

VECTOR FIELDS OF CANCELLATION FOR THE PRANDTL OPERATORS

TONG YANG

ABSTRACT. It has been a fascinating topic in the study of boundary layer theory about the well-posedness of Prandtl equation that was derived in 1904. Recently, new ideas about cancellation to overcome the loss of tangential derivatives were obtained so that Prandtl equation can be shown to be well-posed in Sobolev spaces to avoid the use of Crocco transformation as in the classical work of Oleinik. This short note aims to show that the cancellation mechanism is in fact related to some intrinsic directional derivative that can be used to recover the tangential derivative under some structural assumption on the fluid near the boundary.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1904, Prandtl derived the famous equation to describe the fluid behaviour near a boundary by resolving the difference between the viscous and the inviscid effects with no-slip boundary condition. This revolutionary result has vast applications in aerodynamics and other areas of engineering. It also provides a typical mathematical model that attracts attention even now because a lot of mathematical problems remain unsolved. The key observation by Prandtl is that outside a layer of thickness of $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\text{Re}}}$, convection dominates so that the flow is governed by the Euler equations; while inside a layer (boundary layer) of thickness of $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\text{Re}}}$, convection and viscosity balance so that the flow is governed by the Prandtl equations. Here Re is the Reynolds number.

Let us briefly recall the derivation of the Prandtl equation. Consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations over a flat boundary $\{(x, y) \in D, z = 0\}$ with no-slip boundary condition,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\epsilon + (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon + \nabla p^\epsilon - \epsilon \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\epsilon = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|_{z=0} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{u}^ϵ is the velocity field, p^ϵ represents the pressure and $\epsilon \mu$ is the viscosity coefficient with ϵ being a small parameter. According to the Prandtl ansatz, set $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon = (u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon, w^\epsilon)^T$

Date: January 26, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q30, 35Q31.

Key words and phrases. Prandtl operators, cancellation mechanism, vector field of cancellation, well-posedness theory, structural assumptions.

with the following scaling:

$$\begin{cases} u^\epsilon(t, x, y, z) = u(t, x, y, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) + o(1), \\ v^\epsilon(t, x, y, z) = v(t, x, y, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) + o(1), \\ w^\epsilon(t, x, y, z) = \sqrt{\epsilon}w(t, x, y, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) + o(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \end{cases}$$

The leading order gives the following classical Prandtl equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u\partial_x + v\partial_y + w\partial_z)u + \partial_x p^E(t, x, y, 0) = \mu\partial_z^2 u, \\ \partial_t v + (u\partial_x + v\partial_y + w\partial_z)v + \partial_y p^E(t, x, y, 0) = \mu\partial_z^2 v, \\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v + \partial_z w = 0, \\ (u, v, w)|_{z=0} = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} (u, v) = (u^E, v^E)(t, x, y, 0), \end{cases}$$

where the fast variable $\frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ is still denoted by z for simplicity of notation. And the pressure and velocity of the outer flow denoted by $p^E(t, x, y)$ and $\mathbf{u}^E = (u^E, v^E, 0)(t, x, y)$ satisfy the Bernoulli's law

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}^E + (\mathbf{u}^E \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^E + \nabla p^E = 0.$$

For later presentation, we denote the Prandtl operator by

$$P^\mu = \partial_t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y + w\partial_z - \mu\partial_z^2,$$

with a parameter μ in front of the dissipation in the normal direction.

Note that from the no-slip boundary condition and the incompressibility, we have

$$w = - \int_0^z (u_x + v_y) dz,$$

so that the Prandtl equations can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y - \int_0^z (u_x + v_y) dz \partial_z - \mu\partial_z^2)u = -\partial_x p^E(t, x, y, 0), \\ (\partial_t + u\partial_x + v\partial_y - \int_0^z (u_x + v_y) dz \partial_z - \mu\partial_z^2)v = -\partial_y p^E(t, x, y, 0). \end{cases}$$

From the above two time evolution equations on (u, v) , the loss of tangential derivative is obvious because of the convection term on the left hand side has a non-local term that contains tangential derivatives of (u, v) . In fact, whether there is a general well-posedness theory in three space dimensions with finite order differential regularity remains unsolved in contrast to the classical work by Oleinik in 1963 in two space dimensions under the monotonicity condition, cf. [7] and the references therein. Precisely, under the monotonicity condition, the Crocco transformation is used in the classical work by Oleinik in which the normal coordinate z is replaced by u so that the reduced equation for u^2 becomes degenerate parabolic. And then the maximum principle argument can be applied with subtle analysis.

