

Regularity of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for fast diffusion equations

Tianling Jin^{*} and Jingang Xiong[†]

December 22, 2022

Abstract

We prove global Hölder gradient estimates for bounded positive weak solutions of fast diffusion equations in smooth bounded domains with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, which then lead us to establish their optimal global regularity. This solves a problem raised by Berryman and Holland in 1980.

Keywords: Fast diffusion equation, Regularity.

MSC (2010): Primary 35B65; Secondary 35K59, 35K67.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 1$ and let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Consider the fast diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u^p - \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$

with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (2)$$

and the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

In 1962, Sabinina [53] proved that the above Cauchy-Dirichlet problem admits a unique nonnegative weak solution if $u_0 \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, shortly after the seminal paper of Oleinik-Kalashnikov-Chzou [50] and Sabinina [52] on the porous medium equation. Following

^{*}T. Jin was partially supported by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF 16306320 and NSFC grant 12122120.

[†]J. Xiong was is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China No. 2020YFA0712900, and NSFC grants 11922104 and 11631002.

[50, 53], we say that u is a weak solution of (1)-(3) if $u \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty); H^1_0(\Omega))$, $u \geq 0$, $u^p \in C([0, \infty); L^1(\Omega))$, and satisfies

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \left(u^p \partial_t \varphi - \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) dx dt = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t_2)^p \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} u(x, t_1)^p \varphi dx$$

for any $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ and $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty))$ which vanishes on $\partial\Omega \times [t_1, t_2]$.

The space $C^1_0(\Omega)$ for the initial data can be enlarged to $H^1_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, and then, by the maximum principle, the solution u will be bounded. If $u(\cdot, 0) \not\equiv 0$, then there exists the so-called finite extinction time, i.e., a time $T^* > 0$ such that $u(\cdot, t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \geq T^*$, and $u(\cdot, t) > 0$ in Ω for $t \in (0, T^*)$. See Chapter 4 of Daskalopoulos-Kenig [23] and Chapters 5-7 of Vázquez [56] for systematic studies of the above Cauchy-Dirichlet problem (including the porous medium equations corresponding to $0 < p < 1$).

In the pioneering work [6], Berryman and Holland studied the extinction behavior of solutions to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem (1)-(3). Their work was motivated by the Wisconsin octupole experiments of Drake-Greenwood-Navratil-Post [32] on anomalous diffusion of hydrogen plasma across a purely poloidal octupole magnetic field, where the density of the plasma satisfies the fast diffusion equation (1) with $p = 2$. The experiments in [32] have shown that after a few milliseconds the solution u evolves into a fixed shape which then decays in time. Berryman-Holland [6] proved this phenomenon along a sequence of time under the regularity assumption

$$\partial_t u, \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)). \quad (4)$$

In their final section of conclusions and conjectures, they listed (4) as the first unsolved problem.

The difficulty of this regularity problem lies in the singular parabolic structure near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, where $u = 0$. Sacks [54] and DiBenedetto [25] proved that bounded positive solutions to (1)-(2) are continuous up to the boundary. Global Hölder continuity was later proved by Chen-DiBenedetto [17]. See also Chapter IV of DiBenedetto [26]. Kwong [44, 46] and DiBenedetto-Kwong-Vespri [30] proved spatial Lipschitz regularity, that is, for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{u}{d} \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [\delta, T^* - \delta], \quad (5)$$

where $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. Various L^∞ estimates, Harnack inequalities and more continuity estimates can be found in, e.g., Aronson-Bénilan [4], Caffarelli-Evans [12], Herrero-Pierre [37], Dahlberg-Kenig [20], DiBenedetto-Kwong [29], DiBenedetto-Gianazza-Vespri [27, 28], Lu-Ni-Vázquez-Villani [48] and Bonforte-Vázquez [9].

In our previous paper [38], we proved the regularity property (4) asked by Berryman and Holland in the Sobolev subcritical and critical regimes, and also obtained their optimal regularity. The dividing of p according to the Sobolev embedding is as follows: (a) *Subcritical*: $1 < p < \infty$ and $n = 1, 2$, or $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $n \geq 3$; (b) *Critical*: $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $n \geq 3$; (c) *Supercritical*: $p > \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $n \geq 3$. We developed a regularity theory for a linear singular

parabolic equation and used it to bootstrap the regularity of weak solutions of the fast diffusion equation. With the bootstrap argument, to establish (4) and the optimal regularity, it reduces to proving the

$$\text{H\"older continuity of } \frac{u}{d} \text{ on } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*). \quad (6)$$

In the setting of linear uniformly parabolic equations, boundary estimates of type (6) have been studied in, e.g., Krylov [42], Fabes-Garofalo-Salsa [33] and Fabes-Safonov [34]. For boundary estimates of solutions to certain degenerate or singular nonlinear parabolic equations related to (1), we refer to the recent papers Kuusi-Mingione-Nystr\"om [43], Avelin-Gianazza-Salsa [5] and the references therein. In particular, Avelin-Gianazza-Salsa [5] studied Carleson estimates and boundary Harnack inequality for local solutions of (1) and (2) with $1 < p < \frac{n}{(n-2)^+}$, and it is mentioned in its Remark 4.10 that H\"older estimates for the gradient of the solutions, as well as (6), were still unknown.

We proved (6) in [38] for p in the subcritical and critical regimes. A geometric type structure of the fast diffusion equation, inspired by the Yamabe flow, was used to prove high integrabilities of $\partial_t u/u$, and then H\"older estimates of $D_x u$ implying (6). The quantity $\partial_t u/u$ was also studied in Aronson-B\'enilan [4]. When $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $n \geq 3$, it corresponds to the scalar curvature of the metrics along the Yamabe flow. The Yamabe flow was introduced by Hamilton [36], and its convergence on smooth compact Riemannian manifolds has been proved by Ye [58], Schwetlick-Struwe [55] and Brendle [10, 11].

In this paper, we establish (6) for all $1 < p < \infty$ in all dimensions. This is achieved by applying the iteration method of De Giorgi [24] to a very degenerate and very singular nonlinear parabolic type equation. Consequently, we completely solve the regularity problem (4) of Berryman and Holland [6].

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let u be a bounded nonnegative weak solution of (1) and (2), and $T^* > 0$ be its extinction time.*

- *If p is an integer, then $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*))$.*
- *If p is not an integer, then $u(x, \cdot) \in C^\infty(0, T^*)$ for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and $\partial_t^l u(\cdot, t) \in C^{2+p}(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $l \geq 0$ and all $t \in (0, T^*)$.*

A few remarks are as follows.

(i) The regularity in Theorem 1.1 is optimal, which can be seen from the separable solutions

$$U(x, t) := \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (T^* - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} S(x),$$

where S is a positive solution of $-\Delta S = S^p$ in Ω and $S = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Such S exists if, for example, p is subcritical or Ω is an annulus.

(ii) Kwong [45] obtained the same convergence result as in Holland-Berryman [6] by passing the regularity assumption (4). Feireisl-Simondon [35] proved the energy inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(\cdot, t_2)|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(\cdot, t_1)|^2 + 2p \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq 0$$

for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T^*$ without the regularity assumption (4), which was then used to strengthen the convergence to be uniform in time, instead of just along a sequence of time. By Theorem 1.1, this energy inequality now becomes an equality, since the derivation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 = 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \partial_t u = -2p \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} |\partial_t u|^2$$

by Berryman-Holland [6] is justified.

(iii) In the subcritical regime, Bonforte-Grillo-Vázquez [8] proved the uniform convergence of the relative error, and Bonforte-Figalli [7] proved the sharp exponential decay of the relative error on generic domains; see Akagi [1] for another proof. In our earlier papers [38, 39], we proved the sharp exponential decay of the relative error in the C^2 topology on generic domains, and polynomial decay of the relative error in the C^2 topology on all smooth domains. Recently, Choi-McCann-Seis [18] proved that the relative error either decays exponentially with the sharp rate or else decays algebraically at a rate $1/t$ or slower. They also obtained higher order asymptotics of the relative error.

