

EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTION TO VOLUME PRESERVING MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

KEISUKE TAKASAO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS/HAKUBI CENTER, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,
KITASHIRAKAWA-OIWAKECHO SAKYO KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct a family of integral varifolds, which is a global weak solution to the volume preserving mean curvature flow in the sense of L^2 -flow. This flow is also a distributional BV-solution for a short time, when the perimeter of the initial data is sufficiently close to that of ball with the same volume. To construct the flow, we use the Allen–Cahn equation with non-local term motivated by studies of Mugnai, Seis, and Spadaro, and Kim and Kwon. We prove the convergence of the solution for the Allen–Cahn equation to the family of integral varifolds with only natural assumptions for the initial data.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and $\Omega := \mathbb{T}^d = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$. Assume that $T > 0$ and $U_t \subset \Omega$ is an open set with the smooth boundary $M_t := \partial U_t$ for any $t \in [0, T)$. The family of the hypersurfaces $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$ is called the volume preserving mean curvature flow if the normal velocity vector \vec{v} satisfies

$$\vec{v} = \vec{h} - \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t)} \int_{M_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{v} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \right) \vec{v}, \quad \text{on } M_t, \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (1.1)$$

Here, \mathcal{H}^{d-1} is the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure, and \vec{h} and \vec{v} are the mean curvature vector and the inner unit normal vector of M_t , respectively. Note that the solution $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$ to (1.1) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}^d(U_t) = - \int_{M_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathcal{L}^d is the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure. From (1.2), $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$ has the volume preserving property, that is, $\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)$ is constant with respect to t .

When U_0 is convex, Gage [13] and Huisken [16] proved that there exists a solution to (1.1) and it converges to a sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Escher and Simonett [10] showed the short time existence of the solution to (1.1) for smooth initial data M_0 and they also proved that if M_0 is sufficiently close to a sphere in the sense of the little Hölder norm $h^{1+\alpha}$, then there exists a global solution and it converges to some sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see also [3, 4, 25] for related results). Mugnai, Seis, and Spadaro [31] studied the minimizing movement for (1.1) and they proved the global existence of the flat flow, that is, there exist $C = C(d, U_0) > 0$ and a family of Caccioppoli sets $\{U_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^d(U_s \triangle U_t) \leq C\sqrt{s-t}$ for any $0 \leq t < s$, $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^* U_t)$ is monotone decreasing, and $\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)$ is constant. Here, $\partial^* U_t$ is the reduced boundary of U_t . In addition, for $d \leq 7$, they proved the global existence of the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K93, Secondary 53E10.

Key words and phrases. volume preserving mean curvature flow, Allen–Cahn equation, phase field method.

weak solution to (1.1) in the sense of the distribution, under the reasonable assumption for the convergence, that is,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^* U_t^k) dt = \int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^* U_t) dt, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{U_t^k\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the time-discretized approximate solution to (1.1). This kind of condition was introduced in [27] (see also [1, 22]). Laux and Swartz [24] showed the convergence of the thresholding schemes to the distributional BV-solutions of (1.1) under an assumption of the convergence similar to (1.3). Laux and Simon [23] also proved similar results in the case of the phase field method. On the other hand, the author [36] proved the existence of the weak solution (family of integral varifolds) to (1.1) in the sense of L^2 -flow for $2 \leq d \leq 3$ without any such convergence assumption, via the phase field method studied by Golovaty [15]. Recently, Kim and Kwon [21] proved the existence of the viscosity solution to (1.1) for the case where U_0 satisfies a geometric condition called ρ -reflection. Moreover, they also proved that the viscosity solution converges to some sphere uniformly as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence with $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and we denote δ_i as δ for simplicity. Suppose that U_t^δ is an open set with smooth boundary M_t^δ for any $t \in [0, T]$. The approximate solutions studied in [31] and [21] correspond to the following mean curvature flow $\{M_t^\delta\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ with non-local term:

$$\vec{v} = \vec{h} - \lambda^\delta \vec{\nu}, \quad \text{on } M_t^\delta, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\lambda^\delta(t) = \frac{1}{\delta}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0^\delta) - \mathcal{L}^d(U_t^\delta)).$$

One can check that (1.4) is a L^2 -gradient flow of

$$E^\delta(t) = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t^\delta) + \frac{1}{2\delta}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0^\delta) - \mathcal{L}^d(U_t^\delta))^2,$$

that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^\delta(t) = - \int_{M_t^\delta} |\vec{v}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, T).$$

Hence $\{M_t^\delta\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfies a relaxed volume preserving property, namely,

$$(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0^\delta) - \mathcal{L}^d(U_t^\delta))^2 \leq 2\delta E^\delta(t) \leq 2\delta E^\delta(0) = 2\delta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0^\delta).$$

Therefore $\{M_t^\delta\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ converges to the solution $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ to (1.1) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ formally. Note that we cannot directly obtain the monotonically decreasing of $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t)$ by the energy estimates above. However, if we have a natural energy estimate $\sup_i \int_0^T |\lambda^{\delta_i}(t)|^2 dt \leq C_T$ for some constant $C_T > 0$, we can expect the property in some sense, because

