

RATIONAL POINTS OF BOUNDED HEIGHT ON SOME GENUS ZERO MODULAR CURVES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

TRISTAN PHILLIPS

ABSTRACT. We give asymptotics for the number of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over arbitrary number fields with certain prescribed level structures. In particular, we count the number of points of bounded height on many genus zero modular curves, including the cases of $\mathcal{X}(N)$ for $N \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\mathcal{X}_1(N)$ for $N \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10, 12\}$, and $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ for $N \in \{1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18\}$. In all cases we give an asymptotic with an expression for the leading coefficient, and in many cases we also give a power savings error term. Our results for counting points on modular curves follow from more general results for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K . The Mordell–Weil theorem states that the set of K -rational points $E(K)$ of E forms a finitely generated abelian group $E(K) \cong E(K)_{\text{tor}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$ where $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ is the finite torsion subgroup and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the rank. This article is motivated by the following question:

Question 1.0.1. *How frequently do elliptic curves with a given torsion subgroup occur?*

By work of Merel [Mer96], there are only finitely many finite abelian groups which occur as a torsion subgroup of an elliptic curve over a fixed number field K . In the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$, a famous result of Mazur shows that there are exactly 15 finite abelian groups which occur as torsion subgroups of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , and each of these groups occurs for infinitely many isomorphism classes of elliptic curves [Maz78]. In the case K is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} , there are 26 possibilities for the torsion subgroup of an elliptic curve over K , and each possibility occurs for infinitely many isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (see the work of Kenku and Momose [KM88], and of Kamienny [Kam92]). In the case K is a cubic extension of \mathbb{Q} , it has recently been shown in [DEvH⁺21] that there are 26 possibilities for the torsion subgroup of an elliptic curve over K , each of which occurs for infinitely many isomorphism classes of elliptic curves, except for the group $\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$ which occurs for a unique elliptic curve over the cubic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)^+$ [Naj16].

More generally, one can ask about the frequency of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with other prescribed level structures. Let N be a positive integer and G a subgroup of

E-mail address: `tristanphillips@math.arizona.edu`

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05; Secondary 11G07, 11G35, 11G50, 11D45, 14G05.

$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. An elliptic curve E over K admits a G -level structure if there is a $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ -basis for the (geometric) N -torsion subgroup $E[N](\overline{K})$, such that the image of the mod- N Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,N} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E[N](\overline{K})) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

is contained in G .

For example, if $M|N$ are positive integers and

$$G(M, N) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : g = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{M} \right\},$$

then elliptic curves with $G(M, N)$ -level structure are those whose torsion subgroup contains $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. As another example, if N is a positive integer and

$$G_0(N) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : g = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

then elliptic curves with $G_0(N)$ -level structure are those which have a rational cyclic N -isogeny.

Mazur has shown that there are only finitely many N for which there exist isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with an N -isogeny; and he has given the exact number of isomorphism classes for a given N in the case that there are only finitely many [Maz78]. There is also an analog of Merel's theorem for isogenies due to Momose [Mom95].

The above mentioned results on counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structure may be rephrased in terms of counting rational points on modular curves. Let \mathcal{Y}_G be the moduli stack parametrizing isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with G -level structure, and let \mathcal{X}_G be the Deligne-Mumford compactification of \mathcal{Y}_G . We will view \mathcal{Y}_G and \mathcal{X}_G as algebraic stacks over the subfield of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ fixed by action of G given by

$$\begin{aligned} G \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \\ (g, \zeta_N) &\mapsto \zeta_N^{\det(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote this field by K_G .

The behavior of rational points on \mathcal{X}_G is largely dictated by the trichotomy of curves based on their genus. For example, the torsion subgroups appearing in Mazur's classification correspond to pairs of positive integers M, N with $M|N$, such that the modular curve $\mathcal{X}_{G(M,N)}$ has genus 0. The results cited above give the number of rational points on $\mathcal{X}_{G(M,N)}(K)$ for $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 3$. When $\mathcal{X}_{G(M,N)}(K)$ contains finitely many points, this gives a reasonably satisfactory answer for Question 1.0.1. However, this does not give a very satisfactory answer in the cases for which $\mathcal{X}_{G(M,N)}(K)$ contains infinitely many points, since some torsion subgroups that occur for infinitely many elliptic curves may occur more frequently than other torsion subgroups which also occur for infinitely many elliptic curves. One might hope to compute densities of elliptic curves with a prescribed torsion subgroup, however, Zywinia has shown that 100% of elliptic curves over any number field K have trivial torsion subgroup [Zyw10] (see also [Duk97] for the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$). In particular, the density of elliptic curves with any fixed non-trivial torsion subgroup is zero. In order to give a satisfactory answer to Question 1.0.1 we will count isomorphism classes of elliptic curves of bounded (naive) height with a

prescribed level structure. This allows one to compare the frequency with which given level structures occur by comparing asymptotics.

By Falting's Theorem, if $\mathcal{X}_G(K)$ contains infinitely many points, then \mathcal{X}_G must have genus zero or genus one. In this article we focus on the genus zero case. Our main results will answer the following generalization of Question 1.0.1 for many level structures.

Question 1.0.2. *If \mathcal{X}_G has genus zero, then how many isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a number field K are there of bounded height and with G -level structure?*

Remark 1.0.3. The case in which \mathcal{X}_G has genus one is interesting in its own right, but would need to be studied using different methods than those presented in this paper. In particular, the number of rational points on a genus one curve is largely dictated by its rank. For example, the number of points of bounded height (with respect to the canonical bundle) on an elliptic curve E is $\sim \log(B)^{r/2}$, where r is the rank of E .

1.1. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structure. In this article we answer Question 1.0.2 in many cases for which \mathcal{X}_G is isomorphic to a weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}(w_0, w_1)$ and in cases for which $\mathcal{X}_G \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}(2)$, where the isomorphism is induced by twists of elliptic curves.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and let Γ_G denote the inverse image of G with respect to the canonical map $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let K_G be the field fixed by the action of G on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ determined by $(g, \zeta_N) \mapsto \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$. Let K be a degree d number field containing K_G . Suppose that for some pair of positive integers $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1)$ there is an isomorphism of K_G -stacks from \mathcal{X}_G to $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$. Set $|\mathbf{w}| := w_0 + w_1$, $w_{\min} = \min\{w_0, w_1\}$, and $e(G) = \frac{w_0 w_1}{24} [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]$. Suppose that $\mathrm{gcd}(w_1, e(G)) = 1$ and $\mathrm{gcd}(w_2, e(G)) = 1$. Then the number of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K with G -level structure and height less than B is*

$$\kappa_G B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{12e(G)}} + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}|-w_{\min}}{12e(G)d}}\right),$$

where κ_G is an explicit constant which we will give an expression for in Section 5.

Table 1 displays some cases in which Theorem 1.1.1 applies (see also [BN22, Table 1], which contains some additional cases in which this theorem applies). In particular, the theorem applies to the torsion subgroups in Mazur's classification, which correspond to the first 15 rows of Table 1. Note that for all $M|N$ we have $K_{G(M,N)} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $K_{G_0(N)} = \mathbb{Q}$. In particular, for $G(M, N)$ - and $G_0(N)$ -level structures Theorem 1.1.1 applies for K an arbitrary number field.

| G | Γ_G | (w_0, w_1) | $e(G)$ | $\frac{w_0+w_1}{12e(G)}$ | $\frac{d(w_0+w_1)-w_{\min}}{12de(G)}$ |
|--------------------|---|--------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $G(1, 1) = G_0(1)$ | $\Gamma(1) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ | $(4, 6)$ | 1 | $5/6$ | $5/6 - 1/3d$ |
| $G(1, 2) = G_0(2)$ | $\Gamma_1(2) = \Gamma_0(2)$ | $(2, 4)$ | 1 | $1/2$ | $1/2 - 1/6d$ |
| $G(1, 3)$ | $\Gamma_1(3)$ | $(1, 3)$ | 1 | $1/3$ | $1/3 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(1, 4)$ | $\Gamma_1(4)$ | $(1, 2)$ | 1 | $1/4$ | $1/4 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(1, 5)$ | $\Gamma_1(5)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 1 | $1/6$ | $1/6 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(1, 6)$ | $\Gamma_1(6)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 1 | $1/6$ | $1/6 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(1, 7)$ | $\Gamma_1(7)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 2 | $1/12$ | $1/12 - 1/24d$ |
| $G(1, 8)$ | $\Gamma_1(8)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 2 | $1/12$ | $1/12 - 1/24d$ |
| $G(1, 9)$ | $\Gamma_1(9)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 3 | $1/18$ | $1/18 - 1/36d$ |
| $G(1, 10)$ | $\Gamma_1(10)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 3 | $1/18$ | $1/18 - 1/36d$ |
| $G(1, 12)$ | $\Gamma_1(12)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 4 | $1/24$ | $1/24 - 1/48d$ |
| $G(2, 2)$ | $\Gamma(2)$ | $(2, 2)$ | 1 | $1/3$ | $1/3 - 1/6d$ |
| $G(2, 4)$ | $\Gamma(2, 4)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 1 | $1/6$ | $1/6 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(2, 6)$ | $\Gamma(2, 4)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 2 | $1/12$ | $1/12 - 1/24d$ |
| $G(2, 8)$ | $\Gamma(2, 8)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 4 | $1/24$ | $1/24 - 1/48d$ |
| $G(3, 3)$ | $\Gamma(3)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 1 | $1/6$ | $1/6 - 1/12d$ |
| $G(3, 6)$ | $\Gamma(3, 6)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 3 | $1/18$ | $1/18 - 1/36d$ |
| $G(4, 4)$ | $\Gamma(4)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 2 | $1/12$ | $1/12 - 1/24d$ |
| $G(5, 5)$ | $\Gamma(5)$ | $(1, 1)$ | 5 | $1/30$ | $1/12 - 1/60d$ |
| $G_0(4)$ | $\Gamma_0(4)$ | $(2, 2)$ | 1 | $1/3$ | $1/3 - 1/26d$ |

TABLE 1. Cases of Theorem 1.1.1

Theorem 1.1.1 applies only in cases for which the morphism $\mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ of stacks that forgets the level structure is representable (see Remark 2.1.3). When this morphism is not representable the problem of counting elliptic curves with G -level structure becomes more difficult. Nevertheless, in cases for which \mathcal{X}_G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}(2) \cong \mathbb{P}(2, 2)$, where the isomorphism is induced by twists of elliptic curves, we prove the following result, which makes no assumption about the representability of the morphism $\mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$.

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let G and G' be subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and let $\Gamma_{G'}$ denote the inverse image of G' with respect to the canonical map $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Set*

$$e(G') = \frac{[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_{G'}]}{24}.$$

Let K_G be the field fixed by the action of G on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ determined by $(g, \zeta_N) \mapsto \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$ and let K be a number field of degree d containing K_G . Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_{G'} \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1)$ over K_G , and that for each elliptic curve E over K with G -level structure, there is a unique quadratic twist of E with G' -level structure, so that $\mathcal{X}_G \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1) \times \mathbb{P}(2)$ as stacks over K_G . Then the number of isomorphism classes elliptic curves over K with G -level structure and height less

than B is asymptotic to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(2\kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{square-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a}) \right) B^{1/6} \log(B) \quad \text{if } e(G') = 1 \\ \left(\frac{\kappa}{e(G')-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{square-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}} N(\mathfrak{a}) \right) B^{1/6} \quad \text{if } e(G') > 1, \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$\kappa = \kappa_{G'} \frac{h_K 2^{2(r_1+r_2)-1} \pi^{r_2} R_K}{\varpi_{K,2} \varpi_K |\Delta_K|^{1/2} \zeta_K(2)},$$

where $\kappa_{G'}$ is as in Theorem 1.1.1, and where $\lambda(\mathfrak{b})$ is a product of local densities depending on \mathfrak{b} . In particular, the infinite sum appearing in the leading coefficients converges.

This theorem allows one to count K -rational cyclic N -isogenies for $N \in \{6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18\}$. Table 2 displays cases in which Theorem 1.1.2 applies. Here $G_{1/2}(N)$ is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ which we will construct at the end of Subsection 5.1.

| G | Γ_G | G' | $\Gamma_{G'}$ | $e(G')$ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| $G_0(6)$ | $\Gamma_0(6)$ | $G(1, 6) = G_{1/2}(6)$ | $\Gamma_1(6) = \Gamma_{1/2}(6)$ | 1 |
| $G_0(8)$ | $\Gamma_0(8)$ | $G_{1/2}(8)$ | $\Gamma_{1/2}(8)$ | 1 |
| $G_0(9)$ | $\Gamma_0(9)$ | $G_{1/2}(9)$ | $\Gamma_{1/2}(9)$ | 1 |
| $G_0(12)$ | $\Gamma_0(12)$ | $G_{1/2}(12)$ | $\Gamma_{1/2}(12)$ | 2 |
| $G_0(16)$ | $\Gamma_0(16)$ | $G_{1/2}(16)$ | $\Gamma_{1/2}(16)$ | 2 |
| $G_0(18)$ | $\Gamma_0(18)$ | $G_{1/2}(18)$ | $\Gamma_{1/2}(18)$ | 3 |

TABLE 2. Cases of Theorem 1.1.2

Theorem 1.1.1 and Theorem 1.1.2 recover and extend a number of previously known results.