(iv) For the porous medium equation, that is the equation (1) with $0 < p < 1$, the regularity of nonnegative solutions and the regularity of their free boundaries have been studied by, e.g., Aronson [2, 3], Aronson-Bénilan [4], Caffarelli-Friedman [13], Caffarelli-Vázquez-Wolanski [14], Caffarelli-Wolanski [15], Daskalopoulos-Hamilton [21], Koch [41] and Daskalopoulos-Hamilton-Lee [22].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the inequalities that are needed for the De Giorgi iteration in our setting. In Section 3, we carry out the full details of the De Giorgi iteration for a degenerate and singular parabolic equation, and prove Hölder estimates of its solutions, which will lead to (6). In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements: This work is dedicated to Professor YanYan Li on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Both authors are deeply grateful to him for his thoughtful and thorough guidance and numerous supports.

2 Some weighted inequalities

We start with a weighted Sobolev inequality. Let $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $p > 0$. There exists $C = C(n, p) > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^{\frac{2(n+p+1)}{n+p-1}} |x_n|^{\frac{2n}{n+p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n+p-1}{n+p+1}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 |x_n|^2 dx$$

for every Lipschitz continuous function u on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support.

Proof. It follows directly from Corollary 2 in Section 2.1.7 of Maz'ya [49]. Although it is stated for $n \geq 3$ there, its proof also applies to obtain the above inequality when $n = 1, 2$. See also Proposition 1 of Castro [16] for $n = 1$ and Corollary 1.2 of Dou-Sun-Wang-Zhu [31] for $n \geq 2$. \square

For $R > 0$, we denote

$$B_R^+ = B_R \cap \{x_n > 0\}, \quad \partial' B_R^+ = (\partial B_R^+) \cap \{x_n = 0\}, \quad \partial'' B_R^+ = (\partial B_R^+) \cap \{x_n > 0\}.$$

For a function u that is Lipschitz continuous on $\overline{B_R^+} \times (-T, 0]$, and $p > 0$, we define

$$\|u\|_{V_0^1(B_R^+ \times (-T, 0])} = \sqrt{\sup_{-T < t < 0} \int_{B_R^+} u(x, t)^2 x_n^{p+1} dx + \int_{-T}^0 \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 x_n^2 dx dt}.$$

Then we have the following Sobolev inequality in the parabolic setting.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 1$, $p > 0$, $R > 0$ and $T > 0$. For every function u that is Lipschitz continuous on $\overline{B_R^+} \times (-T, 0]$ and vanishes on $\partial' B_R^+ \times (-T, 0]$, we have*

$$\left(\int_{B_R^+ \times (-T, 0]} |u(x, t)|^{2\chi} x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \leq C \|u\|_{V_0^1(B_R^+ \times (-T, 0])}^2,$$

where $\chi = \frac{n+p+3}{n+p+1} > 1$, and $C > 0$ depends only on n and p .

Proof. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} x_n^2 |u|^{2\chi} dx &= \int_{B_R^+} |u|^2 x_n^{2-(p+1)(\chi-1)} |u|^{2(\chi-1)} x_n^{(p+1)(\chi-1)} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_R^+} |u|^{\frac{2}{2-\chi}} x_n^{\frac{2-(p+1)(\chi-1)}{2-\chi}} dx \right)^{2-\chi} \left(\int_{B_R^+} u^2 x_n^{p+1} dx \right)^{\chi-1} \\ &= \left(\int_{B_R^+} |u|^{\frac{2(n+p+1)}{n+p-1}} |x_n|^{\frac{2n}{n+p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n+p-1}{n+p+1}} \left(\int_{B_R^+} u^2 x_n^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n+p+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By making an even extension of u for $x_n < 0$, and applying Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\int_{B_R^+} |u|^{2\chi} x_n^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^2 x_n^2 dx \right) \left(\int_{B_R^+} u^2 x_n^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n+p+1}}.$$

Integrating the above inequality in t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{-T}^0 \int_{B_R^+} |u(x, t)|^{2\chi} x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{-T < t < 0} \left(\int_{B_R^+} u^2 x_n^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n+p+3}} \left(\int_{B_R^+ \times [-T, 0]} |\nabla u|^2 x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{n+p+1}{n+p+3}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_R^+ \times [-T, 0]} x_n^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx dt + \sup_{-T < t < 0} \int_{B_R^+} u^2 x_n^{p+1} dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Young's inequality in the last inequality. \square

The next inequality is a Poincaré inequality, which follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of Chua-Wheeden [19].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \geq 1$, $p > 0$, and $r > 0$. Then*

$$\int_{B_r^+} |u(x) - \bar{u}| x_n^{p+1} dx \leq r \int_{B_r^+} |\nabla u(x)| x_n^{p+1} dx,$$

for all Lipschitz continuous function u on B_r^+ , where

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\int_{B_r^+} u x_n^{p+1} dx}{\int_{B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx}.$$

The last inequality is a De Giorgi type isoperimetric inequality.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $n \geq 1$, $p > 0$, $k < \ell$, $r > 0$ and u be a Lipschitz continuous function on B_r^+ . There exists a positive constant C depending only on n and p such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\ell - k) \int_{\{u \geq \ell\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx \int_{\{u \leq k\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & \leq C r^{n+p+2} \left(\int_{\{k < u < \ell\} \cap B_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 x_n^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\{k < u < \ell\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{2p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$v = \sup(k, \inf(u, \ell)) - k, \quad \bar{v} = \frac{\int_{B_r^+} v x_n^{p+1} dx}{\int_{B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{v=0\} \cap B_r^+} \bar{v} x_n^{p+1} dx & \leq \int_{B_r^+} |v(x) - \bar{v}| x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & \leq r \int_{B_r^+} |\nabla v(x)| x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & = r \int_{\{k < u < \ell\} \cap B_r^+} |\nabla u(x)| x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & \leq r \left(\int_{\{k < u < \ell\} \cap B_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 x_n^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\{k < u < \ell\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{2p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{v=0\} \cap B_r^+} \bar{v} x_n^{p+1} dx & = \frac{\int_{B_r^+} v x_n^{p+1} dx}{\int_{B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx} \cdot \int_{\{u \leq k\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & \geq \frac{(\ell - k) \int_{\{u \geq \ell\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx}{\int_{B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx} \cdot \int_{\{u \leq k\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx \\ & \geq C r^{-n-p-1} (\ell - k) \int_{\{u \geq \ell\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx \int_{\{u \leq k\} \cap B_r^+} x_n^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

3 Hölder estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation with weights

Let G be a bounded function on B_1^+ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda}x_n \leq G(x) \leq \Lambda x_n \quad \text{for } x \in B_1^+, \quad (7)$$

and $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{n \times n}$ be a bounded matrix valued function in B_1^+ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in B_1^+, \quad (8)$$

where $\Lambda \geq 1$ is constant.

For $p \geq 1$, we consider positive bounded solutions of

$$G^{p+1}\partial_t w^p = \operatorname{div}(AG^2\nabla w) \quad \text{in } B_1^+ \times (-1, 0] \quad (9)$$

satisfying

$$\overline{m} \leq w \leq \overline{M} \quad \text{in } B_1^+ \times (-1, 0] \quad \text{for some } 0 < \overline{m} \leq \overline{M} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

The equation (9) will be understood in the sense of distribution, and we are interested in the a priori Hölder estimates of its solutions that are Lipschitz continuous in $\overline{B}_1^+ \times (-1, 0]$. This Lipschitz continuity is assumed only for simplicity to avoid introducing more notations, and it is enough for our purpose. The Hölder estimate proved in the below clearly holds for properly defined weak solutions using approximations. Since the operator $\operatorname{div}(AG^2\nabla \cdot)$ is very degenerate near the boundary $\partial' B_1^+$, no boundary condition on $\partial' B_1^+$ should be imposed; see Keldys [40], Oleinik-Radkevich [51] and the more recent paper Wang-Wang-Yin-Zhou [57].

