

CONTINUOUS ORBIT EQUIVALENCE RIGIDITY FOR LEFT-RIGHT WREATH PRODUCT ACTIONS

YONGLE JIANG

ABSTRACT. Drimbe and Vaes proved an orbit equivalence superrigidity theorem for left-right wreath product actions in the measurable setting. We establish the counterpart result in the topological setting for continuous orbit equivalence. This gives us minimal, topologically free actions that are continuous orbit equivalence superrigid. One main ingredient for the proof is to show continuous cocycle superrigidity for certain generalized full shifts, extending our previous result with Chung.

1. INTRODUCTION

Continuous orbit equivalence for general countably discrete group actions was formally introduced in Li's paper [21] about seven years ago. Roughly speaking, two continuous actions on compact Hausdorff spaces are said to be continuously orbit equivalent if we can identify their orbits in a continuous way (see Definition 2.1). This is a weaker notion than topological conjugacy and several connections between it and other topics, e.g. geometric group theory [22] and C^* -algebras [21] are quickly discovered by Li.

By contrast, its counterpart in the measurable setting, i.e. orbit equivalence theory has relatively long history, which emerges after the pioneering work of Dye [10]. During the last decades, much attention has been put on finding orbit equivalence superrigid actions, i.e. actions whose orbit equivalence classes consist only of themselves up to measurable conjugacy. Several impressive orbit equivalence superrigidity results have been discovered in [2, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 19, 20, 25, 28, 34, 38].

This also motivates people to study the analogue question in the continuous orbit equivalence setting. Till now, there are quite a few known rigidity results for continuous orbit equivalence rigidity, e.g. [1, 3, 5, 6, 12, 15, 16, 21, 36]. Nevertheless, in all these results, either the original actions contain fixed points or assumptions are put on the acting groups for the target actions, and hence not minimal superrigid.

Recently, Drimbe and Vaes studied the left-right wreath product group actions, more generally, the left-right wreath product equivalence relations \mathcal{R} ([9, line -6 on

Date: January 27, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37A20, Secondary 37B05, 20F65.

Key words and phrases. continuous orbit equivalence, rigidity, wreath product, generalized full shifts, Livšic theorem.

P.4]) in the measurable setting. They were able to completely determine all essential free group actions that are stably orbit equivalent to \mathcal{R} .

Our goal in this paper is to extend this above mentioned result (with no amplification) to the topological setting by considering continuous orbit equivalence. In particular, we present minimal, topologically free actions that are continuous orbit equivalence superrigid, more precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime number and Γ_1 be a finitely generated, non-torsion, non-amenable and icc group, e.g. $\Gamma_1 = F_n$, the free group with $n \geq 2$ generators. Let α be the left-right wreath product action $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}} \wr_{\Gamma_1} (\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1) \curvearrowright X := (\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}})^{\Gamma_1}$ defined using the left translation $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}} \curvearrowright \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ and the left-right translation $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$. Then α is a topologically free minimal action such that if it is continuously orbit equivalent to any other topologically free action on a compact Hausdorff space, then the two actions are topologically conjugate.*

Towards proving such a theorem, a key step is to establish the continuous cocycle superrigidity theorem for generalized full shifts. Recall that in [3], together with Chung, we prove a topological version of Popa's celebrated cocycle superrigidity theorems [31, 32] for full shifts. However, Popa's original results actually hold true for certain generalized Bernoulli shifts. Thus, the following result (Corollary 3.6) might not be a surprising.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ_1 be a finitely generated, non-torsion, non-amenable and icc group and X_0 be any finite set with more than one element. Then the generalized full shift action $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright X := X_0^{\Gamma_1}$ defined using the left-right translation $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$ is a continuous cocycle superrigid action, i.e. for any countably discrete group G , every continuous cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G$ is trivial, i.e. it is continuously cohomologous to a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$.*

For a more general version, see Theorem 3.3. Below, we discuss the proof briefly.

At first glance, it seems routine to apply the method in [3] to deal with generalized full shifts, but it is not completely clear to us how to do this. Observe that the generalized full shift $\Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$, where $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is a transitive action, may be treated as the coinduced action from the trivial action of the stabilizer subgroup $Stab(i) \curvearrowright X_0$ to Γ , where $i \in I$ is any chosen point. In [15], we observed that such a rigidity result indeed holds under certain assumptions on the inclusion $Stab(i) < \Gamma$. A crucial condition is that $Stab(i)$ is a commensurable, e.g. a normal subgroup in Γ , see the discussion in the last section in [15]. However, when dealing with the left-right translation action $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright I := \Gamma_1$, this condition is never satisfied when Γ_1 is icc. So we could not apply the method in [3] directly to prove Theorem 1.2. To handle this issue, our solution is to appeal to the full power of Popa's results and run an argument similar to the proof of a Livšic theorem.

Recall that during studying hyperbolic dynamical systems, say (X, T) , Livšic [23, 24] proved the seminal result that under certain assumptions, for any Hölder continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a measurable solution $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the coboundary

equation $f = u \circ T - u$ a.e. has a Hölder continuous version, i.e. u is necessarily equal a.e. to a Hölder function u' for which $f = u' \circ T - u'$ everywhere. Inspired by such a rigidity result, people try to generalise it to more actions with few hyperbolicity and other class of target groups than \mathbb{R} , see e.g. [26, 27, 29, 30, 35, 37]. However, we are not aware of any work on extending this result to more general acting groups. Hence the combination of Popa's cocycle superrigidity theorems with a Livšic type argument here may be novel since we allow the acting groups to be non-cyclic.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we include necessary definitions, including continuous orbit equivalence and related superrigidity, generalized wreath product groups, left-right translation actions and compression functions. In Section 3, we prove the continuous cocycle superrigidity for certain generalized full shifts, i.e. Theorem 3.3, and deduce Theorem 1.2 from Corollary 3.6. In Section 4, we classify topological free actions that are continuously orbit equivalent to certain generalized wreath product actions, i.e. Theorem 4.4, which implies Theorem 1.1 easily. We conclude the paper with some unanswered questions in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We collect necessary definitions used in this paper.

2.1. Continuous orbit equivalence. The following notion was introduced in [21, Definition 2.5].

Definition 2.1. *Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright X$ and $\beta : H \curvearrowright Y$ be two continuous actions on compact Hausdorff spaces. We say α is continuously orbit equivalent to β , denoted by $\alpha \overset{\text{coe}}{\sim} \beta$ if there is a homeomorphism $\phi : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ with inverse ψ and continuous maps $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$ and $c' : H \times Y \rightarrow G$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha_g(x)) &= \beta_{c(g,x)}(\phi(x)), \\ \psi(\beta_h(y)) &= \alpha_{c'(h,y)}(\psi(y))\end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G$, $h \in H$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Here are a few facts on this notion. In this paper, we always assume actions are topologically free. In this case, c and c' are cocycles, i.e. $c(g_1 g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2 x) c(g_2, x)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $x \in X$ (and a similar identity holds for c'), see [21, Lemma 2.8]. Moreover, $G \ni g \mapsto c(g, x) \in H$ is a bijection for all $x \in X$ ([21, Lemma 2.10]). For later reference, we say c' is the inverse cocycle of c and call such a coupling (c, c', ϕ) a continuous orbit equivalence coupling (coe coupling for short). If cocycles c and c' do not depend on the second coordinates; equivalently, they are group homomorphisms, then the above definition boils down to topological conjugacy.

2.2. Continuous cocycle/orbit equivalence superrigidity. In this paper, we say a continuous action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ on a compact Hausdorff space X is a continuous cocycle superrigid action if for any countable discrete group G , every continuous cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G$ is trivial, i.e. there exists a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$

and a continuous (transfer) map $b : X \rightarrow G$ such that $c(g, x) = b(gx)^{-1}\phi(g)b(x)$ holds for all $g \in G$ and all $x \in X$. We say $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is a continuous orbit equivalence superrigid action if any topological free action on a compact Hausdorff space which is continuous orbit equivalent to it is actually topologically conjugate to it.

2.3. Generalized wreath product groups. Let Γ and Λ be groups and $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be a group action on an index set I . The generalized wreath product group $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ is defined as the semi-direct product $(\bigoplus_I \Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$, where Γ acts on $\bigoplus_I \Lambda$ by the automorphisms $(\gamma \cdot \lambda)_i = \lambda_{\gamma^{-1}i}$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

2.4. Left-right translation actions. Let Γ be a group. Then the left-right translation action $\Gamma \times \Gamma \curvearrowright \Gamma$ is given by $(s, t)g = sgt^{-1}$ for all $s, t, g \in \Gamma$.

2.5. Compression functions. The following notion is frequently used when studying finitely generated groups, see e.g. [4, Definition 2.1].

Definition 2.2 (Compression functions). *Let G be a finitely generated group with a symmetric generating set T . Let s be an element in G with infinite order. Denote by $S = \{s^\pm\}$ and ℓ_S be the corresponding length function on $\langle s \rangle$. Define the compression function ρ_s as follows: $\rho_s : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \mapsto \min\{\ell_T(s^n) : n \geq x\}$.*

For any $c \geq 0$, we will denote the number $\sup\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_s(\lambda) \leq c\}$ by $\rho_s^{-1}(c)$. This makes sense since ρ_s is non-decreasing, goes to infinity and is constant on open intervals $(n, n+1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [4, Proposition 2.2].

3. CONTINUOUS COCYCLE SUPERRIGIDITY FOR GENERALIZED FULL SHIFTS

In this section, we show that certain generalized full shifts are continuous orbit equivalence superrigid actions, a key ingredient for proving Theorem 1.1.