On the other hand, with infinite order of differential regularity, the well-posedness of the Prandtl equations was proved in the seminal work by Sammartino-Caffisch [8] in analytic framework, and in recent work [3, 4] in Gevrey function space with optimal index 2 in two and three space dimensions.

The well-posedness in analytic framework can be illustrated as follows. Consider a time evolution equation

$$P^\mu u = f.$$

Let $\partial^m u$ be the m -th order tangential derivatives of u so that it satisfies

$$P^\mu \partial^m u = F(\partial^{m+1} u, \dots),$$

with the source term depending on $\partial^{m+1} u$ up to one order power. In order to obtain an estimate on the analytic norm on u , we only need to have a local in time bound on $\frac{\rho^m \|\partial^m u\|}{m!}$, where $\rho = \rho(t)$ is the radius of analyticity. Based on a basic inequality

$$m\left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^m \lesssim \frac{1}{\rho - \tilde{\rho}}, \quad \tilde{\rho} < \rho,$$

one can estimate the source term with one extra order of derivative by

$$\int_0^t \frac{\rho^m(s) \|\partial^{m+1} u\|(s)}{m!} ds \lesssim \|u\| \int_0^t \left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\rho(t)}\right)^m (m+1) ds \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{\|u\|}{\rho(s) - \rho(t)} ds.$$

Then by choosing a suitable radius function of analyticity in time to make the final integral bounded in finite time, the a priori bound can be closed by an argument using the abstract Cauchy-Kowalewski theory. Please refer to [2, 8] for details.

With the above understanding on the Prandtl operator, we will investigate the cancellation mechanisms of this operator through directional derivatives in the next section.

2. CANCELLATION MECHANISMS

In this section, we will first recall the main observations in the papers [1, 6] about the cancellations by using either the convection term or the vorticity equation in two space dimensions. And then we will present a new observation about directional derivatives through some suitably chosen vector fields of cancellation. The vector fields of cancellation are shown to be consistent with the recent work on both the classical Prandtl operator and the Prandtl operator derived from the MHD system in the fully nonlinear regime.

Recall the Prandtl operator in two space dimensions (x, z) given by

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + w \partial_z u + \partial_x P^E = \mu \partial_z^2 u.$$

Consider its linearization around a divergence free vector field (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w})

$$\partial_t u + \tilde{u} \partial_x u + \tilde{w} \partial_z u + u \partial_x \tilde{u} + w \partial_z \tilde{u} = \mu \partial_z^2 u + S,$$

where S represents the source term. To treat the loss of derivative term of the unknown function u , that is $w \partial_z \tilde{u}$, we can divide both sides by $\partial_z \tilde{u}$ under Oleinik's monotonicity condition on the velocity field (\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) , i.e., $\tilde{\omega} = \partial_z \tilde{u} \neq 0$. When we consider the time evolution of u_x by differentiating the above equation in x , the differentiation in z again yields a cancellation by the divergence free condition on the velocity field (u, w) for the two terms involving tangential derivative of the second order:

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{u} \partial_{xx} u + w_x \partial_z \tilde{u}}{\partial_z \tilde{u}}\right)_z = u_{xx} + w_{xz} + \tilde{R} = \tilde{R},$$

where \tilde{R} contains terms with tangential derivative of u at most one order. This implies that one can use the good unknown function

$$g_1 = \left(\frac{u_x}{\tilde{\omega}}\right)_z = \frac{w_x \tilde{w} - \tilde{w}_z u_x}{\tilde{\omega}^2},$$

with $w = \partial_z u$ to avoid the loss of tangential derivative in the time evolution equation. And this idea is used in [1] through the Nash-Moser iteration to yield the local in time well-posedness.