The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \geq 1$. Suppose (7) and (8) hold. Suppose w is Lipschitz continuous in $\overline{B}_1^+ \times (-1, 0]$, satisfies (10), and is a solution of (9) in the sense of distribution. Then there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $C > 0$, both of which depend only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} , such that*

$$|w(x, t) - w(y, s)| \leq C(|x - y| + |t - s|)^\gamma \quad \forall (x, t), (y, s) \in \overline{B}_{1/2}^+ \times (-1/4, 0].$$

The equation (9) is uniformly parabolic when x stays away from $\{x_n = 0\}$. Therefore, we will establish the Hölder estimates at the boundary, and then, use a scaling argument and the interior Hölder estimates to establish the global Hölder estimate in Theorem 3.1.

Throughout this section, we assume all the assumptions in Theorem 3.1. We first establish the improvement of oscillation at the boundary.

Let us start with a Caccioppoli type inequality.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k \in [\overline{m}, \overline{M}]$ and η be a smooth function supported in $B_R(x_0) \times (-1, 1)$, where $B_R(x_0) \subset B_1$. Then for every $-1 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{B_R^+(x_0)} v^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx + \int_{B_R^+(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2]} |\nabla(v\eta)|^2 G^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{B_R^+(x_0)} (v^2 + C v^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_1} + C \int_{B_R^+(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2]} \left(|\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 + |\partial_t \eta| \eta G^{p+1} \right) v^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_1 < t < t_2} \int_{B_R^+(x_0)} (\tilde{v}^2 - C \tilde{v}^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx + \int_{B_R^+(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2]} |\nabla(\tilde{v}\eta)|^2 G^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{B_R^+(x_0)} \tilde{v}^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_1} + C \int_{B_R^+(x_0) \times (t_1, t_2]} \left(|\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 + |\partial_t \eta| \eta G^{p+1} \right) \tilde{v}^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $v = (w - k)^+$, $\tilde{v} = (w - k)^-$, and $C > 0$ depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} .

Proof. By the assumptions of w in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\int_{B_1^+ \times (-1, s]} G^{p+1} \varphi \partial_t w^p dx dt = - \int_{B_1^+ \times (-1, s]} G^2 A \nabla w \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt \quad (13)$$

for every $s \in (-1, 0]$ and every test function φ that is Lipschitz continuous in \overline{Q}_1^+ , and vanishes on $\partial'' B_1^+ \times [-1, 0]$.

First, by using $v\eta^2$ as a test function, we have for the left-hand side in (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} v \eta^2 \partial_t w^p &= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} v \eta^2 w^{p-1} \partial_t v \\ &= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2 [(v+k)^p - k(v+k)^{p-1}] \partial_t v \\ &= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2 \partial_t \left(\frac{(v+k)^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{k(v+k)^p}{p} + \frac{k^{p+1}}{p(p+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\theta_1(v, k, p) = \frac{(v+k)^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{k(v+k)^p}{p} + \frac{k^{p+1}}{p(p+1)}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2} k^{p-1} v^2 \leq \theta_1(v, k, p) \leq \frac{1}{2} k^{p-1} v^2 + C_0 v^3 \quad (14)$$

for some $C_0 > 0$ depending only on $\overline{m}, \overline{M}, p$. Since $v + k \leq M \leq \overline{M}$ and $k \geq m \geq \overline{m}$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} v \eta^2 \partial_t w^p \, dx dt \\
& \geq \frac{p}{2} k^{p-1} \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, s) v^2(x, s) \, dx - \frac{p}{2} k^{p-1} \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, t_1) v^2(x, t_1) \, dx \\
& \quad - C \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, t_1) v^3(x, t_1) \, dx - C \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta |\eta_t| v^2 \, dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we have the right-hand side of (13) that

$$\int_{Q_1} (A \nabla v) \nabla (v \eta^2) G^2 \, dx dt \geq \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \int_{Q_1} |\nabla(\eta v)|^2 G^2 \, dx dt - C \int_{Q_1} |\nabla \eta|^2 v^2 G^2 \, dx dt.$$

Then (11) follows.

The proof of (12) is similar by using $-\tilde{v} \eta^2$ as a test function. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \tilde{v} \eta^2 \partial_t w^p &= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \tilde{v} \eta^2 w^{p-1} \partial_t \tilde{v} \\
&= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2 [-(k - \tilde{v})^p + k(k - \tilde{v})^{p-1}] \partial_t \tilde{v} \\
&= p \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2 \partial_t \left(\frac{(k - \tilde{v})^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{k(k - \tilde{v})^p}{p} + \frac{k^{p+1}}{p(p+1)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} k^{p-1} \tilde{v}^2 - C \tilde{v}^3 \leq \frac{(k - \tilde{v})^{p+1}}{p+1} - \frac{k(k - \tilde{v})^p}{p} + \frac{k^{p+1}}{p(p+1)} \leq \frac{1}{2} k^{p-1} \tilde{v}^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \tilde{v} \eta^2 \partial_t w^p \, dx dt \\
& \geq \frac{p}{2} k^{p-1} \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, s) \tilde{v}^2(x, s) \, dx - C \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, s) \tilde{v}^3(x, s) \, dx \\
& \quad - \frac{p}{2} k^{p-1} \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta^2(x, t_1) \tilde{v}^2(x, t_1) \, dx - C \int_{-1}^s \int_{B_1^+} G^{p+1} \eta |\eta_t| \tilde{v}^2 \, dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (12) follows in a similar way. \square

For $x_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $R > 0$, let

$$Q_R(x_0, t_0) := B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^{p+1}, t_0], \quad Q_R^+(x_0, t_0) := B_R^+(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^{p+1}, t_0].$$

We simply write them as Q_R and Q_R^+ if $(x_0, t_0) = (0, 0)$.

For $q \geq 1$, let

$$d\mu_q = G^q dx, \quad d\nu_q = G^q dx dt$$

and

$$|A|_{\mu_q} = \int_A G^q dx \quad \text{for } A \subset B_1^+, \quad |\tilde{A}|_{\nu_q} = \int_{\tilde{A}} G^q dx dt \quad \text{for } \tilde{A} \subset Q_1^+.$$

It is easy to find that for $\tilde{A} \subset Q_R^+$,

$$\frac{|\tilde{A}|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} \leq \frac{|\tilde{A}|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{\frac{2}{p+1}} |\tilde{A}|_{\nu_0}^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} \leq C \frac{|\tilde{A}|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{\frac{2}{p+1}} R^{\frac{(p-1)(n+p+1)}{p+1}}}{R^{n+p+3}} \leq C \left(\frac{|\tilde{A}|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}, \quad (15)$$

where $C = C(n, \Lambda, p) > 0$ depends only on n, p and Λ , since

$$\frac{R^{\frac{(p-1)(n+p+1)}{p+1}}}{R^{n+p+3}} = \frac{1}{R^{\frac{2(n+2p+2)}{p+1}}} \leq C(n, \Lambda, p) |Q_R^+|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{-\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

The following lemma shows that if w is small on a large portion of Q_R^+ measured by ν_{p+1} , then we can improve its oscillation in $Q_{R/2}^+$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 < R < 1$ and*

$$\overline{m} \leq m \leq \inf_{Q_R^+} w \leq \sup_{Q_R^+} w \leq M \leq \overline{M}.$$

There exists $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} such that for every $\delta > 0$, if

$$\frac{|\{(x, t) \in Q_R^+ : w(x, t) > M - \delta\}|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \leq \gamma_0,$$

then

$$w \leq M - \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{in } Q_{R/2}^+.$$

Proof. Let

$$r_j = \frac{R}{2} + \frac{R}{2^{j+1}}, \quad k_j = M - \delta + \frac{\delta}{2}(1 - 2^{-j}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let η_j be a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\eta_j) \subset Q_{r_j}, \quad 0 \leq \eta_j \leq 1, \quad \eta_j = 1 \text{ in } Q_{r_{j+1}}^+,$$

$$|\nabla \eta_j(x, t)|^2 + |\partial_t \eta_j(x, t)| R^{p-1} \leq \frac{C(n)}{(r_j - r_{j+1})^2} \quad \text{in } Q_R^+.$$