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\delta_i}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0^{\delta_i}) - \mathcal{L}^d(U_t^{\delta_i}))^2 = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_i}{2} |\lambda^{\delta_i}(t)|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T]$$

by Fatou's lemma (see Proposition 3.14). The reason why the L^2 -estimate is natural is because the non-local term of the solution to (1.1) satisfies it (see Proposition 6.2). Mugnai, Seis, and Spadaro [31] used a minimizing movement scheme corresponding to (1.4), and Kim and Kwon [21] used (1.4) to prove the existence of the viscosity solution to (1.1). Based on these results, in this paper we show the global existence of the weak solution to (1.1), via the phase field method corresponding to (1.4).

We denote $W(a) := \frac{(1-a^2)^2}{2}$ and $k(s) = \int_0^s \sqrt{2W(a)} da = s - \frac{1}{3}s^3$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $T > 0$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. With reference to [31] and [21], in this paper we consider the following Allen–Cahn equation with non-local term:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} + \lambda^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \varphi^\varepsilon(x, 0) = \varphi_0^\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where λ^ε is given by

$$\lambda^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\alpha} \left(\int_\Omega k(\varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)) dx - \int_\Omega k(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \right). \quad (1.6)$$

Note that if φ_0^ε satisfies suitable assumptions, the standard PDE theories imply the global existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.5) (see Remark 3.2). Set

$$\begin{aligned} E^\varepsilon(t) &= \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon} \right) dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^\alpha} \left(\int_\Omega k(\varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)) dx - \int_\Omega k(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \right)^2 \\ &=: E_S^\varepsilon(t) + E_P^\varepsilon(t). \end{aligned}$$

As above, one can check that the solution φ^ε to (1.5) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^\varepsilon(t) = - \int_\Omega \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, \infty), \quad (1.7)$$

$$E^\varepsilon(T) + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx dt = E^\varepsilon(0) = E_S^\varepsilon(0) \quad \text{for any } T \geq 0, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\left(\int_\Omega k(\varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)) dx - \int_\Omega k(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)) dx \right)^2 = 2\varepsilon^\alpha E_P^\varepsilon(t) \leq 2\varepsilon^\alpha E_S^\varepsilon(0) \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (1.9)$$

Assume $\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} E_S^\varepsilon(0) < \infty$ (this assumption corresponds to $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) < \infty$ for (1.1)). Then, we can expect that $\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) \approx 1$ or -1 when x is outside the neighborhood of the zero level set $M_t^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) = 0\}$ for sufficiently small ε . Then we have $\int_\Omega k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx \approx \frac{2}{3} \int_\Omega \varphi^\varepsilon dx$ and thus we can regard (1.9) as a relaxed volume preserving property. The function $\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}$ expresses that the non-local term is almost zero when x is outside the neighborhood of M_t^ε . In addition, $\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}$ plays important roles in L^∞ -estimates and energy estimates (see Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.16).

The first main result of this paper is that there exists a global-in-time weak solution to (1.1) for any $d \geq 2$ in the sense of L^2 -flow, under the assumptions on the regularity of M_0 (see Theorem 2.6). We employ (1.5) to construct the solution. Note that we do not require assumptions such as (1.3). The second main result is that, when M_0 is C^1 and the value $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0)/(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0))^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ is sufficiently close to that of a ball, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that the flow has a unit density for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1]$ and is also a distributional BV-solution up to $t = T_1$ (see Theorem 2.8). To obtain the main results, we need to prove that the varifold V_t^ε defined by the Modica–Mortola functional [29] converges to a integral varifold for a.e. $t \geq 0$ (roughly speaking, the condition (1.3) corresponds to this convergence). For the standard Allen–Cahn equation without non-local term, this convergence was shown by Ilmanen [20] and Tonegawa [40]. Therefore we can expect the convergence for (1.5) if λ^ε has suitable properties. In fact, λ^ε can be regarded as an error term when we consider the parabolic

rescaled equation of (1.5). We explain this more precisely. Define $\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \varphi^\varepsilon(\varepsilon\tilde{x}, \varepsilon^2\tilde{t})$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\varphi}_t^\varepsilon = \Delta_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon - W'(\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2\tilde{t}) \sqrt{2W(\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon)}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\Delta_{\tilde{x}}$ is a Laplacian with respect to \tilde{x} . Assume $\sup_x |\varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)| < 1$. Then Proposition 3.1 below yields $\sup_{x,t} |\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)| < 1$. Thus we have

$$\sup_{\tilde{t} \geq 0} |\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2\tilde{t}) \sqrt{2W(\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon)}| \leq \sup_{\tilde{t} \geq 0} |\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2\tilde{t})| \leq \frac{4}{3} \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega) \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} = \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}, \quad (1.11)$$

where we used $\max_{s \in [-1,1]} |k(s)| = \frac{2}{3}$. Therefore, broadly speaking, the non-local term $\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2\tilde{t}) \sqrt{2W(\tilde{\varphi}^\varepsilon)}$ is a perturbation (to the best of our knowledge, for (1.1), no phase field model with such a property has been known). Hence we can show the rectifiability and the integrality of the varifold V_t with arguments similar to that in [20, 40] (see also [38]). However, the proofs are not exactly the same as those, because the monotonicity formula for (1.5) is different from the standard one (see Proposition 3.9). Therefore we give the proofs in Section 4. In addition, as another good property of λ^ε , the L^2 -norm can be controlled (see Lemma 3.6). This property is useful when proving the monotonicity formula and the rectifiability of V_t .