Over \mathbb{Q} , many cases of Theorem 1.1.1 were previously proven: In 1992, using a basic lattice points counting argument together with Möbius inversion, Brumer counted isomorphism classes of elliptic curves (i.e. the case of $G(1, 1)$ -level structure) [Bru92]. In 2000, Grant counted isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with $G(1, 2)$ -level structure [Gra00]; this was done by exploiting several properties of elliptic curves with a two-torsion point and ultimately reducing the problem to counting lattice points in a semi-algebraic region. Harron and Snowden counted isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with $G(1, 3)$ -level structure [HS17]; they gave a general strategy for reducing such problems to lattice point counting problems which can be addressed using the Principle of Lipschitz [Dav51] (see also [Lan94, VI §2 Theorem 2]). The method of Harron and Snowden allowed them to give a uniform proof of the $G(1, 1)$, $G(1, 2)$, and $G(1, 3)$ cases. Cullinan, Kenney, and Voight showed that the method of Harron and Snowden could be applied more widely, and doing so were able to count isomorphism classes for all level structures G for which $\mathcal{X}_G \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1)$ and also for

the cases of $G(2, 2)$ -level structure and $G_0(4)$ -level structure [CKV21]. For other results on counting 4-isogenies see [PS21].

Over the Gaussian integers, $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, Zhao [Zha20] has proven results for the asymptotic growth of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion using similar methods as Harron and Snowden. Our Theorem 1.1.1 extends these results (by also giving a leading coefficient and error term) in the cases for which the underlying modular curve has genus zero. However, an interesting feature of Zhao's work is that he also address cases in which the underlying modular curve has genus one and positive rank. Such cases lie outside the scope of this paper, but studying points of bounded height on genus one modular curves with positive rank over more general number fields would be an interesting avenue for future research, and could perhaps be related to work in Iwasawa theory on the growth of Mordell-Weil ranks in towers of number fields.

Over arbitrary number fields Bruin and Najman [BN22] showed that the asymptotic growth rate for counting isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion is $\frac{w_0+w_1}{12e(G)}$. This was proven using weighted projective spaces. Our results will also be proven using weighted projective spaces, though we take a more direct strategy than Bruin and Najman, which allows us to compute the leading coefficient and, in many cases, a power savings error term.

The level structures listed in Table 2 are the only examples that the author is aware of that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.2. For these level structures the only previously known results are those due to Boggess and Sankar [BS20], which give the growth rates of counting isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with these level structures. What typically makes counting elliptic curves with an N -isogeny more difficult than counting elliptic curves with a prescribed torsion subgroup, is that the morphism $\mathcal{X}_{G_0(N)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ is rarely representable (the exceptions being $N \in \{1, 2, 4\}$). This non-representability occurs more frequently because the moduli stack $\mathcal{X}_{G_0(N)}$ has generic inertia stack $B\mu_2$ (since $-I \in \Gamma_0(N)$). Boggess and Sankar are able to deal with this for some N by constructing a double cover $\mathcal{X}_{G_{1/2}(N)}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{G_0(N)}$ for which every elliptic curve with $G_0(N)$ -level structure has a unique twist with $G_{1/2}(N)$ -level structure [BS20, Lemma 2.1]. Then they count twists of elliptic curves with $G_{1/2}$ -level structure by using an elementary (but complicated) counting argument, which generalizes a similar argument appearing in the work of Harron and Snowden.

There are also some results for counting elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with prescribed level structure which we were not able to generalize to arbitrary number fields, but are worth mentioning. Pomerance, Pizzo, and Voight [PPV20] gave a very precise asymptotic for counting elliptic curves with $G_0(3)$ -level structure, which gives several lower order terms. In [BS20, §5], Boggess and Sankar develop a method (different from their method mentioned earlier) for counting elliptic curves with $G_0(N)$ -level structure which makes use of the structure of the ring of modular forms. With this method they are able to give an asymptotic growth rate in the cases that $N \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$. The case of $G_0(5)$ -level structure is particularly interesting since the modular curve $\mathcal{X}_{G_0(5)}$ has two (quadratic) elliptic points.

Remark 1.1.3. It seems that it should be possible to extend Theorem 1.1.2 to count elliptic curves with $G_0(3)$ -level structure, since elliptic curves with $G_0(3)$ -level structure arise as

twists of elliptic curves with $G(1, 3)$ level structure and $\mathcal{X}_{G(1,3)} \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 3)$. More generally, it would be interesting to prove a version of Theorem 1.1.2 where $\mathcal{X}_{G'}$ could be isomorphic to a more general weighted projective space than $\mathbb{P}(1, 1)$. The difficulty in doing this is that such modular curves have points which correspond to elliptic curves with extra automorphisms. But for an elliptic curve E with extra automorphisms, the group of twists of E , which can be identified with $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \text{Aut}(E))$, is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(2)(K) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^2$. Because of this, \mathcal{X}_G is not typically isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{G'} \times \mathbb{P}(2)$.

1.2. Counting points on weighted projective spaces. Our theorems for counting isomorphism classes of elliptic curves are special cases of more general theorems for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces in various ways.

In 1979 Schanuel [Sch79] proved an asymptotic for the number of rational points of bounded height on projective spaces over number fields. This was generalized to weighted projective spaces by Deng in 1998 [Den98] (see also the work of Darda [Dar21], which gives a proof using height zeta functions). In a different direction, Bright, Browning, and Loughran [BBL16] proved a generalization of Schanuel's theorem which allows one to impose infinitely many local conditions on the points of projective space being counted. Recently Manterola Ayala [MA21] has generalized Deng's result to count points of bounded height on a weighted projective space where the height can be taken with respect to a different weighted projective space via a morphism. In this article we will combine these generalizations to achieve the following result:

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, \dots, w'_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ be an $(n+1)$ -tuples of positive integers and let $|\mathbf{w}'| = \sum w'_i$. Let $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a non-constant representable generically étale morphism with finite defect. For each place $v \in \text{Val}(K)$ let $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_v)$ be a subset such that the Haar measure m_v of its affine cone Ω_v^{aff} satisfies $m_v(\partial\Omega_v^{\text{aff}}) = 0$ and $m_v(\Omega_v^{\text{aff}}) > 0$. Suppose also that for all bounded subsets $\Psi \subset K_\infty^{n+1}$ of positive measure and with $m_\infty(\partial\Psi) = 0$ we have that*

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Psi : x \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\}}{B^{d|\mathbf{w}'|}} = 0.$$

Then we have that

$$\#\{x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in f(\Omega_v) \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\} \sim \kappa B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}.$$

where κ is an explicit constant which we will give an expression for in Section 4 and $e(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is an invariant of f .

If, moreover, $\Omega_v = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K_v)$ for all but finitely many $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ and Ω_v is definable in an \mathfrak{o} -minimal structure for all $v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in f(\Omega_v) \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\} \\ &= \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} + \begin{cases} O\left(B^{\frac{1}{e(f)}} \log(B)\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}' = (1, 1) \\ & \text{and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{de(f)}}\right) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The ideas behind the proof of this result use geometry-of-numbers techniques. The general ideas are similar to those in the papers cited prior to the theorem, but several changes are

required to achieve the generalizations simultaneously. We are also able to streamline part of Schanuel's proof by using o-minimal geometry via a lattice counting theorem of Barroero and Widmer [BW14]. In order to deal with the local conditions we prove a weighted version of the geometric sieve for integral points on affine spaces over number fields, which may be of independent interest. It is also interesting that the theorem gives an error term in the case of finitely many local conditions, which does not seem to have been done before. The significance of this is that it requires infinitely many local conditions on the affine cone.

Motivated by counting twists of elliptic curves we use Theorem 1.2.1 to prove a result for counting points on weighted projective spaces with respect to a certain *twisted height* function (see Theorem 4.3.1).

1.3. Organization. In Section 2 we recall and prove some facts about weighted projective spaces, focusing on morphisms between weighted projective spaces and heights defined on weighted projective spaces. In Section 3 we prove several results for counting points on affine spaces over number fields satisfying prescribed local conditions. In Section 4 we prove our results for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces. This is where we prove Theorem 1.2.1 and Theorem 4.3.1. In Section 5 we apply the results of Section 4 to count points of bounded height on genus zero modular curves. This is where we prove our results for counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structures, Theorem 1.1.1 and Theorem 1.1.2.

1.4. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Peter Bruin, Filip Najman, Irati Manterola Ayala, Peter Cho, Keunyoung Jeong, Brandon Alberts, Jordan Ellenberg, and John Voight for helpful conversations and comments on this work. Special thanks to Bryden Cais and Doug Ulmer for their encouragement throughout this project.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section we recall facts about weighted projective spaces with an emphasis on morphisms between weighted projective spaces and on heights on weighted projective spaces.

2.1. Morphisms of weighted projective spaces. Given an $(n + 1)$ -tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$, the *weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ is the quotient stack

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}) = [(\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathbb{G}_m],$$

where \mathbb{G}_m acts on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_m \times (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}) \\ (\lambda, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) &\mapsto \lambda *_{\mathbf{w}} (x_0, \dots, x_n) := (\lambda^{w_0} x_0, \dots, \lambda^{w_n} x_n). \end{aligned}$$

In the special case when $\mathbf{w} = (1, \dots, 1)$, this recovers the usual projective space \mathbb{P}^n . Over fields of characteristic zero all weighted projective spaces are Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ and $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, \dots, w'_n)$ be $(n + 1)$ -tuples of positive integers and let $\mathbb{P}_K(\mathbf{w})$ and $\mathbb{P}_K(\mathbf{w}')$ be the corresponding weighted projective spaces over K .

Let $K[x'_0, \dots, x'_n]$ be the graded K -algebra where x'_i has weight w'_i for each i . For each $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ let $f_i \in K[x'_0, \dots, x'_n]$. If there exists an $e(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that each f_i is a weighted

homogeneous polynomial of degree $e(f)w_i$, and if the homogeneous ideal $\sqrt{(f_0, \dots, f_n)} \subseteq R$ contains (x_0, \dots, x_n) , then the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} K[x_0, \dots, x_n] &\rightarrow K[x'_0, \dots, x'_n] \\ x_i &\mapsto f_i \end{aligned}$$

induces a morphism of weighted projective spaces

$$\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}).$$

Let $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}}(K)$ denote the set of $f = (f_i)$ as above. There is a natural (weighted) action of $\mathbb{G}_m(K)$ on $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_m(K) \times \Phi_{\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}}(K) &\rightarrow \Phi_{\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}}(K) \\ (\lambda, (f_i)) &\mapsto \lambda *_{\mathbf{w}} (f_i) = (\lambda^{w_i} f_i). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem gives a description of morphisms between weighted projective spaces.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Using the notation from above, there is a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}} / \mathbb{G}_m(K) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}'), \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})) \\ f = (f_i) &\mapsto \varphi_f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from an explicit description of morphisms between quotient stacks due to Bruin and Najman [BN22, Lemma A.2]. In particular, the proof of [BN22, Lemma 4.1] for weighted projective lines works for higher dimensional weighted projective spaces. \square

The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of a result of Bruin and Najman [BN22, Lemma 4.5] for weighted projective lines to more general weighted projective spaces.

Proposition 2.1.2. *If $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')_K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})_K$ is a non-constant representable morphism, then φ is finite.*

Remark 2.1.3. As noted by Bruin and Najman [BN22, Remark 4.3], a morphism $\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ of weighted projective spaces is representable if and only if $\gcd(w'_i, e(f)) = 1$ for all i .

2.2. Heights on weighted projective spaces. For each $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ let \mathfrak{p}_v be the correspond prime ideal, let π_v be a uniformizer for the completion K_v of K at v . For $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ set $|x_i|_{\mathbf{w}, v} := |\pi_v|_v^{\lfloor v(x_i)/w_i \rfloor}$ and $|x|_{\mathbf{w}, v} := \max_i \{|x_i|_{\mathbf{w}, v}\}$. Then the (exponential) height on $\mathbb{P}_K(\mathbf{w})$ is defined as

$$\text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}([x_0 : \dots : x_n]) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} |x|_{\mathbf{w}, v} \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max_i \{|x_i|_v^{1/w_i}\}.$$

Define the *scaling ideal* $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)$ of $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) := \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \mathfrak{p}_v^{\min_i \{\lfloor v(x_i)/w_i \rfloor\}}.$$

The scaling ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)$ can be characterized as the intersection of all fractional ideals \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_K such that $x \in \mathfrak{a}^{w_0} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{a}^{w_n}$. It has the property that

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)^{-1} = \{a \in K : a^{w_i} x_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ for all } i\}.$$

The height can be written in terms of the scaling ideal as follows:

$$\text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}([x_0 : \cdots : x_n]) = \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(x))} \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max_i \{|x_i|_v^{1/w_i}\}.$$

This height was first defined by Deng [Den98]. In the case of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1)$ this height corresponds to the usual Weil height. In more geometric terms, this height on weighted projective spaces can be viewed as the ‘stacky height’ associated to the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})}(1)$ of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ (see [ESZB21, §3.3] for this and much more about heights on stacks).

