\lambda} z_\lambda^i(x) v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} [(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+]^2 dx + I_\varepsilon \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(y)^{\frac{2+\alpha_i}{N-2}} (v_\lambda(y) - v(y))^+\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \|(v_\lambda(y) - v(y))^+\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^2 + I_\varepsilon \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+2\alpha_i}{N-2}} \|(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \|(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^2 + I_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Sobolev inequality and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\lambda} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx & \leq C \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+2\alpha_i}{N-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{B_\lambda} |\nabla(v_\lambda(x) - v(x))^+|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are the maximum principles in an integral form, they can be used to replace the usual maximum principles in a differential form. In fact, if

$$C \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+2\alpha_i}{N-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}}(B_\lambda)} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \right] < 1,$$

we may infer from equation (3.5) that $v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x)$ in $B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $z_\lambda^i(x) \leq z^i(x)$ in $B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. The conclusion is the same as that of the maximum principle.

Now, we show that the spheres can be moved from infinity.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $\lambda > 0$ large enough, such that*

$$v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{in } B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$z_\lambda^i(x) \leq z^i(x) \quad \text{in } B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Proof. By the definition of v_λ , we have

$$\int_{B_\lambda} v_\lambda(x)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} u(y)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{B_\lambda} z_\lambda^i(x)^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}} dx = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} w^i(y)^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha_i}} dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Hence, we can choose λ large enough, such that

$$C \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+2\alpha_i}{N-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \|z_\lambda^i(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \|v_\lambda(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda)}^{\frac{4+\alpha_i-N}{N-2}} \right] < \frac{1}{2},$$

and Lemma 3.2 implies that $v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x)$ in $B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, we infer from Lemma 3.1 that $z_\lambda^i(x) \leq z^i(x)$ in $B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. \square

We note that the above process also works for

$$u^b(x) = u(x + b)$$

with $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$. In particular, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and λ large enough, we have

$$v_\lambda^b(x) \leq v^b(x) \quad \text{in } B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$z_\lambda^{b,i}(x) \leq z^{b,i}(x) \quad \text{in } B_\lambda \setminus \{0\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where $v^b(x)$ is the Kelvin transformation of $u^b(x)$, and $z_\lambda^{b,i}(x)$ is defined in a similar way.

Let

$$\lambda_b = \inf \{ \lambda | v_\mu^b(x) \leq v^b(x), z_\mu^{b,i}(x) \leq z^{b,i}(x) (i = 1, 2, \dots, k) \text{ in } B_\mu \setminus \{0\} \text{ with } \lambda < \mu < +\infty \}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $\lambda_{\bar{b}} > 0$.*

Before proving Lemma 3.4, we establish a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, if for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda > 0$, the following inequality holds*

$$\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}, \quad (3.9)$$

then we have $u(x) \equiv C$, where $u_b(x) = u(x+b)$.

Proof. Let $g_{b,\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right)$. Then,

$$g_{b,|x|}(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) - \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

and for any $0 < r < 1$, we infer from equation (3.9) that

$$g_{b,|x|}(rx) = \frac{1}{|rx|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{rx}{|rx|^2}\right) - \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{rx}{|x|^2}\right) \geq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

This implies

$$\frac{dg_{b,|x|}(rx)}{dr}\Big|_{r=1} \leq 0, \quad (3.12)$$

that is,

$$(N-2)\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) + \frac{2}{|x|^N}\nabla u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)x \geq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

In other word,

$$(N-2)u(y+b) + 2y \cdot \nabla u(y+b) \geq 0, \quad (3.14)$$

namely,

$$(N-2)u(x) + 2(x-b)\nabla u(x) \geq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Dividing both sides of (3.15) by $|b|$ and letting $|b| \rightarrow \infty$, we find $\nabla u(x)\frac{b}{|b|} \leq 0$. Since b is arbitrary, we have $\nabla u(x) = 0$ or $u \equiv C$. The assertion follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Suppose on the contrary that $\lambda_b \equiv 0$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then we infer from Lemma 3.5 that $v(x) \equiv 0$, which yields $u \equiv 0$. This is a contradiction because u is a positive solution. \square

Lemma 3.6. *If $\lambda_b > 0$, then $v_{\lambda_b}^b(x) \equiv v^b(x)$, $z_{\lambda_b}^{b,i}(x) \equiv z^{b,i}(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) in $B_{\lambda_b} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $b = 0$ and denote

$$v_{\lambda_0}(x) = v_{\lambda_0}^0(x), z_{\lambda_0}^i(x) = z_{\lambda_0}^{0,i}(x), i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Assume on the contrary, we claim that there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $v(x) - v_{\lambda_0}(x) \geq \gamma$ in $B_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, by the strong maximum principle, we have $v_{\lambda_0}(x) < v(x)$ in $B_{\lambda_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $\gamma = \inf_{\partial B_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}}} [v(x) - v_{\lambda_0}(x)] > 0$.