The most well-known phase field model for (1.1) studied by Rubinstein and Sternberg [33] is the following equation.

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} + \Lambda^\varepsilon, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \varphi^\varepsilon(x, 0) = \varphi_0^\varepsilon(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where $\Lambda^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_\Omega \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon} dx$. As above, the solution to (1.12) has the volume preserving property $\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \varphi^\varepsilon dx = 0$. Chen, Hilhorst, and Logak [8] proved that for the smooth solution $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ to (1.1), there exists a family of functions $\{\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that the level set $M_t^{\varepsilon_i} = \{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t) = 0\}$ converges to M_t , where φ^ε is a solution to (1.12) with initial data φ_0^ε . In addition, as mentioned above, Laux and Simon [23] proved the convergence of the vector-valued version of (1.12) to the weak volume preserving multiphase mean curvature flow under an assumption corresponds to (1.3). However, it is an open problem to show its convergence for (1.12) without such assumptions. One of the difficulties is that the boundedness of $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^T |\Lambda^\varepsilon|^2 dt$ proved by Bronsard and Stoth [7] does not immediately lead to

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varepsilon \left(\Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^2 dx dt < \infty. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that (1.13) corresponds to $\int_0^T \int_{M_t} |\vec{h}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} dt < \infty$ of the solution to (1.1) and is important to show the rectifiability of the varifold (see Theorem 3.16 and Theorem 4.9). As another phase field method for (1.1), the study of Brassel and Bretin [6] is known (see [36, Section 1] for a comparison of these equations).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we set our notations and state the main results. In Section 3, to obtain the existence theorem we prove the energy estimates and L^∞ -estimates for the solution to (1.5). In addition, for $d = 2$ or 3 , we give a short proof for the integrality of the limit measure μ_t constructed as a weak solution to (1.1). In Section 4, we show the integrality of μ_t for any $d \geq 2$. In Section 5, we prove the main results. In Section 6, we give some supplements for this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Notations and definitions. For $r > 0$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote $B_r^d(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x - y| < r\}$ (we often write this as $B_r(x)$ for simplicity). We define $\omega_d := \mathcal{L}^d(B_1^d(0))$. For $d \times d$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$, we define $A \cdot B := \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}b_{ij}$. For $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define a $d \times d$ matrix $a \otimes a$ by $a \otimes a := (a_i a_j)$. Next we recall notations and definitions from the geometric measure theory and refer to [11, 14, 34, 40] for more details. For a Caccioppoli set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the reduced boundary of E by $\partial^* E$. For the characteristic function χ_E , we denote the total variation measure of the distributional derivative $\nabla \chi_E$ by $\|\nabla \chi_E\|$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set. We write the space of bounded variation functions on U as $BV(U)$. For any Radon measure μ on U and $\phi \in C_c(U)$, we often write $\int \phi d\mu$ as $\mu(\phi)$. For $p \geq 1$, we write $f \in L^p(\mu)$ if f is μ -measurable and $\int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$. For $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < d$, let $\mathbb{G}(d, k)$ be the space of k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^d . For an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $G_k(U) := U \times \mathbb{G}(d, k)$. We say V is a general k -varifold on U if V is a Radon measure on $G_k(U)$. We denote the set of all general k -varifolds on U by $\mathbb{V}_k(U)$. For a general varifold $V \in \mathbb{V}_k(U)$, we define the weight measure $\|V\|$ by

$$\|V\|(\phi) := \int_{G_k(U)} \phi(x) dV(x, S) \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C_c(U).$$

We call $V \in \mathbb{V}_k(U)$ is rectifiable if there exist a \mathcal{H}^k -measurable k -countably rectifiable set $M \subset U$ and $\theta \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner_M)$ such that

$$V(\phi) = \int_M \phi(x, T_x M) \theta(x) d\mathcal{H}^k \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C_c(G_k(U)),$$

where $T_x M$ is the approximate tangent space of M at x . Note that such x does exist for \mathcal{H}^k -a.e. on M . If $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ \mathcal{H}^k -a.e. on M , we call V is integral. In addition, if $\theta = 1$ \mathcal{H}^k -a.e. on M , we say V has unit density.

