

LONG-TIME BEHAVIOR OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF ANISOTROPIC QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATIONS IN SOBOLEV SPACE

MUSTAPHA AMARA

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior at infinity in time of the global solution of the anisotropic quasi-geostrophic equation $\theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$. We prove that this solution decays to zero as time goes to infinity in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $p \in [2, +\infty]$, moreover, we prove also that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} = 0$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main Results	1
2. Notation and Preliminary Results	3
3. Proof of Theorem 1.5	4
4. Proof of Theorem 1.6	7
5. Proof of Corollary 1.7	9
References	9

1. Introduction and Main Results

We consider the following anisotropic quasi-geostrophic equation given by [10]:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta + \mu |\partial_1|^{2\alpha} \theta + \nu |\partial_2|^{2\beta} \theta = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta^0(x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{AQG})$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t > 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\mu, \nu > 0$, $\theta = \theta(x, t)$ represents the potential temperature, and $u_\theta = (u_1, u_2)$ is the divergence free velocity which is determined by the Riesz transformation of θ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &:= -\mathcal{R}_2 \theta = -\partial_2 (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta, \\ u_2 &:= \mathcal{R}_1 \theta = \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta, \end{aligned}$$

The case when $\alpha = \beta$ and $\mu = \nu$, the system (AQG) back to the classical dissipation (QG) equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta + \mu (-\Delta)^{2\alpha} \theta = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0 \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta^0(x). \end{cases} \quad (\text{QG})$$

The equations (AQG) and (QG) are special cases of the general quasi-geostrophic approximations for atmospheric and oceanic fluid flow with small Rossby and Ekman numbers. We refer the reader to [2–5] where the authors explain the physical origin and the signification of the parameters of this equation.

The existence of global solution of the (AQG) system with $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \geq 2$, data is established by [10] if $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ satisfy

$$\beta > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\alpha+1}, & 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}, & \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35-XX, 35Q30, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Surface quasi-geostrophic equation; Anisotropic dissipation; Global regularity.

In [9], Benameur and Amara proved that this system have a global solution when the initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \in (\max\{2-2\alpha, 2-2\beta\}, 2)$, if $\alpha, \beta \in (1/2, 1)$. We also refer to our result in [11], where we show that the global solution of given by [10] and [9] is bounded.

The goal of this paper is the asymptotic study of this bounded global solution, this study of evolution equations has been a long time, see for example [7, 8]. In this paper, we will show that this global solution decay to zero as time goes to infinity in Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces.

Before treating the decay rates of global solutions in the second section, we recall the results of global existence given in [9–11] which will be useful:

Theorem 1.1 (see [10]). *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $s \geq 2$. If $\theta^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then there exists a positive time $T > 0$ depending on $\|\theta^0\|_{H^s}$ such that (AQG) admits a unique solution $\theta \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$.*

Now we recall the following standard energy estimates.

Proposition 1.2 (see [10]). *Assume θ^0 satisfies the assumptions stated in Theorem 1.1 and let θ the corresponding solution. Then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \||\partial_1|^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + 2 \int_0^t \||\partial_2|^\beta \theta\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq \|\theta^0\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, for any $p \in [2, +\infty]$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta^0\|_{L^p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.3 (see [11]). *Let $\theta^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \geq 2$, if $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ satisfy (1.1), then the system (AQG) admit a unique global bounded solution θ satisfy*

$$\theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta, |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Moreover, for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_0^t \||\partial_1|^\alpha \theta\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \||\partial_2|^\beta \theta\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau \leq C(\theta^0), \quad (1.4)$$

where $C(\theta^0)$ is a constant depending on the initial data θ^0 .

Theorem 1.4 (see [11]). *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s > \max\{2-2\alpha, 2-2\beta\}$. Then the system (AQG) admit a unique global bounded solution θ satisfy*

$$\theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C_b((0, +\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta, |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Now we are ready to state our first result:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\theta \in (\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $s \geq 2$, be a global solution of (AQG), then, for any $p \in [2, +\infty)$ we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, if α, β satisfies (1.1), therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Moving to announce our second result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\theta \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $s \geq 2$, be the global solution of (AQG) and α, β satisfy (1.1). Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

The next corollary show that if our result hold true in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, when $s > \max\{2-2\alpha, 2-2\beta\}$.