Set $\varphi(x) = \gamma(1 - \frac{r^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}})$ with $0 < r < \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$ and $\psi(x) = [v(x) - v_{\lambda_0}(x)] - \varphi(x)$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) > 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}}, \\ \psi(x)|_{\partial B_r} \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r, \\ -\Delta \psi(x) \geq 0 & \text{in } \partial B_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \setminus B_r. \end{cases}$$

We infer from the maximum principle that

$$v(x) - v_{\lambda_0}(x) \geq \gamma(1 - \frac{r^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}}).$$

Let $r \rightarrow 0$, then we get $v(x) - v_{\lambda_0}(x) \geq \gamma$ in $B_{\frac{\lambda_0}{2}} \setminus \{0\}$. This proves the claim.

If the conclusion of this lemma does not hold, then there exists a sequence λ_n with $\lambda_n < \lambda_0$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $\inf_{B_{\lambda_n} \setminus \{0\}} [v(x) - v_{\lambda_n}(x)] < 0$. We deduce from the above claim that $v(x) - v_{\lambda_n}(x) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} > 0$ for n large enough. Hence, $\inf_{B_{\lambda_n} \setminus \{0\}} [v(x) - v_{\lambda_n}(x)]$ is attained at some x_n with $\frac{\lambda_0}{2} < |x_n| < \lambda_n$. In particular, we have $v(x_n) - v_{\lambda_n}(x_n) < 0$ and $\nabla(v(x_n) - v_{\lambda_n}(x_n)) = 0$.

We can assume that, up to a subsequence, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, then we conclude that $x_0 \in \partial B_{\lambda_0}$ and $\nabla(v(x_0) - v_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) = 0$. However, this contradicts to the Hopf Lemma. \square

Lemma 3.7. *For all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have $\lambda_b > 0$.*

Proof. Since we have proved $\lambda_{\bar{b}} > 0$ for some $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, it follows from Lemma 3.6 that

$$v_{\bar{b}}(x) = \frac{\lambda_{\bar{b}}^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} v_{\bar{b}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{\bar{b}}^2 x}{|x|^2}\right)$$

for $x \neq 0$. That is

$$\frac{\lambda_{\bar{b}}^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} u_{\bar{b}}\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) = u_{\bar{b}}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_{\bar{b}}^2}\right).$$

for $x \neq 0$. Let $y = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$ and $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |y|^{N-2} u_{\bar{b}}(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\bar{b}}^{N-2}} u_{\bar{b}}(0). \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, if the conclusion of the lemma does not hold, then there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$v_b(x) \geq \frac{\lambda^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} v_b\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. That is

$$\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}} u_b\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}} u_b\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in B_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.16), we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda^{N-2}}{\lambda_b^{N-2}} u_b(0) \geq u_b(0)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, which is impossible for λ small.

□

Lemma 3.8. *The positive solution u of problem (1.10) must have the following form*

$$u(x) = C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there holds

$$u(x) = \frac{\lambda_b^{N-2}}{|x - b|^{N-2}} u \left(\frac{\lambda_b^2(x - b)}{|x - b|^2} + b \right),$$

which implies

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2} u(x) = \lambda_b^{N-2} u(b) = \lambda_0^{N-2} u(0) = B > 0.$$