Recall that the (compactified) moduli stack of elliptic curves, $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$, is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$. This isomorphism can be given explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(4, 6) \\ y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B &\mapsto [A : B]. \end{aligned}$$

Under this isomorphism, the tautological bundle on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ corresponds to the Hodge bundle on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$. Then the usual *naive height* of an elliptic curve E over K with a reduced (integral) short Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$,

$$\text{ht}(E) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \max\{|A|_v^3, |B|_v^2\},$$

is the height with respect to the twelfth power of the Hodge bundle. For any short Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ of E the naive height is given by

$$\text{ht}(E) = \text{ht}_{(4,6)}([a : b])^{12}.$$

When counting elliptic curves, one often wants to count rational points on one modular curve with respect to the height on the moduli space of all elliptic curves (i.e. with respect to the naive height). Motivated by this, we consider heights on one weighted projective space with respect to a different weighted projective space.

Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ be as in Theorem 2.1.1. Then we have a morphism

$$\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}).$$

We define the following height on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')$:

$$\text{ht}_f(x') = \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}([f_0(x') : \cdots : f_n(x')]) = \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(\varphi_f(x')).$$

Set $\mathfrak{J}_f(x')$ equal to the scaling ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}}(\varphi_f(x'))$.

Motivated by counting twists of elliptic curves we also define a certain *twisted height*. Let τ be a positive integer which divides $\gcd(w_0, \dots, w_n)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) = K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^{\tau}$. For $x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ we define the *twist* $x^{(d)}$ of x in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ by d as

$$x^{(d)} := [d^{w_0/\tau} x_0 : \cdots : d^{w_n/\tau} x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K).$$

We then define a *twisted height* on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \times \mathbb{P}(\tau)$ by

$$\text{ht}_{f,\tau}(x, d) = \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(\varphi_f(x)^{(d)}).$$

2.3. Defects. Let $\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a morphism of weighted projective spaces. Let $[a] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$. We define the *defect* of $[a]$ with respect to φ_f to be the ideal

$$\delta_f(a) := \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(a))}{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(a)^{e(f)}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Note that this is well defined since

$$\delta_f(\lambda *_{\mathbf{w}'} a) = \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(\lambda *_{\mathbf{w}'} a))}{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(\lambda *_{\mathbf{w}'} a)^{e(f)}} = \frac{\lambda^{e(f)} \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(a))}{\lambda^{e(f)} \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(a)^{e(f)}} = \delta_f(a).$$

From this it follows that the defect is truly an integral ideal since for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ we may choose a representative (a_0, \dots, a_n) of $[a]$ that is minimal at \mathfrak{p} , and hence $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(a)^{e(f)}$. By the well definedness of $\delta_f(a)$ it follows that no primes can divide the denominator of the a priori fractional ideal $\delta_f(a)$, and thus $\delta_f(a)$ is indeed an integral ideal.

We will be interested in studying the *set of defects of f*

$$\mathfrak{D}_f := \{\delta_f(a) : [a] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)\}.$$

The set of defects keeps track of ideals \mathfrak{d} for which there exists a \mathfrak{d} -minimal representative (a_0, \dots, a_n) of a point $[a] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$, with the property that the representative $f(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ of the point $[f(a)] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$ is not \mathfrak{d} -minimal.

Remark 2.3.1. For some morphisms of weighted projective lines the set of defects was implicitly studied in the work of Cullinan, Kenney, and Voight [CKV21], and later by Cho and Jeong [CJ20]. In these cases the defects can be determined using resultants. The study of defects for more general weighted projective spaces was introduced by Manterola Ayala [MA21].

We will say that a morphism has *finite defect* if the corresponding set of defects \mathfrak{D}_f is finite. The following example shows that not all morphisms have finite defect.

Example 2.3.2 (Morphisms with an infinite defect set.). Let $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, \dots, w'_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ be such that $w'_0 = \dots = w'_n > 1$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}'$ and let $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Consider the morphism

$$f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K)$$

induced by the map

$$\begin{aligned} K[x_0, \dots, x_n] &\rightarrow K[y_0, \dots, y_n] \\ x_i &\mapsto y_i^e. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any principal prime ideal $(p) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, with $p \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we have that

$$\delta_f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(p^{w_0-1}))}{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(p^{w_0-1})^{e(f)}} = (p) \left\lfloor \frac{e(w_0-1)}{w'_0} \right\rfloor / (1) = (p) \left\lfloor \frac{e(w_0-1)}{w'_0} \right\rfloor$$

is a non-trivial ideal since $e(w_0 - 1) \geq w'_0$ as $e \geq 2$ and $w_0 = w'_0 > 1$. As there are infinitely many principal prime ideals in \mathcal{O}_K , by Dirichlet's theorem for prime ideals in number fields, this shows that the set of defects \mathfrak{D}_f is infinite in these cases.

The following result show that, despite the above example, the set of defects is finite in many cases.

Proposition 2.3.3. *Let $\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a morphism of weighted projective spaces with $e(f) = 1$ or $\mathbf{w}' = (1, \dots, 1)$, then f has finite defect.*

To prove this proposition we will first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3.4. *If $\mathbf{w}' = (1, \dots, 1)$ or $e(f) = 1$, then the set of prime ideals*

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{d} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may order the $(n+1)$ -tuples $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, \dots, w'_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ so that $w'_i \leq w'_j$ and $w_i \leq w_j$ for all $i \leq j$. Let $i_0 = 0, i_1, \dots, i_m$ be the set of indices for which

$$w'_0 = \dots = w'_{i_1-1} < w'_{i_1} = \dots = w'_{i_2-1} < \dots < w'_{i_m} = \dots = w'_n.$$

Let $\varphi_f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be the morphism of weighted projective spaces corresponding to the $(n+1)$ -tuple of weighted homogeneous polynomials $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$. By possibly rescaling we may assume that the f_i have integral coefficients. For any $(n+1)$ -tuple of non-negative integers $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ set $x^z := x_0^{z_0} x_1^{z_1} \dots x_n^{z_n}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{i,z} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for which

$$f_i(x) = \sum_{\substack{z=(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \\ z_0 w'_0 + \dots + z_n w'_n = e(f) w_i}} \alpha_{i,z} x^z.$$

As $\sqrt{(f_0, \dots, f_n)} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, there exist positive integers $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, algebraic integers $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and polynomials $g_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, such that, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_i x_i^{r_i} = \sum_{j=0}^n g_{i,j}(x) f_j(x).$$

Let $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be the integral ideal generated by all the α_i and $\alpha_{j,z}$. To prove the lemma it suffices to prove that each prime ideal of \mathfrak{D}_f divides \mathfrak{A} , and to do this it suffices to prove that any prime ideal which does not divide \mathfrak{A} is not in \mathfrak{D}_f . So let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal that does not divide \mathfrak{A} . Let $a \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$ and let (a_0, \dots, a_n) be a representative of a which is minimal at \mathfrak{p} , i.e. such that $\min_i [v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i)/w'_i] = 0$. Let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localization of K at \mathfrak{p} and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a uniformizer so that $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For the remainder of the proof we will work in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

To prove the lemma it will suffice to show that if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_i(a)) \geq w_i$ for all i , then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq w'_i$ for all i . Assuming that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_i(a)) \geq w_i$ for all i , equation (2.1) implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq 1$ for all i . Thus, in the case that $\mathbf{w}' = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ we are done. So suppose that not all weights w'_i are equal to one and that $e(f) = 1$. Let $a_{i,1}$ be such that $a_i = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} a_{i,1}$.

First we will consider the $n - i_m$ weights $w'_{i_m}, w'_{i_m+1}, \dots, w'_n$, which are all equal to w'_n . Set

$$f_j^{(m)}(x_{i_m}, x_{i_m+1}, \dots, x_n) := f_j(0, \dots, 0, x_{i_m}, x_{i_m+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$g_{i,j}^{(m)}(x_{i_m}, x_{i_m+1}, \dots, x_n) := g_{i,j}(0, \dots, 0, x_{i_m}, x_{i_m+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

By (2.1), for $i \geq i_m$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_i x_i^{r_i} = \sum_{j=0}^n g_{i,j}^{(m)}(x) f_j^{(m)}(x).$$

As w'_{i_m}, \dots, w'_n are the largest weights, the terms of the form $\alpha_{j,z} x_{i_m}^{z_{i_m}} \cdots x_n^{z_n}$ are those of lowest unweighted degree in the weighted homogeneous polynomials $f_j(x)$. In particular, these terms have unweighted degree w_j/w'_n . From this and the facts that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq 1$ for all i and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j(a)) \geq w_j$ for all j , it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j^{(m)}(a_{i_m,1}, \dots, a_{n,1})) \geq w_j - w_j/w'_n$$

for all j . By (2.2) this implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{i,1}) \geq 1$ for all $i \geq i_m$, and thus $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq 2$. For $i \geq i_m$ let $a_{i,2}$ be such that $a_i = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 a_{i,2}$. Note that the non-zero $f_j^{(m)}$ are homogeneous of (non-weighted) degree w_j/w'_n . Therefore by (2.3) it follows that

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j^{(m)}(a_{i_m,2}, \dots, a_{n,2})) \geq w_j - 2w_j/w'_n.$$

By (2.2) this implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{i,2}) \geq 2$ for all $i \geq i_m$, and thus $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq 3$. Continuing in this manner we will eventually have that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq w'_i$ for all $i \geq i_m$.

We now consider the weights $w'_{i_{m-1}}, \dots, w'_{i_m-1}$, which are all equal to $w'_{i_{m-1}}$. Set

$$f_j^{(m-1)}(x_{i_{m-1}}, x_{i_{m-1}+1}, \dots, x_{i_m-1}) := f_j(0, \dots, 0, x_{i_{m-1}}, x_{i_{m-1}+1}, \dots, x_{i_m-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and

$$g_{i,j}^{(m-1)}(x_{i_{m-1}}, x_{i_{m-1}+1}, \dots, x_{i_m-1}) := g_{i,j}(0, \dots, 0, x_{i_{m-1}}, x_{i_{m-1}+1}, \dots, x_{i_m-1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then, by (2.1), for $i \geq i_m$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_i x_i^{r_i} = \sum_{j=0}^n g_{i,j}^{(m-1)}(x) f_j^{(m-1)}(x).$$

Observe that all coefficients of

$$f_j(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} x_{0,1}, \dots, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} x_{i_{m-1},1}, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{w'_n} x_{i_m, w'_n}, \dots, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{w'_n} x_{n, w'_n})$$

are divisible by $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{w_j/w'_{i_m}}$ and the only coefficients divisible by $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{w_j/w'_{i_m}}$ exactly are those of the $x_{i_{m-1}}^{z_{i_{m-1}}} \cdots x_{i_{m-1}-1}^{z_{i_{m-1}-1}}$ terms. From this, together with the fact that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j(a)) \geq w_j$ for all j , it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j^{(m-1)}(a_{i_{m-1},1}, \dots, a_{i_m-1})) \geq w_j - w_j/w'_{i_{m-1}}$$

for all j . By (2.4) this implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{i,1}) \geq 1$ for all $i_{m-1} \leq i < i_m$, and thus $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i, 1) \geq 2$. For $i_{m-1} \leq i < i_m$ let $a_{i,2}$ be such that $a_i = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 a_{i,2}$. As the $f_j^{(m-1)}$ are homogeneous of (non-weighted) degree $w_j/w'_{i_{m-1}}$, it follows from (2.5) that

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j^{(m-1)}(a_{i_{m-1},2}, \dots, a_{i_{m-1},2})) \geq w_j - 2w_j/w'_{i_{m-1}}.$$

By (2.4) this implies that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{i,2}) \geq 1$ for all $i_{m-1} \leq i < i_m$, and therefore $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq 3$. Continuing in this way we eventually have that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq w'_i$ for all $i_{m-1} \leq i < i_m$.

Repeating the above argument with i_{m-1} replaced by each of i_{m-2}, \dots, i_0 shows that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq w'_i$ for each i , which is what we wanted to show. \square

proof of proposition. By the proof of Lemma 2.3.4 it follows that each $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ is divisible only by the finitely many primes which divide the integral ideal $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ generated by all the α_i and $\alpha_{j,z}$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal dividing \mathfrak{A} . To prove the proposition it remains to show that the set of positive integers

$$(2.6) \quad \{s : \mathfrak{p}^s | \mathfrak{d} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f\} = \{s : \mathfrak{p}^s \in \mathfrak{D}_f\}$$

is bounded. Let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localization of K at \mathfrak{p} and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a uniformizer. By (2.1), for any $s \geq \max_i \{r_i w'_i + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i)\}$ we will have that if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f_j(a)) \geq s w_j$ for all j , then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_i) \geq w'_i$ for all i . Therefore $\mathfrak{p}^s \notin \mathfrak{D}_f$. In particular, the set (2.6) is finite and \mathfrak{D}_f is contained within the finite set of ideals of the form $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{p}^{s_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with $s_{\mathfrak{p}} < \max_i \{r_i w'_i + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i)\}$. \square

We complete this section with a proposition comparing the weights \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' .

Proposition 2.3.5. *If the \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' are ordered so that $w_i \leq w_j$ and $w'_i \leq w'_j$ for all $i \leq j$, then $w'_i \leq e(f)w_i$ for all i .*

Proof. By way of contradiction suppose that $w'_s > e(f)w_s$ for some s , and assume that s is minimal amongst the indices with this property. Set

$$f_j^{(s)}(x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n) := f_j(0, \dots, 0, x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$g_{i,j}^{(s)}(x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n) := g_{i,j}(0, \dots, 0, x_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Note that $w'_i > e(f)w_s$ for all $i \geq s$, so that $f_j^{(s)} = 0$ for all $j \leq s$. Therefore, by (2.1) we have that

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha_i x_i^{r_i} = \sum_{j=s+1}^n g_{i,j}^{(s)}(x) f_j^{(s)}(x).$$

But this is impossible since there are at most $n - s$ non-zero $f_j^{(s)}$, but there are $n - s + 1$ non-zero x_i . \square

3. WEIGHTED GEOMETRIC SIEVE OVER NUMBER FIELDS

In this section we prove a weighted version of some results of Bright, Browning, and Loughran [BBL16, §3], which are proven along the same lines as a lemma of Poonen and Stoll [PS99, Lemma 20].