Another cancellation function observed in [6] is by noticing the vorticity equation for ω has the same form as u , that is

$$P^\mu(\omega) = 0, \quad P^\mu(u) = -\partial_x P^E.$$

Hence

$$P^\mu(\omega_x) = -u_x \omega_x - w_x \omega_z, \quad P^\mu(u) = -u_x^2 - w_x \omega - \partial_x^2 P^E,$$

where the non-local term w_x containing extra one order of tangential derivative can be cancelled by using the good unknown function

$$f_1 = \omega_x - \frac{\omega_z}{\omega} u_x,$$

cf. [6] for details. Since $f_1 \sim \omega g_1$, under the Oleinik monotonicity condition $\omega \neq 0$, the two good unknown functions g_1 and f_1 are basically similar up to a weight function.

We now introduce the concept of the field of cancellation so that the above cancellation becomes clear and more physical.

Definition 2.1. *A vector field Θ is called a field of cancellation for loss of tangential derivative with respect to the Prandtl operator P^μ if the commutator of P^μ and $\Theta \cdot \nabla$ does not have the loss of tangential derivative property. That is, for any differential function f ,*

$$[P^\mu, \Theta \cdot \nabla]f = R,$$

where R contains tangential derivative of u and f up to the first order.

Remark 2.2. Note that $(1, 0) \cdot \nabla u$ can not be estimated directly in the Prandtl equation. However, if there exists a field of cancellation Θ , then $\Theta \cdot \nabla u$ can be estimated. Hence, the remained question is whether one can recover $(1, 0) \cdot \nabla u$ from $\Theta \cdot \nabla u$. For this, some structural assumption is needed.

For the existence of field of cancellation for Prandtl operator, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\mathbf{u} = (u, w)$ is a divergence free vector field in 2D and P^μ is the Prandtl operator. If there exists a vector function Θ that contains no tangential derivatives of u satisfying*

$$P^\mu \Theta = (\Theta \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u},$$

then Θ is a field of cancellation.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu(\Theta \cdot \nabla f) &= (P^\mu \Theta) \cdot \nabla f + \Theta \cdot [P^\mu, \nabla]f + (\Theta \cdot \nabla) P^\mu f \\ &= (\Theta \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f - \Theta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_x \partial_x + w_x \partial_z \\ u_z \partial_x + w_z \partial_z \end{pmatrix} f + (\Theta \cdot \nabla) P^\mu f \\ &= \theta_1 w_x f_z - \theta_1 w_x f_z + (\Theta \cdot \nabla) P^\mu f + R \\ &= (\Theta \cdot \nabla) P^\mu f + R, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, that is

$$[P^\mu, \Theta \cdot \nabla]f = R,$$

where R contains tangential derivatives of u and f up to the first order. Here, note that w_x that is related to the second order derivative of u in x is cancelled. \square

The above lemma provides a strategy of finding a vector field for recovering the loss of tangential derivatives. That is, if we estimate the directional derivative $\Theta \cdot \nabla f$ using the Prandtl operator, there is no loss of tangential derivative. On the other hand, it is crucial that one can recover the tangential derivative $(1, 0) \cdot \nabla f$. For this, one needs some structural assumption such as the Oleinik's monotonicity condition. In addition, for higher order tangential derivative, we can use the terms in the $\partial_x^m(\Theta \cdot \nabla f)$ that involve highest order tangential derivatives.

In the following two subsections, we will present the existence of the vector field Θ for two physical models, that is, the classical Prandtl operator and the Prandtl operator derived from the MHD system in two space dimensions. Note that it is a very interesting and unsolved problem about whether such vector field exists in three space dimension.