If $B = 1$, we have

$$u(x) = \frac{\lambda_0^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} [u(0) + \nabla u(0) \frac{\lambda_0^2 x}{|x|^2} + o(\frac{1}{|x|})] \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$u(x) = \frac{\lambda_b^{N-2}}{|x - b|^{N-2}} [u(b) + \nabla u(b) \frac{\lambda_b^2(x - b)}{|x - b|^2} + o(\frac{1}{|x - b|})] \quad (3.18)$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Replacing

$$\frac{1}{|x - b|^{N-2}} = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}} + (N-2) \frac{x \cdot b}{|x|^N} + O(\frac{1}{|x|^N})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|x - b|^2} = \frac{1}{|x|^2} + 2 \frac{x \cdot b}{|x|^4} + O(\frac{1}{|x|^4}),$$

into (3.18) we find

$$u(x) = \lambda_b^{N-2} \left[\frac{u(b)}{|x|^{N-2}} + (N-2) \frac{x \cdot bu(b)}{|x|^N} + \frac{\nabla u(b) \cdot x \lambda_b^2}{|x|^N} + O(\frac{1}{|x|^N}) \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}$ and $\frac{x}{|x|^N}$ in (3.17) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\lambda_0^{N-2} u(0) = \lambda_b^{N-2} u(b)$$

and

$$\nabla u(0) \lambda_0^N = \nabla u(b) \lambda_b^N + (N-2) bu(b) \lambda_b^{N-2}. \quad (3.20)$$

It follows from $B = 1$ that $\lambda_b = u(b)^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}$ and $\lambda_0 = u(0)^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}$, hence (3.20) can be written as

$$u^{-\frac{N}{N-2}}(b) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(b) = u^{-\frac{N}{N-2}}(0) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(0) - (N-2)b_i.$$

Therefore, we have

$$u^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}(x) = |x - x_0|^2 + d$$

or

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{1}{d + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}.$$

If $B \neq 1$, the same argument shows that

$$u(x) = C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$.

□

4. A POSITIVE SOLUTION

In this section, we show that there is a positive solution of (1.1) at the mountain pass value

$$c_{\lambda, \Omega} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_{\lambda, \Omega}(\gamma(t)), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H_0^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_{\lambda, \Omega}(\gamma(1)) \leq 0, \gamma(1) \neq 0\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle I'_{\lambda, \Omega}(u), u \rangle = 0\}. \quad (4.2)$$

We may verify that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega}$ is a manifold, and for each $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, there is a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega}$. Define

$$m_{\lambda, \Omega} = \inf\{I_{\lambda, \Omega}(u) : u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega}\} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$c_{\lambda, \Omega}^s = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t > 0} I_{\lambda, \Omega}(tu). \quad (4.4)$$

Arguing as the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [25], we see that

$$m_{\lambda, \Omega} = c_{\lambda, \Omega} = c_{\lambda, \Omega}^s. \quad (4.5)$$

It was proved in [13] the following Brézis-Lieb type lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $N \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha < N$. If $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|x|^{-(N-\alpha)} * |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}) |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|x|^{-(N-\alpha)} * |u_n - u|^{2_\alpha^*}) |u_n - u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|x|^{-(N-\alpha)} * |u|^{2_\alpha^*}) |u|^{2_\alpha^*} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We derive from Lemma 4.1 the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *The functional $I_{\lambda,\Omega}$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition if $c < m(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence of $I_{\lambda,\Omega}$ with $c < m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is,

$$I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This yields

$$c + o(1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\lambda \int_{\Omega} u_n^p dx + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22_i^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^{2_i^*}(x) u_n^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy$$

implying that there is a positive constant C such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^p dx \leq C, \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^{2_i^*}(x) u_n^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \leq C,$$

and then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. So we may assume that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega); \quad u_n \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega; \quad u_n \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega). \quad (4.6)$$

The weak convergence implies that $I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_0) = 0$, namely, u_0 is a weak solution of (1.1).

Moreover, $I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_0) \geq 0$. Let $v_n = u_n - u_0$. By Lemma 4.1 and (4.6), we deduce that

$$o(1) = \langle I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(v_n), v_n \rangle + \langle I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \langle I'_{\lambda,\Omega}(v_n), v_n \rangle \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$c + o(1) = I_{\lambda,\Omega}(v_n) + I_{\lambda,\Omega}(u_0) \geq I_{\lambda,\Omega}(v_n). \quad (4.8)$$

Suppose now on the contrary that u_n does not converge strongly to u_0 in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, then $v_n = u_n - u_0 \not\rightarrow 0$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Extending v_n to \mathbb{R}^N by setting $v_n = 0$ outside Ω . Then, there is $t_n > 0$, $t_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$. We derive from (4.7) and (4.8) that $c \geq m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a contradiction. The assertion follows. \square

Now, we verify the condition in Lemma 4.2. Without loss of generality, we assume $B_{2r}(0) \subset \Omega$ for $r > 0$ small. Let $\varphi \in C_0^1(B_{2r}(0))$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_r(0)$. Define