By Lemma 3.2, Lemma 2.2 and (7), we have

$$\left(\int_{Q_R^+} |\eta_j v|^{2\chi} x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \leq C \int_{Q_R^+} (|\nabla \eta_j|^2 + |\partial_t \eta_j| x_n^{p-1}) x_n^2 v^2 dx dt,$$

where $v = (w - k_j)^+$. Let $A(k, \rho) = \{(x, t) \in Q_\rho^+ : w \geq k\}$ for $0 < \rho \leq R$. Then

$$\left(\int_{Q_R^+} |\eta_j v|^{2\chi} x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\chi}} \geq (k_{j+1} - k_j)^2 |A(k_{j+1}, r_{j+1})|_{\nu_2}^{\frac{1}{\chi}},$$

$$\int_{Q_R^+} \left(|\nabla \eta_j|^2 + |\partial_t \eta_j| x_n^{p-1} \right) x_n^2 v^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C}{(r_j - r_{j+1})^2} (M - k_j)^2 |A(k_j, r_j)|_{\nu_2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A(k_{j+1}, r_{j+1})|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} &\leq C 2^{4(j+2)\chi} R^{(n+p+3)(\chi-1)-2\chi} \left(\frac{|A(k_j, r_j)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} \right)^\chi \\ &= C 2^{4(j+2)\chi} \left(\frac{|A(k_j, r_j)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} \right)^\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by choosing γ_0 small, it follows from (15) that we can make $\frac{|A(k_0, r_0)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}}$ small enough, so that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A(k_{j+1}, r_{j+1})|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_2}} = 0.$$

Then the conclusion follows. \square

Similarly, if w is large on a large portion of Q_R^+ measured by ν_{p+1} , then we can improve its oscillation in $Q_{R/2}^+$ as well.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 < R < 1$ and*

$$\overline{m} \leq m \leq \inf_{Q_R^+} w \leq \sup_{Q_R^+} w \leq M \leq \overline{M}.$$

There exist $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$ and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$, both of which depend only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} , such that for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, if

$$\frac{|\{(x, t) \in Q_R^+ : w(x, t) < m + \delta\}|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|Q_R^+|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \leq \gamma_0,$$

then

$$w \geq m + \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{in } Q_{R/2}.$$

Proof. Let

$$r_j = \frac{R}{2} + \frac{R}{2^{j+1}}, \quad \tilde{k}_j = m + \delta - \frac{\delta}{2}(1 - 2^{-j}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} such that for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and $\tilde{v} = (w - \tilde{k}_j)^-$, there holds

$$\tilde{v}^2 - C\tilde{v}^3 \geq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{v}^2,$$

where the constant C in the above is the one in (12).

The left proof is then identical to that of Lemma 3.3. \square

Next, we estimate the decay of the distribution function of w .

Lemma 3.5. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $-\frac{1}{2} < t_0 \leq -aR^{p+1}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\delta > 0$, and $\overline{M} \geq M_a \geq \sup_{B_{2R}^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} w$. If*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t) > M_a - \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma)|B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \quad \text{for any } t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + aR^{p+1},$$

then

$$\frac{|\{(x, t) \in B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}] : w(x, t) > M_a - \frac{\delta}{2^\ell}\}|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma \sqrt{a\ell}} \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

where C depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} .

Proof. Let

$$A(k, R; t) = B_R^+ \cap \{w(\cdot, t) > k\}, \quad A(k, R) = B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}] \cap \{w > k\}$$

and

$$k_j = M_a - \frac{\delta}{2^j}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (k_{j+1} - k_j)|A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}}|B_R^+ \setminus A(k_j, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq CR^{n+p+2} \left(\int_{A(k_j, R; t) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R; t)} |\nabla w|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} |A(k_j, R; t) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2} \\ & \leq CR^{n+p+2} \left(\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla(w - k_j)^+|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} |A(k_j, R; t) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption,

$$|B_R^+ \setminus A(k_j, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} \geq \sigma|B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} = C(n, p)\sigma R^{n+p+1}.$$

We also have

$$|A(k_j, R; t) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2} \leq R^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |A(k_j, R; t) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}}^{1/2}.$$

Integrating in the time variable, and using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + aR^{p+1}} |A(k_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} dt \\ & \leq \frac{C2^{j+1}}{\delta\sigma} R^{\frac{p+1}{2}} |A(k_j, R) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla(w - k_j)^+|^2 x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta(x)$ be a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\eta) \subset B_{2R}, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta = 1 \text{ in } B_R, \quad |\nabla \eta(x)|^2 \leq \frac{C(n)}{R^2} \quad \text{in } B_{2R}. \quad (16)$$

It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{t_0+aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla(w - k_j)^+|^2 x_n^2 \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} |(w - k_j)^+(t_0)|^2 x_n^{p+1} \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_{2R}^+} x_n^2 |(w - k_j)^+|^2 \, dx \, dt \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C\delta^2}{4^j} R^{n+p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma} R^{\frac{n+2p+2}{2}} |A(k_j, R) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{1/2}$$

or

$$|A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^2} R^{n+2p+2} |A(k_j, R) \setminus A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}.$$

Taking a summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell |A(k_\ell, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} |A(k_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^2} R^{n+2p+2} |B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{a\sigma^2} |B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. \square

Similarly,

Lemma 3.6. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < a \leq 1$, $-\frac{1}{2} < t_0 \leq -aR^{p+1}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\delta > 0$ and $\overline{m} \leq m_a \leq \inf_{B_{2R}^+ \times [t_0, t_0+aR^{p+1}]} w$. There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} such that for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, if*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t) < m_a + \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \quad \text{for any } t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + aR^{p+1},$$

then

$$\frac{|\{(x, t) \in B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}] : w(x, t) < m_a + \frac{\delta}{2^\ell}\}|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma\sqrt{a\ell}} \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

where C depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} .

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{A}(k, R; t) = B_R^+ \cap \{w(\cdot, t) < k\}, \quad \tilde{A}(k, R) = B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}] \cap \{w < k\}$$

and

$$\tilde{k}_j = m_a + \frac{\delta}{2^j}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{k}_j - \tilde{k}_{j+1}) |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} |B_R^+ \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq CR^{n+p+2} \left(\int_{\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)} |\nabla w|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2} \\ & \leq CR^{n+p+2} \left(\int_{B_R^+} |\nabla(w - \tilde{k}_j)^-|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption,

$$|B_R^+ \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} \geq \sigma |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} = C(n, p) \sigma R^{n+p+1}.$$

We also have

$$|\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{2p}}^{1/2} \leq R^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R; t) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}}^{1/2}.$$

Integrating in the time variable, and using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{t_0+aR^{p+1}} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\mu_{p+1}} dt \\ & \leq \frac{C2^{j+1}}{\delta\sigma} R^{\frac{p+1}{2}} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0+aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla(w - \tilde{k}_j)^-|^2 x_n^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{M}$ and \overline{m} such that for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and $\tilde{v} = (w - \tilde{k}_j)^-$, there holds

$$\tilde{v}^2 - C\tilde{v}^3 \geq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{v}^2,$$

where the constant C in the above is the one in (12). By choosing η to be the one in (16), it follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{t_0+aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla(w - \tilde{k}_j)^-|^2 x_n^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} |(w - \tilde{k}_j)^-(t_0)|^2 x_n^{p+1} dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_{2R}^+} x_n^2 |(w - \tilde{k}_j)^-|^2 dx dt \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C\delta^2}{4^j} R^{n+p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma} R^{\frac{n+2p+2}{2}} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^{1/2}$$

or

$$|\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^2} R^{n+2p+2} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_j, R) \setminus \tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}.$$

Taking a summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_\ell, R; t)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} |\tilde{A}(\tilde{k}_{j+1}, R; t)|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^2} R^{n+2p+2} |B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{a\sigma^2} |B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]|_{\nu_{p+1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. \square

The next two lemmas provide estimates on the distribution function of w at each time slice based on the starting time.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $-\frac{1}{2} < t_0 \leq -R^{p+1}$, $\overline{M} \geq M_1 \geq \sup_{B_{2R}^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + R^{p+1}]} w$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. There exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $s_0 > 1$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ such that if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t_0) > M_1 - \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}},$$

then

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t) > M_1 - \frac{\delta}{2^{s_0}}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \frac{\sigma}{2}) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \quad \text{for every } t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + R^{p+1}.$$

