

Repeated Angles in the Plane for Angles with Algebraic Tangents

Max Aires

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ
mla162@math.rutgers.edu

January 27, 2022

Abstract

We construct a set of points with $\Omega(n^2 \log n)$ triples determining an angle θ whenever $\tan(\theta)$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} , matching the upper bound of Pach and Sharir. This improves upon the original construction, which was optimal only for $\tan(\theta) = a\sqrt{m}/b$ with a, b, m positive integers.

1 Introduction

Pach and Sharir [4] proved that, for all $0 < \theta < \pi$, any set of n points in the Euclidean plane contains at most $O(n^2 \log n)$ triples which determine an angle with measure θ . They also showed that, for $\tan(\theta) = \frac{a\sqrt{m}}{b}$ with a, b, m positive integers, this upper bound is tight, and that there exists a configuration of n points in the plane with $\Omega_\theta(n^2 \log n)$ triples determining angle θ . However, for other θ , it remains open whether the upper bound is asymptotically tight (see problem 6, Chapter 6.2 in [1]).

We shall construct a configuration of n points with $\Omega_\theta(n^2 \log n)$ triples determining angle θ for any $0 < \theta < \pi$ where $\tan(\theta)$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} . This class contains many angles of geometric interest; in particular, this gives a construction with $\Omega(n^2 \log n)$ triples if θ is any rational multiple of π , as well as for any constructible angle θ .

The question remains open in the case that $\tan(\theta)$ is transcendental. We note the similar problem of determining the maximum number of subsets similar to a desired set S ; Laczkovich and Ruzsa [3] showed that there exist configurations with $\Omega(n^2)$ copies, matching the trivial upper bound, if and only if the cross-ratio of every quadruple in S is algebraic. Their construction builds upon that of Elekes and Erdős [2], which finds similar copies within “pseudo-grids,” which are grid-like configurations of points made from generalized arithmetic progressions. Our construction makes use of a similar idea, generalizing the original approach of Pach and Sharir [4] from rectangle lattice grids to similar grid-like sets.

2 Proof

Theorem 2.1. *If $\tan(\theta)$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} , then for $n \geq 3$, there exist arrangements of n points in the Euclidean plane with $\Omega_\theta(n^2 \ln n)$ triples determining angle θ .*

Proof. Let $\tan(\theta)$ be algebraic. Then we can write $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{b}$, where $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and α is a positive real algebraic integer. Then we can write α as the root of a monic, irreducible polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. So $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}$.

We shall represent the Euclidean plane as \mathbb{C} . Note that $b + i\alpha$ will have argument θ , so we seek triples of the form $z, z + \lambda_1 v, z + (b + i\alpha)\lambda_2 v$, with $z, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix some positive integer t (to be determined later), and define \mathcal{K}_t and \mathcal{G}_t as

$$\mathcal{K}_t := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} a_k \alpha^k : a_k \in \mathbb{Z}, |a_k| \leq t \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \mathcal{K}_t + i\mathcal{K}_t = \{u_1 + iu_2 : u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{K}_t\}$$

Note that \mathcal{G}_t is the cartesian product of two real generalized arithmetic progression, while the psuedo-grids of Elekes and Erdős [2] are one complex generalized arithmetic progression. Clearly, $|\mathcal{K}_t| = (2t + 1)^d$ and $|\mathcal{G}_t| = (2t + 1)^{2d}$.

First, suppose $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_s$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}_t$; clearly, $\mu + \lambda \in \mathcal{K}_{s+t}$. We shall now consider $\mu\lambda$. Since α is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial of degree d , we can write $\alpha^d = c_0 + c_1\alpha + \dots + c_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$ for $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$; let $C_1 = 1 + \max(|c_0|, |c_1|, \dots, |c_{d-1}|)$. By writing $\lambda = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a_i| \leq t$, we see that $\alpha\lambda = (a_0\alpha + \dots + a_{d-2}\alpha^{d-1}) + a_{d-1}(c_0 + \dots + c_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{C_1 t}$. Applying this observation repeatedly, we see that $\alpha^k \lambda \in \mathcal{K}_{C_1^k t}$. Hence, if we write $\mu = b_0 + b_1\alpha + \dots + b_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$, we see that $\mu\lambda = b_0\lambda + b_1\alpha\lambda + \dots + b_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}\lambda \in \mathcal{K}_{dC_1^{d-1}st}$.

Now suppose $u = (u_1 + iu_2) \in \mathcal{G}_s$ and $v = (v_1 + iv_2) \in \mathcal{G}_t$. Then $u + v = (u_1 + u_2) + i(v_1 + v_2) \in \mathcal{G}_{s+t}$, and $uv = (u_1 + iu_2)(v_1 + iv_2) = (u_1v_1 - u_2v_2) + i(u_1v_2 + u_2v_1) \in \mathcal{G}_{2dC_1^{d-1}st}$. Letting $C_2 = 2dC_1^{d-1}$, we have that $uv \in \mathcal{G}_{C_2st}$.