3.1. o-minimal geometry. Let m_∞ denote Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 3.1.1 (Semi-algebraic set). A (real) semi-algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^n is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_1(x) = \cdots = f_k(x) = 0 \text{ and } g_1(x) > 0, \dots, g_l(x) > 0\}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_k, g_1, \dots, g_l \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Definition 3.1.2 (structure). A **structure** is a sequence $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ where each \mathcal{S}_n is a set of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with the following properties:

- (i) If $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\mathbb{R}^n - A \in \mathcal{S}_n$ (i.e. \mathcal{S}_n is a Boolean algebra).
- (ii) If $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{S}_{n+m}$.
- (iii) If $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the projection to m distinct coordinates and $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then $\pi(A) \in \mathcal{S}_m$.
- (iv) All real semi-algebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are in \mathcal{S}_n .

A subset is **definable** in \mathcal{S} if it is contained in some \mathcal{S}_n . Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be **definable** if its graph $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in D\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is definable in \mathcal{S} .

Definition 3.1.3. An **o-minimal structure** is a structure in which the following property holds:

- (v) The boundary of each set in \mathcal{S}_1 is a finite set of points.

The main structure we will use is \mathbb{R}_{exp} , which is defined to be the smallest structure in which the exponential function $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is definable.

Theorem 3.1.4 (Wilkie [Wil96]). *The structure \mathbb{R}_{exp} is o-minimal.*

From now on we will call a subset of \mathbb{R}^n **definable** if it is definable in some o-minimal structure. The following proposition gives the key measure theoretic properties of bounded definable subsets in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 3.1.5. [BW14, Lemma 5.3] *If $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded subset definable in an o-minimal structure, then R is measurable and the measure of its boundary ∂R is $m_\infty(\partial R) = 0$.*

3.2. Weighted geometry-of-numbers. The following is a version of the Principle of Lipschitz, which gives estimates for the number of lattice points in a weighted homogeneous space:

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set definable in an o-minimal structure. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rank n lattice. Set*

$$R(B) = B *_{\mathbf{w}'} R = \{B *_{\mathbf{w}'} x : x \in R\}.$$

Then

$$\#(\Lambda \cap R(B)) = \frac{m_\infty(R)}{\det \Lambda} B^{|\mathbf{w}'|} + O\left(B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on R , Λ , and \mathbf{w}' .

Proof. This will follow from a general version of the Principle of Lipschitz due to Barroero and Widmer [BW14]. Since $m_\infty(R(B)) = B^{|\mathbf{w}'|} m_\infty(R)$, [BW14] gives the desired leading term. Let $V_j(R(B))$ denote the sum of the j -dimensional volumes of the orthogonal projections of $R(B)$ onto each j -dimensional coordinate subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . The error term given in [BW14] is

$$(3.1) \quad O\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} V_j(R(B))\right)$$

where the implied constant depends only on R and Λ . In our case, one observes that $V_i(R(B)) = O(V_j(R(B)))$ for all $i \leq j$. Moreover, $V_{n-1}(R(B)) = O(\sum_{i \leq n} B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_i}) = O(B^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}})$, where the implied constant depends only on R and \mathbf{w}' . Combining these observations with (3.1) we obtain the desired error term. \square

We define (\mathbb{Z}_p^n) -boxes $\mathcal{B}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to be Cartesian products $\prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{p,j}$ of closed balls $\mathcal{B}_{p,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - a|_p \leq b_{p,j}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $b_{p,j} \in \{p^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We define boxes $\mathcal{B} \subset \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ as a Cartesian product of \mathbb{Z}_p^n -boxes:

$$\mathcal{B} = \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p = \prod_{p \in S} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{p,j}.$$

Lemma 3.2.2 (Box Lemma). *Let $\Omega_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded subset definable in an o-minimal structure. Let S be a finite set of primes and $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p$ a box. Then, for each $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\# \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p \right\} = \left(m_\infty(\Omega_\infty) \prod_{p \in S} m_p(\mathcal{B}_p) \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + O(B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on Ω_∞ , \mathcal{B} , and \mathbf{w} .

Proof. Note that the set of $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p$ is a translate of a sub-lattice of \mathbb{Z}^n . This lattice has determinant $\prod_{p \in S} m_\infty(\mathcal{B}_p)^{-1}$. The lemma then follows from Proposition 3.2.1. \square

We give a version of Lemma 3.2.2 which applies for more general Ω_∞ , but without an error term:

Corollary 3.2.3. *Let $\Omega_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded measurable subset with $m_\infty(\partial\Omega_\infty) = 0$. Let S be a finite set of primes and $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p$ a box. Then, for each $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\# \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \prod_{p \in S} \mathcal{B}_p \right\} \sim \left(m_\infty(\Omega_\infty) \prod_{p \in S} m_p(\mathcal{B}_p) \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|}.$$

Proof. Since the closure $\overline{\Omega}_\infty$ of Ω_∞ is compact, it can be covered by a finite collection of definable sets $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ (e.g. by boxes in \mathbb{R}^n) such that $m_\infty(\bigcup R_i)$ is arbitrarily close to $m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)$. Applying Lemma 3.2.2 to each R_i and summing over the $i \in I$ gives the desired asymptotic. \square

Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank and set $\Lambda_\infty = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_p = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Equip Λ_∞ and Λ_p with Haar measures m_∞ and m_p , normalized so that $m_p(\Lambda_p) = 1$ for all but finitely many primes p .

A *local condition* at a place $v \in \text{Val}(K)$ will refer to a subset $\Omega_v \subseteq \Lambda_v$. We are now going to prove two lemmas for counting lattice points in weighted homogeneous spaces with prescribed local conditions. The two cases will be: finitely many local conditions and infinitely many local condition, and infinitely many local conditions. The case of infinitely many local conditions addresses a more general situation, but at the cost of not giving an error term.

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank n , let $\Omega_\infty \subset \Lambda_\infty$ be a bounded definable subset, and, for each prime p in a finite subset S , let $\Omega_p \subset \Lambda_p$ be a subset with $m_p(\partial\Omega_p) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be an n -tuple of positive integers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p \in S\} \\ &= \left(\frac{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \prod_{p \in S} \frac{m_p(\Omega_p)}{m_p(\Lambda_p)} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + O\left(\frac{B^{|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on Λ , Ω_∞ , Ω_p , and \mathbf{w} .

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. The measures m_∞ and m_p on Λ_∞ and Λ_p induce measures on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{Z}_p^n which differ from the usual Haar measures by $m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)$ and $m_p(\Lambda_p)$ respectively. It therefore suffices to prove the result in the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and m_∞ and m_p are the usual Haar measures.

Let $P = \prod_{p \leq M} \Omega_p$ and let $Q = \prod_{p \leq M} (\mathbb{Z}_p^n - \Omega_p)$ be the complement of P in \mathbb{Z}_p^n . By assumption the set $\partial\Omega_p = \partial(\mathbb{Z}_p^n - \Omega_p)$ has measure zero for all $p \in S$. Thus, by compactness, we may cover the closure \overline{P} of P by a finite number of boxes $(\mathcal{I}^{(i)})_{i \leq I}$ such that the sum of their measures is arbitrarily close to $m_p(\Omega_p)$. Similarly, we may cover the closure \overline{Q} of Q by finitely many boxes $(\mathcal{J}^{(j)})_{j \leq J}$ such that the sum of their measures is arbitrarily close to $1 - m_p(\Omega_p)$.

Applying the Box Lemma (Lemma 3.2.2) to the boxes $(\mathcal{I}^{(i)})_i$, and then summing over the boxes, gives an upper bound for the set

$$\#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p\}.$$

Similarly, applying the Box Lemma to the boxes $(\mathcal{J}^{(j)})_j$ and summing, gives an upper bound for the complement of the above set. Together these bounds imply the desired asymptotic. \square

Replacing the use of Lemma 3.2.2 with Corollary 3.2.3 in the above proof, we obtain:

Corollary 3.2.5. *Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank n , let $\Omega_\infty \subset \Lambda_\infty$ be a bounded measurable subset with $m_\infty(\partial\Omega_\infty) = 0$, and, for each prime p in a finite subset S , let $\Omega_p \subset \Lambda_p$ be a subset with $m_p(\partial\Omega_p) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be an n -tuple of positive integers. Then*

$$\#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p\} \sim \left(\frac{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \prod_{p \in S} \frac{m_p(\Omega_p)}{m_p(\Lambda_p)} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|}.$$

We now address the case of infinitely many local conditions.

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be an n -tuple of positive integers. Let Λ be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank n , let $\Omega_\infty \subset \Lambda_\infty$ be a bounded subset, and for each prime p let $\Omega_p \subset \Lambda_p$. Assume that $m_\infty(\partial\Omega_\infty) = 0$ and $m_p(\partial\Omega_p) = 0$ for each prime p and*

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \notin \Omega_p \text{ for some prime } p > M\}}{B^{|\mathbf{w}|}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\#\{x \in \Lambda \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p\} \sim \frac{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)}{m_\infty(\Lambda_\infty/\Lambda)} \left(\prod_p \frac{m_p(\Omega_p)}{m_p(\Lambda_p)} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}|}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2.4, we may reduce to the case in which $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and m_∞ and m_p are the usual Haar measures on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{Z}_p^n .

For $M \leq M' \leq \infty$ and $B > 0$, set

$$f_{M,M'}(B) = \frac{1}{B^{|\mathbf{w}|}} \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_\infty : x \in \Omega_p \text{ for all primes } p \in [M, M']\}$$

and let $f_M(B) := f_{1,M}(B)$. Note that $f_M(B) \geq f_{M+r}(B)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. The hypothesis (3.2) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} (f_M(B) - f_\infty(B)) = 0.$$

From Corollary 3.2.5 it follows that, for all $M < M' < \infty$,

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} f_{M,M'}(B) = m_\infty(\Omega_\infty) \prod_{M \leq p < M'} m_p(\Omega_p).$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4) gives

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} f_\infty(B) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} f_M(B) = m_\infty(\Omega_\infty) \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p < M} m_p(\Omega_p).$$

The above infinite product converges by Cauchy's criterion since (3.2) and (3.4) together imply that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \left| 1 - \prod_{M \leq p < M+r} m_p(\Omega_p) \right| = \frac{1}{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty)} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} |f_1(B) - f_{M,M+r}(B)| = 0.$$

The desired result then follows. \square

If K is a number field of degree d over \mathbb{Q} and with discriminant Δ_K , then its ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K may naturally be viewed as a rank d lattice in $K_\infty := \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \prod_{v|\infty} K_v$ with covolume $|\Delta_K|^{1/2}$ with respect to the usual Haar measure m_∞ on K_∞ (which differs from Lebesgue measure on $K_\infty \cong \mathbb{R}^{r_1+2r_2}$ by a factor of 2^{r_2}) [Neu99, Chapter I Proposition 5.2]. More generally, any integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ may be viewed as a lattice in K_∞ with covolume $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})|\Delta_K|^{1/2}$. For an n -tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$, define the lattice

$$\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}} := \mathfrak{a}^{w_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{a}^{w_n} \subset K_\infty^n.$$

This lattice has covolume $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^{|\mathbf{w}|} |\Delta_K|^{n/2}$. For example, in the case that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $w_i = 1$ for all i , one has the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathcal{O}_K^n$ of covolume $|\Delta_K|^{n/2}$.

In later applications we will be interested in the situation in which we have lattices $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}}$ for each ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ with the property that $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathbf{w}} = \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{n}_0)$ for all ideals \mathfrak{n}_0 which are relatively prime to some fixed ideal \mathfrak{D} . In particular, if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ with $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{n}_0\mathfrak{n}_1$ where \mathfrak{n}_0 is relatively prime to \mathfrak{D} , then $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})$ has covolume

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\infty}(K_{\infty}^n/\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})) &= [\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}} : \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})] \cdot m_{\infty}(K_{\infty}^n/\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}}) \\ &= [\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}} : \Lambda(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_1) \cap (\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_0)^{\mathbf{w}}] \cdot m_{\infty}(K_{\infty}^n/\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}}) \\ &= [\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}} : (\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_0)^{\mathbf{w}}] \cdot [\mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}} : \Lambda(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_1)] \cdot N(\mathfrak{c})^{|\mathbf{w}|} |\Delta_K|^{n/2} \\ &= N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}|} \frac{[\mathcal{O}_K^n : \Lambda(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_1)]}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{c})^{|\mathbf{w}|}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{c})^{|\mathbf{w}|} |\Delta_K|^{n/2} \\ &= N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}|} [\mathcal{O}_K^n : \Lambda(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_1)] \cdot |\Delta_K|^{n/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For any rational prime p we have $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$. Equip each $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ with the Haar measure $m_{\mathfrak{p}}$ normalized so that $m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. These measures induce measures on K_{∞}^n and $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n$, which will also be denote by m_{∞} and $m_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Applying Lemma 3.2.4 we obtain the following Proposition:

Proposition 3.2.7. *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field of discriminant Δ_K and degree d over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be integral ideals with $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_0\mathfrak{n}_1$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}}$ a lattice as above. Let $\Omega_{\infty} \subset K_{\infty}^n$ be a bounded definable subset and let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K contained in a finite set S . Suppose that $m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{x \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_{\infty} : x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all primes } \mathfrak{p} \in S\} \\ &= \frac{m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty})}{N(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}|} [\mathcal{O}_K^n : \Lambda(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_1)] \cdot |\Delta_K|^{n/2}} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right) B^{d|\mathbf{w}|} + O(B^{d|\mathbf{w}| - w_{\min}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on $K, \Omega_{\infty}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and \mathbf{w} .