2.1. 2D Prandtl equation. If we consider the classical Prandtl equation, a field of cancellation can be constructed as follows. First of all, by $P^\mu(u_z) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu(u_{zz}) &= -u_z u_{zx} - w_z u_{zz} \\ &= u_x u_{zz} + w_{zz} u_z = \mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla u. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$P^\mu(w_z) = -P^\mu(u_x) = P_{xx}^E + u_x^2 + w_x u_z,$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu(w_{zz}) &= (u_x^2 + w_x u_z)_z - u_z w_{xz} - w_z w_{zz} \\ &= w_x u_{zz} + w_{zz} w_z = \mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$P^\mu(\mathbf{u}_{zz}) = (\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}.$$

According to the Lemma 2.3, we can set

$$\Theta = \mathbf{u}_{zz},$$

so that

$$P^\mu(\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = R,$$

where the source term R contains tangential derivative of u at most one order. Therefore, standard analytic techniques can be applied to the above equation for desired estimates on $\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla u$. Note that $(1, 0) \cdot \nabla u = u_x$ can be recovered from $\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla u$ if $u_z \neq 0$ because

$$\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla u = u_{zz} u_x - u_{xz} u_z = -\omega f_1 \sim -\omega^2 g_1,$$

where g_1 and f_1 are the two good unknown functions used in [1, 6] mentioned above. This shows that under the Oleinik's monotonicity condition $\omega \neq 0$, the directional derivative $\mathbf{u}_{zz} \cdot \nabla u$ can be used to recover the tangential derivative $(1, 0) \cdot \nabla u = u_x$ as in [1, 6].

2.2. 2D MHD. In this subsection, we will present another model to illustrate the existence of vector field of cancellation. For this, consider the MHD model in two space dimensions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \Delta \mathbf{u} = S \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{h}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{h} - \text{curl}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h}) + \frac{1}{\text{Rm}} \text{curl} \text{curl} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \text{div} \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, w)$ and $\mathbf{h} = (f, h)$ represent the velocity and magnetic fields respectively, and p is the total pressure. Here, there are some physical parameters, Re representing the Reynolds number, Rm the magnetic Reynolds number, and $S = \frac{\text{Ha}^2}{\text{ReRm}}$ the coupling parameter, and Ha the Hartmann number.

It is known that in the nonlinear regime when $\text{Re} \sim \text{Rm} \sim \text{Ha}$ being sufficiently large, one can derive a Prandtl type boundary layer system of equations with no-slip boundary condition on the velocity field and perfect conducting condition on the magnetic field. Precisely, by taking

$$\text{Rm} = \frac{1}{\kappa \epsilon}, \quad \text{Re} = \frac{1}{\mu \epsilon}, \quad S = 1,$$

with ϵ being a small parameter, the MHD system becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}^\epsilon + (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon - (\mathbf{h}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h}^\epsilon + \nabla p^\epsilon = \mu \epsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, & (x, y) \in \Omega, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{h}^\epsilon + (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h}^\epsilon - (\mathbf{h}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^\epsilon = \kappa \epsilon \Delta \mathbf{h}^\epsilon, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\epsilon = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}^\epsilon = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|_{y=0} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \partial_y f^\epsilon|_{y=0} = 0, \quad h^\epsilon|_{y=0} = 0, \\ (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{h}^\epsilon)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{h}_0)(x, y). \end{cases}$$

If one applies the Prandtl ansatz to the above system

$$\begin{cases} u^\epsilon(t, x, z) = u(t, x, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}), & \begin{cases} f^\epsilon(t, x, z) = f(t, x, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}), \\ h^\epsilon(t, x, z) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} h(t, x, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}), \end{cases} \\ w^\epsilon(t, x, z) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t, x, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}), \end{cases}$$

and

$$p^\epsilon(t, x, z) = p(t, x, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}),$$

the following Prandtl equations for MHD can be derived:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + w \partial_z u - \mu \partial_z^2 u = f \partial_x f + h \partial_z f - P_x, \\ \partial_t f + u \partial_x f + w \partial_z f - \kappa \partial_z^2 f = f \partial_x u + h \partial_z u, \\ \partial_x u + \partial_z w = 0, \quad \partial_x f + \partial_z h = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x, y), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, y), \\ (u, w, \partial_z f, h)|_{z=0} = 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} (u, f) = (u^E, f^E)(t, x, 0), \end{cases}$$

where again the fast variable $\frac{z}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ is still denoted by z , the outer flow $(u^E, f^E, P)(t, x, 0)$ is the trace of a solution to the ideal MHD system on the boundary. For this system, note that the stream function ψ of the magnetic field (f, h) satisfies

$$P^\kappa \psi = 0,$$

that is in analogue to the vorticity ω for the 2D Prandtl equation. The following two good unknown functions are used in [5] to take care of the m -th tangential derivatives of u and f :

$$u^m := \partial_x^m u - \frac{\partial_z u}{f} \partial_x^m \psi, \quad f^m := \partial_x^m f - \frac{\partial_z f}{f} \partial_x^m \psi. \quad (2.1)$$