Definition 3.1. [18, Definition 7.15] *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be a continuous action, then we say this action is topologically weakly mixing if the product action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X \times X$ is transitive, i.e. for all non-empty open sets $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 \subseteq X$ there is an $s \in \Gamma$ such that $sU_1 \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $sU_2 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. The action is called topologically mixing if for all nonempty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$ there is a finite set $F \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $sU \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $s \in \Gamma \setminus F$.*

The following fact is well-known, but we include the proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X_0 be a finite set with at least two elements and let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be an action on an infinite set I such that each orbit is infinite. Consider the generalized full shift $\Gamma \curvearrowright X := X_0^I$. Then this action is topologically weakly mixing.*

Proof. Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 \subseteq X$ be four non-empty open sets. By shrinking them if necessary, we may assume they are standard cylinder sets that are determined by four finite sets I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2 in I . Then it suffices to show there exists some $s \in \Gamma$ such that $sI_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset = sI_2 \cap J_2$, or more directly, show $s(I_1 \cup I_2) \cap (J_1 \cup J_2) = \emptyset$, which holds true by [33, Lemma 2.4] since every orbit of $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is infinite. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a countably discrete group. Let X_0 be a finite set with at least two elements. $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be a transitive action satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exists some $s \in \Gamma$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s^n i = \infty$ for all $i \in I$, i.e. for any finite subset $F \subset I$, there exists some $N \geq 1$ such that $s^n i \notin F$ for all $n \geq N$.*
- (2) *There exists some increasing finite $F_n \Subset I$ such that $I = \cup_n F_n$ and $E := (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^{-n} f) \cap (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^n f)$ is finite, where s is the element in (1).*
- (3) *The generalized Bernoulli shift $\Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ (w.r.t. the product measure μ_0^I , where μ_0 is the uniform measure on X_0) satisfies Popa's cocycle superrigidity theorem for measurable cocycles into countable target groups.*

Let G be any countably discrete group. Then every continuous cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X_0^I \rightarrow G$ is continuously cohomologous to a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$.

Remark 3.4. *Fix any $i_0 \in I$. Note that as $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is transitive, we know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s^n i = \infty$ for all $i \in I$ iff for any finite subset $T \subset \Gamma$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $s^n \notin T \cdot \text{Stab}(i_0) \cdot T$ for all $n \geq N$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} s^n i = \infty$ for all $i \in I$. A typical example satisfying condition (1) is the left-right translation action $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$, where Γ_1 is a non-torsion group. Indeed, we may take any element $s_1 \in \Gamma_1$ with infinite order, and then set $s = (s_1, e)$.*

Remark 3.5. *When $(\Gamma \curvearrowright I) = (\Gamma \curvearrowright \Gamma)$ is the left translation action, initial results on the above theorem was proved in a preliminary version of [3]. But we did not include it in the published version since it was covered by the main theorem in [3] after we discovered the one-end condition. Condition (2) above is inspired by [4, Lemma 3.4].*

First, let us present concrete examples for which Theorem 3.3 applies.

Corollary 3.6. *Let X_0 be a finite set with at least two elements. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be either one of the following actions*

- (i) $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$ by left-right translation, i.e. $(s, t) \cdot g = sgt^{-1}$ for all $s, t, g \in \Gamma_1$, where Γ_1 is a finitely generated, non-torsion, non-amenable and icc group.
- (ii) $SL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright SL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot e_1$ by matrix left multiplication, where $k \geq 3$ and $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$.
- (iii) $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$ by $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cdot g = \gamma_1 \cdot g$ for all $\gamma_1, g \in \Gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$, where Γ_2 is a finitely generated group with one end, e.g. $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $n \geq 2$.

Then every continuous cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X_0^I \rightarrow G$ is continuously cohomologous to a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$, where G is any countably discrete group.

Proof of Corollary 3.6. For case (iii), identify I with Γ/Γ_2 then observe that the generalized full shift $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ can be regarded as the coinduced action of the trivial action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright X_0$ from the subgroup Γ_2 to the ambient group Γ , see [15, P. 317]. Then we can apply Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.5 (8) in [15].

For case (i), (ii), in order to apply Theorem 3.3, let us verify conditions (1)-(3) in this theorem hold true.

Condition (3) holds due to Popa's cocycle superrigidity theorem in the measurable setting [32] (respectively, [31]) since Γ_1 is non-amenable and icc for (i) (respectively, since $SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ has property (T) when $k \geq 3$ for (ii)). Next, let g_0 be an element in Γ_1 with infinite order. Set $s = (g_0, e) \in \Gamma$. Clearly, condition (1) also holds true for case (i). Let us verify condition (2) holds true in case (i).

For any $n \geq 1$, set $B(n) := \{g \in \Gamma : \ell_T(g) \leq n\}$, where T is any finite symmetric generating set for Γ_1 . For any $R \geq 0$, define $F_n = [B(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(n)}{8} + R) \times B(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(n)}{8} + R)] \cdot e \in I$.

Let us show that $E := (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^{-n} f) \cap (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^n f)$ is finite.

Take any $s^n i = s^{-m} j \in E$, where $n, m \geq 0$, $i \in F_n$ and $j \in F_m$. Then $s^{n+m} i = j$. Write $i = (g_n, h_n) \cdot e$ and $j = (g_m, h_m) \cdot e$, where $g_n, h_n \in B(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(n)}{8} + R)$ and $g_m, h_m \in B(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(m)}{8} + R)$. Then, $g_0^{n+m} = g_m h_m^{-1} h_n g_n^{-1}$.

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{g_0}(n+m) \leq \ell_T(g_0^{n+m}) &= \ell_T(g_m h_m^{-1} h_n g_n^{-1}) \leq 2\left(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(n)}{8} + R\right) + 2\left(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(m)}{8} + R\right) \\ &\leq 4R + \frac{\rho_{g_0}(n+m)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\rho_{g_0}(n+m) \leq 8R$, i.e. $n+m \leq \rho_{g_0}^{-1}(8R)$.

Next, we estimate $\ell_{T'}(s^n(g_n, h_n))$, where T' denotes the generating set $\{(t, e), (e, t) : t \in T\}$ for Γ . Note that $\ell_{T'}(g, h) \leq \ell_T(g) + \ell_T(h)$ for any $(g, h) \in \Gamma$.

Let $g_n, h_n \in B(\frac{\rho_{g_0}(n)}{8} + R)$ be as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{T'}(s^n(g_n, h_n)) &= \ell_{T'}(g_0^n g_n, h_n) \leq \ell_T(g_0^n g_n) + \ell_T(h_n) \\ &\leq \ell_T(g_0) n + \ell_T(g_n) + \ell_T(h_n) \\ &\leq \ell_T(g_0) \rho_{g_0}^{-1}(8R) + 2R + \frac{\rho_{g_0}(n+m)}{4} \\ &\leq \ell_T(g_0) \rho_{g_0}^{-1}(8R) + 4R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$E \subseteq B_{T'}(\ell_T(g_0) \rho_{g_0}^{-1}(8R) + 4R) \cdot e.$$

This shows E is a finite set.

Now, we verify conditions (1)-(2) hold true in case (ii).

Let s be any element in $SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ with k distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of non unit modulus, say $|\lambda_j| > 1$ iff $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. A concrete example will be given at the end of the proof. Clearly, s has infinite order.

Assume condition (1) fails, then there exists some $i = ge_1$ for some $g \in SL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ such that for a sequence $n_i \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $s^{n_i} ge_1 = ge_1$, i.e. $g^{-1} s^{n_i} ge_1 = e_1$. This means that the first column of $g^{-1} s^{n_i} g$ is e_1 , hence $g^{-1} s^{n_i} g$ must have eigenvalue 1, and thus s has some eigenvalue with modulus 1, a contradiction.

Now, let us verify condition (2) holds true.

For each $n \geq 0$, define $F_n = \{v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)^t \in I : \sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} |v_i| \leq n\} \in I$.

Take $g = (g_{ij}) \in SL_k(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $gs g^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, the diagonal matrix with eigenvalues of s as diagonal entries.

It suffices to show that there exists some positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that if $s^n f = s^{-m} f' \in E$, then $m + n \leq N$.

First, let $c = \sup_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} |g_{ij}|$. We may assume $g \in M_k(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{L})$ for some positive integer L . For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, let g_i be the i -th row of g , then for any column vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, if $|g_i \cdot v| \leq \frac{1}{2L}$, then $g_i \cdot v = 0$.

From $s^n f = s^{-m} f'$, we know $s^{n+m} f = f'$, i.e. $\text{Diag}(\lambda_1^{m+n}, \dots, \lambda_k^{m+n}) g f = g f'$. Write $g f = (x_1, \dots, x_k)^t \in g \cdot F_n$ and $g f' = (y_1, \dots, y_k)^t \in g \cdot F_m$. So $\lambda_i^{m+n} x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Note that $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} |x_i| \leq ckn$ and $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} |y_i| \leq ck m$.

If $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, i.e. $|\lambda_j| > 1$, then $|x_j| = \frac{|y_j|}{|\lambda_j^{m+n}|} \leq \frac{ckm}{|\lambda_j|^{m+n}} \leq \frac{ck(m+n)}{|\lambda_j|^{m+n}} < \frac{1}{2L}$ if $m + n$ is large enough, say $m + n > M_j$ for some M_j .

Similarly, if $\ell < j \leq k$, i.e. $|\lambda_j| < 1$, then $|y_j| = |\lambda_j^{m+n} x_j| \leq |\lambda_j|^{m+n} ckn \leq |\lambda_j|^{m+n} ck(m+n) < \frac{1}{2L}$ if $m + n$ is large enough, say $m + n > N_j$.