Similarly, applying Lemma 3.2.6 gives the corresponding proposition for infinitely many local conditions:

Proposition 3.2.8. *Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field of discriminant Δ_K and degree d over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be integral ideals with $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{n}_0\mathfrak{n}_1$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{w}}$ a lattice as above. Let $\Omega_{\infty} \subset K_{\infty}^n$ be a bounded subset and let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K . Assume that $m_{\infty}(\partial\Omega_{\infty})$ and $m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\partial\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each prime \mathfrak{p} and*

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_{\infty} : x \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\}}{B^{d|\mathbf{w}|}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\#\{x \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_{\infty} : x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all primes } \mathfrak{p}\} \\ \sim \frac{m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty})}{N(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} [\mathcal{O}_K^n : \Lambda(\mathfrak{cn}_1)] \cdot |\Delta_K|^{n/2}} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right) B^{d|\mathbf{w}'|}.$$

The following is a useful criterion for checking condition (3.5):

Lemma 3.2.9. *Let K be a number field and $Y \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n$ a closed subscheme of codimension $k > 1$. Let $\Omega_{\infty} \subset K_{\infty}^n$ be a bounded subset with $m_{\infty}(\partial\Omega_{\infty}) = 0$ and $m_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty}) > 0$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, set*

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^n : x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin Y(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}.$$

Then

$$\#\{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^n \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Omega_{\infty} : x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\} = O\left(\frac{B^{|\mathbf{w}'|d}}{M^{k-1} \log(M)}\right)$$

where the implied constant depends only on B and Y . In particular, (3.5) holds in this situation.

Proof. The case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ is due to Bhargava [Bha14, Theorem 3.3] (which generalized a result of Ekedahl [Eke91]). Bhargava's method generalizes to arbitrary number fields (as noted in [BSW15, Theorem 21]) and to the weighted case (as noted in [BSW21, pg. 4]). \square

4. COUNTING POINTS ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section we prove our results for counting points of bounded height on weighted projective spaces.

4.1. Finitely many local conditions. For any set of local conditions $(\Omega_v)_v$ define the sets

$$\Omega := \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\}, \\ \Omega_{\infty} := \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)\}, \\ \Omega_0 := \{x : x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}_0(K)\}.$$

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} , and let $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a non-constant, representable, generically étale, morphism of n -dimensional weighted projective spaces. Suppose that f has finite defect. Let $S \subset \text{Val}_0(K)$ be a finite set of finite places. For each $v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K) \cup S$ let $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_v)$ be a subset such that $\Omega_{\infty}^{\text{aff}}$ is a bounded definable subset of K_{∞}^{n+1} and $m_v(\partial\Omega_v^{\text{aff}}) = 0$ for all $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$. Then*

$$\#\{x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in f(\Omega)\} = \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} + \begin{cases} O\left(B^{\frac{1}{e(f)}} \log(B)\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}' = (1,1) \\ & \text{and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{de(f)}}\right) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where the leading coefficient is

$$\kappa = \frac{\kappa'}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)} \sum_{i=1}^{h_K} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \sum_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \frac{\#V(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{d}) N(\mathbf{c}_i)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} N(\mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1)]} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{|\mathbf{w}'|}},$$

where

$$\kappa' = \frac{m_\infty(\mathcal{F}(1))}{\deg(f) \varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2}} \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}),$$

where $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{h_K}$ are ideal class representatives of \mathcal{C}_K , \mathfrak{D}_f is the set of defects, $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f$ is the set of ideals only divisible by prime ideals in \mathfrak{D}_f , $\Lambda(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1)$ are lattices (to be defined in the proof), $\#V(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{d})$ counts certain translates of $\Lambda(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1)$, and $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is a certain fundamental domain (depending on f) for the (\mathbf{w}' -weighted) action of \mathcal{O}_K of K_∞^{n+1} , which will be constructed in the proof.

Proof. As f is generically étale, it suffices to show

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega\} \\ &= \deg(f) \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} + \begin{cases} O\left(B^{\frac{1}{e(f)}} \log(B)\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}'=(1,1) \\ & \text{and } K=\mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)}}\right) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_h$ be a set of integral ideal representatives of the ideal class group \mathcal{C}_K of K . Then we get the following partition of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$ into points whose scaling ideals are in the same ideal class:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h \{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) : [\mathfrak{J}_f(x)] = [\mathbf{c}_i]\}.$$

For each $\mathbf{c} \in \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_h\}$ consider the counting function

$$M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, [\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)] = [\mathbf{c}], x \in \Omega\}.$$

Consider the (weighted) action of the unit group \mathcal{O}_K^\times on $K^{n+1} - \{0\}$ by $u *_{\mathbf{w}'}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (u^{w'_0} x_0, \dots, u^{w'_n} x_n)$, and let $(K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ denote the corresponding set of orbits. Let Ω^{aff} be the affine cone of Ω (i.e. the pullback of Ω along the map $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')$). We may describe $M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B)$ in terms of \mathcal{O}_K^\times -orbits of an affine cone. In particular, there is a bijection between

$$\{[(x_0, \dots, x_n)] \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathbf{c}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}$$

and

$$\{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K) : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, [\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)] = [\mathbf{c}], x \in \Omega\}$$

given by

$$[(x_0, \dots, x_n)] \mapsto [x_0 : \dots : x_n].$$

Therefore

$$M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) = \#\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathbf{c}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}.$$

Recall that the defect of x is defined as

$$\delta_f(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}}(f(x))}{\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)^{e(f)}},$$

and the set of defects is denoted

$$\mathfrak{D}_f := \{\delta_f(x) : x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)\}.$$

For ideals $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ set

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) &:= \#\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathfrak{c}, \delta_f(x) = \mathfrak{d}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\} \\ &= \#\left\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{ht}_{f,\infty}(x)}{N(\mathfrak{a}^{e(f)}\mathfrak{d})} \leq B, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathfrak{c}, \delta_f(x) = \mathfrak{d}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$M(\Omega, \mathfrak{c}, B) = \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} M(\Omega, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, B).$$

Our general strategy will be to first find an asymptotic for the counting function

$$M'(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \#\left\{x \in (K^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \frac{\text{ht}_{f,\infty}(x)}{N(\mathfrak{a}^{e(f)}\mathfrak{d})} \leq B, \mathfrak{I}_f(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}, \delta_f(x) = \mathfrak{d}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\right\}.$$

and then use Möbius inversion to obtain an asymptotic formula for $M(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B)$.

Define the set

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) := \{x \in K^{n+1} - \{0\} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) \subset \mathfrak{a}, \delta_f(x) = \mathfrak{d}\}.$$

Then

$$M(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \#\{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{ht}_f(x) \leq B, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathfrak{a}, x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}$$

and

$$M'(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \#\{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})/\mathcal{O}_K^\times : \text{ht}_{f,\infty}(x) \leq BN(\mathfrak{a}^{e(f)}\mathfrak{d}), x \in \Omega^{\text{aff}}\}.$$

The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})$ is the disjoint union of finitely many translates of a lattice.

Lemma 4.1.2. *Fix an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then there exists a lattice $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq K^{n+1}$ such that for any ideal $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ there is a finite set $V(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \subset \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1}$ for which*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \cup \{0\} = \bigsqcup_{v \in V(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})} (v + \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})).$$

Proof. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ let \mathfrak{q} be the maximal power of \mathfrak{p} in \mathfrak{D}_f . For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ define a lattice in K_p^{n+1} by

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) := \begin{cases} \left(\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{e(f)}\right)^{\mathbf{w}} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f \\ \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbf{w}'} & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \notin \mathfrak{D}_f. \end{cases}$$

Define the sets

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) := \{a \in K_p^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(a) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \delta_f(a) = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathfrak{D}_f$ then $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (1)$ and so $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbf{w}} = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ then the conditions $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(a) = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\delta_f(a) = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are together equivalent to satisfying the congruence conditions $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{w'_i}}$ and $f_i(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{w_i}}$ for all i . Possibly re-ordering the elements of \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' we may apply Proposition 2.3.5 so that $w'_i \leq e(f)w_i$ for all i . This together with the fact that $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}} | \mathfrak{q}$ shows that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})$ can be determined by congruence conditions modulo $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular, there exists a finite set $V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}$ for which

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \cup \{0\} = \bigsqcup_{v \in V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d})} (v + \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})).$$

Setting

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} (\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K^{n+1}) = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbf{w}'} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})$$

and

$$V(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} (V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \cap K^{n+1})$$

we obtain the desired result. \square

Note that by construction, if $\mathfrak{n}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is an ideal relatively prime to all elements of the set of defects \mathfrak{D}_f , then $\Lambda(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathbf{w}} = \Lambda(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{n}_0)$.

We are now going to construct a fundamental domain for the (\mathbf{w}' -weighted) action of the unit group \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} on $K_{\infty}^{n+1} - \{0\}$. This will be done using Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Theorem 4.1.3 (Dirichlet's Unit Theorem). *The image Λ of the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \\ u &\mapsto (\log |u|_v)_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} \end{aligned}$$

is a rank $r := r_1 + r_2 - 1$ lattice in the hyperplane H defined by $\sum_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} x_v = 0$ and $\ker(\lambda) = \varpi(K)$.

For each $v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)$ define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_v : K_v^{n+1} - \{0\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x_0, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto \log \max_i |x_i|_v^{1/w'_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Combine these maps to obtain a single map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_{\infty}(K)} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \\ x &\mapsto (\eta_v(x_v)). \end{aligned}$$

Let H be the hyperplane in Dirichlet's unit theorem and let

$$\text{pr} : \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow H$$

be the projection along the vector $(d_v)_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)}$, where $d_v = 1$ if v is real and $d_v = 2$ if v is complex. More explicitly,

$$(\text{pr}(x))_v = x_v - \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v' \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} x_{v'} \right) d_v.$$

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ be a basis for the image of \mathcal{O}_K^\times in the hyperplane H , and let $\{\check{u}_1, \dots, \check{u}_r\}$ be the dual basis. Then the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{y \in H : 0 \leq \check{u}_j(y) < 1 \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}$$

is a fundamental domain for H modulo Λ , and $\mathcal{F} := (\text{pr} \circ \eta)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a fundamental domain for the $(\mathbf{w}'\text{-weighted})$ action of \mathcal{O}_K^\times on $\prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} (K_\infty^{n+1} - \{0\})$.

Define the sets

$$\mathcal{D}(B) := \{x \in \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) : \text{ht}_{f, \infty}(x) = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_\infty(K)} \max_i |f_i(x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i} \leq B\},$$

and $\mathcal{F}(B) := \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{D}(B)$. The sets $\mathcal{D}(B)$ are \mathcal{O}_K^\times -stable, in the sense that if $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ then $u *_{\mathbf{w}'} x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$; this can be seen by the following computation:

$$\prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(u^{w'_i} x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} |u|_v^{e(f)} \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i}.$$

Similarly, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(t^{w'_i} x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i} = \prod_{v|\infty} |t|_v^{e(f)} \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i} = |t|^{d \cdot e(f)} \prod_{v|\infty} \max_i |f_i(x_{v,i})|_v^{1/w_i}.$$

This shows that $\text{ht}_{f, \infty}(t *_{\mathbf{w}'} x) = |t|^{e(f)d} \text{ht}_{f, \infty}(x)$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}(B) = B^{\frac{1}{e(f)d}} *_{\mathbf{w}'} \mathcal{D}(1)$ for all $B > 0$. On the other hand, \mathcal{F} is stable under the weighted action of $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, in the sense that $t *_{\mathbf{w}'} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$. To see this, note that for any $x \in \prod_{v|\infty} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\})$,

$$\eta(t *_{\mathbf{w}'} x) = (e(f)d_v)_{v|\infty} \log(|t|) + \eta(x).$$

Since pr is linear and annihilates the vector $(d_v)_{v|\infty}$, we have that

$$\text{pr} \circ \eta(t *_{\mathbf{w}'} x) = \text{pr} \circ \eta(x),$$

as desired. From our observations we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.4. *The regions $\mathcal{F}(B)$ are weighted homogeneous, in the sense that $\mathcal{F}(B) = B^{1/e(f)d} *_{\mathbf{w}'} \mathcal{F}(1)$ for all $B > 0$.*

We are now going to count lattice points in $\mathcal{F}(B)$. In [Sch79] this is done by using the classical Principle of Lipschitz [Dav51] (see also [Lan94, VI §2 Theorem 2]). One of the cruxes of Schanuel's argument is verifying that a fundamental domain (analogous to our $\mathcal{F}(1)$) has Lipschitz parameterizable boundary, so that he can apply the Principle of Lipschitz. Though one can modify this part of Schanuel's argument to work in our case, we will instead take a slightly different route, using an o-minimal version of the Principle of Lipschitz (Proposition 3.2.1). This allows one to give a more streamline proof of this part of Schanuel's argument, which may be useful in future generalizations.