Proof. Let η be a cut-off function supported in B_R and $\eta = 1$ in $B_{\beta R}$, where $0 < \beta < 1$ to be fixed. Let $0 < a \leq 1$ and

$$A^a(k, R) = \{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]\} \cap \{w > k\}.$$

Let $k_1 > 1$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t_0 < t < t_0 + aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_R^+} v^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_R^+} (v^2 + Cv^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_0} + C \int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 v^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $v = (w - (M_1 - \delta))^+$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} v^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_t &\geq \delta^2 (1 - 2^{-k_1})^2 |B_{\beta R} \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \\ \int_{B_R^+} (v^2 + Cv^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_0} &\leq \delta^2 (1 + C\delta) |\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t_0) > M_1 - \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ &\leq \delta^2 (1 + C\delta) (1 - \sigma) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 v^2 \, dx dt &\leq \delta^2 \frac{C}{(1-\beta)^2 R^2} |A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2} \\ &\leq \delta^2 |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \frac{C}{(1-\beta)^2} \frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_{\beta R}^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ &\leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(\frac{(1+C\delta)(1-\sigma)}{(1-2^{-k_1})^2} + \frac{C}{(1-\beta)^2} \frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ &\leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(C(1-\beta) + \frac{(1+C\delta)(1-\sigma)}{(1-2^{-k_1})^2} + \frac{C}{(1-\beta)^2} \frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing β such that

$$(1-\beta)^3 = \frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ &\leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(\frac{(1+C\delta)(1-\sigma)}{(1-2^{-k_1})^2} + C \left(\frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} . Since

$$\frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \leq a,$$

we can choose a small such that

$$Ca^{1/3} \leq \frac{\sigma}{8}.$$

Now we fix such an a . We choose a slightly smaller if necessary to make a^{-1} to be an integer. Let $N = a^{-1}$ and denote

$$t_j = t_0 + jaR^{p+1} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

We will inductively prove that there exist $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_N$ such that

$$\sup_{t_{j-1} \leq t \leq t_j} |B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-s_j}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq \left(1 - \sigma + \frac{j}{4N} \sigma \right) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \quad (18)$$

where all the s_j depend only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ , from which the conclusion of this lemma follow.

Let us consider $j = 1$ first.

There exist δ_0 small and k_0 large, both of which depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ , such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and all $k_1 \geq k_0$, we have

$$\frac{(1 + C\delta)(1 - \sigma)}{(1 - 2^{-k_1})^2} \leq 1 - \sigma + \frac{\sigma}{8N}.$$

Then by (17),

$$|B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\sigma\right) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Applying Lemma 3.5 and (15), for every $k_2 > k_1$, we have

$$\frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_2}, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \leq C \left(\frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_2}, R)|_{\nu_{p+1}}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_{p+1}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \leq C \left(\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{k_2 - k_1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

Hence, we can choose k_2 large enough such that

$$C \left(\frac{|A^a(M_1 - \delta 2^{-k_2}, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \frac{\sigma}{8N}.$$

Let $k_1 = k_0$ and $s_1 = k_1 + k_2$. By replacing δ by $\delta 2^{-k_2}$ in (17), it follows that

$$\sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_1} |B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) > M_1 - \delta 2^{-s_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq \left(1 - \sigma + \frac{1}{4N}\sigma\right) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}.$$

This prove (18) for $j = 1$. The proof for $j = 2, 3, \dots, N$ is similar, by considering the starting time as t_{j-1} . We omit the details. \square

Similarly,

Lemma 3.8. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $-\frac{1}{2} < t_0 \leq -R^{p+1}$, $\overline{m} \leq m_1 \leq \inf_{B_{2R}^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + R^{p+1}]} w$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. There exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $k_0 > 1$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ such that if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t_0) < m_1 + \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}},$$

then

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t) < m_1 + \frac{\delta}{2^{k_0}}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \frac{\sigma}{2}) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \quad \text{for any } t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + R^{p+1}.$$

Proof. Let η be a cut-off function supported in B_R and $\eta = 1$ in $B_{\beta R}$, where $0 < \beta < 1$ to be fixed. Let $0 < a \leq 1$ and

$$\tilde{A}^a(k, R) = \{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]\} \cap \{w < k\}.$$

Let $k_1 > 1$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 < t < t_0 + aR^{p+1}} \int_{B_R^+} (\tilde{v}^2 - C\tilde{v}^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_R^+} \tilde{v}^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_0} + C \int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 \tilde{v}^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{v} = (w - (m_1 + \delta))^-$. Choose δ_0 small such that $1 - C\delta_0 > 1/2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+} (\tilde{v}^2 - C\tilde{v}^3) \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_t & \geq (1 - C\delta) \delta^2 (1 - 2^{-k_1})^2 |B_{\beta R} \cap \{w(x, t) < m_1 + \frac{\delta}{2^{k_1}}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \\ \int_{B_R^+} \tilde{v}^2 \eta^2 G^{p+1} dx \Big|_{t_0} & \leq \delta^2 |\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, t_0) < m_1 + \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq \delta^2 (1 - \sigma) |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^+ \times [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]} |\nabla \eta|^2 G^2 \tilde{v}^2 dx dt & \leq \delta^2 \frac{C}{(1 - \beta)^2 R^2} |\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2} \\ & \leq \delta^2 |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \frac{C}{(1 - \beta)^2} \frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + aR^{p+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_{\beta R}^+ \cap \{w(x, t) < m_1 + \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(\frac{(1 - \sigma)}{(1 - C\delta)(1 - 2^{-k_1})^2} + \frac{C}{(1 - \beta)^2} \frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right) \\ & \leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(\frac{(1 + C\delta)(1 - \sigma)}{(1 - 2^{-k_1})^2} + \frac{C}{(1 - \beta)^2} \frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) < m_1 + \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(C(1 - \beta) + \frac{(1 + C\delta)(1 - \sigma)}{(1 - 2^{-k_1})^2} + \frac{C}{(1 - \beta)^2} \frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} . By choosing β such that

$$(1 - \beta)^3 = \frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_R^+ \cap \{w(x, t) < m_1 + \delta 2^{-k_1}\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \\ & \leq |B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}} \left(\frac{(1 + C\delta)(1 - \sigma)}{(1 - 2^{-k_1})^2} + C \left(\frac{|\tilde{A}^a(m_1 + \delta, R)|_{\nu_2}}{|Q_R|_{\nu_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

With the help of Lemma 3.6, the left proof is almost identical to that of Lemma 3.7, and we omit it. \square

Finally, combining all the above lemmas, we obtain the improvement of oscillation of w at the boundary.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $\overline{M} \geq M \geq \sup_{B_{2R}^+ \times [-R^{p+1}, 0]} w$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. There exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $k_0 > 1$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ such that if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, -R^{p+1}) > M - \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma)|B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}},$$

then

$$\sup_{Q_{R/2}^+} w \leq M - \frac{\delta}{2^{k_0}}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.5 with $a = 1$ and Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, $\overline{m} \leq m \leq \inf_{B_{2R}^+ \times [-R^{p+1}, 0]} w$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. There exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $k_0 > 1$ depending only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}, \overline{M}$ and σ such that if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and*

$$|\{x \in B_R^+ : w(x, -R^{p+1}) < m + \delta\}|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq (1 - \sigma)|B_R^+|_{\mu_{p+1}},$$

then

$$\inf_{Q_{R/2}^+} w \geq m + \frac{\delta}{2^{k_0}}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.6 with $a = 1$ and Lemma 3.4. \square

Then the Hölder regularity at the boundary follows in a standard way.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $p \geq 1$. Suppose (7) and (8) hold. Suppose w is Lipschitz continuous in $\overline{B}_1^+ \times (-1, 0]$, satisfies (10), and is a solution of (9) in the sense of distribution. Then there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$, both of which depend only on $n, p, \Lambda, \overline{m}$ and \overline{M} , such that for every $\bar{x} \in \partial' B_{1/2}^+$ and $\bar{t} \in (-1/4, 0)$, there holds*

$$|w(x, t) - w(\bar{x}, \bar{t})| \leq C(|x - \bar{x}| + |t - \bar{t}|^{\frac{1}{p+1}})^{\alpha} \quad \forall (x, t) \in B_{1/2}^+(\bar{x}) \times (-1/4 + \bar{t}, \bar{t}].$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = (0, 0)$. Choose $\ell_0 \geq 2$ large so that

$$\frac{\bar{M} - \bar{m}}{\ell_0} < \delta_0,$$

where δ_0 is smaller one between those in Lemma 3.9 with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ or Lemma 3.10 with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