Now, set $N = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} M_j + \sum_{\ell < j \leq k} N_j$.

If $m + n > N$, then $|x_j| < \frac{1}{2L}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $|y_j| < \frac{1}{2L}$ for all $\ell < j \leq k$. Since $x_j = g_j \cdot f$ and $y_j = g_j \cdot f'$, we deduce that $x_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $y_j = 0$ for all $\ell < j \leq k$. Thus we have $(x_1, \dots, x_k)^t = 0 = (y_1, \dots, y_k)^t$; equivalently, $f = 0 = f'$, contradicting to the fact that $f, f' \in I = SL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot e_1$.

Finally, let us present an example of s as above.

For any $n \geq 1$, set $s_n := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 1 & n+1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $t_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ n+1 & n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in$

$SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$.

A calculation shows that the eigenvalues of s_n are $\frac{n+2 \pm \sqrt{n^2+4n}}{2}$, which clearly have non unit modulus. Moreover, the characteristic polynomial of t_n is $p(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - \lambda^2 - n\lambda - 1$. By calculating the stationary points of p and also applying Rouché's theorem, one can check that for sufficiently large n , t_n has three real roots, say $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^3$, such that $\lambda_1 < -1 < \lambda_2 < 1 < \lambda_3$.

Now, if k is even, we may choose $\frac{k}{2}$ many suitable integers n_i such that the eigenvalues of s'_{n_i} are pairwise distinct, then set $s = \text{Diag}(s_{n_1}, \dots, s_{n_{\frac{k}{2}}})$. If k is odd, then we just choose $\frac{k-3}{2} + 1$ many suitable integers n_i such that the eigenvalues of s_{n_i} ($1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$), $t_{n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}}$ are pairwise distinct, then set $s = \text{Diag}(s_{n_1}, \dots, s_{n_{\frac{k-3}{2}}}, t_{n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}})$. \square

Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be a transitive action on an infinite set I and $\Gamma \curvearrowright X := X_0^I$ be the generalized full shift, where X_0 denotes a compact metric space equipped with a compatible metric d_0 with upper bound 1. Let d be a compatible metric on X induced by d_0 . For example, we may identify I with \mathbb{N} and define $d(x, y) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^i} d_0(x_i, y_i)$.

The homoclinic equivalence relation on X is defined as follows:

$$\Delta_X := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, gy) = 0\}.$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be the generalized full shift defined as above. Then $(x, y) \in \Delta_X$ iff one of the following equivalent conditions holds.*

- (a) *For any finite set $F \subset I$, there exists a finite subset $T \subset \Gamma$ such that $x_{gi} = y_{gi}$ for all $g \notin T$ and all $i \in F$.*
- (b) *For some $i_0 \in I$; equivalently, for any fixed $i \in I$, we can find a finite subset $T \subset \Gamma$ such that $x_{gi_0} = y_{gi_0}$ for all $g \notin T$.*

Proof. This is clear by definition of Δ_X and $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is transitive. \square

Fix any increasing finite subsets $F_n \Subset I$ such that $I = \cup_n F_n$. If $s \in \Gamma$ has infinite order, then we may also consider the following equivalence relations.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{X,s}^+ &:= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{i \in F_n} d_0(x_{s^{-n}i}, y_{s^{-n}i}) = 0, \forall F \Subset I\}, \\ \Delta_{X,s}^- &:= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{i \in F_n} d_0(x_{s^n i}, y_{s^n i}) = 0, \forall F \Subset I\}, \\ \Theta_{X,s}^+ &:= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{i \in F_n} d_0(x_{s^{-n}i}, y_{s^{-n}i}) = 0\}, \\ \Theta_{X,s}^- &:= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{i \in F_n} d_0(x_{s^n i}, y_{s^n i}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Delta_{X,s}^- = \Delta_{X,s^{-1}}^+$, $\Theta_{X,s}^+ = \Theta_{X,s^{-1}}^+$ and $\Delta_{X,s}^+ \supset \Theta_{X,s}^+$ (respectively, $\Delta_{X,s}^- \supset \Theta_{X,s}^-$) by our assumption on F_n .

Now, to prove Theorem 3.3, we mimic the proof of [39, Theorem 8], see also the proof of [26, Theorem 1], [29, Theorem 5.1], [37, Theorem 3.1]. But our proof needs new extra ingredient in order to deal with generalized full shifts of non-cyclic groups, the crucial one is to replace the two degenerate cones with finite intersection, i.e. two half-lines in opposite directions in the Cayley graph of \mathbb{Z} with $\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^{-n}f$ and $\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^n f$ for a well-chosen exhausting sequence $\{F_n\}$ for I .

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We split the proof into proving several claims.

Claim 1: Let $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+$ (respectively $\Delta_{X,s}^-$). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1}c(s^n, y)$ (respectively, $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1}c(s^n, y)$) is well-defined.

Proof of Claim 1. Let us show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1}c(s^n, y)$ is well-defined below. The 2nd limit can be treated exactly the same.

It suffices to show that there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$c(s^n, x)^{-1}c(s^n, y) = c(s^m, x)^{-1}c(s^m, y), \forall m > n \geq N.$$

Equivalently, we need to show

$$c(s^m, x)c(s^n, x)^{-1} = c(s^m, y)c(s^n, y)^{-1},$$

i.e.

$$c(s^{m-n}, s^n x) = c(s^{m-n}, s^n y), \forall m > n \geq N.$$

Notice that

$$c(s^{m-n}, s^n x) = \prod_{i=m-n-1}^0 c(s, s^{i+n} x).$$

It suffices to show that for each $0 \leq i \leq m - n - 1$, we have

$$c(s, s^{i+n} x) = c(s, s^{i+n} y).$$

Since c is continuous and G is discrete, we may find a finite $F \subset I$ such that $c(s, -)$ only depends on the F -coordinates of the 2nd entry.

Thus, we just need to make sure that for each $0 \leq i \leq m - n - 1$, $(s^{i+n} x)_j = (s^{i+n} y)_j$ for all $j \in F$, i.e. $x_{s^{-n-i}j} = y_{s^{-n-i}j}$ for all $j \in F$.

Since $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+$ and A is finite, the above clearly holds true for some large N and all $m > n \geq N$. \square

Following the notation in [3], we write $f(x) = c(s, x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c_f^{(s),+}(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1} c(s^n, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+, \\ c_f^{(s),-}(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1} c(s^n, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Let μ be the product measure μ_0^I on X , where μ_0 denotes the uniform measure on X_0 . Treating the continuous cocycle c as a measurable one, by condition (3) in the theorem, we may find some measurable map $b : X \rightarrow G$ and a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ such that

$$c(g, x) = b(gx)^{-1} \phi(g) b(x), \quad \forall \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X, \quad \forall g \in \Gamma.$$

Our goal is to show that b equals a continuous map $b' : X \rightarrow G$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$. Once this holds, then $c(g, x)$ equals $b'(gx)^{-1} \phi(g) b(x)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ and all $g \in G$. Since both sides are continuous functions, the equality holds for all $x \in X$ and we are done.

Claim 2: There exists some conull Borel set $X' \subset X$ such that $c_f^{(s),+}(x, y) = b(x)^{-1} b(y)$ if $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+ \cap (X' \times X')$; similarly, $b(x)^{-1} b(y) = c_f^{(s),-}(x, y)$ if $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^- \cap (X' \times X')$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $D = \{x \in X : c(g, x) = b(gx)^{-1} \phi(g) b(x) \quad \forall g \in \Gamma\}$. Then $\mu(D) = 1$. By Lusin's theorem, we can choose a compact set $C \subset D$ with $\mu(C) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b|_C$ is continuous. By condition (1), the subaction $\mathbb{Z} = \langle s \rangle \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is ergodic, then apply Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem,

$$M_C := \left\{ x \in D : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_C(s^i x) \rightarrow \mu(C) \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \chi_C(s^{-i} x) \rightarrow \mu(C) \right\}$$

has $\mu(M_C) = 1$.

Now, let $X' = M_C$ and $(x, y) \in D \times D$. Note that

$$c(s^m, x)^{-1} c(s^m, y) = b(x)^{-1} \phi(s)^{-m} b(s^m x) b(s^m y)^{-1} \phi(s)^m b(y), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+ \cap (X' \times X')$. Since $\mu(C) > \frac{1}{2}$, the definition of M_C shows the existence of a sequence $n_i \rightarrow +\infty$ with $s^{n_i}x, s^{n_i}y \in C$. Observe that $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+$ implies $d(s^{n_i}x, s^{n_i}y) \rightarrow 0$ as $n_i \rightarrow +\infty$. Set $m = n_i$ and take limit on both sides of the above identity, we deduce that $c_f^{(s),+}(x, y) = b(x)^{-1}b(y)$ from Claim 1. The second half of Claim 2 can be proved similarly. \square

Claim 3: Let $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+ \cap (X' \times X')$ or $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^- \cap (X' \times X')$. If x is sufficiently close to y , then $b(x) = b(y)$.

Proof of Claim 3. Let $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+ \cap (X' \times X')$. By Claim 2, it suffices to check that $c_f^{(s),+}(x, y) = e$ if x is sufficiently close to y .

Recall that $c_f^{(s),+}(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(s^n, x)^{-1}c(s^n, y)$ and $c(s^n, x) = \prod_{i=n-1}^0 c(s, s^i x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It suffices to show $c(s, s^i x) = c(s, s^i y)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Since c is continuous, we may find a finite $F \subset I$ such that $c(s, -)$ only depends on the F -coordinates of the second entry. In particular, if $x_{s^{-i}f} = y_{s^{-i}f}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and all $f \in F$, then $b(x) = b(y)$.