Corollary 1.7. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (1/2, 1)$ and $\theta^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \in (\max\{2-2\alpha, 2-2\beta\}, 2)$ be the global solution of (AQG). Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

2. Notation and Preliminary Results

In this short section, we collect some notations and definitions that will be used later, and we give some technical lemmas.

- The Fourier transformation in \mathbb{R}^2

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.1)$$

The inverse Fourier formula is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-2} \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\xi \cdot x} f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.2)$$

- The convolution product of a suitable pair of function f and g on \mathbb{R}^2 is given by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x-y)g(y)dy. \quad (2.3)$$

- If $f = (f_1, f_2)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2)$ are two vector fields, we set

$$f \otimes g := (g_1 f, g_2 f),$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(f \otimes g) := (\operatorname{div}(g_1 f), \operatorname{div}(g_2 f)).$$

- For $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

* The Sobolev space:

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2); (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\},$$

denotes the usual inhomogeneous Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^2 , with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the scalar product

$$(f, g)_{H^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

* The Sobolev space:

$$\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2); \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } |\xi|^s \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\},$$

denotes the usual homogeneous Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^2 , with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the scalar product

$$(f, g)_{\dot{H}^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

We recall a fundamental lemma concerning the Sobolev spaces We recall a fundamental lemma concerning the Sobolev spaces

Lemma 2.1 (see [11]). *For $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in [0, 1]$, the following anisotropic interpolation inequalities hold true for $i = 1, 2$:*

$$\| |\partial_i|^{zs_1 + (1-z)s_2} f \|_{H^s} \leq \| |\partial_i|^{s_1} f \|_{H^{s_1}}^z \| |\partial_i|^{s_2} f \|_{H^{s_2}}^{1-z}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\| |\partial_i|^{zs_1 + (1-z)s_2} f \|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \| |\partial_i|^{s_1} f \|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}^z \| |\partial_i|^{s_2} f \|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}^{1-z}. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [1]). *Let s_1, s_2 be two real numbers such that $s_1 < 1$ and $s_1 + s_2 > 0$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C = C(s_1, s_2)$ such that for all $f, g \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$;*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-1}} \leq C(s_1, s_2) (\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}). \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, if $s_2 < 1$, there exists a positive constant $C' = C'(s_1, s_2)$ such that for all $f \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $g \in \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$;

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-1}} \leq C'(s_1, s_2) \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [6]). *For any $p \in (1, +\infty)$, there is a constant $C(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{R}^\perp \theta\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) \|\theta\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.8)$$

We recall the following important commutator and product estimates:

Lemma 2.4 (see [9]). *Suppose that $s > 1$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$*

$$\| |\nabla|^s (fg) - f |\nabla|^s g \|_{L^2} \leq C (\| |\nabla|^{s+\alpha} f \|_{L^2} \| |\nabla|^{1-\alpha} g \|_{L^2} + \| |\nabla|^{s-1+\alpha} g \|_{L^2} \| |\nabla|^{2-\alpha} f \|_{L^2})$$

and

$$\| |\nabla|^s (fg) \|_{L^2} \leq C (\| |\nabla|^{s+\alpha} f \|_{L^2} \| |\nabla|^{1-\alpha} g \|_{L^2} + \| |\nabla|^{s+\alpha} g \|_{L^2} \| |\nabla|^{1-\alpha} f \|_{L^2}).$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $r > 0$, then, there is a constant $C(r)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\xi_i|^r \left| \widehat{fg}(\xi) \right| \right) \leq C(r) (\|f\|_{L^2} \|\partial_i^r g\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2} \|\partial_i^r f\|_{L^2}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. We have for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_i|^r \left| \widehat{fg}(\xi) \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi_i|^r |\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| |\widehat{g}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq C(r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\xi_i - \eta_i|^r + |\eta_i|^r) |\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| |\widehat{g}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq C(r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\widehat{f}_1(\xi - \eta)| |\widehat{g}(\eta)| + |\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| |\widehat{g}_1(\eta)|) d\eta \\ &\leq C(r) (\|\widehat{f}_1 g\|_{L^\infty} + \|\widehat{f g}_1\|_{L^\infty}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{f}_1(\xi) = |\xi_i|^r |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{g}_1(\xi) = |\xi_i|^r |\widehat{g}(\xi)|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left(|\xi_i|^r \left| \widehat{fg}(\xi) \right| \right) &\leq C(r) (\|f_1 g\|_{L^1} + \|f g_1\|_{L^1}) \\ &\leq C(r) (\|f_1\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \|g_1\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(r) (\|g\|_{L^2} \|\partial_i^r f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \|\partial_i^r g\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