For $R \in (0, 1/2]$, let

$$\mu(R) = \sup_{Q_R^+} w, \quad \tilde{\mu}(R) = \inf_{Q_R^+} w, \quad \omega(R) = \mu(R) - \tilde{\mu}(R).$$

Then one of the following two inequalities must hold:

$$\left| \left\{ x \in B_{R/2}^+ : w\left(x, -(R/2)^{p+1}\right) > \mu(R) - \frac{1}{\ell_0} \omega(R) \right\} \right|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} |B_{R/2}^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}, \quad (20)$$

$$\left| \left\{ x \in B_{R/2}^+ : w\left(x, -(R/2)^{p+1}\right) < \tilde{\mu}(R) + \frac{1}{\ell_0} \omega(R) \right\} \right|_{\mu_{p+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} |B_{R/2}^+|_{\mu_{p+1}}. \quad (21)$$

If (20) holds, then by Lemma 3.9, there exists $s_0 > 1$ such that

$$\mu(R/4) \leq \mu(R) - \frac{\omega(R)}{\ell_0 2^{s_0}}.$$

If (21) holds, then by Lemma 3.10, there exists $s_0 > 1$ such that

$$\tilde{\mu}(R/4) \geq \tilde{\mu}(R) + \frac{\omega(R)}{\ell_0 2^{s_0}}.$$

In any case, we obtain

$$\omega(R/4) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell_0 2^{s_0}}\right) \omega(R).$$

This implies that there exist two positive constants α and C , both of which depend only on n, p, Λ, \bar{m} and \bar{M} , such that

$$\omega(R) \leq CR^\alpha \quad \forall R \in (0, 1/2],$$

from which the conclusion follows. \square

Once we have the Hölder estimates at the boundary, we can use a scaling argument and the interior Hölder estimates to obtain the Hölder estimates up to $\{x_n = 0\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $R > 0$, let

$$w_R(y, s) = w(Ry, R^{p+1}s), \quad A_R(x) = A(Rx), \quad G_R(x) = R^{-1}G(Rx). \quad (22)$$

Then w_R satisfies

$$G_R^{p+1} \partial_t w_R^p = \operatorname{div}(A_R G_R^2 \nabla w_R) \quad \text{in } Q_{1/R}^+. \quad (23)$$

This equation is uniformly parabolic in $B_{1/2}(e_n) \times (-1, 0]$, where $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For any $\bar{x} = (0, \bar{x}_n) \in B_{1/2}^+$, we let $R := \bar{x}_n > 0$ and w_R, A_R, G_R as in (22). Applying the interior Hölder estimates for uniformly parabolic equations to (23) in $B_{1/2}(e_n) \times (-1, 0]$, there exist $C > 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, both depending only on Λ, n, p, \bar{m} and \bar{M} , such that

$$|w_R(e_n, 0) - w_R(y, s)| \leq C(|y - e_n| + \sqrt{|s|})^\beta \quad (24)$$

for all (y, s) such that $|y - e_n| + \sqrt{|s|} < 1/2$.

Consider $t \in (-1/4, 0]$. If $|t| \leq R^{2p+2}$, then we have

$$|w(\bar{x}, t) - w(\bar{x}, 0)| = |w_R(e_n, t/R^{p+1}) - w_R(e_n, 0)| \leq C|t/R^{p+1}|^{\beta/2} \leq C|t|^{\beta/4},$$

where we used (24) in the first inequality. If $|t| \geq R^{2p+2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(\bar{x}, t) - w(\bar{x}, 0)| &\leq |w(\bar{x}, t) - w(0, t)| + |w(0, t) - w(0, 0)| + |w(0, 0) - w(\bar{x}, 0)| \\ &\leq C(R^\alpha + |t|^{\frac{\alpha}{p+1}}) \\ &\leq C|t|^{\frac{\alpha}{2(p+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 3.11 in the second inequality. This shows that w is Hölder continuous in the time variable.

Consider $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}', \tilde{x}_n) \in B_{1/2}^+$ such that $\tilde{x}_n \leq \bar{x}_n$. If $\tilde{x} \in B_{R^2}(\bar{x})$, then we have

$$|w(\bar{x}, 0) - w(\tilde{x}, 0)| = |w_R(e_n, 0) - w_R(\tilde{x}/R, 0)| \leq C|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|/R^\beta \leq C|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}|^{\beta/2},$$

where we used (24) in the first inequality. If $\tilde{x} \notin B_{R^2}(\bar{x})$, since $\tilde{x}_n \leq \bar{x}_n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(\bar{x}, 0) - w(\tilde{x}, 0)| &\leq |w(\bar{x}, 0) - w(0, 0)| + |w(0, 0) - w(\tilde{x}', 0, 0)| + |w(\tilde{x}', 0, 0) - w(\tilde{x}, 0)| \\ &\leq C(R^\alpha + |\tilde{x}'|^\alpha) \\ &\leq C|\bar{x} - \tilde{x}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 3.11 in the second inequality. This shows that w is Hölder continuous in the spatial variables.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

4 Higher order estimates and proof of the main theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, be a smooth bounded domain. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta - b,$$

where $b < \lambda_1$ is a constant and λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on Ω . Recall that $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. Consider nonnegative solutions of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^p = -\mathcal{L}v & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ v(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where $1 < p < \infty$ and $T > 0$. Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{c_0}d(x) \leq v(x, t) \leq c_0 d(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T] \quad (26)$$

for some constant $c_0 \geq 1$. Denote

$$C^{3,2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) = \{u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) : D_x u, D_x^2 u, D_x^3 u, \\ \partial_t u, D_x \partial_t u, D_x^2 \partial_t u, \partial_t^2 u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])\}.$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and

$$[u]_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\Omega \times (0, T])} := \sup_{\substack{(x,t), (y,t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(y, t)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \sup_{\substack{(x,t), (x,s) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ t \neq s}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(x, s)|}{|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{p+1}}} \\ + \sup_{\substack{(x,t), (x,s) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ t \neq s}} d(x)^{\frac{(p-1)\alpha}{2}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(x, s)|}{|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

This weighted Hölder semi-norm was introduced in [38] for establishing Schauder estimates of the linearized equation of (25). It incorporates the two different scalings of the equation (25) when the scaling is centered at either an interior point or a boundary point. Denote

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])} = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])} + [u]_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $v \in C^{3,2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ be a positive solution of (25) satisfying (26).*

(i). If p is an integer, then $v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [T/2, T])$, and

$$\|d^{-1} \partial_t^\ell v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [T/2, T])} + \|D_x^k \partial_t^\ell v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [T/2, T])} \leq C,$$

for every $k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, \Omega, T, p, b, c_0, \ell$ and k .

(ii). If p is not an integer, then $\partial_t^\ell v(\cdot, t) \in C^{2+p}(\overline{\Omega})$ for every $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \in [T/2, T]$, and there holds

$$\|d^{-1} \partial_t^\ell v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times [T/2, T])} + \sup_{t \in [T/2, T]} \|\partial_t^\ell v(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2+p}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on n, Ω, T, p, b, c_0 and ℓ .