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad u_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x) U_\varepsilon(x), \quad (4.9)$$

where $U(x) = \frac{C}{(1+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$ is the minimizer of $m(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 4.3. *There holds $c_{\lambda,\Omega} < m(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. We may verify as [13] that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U(x)|^2 dx + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}), \quad (4.10)$$

and for each i ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2_i^*}(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U^{2_i^*}(x) U^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{N+\alpha_i}). \quad (4.11)$$

Denote

$$d_p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^p(x) dx.$$

Since $2 < p < 2_{min}^*$, we have $N - (N-2)p < 0$ and $N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2} > 0$ if $N \geq 4$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx &\geq \int_{B_r(0)} U_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \int_{B_r^c(0)} \right) U_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx &\leq \int_{B_{2r}(0)} U_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \int_{B_{2r}^c(0)} \right) U_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^p(x) dx = \varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}}). \quad (4.12)$$

We know that there exists $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega}$, that is,

$$t_{\varepsilon}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx = \lambda t_{\varepsilon}^p \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^p dx + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\varepsilon}^{22_i^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2_i^*}(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.10) - (4.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^2 dx + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) &= \lambda t_{\varepsilon}^{p-2} (\varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\varepsilon}^{22_i^*-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U^{2_i^*}(x) U^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{2}}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

The fact $U \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$ implies $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $t_{\varepsilon} = 1 + o(1)$ and the derivative t'_{ε} of t_{ε} is given by

$$t'_{\varepsilon} = \frac{-\lambda(N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2} d_p) \varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}-1} (1 + o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}-1})}{\sum_{i=1}^k (22_i^* - 2) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U^{2_i^*}(x) U^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{2}}) \right) (1 + o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^{N-\frac{(N-2)p}{2}})}.$$

Hence, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$t'_\varepsilon = -C_p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2} - 1} (1 + o(1)).$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda, \Omega} &\leq I_{\lambda, \Omega}(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1 - 2C_p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}} (1 + o(1))}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U(x)|^2 dx + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1 - pC_p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}} (1 + o(1))}{p} \left(\lambda \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2)p}{2}}) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1 - 2_i^* C_p \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}} (1 + o(1))}{2_i^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{U^{2_i^*}(x) U^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x - y|^{N - \alpha_i}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N + \alpha_i}{2}}) \right) \\ &= m(\mathbb{R}^N) - \frac{1}{p} \lambda \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2)p}{2}} d_p (1 + o(1)) < m(\mathbb{R}^N) \end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $m_{\lambda, \Omega} = c_{\lambda, \Omega}$, let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega}$ be a minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda, \Omega}$. Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 imply $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence, the result readily follows. □

5. MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS

In this section, we prove that problem (1.1) possesses at least $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions. Denote

$$\Omega_r^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, \Omega) \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_r^- = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq r\}.$$

Choose $r > 0$ so that Ω_r^+ , Ω_r^- and Ω are homotopically equivalent.

Let

$$J_\Omega(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{22_i^*} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u(x)^{2_i^*} u(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x - y|^{N - \alpha_i}} dx dy$$

and define

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\Omega(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

We define as (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$b_m = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, J(\gamma(1)) \leq 0, \gamma(1) \neq 0\}.$$

and

$$b_s = \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t > 0} J(tu).$$

We also have

$$m_{\mathbb{R}^N} = b_m = b_s.$$

We recall that a measurable function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\mu \in (0, N]$, if and only if

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = \sup_{R > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N} R^{\mu-N} \int_{B_R(x)} |u(x)|^p dy < \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\Omega$ be a sequence such that $J_\Omega(u_n) \rightarrow m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist $(\gamma_n, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ such that*

$$v_n(x) = \gamma_n^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u_n(\gamma_n x + x_n)$$

contains a convergent subsequence in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$, $x_n \rightarrow x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $v_n \rightarrow U$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Since $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_\Omega$, we have

$$\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy. \quad (5.2)$$

Extending u_n to \mathbb{R}^N by setting $u_n = 0$ outside Ω if necessary, then we have

$$m(\mathbb{R}^N) + o(1) = J_\Omega(u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{22_i^*} \right) \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy, \quad (5.3)$$

which implies that for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \leq C.$$