Now, from $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^+$, we deduce that $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in F} d_0(x_{s^{-i}f}, y_{s^{-i}f}) = 0$, which implies that there exists some $N_1 > 0$ such that for all $i > N_1$, we have $(s^i x)_f = (s^i y)_f$ for all $f \in F$.

Thus, if x is sufficiently close to y , then $(s^i x)_f = (s^i y)_f$ for all $f \in F$ and $0 \leq i \leq N_1$. This implies $b(x) = b(y)$.

The case $(x, y) \in \Delta_{X,s}^- \cap (X' \times X')$ can be handled similarly. \square

Let $F_n \Subset I$ be the finite set in condition (2). Then $E := (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^{-n} f) \cap (\cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^n f)$ is finite. Let $v \in X_0^E$, let $p_v : [v] \times [v] \rightarrow [v]$, where $[v] := \{x \in X : x_f = v_f \forall f \in E\}$, $p_v(x, y)$ be defined by

$$(p_v(x, y))_i = \begin{cases} y_i, & \text{if } i \in \cup_{n \geq 0} \cup_{f \in F_n} s^{-n} f, \\ x_i, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for each $v \in X_0^E$, $(p_v)_*(\mu \times \mu) \ll \mu|_{[v]}$, which can be shown by a direct calculation similar to the proof of Lemma 1 on page 198 in [39], hence $p_v^{-1}(M_C \cap [v])$ has the same $\mu \times \mu$ measure as $[v] \times [v]$. So if $p_v^{-1}(M_C \cap [v]) = \cup_{y \in [v]} (B_y^v \times \{y\})$, then $\mu(B_y^v) = \mu([v])$ for μ -a.e. $y \in [v]$.

Let $X'' = X' \cap \cup_{v \in A^E} \{y \in [v] : \mu(B_y^v) = \mu([v])\}$. Then $\mu(X'') = 1$.

Claim 4: Let $(x, y) \in X'' \times X''$. If x is sufficiently close to y , then $b(x) = b(y)$.

Proof of Claim 4. Let $(x, y) \in X'' \times X''$ such that $x_f = y_f$ for all $f \in E$. Set $v = x|_E \in X_0^E$. By the definition of X'' , we have $\mu(B_x^v) = \mu(B_y^v) = \mu([v])$ and hence

$$\mu([v] \cap B_x^v) = \mu([v] \cap B_y^v) = \mu([v])s,$$

so choose $z \in [v] \cap B_x^v \cap B_y^v$. Then $p_v(z, x), p_v(z, y) \in [v] \cap X'$.

Next, notice that $(x, p_v(z, x)) \in \Theta_{X,s}^+$, $(p_v(z, x), p_v(z, y)) \in \Theta_{X,s}^-$ and $(p_v(z, y), y) \in \Theta_{X,s}^+$. (The proof of) Claim 3 implies

$$b(x)^{-1}b(y) = [b(x)^{-1}b(p_v(z, x))] \cdot [b(p_v(z, x))^{-1}b(p_v(z, y))] \cdot [b(p_v(z, y))^{-1}b(y)] = e.$$

□

This finishes the whole proof. □

4. CONTINUOUS ORBIT EQUIVALENCE RIGIDITY FOR GENERALIZED WREATH PRODUCT ACTIONS

Fix any action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$, where I is an index set. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in X := X_0^I$, where X_0 is a topological space, any $\oplus_{i \in I} \lambda_i \in \oplus_I \Lambda = \Lambda^{(I)}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma x)_i &= x_{\gamma^{-1}i}, \\ [(\oplus_{i \in I} \lambda_i)x]_i &= \lambda_i x_i. \end{aligned}$$

This induces a generalized wreath product action $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X := X_0^I$.

In this section, we study rigidity property for this generalized wreath product action and prove Theorem 1.1.

We assume the following standing assumption, which is borrowed from [9, Theorem 5.1].

Standing assumption on a transitive action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$:

1. $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ has infinite orbits.
2. For every $i \in I$, the stabilizer subgroup $\text{Stab}(i)$ acts with infinite orbits on $I \setminus \{i\}$. For every $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$, there are infinitely many $i \in I$ with $g \cdot i \neq i$.
3. The group Γ is icc, i.e. every nontrivial element in Γ has infinite conjugacy classes.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be action satisfying the standing assumption. Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ be a continuous action on a topological space X_0 , then $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X := X_0^I$ is topologically free iff $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is topologically free. If we further assume X_0 is a finite set (equipped with the discrete topology), then these two conditions are also equivalent to $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is free (and hence Λ is finite).*

Proof. \Leftarrow : Let $ag \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ be a nontrivial element, where $g \in \Gamma$ and $a \in \oplus_I \Lambda$. We aim to show that $\text{Fix}(ag)$ has empty interior.

Write $\text{supp}(a) = \{i \in I : a_i \neq e\}$. Note that $x \in \text{Fix}(ag)$ iff $a_i(x_{g^{-1}i}) = x_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Case 1: $g \neq e$.

Assume $\text{Fix}(ag) \supset U$, where U is a standard cylinder set in X , which is determined by coordinates in $J \Subset I$.

Since there are infinitely many i such that $gi \neq i$, we may find some $i \notin J \cup gJ \cup \text{supp}(a)$. Note that if $x \in \text{Fix}(ag)$, then $x_i = x_{g^{-1}i}$. But as $i \notin J \cup gJ$, we may find some $x \in U$ such that $x_i \neq x_{g^{-1}i}$, this gives us a contradiction.

Case 2: $a \neq e$ and $g = e$.

In this case $x \in \text{Fix}(a)$ iff $x_i = a_i x_i$ for all $i \in \text{supp}(a)$. Suppose $\text{Fix}(a) \supseteq U$ for some non-empty set $U \subseteq X$, then we may assume $U = \{x \in X : x_j \in V_j, \forall j \in J\}$, where $\text{supp}(a) \subseteq J \subseteq I$ is a finite set and $\emptyset \neq V_j \subset X_0$ are open for all $j \in J$. Then $V_i \subset \text{Fix}(a_i)$ for all $i \in \text{supp}(a)$, a contradiction.

\Rightarrow : If $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is not topologically free, then there exists some non-trivial $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Fix}(\lambda) \supseteq V$, a non-empty open set in X_0 . Fix any $i \in I$, let $\lambda_{(i)}$ denotes the element λ in the i -th summand of $\oplus_I \Lambda$, observe that $\text{Fix}(\lambda_{(i)}) \supseteq \{x \in X : x_i \in V\}$, which has non-empty interior, a contradiction.

If we assume X_0 is finite, then clearly $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is free iff it is topologically free. \square

Remark 4.2. *It is easy to check that if $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is free, then $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ has no fixed points. If $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is minimal, then so is the action $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ since the subaction $\oplus_I \Lambda \curvearrowright X_0^I$ is minimal.*

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumptions on $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ as above. Let (M, τ) be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal trace τ and $A \subset M$ be a norm dense C^* -subalgebra. Consider the natural action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \overline{\otimes}_{i \in I} A := \tilde{A}$ on the tensor product of C^* -algebra induced by the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$. Then the fixed point C^* -subalgebra of \tilde{A} under the stabilizer subgroup $\text{Stab}(i)$ is contained in A_i , where A_i is the image of A under the natural embedding into the i -th copy in \tilde{A} .*

Proof. Denote by $E_i : \tilde{M} := \overline{\otimes}_{i \in I} M \rightarrow M_i$ the natural conditional expectation from the tensor product of von Neumann algebras onto the i -th copy. Note that $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{M}$ and $E_i(\tilde{A}) \subset A_i$.

To see this, take any $x \in \tilde{A}$, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we may find $\sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}$ such that $\|a - \sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}\| < \epsilon$, where $|J| + |K| < \infty$ and $a_j^{(n)} \in A_j$ for all j and we may assume $i \in J$. Observe that $E_i(\sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}) = \sum_{n \in K} \prod_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} \tau(a_j^{(n)}) a_i^{(n)} \in A_i$. Since $\|E_i(a) - E_i(\sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)})\| \leq \|a - \sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}\| < \epsilon$, we deduce that $E_i(a) \in A_i$.

Now, take any $a \in \tilde{A}$ such that $\gamma(a) = a$ for all $\gamma \in \text{Stab}(i)$ and let us show $a \in A_i$.

By replacing a with $a - E_i(a)$, we may assume $E_i(a) = 0$ and let us show $a = 0$.

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, take $\sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}$ as above such that $\|a - \sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}\| < \epsilon$.

Note that since $E_i(a) = 0$, we may further assume $\prod_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} \tau(a_j^{(n)}) = 0$ for each $n \in K$. Now, by assumption 2 on the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$, we know $\text{Stab}(i)$ acts on $I \setminus \{i\}$ with infinite orbits, we may choose some $\gamma \in \text{Stab}(i)$ such that $\gamma(J \setminus \{i\}) \cap J = \emptyset$, say by [33, Lemma 2.4]. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_2^2 &= \langle a, a \rangle = \langle a, \gamma(a) \rangle \stackrel{\epsilon(2\|a\|+\epsilon)}{\approx} \left\langle \sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)}, \gamma \left(\sum_{n \in K} \otimes_{j \in J} a_j^{(n)} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n \in K} a_i^{(n)} \otimes \left(\otimes_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} a_j^{(n)} \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j \in \gamma(J \setminus \{i\})} 1 \right), \sum_{n \in K} a_i^{(n)} \otimes \left(\otimes_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} 1 \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{j \in \gamma(J \setminus \{i\})} a_j^{(n)} \right) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$= 0$, since $\langle \otimes_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} a_j^{(n)}, \otimes_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} 1 \rangle = \prod_{j \in J \setminus \{i\}} \tau(a_j^{(n)}) = 0$ for all $n \in K$.