Lemma 4.1.5. *The set $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{H} \subset \prod_{v|\infty} \mathbb{R}_v$ be the subset defined by $\sum_{v|\infty} x_v \leq 0$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(1) = \eta^{-1}(\tilde{H} \cap \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}))$. It follows from the definition of η and Proposition 2.1.2 that, in order to show $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is bounded, it suffices to show that the closed set

$$S := \tilde{H} \cap \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$$

is bounded above. For this, note that any $x \in S$ can be written as

$$x = \text{pr}(x) + (d_v)_v \frac{1}{d} \sum_{v'|\infty} x_{v'},$$

and thus the components of S are bounded above, noting that the first term, $\text{pr}(x)$, has components bounded above, and the second term has negative components. \square

Lemma 4.1.6. *The set $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} .*

Proof. We make the following straightforward observations:

- The set $\mathcal{D}(1)$ is semi-algebraic.
- The set

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \in \prod_{v|\infty} (K_v^{n+1} - \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : \text{pr} \circ \eta(x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : 0 \leq \check{u}_j \left(\log(\max_i |x_i|_v^{1/w_i}) - d_v \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{v|\infty} \log(\max_i |x_i|_{v'}^{1/w_i}) \right) \right) < 1 \forall j \right\}$$

is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} , since it can be described in terms of polynomials and log, and log is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} .

It follows that the intersection $\mathcal{F}(1) = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{D}(1)$ is definable in \mathbb{R}_{exp} . \square

Note that each $\varpi(K)$ -orbit (with respect to the \mathbf{w}' -weighted action) of an element of $(K - \{0\})^{n+1}$ contains $\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'}$ elements. Thus

$$(4.2) \quad M'(\Omega, \mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \frac{\#(\Omega^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(B \cdot N(\mathbf{a}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})) \cap \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}))}{\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'}} + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}}\right),$$

where the error term accounts for the points of $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B)$ contained in the subvariety of the affine cone of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$ consisting of points with at least one coordinate equal to zero; by Proposition 3.2.7 this error is at most $O\left(\left(B^{1/e(f)d}\right)^{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}\right)$.

For $\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ write $\mathbf{a}/\mathfrak{c} = \mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{n}_1$ where \mathbf{n}_0 is the largest factor of \mathbf{a}/\mathfrak{c} which is relatively prime to all elements of \mathfrak{D}_f . By Lemma 4.1.5 and Lemma 4.1.6 we may apply Lemma 3.2.7 to the $\#V(\mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d})$ translates of the lattice $\Lambda(\mathbf{a})$ and with the local conditions $\Omega_v^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1)$ if $v|\infty$ and Ω_v^{aff} if $v \in S$ to obtain the following asymptotic for $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B)$ from (4.2):

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\#V(\mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot m_\infty(\Omega_\infty^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1)) \cdot N(\mathbf{a}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} N(\mathbf{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} [\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{n}_1)] \cdot |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2}} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}) \right) B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}} + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}}\right).$$

Note that as m_∞ is the product of measures $(m_v)_{v|\infty}$, one can show

$$\frac{m_\infty(\Omega_\infty^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1))}{m_\infty(\mathcal{F}(1))} = \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})},$$

and thus

$$(4.4) \quad m_\infty(\Omega_\infty^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F}(1)) = m_\infty(\mathcal{F}(1)) \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})}.$$

Therefore we can write (4.3) as

$$(4.5) \quad M'(\Omega, \mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \deg(f) \kappa' \frac{\#V(\mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{cn}_1)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} N(\mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}} + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}}\right).$$

Note that for

$$x \in \mathfrak{c}^{\mathbf{w}'} = \mathfrak{c}^{w'_0} \times \mathfrak{c}^{w'_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{c}^{w'_n},$$

$\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x) = \mathbf{cn}$ for some ideal $\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\text{ht}_f(x) = \text{ht}_{f,\infty}(x)/N(\mathbf{n}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})$. Therefore

$$M'(\Omega, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, B) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} M(\Omega, \mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}, B/N(\mathbf{n})^{e(f)}).$$

We now apply Möbius inversion and use our asymptotic (4.5) for $M'(\Omega, \mathbf{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B)$:

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Omega, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, B) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mu(\mathbf{a}) \left(\deg(f) \kappa' \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{cn}_1)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} N(\mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \left(\frac{B}{N(\mathbf{n})^{e(f)}} \right)^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\left(\frac{B}{N(\mathbf{n})^{e(f)}} \right)^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}} \right) \right) \\ &= \deg(f) \kappa' B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mu(\mathbf{n}) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathfrak{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{N(\mathbf{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} [\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \\ &\quad + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{N(\mathbf{n})^{|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}/d}} \right) \\ &= \deg(f) \kappa' B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mu(\mathbf{n}) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathfrak{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{N(\mathbf{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} [\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} O(B^{1/e(f)} \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}' = (1,1) \\ & \text{and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}}\right) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\#V(\mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}) = \#V(\mathbf{cn}_1, \mathfrak{d})$ and letting $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f$ denote the set of ideals of \mathcal{O}_K divisible only by primes in \mathfrak{D}_f , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K} \mu(\mathfrak{n}) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{N(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} [\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \mu(\mathfrak{n}_1) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}_1, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \right) \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{n}_0 \notin \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \mu(\mathfrak{n}_0) \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{n}_0)^{|\mathbf{w}'|}} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \mu(\mathfrak{n}_1) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}_1, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \right) \frac{1}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-|\mathbf{w}'|}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the remaining sum is finite since $\mu(\mathfrak{n}_1) \neq 0$ only if \mathfrak{n}_1 is squarefree, and there are only finitely many squarefree elements in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f$. Set

$$\kappa(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{d}) := \frac{\deg(f) \kappa'}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \mu(\mathfrak{n}_1) \frac{\#V(\mathbf{cn}_1, \mathfrak{d}) \cdot N(\mathbf{c}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{cn}_1)]} \right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-|\mathbf{w}'|}}.$$

Summing over $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, B) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} M(\Omega, \mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{d}, B) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \kappa(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{d}) B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} + \begin{cases} O(B^{1/e(f)} \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}' = (1, 1) \text{ and } K = \mathbb{Q}, \\ O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{e(f)d}}\right) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, summing over the ideal class representatives \mathbf{c}_i of \mathcal{C}_K gives (4.1), from which Theorem 4.1.1 follows. \square

4.2. Infinitely many local conditions. We now address the situation in which infinitely many local conditions are imposed.

Theorem 4.2.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . and $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a non-constant representable generically étale morphism with finite defect. For each $v \in \text{Val}(K)$ let $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_v)$ be a subset such that $m_v(\partial\Omega_v^{\text{aff}}) = 0$. Suppose also that for all bounded subsets $\Psi \subset K_\infty^{n+1}$ of positive measure and with $m_\infty(\partial\Psi) = 0$ we have that*

$$(4.6) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}'} \Psi : x \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\}}{B^{d|\mathbf{w}'|}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\#\{x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in f(\Omega)\} \sim \kappa B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)}.$$

where the leading coefficient is

$$\kappa = \frac{\kappa'}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)} \sum_{i=1}^{h_K} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \sum_{\mathbf{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \frac{\#V(\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{n}_1, \mathfrak{d}) N(\mathbf{c}_i)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} N(\mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} : \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{n}_1)]} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{|\mathbf{w}'|}},$$

where

$$\kappa' = \frac{m_\infty(\mathcal{F}(1))}{\deg(f) \varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2}} \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{ht}_{f,v}(x) \leq 1\})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1.1, but with Proposition 3.2.7 replaced by Proposition 3.2.8. In order to apply Proposition 3.2.8 to give an estimate for $M'(\Omega, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{d}, B)$ we must check that the local conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\infty &:= \{x \in \Omega_\infty^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{F} : \text{ht}_{f,\infty}(x) \leq N(\mathfrak{a}^{e(f)} \mathfrak{d})\} \\ \Theta_{\mathfrak{p}} &:= \{x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \delta_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2.8.

The sets $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are measurable since they are each the finite intersection of measurable sets. Since $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is a bounded definable set by Lemma 4.1.5 and Lemma 4.1.6, it is measurable by Proposition 3.1.5. It follows that Θ_∞ is measurable since it is the intersection of two measurable sets.

We will now show that the $\Theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfy condition (3.5) of Proposition 3.2.8. By the assumption (4.6) it suffices to show (3.5) for the sets

$$\Theta'_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \delta_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

Note that for \mathfrak{p} sufficiently large $\Theta'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}, \delta_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}\}$. Applying Lemma 3.2.9 with the subscheme corresponding to the ideal $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \cap (f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ shows that the sets

$$\Theta''_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{w}'}(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{I}_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}\}$$

satisfy condition (3.5). But $\Theta''_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \Theta'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all sufficiently large \mathfrak{p} , and thus the $\Theta'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ must also satisfy (3.5). \square

In the case that $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}'$ and f is the identity, one may compute the volume of $\mathcal{F}(1)$ as in [Den98, Proposition 5.3] to obtain

$$m_\infty(\mathcal{F}(1)) = (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}.$$

This differs by a factor of $2^{(n+1)r_2}$ from Deng's result since we are using the usual Haar measure on K_∞ rather than the Lebesgue measure. We also have that the set of defects \mathfrak{D}_f is just $\{\mathcal{O}_K\}$ when f is the identity. From these observations, Theorem 4.1.1 and Theorem 4.2.1 give the following corollary:

Corollary 4.2.2. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . For each $v \in \text{Val}(K)$ let $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K_v)$ be a subset such that $m_v(\partial\Omega_v^{\text{aff}}) = 0$. Suppose also that for all bounded*

subsets $\Psi \subset K_\infty^{n+1}$ of positive measure and with $m_\infty(\partial\Psi) = 0$ we have that

(4.7)

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}} \Psi : x \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\}}{B^{d|\mathbf{w}|}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\} \\ & \sim \kappa_\Omega \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\varpi_{K,\mathbf{w}} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2} \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}|)} B^{|\mathbf{w}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where κ_Ω is the following (non-zero) product of local densities

$$\kappa_\Omega = \prod_{v|\infty} \frac{m_v(\{x \in \Omega_v^{\text{aff}} : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})}{m_v(\{x \in K_v^{n+1} : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w},v}(x) \leq 1\})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\{x \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}\}).$$

If, moreover, $\Omega_v = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K_v)$ for all but finitely many $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})(K) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B, x \in \Omega_v \text{ for all } v \in \text{Val}(K)\} \\ & = \kappa_\Omega \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K |\mathbf{w}|^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\varpi_{K,\mathbf{w}} |\Delta_K|^{(n+1)/2} \zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}|)} B^{|\mathbf{w}|} + \begin{cases} O(B^{|\mathbf{w}|-w_{\min}/d} \log(B)) & \text{if } \mathbf{w}=(1,1) \\ & \text{and } K=\mathbb{Q}, \\ O(B^{|\mathbf{w}|-w_{\min}/d}) & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The following result, which follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.9, gives a criterion for checking the limit condition in Theorem 4.2.1:

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let K be a number field and $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathbf{w}')$ a closed subscheme of codimension $k > 1$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, set*

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}) : x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin Y(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}.$$

Then, for all bounded subsets $\Psi \subset K_\infty^{n+1}$ with positive measure and $m_\infty(\partial\Psi) = 0$, we have

$$\#\{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} \cap B *_{\mathbf{w}'} \Psi : x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \notin \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \text{ for some prime } \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } N(\mathfrak{p}) > M\} = O\left(\frac{B^{|\mathbf{w}'|d}}{M^{k-1} \log(M)}\right)$$

where the implied constant depends only on B , \mathbf{w} , and Y . In particular, (4.6) holds in this situation.

Let k be a positive integer. An element α in \mathcal{O}_K is said to be k -free if there are no prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}^k$. Let $[r] \in K^\times / (K^\times)^k$, let $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and let $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be an integral ideal. If there exists a k -free $t \in K^\times$ which is equivalent to r in $K^\times / (K^\times)^k$ and which satisfies $t \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$, then we will write $[r] \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$. Using Corollary 4.2.2 we can count elements of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)$ of bounded height which satisfy a congruence condition.

Corollary 4.2.4. *Let K be a degree d number field over K , let w_0 be a positive integer, let $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and let $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be an integral ideal with prime factorization $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{s_n}$. Set*

$\mathfrak{g} = \gcd((a), \mathfrak{n})$. Then the number of elements $x \in \mathbb{P}(w_0)(K) = K^\times / (K^\times)^{w_0}$ satisfying $x \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$ and of height less than B is

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{g}}} N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{-s_i} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{p}_i \nmid \mathfrak{g}}} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0 - s_i}}{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0} - 1} \right) \frac{h_K 2^{r_1 + r_2} \pi^{r_2} R_K w_0^{r_1 + r_2 - 1}}{\varpi_{K, w_0} |\Delta_K|^{1/2} \zeta_K(w_0)} B^{w_0} + O(B^{w_0 - 1/d}).$$

Remark 4.2.5. In the case that K has class number 1, this result counts the number of w_0 -free integers of K satisfying a congruence relation.