For $V \in \mathbb{V}_k(U)$, we define the first variation δV by

$$\delta V(\vec{\phi}) := \int_{G_k(U)} \nabla \vec{\phi}(x) \cdot S dV(x, S) \quad \text{for any } \vec{\phi} \in C_c^1(U; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Here, we identify $S \in \mathbb{G}(d, k)$ with the corresponding orthogonal projection of \mathbb{R}^d onto S . When the total variation $\|\delta V\|$ of δV is locally bounded and absolutely continuous with respect to $\|V\|$, there exists a measurable vector field \vec{h} such that

$$\delta V(\vec{\phi}) = - \int_U \vec{\phi}(x) \cdot \vec{h}(x) d\|V\|(x) \quad \text{for any } \vec{\phi} \in C_c^1(U; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The vector valued function \vec{h} is called the generalized mean curvature vector of V . In addition, a Radon measure μ is called a k -rectifiable if there exists a k -rectifiable varifold such that μ is represented by $\mu = \|V\|$. Note that this V is uniquely determined, so the first variation and the generalized mean curvature vector of μ is naturally determined by V . The definition of an integral Radon measure is determined in the same way.

The formulation of the following is similar to that of the Brakke flow [5, 41].

Definition 2.1 (L^2 -flow [30]). Let $T > 0$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set, and $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a family of Radon measures on U . Set $d\mu := d\mu_t dt$. We call $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ an L^2 -flow with a generalized velocity vector \vec{v} if the following hold:

- (1) For a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, μ_t is $(d-1)$ -integral, and also has a generalized mean curvature vector $\vec{h} \in L^2(\mu_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

- (2) The vector field \vec{v} belongs to $L^2(0, T; (L^2(\mu_t))^d)$ and

$$\vec{v}(x, t) \perp T_x \mu_t \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } (x, t) \in U \times (0, T),$$

where $T_x \mu_t \in \mathbb{G}(d, d-1)$ is the approximate tangent space of μ_t at x .

- (3) There exists $C_T > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_U (\eta_t + \nabla \eta \cdot \vec{v}) d\mu_t dt \right| \leq C_T \|\eta\|_{C^0(U \times (0, T))} \quad (2.1)$$

for any $\eta \in C_c^1(U \times (0, T))$.

Remark 2.2. If there exists a family of smooth hypersurfaces $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ with the normal velocity vector \vec{w} , then (2.1) holds with $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$ and $\mu_t = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_t}$. In addition, if \vec{v} satisfies (2.1) with $\mu_t = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_t}$, then $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$. This proof is almost identical to the proof in [41, Proposition 2.1].

The L^2 -flow has the following property.

Proposition 2.3 (See Proposition 3.3 of [30]). Assume that $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in (0, T)}$ is an L^2 -flow with the generalized velocity vector \vec{v} and set $d\mu := d\mu_t dt$. Then

$$(\vec{v}(x_0, t_0), 1) \in T_{(x_0, t_0)} \mu$$

at μ -a.e. $(x_0, t_0) \in \Sigma(\mu)$, where $T_{(x_0, t_0)} \mu \in \mathbb{G}(d+1, d)$ is the approximate tangent space of μ at (x_0, t_0) and $\Sigma(\mu) = \{(x, t) \mid T_{(x, t)} \mu \text{ exists at } (x, t)\}$.

2.2. Assumptions for initial data. Let $U_0 \subset \subset (0, 1)^d$ be a bounded open set with the following properties.

- (1) There exists $D_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in (0, 1)^d, 0 < R < 1} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0 \cap B_r(x))}{\omega_{d-1} r^{d-1}} \leq D_0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M_0 = \partial U_0$.

- (2) There exists a family of open sets $\{U_0^i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that U_0^i has a C^3 boundary $M_0^i = \partial U_0^i$ for any i and the following hold:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^d(U_0 \triangle U_0^i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla \chi_{U_0^i}\| = \|\nabla \chi_{U_0}\| \quad \text{as Radon measures.} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the second assumption is satisfied when U_0 is a Caccioppoli set, and both conditions are fulfilled when M_0 is C^1 (see [14]).

We denote $q^\varepsilon(r) := \tanh(r/\varepsilon)$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then q^ε satisfies

$$\frac{\varepsilon(q_r^\varepsilon(r))^2}{2} = \frac{W(q^\varepsilon(r))}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for any } r \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$q_{rr}^\varepsilon(r) = \frac{W'(q^\varepsilon(r))}{\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{for any } r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, (2.4) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon(q_r^\varepsilon(r))^2}{2} + \frac{W(q^\varepsilon(r))}{\varepsilon} \right) dr = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{2W(q^\varepsilon)} q_r^\varepsilon dr = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{2W(q)} dq =: \sigma.$$

This means that the Radon measure μ_t^ε defined below needs to be normalized by σ .