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce that $a = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ be an action satisfying the standing assumption as above and let $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ be a free action of a nontrivial finite group Λ on a finite set X_0 with more than one element. Assume the generalized full shift $\Gamma \curvearrowright X := X_0^I$ is a continuous cocycle superrigid action and the generalized wreath product action $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is continuously orbit equivalent to a topologically free action $G \curvearrowright Y$, then there exists some free action of a countable group $\rho : \Lambda_0 \curvearrowright X_0$ such that $X_0 \rtimes \Lambda \cong X_0 \rtimes_\rho \Lambda_0$ as topological groupoids (equivalently, ρ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ are continuously orbit equivalent) and $G \curvearrowright Y$ is conjugate to the generalized wreath product action $\Lambda_0 \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ defined using ρ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$.*

Note that by Corollary 3.6, we can apply the above theorem to $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ being the left-right translation action $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright \Gamma_1$, where Γ_1 is any finitely generated, non-amenable, non-torsion and icc group.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ be a free action of a non-trivial finite group Λ on a finite set X_0 with more than one element. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be actions as in Theorem 4.4 and satisfy the assumptions there. Then there are at most finitely many topologically free continuous actions $G \curvearrowright Y$ which are continuously orbit equivalent to the generalized wreath product action $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ up to conjugacy. In particular, if $|\Lambda| = |X_0| = p$, a prime number, then any topologically free continuous action $G \curvearrowright Y$ which is continuously orbit equivalent to $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$ is actually conjugate to it.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, we know $G \curvearrowright Y$ is conjugate to $\Lambda_0 \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X_0^I$, where $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright X_0$ is an action such that $X_0 \rtimes \Lambda \cong X_0 \rtimes \Lambda_0$. Note that $|X_0| \cdot |\Lambda| = |X_0 \rtimes \Lambda| = |X_0 \rtimes \Lambda_0| = |\Lambda| \cdot |X_0|$ implies $|\Lambda| = |\Lambda_0|$. Hence, we have finitely many Λ_0 . Since the action $\rho : \Lambda_0 \curvearrowright X_0$ is free, we know $\rho : \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X_0)$ is injective. Thus we may identify Λ_0 as a subgroup of $\text{Sym}(X_0)$ and hence we have finitely many such actions.

If $|\Lambda| = |X_0| = p$, then any free action $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is conjugate to the natural left translation action $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}} \curvearrowright \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$, so is the free action $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright X_0$ as $|\Lambda_0| = p$. \square

Now, let us comment on the proof of Theorem 4.4, which is based on the proof of [9, Theorem 5.1] but with the following modifications/differences:

1. In [9], the proof was written using equivalence relations in the measurable setting, but we prefer using group actions and sometimes topological groupoids only when necessary.

2. We could not translate the proof in [9] (even without amplification, i.e. the case $t = 1$ there) directly to a proof in the topological setting. For example, it uses Popa's cocycle superrigidity for noncommutative generalized Bernoulli shifts in [9], which is not available in the topological setting.

3. To circumvent the above issue, the key observation is that a natural modification of the proof of steps 1-4 in [9] actually shows we may replace the original coe coupling with its twisted version, which simplifies the proof of following steps, see the sentence before step 7 in our proof. This is the main difference between our proof with the one in [9] in our opinion.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let $c : \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G$ be the orbit cocycle from the continuous orbit equivalence with respect to a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$. Let $c' : G \times Y \rightarrow \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ be the inverse orbit cocycle.

Let $\mu = \mu_0^I$ be the product measure on X , where μ_0 is the uniform measure on the finite set X_0 , i.e. $\mu(\{a\}) = \frac{1}{|X_0|}$ for every $a \in X_0$. Clearly, μ is $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ -invariant. Observe that $\mu(U) > 0$ for any nonempty open set in X . In the proof, we write $\Lambda^{(I)}$ for $\oplus_I \Lambda$ sometimes without mention.

We number the steps in the proof for ease of reference.

1. By our assumption, there exists some continuous map $L : X \rightarrow G$ and a group homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ such that $c(g, x) = L(gx)^{-1}\delta(g)L(x)$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. Let $\phi(x) = L(x)\varphi(x)$. Note that $\phi(gx) = \delta(g)\phi(x)$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. The key difficulty is to show ϕ is still a homeomorphism. The continuity part is obvious and the main issue is to show ϕ is a bijection, which will be shown in step 4.

Set $\omega(g, x) = L(gx)c(g, x)L(x)^{-1}$ for all $g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. Clearly, $\omega(g, x) = \delta(g)$ for all $g \in \Gamma$.

Let us show that $Ker(\delta) = \{e\}$.

Take any $g \in Im(L) \subset G$ and let $A = L^{-1}(\{g\}) \subset X$. We observe that $A \cap s^{-1}A = \emptyset$ for any $e \neq s \in Ker(\delta)$. Assume not, then take any $x \in A \cap s^{-1}A$, i.e. $L(x) = g = L(sx)$. Thus, $c(s, x) = L(sx)^{-1}\delta(s)L(x) = e$. As for each $x \in X$, $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \ni g \mapsto c(g, x) \in G$ is a bijection, we deduce $s = e$, a contradiction. Then, note that $\mu(A) > 0$ and since μ is Γ -invariant, we deduce that $Ker(\delta)$ is finite. Since Γ is assumed to be icc, we get that $Ker(\delta) = \{e\}$.

2. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and each $i \in I$, we write $\lambda_{(i)}$ to mean the element λ in the i -th summand of $\oplus_I \Lambda < \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$. We prove that

$$\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x) = \omega_i(\lambda, x_i), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

where $\omega_i : \Lambda \times X_0 \rightarrow G$ is a cocycle.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, set $F : X \rightarrow G$ by $F(x) = \omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x)$. It suffices to show F only depends on the i -th coordinate of x .

For every $g \in Stab(i)$, notice that $g\lambda_{(i)} = \lambda_{(i)}g$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} F(gx) &= \omega(\lambda_{(i)}, gx) \\ &= \omega(\lambda_{(i)}g, x)\omega(g, x)^{-1} \\ &= \omega(g\lambda_{(i)}, x)\omega(g, x)^{-1} \\ &= \omega(g, \lambda_{(i)}x)\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x)\omega(g, x)^{-1} = \delta(g)F(x)\delta(g)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Stab(i)$ acts with infinite orbits on $I \setminus \{i\}$, the action of $Stab(i)$ on $X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$ is topologically weakly mixing by Lemma 3.2, i.e. the product action is transitive. Our goal is to show that for any $x, y \in X$, if $x_i = y_i$, then $F(x) = F(y)$. Note that we may think of F as defined on $X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$. Indeed, for every $x_0 \in X_0$, we may replace F by $F'(x) := F(\overline{x_0 x})$ for all $x \in X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$, which also satisfies that $F'(gx) = \delta(g)F'(x)\delta(g)^{-1}$. Here, $\overline{x_0 x}$ denotes the natural element in $X_0^I = X_0 \times X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$.

In other words, we need to show F is constant on $X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$.

Set $L(x, y) := F(x)F(y)^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}}$ and consider the product action $Stab(i) \curvearrowright (X_0^{I \setminus \{i\}})^2$.

Then the conjugacy classes $[L(gx, gy)] = [L(x, y)]$ for all $g \in Stab(i)$. Hence transitivity implies that $[L(x, y)] \equiv [L(x, x)] = [e]$ for all x, y . Thus, $L(x, y) = e$, i.e. $F(x) = F(y)$.

3, Let $\Lambda_i = \langle \omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x) : x \in X, \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle < G$. Let us check that $[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = \{e\}$ for all $i \neq j$, i.e. elements in Λ_i commute with those in Λ_j .

Take any $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $x, y \in X$, then take any $z \in X$ such that $z_j = y_j, z_i = x_i$ and set $w := \lambda'_{(j)}z \in X$. Note that $w_i = x_i$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x)\omega(\lambda'_{(j)}, y) &= \omega_i(\lambda, x_i)\omega_j(\lambda', y_j) \\
&= \omega_i(\lambda, w_i)\omega_j(\lambda', z_j) \\
&= \omega(\lambda_{(i)}, w)\omega(\lambda'_{(j)}, z) \\
&= \omega(\lambda_{(i)}\lambda'_{(j)}, z) \\
&= \omega(\lambda'_{(j)}\lambda_{(i)}, z) \\
&= \omega(\lambda'_{(j)}, \lambda_{(i)}z)\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, z) \\
&= \omega_j(\lambda', [\lambda_{(i)}z]_j)\omega_i(\lambda, z_i) \\
&= \omega_j(\lambda', y_j)\omega_i(\lambda, x_i) = \omega(\lambda'_{(j)}, y)\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Lambda_{gi} = \delta(g)\Lambda_i\delta(g)^{-1}$. Indeed, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\lambda_{(gi)}, x) &= \omega(g\lambda_{(i)}g^{-1}, x) = \omega(g, \lambda_{(i)}g^{-1}x)\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, g^{-1}x)\omega(g^{-1}, x) \\
&= \delta(g)\omega(\lambda_{(i)}, g^{-1}x)\delta(g)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above calculation also shows $[\Lambda_i, \delta(Stab(i))] = \{e\}$. Fix $i_0 \in I$ and write $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_{i_0}$. Define $G_2 = \Lambda_0 \wr_I \Gamma$ and denote, for each $i \in I$, by $\pi_i : \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_0^{(I)} < G_2$ the embedding as i 'th direct summand. Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ is transitive and two commutators are trivial, we deduce that the group homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ can be uniquely extended to a group homomorphism $\delta : G_2 \rightarrow G$ satisfying $\delta(\pi_{i_0}(\lambda)) = \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$. We also find a unique 1-cocycle $\omega_2 : \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \times X \rightarrow G_2$ satisfying that

$$\omega_2(g, x) = g \text{ if } g \in \Gamma, \text{ and } \omega_2(\lambda_{(i_0)}, x) = \pi_{i_0}(\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_{i_0})) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

By construction, $\omega = \delta \circ \omega_2$. Also note that by construction,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(g'a', x) &= ga \text{ with } g, g' \in \Gamma, a' \in \Lambda^{(I)} \text{ and } a \in \Lambda_0^{(I)} \text{ if and only if} \\ &g' = g \text{ and } a_i = \omega_{i_0}(a'_i, x_i) \text{ for all } i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have write $a = (\pi_i(a_i))_{i \in I}$, $a' = (\pi_i(a'_i))_{i \in I}$, where $a'_i \in \Lambda$ and $a_i \in \Lambda_0$ for all $i \in I$.