By (5.2), $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, by the definition of $S_i = S_{\alpha_i}$ in (1.3) and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \leq \sum_{i=1}^k S_i^{\frac{N+\alpha_i}{N-2}} \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{2(N+\alpha_i)}{N-2}}.$$

Hence, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \geq C$, and we deduce from (5.2) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \geq C.$$

We may assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u_n(x)^{2_1^*} u_n(y)^{2_1^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_1}} dx dy \geq \sigma \quad (5.4)$$

for some $\sigma > 0$. Extending u_n to \mathbb{R}^N by setting $u_n = 0$ outside Ω , we infer from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_1^*} u_n(y)^{2_1^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2(N+\alpha)}} \leq \|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \quad (5.5)$$

The improved Sobolev inequality

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|u_n\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\theta \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,N-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta} \quad (5.6)$$

in [23] together with (5.5) yield

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_1^*} u_n(y)^{2_1^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2(N+\alpha)}} \leq \|u_n\|_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\theta \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,N-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\frac{N-2}{N} \leq \theta < 1$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (5.4) implies

$$\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,N-2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \sigma_1$$

for some $\sigma_1 > 0$. Note that for each n , the support of u_n is contained in Ω , then there exist $x_n \in \Omega$ and $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\gamma_n^{-2} \int_{B_{\gamma_n}(x_n)} |u_n(y)|^2 dy \geq \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,N-2}}^2 - \frac{C}{2n} \geq C_1 > 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Let $v_n(x) = \gamma_n^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u_n(\gamma_n x + x_n)$. Then

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |v_n(y)|^2 dy \geq C_1 > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

We remark that $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$ and $I(v_n) \rightarrow m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and we may assume that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 \quad \text{in } D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N); \quad v_n \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^N); \quad v_n \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{a.e. } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

As a result of (5.9), $v_0 \not\equiv 0$.

Now, we show that $J(v_0) = b_s$. Indeed, using the fact that $\langle J'(v_n), v_n \rangle = o(1)$, we obtain

$$b_s = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_i^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_n(x)|^{2_i^*} |v_n(y)|^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy + o(1).$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_n(x)|^{2_i^*} |v_n(y)|^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_0(x)|^{2_i^*} |v_0(y)|^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy$$

and using the fact $\langle J'(v_0), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for $\varphi \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$b_s \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_i^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_0(x)|^{2_i^*} |v_0(y)|^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy = J(v_0) \geq m(\mathbb{R}^N) = b_m = b_s.$$

Next, we show that actually v_n converges strongly to v in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In fact, we deduce from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(v_n) = b_s = J(v_0)$ and $\langle J'(v_n), v_n \rangle = \langle J'(v_0), v_0 \rangle + o(1)$ as well as Lemma 4.1 that

$$J(v_n - v_0) = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle J'(v_n - v_0), v_n - v_0 \rangle = o(1)$$

leading to the result. By Theorem 1.1, $v_0 = U$.

Finally, we show that $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose on the contrary that $\gamma_n \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have, up to a subsequence, either $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma_0 > 0$ or $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma_0$, we see that $\text{supp } v_n$ is contained in a bounded domain, so does $\text{supp } v_0$. However, $v_0 = U$, which is a contradiction.

If $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$, the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ yields

$$\gamma_n^{-2} \int_{B_{\gamma_n}(x_n)} |u_n(y)|^2 dy \leq \gamma_n^{-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n(y)|^{2^*} dy \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1 dy \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \leq \frac{C}{\gamma_n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts to (5.8). □

We may assume that $B_r(0) \subset \Omega$ and define

$$m(\lambda, r) = m_{\lambda, B_r(0)}. \quad (5.10)$$

By Theorem 1.2, $m(\lambda, r)$ is achieved by a positive function, which is radially symmetric about the origin. We remark that $m(\lambda, r)$ does not depend on the choice of the center of the ball, but only on the radius. So for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have $m(\lambda, r) = m(\lambda, B_r(x))$. Obviously, $m(\lambda, \Omega) < m(\lambda, r)$.