Next we extend U_0^i and M_0^i periodically to \mathbb{R}^d with period Ω and define

$$r_i(x) = \begin{cases} \text{dist}(x, M_0^i), & \text{if } x \in U_0^i, \\ -\text{dist}(x, M_0^i), & \text{if } x \notin U_0^i. \end{cases}$$

Then $|\nabla r_i(x)| \leq 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and there exists $b_i > 0$ such that r_i is C^3 on $N_{b_i} := \{x \mid \text{dist}(x, M_0^i) < b_i\}$ (see [9]). Let d_i be a smooth monotone increase function such that

$$d_i(r) = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } |r| < \frac{1}{4}b_i, \\ \frac{2}{3}b_i, & \text{if } r > \frac{3}{4}b_i \\ -\frac{2}{3}b_i, & \text{if } r < -\frac{3}{4}b_i \end{cases}$$

and $|\frac{d}{dr}d_i| \leq 1$. Set $\bar{r}_i := d_i(r_i)$. Then $\bar{r}_i \in C^3(\Omega)$, $\bar{r}_i = r_i$ on $N_{b_i/4}$, and $|\nabla \bar{r}_i(x)| \leq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence with $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\varepsilon_i}{b_i^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla^{j+1} \bar{r}_i(x)| \leq \varepsilon_i^{-j} \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that (2.6) corresponds to the condition (3.2) below. We define a periodic function $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i} \in C^3(\Omega)$ by

$$\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x) := q^{\varepsilon_i}(\bar{r}_i(x)) = \tanh\left(\frac{d_i(r_i(x))}{\varepsilon_i}\right) \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.7)$$

We define a Radon measure $\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}$ by

$$\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi) := \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t)|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t))}{\varepsilon_i} \right) dx, \quad \phi \in C_c(\Omega), \quad (2.8)$$

where φ^{ε_i} is the solution to (1.5) with initial data $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ defined by (2.7) and $\sigma = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{2W(s)} ds$.

For $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ and $\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}$, we have the following properties (see [20, p. 423] and [26, Section 5]).

Proposition 2.4. There exists a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ (denoted by the same index and the subsequence is taken only for $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, not for $\{M_0^i\}_{i=1}^\infty$) such that the following hold.

- (1) For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \Omega$, we have $\frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x)|^2}{2} \leq \frac{W(\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x))}{\varepsilon_i}$.
- (2) There exists $D_1 = D_1(D_0) > 0$ such that

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\Omega), \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \Omega, r \in (0,1)} \frac{\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(B_r(x))}{\omega^{d-1} r^{d-1}} \right\} \leq D_1. \quad (2.9)$$

- (3) $\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_0}$ as Radon measures, that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \int_{M_0} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C_c(\Omega).$$

- (4) For $\psi^{\varepsilon_i} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i} + 1)$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\varepsilon_i} = \chi_{U_0}$ in L^1 and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla \psi^{\varepsilon_i}\| = \|\nabla \chi_{U_0}\|$ as Radon measures.

Remark 2.5. The first property (1) is obtained from $|\nabla \bar{r}_i| \leq 1$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.3). The assumption $\frac{\varepsilon_i}{b_i^2} \rightarrow 0$ is used to show $\int_{\Omega \setminus N_{b_i/4}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i} \right) dx \rightarrow 0$.

2.3. Main results. We denote the approximate velocity vector \vec{v}^{ε_i} by

$$\vec{v}^{\varepsilon_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{-\varphi_t^{\varepsilon_i}}{|\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}|} \frac{\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}}{|\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}|}, & \text{if } |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}| \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The first main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $d \geq 2$ and U_0 satisfies (2.2) and (2.3). For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ be defined so that all the claims of Proposition 2.4 are satisfied and φ^{ε_i} be a solution to (1.5) with initial data $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ (denoted by the same index) such that the following hold.

- (a) There exist a countable subset $B \subset [0, \infty)$ and a family of $(d-1)$ -integral Radon measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ on Ω such that

$$\mu_0 = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_0}, \quad \mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \mu_t \quad \text{as Radon measures for any } t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\mu_s(\Omega) \leq \mu_t(\Omega) \quad \text{for any } s, t \in [0, \infty) \setminus B \text{ with } 0 \leq t < s < \infty.$$

- (b) There exists $\psi \in BV_{loc}(\Omega \times [0, \infty)) \cap C_{loc}^{\frac{1}{2}}([0, \infty); L^1(\Omega))$ such that the following hold.
 (b1) $\psi^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \psi$ in $L_{loc}^1(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$ and a.e. pointwise, where $\psi^{\varepsilon_i} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i} + 1)$.
 (b2) $\psi|_{t=0} = \chi_{U_0}$ a.e. on Ω .
 (b3) For any $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\psi(\cdot, t) = 1$ or 0 a.e. on Ω and ψ satisfies the volume preserving property, that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(x, t) dx = \mathcal{L}^d(U_0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

- (b4) For any $t \in [0, \infty)$ and for any $\phi \in C_c(\Omega; [0, \infty))$, we have $\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\|(\phi) \leq \mu_t(\phi)$.
 (c) For λ^{ε_i} given by (1.6), we have

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T |\lambda^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dt < \infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0$$

and there exists $\lambda \in L_{loc}^2(0, \infty)$ such that $\lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \lambda$ weakly in $L^2(0, T)$ for any $T > 0$.