■

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The decay study in L^2 is inspired by [12], precisely we cut θ into high and low frequencies. For that, let δ a strictly positive real number strictly less than 1, we define the operators $A_\delta(D)$ and $B_\delta(D)$, respectively, by the following:

$$A_\delta(D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\mathcal{P}(0,\delta)} \mathcal{F}(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad B_\delta(D)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 - \chi_{\mathcal{P}(0,\delta)}) \mathcal{F}(f)), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}(0, \delta) = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|\} \leq \delta\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(0, \delta)^c = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|\} > \delta\}.$$

We define $w_\delta = A_\delta(D)\theta$ and $v_\delta = B_\delta(D)\theta$; $\mathcal{F}(\theta) = \mathcal{F}(w_\delta) + \mathcal{F}(v_\delta)$. Then,

$$\partial_t w_\delta + |\partial_1|^{2\alpha} w_\delta + |\partial_2|^{2\beta} w_\delta + A_\delta(D)(u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\partial_t v_\delta + |\partial_1|^{2\alpha} v_\delta + |\partial_2|^{2\beta} v_\delta + B_\delta(D)(u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

Taking the scalar product of (3.2) equation with w_δ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w_\delta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \| |\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta(t) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |\partial_2|^\beta w_\delta(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \leq |(A_\delta(D)(u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta), w_\delta)_{L^2}|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_\delta(D)(u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta), w_\delta)_{L^2}| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{P}(0,\delta)} |\xi| |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi| |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi + \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi| |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_1| |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi + 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi_2| |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_1|^{1-2\alpha} |\xi_1|^\alpha |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\xi_1|^\alpha |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi + 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi_2|^{1-2\beta} |\xi_2|^\beta |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| |\xi_2|^\beta |\widehat{w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathcal{P}(0, \delta) \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_2| \leq |\xi_1|\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 := \mathcal{P}(0, \delta) \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| < |\xi_2|\}$.

By the Lemma 2.5 we have

$$|\xi_1|^\alpha |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2} \leq C \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2},$$

and

$$|\xi_1|^\beta |\widehat{u_\theta \theta}(\xi)| \leq C \|\theta\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2} \leq C \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}.$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_\delta(D)(u_\theta \cdot \nabla \theta), w_\delta)_{L^2}| &\leq C \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_1|^{1-2\alpha} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\quad + C \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi_2|^{1-2\beta} |\widehat{|\partial_2|^\beta w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_1|^{1-2\alpha} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \leq \begin{cases} \delta^{1-2\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{B}(0,2\delta)} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \\ 2 \int_{\mathcal{B}(0,2\delta)} |\xi|^{1-2\alpha} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi, & \text{if } \alpha \in (1/2, 1), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(0, 2\delta) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, |\xi| < 2\delta\}$.

We start with the case when $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$, and by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\delta^{1-2\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{B}(0,2\delta)} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \leq \delta^{1-2\alpha} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}(0,2\delta)} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta \|_{L^2} \leq C \delta^{2-2\alpha} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}.$$

Now move for the case if $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$, we have also by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}(0, 2\delta)} |\xi|^{1-2\alpha} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}(0, 2\delta)} |\xi|^{2-4\alpha} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^{2\delta} r^{3-4\alpha} dr \right)^{1/2} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2} \leq C \delta^{2-2\alpha} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_1|^{1-2\alpha} |\widehat{|\partial_1|^\alpha w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \leq C \delta^{2-2\alpha} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}.$$

Same thing for the other term, for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi_2|^{1-2\beta} |\widehat{|\partial_2|^\beta w_\delta}(\xi)| d\xi \leq C(\beta) \delta^{2-2\beta} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}.$$

Finally, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w_\delta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C (\delta^{2-2\alpha} + \delta^{2-2\beta}) \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} (\| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.4)$$