Indeed, the point is that $\omega_2(\lambda_{(i)}, x) = \pi_i(\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_i))$ for each i . To see this, take any $g \in \Gamma$ such that $gi_0 = i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(\lambda_{(i)}, x) &= \omega_2(\lambda_{(gi_0)}, x) \\ &= \omega_2(g\lambda_{(i_0)}g^{-1}, x) \\ &= \omega_2(g, \lambda_{(i_0)}g^{-1}x)\omega_2(\lambda_{(i_0)}, g^{-1}x)\omega_2(g^{-1}, x) \\ &= g\pi_{i_0}(\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, (g^{-1}x)_{i_0}))g^{-1} \\ &= g\pi_{i_0}(\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_i)g^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_i(\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_i)). \end{aligned}$$

4. Let us show $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a bijection.

(I) We first show ϕ is injective.

Assume $\phi(x) = \phi(x')$ for two points $x, x' \in X$. By the definition of ϕ , this means $L(x)\varphi(x) = L(x')\varphi(x')$, i.e. $L(x')^{-1}L(x)\varphi(x) = \varphi(x')$. Since $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \ni g \mapsto c(g, x) \in G$ is a bijection, we may take $s \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ such that $c(s, x) = L(x')^{-1}L(x)$, thus $\varphi(x') = \varphi(sx)$, i.e. $x' = sx$. Hence, $c(s, x) = L(sx)^{-1}L(x)$; equivalently, $\omega(s, x) = e$. We are left to show $s = e$. The proof given in step 4 in the proof of [9, Theorem 5.1] still works here. We provide it here for completeness.

Below, we prove that if $\omega(g, x) = e$ for some $g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ and some $x \in X$, then $g = e$.

First, observe that $n_x := \#\{g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma : \omega(g, x) = e\} < \infty$. Indeed, this is because $\omega(g, x) = e$ iff $c(g, x) = L(gx)^{-1}L(x)$, which takes finitely many values as L has finite range. Then apply the fact that $g \mapsto c(g, x)$ is injective.

Clearly, $1 \leq n_x$, and observe that $n_{\gamma x} = n_x$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Indeed, one can check $\gamma\{g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma : \omega(g, x) = e\}\gamma^{-1} = \{g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma : \omega(g, \gamma x) = e\}$.

Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is ergodic, we deduce that n_x is a constant, say n for a.e. $x \in X$.

Define $\xi : X \rightarrow \ell^2(\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma)$ by setting $\xi(x) = \sum_{g: \omega(g, x) = e} \delta_g$ for a.e. $x \in X$. Note that $\|\xi\|_2^2 = \int_X \|\xi(x)\|_2^2 d\mu(x) = \int_X n d\mu(x) = n < \infty$, thus $\xi \in L^2(X, \ell^2(\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma)) \cong \mathcal{H} := L^2(X, \mu) \overline{\otimes} \ell^2(\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma)$. Consider the unitary representation $\pi : \Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$ by $\pi(\gamma)(f \otimes \delta_s) = \gamma \cdot f \otimes \delta_{\gamma s \gamma^{-1}}$ for any $s \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$, $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then one can check that ξ is $\pi(\Gamma)$ -invariant.

Moreover, observe that π is unitarily conjugate to the tensor product of the representations

$$Ad : \Gamma \curvearrowright \ell^2(\Gamma) : (Ad_g \xi)(h) = \xi(g^{-1}hg) \text{ and } \pi_1 : \Gamma \curvearrowright \otimes_{i \in I} (L^2(X_0, \mu_0) \overline{\otimes} \ell^2(\Gamma), 1_{X_0} \otimes \delta_e),$$

where π_1 acts by permuting the tensor factors of the infinite tensor product of the Hilbert space $L^2(X_0, \mu_0) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$ w.r.t. the canonical unit vector $1_{X_0} \otimes \delta_e$. Since Γ is icc and $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$ has infinite orbits, the only Γ -invariant vectors for $Ad \otimes \pi_1$ are the multiplies of $\delta_e \otimes 1$. Thus, the only $\pi(\Gamma)$ -invariant vectors in \mathcal{H} are the multiplies of $(x \mapsto \delta_e) \in L^2(X, \ell^2(\Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma)) \cong \mathcal{H}$. This means that $n = 1$.

Moreover, observe that if $x_i \rightarrow x$, then $n_x \leq \limsup n_{x_i}$. Since $\{x : n_x = 1\}$ has μ -measure 1, it is also dense. Then for every $x \in X$, $1 \leq n_x \leq 1$, i.e. $n_x = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Thus $\omega(g, x) = e$ implies $g = e$.

This finishes the proof that ϕ is injective.

(II) $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

Write $\nu = \varphi_* \mu \in Prob(Y)$ and $X = \sqcup_{g \in G} X_g$, where $X_g = \{x \in X : L(x) = g\}$. Then $\phi(X) = \sqcup_{g \in G} g\varphi(X_g)$ as ϕ is injective. Let us check that $\nu(\phi(X)) = 1$.

Indeed, write $Y = \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} Y_{t,g}$, where $Y_{t,g} = \{y \in Y : c'(g, y) = t\}$. Then for each $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g\varphi(X_g)) &= \mu(\varphi^{-1}(g\varphi(X_g))) \\ &= \mu(\varphi^{-1}(g \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} (\varphi(X_g) \cap Y_{t,g}))) \\ &= \mu(\sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} \varphi^{-1}(g(\varphi(X_g) \cap Y_{t,g}))) \\ &= \mu(\sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} t\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(X_g) \cap Y_{t,g})) \\ &= \mu(\sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(X_g) \cap Y_{t,g})) \\ &= \mu(X_g \cap \varphi^{-1}(\sqcup_{t \in \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma} Y_{t,g})) = \mu(X_g \cap \varphi^{-1}(Y)) = \mu(X_g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nu(\phi(X)) = \nu(\sqcup_{g \in G} g\varphi(X_g)) = \sum_{g \in G} \nu(g\varphi(X_g)) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu(X_g) = \mu(\sqcup_{g \in G} X_g) = \mu(X) = 1.$$

Thus, $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(Y \setminus \phi(X))) = 0$, which implies $\varphi^{-1}(Y \setminus \phi(X))$ is empty as it is an open set. Hence, ϕ is surjective.

5. In 3, we defined the group homomorphism $\delta : G_2 \rightarrow G$. Put $\Sigma_2 = Ker(\delta)$. We prove that $\Sigma_2 \subset \Lambda_0^{(I)}$. Assume that $ga \in \Sigma_2$ with $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ and $a \in \Lambda_0^{(I)}$. Take a finite subset $J \subset I$ such that $a \in \Lambda_0^J$. Since there are infinitely many $i \in I$ with $gi \neq i$, we can choose $i \in I \setminus J$ such that $gi \neq i$. Put $j = gi$. Since Σ_2 is a normal subgroup of G_2 , for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$, we have $\pi_i(\lambda)ga\pi_i(\lambda)^{-1} \in \Sigma_2$. Since $i \notin J$, we have $\pi_i(\lambda)ga\pi_i(\lambda)^{-1} = \pi_i(\lambda)\pi_j(\lambda^{-1})ga \in \Sigma_2$, so that $\pi_i(\lambda)\pi_j(\lambda^{-1}) \in \Sigma_2$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$.

Now, since $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_0$ is nontrivial, we may take $e \neq t \in \Lambda$ and $x_0 \in X_0$ such that $tx_0 \neq x_0$. Write $\lambda = \omega_{i_0}(t, x_0) \in \Lambda_0$.

Let $x \in X$ be any point such that $x_i = x_0$ and $x_j = tx_0$. Let $\hat{a} \in \Lambda^{(I)} < \Lambda \curvearrowright_I \Gamma$ such that $\hat{a}_i = t$, $\hat{a}_j = t^{-1}$ and $\hat{a}_k = e$ for all $k \neq i, j$. Then by the definition of ω_2 , we have $\omega_2(\hat{a}, x) = \pi_i(\lambda)\pi_j(\lambda)^{-1}$. Hence $\omega(\hat{a}, x) = \delta(\omega_2(\hat{a}, x)) = e$. As $\omega(-, x)$ is injective, we deduce that $\hat{a} = e$, a contradiction.

6. We prove that $\delta : G_2 \rightarrow G$ is surjective.

Since $\delta \circ \omega_2 = \omega$, it suffices to show $\{\omega(g, x) : g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma, x \in X\} = G$.