Proof. Step 1. We show that there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$, both of which depend only on n, Ω, T, p, b and c_0 such that

$$\left\| \frac{v}{d} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\Omega \times [T/8, T])} \leq C. \quad (27)$$

Indeed, by (26) and the Hölder regularity theory of linear uniformly parabolic equations, we only need to show the Hölder estimation of v/d near the lateral boundary. We pick a point

$x_0 \in \Omega$ staying far away from the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let G be the Green's function centered at x_0 , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}G = \delta_{x_0} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad G = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then $\frac{1}{c_1} \leq G/d \leq c_1$ and G/d is smooth in $\Omega_\rho := \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \rho\}$ for some constants $\rho < \frac{1}{2}d(x_0)$ and $c_1 \geq 1$, both of which depend only on Ω, n and b . Let

$$w := \frac{v}{G}.$$

Then it is elementary to check that

$$G^{p+1} \partial_t w^p = \operatorname{div}(G^2 \nabla w) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\rho \times (0, T].$$

By straightening out the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and using the assumption (26) and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|w\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\Omega_{\rho/2} \times [T/8, T])} \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$ depending only n, Ω, T, p, b, c_0 and c_1 . Therefore, (27) follows.

Step 2. Let $\eta(t)$ be a cutoff function satisfying $\eta = 0$ for $t \leq T/8$ and $\eta = 1$ for $t \geq T/7$, and let $\tilde{v} = \eta v$. Then

$$pd^{p-1} \left(\frac{v}{d}\right)^{p-1} \partial_t \tilde{v} = -\mathcal{L}\tilde{v} + pd^{p-1} \left(\frac{v}{d}\right)^{p-1} v \partial_t \eta \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T].$$

By (27) and the Schauder estimates (Theorem 2.19 of [38]), we have

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/7, T])} + \|D_x v\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/7, T])} + \sup_{t \in [T/7, T]} \|D_x^2 v(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

where $\beta = \min\{\alpha, p-1\}$.

Step 3. We claim that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial_t v}{d} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/3, T])} \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [T/3, T]. \quad (28)$$

Indeed, for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and arbitrarily small positive number $0 < h < \frac{T}{100}$, we define

$$v_\lambda^h(x, t) = \frac{v(x, t) - v(x, t-h)}{h^\lambda}.$$

By the equation of v ,

$$pd^{p-1} a \partial_t v_\lambda^h = \mathcal{L}v_\lambda^h + d^{p-1} f \frac{v_\lambda^h}{d} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (T/100, T], \quad (29)$$

where $a(x, t) = (\frac{v(x, t)}{d(x)})^{p-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &= -d(x)^{2-p} \partial_t v(x, t-h) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} [\theta v(x, t) + (1-\theta)v(x, t-h)]^{p-1} d\theta \\ &= -(p-1) \partial_t v(x, t-h) \int_0^1 \left[\theta \frac{v(x, t)}{d} + (1-\theta) \frac{v(x, t-h)}{d} \right]^{p-2} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By (26), $\frac{1}{c_0} \leq a \leq c_0$. This together with (27) implies that

$$a, f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/6, T]).$$

Set $\lambda_m = \frac{(m+1)\alpha}{p+1}$ if $m < \frac{p+1}{\alpha} - 1$ and $\lambda_m = 1$ if $m \geq \frac{p+1}{\alpha} - 1$. By Step 2 and Taylor expansion calculations, we have

$$|\partial_t v_{\lambda_0}^h| + \left| \frac{v_{\lambda_0}^h}{d} \right| \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [T/6, T]. \quad (30)$$

Using (30) and applying elliptic estimates on each time slice of (29), we have

$$\sup_{T/6 \leq t \leq T} \|v_{\lambda_0}^h(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq C(\gamma)$$

for any $0 < \gamma < 1$. Combing with $|\partial_t v_{\lambda_0}^h| \leq C$ in (30), it follows from a calculus lemma, Lemma 3.1 on page 78 in [47] (cf. Lemma B.3 in [38]), we have

$$|\nabla v_{\lambda_0}^h(x, t) - \nabla v_{\lambda_0}^h(y, s)| \leq C(|x - y|^2 + |t - s|)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \quad \forall (x, t), (y, s) \in \Omega \times [T/6, T].$$

By choosing $\gamma \geq \alpha$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{v_{\lambda_0}^h}{d} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/6, T])} \leq C.$$

Applying the Schauder estimates (Theorem 2.19 of [38]) to (29), we then conclude that

$$\|\partial_t v_{\lambda_0}^h\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/5, T])} + \|D_x v_{\lambda_0}^h\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/5, T])} + \sup_{t \in [T/5, T]} \|D_x^2 v_{\lambda_0}^h(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\beta(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad (31)$$

where $\beta = \min\{\alpha, p-1\}$ and $C > 0$ is independent of h . It follows that

$$|\partial_t v_{\lambda_1}^h| + \left| \frac{v_{\lambda_1}^h}{d} \right| \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [T/4, T].$$

Repeating the above argument in finite steps to obtain (31) with λ_0 replaced by 1 in $\bar{\Omega} \times [T/3, T]$, in particular,

$$\|D_x \partial_t v\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\bar{\Omega} \times [T/3, T])} \leq C,$$

from which (28) follows immediately.

Step 4. Once we have (28), higher order estimates follow from the bootstrap arguments by differentiating the equation (25) in the time variable, as in proof of Theorem 4.8 of [38]. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Once we have the *a priori* estimates in Theorem 4.1, the left arguments of proving Theorem 1.1 is identical to that of Theorem 5.1 in [38], which we sketch in the below.

Let u be a bounded nonnegative weak solution of (1) and (2). By the continuity of u (see Chen-DiBenedetto [17]) and a translation in the time variable if needed, we can assume that $u_0 = u(\cdot, 0) \in C_0(\overline{\Omega})$ and u_0 is not identical to zero. Let $T^* > 0$ be the extinction time of u . It is proved in Section 5.10.1 of Vázquez [56] that there exists $q \in [p + 1, \infty)$, which depends only on n and p , such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \leq -C(n, p, \Omega) < 0.$$

See (5.79) there. Integrating the above differential inequality from t to T^* , we have

$$C(T^* - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

By the maximum principle, $u(x, t) \leq \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u_0$. Hence, for any $0 < \delta < T^*/4$ we have

$$C\delta^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } \delta < t < T^* - \delta,$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on n, p, Ω, δ and $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$. By the proof of Proposition 6.2 of DiBenedetto-Kwong-Vespi [30],

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{u}{d} \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [\delta, T^* - \delta], \quad (32)$$

where $C > 0$ depending only on n, p, Ω, δ and $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ v \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_0^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \inf_{\Omega} \frac{v}{d} > 0, v^{1-p} \Delta v \in C_0^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), (v^{1-p} \Delta)^2 v \in C_0^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \right\},$$

where $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, p - 1, \frac{2}{p-1}\}$, and the subscript 0 in $C_0^{k+\alpha}$ means that every function belonging to this set vanishes on $\partial\Omega$.

Step 1. If $u_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, then the theorem follows from repeatedly using the short time existence theorem (Theorem 3.2 in [38]), (32) and Theorem 4.1.

Step 2. Otherwise, one can take a sequence of functions $\{u_0^{(j)}\}$ in \mathcal{S} to approximate u_0 in $C_0(\overline{\Omega})$. Let $u^{(j)}$ be the weak solution to (1)-(2) with initial data $u^{(j)}(\cdot, 0) = u_0^{(j)}$. Then it follows from the comparison principle, the Hölder estimates up to $\partial\Omega$ (see Chen-DiBenedetto [17]) and the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\{u^{(j)}\}$, which is still denoted as $\{u^{(j)}\}$, such that it converges locally uniformly to u on $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)$. Let $\delta \in (0, T^*/4)$. By (32), there exists C independent of j such that

$$\frac{d(x)}{C} \leq u^{(j)} \leq Cd(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [\delta, T^* - \delta] \text{ for all large } j. \quad (33)$$

Meanwhile, since $u_0^{(j)} \in \mathcal{S}$, then from Step 1, we know $u^{(j)} \in C^{3,2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T^* - \delta])$ for all large j . Then, it follows from (33) and Theorem 4.1 that there exists a subsequence of $\{u^{(j)}\}$ converging to u in $C^{3,2}(\overline{\Omega} \times [2\delta, T^* - \delta])$. In particular, $u(\cdot, 2\delta) \in \mathcal{S}$, and thus, the theorem follows from Step 1. \square

The same argument will show that Theorem 1.1 also applies to the equation (25).