Integer in $[0, t]$, $t > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\delta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|w_\delta^0\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\delta^{2-2\alpha} + \delta^{2-2\beta}) \|\theta^0\|_{L^2} \int_0^t (\| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\ &\leq \|w_\delta^0\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\delta^{2-2\alpha} + \delta^{2-2\beta}) \|\theta^0\|_{L^2}^3 \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|w_\delta(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} = 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|w_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|v_\delta\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{P}(0, \delta)^c} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathcal{F}_4} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_3 := \mathcal{P}(0, \delta)^c \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 := \mathcal{P}(0, \delta)^c \cap \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2; |\xi_2| < |\xi_1|\}$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} |\xi_2|^{-2\beta} |\xi_2|^{2\beta} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \delta^{-2\beta} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}^2.$$

The something for other term

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} |\xi_1|^{-2\alpha} |\xi_1|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \delta^{-2\alpha} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore

$$\|v_\delta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{2\alpha}} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta^{2\beta}} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now, we consider $S(\delta_\varepsilon) = \{t \geq 0; \|v_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$ the sub-part of \mathbb{R}^+ , then $S(\delta_\varepsilon)$ is of finite measure, in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2 \lambda(S(\delta_\varepsilon)) &\leq \int_{S(\delta_\varepsilon)} \|v_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|v_{\delta_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\alpha}} \| |\partial_1|^\alpha \theta \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\beta}} \| |\partial_2|^\beta \theta \|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\beta}} \right) \|\theta^0\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We pose

$$T_\varepsilon = \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\delta_\varepsilon^{2\beta}}\right) \|\theta^0\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty,$$

then $\lambda(S_\varepsilon(\delta_0)) \leq T_\varepsilon$. So there exists $t_\varepsilon \in [0, T_\varepsilon + 1] \setminus S(\delta_\varepsilon)$ such

$$\|v_{\delta_0}(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.6)$$

By the equation (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\|\theta(t_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon.$$

The fact that $(t \mapsto \theta(t))$ is a decreasing function in L^2 . Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Passing now to show that the result is true on all Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. So, taking $p \in (2, +\infty)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\theta(x, t)|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\theta(x, t)|^{p-2} |\theta(x, t)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\theta^0\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $p \in [2, +\infty)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^p} = 0.$$

Finally, the fact that $H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $1 < s' < s$, we have, for any $t \geq 0$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\theta(t)\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{s'}{s}} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s}^{\frac{s'}{s}},$$

where we use Lemma 2.1 for $0 < s' < s$.

If α and β satisfy (1.1) then by the Theorem 1.3; we have $\|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} \geq C(\theta^0)$ and according to the above; we get

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\theta^0) \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{s'}{s}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty} = 0,$$

which implies the desired result. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

The proof is done in two steps; the first step is to show that for any small constant, there exists a positive time such that the norm of our solution in this time is lower than this constant. In the second step, we consider our system with initial condition in this time and show that the solution is decreasing. This result shows that our solution tends to zero in the neighborhood of infinity.

4.1. **Step 1:** Using Lemma 2.1, $\alpha < s < s + \alpha$ and $\beta < s < s + \beta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &\leq C (\|\partial_1|^s \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_2|^s \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C (\|\partial_1|^\alpha \theta(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \theta(t)\|_{H^s}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Theorem 1.1,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 dt \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} (\|\partial_1|^\alpha \theta(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \theta(t)\|_{H^s}^2) dt \leq C(\theta^0) < +\infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and considering the set $S(\varepsilon) = \{t \geq 0; \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} > \varepsilon\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \lambda(S(\varepsilon)) &\leq \int_{S(\varepsilon)} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 dt \\ &\leq C(\theta^0). \end{aligned}$$

We pose

$$T_\varepsilon = \frac{C(\theta^0)}{\varepsilon^2} < +\infty,$$

then $\lambda(S(\varepsilon)) \leq T_\varepsilon$. So there exists $t_\varepsilon \in [0, T_\varepsilon + 1] \setminus S(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|\theta(t_\varepsilon)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (4.1)$$