Denote

$$\|u\|_{HL}^{22_i^*} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^{2_i^*}(x) u^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy.$$

Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\eta(x) = x$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. We introduce the barycenter of a function $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as

$$\beta(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\|u\|_{HL}^{22_i^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\eta(x) u^{2_i^*}(x) u^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy. \quad (5.11)$$

Lemma 5.2. *There exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \Omega}$ satisfying $I_{\lambda, \Omega}(u) < m(\lambda, r)$, then $\beta(u) \in \Omega_r^+$.*

Proof. We argue indirectly. Suppose by condition that there exist $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$, $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n, \Omega}$ such that

$$m(\lambda_n, \Omega) \leq I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(u_n) \leq m(\lambda_n, r) < m(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (5.12)$$

but $\beta(u_n) \notin \Omega_r^+$. Define

$$g_{u_n}(t) = I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(t u_n) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} u_n^p dx - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t^{22_i^*}}{22_i^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy.$$

For each fixed n , $g_{u_n}(0) = 0$, $g_{u_n}(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ if $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists a maximum point $t_n > 0$ of $g_{u_n}(t)$ such that $g'_{u_n}(t_n) = 0$. We claim that the maximum point $t_n > 0$ is unique. Indeed,

$$g'_{u_n}(t) = t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - t^{p-1} \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} u_n^p dx - \sum_{i=1}^k t^{22_i^*-1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy = t h_{u_n}(t),$$

where

$$h_{u_n}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx - t^{p-2} \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} u_n^p dx - \sum_{i=1}^k t^{22_i^*-2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy.$$

Apparently, there exists unique $t_n > 0$ such that $h_{u_n}(t_n) = 0$, which is the maximum point of $g_{u_n}(t)$. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n, \Omega}$, we get $t_n = 1$, that is,

$$I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(u_n) = \sup_{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(t u_n). \quad (5.13)$$

Moreover, by the definition of $S_i = S_{\alpha_i}$ in (1.3) and Sobolev embedding, we may prove as the proof of Proposition 5.1 that there exists $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} \leq \|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$. Solving

$$\|s u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k s^{22_i^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy,$$

we find there exists a unique $s_n > 0$ such that $s_n u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_n, \Omega}$, that is,

$$\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k s_n^{22_i^*-2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n(x)^{2_i^*} u_n(y)^{2_i^*}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy.$$

The boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ implies that $\{s_n\}$ is bounded.

Let $v_n(x) = s_n u_n(x)$. Then, $\{v_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_n \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude by (5.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbb{R}^N) &\leq J(v_n) = J(s_n u_n) = I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(s_n u_n) + \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx \\ &\leq I_{\lambda_n, \Omega}(u_n) + o(1) \leq m(\mathbb{R}^N) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

which yields

$$J(v_n) \rightarrow m(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 5.1, there exist $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_n \in \Omega$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$, $w_n(x) = \gamma_n^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v_n(\gamma_n x + x_n) \rightarrow U(x)$ in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the Lebesgue

dominated theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(u_n) &= \beta(s_n u_n) = \beta(v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\|v_n\|_{HL}^{22_i^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\eta(x) v_n^{2_i^*}(x) v_n^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\|w_n\|_{HL}^{22_i^*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\eta(\gamma x + x_n) w_n^{2_i^*}(x) w_n^{2_i^*}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha_i}} dx dy, \\
&\rightarrow x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

This contradicts the assumption $\beta(u_n) \notin \Omega_r^+$. The assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Let $I_\lambda^c(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega}) = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega} : I_\lambda(u) \leq c\}$ be a level set. We claim that

$$cat_{I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})}(I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})) \geq cat_\Omega(\Omega).$$

Indeed, let us define $\gamma : \Omega_r^- \rightarrow I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})$ by

$$\gamma(y)(x) = v(x-y) \quad \text{if } x \in B_r(y); \quad \gamma(y)(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \notin B_r(y),$$

where $v \in H_0^1(B_r(0))$ is a positive minimizer of $m(\lambda, r)$. We can assume that v is radial, see [21] and references therein. Therefore, $\beta \circ \gamma = id : \Omega_r^- \rightarrow \Omega_r^-$. Suppose now that

$$n = cat_{I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})}(I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})).$$

Then

$$I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega}) = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i,$$

where $A_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, is closed and contractible in $I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega})$, that is, there exists $h_i \in C([0, 1] \times A_i, I_{\lambda,\Omega}^{m(\lambda,r)+\lambda^{q^*}\delta}(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega}))$ such that, for every $u, v \in A_i$,

$$h_i(0, u) = u, \quad h_i(1, u) = h_i(1, v).$$

Let $B_i = \gamma^{-1}(A_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then B_i are closed and

$$\Omega_r^- = \cup_{i=1}^n B_i.$$

By Lemma 5.2 and the deformation

$$g_i(t, x) = \beta(h_i(t, \gamma(x))),$$

we see that B_i is contractible in Ω_r^+ . It follows that

$$cat_\Omega(\Omega) = cat_{\Omega_r^+}(\Omega_r^-) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n cat_{\Omega_r^+}(B_i) = n.$$