Ungar [5] proved that a set of N points forms has at least $N - 1$ pairs of points each forming a distinct direction. By applying this to the set \mathcal{G}_t , we see that \mathcal{G}_t contains at least $(2t + 1)^{2d} - 1 \geq (2t)^{2d}$ pairs giving distinct directions. Since $\mathcal{G}_t - \mathcal{G}_t \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{2t}$, this means that the nonzero elements of \mathcal{G}_{2t} determine at least $(2t)^{2d}$ distinct arguments (directions) from $[0, \pi)$.

Now, for each $1 \leq k \leq t$, pick T_k as $(2k)^{2d} - (2(k-1))^{2d} \geq (2k)^{2d-1}$ elements from \mathcal{G}_{2k} whose angles are all distinct from each other and those of T_1, \dots, T_{k-1} .

For each choice of $v \in \bigcup_{k=1}^t T_k$ and each $0 < \lambda \in \mathcal{K}_{\lfloor t/k \rfloor}$, the element λv is distinct, since each $v \in T_k$ has a distinct angle and each λ is a unique positive real number. Moreover, if $v \in T_k \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{2k}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}_{\lfloor t/k \rfloor}$, $\lambda v \in \mathcal{G}_{C_2(2k)\lfloor t/k \rfloor} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{2C_2t}$. Therefore, for any choice of $1 \leq k \leq t$ and any $z \in \mathcal{G}_t$, $v \in T_k \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{2k}$, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{\lfloor t/k \rfloor}$ with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, the triple

$$(z, z + \lambda_1 v, z + (b + i\alpha)\lambda_2 v)$$

is a unique triple determining angle θ . Observe that $z + \lambda_1 v \in \mathcal{G}_{t+2C_2t}$ and (since $b + i\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_{|b|}$) $z + (b + i\alpha)\lambda_2 v \in \mathcal{G}_{t+C_2|b|(2C_2t)} = \mathcal{G}_{(1+2|b|C_2^2)t}$, so for all choices of $z, v, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, we have $z, z + \lambda_1 v, z + (b + i\alpha)v \in \mathcal{G}_{(1+2|b|C_2^2)t}$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\lfloor t/k \rfloor}$ are equally distributed between positive and negative, with one element 0, the number of choices for λ_1 and λ_2 is $\left(\frac{|\mathcal{K}_{\lfloor t/k \rfloor}| - 1}{2}\right) = \frac{(2\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor + 1)^{2d} - 1}{2} \geq \lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor^{2d}$. Hence the total number of triples chosen in this way is

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}_t| \sum_{k=1}^t |T_k| \left\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \right\rfloor^{2d} &\geq (2t + 1)^{2d} \sum_{k=1}^t (2k)^{d-1} \left(\frac{t}{2k}\right)^{2d} \\ &\geq t^{2d} \sum_{k=1}^t k^{2d-1} \left(\frac{t}{k}\right)^{2d} \\ &= t^{4d} \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{1}{k} \\ &\geq t^{4d} \ln t \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are at least $t^{4d} \ln t$ triples which determine angle θ with elements chosen from $\mathcal{G}_{(1+2|b|C_2^2)t}$. This set contains $(2(1 + 2|b|C_2^2)t + 1)^{2d} \leq (4|b|C_2^2 + 3)^{2d}t^{2d}$, so if we let $C_3 = (4|b|C_2^2 + 3)^{2d}$, we have a set of at most C_3t^{2d} points whose elements form at least $t^{4d} \ln t$ triples.

Now, for an arbitrary n , pick t so that $C_3t^{2d} < n \leq C_3(t+1)^{2d}$. If $n \geq C_3$, then we have a set with $C_3t^{2d} \leq n$ points. Moreover, if $t \geq 1$, then $n \leq C_3(2t)^{2d}$, so $t \geq \frac{(n/C_3)^{1/2d}}{2}$, so the number of triples determining angle θ is at least $t^{4d} \ln t \geq \frac{(n/C_3)^2}{2^{4d}} \ln \left(\frac{(n/C_3)^{1/2d}}{2} \right) = \frac{n^2}{2^d C_3^2 2^{4d}} \ln \left(\frac{n}{2^{2d} C_3} \right)$. Since d and C_3 depend only on our angle θ and not on n , we have a construction of at most n points with $\Omega_\theta(n^2 \log n)$ triples determining angle θ , as desired. \square

References

- [1] P. Brass, W. Moser, and J. Pach. Research problems in discrete geometry. 2005.
- [2] G. Elekes and P. Erdős. Similar configurations and pseudo grids. *Intuitive geometry (Colloquia mathematica Societatis János Bolyai)*, pages 85–104, 1991.
- [3] M. Laczkovich and I. Z. Ruzsa. The number of homothetic subsets. *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős II*, pages 294–302, 1997.
- [4] J. Pach and M. Sharir. Repeated angles in the plane and related problems. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, 59:12–22, 1992.
- [5] P. Ungar. $2N$ noncollinear points determine at least $2N$ directions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, 33:343–347, 1982.