4.2. **Step 2:** Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then, by the first step, there exists $t_\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\theta(t_\varepsilon)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Considering now the follow system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \gamma + u_\gamma \cdot \nabla \gamma + |\partial_1|^{2\alpha} \gamma + |\partial_2|^{2\beta} \gamma = 0, \\ \gamma(0) = \theta(t_\varepsilon). \end{cases} \quad (AQG_1)$$

By Theorem 1.1, there exist a unique global solution $\gamma \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^s)$. The uniqueness of the solution show that

$$\gamma(t) = \theta(t + t_\varepsilon), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, we have by applying $1 + |\nabla|^s$ to (AQG₁) and taking L^2 inner product with $(1 + |\nabla|^s)\gamma$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \| |\nabla|^s (u_\gamma \cdot \nabla \gamma) - u_\gamma \cdot \nabla |\nabla|^s \gamma \|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\gamma\|_{\dot{H}^s},$$

where we use $\operatorname{div}(u_\gamma) = 0$. Moreover by lemma 2.4 we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\gamma(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C (\|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2) \|\gamma\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

Therefore, for $T_* = \sup \left\{ T \geq 0; \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\gamma(t)\|_{H^s} \leq 2\varepsilon \right\}$. Take $t \in [0, T_*]$ Then we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\gamma(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 \leq 2C (\|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2) \varepsilon.$$

Taking $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4C}$, and integrating over $(0, t)$, $t \in [0, T_*)$, we get

$$\|\gamma(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \|\partial_2|^\beta \gamma\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau \leq \|\gamma(0)\|_{H^s}^2 < 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $T_* = +\infty$ and we have for any $t > t_\varepsilon$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} = 0.$$

By Theorem 1.5 we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} = 0.$$

■

5. Proof of Corollary 1.7

By Theorem 1.4, there exist a unique global solution θ of (AQG) such that $\theta \in C((0, +\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$. For that, let $t_0 > 0$ and considering now the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \gamma + u_\gamma \cdot \nabla \gamma + |\partial_1|^{2\alpha} \gamma + |\partial_2|^{2\beta} \gamma = 0, \\ \gamma(0) = \theta(t_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{cases} \quad (AQG_2)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique global solution γ of (AQG₂) satisfy:

$$\gamma \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad |\partial_1|^\alpha \gamma, |\partial_2|^\beta \gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

By the uniqueness of solution we have

$$\theta(t) = \gamma(t - t_0), \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

So Using Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

The fact that

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|\theta(t)\|_{H^2}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

That implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^s} = 0.$$

■

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin. Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, volume 343 of GMW. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] P. Constantin, P. Lax and A. Majda. A simple one-dimensional model for the three-dimensional vorticity equation. Communications on pure and applied mathematics, 38(6), 715–7241 1985.
- [3] P. Constantin, A. Majda and E. Tabak. Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasigeostrophic thermal active scalar. Nonlinearity, 7(6), 1495–1533, 1994.
- [4] D. Cordoba. Nonexistence of simple hyperbolic blow-up for the quasi-geostrophic equation. Annals of Mathematics, 148(3), 1135–1152, 1998.
- [5] J. Pedlosky. Geophysical Fluid Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [6] J. Ning. Dissipative 2D quasi-geostrophic equation: local well-posedness, global regularity and similarity solutions. Indiana University mathematics journal, 187–206, 2007.
- [7] J. Benameur and M. Blel. Long-time decay to the global solution of the 2D Dissipative Quasigeostrophic equation, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 627813, 12 pages, 2012.
- [8] J. Benameur and CKatar. Asymptotic study of supercritical surface Quasi-Geostrophic equation in critical space. arXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.11256>, (2021).
- [9] J. Benameur and M. Amara. Global solution of anisotropic Quasi-Geostrophic Equations in Sobolev Space. arXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10164>, (2021).
- [10] Z. Ye. On the global regularity for the anisotropic dissipative surface quasi-geostrophic equation. Nonlinearity, 33(1), 72–105, (2019).
- [11] M. Amara. On the boundedness of the global solution of anisotropic quasi-geostrophic equation in Sobolev space. arXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.08216>, (2022).
- [12] J. Benameur and R. Selmi. Long time decay to the Leray solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 44 (2012), no. 5, 1001–1019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE OF GABÈS, RESEARCH LABORATORY MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS LR17ES11; TUNISIA

Email address: Mostafa.Amara@fsg.u-gabes.tn