- (d) There exists $\vec{f} \in L_{loc}^2([0, \infty); (L^2(\mu_t))^d)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} -\lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \vec{f} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} -\lambda \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\| dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$, where \vec{v} is the inner unit normal vector of $\{\psi(\cdot, t) = 1\}$ on $\text{spt } \|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\|$.

- (e) The family of Radon measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is an L^2 -flow with a generalized velocity vector $\vec{v} = \vec{h} + \vec{f}$, where $\vec{h} \in L_{loc}^2([0, \infty); (L^2(\mu_t))^d)$ is the generalized mean curvature vector of μ_t . Moreover, for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \vec{v}^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt. \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 2.7. From (d) and (e), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt = \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega} \left(\vec{h} - \lambda \frac{d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\|}{d\mu_t} \vec{v} \right) \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt$$

for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\frac{d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\|}{d\mu_t}$ is the Radon–Nikodym derivative. Hence we have $\vec{v} = \vec{h} - \lambda\vec{v}$ in the sense of L^2 -flow if $\mu_t = \|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\|$ for a.e. t (from Theorem 2.8 below, this is correct for a short time if the initial data is sufficiently close to a ball). Since μ_t is integral for a.e. t , for such t , we have $\left(\frac{d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\|}{d\mu_t}\right)^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ for μ_t -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, if $\frac{d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\|}{d\mu_t} \neq 0$.

Set $U_t := \{x \in \Omega \mid \psi(x, t) = 1\}$ for $t > 0$. Let $B \subset\subset (0, 1)^d$ be an open ball. We also show that if $U_0 \approx B$ in the following sense, then there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that $\{\partial^*U_t\}_{t \in [0, T_1]}$ is a distributional solution to (1.1) in the framework of BV functions.

Theorem 2.8. For any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ depending only on d and r with the following properties. Assume that $U_0 \subset (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})^d$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}^d(U_0) = \mathcal{L}^d(B_r(0))$ and has a C^1 boundary M_0 with $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial B_r(0))$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) - d\omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0))^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \leq \delta_1. \quad (2.12)$$

Then there exists $T_1 = T_1(d, r, M_0) > 0$ such that the following hold.

- (a) For a.e. $t \in [0, T_1)$, $\mu_t = \|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\| = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{\partial^*U_t}$, where $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is the L^2 -flow with initial data $\mu_0 = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_0}$, given by Theorem 2.6.
- (b) Let \vec{v} , \vec{h} , $\vec{\nu}$, and λ be functions given by Theorem 2.6. Then $\{\partial^*U_t\}_{t \in [0, T_1]}$ is a distributional solution to (1.1) with initial data $\partial U_0 = M_0$ in the following sense.
 - (b1) For any $t \in [0, T_1)$, $\mathcal{L}^d(U_t) = \mathcal{L}^d(U_0)$.
 - (b2) For a.e. $t \in [0, T_1)$, \vec{h} is also a generalized mean curvature vector of $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{\partial^*U_t}$.
 - (b3) For any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, T_1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\int_0^{T_1} \int_{\partial^*U_t} \{\vec{v} - \vec{h} + \lambda\vec{\nu}\} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} dt = 0.$$

- (b4) For any $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times (0, T_1))$, we have

$$\int_0^{T_1} \int_{U_t} \phi_t dx dt = \int_0^{T_1} \int_{\partial^*U_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} dt.$$

- (b5) (Additional volume preserving property). For a.e. $t \in [0, T_1)$, we have

$$\int_{\partial^*U_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = \int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu} d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\| = 0.$$

- (b6) For a.e. $t \in [0, T_1)$, we have

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^*U_t)} \int_{\partial^*U_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\nu} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}.$$

Remark 2.9. The isoperimetric inequality tells us that $d\omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}(\mathcal{L}^d(U))^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^*U)$ for any Caccioppoli set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}^d(U) < \infty$ and the equality holds if and only if there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\mathcal{L}^d(U \triangle B) = 0$ (see [12, 39] and references therein). Therefore the assumption (2.12) means that U_0 is sufficiently close to a ball in some sense. On the other hand, M_0 does not have to be close to a sphere in C^0 (for example, U_0 does not have to be connected).

Remark 2.10. The property (b4) claims that \vec{v} is a normal velocity vector in a weak sense, since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{U_t} \phi dx = \int_{U_t} \phi_t dx - \int_{\partial U_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$$

holds for any $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times (0, T_1))$ and $t \in (0, T_1)$, where $\{U_t\}_{t \in [0, T_1]}$ is a family of open sets and the smooth boundary ∂U_t moves by the normal velocity vector \vec{v} . By (1.2), we can regard (b5) as a volume preserving property in a weak sense.

3. ENERGY AND POINTWISE ESTIMATES

In this section we show standard estimates for (1.5) such as the uniform L^2 -estimate for λ^ε and the monotonicity formula.