Proof. We may assume $r_i < w_0$ for all i .

First consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = (1) = \mathcal{O}_K$. We apply Corollary 4.2.2 with the local conditions

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \{x \in \mathbb{P}(w_i)(K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) : x \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i}}\}.$$

As

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\text{aff}} = \{x \in K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^\times : x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{w_0}}, x \equiv a \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i}}\}$$

we have

$$m_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}_i}) = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0 - s_j}}{N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0} - 1},$$

since $N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0 - s_j}$ of the $N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{w_0} - 1$ non-zero elements of $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}_i} / \mathfrak{p}_i^{w_0}$ are equivalent to a modulo $\mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i}$. From this follows the desired result in the case $\mathfrak{g} = (1)$.

If $\mathfrak{g} \neq (1)$, then the desired result is obtained by first replacing \mathfrak{n} by $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}$ and applying the $\mathfrak{g} = (1)$ case, and then multiplying by a factor of $N(\mathfrak{g})^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{p}_i | \mathfrak{g}}} N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{-s_i}$ to account for the 1 out of $N(\mathfrak{g})$ elements x of \mathcal{O}_K^\times within a single congruence class modulo \mathfrak{g} . \square

4.3. Counting with a twisted height. Motivated by counting twists of elliptic curves, we count points on weighted projective spaces with respect to the *twisted height* defined in Subsection 2.2.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let K be a degree d number field over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, w'_1, \dots, w'_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be $(n+1)$ -tuples of positive integers and let $f : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w})$ be a non-constant, representable, generically étale morphism with finite defect. Let $\tau | \gcd(w_0, \dots, w_n)$ be such that if $\tau \geq |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)$ then $-3\tau + |\mathbf{w}| \geq 0$ and if $\tau < |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)$ then $\tau(|w| - |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) - 1) - |\mathbf{w}| \geq 0$. For ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ with \mathfrak{b} τ -free we write $\mathfrak{a} ||| \mathfrak{b}$ if $\mathfrak{p}^s || \mathfrak{a}$ implies $\mathfrak{p}^s || \mathfrak{b}$ for all*

$\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\#\{(x, d) \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) \times \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x^{(d)}) \leq B\}$$

$$\sim \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau - |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}||\mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)}}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} & \text{if } \tau < |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \left(\tau \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}||\mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^\tau \log(B) & \text{if } \tau = |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \left(\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)\tau - |\mathbf{w}'|} \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}||\mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^\tau & \text{if } \tau > |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f). \end{cases}$$

where

$$\kappa = \kappa_f \frac{h_K 2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2} R_K \tau^{r_1+r_2-1}}{\varpi_{K,\tau} |\Delta_K|^{1/2} \zeta_K(\tau)},$$

where κ_f is the leading coefficient of Theorem 4.2.1 when only trivial local conditions are imposed, and where

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{b}) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} m_{\mathfrak{p}}(\{x \in \Omega(\mathfrak{b})_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{aff}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^{n+1}\})$$

is a product of local densities which will be defined in the proof.

Before proving the theorem, we state the following product lemma [Den98, Lemma 7.1] (see also Wang [Wan21, Lemma 3.1] and [FMT90, Proposition 2] for similar results).

Lemma 4.3.2 (Product Lemma). *Let C_X and C_Y be counting functions on the sets X and Y respectfully. Suppose that*

$$F_X(B) := \#\{x \in X : C_X(x) \leq B\} \sim c_X B^{\alpha_X}$$

and

$$F_Y(B) := \#\{y \in Y : C_Y(y) \leq B\} \sim c_Y B^{\alpha_Y}.$$

Then

$$F(B) := \#\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : C_X(x) \cdot C_Y(y) \leq B\} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{c_X c_Y \alpha_X}{\alpha_Y - \alpha_X} B^{\alpha_Y} & \text{if } \alpha_X < \alpha_Y \\ c_X c_Y \alpha_X B^{\alpha_X} \log(B) & \text{if } \alpha_X = \alpha_Y \\ \frac{c_X c_Y \alpha_Y}{\alpha_X - \alpha_Y} B^{\alpha_X} & \text{if } \alpha_X > \alpha_Y. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.3.1. For $x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K))$ and $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$, let $b_{x,v}$ be defined as follows:

$$b_{x,v} := \min\{b \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\} : |x^{(\pi_v^b)}|_{\mathbf{w},v} < |x|_{\mathbf{w},v}\},$$

where we define the minimum of the empty-set to be zero. Let \mathfrak{b}_x be the integral ideal

$$\mathfrak{b}_x = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \mathfrak{p}_v^{b_{x,v}}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ be any τ -free integral ideal, i.e., the prime factorization

$$\mathfrak{b} = \prod_{v \in \text{Val}_0(K)} \mathfrak{p}_v^{b_v}$$

of \mathfrak{b} is such that $b_v < \tau$ for all v . Let $\mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal dividing \mathfrak{b} such that there exists a $V \subset \text{Val}_0(K)$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{v \in V} \mathfrak{p}_v^{b_v}.$$

For \mathfrak{b} a τ -free ideal and $\mathfrak{a} ||| \mathfrak{b}$, define the set

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}) := \{(x, d) \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) \times \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) : \mathfrak{b}_x = \mathfrak{b}, d \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}\}.$$

Let $V \subset \text{Val}_0(K)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $v \in V$. Set

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{a}} := \prod_{v \in V} |\pi_v|_v^{-1} = \prod_{v \in V} [\mathcal{O}_{K,v} : \pi_v \mathcal{O}_{K,v}] = N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}),$$

where $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the radical ideal of \mathfrak{a} . Then, for any $(x, d) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a})$, we have

$$\text{ht}_{\varphi_f, \tau}(x, d) = \frac{d^{1/\tau} \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)}{\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}} = \frac{d^{1/\tau} \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)}{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(x, d) \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) \times \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x^{(d)}) \leq B\} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} ||| \mathfrak{b}} \#\{(x, d) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}) : d^{1/\tau} \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq \delta_{\mathfrak{a}} B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Our strategy will be to count each summand on the right using the product lemma.

Let $z \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K))$ and let $[z_0 : \cdots : z_n]$ be a representative of z which is integral (i.e. $z_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for all i) and reduced (i.e. there is no $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ such that $v(z_i) \geq w_i$ for all i). Note that such a choice of representative need not be unique if K does not have unique factorization. We emphasize that making such a choice of representative is not necessary for the arguments that follow, and that we have chosen such representatives for convenience only.

With our choice of representative, we have that for each $v \in \text{Val}_0(K)$ there exists a j such that $v(z_j) < w_j$. This implies $\lfloor v(z_j)/w_j \rfloor = 0$ for all v , so that $\lfloor [z_0 : \cdots : z_n] \rfloor_{\mathbf{w}, v} = 1$.

By definition $|z^{(\pi_v^m)}|_{\mathbf{w}, v} < |z|_{\mathbf{w}, v}$ is equivalent to

$$\min_j \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{v(\pi_v^{w_j m/\tau} z_j)}{w_j} \right\rfloor \right\} > \min_j \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{v(z_j)}{w_j} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Letting s be such that $v(z_s)/w_s = \min_j \{v(z_j)/w_j\}$, it follows that

$$b_{z,v} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v(z_s)/w_s < 1/\tau \\ \left\lfloor \tau \left(1 - \frac{v(z_s)}{w_s}\right) \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This allows us to translate the condition $\mathbf{b}_z = \mathbf{b} = \prod_v \mathbf{p}_v^{b_v}$ into the following set of local conditions, which we will denote by $\Omega(\mathbf{b})_v$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(z_s) &< w_s/\tau && \text{if } b_v = 0 \\ \frac{w_s(\tau - b_v)}{\tau} \leq v(z_s) &< \frac{w_s(\tau - b_v + 1)}{\tau} && \text{if } b_v \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We may rewrite these conditions without choosing reduced representatives as follows,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{b})_v := \begin{cases} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_v) : v(f_s(x)) - w_s \left\lfloor \frac{v(f_s(x))}{w_s} \right\rfloor < \frac{w_s}{\tau} \right\} & \text{if } b_v = 0 \\ \left\{ x \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_v) : \frac{w_s(\tau - b_v)}{\tau} \leq v(f_s(x)) - w_s \left\lfloor \frac{v(f_s(x))}{w_s} \right\rfloor < \frac{w_s(\tau - b_v + 1)}{\tau} \right\} & \text{if } b_v \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where s is such that $v(f_s(x))/w_s = \min_j \{v(f_j(x))/w_j\}$. For the all but finitely many v for which $b_v = 0$, we have that $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}_v}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}_v}\} \subset \Omega(\mathbf{b})_v^{\text{aff}}$. But, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1, it follows from Lemma 3.2.9 that the $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{I}_f(x)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}\}$ satisfies the limit condition (4.6) of Theorem 4.2.1. It follows that the local conditions $\Omega(\mathbf{b})$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2.1. By Theorem 4.2.1 we obtain the following asymptotic:

$$(4.8) \quad \#\{x \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) : \mathbf{b}_x = \mathbf{b}, \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq B\} \sim \kappa_f \lambda(\mathbf{b}) B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}}.$$

By Corollary 4.2.4, we have that

$$(4.9) \quad \#\{d \in \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) : d \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}, d^{1/\tau} \leq B\} \sim \frac{h_K 2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2} R_K \tau^{r_1+r_2-1}}{N(\mathfrak{a}) \varpi_{K, \tau} |\Delta_K|^{1/2} \zeta_K(\tau)} B^\tau.$$

Applying the Product Lemma (Lemma 4.3.2) with the asymptotics (4.9) and (4.8), we have

$$\#\{(x, d) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{b}, \mathfrak{a}) : d^{1/\tau} \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \leq \delta_{\mathfrak{a}} B\} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa \lambda(\mathbf{b}) \tau}{N(\mathfrak{a}) (\tau - |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f))} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}} B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f)}} & \text{if } \tau < |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \lambda(\mathbf{b}) \kappa \tau \frac{\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} B^\tau \log(B) & \text{if } \tau = |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{b}) \kappa |\mathbf{w}'|}{N(\mathfrak{a}) (e(f) \tau - |\mathbf{w}'|)} \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}^\tau B^\tau & \text{if } \tau > |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f). \end{cases}$$

Summing over all \mathfrak{a} and \mathbf{b} gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(x, d) \in f(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K)) \times \mathbb{P}(\tau)(K) : \text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x^{(d)}) \leq B\} \\ & \sim \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau - |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathbf{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathbf{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)}}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^{|\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)} & \text{if } \tau < |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \left(\tau \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathbf{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathbf{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^\tau \log(B) & \text{if } \tau = |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) \\ \left(\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{e(f) \tau - |\mathbf{w}'|} \kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathbf{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathbf{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \right) B^\tau & \text{if } \tau > |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now check that the leading coefficients converge. Assume $\tau \geq |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)$. In these cases it suffices to show that the sum

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})}$$

converges. By a theorem of Gronwall [Gro13] on the growth rate of the sum-of-divisors function (which easily extends to arbitrary number fields), we obtain an upper bound for the inner sum

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} = O \left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \log \log \left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right) \right).$$

To estimate the product of local conditions $\lambda(\mathfrak{b})$ we first estimate $m_v(\Omega(\mathfrak{b})_v)$ for $\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{b}$. From the definition of $\Omega(\mathfrak{b})_v$ we obtain

$$m_v(\Omega(\mathfrak{b})_v) = O \left(N(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-\frac{|\mathbf{w}|(\tau-b_v)}{\tau}} \right)$$

Taking the product over $\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{b}$, we have the upper bound

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{b}) = O \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{b}} N(\mathfrak{p}_v)^{-\frac{|\mathbf{w}|(\tau-b_v)}{\tau}} \right) = O \left(\left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right)^{-|\mathbf{w}|/\tau} \right)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})} &= O \left(\left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right)^{1-|\mathbf{w}|/\tau} \log \log \left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right) \right) \\ &= O \left(\left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right)^{-2} \log \log \left(\frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption that $-3\tau + |\mathbf{w}| \geq 0$ in obtaining the second equality. Note that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{b} \text{ is } \tau\text{-free}\} &\rightarrow \{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K : \mathfrak{b} \text{ is } \tau\text{-free}\} \\ \mathfrak{b} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{p}_v^{b_v} &\mapsto \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{b})} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}_v | \mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{p}_v^{\tau-b_v} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \parallel \mathfrak{b}} \frac{N(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})^\tau}{N(\mathfrak{a})} = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \tau\text{-free}}} O(N(\mathfrak{b})^{-2} \log \log(N(\mathfrak{b}))) = O(1).$$

This shows that the sum (4.10) converges. A similar argument shows that the infinite sum in the $\tau < |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f)$ case converges provided that

$$\tau(|w| - |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) - 1) - |\mathbf{w}| \geq 0.$$

□

Remark 4.3.3. It is interesting to note the similarity of the above proof with the work of Wang on Malle’s Conjecture for $S_n \times A$ extensions [Wan17, Wan21]. One of the key features of Wang’s work is dealing with the discriminant of the compositum of number fields, which is roughly the product of the discriminants, but with some ‘defect’ making the problem more difficult. The situation of our Theorem 4.3.1 is similar in that the twisted height is roughly the product of $d^{1/\tau}$ and $\text{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}(x)$, but with the ‘defect’ $\delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

5. COUNTING ELLIPTIC CURVES

We now apply the results of the previous section to count elliptic curves with prescribed level structures.