Hence, $I_{\lambda,\Omega}$ has at least $cat_\Omega(\Omega)$ critical points on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\Omega}$. The proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by NNSF of China (No: 12171212 and No: 11771300).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. O. Alves and Y. H. Ding, Multiplicity of positive solutions to a p -Laplacian equation involving critical nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 279(2003), 508-521.
- [2] A. Bahri and J. M. Coron, On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1988), 253-294.
- [3] V. Benci and G. Cerami, Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 99 (1987), 283-300.
- [4] V. Benci and G. Cerami, The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 114(1991), 79-93.
- [5] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983) 437-477.
- [6] G. Cerami and D. Passaseo, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains with rich topology, *Nonlinear Analysis* 18(2) (1992), 109-119.
- [7] G. Cerami and D. Passaseo, Existence and multiplicity for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems in exterior domains, *Nonlinear Anal.* 24(11) (1995), 1533-1547.
- [8] G. Cerami, X. Zhong and W. Zou, On some nonlinear elliptic PDEs with Sobolev-Hardy critical exponents and a Li-Lin open problem, *Calc. Var. PDE* 54 (2015), 1793-1829.
- [9] S. Cingolani, M. Clapp, S. Secchi, Multiple solutions to a magnetic nonlinear Choquard equation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 63 (2012), 233-248.
- [10] J. M. Coron, Topologie et cas limite des injections de Sobolev. *C. R. Ac. Sc. Paris* 299, Séries I (1984), 209-212.
- [11] Z. Gao, Ground states for a nonlinear elliptic equation involving multiple Hardy-Sobolev critical exponents, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 16 (2016), 333-344.
- [12] W. Gao and S. Peng, An elliptic equation with combined critical Sobolev-Hardy terms, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 1595-1612.
- [13] F. Gao and M. Yang, The Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for the nonlinear Choquard equation, *Sci. China, Mathematics* 61 (2018), 1219-1242.
- [14] M. Ghimenti and D. Pagliardini, Multiple positive solutions for a slightly subcritical Choquard problem on bounded domains, *Calc. Var. PDE* (2019), 58:167, 1-21.
- [15] D. Goel, The effect of topology on the number of positive solutions of elliptic equation involving Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent, *Top. Methods in Nonlinear Anal.* 54(2019), 751-771.
- [16] D. Goel and K. Sreenadh, Critical growth elliptic problems involving Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent in non-contractible domains, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* 9 (2020), 803-835.
- [17] C.-H. Hsia, C.-S. Lin and H. Wadade, Revisiting an idea of Brezis and Nirenberg, *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), 1816-1849.
- [18] D. Kang and Q. Li, On the elliptic problems involving multi-singular inverse square potentials and multi-critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.* 66 (2007), 1806-1816.
- [19] J. Kazdan, E. W. Warner, Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 28(1975), 567-597.
- [20] Y. Y. Li and C.-S. Lin, A nonlinear elliptic PDE and two Sobolev-Hardy critical exponents, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 203 (2012), 943-968.
- [21] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Ground states of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.* 265 (2013), 153-184.
- [22] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 17(2015), 1550005.

- [23] G. Palatucci and A. Pisante, Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces, *Calc. Var. PDE* 50 (2014), 799-829.
- [24] O. Rey, A multiplicity result for a variational problem with lack of compactness, *Nonlinear Anal.* 13 (1989), 1241-1249.
- [25] M. Willem, Minimax theorems, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston MA, 1996.
- [26] J. Yang and F. Wu, Doubly critical problems involving fractional Laplacians in \mathbb{R}^N , *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 17 (2017), 677-690.
- [27] M. Yang, F. Zhao and S. Zhao, Classification of solutions to a nonlocal equation with doubly Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponents, *Dis. Conti. Dyn. Sys.* 21 (2021), 5209-5241.
- [28] X. Zhong and W. Zou, A nonlinear elliptic PDE with multiple Hardy-Sobolev critical exponents in \mathbb{R}^N , preprint (2015), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.01133>.