3.1. Assumptions. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence with $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. In this section, we assume that there exist $D_1 > 0$ and $\omega > 0$ such that (2.9) and

$$\frac{2}{3} - \left| \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x)) dx \right| > \omega > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

hold for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x) = 0\}$ corresponds to the initial data M_0 of (1.1), and (3.1) yields that $\mathcal{L}^d(\{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i} \approx 1\}) > 0$ formally, since $\int_{\Omega} k(\pm 1) dx = \pm \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega) = \pm \frac{2}{3}$. For some $C_1 > 0$, we also assume that the initial data $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ of the solution to (1.5) satisfies

$$\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i} \in C^3(\Omega), \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x)| < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_i^j \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla^j \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x)| \leq C_1 \quad (3.2)$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$. In addition, to control the discrepancy measure ξ_t^ε defined below, we assume

$$\frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla \varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x)|^2}{2} \leq \frac{W(\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}(x))}{\varepsilon_i} \quad \text{for any } x \in \Omega \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the function $\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ defined by (2.7) satisfies all the assumptions above, for sufficiently large i . Throughout this paper, we often write ε as ε_i for simplicity.

3.2. Pointwise estimates. The comparison principle implies the following estimate.

Proposition 3.1. The solution φ^ε to (1.5) with (3.2) satisfies

$$|\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)| < 1, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.2. The estimate (3.4) implies $\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)} = 1 - (\varphi^\varepsilon)^2$. By a priori estimates including Proposition 3.3 below, standard PDE theories imply the global existence and uniqueness of the classical solution to (1.5) with initial data φ_0^ε satisfying (3.2).

Proof. Suppose that $t_0 := \inf\{t \in [0, \infty) \mid \sup_{x \in \Omega} \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) \geq 1\} < \infty$. Then $t_0 > 0$ since $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_0^\varepsilon(x) < 1$. We may assume that there exists $t_1 \in (t_0, \infty)$ such that $\sup_{x \in \Omega} \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq 2$ for any $t < t_1$. Let φ_+^ε be a solution to

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_+^\varepsilon)_t = \varepsilon \Delta \varphi_+^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi_+^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} + L^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(\varphi_+^\varepsilon)}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, t_1) \quad (3.5)$$

with initial data $\varphi_+^\varepsilon(x, 0) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)$, where $L^\varepsilon := 2\varepsilon^{-\alpha} \max_{|s| \leq 2} |k(s)|$. Note that $\sup_{t \in (0, t_1)} |\lambda^\varepsilon(t)| \leq L^\varepsilon$, where λ^ε is given by the solution φ^ε to (1.5), and this implies that φ_+^ε is a supersolution to (1.5) if we regard λ^ε as a given function. Since the initial data is constant and $W'(s), \sqrt{2W(s)} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 1$, one can easily check that the solution φ_+^ε to (3.5) depends only on t and satisfies $\varphi_+^\varepsilon(t) < 1$ for any $t \in (0, t_1)$. Therefore the comparison principle implies that $\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq \varphi_+^\varepsilon(t) < 1$ for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, t_1)$. This

yields a contradiction. Hence $\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) < 1$ for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ and the remained claim can be proved similarly. \square

In addition, by Proposition 3.1 and the maximum principle we have the following Proposition (see [20]).

Proposition 3.3. If the solution φ^ε to (1.5) satisfies (3.2) and (3.3), then we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2}{2} \leq \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. By (3.4), we can define a function r^ε by

$$r^\varepsilon(x, t) = (q^\varepsilon)^{-1}(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0,$$

since $q^\varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ is one to one and surjective. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon q_r^\varepsilon r_t^\varepsilon &= \varepsilon q_r^\varepsilon \Delta r^\varepsilon + \varepsilon q_{rr}^\varepsilon |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{W'(q^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} + \lambda^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(q^\varepsilon)} \\ &= \sqrt{2W(q^\varepsilon)} \Delta r^\varepsilon + \frac{W'(q^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} (|\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - 1) + \lambda^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(q^\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.4) and (2.5). Then we obtain

$$r_t^\varepsilon = \Delta r^\varepsilon - \frac{2q^\varepsilon(r^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} (|\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - 1) + \lambda^\varepsilon, \quad (3.7)$$

where we used $W'(q^\varepsilon)/\sqrt{2W(q^\varepsilon)} = -2q^\varepsilon$. We compute

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - \nabla r^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{2q^\varepsilon(r^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} (|\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - 1) \right),$$

where we used $\nabla \lambda^\varepsilon = 0$. Set $w^\varepsilon = |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 - 1$. Then w^ε satisfies

$$w_t^\varepsilon \leq \Delta w^\varepsilon - \frac{4q^\varepsilon(r^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \nabla r^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w^\varepsilon - 2 \left(\nabla r^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \frac{2q^\varepsilon(r^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) w^\varepsilon.$$

In addition, we have $w^\varepsilon(x, 0) \leq 0$, because

$$\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi_0^\varepsilon(x)|^2}{2} - \frac{W(\varphi_0^\varepsilon(x))}{\varepsilon} = \frac{W(q^\varepsilon(r^\varepsilon(x, 0)))}{\varepsilon} (|\nabla r^\varepsilon(x, 0)|^2 - 1) \leq 0$$

by (3.3). Hence the maximum principle implies $w^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, and we obtain (3.6) by $\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} - \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{W(q^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} w^\varepsilon \leq 0$. \square

3.3. Energy estimates. By $E_S^\varepsilon(t) = \sigma \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega)$, (1.7), (1.8), and (2.9), we can easily obtain the following estimates.