References

- [1] G. Akagi, *Rates of convergence to non-degenerate asymptotic profiles for fast diffusion via energy methods*. arXiv:2109.03960.
- [2] D. G. Aronson, *Regularity properties of flows through porous media*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **17** (1969), 461–467.
- [3] D. G. Aronson, *Regularity properties of flows through porous media: The interface*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **37** (1970), 1–10.
- [4] D. G. Aronson and P. Bénilan, *Régularité des solutions de l'équation des milieux poreux dans \mathbb{R}^n* . (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **288** (1979), no. 2, A103–A105.
- [5] B. Avelin, U. Gianazza and S. Salsa, *Boundary estimates for certain degenerate and singular parabolic equations*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 2, 381–424.
- [6] J. B. Berryman and C. J. Holland, *Stability of the separable solution for fast diffusion*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **74** (1980), 379–388.
- [7] M. Bonforte and A. Figalli, *Sharp extinction rates for fast diffusion equations on generic bounded domains*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **74** (2021), no. 4, 744–789.
- [8] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J. L. Vázquez, *Behaviour near extinction for the fast diffusion equation on bounded domains*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **97** (2012), 1–38.
- [9] M. Bonforte and J. L. Vázquez, *Positivity, local smoothing, and Harnack inequalities for very fast diffusion equations*. Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 2, 529–578.
- [10] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy*. J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 2, 217–278.
- [11] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension 6 and higher*. Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 541–576.
- [12] L. A. Caffarelli and L. C. Evans, *Continuity of the temperature in the two-phase Stefan problem*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **81** (1983), no. 3, 199–220.
- [13] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman, *Regularity of the free boundary of a gas flow in an n -dimensional porous medium*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), no. 3, 361–391.
- [14] L. A. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez and N. I. Wolanski, *Lipschitz continuity of solutions and interfaces of the N -dimensional porous medium equation*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), no. 2, 373–401.
- [15] L. A. Caffarelli and N. Wolanski, *$C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of the free boundary for the N -dimensional porous media equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1990), no. 7, 885–902.
- [16] H. Castro, *Hardy-Sobolev-type inequalities with monomial weights*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2017), no. 2, 579–598.
- [17] Y. Z. Chen and E. DiBenedetto, *On the local behavior of solutions of singular parabolic equations*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **103** (1988), no. 4, 319–345.
- [18] B. Choi, R. J. McCann and C. Seis, *Asymptotics near extinction for nonlinear fast diffusion on a bounded domain*. arXiv:2202.02769.
- [19] S.-K. Chua and R. L. Wheeden, *Estimates of best constants for weighted Poincaré inequalities on convex domains*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **93** (2006), no. 1, 197–226.
- [20] B. E. J. Dahlberg and C. Kenig, *Nonnegative solutions to fast diffusions*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **2** (1986), no. 3, 267–305.

- [21] P. Daskalopoulos and R. Hamilton, *Regularity of the free boundary for the porous medium equation*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 899–965.
- [22] P. Daskalopoulos, R. Hamilton and K. Lee, *All time C^∞ -regularity of the interface in degenerate diffusion: a geometric approach*. Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), no. 2, 295–327.
- [23] P. Daskalopoulos and C. Kenig, *Degenerate diffusions. Initial value problems and local regularity theory*. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 1. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007.
- [24] E. De Giorgi, *Sulla differenziabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari*. Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (3) **3** (1957), 25–43.
- [25] E. DiBenedetto, *Continuity of weak solutions to a general porous medium equation*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), no. 1, 83–118.
- [26] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. xvi+387 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94020-0.
- [27] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and V. Vespri, *Forward, backward and elliptic Harnack inequalities for non-negative solutions to certain singular parabolic partial differential equations*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **9** (2010), no. 2, 385–422.
- [28] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and V. Vespri, *Harnack's inequality for degenerate and singular parabolic equations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [29] E. DiBenedetto and Y. C. Kwong, *Harnack estimates and extinction profile for weak solution of certain singular parabolic equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (2) (1992), 783–811.
- [30] E. DiBenedetto, Y. C. Kwong and V. Vespri, *Local space-analyticity of solutions of certain singular parabolic equations*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (2) (1991), 741–765.
- [31] J. Dou, L. Sun, L. Wang and M. Zhu, *Divergent operator with degeneracy and related sharp inequalities*. J. Funct. Anal. **282** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 109294.
- [32] J. R. Drake, J. R. Greenwood, G. A. Navratil and R.S. Post, *Diffusion coefficient scaling in the Wisconsin levitated octupole*. Phys. Fluids **20** (1977), 148–155.
- [33] E. B. Fabes, N. Garofalo and S. Salsa, *A backward Harnack inequality and Fatou theorem for nonnegative solutions of parabolic equations*. Illinois J. of Math. **30** (1986), 536–565.
- [34] E. B. Fabes and M. V. Safonov, *Behavior near the boundary of positive solutions of second order parabolic equations*. J. Fourier Anal. and Appl. **96** (1997), 871–882.
- [35] E. Feireisl and F. Simondon, *Convergence for semilinear degenerate parabolic equations in several space dimension*. J. Dynam. Differential Equations **12** (2000), 647–673.
- [36] R. Hamilton. *Lectures on geometric flows*. Unpublished. 1989.
- [37] M. Herrero and M. Pierre, *The Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u^m$ when $0 < m < 1$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), no. 1, 145–158.
- [38] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Optimal boundary regularity for fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*. arXiv:1910.05160, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [39] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Bubbling and extinction for some fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*. arXiv:2008.01311.
- [40] M. V. Keldyš, *On certain cases of degeneration of equations of elliptic type on the boundary of a domain*. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **77** (1951), 181–183.
- [41] H. Koch, *Non-Euclidean singular integrals and the porous medium equation*. Habilitation. Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [42] N. V. Krylov, *Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **47** (1983), no. 1, 75–108; English translation: Math. USSR Izv. **22**

- (1984), no. 1, 67–98.
- [43] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione and K. Nyström, *A boundary Harnack inequality for singular equations of parabolic type*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 2705–2719.
 - [44] Y. C. Kwong, *Interior and boundary regularity of solutions to a plasma type equation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 2, 472–478.
 - [45] Y. C. Kwong, *Asymptotic behavior of a plasma type equation with finite extinction*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **104** (1988), no. 3, 277–294.
 - [46] Y. C. Kwong, *Boundary behavior of the fast diffusion equation*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **322** (1990), no. 1, 263–283.
 - [47] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural’ceva, “Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type”. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1968.
 - [48] P. Lu, L. Ni, J.-L. Vázquez and C. Villani, *Local Aronson-Bénilan estimates and entropy formulae for porous medium and fast diffusion equations on manifolds*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **91** (2009), 1–19.
 - [49] V. Maz’ya, *Sobolev spaces with applications to elliptic partial differential equations*. Second, revised and augmented edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 342. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
 - [50] O. A. Oleinik, A. S. Kalashnikov and Y.-I. Chzou, *The Cauchy problem and boundary problems for equations of the type of unsteady filtration*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR Ser. Math. **22** (1958), 667–704.
 - [51] O. A. Oleinik and E. V. Radkevich, *Second Order Differential Equations with Nonnegative Characteristic Form*. Amer. Math. Soc., RI/Plenum Press, New York, 1973.
 - [52] E. S. Sabinina, *On the Cauchy problem for the equation of non-stationary gas filtration in several space variables*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **136** (1961), 1034–1037.
 - [53] E. S. Sabinina, *On a class of nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 794–797.
 - [54] P. E. Sacks, *Continuity of solutions of a singular parabolic equation*. Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), no. 4, 387–409.
 - [55] H. Schwetlick and M. Struwe, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for “large” energies*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **562** (2003), 59–100.
 - [56] J. L. Vázquez, *The Porous Medium Equation. Mathematical Theory*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
 - [57] C. Wang, L. Wang, J. Yin, S. Zhou, *Hölder continuity of weak solutions of a class of linear equations with boundary degeneracy*. J. Differential Equations **239** (2007), no. 1, 99–131.
 - [58] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of the Yamabe flow*. J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 35–50.

T. Jin

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Email: tianlingjin@ust.hk

J. Xiong

School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, MOE
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
Email: jx@bnu.edu.cn