5.1. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structure. We will first prove Theorem 1.1.1.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and let Γ_G denote the inverse image of G with respect to the canonical map $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let K_G be the field fixed by the action of G on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ determined by $(g, \zeta_N) \mapsto \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$. Let K be a degree d number field containing K_G . Suppose that for some pair of positive integers $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_0, w'_1)$ there is an isomorphism of K_G -stacks from \mathcal{X}_G to $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')$. Set $|\mathbf{w}'| := w'_0 + w'_1$, $w'_{\min} = \min\{w'_0, w'_1\}$, $e(G) = \frac{w'_0 w'_1}{24} [\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]$, and*

$$\varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} := \frac{\varpi_K}{\gcd(w'_0, w'_1, \varpi_K)}.$$

Assume that $\gcd(w'_0, e(G)) = \gcd(w'_1, e(G)) = 1$. Then the number of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K with G -level structure and height less than B is

$$\kappa_G B^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{12e(G)}} + O\left(B^{\frac{d|\mathbf{w}'| - w'_{\min}}{12e(G)d}}\right),$$

where

$$\kappa_G = \frac{\kappa'}{\zeta_K(|\mathbf{w}'|)} \sum_{i=1}^{h_K} \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \sum_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_f} \frac{\#V(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{d}) N(\mathbf{c}_i)^{|\mathbf{w}'|} N(\mathfrak{d})^{\frac{|\mathbf{w}'|}{12e(G)}}}{[\mathcal{O}_K^2 : \Lambda(\mathbf{c}_i \mathfrak{n}_1)]} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{D}_f} \frac{1}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{|\mathbf{w}'|}},$$

where

$$\kappa' = \frac{m_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}(1))}{[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \{\pm I\} \Gamma_G] \varpi_{K, \mathbf{w}'} |\Delta_K|}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1.1 with $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') = \mathcal{X}_G$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbb{P}(4, 6) \cong \mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ and trivial local conditions $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}')(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all \mathfrak{p} . Let $\psi : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ be the map which forgets the level structure and let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ be the corresponding map of weighted projective spaces. The degree of φ is the same as the degree of ψ , which is $\deg(\psi) = [\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \{\pm I\} \Gamma_G]$. Note also that φ is representable, by the assumption that $\gcd(w'_0, e(G)) = \gcd(w'_1, e(G)) = 1$, and has finite defect, by Proposition 2.3.3.

The Hodge bundle on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ and the tautological bundle on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ each have degree $1/24$. Pulling back each of these bundles, along ψ and φ respectively, we obtain line bundles

of degrees $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]/24$ and $e(\varphi)/w'_0 w'_1$ respectively. As these degrees must coincide, it follows that

$$e(G) := e(\varphi) = \frac{w'_0 w'_1}{24} [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G].$$

Finally, taking into account that the naive height on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ is the twelfth power of the height $\mathrm{ht}_{\mathbf{w}}$ on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$, we obtain the theorem. \square

In the special case that $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we obtain the following result using Corollary 4.2.2:

Corollary 5.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{E}_K(B)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over K with height less than B . Then*

$$\#\mathcal{E}_K(B) = \kappa B^{5/6} + O(B^{5/6-1/3d})$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{h_K (2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2})^{n+1} R_K 10^{r_1+r_2-1} \mathrm{gcd}(2, \varpi_K)}{\varpi_K |\Delta_K| \zeta_K(10)}.$$

For more special cases of Theorem 1.1.1 see Table 1.

Remark 5.1.3. Theorem 4.1.1 may also be used to count elliptic curves with prescribed local conditions and level structure; giving results along the lines of [CJ21, Theorem 3.7], [CJ21, Corollary 3.10], [CJ20, Theorem 1.4], and [Phi22, Theorem 1.1.2].

We now prove our main result for counting twists of elliptic curves.

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let G and G' be subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and let $\Gamma_{G'}$ denote the inverse image of G' with respect to the canonical map $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Set*

$$e(G') = \frac{[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_{G'}]}{24}.$$

Let K_G be the field fixed by the action of G on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ determined by $(g, \zeta_N) \mapsto \zeta_N^{\det(g)}$ and let K be a number field of degree d containing K_G . Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_{G'} \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1)$ over K_G , and that for each elliptic curve E over K with G -level structure, there is a unique quadratic twist of E with G' -level structure, so that $\mathcal{X}_G \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1) \times \mathbb{P}(2)$ as stacks over K_G . Then the number of isomorphism classes elliptic curves over K with G -level structure and height less than B is asymptotic to

$$\begin{cases} \left(2\kappa \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{square-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a}) \right) B^{1/6} \log(B) & \text{if } e(G') = 1 \\ \left(\frac{\kappa}{e(G')-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{square-free}}} \lambda(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b}} N(\mathfrak{a}) \right) B^{1/6} & \text{if } e(G') > 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\kappa = \kappa_{G'} \frac{h_K 2^{2(r_1+r_2)-1} \pi^{r_2} R_K}{\varpi_{K,2} \varpi_K |\Delta_K|^{1/2} \zeta_K(2)},$$

where $\kappa_{G'}$ is as in Theorem 1.1.1, and where $\lambda(\mathfrak{b})$ is a product of local densities depending on \mathfrak{b} .

Proof. The assumption that for each E with G -level there is a unique $d \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \text{Aut}(E)) \cong \mathbb{P}(2)(K)$ such that the twist $E^{(d)}$ of E by d has G' -level structure, implies that the number of elliptic curves with G -level structure is the same as the number of pairs $(E, d) \in \mathcal{X}_{G'}(K) \times \mathbb{P}(2)(K)$.

We apply Theorem 4.3.1 with $\tau = 2$, $\mathcal{X}_{G'} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1)$, and the morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}(1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ corresponding to the map $\psi : \mathcal{X}_{G'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ which forgets the level structure. Note that we have $\tau \geq |\mathbf{w}'|/e(f) = 2/e(f)$ in all cases, with equality if and only if $e(f) = 1$. We also see that τ satisfies the assumption in Theorem 4.3.1 that $-3\tau + |\mathbf{w}| \geq 0$, since we have $|\mathbf{w}| = 4 + 6$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1.1 we have that $\deg(\varphi) = \deg(\psi) = [\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \{\pm I\}\Gamma_{G'}]$ and

$$e(\varphi) = e(G') = \frac{w'_0 w'_1}{24} [\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_{G'}].$$

The theorem then follows from the fact that the naive height on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ is the twelfth power of the height on $\mathbb{P}(4, 6)$ with respect to the tautological bundle. \square

We now discuss the special cases of this theorem listed in Table 2. For each $N \in \{6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18\}$ we define an index two subgroup $H_N \leq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_6 &= \{1\} \\ H_8 &= \{1, 3\} \\ H_9 &= \{1, 2, 4\} \\ H_{12} &= \{1, 5\} \\ H_{16} &= \{1, 3, 5, 7\} \\ H_{18} &= \{1, 5, 7\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following Boggess-Sankar [BS20] we set

$$G_{1/2}(N) := \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) : g = \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } a \in H_N \right\}$$

and let $\Gamma_{1/2}(N) \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the corresponding congruence subgroup. Results of Boggess and Sankar [BS20, Proposition 2.2, Proposition 4.1] show that in these cases, for each elliptic curve E over K with $G_0(N)$ -level structure, there is a unique quadratic twist of E with $G_{1/2}(N)$ -level structure and that $\mathcal{X}_{G_{1/2}(N)} \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1)$, so that $\mathcal{X}_G \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1) \times \mathbb{P}(2)$.

REFERENCES

- [BBL16] M. J. Bright, T. D. Browning, and D. Loughran. Failures of weak approximation in families. *Compos. Math.*, 152(7):1435–1475, 2016.
- [Bha14] Manjul Bhargava. The geometric sieve and the density of squarefree values of invariant polynomials, 2014. Preprint, [arXiv:1402.0031](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0031).
- [BN22] Peter Bruin and Filip Najman. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed level structures over number fields. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 2022. to appear.
- [Bru92] Armand Brumer. The average rank of elliptic curves. I. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):445–472, 1992.
- [BS20] Brandon Boggess and Soumya Sankar. Counting elliptic curves with a rational n -isogeny for small n , 2020. Preprint, [arXiv:2009.05223](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05223).

- [BSW15] Manjul Bhargava, Arul Shankar, and Xiaoheng Wang. Geometry-of-numbers methods over global fields i: Prehomogeneous vector spaces, 2015. Preprint, [arXiv:1512.03035](#).
- [BSW21] Manjul Bhargava, Arul Shankar, and Xiaoheng Wang. Squarefree values of polynomial discriminants i, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:1611.09806](#).
- [BW14] Fabrizio Barroero and Martin Widmer. Counting lattice points and O-minimal structures. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):4932–4957, 2014.
- [CJ20] Peter J. Cho and Keunyoung Jeong. On the distribution of analytic ranks of elliptic curves, 2020. Preprint, [arXiv:2003.09102](#).
- [CJ21] Peter J. Cho and Keunyoung Jeong. Average analytic rank of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2005.06862](#).
- [CKV21] John Cullinan, Meagan Kenney, and John Voight. On a probabilistic local-global principle for torsion on elliptic curves, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2005.06669](#).
- [Dar21] Ratko Darda. Rational points of bounded height on weighted projective stacks, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:2106.10120](#).
- [Dav51] H. Davenport. On a principle of Lipschitz. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 26:179–183, 1951.
- [Den98] An-Wen Deng. Rational points on weighted projective spaces, 1998. Preprint, [arXiv:9812082](#).
- [DEvH⁺21] Maarten Derickx, Anastassia Etropolski, Mark van Hoeij, Jackson S. Morrow, and David Zureick-Brown. Sporadic cubic torsion. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(7):1837–1864, 2021.
- [Duk97] William Duke. Elliptic curves with no exceptional primes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 325(8):813–818, 1997.
- [Eke91] Torsten Ekedahl. An infinite version of the Chinese remainder theorem. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 40(1):53–59, 1991.
- [ESZB21] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Matthew Satriano, and David Zureick-Brown. Heights on stacks and a generalized batyrev-manin-malle conjecture, 2021. Preprint, [arXiv:92106.11340](#).
- [FMT90] Jens Franke, Yuri I. Manin, and Yuri Tschinkel. Erratum: “Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties” [*Invent. Math.* **95** (1989), no. 2, 421–435; MR0974910 (89m:11060)]. *Invent. Math.*, 102(2):463, 1990.
- [Gra00] David Grant. A formula for the number of elliptic curves with exceptional primes. *Compositio Math.*, 122(2):151–164, 2000.
- [Gro13] T. H. Gronwall. Some asymptotic expressions in the theory of numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(1):113–122, 1913.
- [HS17] Robert Harron and Andrew Snowden. Counting elliptic curves with prescribed torsion. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 729:151–170, 2017.
- [Kam92] S. Kamienny. Torsion points on elliptic curves and q -coefficients of modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 109(2):221–229, 1992.
- [KM88] M. A. Kenku and F. Momose. Torsion points on elliptic curves defined over quadratic fields. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 109:125–149, 1988.
- [Lan94] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 110 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994.
- [MA21] Irati Manterola Ayala. Counting rational points on weighted projective spaces over number fields. Master’s thesis, University of Zurich, 2021.
- [Maz78] B. Mazur. Rational isogenies of prime degree (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld). *Invent. Math.*, 44(2):129–162, 1978.
- [Mer96] Loïc Merel. Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres. *Invent. Math.*, 124(1-3):437–449, 1996.
- [Mom95] Fumiyuki Momose. Isogenies of prime degree over number fields. *Compositio Math.*, 97(3):329–348, 1995.
- [Naj16] Filip Najman. Torsion of rational elliptic curves over cubic fields and sporadic points on $X_1(n)$. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 23(1):245–272, 2016.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.

- [Phi22] Tristan Phillips. Average analytic ranks of elliptic curves over number fields, 2022. Preprint on webpage at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/18ojodHPywhRDdgYLo4ISvWh39RM2cJnT/view?usp=sharing>
- [PPV20] Maggie Pizzo, Carl Pomerance, and John Voight. Counting elliptic curves with an isogeny of degree three. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 7:28–42, 2020.
- [PS99] Bjorn Poonen and Michael Stoll. The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(3):1109–1149, 1999.
- [PS21] Carl Pomerance and Edward F. Schaefer. Elliptic curves with Galois-stable cyclic subgroups of order 4. *Res. Number Theory*, 7(2):Paper No. 35, 19, 2021.
- [Sch79] Stephen Hoel Schanuel. Heights in number fields. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 107(4):433–449, 1979.
- [Wan17] Jiuya Wang. Secondary term of asymptotic distribution of $s_3 \times a$ extensions over \mathbb{Q} , 2017. Preprint, [arXiv:1710.10693](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10693).
- [Wan21] Jiuya Wang. Malle’s conjecture for $S_n \times A$ for $n = 3, 4, 5$. *Compos. Math.*, 157(1):83–121, 2021.
- [Wil96] A. J. Wilkie. Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(4):1051–1094, 1996.
- [Zha20] Alan Zhao. The frequency of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ with fixed torsion, 2020. Preprint, [arXiv:2009.08998](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.08998).
- [Zyw10] David Zywin. Elliptic curves with maximal Galois action on their torsion points. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 42(5):811–826, 2010.