With these unknown functions, the equations for u^m and f^m are in the following symmetric form so that the loss of derivatives can be treated

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^m + (u \partial_x + w \partial_z) u^m - (f \partial_x + h \partial_z) f^m = \mu \partial_z^2 u^m + R_1 \\ \partial_t f^m + (u \partial_x + w \partial_z) f^m - (f \partial_x + h \partial_z) u^m = \kappa \partial_z^2 f^m + R_2, \end{cases}$$

where R_i , $i = 1, 2$, contain tangential derivatives of at most m -th order. Here, the non-degeneracy of the tangential magnetic field $f \neq 0$ is needed for both the definition of the unknown functions and also for recovering the tangential derivatives of u and f from them. Note that the coordinate transformation $(x, z, t) \rightarrow (x, \psi, t)$ can play a role as the Crocco transformation so that the reduced system is quasilinear and symmetric and the standard analysis can then be applied.

Let us follow the Lemma 2.3 to find out whether there is appropriate vector field for cancellation to avoid the lost of tangential derivative difficulty. In fact, for the Prandtl system of MHD, this vector field is already built in as it is the direction of the magnetic field. In fact, note that

$$P^\kappa(\mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}.$$

The Θ in Lemma 2.3 is simply \mathbf{h} that is intrinsic in the system. Since the Prandtl system for MHD is

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^\mu u \\ P^\kappa f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \\ \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ f \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying the Lemma, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^\mu(\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla u) \\ P^\kappa(\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla f) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla \\ \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla u \\ \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla f \end{pmatrix} + R,$$

where R contains tangential derivatives of u and f up to the first order. Hence, $\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla$ gives the direction of the cancellation that is the direction of the magnetic field. And the structural assumption of the non-degenerate tangential magnetic field component, $f \neq 0$ is used to recover the tangential derivative $(1 \ 0) \cdot (\nabla u, \nabla f)$. Note that

$$(\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla u, \mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla f) = f(u^1, f^1),$$

where (u^1, f^1) is the good function of the first order defined in (2.1) so that it is consistent with the observation in [5].

Acknowledgment: The research was supported by the General Research Fund of Hong Kong CityU No. 11303521.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alexandre, Y. Wang, C.-J. Xu and T. Yang. *Well-posedness of The Prandtl Equation in Sobolev Spaces*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), 745-784.
- [2] K. Asano. A note on the abstract Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **64** (1988), no. 4, 102-105.
- [3] H. Dietert and D. Gérard-Varet. Well-posedness of the Prandtl equations without any structural assumption. *Ann. PDE* **5** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 51 pp.
- [4] W-X Li, N. Masmoudi and T. Yang. Well-posedness in Gevrey function space for 3D Prandtl equations without structural assumption, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* (2021) doi.org/10.1002/cpa./21989.
- [5] C.-J. Liu, F. Xie and T. Yang. MHD boundary layers theory in Sobolev spaces without Monotonicity I: Well-posedness theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 72 (2019), 63–121.
- [6] N. Masmoudi, T. Wong. *Local-in-time existence and uniqueness of solutions to the Prandtl equations by energy methods*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68 (2015), no. 10, 1683-1741.
- [7] O. A. Oleinik and V. N. Samokhin. *Mathematical Models in Boundary Layers Theory* . Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [8] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch. *Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations on a half-space, I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations*. Comm. Math. Phys. , 192(1998), 433-461; *II. Construction of the Navier-Stokes solution*. Comm. Math. Phys., 192(1998), 463-491.

(T. Yang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG, CHINA
Email address: matyang@cityu.edu.hk