Fix any $g \in G$ and any $x \in X$, set $x' = \phi^{-1}(g\phi(x)) \in X$ (recall that $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective as proved in 4). Then $\phi(x') = g\phi(x)$, i.e. $\varphi(x') = L(x')^{-1}gL(x)\varphi(x)$. Take $s \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ such that $c(s, x) = L(x')^{-1}gL(x)$. Then $x' = sx$. Hence $\omega(s, x) = L(sx)c(s, x)L(x)^{-1} = g$. By 4, we also know that s is unique. This shows that in fact $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \ni s \mapsto \omega(s, x) \in G$ for any $x \in X$ is a bijection for any $x \in X$.

Define $\omega' : G \times Y \rightarrow \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ by setting $\omega'(g, y) = s$ where s is the one as above for $x = \phi^{-1}(y)$, i.e. $\omega(s, \phi^{-1}(y)) = g$.

One can verify that ω' is a well-defined continuous cocycle and $\phi^{-1}(gy) = \omega'(g, y)\phi^{-1}(y)$ for any $g \in G$ and $y \in Y$.

Now, it is clear that we can replace the coe coupling (c, c', φ) by (ω, ω', ϕ) to get a continuous orbit equivalence between the two original actions.

7. We prove that $\delta : G_2 \rightarrow G$ is also injective.

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be the homeomorphism as above witnessing the coe between $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \overset{\star}{\curvearrowright} X_0^I = X$ and $G \overset{\star}{\curvearrowright} Y$. Here, to avoid confusion in this step, we have denoted the two original actions by \star and $*$ respectively. Then we know $[(\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma) \times X] \cong G \times Y$ as topological groupoids via the map $\Phi(a'g, x) = (\omega(a'g, x), \phi(x))$, where $g \in \Gamma$ and $a' \in \Lambda^{(I)}$ and $x \in X$, see e.g. the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in [21, Theorem 1.2].

We claim that $\Phi(\Lambda^{(I)} \times X) = \delta(\Lambda_0^{(I)}) \times Y$, where both sides are considered as subgroupoids.

Proof of the claim. For any $g \in \Gamma$, $a' \in \Lambda^{(I)}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((a'g, x)) &= (\omega(a'g, x), \phi(x)) \\ (1) \quad &= (\omega(a', gx)\omega(g, x), \phi(x)) \\ &= (\delta(\omega_2(a', gx))\delta(g), \phi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Set $g = e$ in (1), we get $\Phi(a', x) = (\delta(\omega_2(a', x)), \phi(x)) \in \delta(\Lambda_0^{(I)}) \times Y$ from the definition of ω_2 in 3. This shows \subseteq in the claim holds.

For the reverse inclusion, we take any $a \in \Lambda_0^{(I)}$ and $x \in X$, since Φ is an isomorphism, we may find $a'g \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ such that $\Phi(a'g, x) = (\delta(a), \phi(x))$, where $a' \in \Lambda^{(I)}$ and $g \in \Gamma$. From (1), this means we have $\delta(\omega_2(a', gx))\delta(g) = \delta(a)$, i.e.

$$g \in [\omega_2(a', gx)^{-1}a] \cdot Ker(\delta) \subseteq \Lambda_0^{(I)}$$

from the definition of ω_2 and $Ker(\delta) \subset \Lambda_0^{(I)}$ as proved in 5.

This implies that $g = e$. Hence, $(\delta(a), \phi(x)) = \Phi(a', x) \in \Phi(\Lambda^{(I)} \times X)$. \square

Define $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright G \times Y$ by $\beta_\gamma(g, y) = (\delta(\gamma)g\delta(\gamma^{-1}), \delta(\gamma) * y)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $(g, y) \in G \times Y$. Observe that the subgroupoid $\delta(\Lambda_0^{(I)}) \times Y$ is invariant under this action. Moreover, $\Phi \circ \gamma = \beta_\gamma \circ \Phi$ on $\Lambda^{(I)} \times X$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where we also use γ to mean the shift action on $\Lambda^{(I)} \times X$ defined by $\gamma(a', x) = (\gamma a' \gamma^{-1}, \gamma * x)$.

Indeed, this is based on a direct calculation below. Let $a' \in \Lambda^{(I)}$ and $x \in X$, then

$$\Phi(\gamma(a', x)) = \Phi((\gamma a' \gamma^{-1}, \gamma * x)) = (\omega(\gamma a' \gamma^{-1}, \gamma * x), \phi(\gamma * x))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\omega(\gamma, a' \star x)\omega(a', x)\omega(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma \star x), \omega(\gamma, x) * \phi(x)) \\
&= (\delta(\gamma)\omega(a', x)\delta(\gamma)^{-1}, \delta(\gamma) * \phi(x)) = \beta_\gamma(\omega(a', x), \phi(x)) \\
&= \beta_\gamma(\Phi(a', x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, note that Φ induces a $*$ -isomorphism between the reduced groupoid C^* -algebras $\Phi^* : C_r^*(\delta(\Lambda_0^{(I)}) \rtimes Y) \cong C_r^*(\Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X)$; equivalently, $\Phi^* : \delta(\Lambda_0^{(I)}) \rtimes_r C(Y) \cong \Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes_r C(X)$. Clearly, β_γ and γ also extends to isomorphisms of these C^* -algebras.

Next, observe that $C_r^*(\Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X) \cong \overline{\otimes}_I C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0)$.

Indeed, first note that the natural projection onto the i -th coordinate $X_0^I \rightarrow X_0$ and the inclusion into the i -th component $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{(I)}$ induce a natural inclusion, denoted by ϕ_i , of C^* -algebras $C(X_0) \rtimes_r \Lambda \hookrightarrow C(X_0^I) \rtimes_r \Lambda^{(I)}$. Clearly, $\phi_i(C(X_0) \rtimes_r \Lambda)$ commutes with $\phi_j(C(X_0) \rtimes_r \Lambda)$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\{\phi_i(C(X_0) \rtimes_r \Lambda) : i \in I\}$ generates $C(X_0^I) \rtimes_r \Lambda^{(I)}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
C_r^*(\Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X) &= C_r^*(\Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X_0^I) \cong \Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes_r C(X_0^I) \\
&\cong \overline{\otimes}_I \Lambda \rtimes C(X_0) \text{ by [17, Proposition 11.4.3]} \\
&\cong \overline{\otimes}_I C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, under the above identification, one can check that the shift action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X$ induces the generalized Bernoulli shift action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \overline{\otimes}_I C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0)$ via $\Gamma \curvearrowright I$.

Denote by $\Pi_i : C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0) \hookrightarrow C_r^*(\Lambda^{(I)} \rtimes X)$ the embedding as the i 'th tensor factor. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ and all $\gamma \in \text{Stab}(i)$, note that γ commutes with $\pi_i(\lambda)$ [recall that $\pi_i : \Lambda_0 \hookrightarrow \Lambda_0^{(I)}$ denotes the embedding into the i '-th factor], thus $\Phi^*(u_{\delta(\pi_i(\lambda))})$ is invariant under the shift action γ . By topological weak mixing (see Lemma 3.2), we conclude that $\Phi^*(C_r^*(\delta(\pi_i(\Lambda_0)))) \subset \Pi_i(C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0))$ by Lemma 4.3.

Finally we can show δ is injective.

Let $a \in \text{Ker}\delta \subset \Lambda_0^{(I)}$. Take a finite subset $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subset I$ such that $a \in \Lambda_0^J$. Write $b_k = \delta(\pi_{i_k}(a_{i_k}))$, so that $e = \delta(a) = b_1 \cdots b_m$. Thus,

$$1 = \Phi^*(u_e) = \Phi^*(u_{b_1}) \cdots \Phi^*(u_{b_m}).$$

By the previous paragraph, each $\Phi^*(u_{b_k})$ is a unitary in $\Pi_{i_k}(C_r^*(\Lambda \rtimes X_0))$ and the indices i_k are distinct. If such a product of unitaries is equal to 1, we must have $\Phi^*(u_{b_k}) = 1$ for all k . Thus, $u_{b_k} = e$ and hence $\delta(\pi_{i_k}(a_{i_k})) = e$. Taking $g_k \in \Gamma$ such that $g_k \cdot i_0 = i_k$, then $e = \delta(\pi_{i_k}(a_{i_k})) = \delta(g_k)a_{i_k}\delta(g_k)^{-1}$, i.e. $a_{i_k} = e$ for all k , so $a = e$.

8. Once we know $\delta : G_2 \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism, we can argue that there is an action $\rho : \Lambda_0 \curvearrowright X_0$ defined such that $\omega_{i_0} : \Lambda \times X_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_0$ induces an isomorphism $\Lambda \rtimes X_0 \cong \Lambda_0 \rtimes_\rho X_0$.

Indeed, we can just define $\rho_{\lambda_0}(x_0) = \lambda x_0$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_0) = \lambda_0$. Indeed, notice that $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \ni ga \mapsto \omega_2(ga, x) \in \Lambda_{i_0} \wr_I \Gamma = G_2$ is a bijection for each $x \in X$ as both δ and $g \mapsto \omega(g, x)$ are bijections as proved in previous steps. Then

from the definition of ω_2 , it is not hard to see this implies that $\omega_2(-, x) : \pi_{i_0}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \pi_{i_0}(\Lambda_{i_0})$ is a bijection. Then use $\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_0) = \omega(\pi_{i_0}(\lambda), x) = \delta(\omega_2(\pi_{i_0}(\lambda), x))$ for $\pi_{i_0}(\lambda) \in \pi_{i_0}(\Lambda)$.

Clearly, ρ is continuous and one can check it is indeed a free action. Moreover, there is a natural inverse cocycle $\omega'_{i_0} : \Lambda_0 \times X_0 \rightarrow \Lambda$ by setting $\omega'_{i_0}(\lambda_0, x_0) = \lambda$, whenever $\omega_{i_0}(\lambda, x_0) = \lambda_0$. Clearly, $(\omega'_{i_0}, \omega_{i_0}, \rho)$ forms a coe coupling between $\Lambda_0 \times_{\rho} X_0$ and $\Lambda \times X_0$.

Now, this ρ induces a natural action $G_2 = \Lambda_0 \wr_I \Gamma \overset{\star}{\curvearrowright} X_0^I$, we are left to argue that it is conjugate to the original action $G \overset{\star}{\curvearrowright} Y = X_0^I$.

Indeed, recall the action \star is defined as follows (Recall that $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_{i_0} \subseteq G$).

For each $a = (\pi_i(a_i))_{i \in I} \in \Lambda_0^{(I)}$, $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X_0^I$, where $a_i \in \Lambda_0$ for all $i \in I$, we have

$$(g \star x)_i = x_{g^{-1}i}, \quad (a \star x)_i = \rho_{a_i}(x_i), \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Now, let us check that the two actions are conjugate via the group isomorphism $\delta : G_2 \cong G$ and the homeomorphism $\phi : X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$ witnessing the coe between the two original actions $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $G \overset{\star}{\curvearrowright} Y$. Note that here to avoid confusion in this step, we omit the notation sign for the first original action and denote the second action by \star .

Recall that $\omega_2(g, x) = g$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and clearly $g \star x = gx$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. A calculation shows that

$$\phi(g \star x) = \phi(gx) = \omega(g, x) * \phi(x) = \delta(\omega_2(g, x)) * \phi(x) = \delta(g) * \phi(x).$$

Next, for any $a \in G_2 = \Lambda_0 \wr_I \Gamma$ and any $x \in X$, note that since δ is a bijection and $\omega = \delta \circ \omega_2$, we deduce that $\Lambda \wr_I \Gamma \ni a' \mapsto \omega_2(a', x) \rightarrow G_2$ is a bijection. We may take $a' \in \Lambda \wr_I \Gamma$ such that $\omega_2(a', x) = a$. From the definition of ω_2 , this means that $a_i = \omega_{i_0}(a'_i, x_i)$ for all $i \in I$. In particular, $a' \in \Lambda^{(I)}$. Then we deduce

$$\phi(a \star x) = \phi(a'x) = \delta(a) * \phi(x).$$

Indeed, a calculation shows

$$\delta(a) * \phi(x) = \delta(\omega_2(a', x)) * \phi(x) = \omega(a', x) * \phi(x) = \phi(a'x).$$

Moreover, $(a \star x)_i = \rho_{a_i}(x_i) = a'_i x_i$, thus $a \star x = a'x$. \square

Now, we can deduce Theorem 1.1 easily.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The action is topologically free and minimal by applying Lemma 4.1 and Remark 4.2.

Other conclusions in the theorem follow from Corollary 3.6 and Corollary 4.5. \square

We remark that the action in Theorem 1.1 is not free since the subaction $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1 \curvearrowright X$ is not free.

5. QUESTIONS

Related to this paper, the following questions might be worth studying.

1. Determine $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ such that the conclusion in Theorem 3.3 holds for it.
2. Does the conclusion in Theorem 3.3 still hold true for infinite compact bottom space X_0 ?

Acknowledgements. This work is partially supported by NSFC grant no. 12001081. We are very grateful to Dr. Daniel Drimbe for explaining the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [9] patiently to us and answering our questions. We also thank Professor Nhan-Phu Chung for the collaboration on [3, 4], which contain some initial ideas for proving Theorem 3.3, see Remark 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mike Boyle and Jun Tomiyama, *Bounded topological orbit equivalence and C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **50** (1998), no. 2, 317–329. [↑1](#)
- [2] Ionut Chifan and Yoshikata Kida, *OE and W^* superrigidity results for actions by surface braid groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **111** (2015), no. 6, 1431–1470. [↑1](#)
- [3] Nhan-Phu Chung and Yongle Jiang, *Continuous Cocycle Superrigidity for Shifts and Groups with One End*, Math. Ann. **368** (2017), no. 3–4, 1109–1132. [↑1, 2, 5, 9, 21](#)
- [4] ———, *Divergence, undistortion and Hölder continuous cocycle superrigidity for full shifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **41** (2021), no. 8, 2274–2293. [↑4, 5, 21](#)
- [5] David Bruce Cohen, *Continuous cocycle superrigidity for the full shift over a finitely generated torsion group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **6** (2020), 1610–1620. [↑1](#)
- [6] María Isabel Cortez and Konstantin Medynets, *Orbit equivalence rigidity of equicontinuous systems*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **94** (2016), no. 2, 545–556. [↑1](#)
- [7] Daniel Drimbe, *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for coinduced actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 7, 2644–2665. [↑1](#)
- [8] ———, *Orbit equivalence rigidity for product actions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **379** (2020), no. 1, 41–59. [↑1](#)
- [9] Daniel Drimbe and Stefaan Vaes, *Superrigidity for dense subgroups of Lie groups and their actions on homogeneous spaces*, arXiv: 2107.06159. [↑2, 11, 14, 16, 21](#)
- [10] H. A. Dye, *On groups of measure preserving transformations. I*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 119–159. [↑1](#)
- [11] Damien Gaboriau, Adrian Ioana, and Robin Tucker-Drob, *Cocycle superrigidity for translation actions of product groups*, Amer. J. Math. **141** (2019), no. 5, 1347–1374. [↑1](#)
- [12] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau, *\mathbb{Z}^d -odometers and cohomology*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **13** (2019), no. 3, 909–938. [↑1](#)
- [13] Adrian Ioana, *Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of property (T) groups*, Duke Math. J. **157** (2011), no. 2, 337–367. [↑1](#)
- [14] ———, *Strong ergodicity, property (T), and orbit equivalence rigidity for translation actions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **733** (2017), 203–250. [↑1](#)
- [15] Yongle Jiang, *Continuous cocycle superrigidity for coinduced actions and relative ends*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 1, 315–326. [↑1, 2, 6](#)
- [16] ———, *On continuous orbit equivalence rigidity for virtually cyclic group actions*, Groups, Geometry and Dynamics, accepted. [↑1](#)

- [17] Richard V. Kadison and John R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 100, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. Advanced theory. [↑19](#)
- [18] David Kerr and Hanfeng Li, *Ergodic theory Independence and dichotomies*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2016. [↑4](#)
- [19] Yoshikata Kida, *Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 3, 1851–1901. [↑1](#)
- [20] ———, *Rigidity of amalgamated free products in measure equivalence*, J. Topol. **4** (2011), no. 3, 687–735. [↑1](#)
- [21] Xin Li, *Continuous orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 4, 1543–1563. [↑1](#), [3](#), [18](#)
- [22] ———, *Dynamic characterizations of quasi-isometry and applications to cohomology*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **18** (2018), no. 6, 3477–3535. [↑1](#)
- [23] A. N. Livšic, *Certain properties of the homology of Y -systems*, Mat. Zametki **10** (1971), 555–564 (Russian). [↑3](#)
- [24] ———, *Cohomology of dynamical systems*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972), 1296–1320 (Russian). [↑3](#)
- [25] Nicolas Monod and Yehuda Shalom, *Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 3, 825–878. [↑1](#)
- [26] M. Nicol and M. Pollicott, *Measurable cocycle rigidity for some non-compact groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), no. 5, 592–600. [↑3](#), [8](#)
- [27] William Parry and Mark Pollicott, *The Livšic cocycle equation for compact Lie group extensions of hyperbolic systems*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **56** (1997), no. 2, 405–416. [↑3](#)
- [28] Jesse Peterson and Thomas Sinclair, *On cocycle superrigidity for Gaussian actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **32** (2012), no. 1, 249–272. [↑1](#)
- [29] M. Pollicott and C. P. Walkden, *Livšic theorems for connected Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 7, 2879–2895. [↑3](#), [8](#)
- [30] M. Pollicott and M. Yuri, *Regularity of solutions to the measurable Livšic equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 2, 559–568. [↑3](#)
- [31] Sorin Popa, *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w -rigid groups*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), no. 2, 243–295. [↑2](#), [6](#)
- [32] ———, *On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 4, 981–1000. [↑2](#), [6](#)
- [33] Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes, *Strong rigidity of generalized Bernoulli actions and computations of their symmetry groups*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 2, 833–872. [↑5](#), [13](#)
- [34] ———, *Cocycle and orbit superrigidity for lattices in $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ acting on homogeneous spaces*, Geometry, rigidity, and group actions, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011, pp. 419–451. [↑1](#)
- [35] Anthony N. Quas, *Rigidity of continuous coboundaries*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), no. 5, 595–600. [↑3](#)
- [36] Klaus Schmidt, *The cohomology of higher-dimensional shifts of finite type*, Pacific J. Math. **170** (1995), no. 1, 237–269. [↑1](#)
- [37] ———, *Remarks on Livšic’ theory for nonabelian cocycles*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 3, 703–721. [↑3](#), [8](#)
- [38] Robin D. Tucker-Drob, *Invariant means and the structure of inner amenable groups*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), no. 13, 2571–2628. [↑1](#)
- [39] Peter Walters, *Topological Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems and a random L^2 ergodic theorem*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **16** (1996), no. 1, 179–206. [↑8](#), [10](#)

Y. JIANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
DALIAN, 116024, CHINA
Email address: yonglejiang@dlut.edu.cn