Proposition 3.4. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, we have

$$\mu_T^\varepsilon(\Omega) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx dt \leq \mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \mu_T^\varepsilon(\Omega) \leq \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) \leq D_1. \quad (3.9)$$

Remark 3.5. Generally, “ $\mu_s^\varepsilon(\Omega) \leq \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ for any $0 \leq t < s < \infty$ ” can not be shown from the energy estimates above. However, there exists a countable set B such that $\mu_s(\Omega) \leq \mu_t(\Omega)$ holds for any $t, s \in [0, \infty) \setminus B$ with $t < s$, where $\mu_t(\Omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ (see Proposition 3.14).

Set $D'_1 := \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega)$. Note that $D'_1 \leq D_1$. By an argument similar to that in [7], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. There exist constants $C_2 = C_2(\omega, d, D'_1) > 0$, $C_3 = C_3(\omega, d, D'_1) > 0$, and $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(\omega, d, D'_1, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^T |\lambda^\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt \leq C_2(\mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) - \mu_T^\varepsilon(\Omega) + T) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1) \text{ and } T > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\lambda^\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt \leq C_3(1 + t_2 - t_1) \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let $\vec{\zeta} = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^d) : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth periodic test function. Multiply (1.5) by $\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \cdot \vec{\zeta}$ and integrate over Ω . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \varepsilon \varphi_t^\varepsilon \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \cdot \vec{\zeta} dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_\Omega \varepsilon \varphi_{x_i}^\varepsilon \varphi_{x_j}^\varepsilon \zeta_{x_i}^j dx - \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \\ &= -\lambda^\varepsilon \int_\Omega k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

by the integration by parts. Here we used $\nabla k(\varphi^\varepsilon) = \sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)} \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon$ and

$$\int_\Omega \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \cdot \vec{\zeta} dx = - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_\Omega \varphi_{x_i}^\varepsilon \varphi_{x_j}^\varepsilon \zeta_{x_i}^j dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx.$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (3.8), and (3.12) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_\Omega \varepsilon \varphi_t^\varepsilon \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon \cdot \vec{\zeta} dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_\Omega \varepsilon \varphi_{x_i}^\varepsilon \varphi_{x_j}^\varepsilon \zeta_{x_i}^j dx - \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \right| \\ & \leq C_4 \|\vec{\zeta}(\cdot, t)\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \left((D'_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + D'_1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $C_4 > 0$ depends only on d . Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$ be a smooth nonnegative function with $\int_{B_1(0)} \eta dx = 1$ and define the standard mollifier η_δ by $\eta_\delta(x) = \delta^{-d} \eta(x/\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$. Let $u = u(x, t)$ be a periodic solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta - \int_\Omega (k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \int_\Omega u dx &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\int_\Omega \left\{ k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta - \int_\Omega (k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta) \right\} = 0$$

and there exists $C > 0$ depending only on $\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left\| k(\varphi^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) * \eta_\delta - \int_\Omega (k(\varphi^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) * \eta_\delta) \right\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \delta^{-1}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where we used $\|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$. Therefore the standard PDE arguments imply the existence and uniqueness of the solution u and

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\Omega)} \leq C_5, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $C_5 > 0$ depends only on β , d , and δ . Set $\vec{\zeta}(x, t) = \nabla u(x, t)$. Then, by (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$|\lambda^\varepsilon| \left| \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \right| \leq C_4 C_5 \left((D'_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + D'_1 \right). \quad (3.14)$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx &= \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) (-\Delta u) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \left\{ k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta - \int_{\Omega} (k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta) \right\} dx \\ &= \frac{4}{9} \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega) + \int_{\Omega} (k(\varphi^\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{4}{9} dx + \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \{k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta - k(\varphi^\varepsilon)\} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx \left(\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx - \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By $(k(s))^2 - \frac{4}{9} \geq -W(s)$ for any $s \in [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (k(\varphi^\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{4}{9} dx \geq -\varepsilon \sigma \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega) \geq -\varepsilon \sigma D'_1. \quad (3.16)$$

By using

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(k(\varphi^\varepsilon))| dx = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)} |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon| dx \leq \sigma \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega) \leq \sigma D'_1,$$

$\|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, and Proposition 6.1, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \{k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta - k(\varphi^\varepsilon)\} dx \right| \leq C_6 \delta, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx \left(\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx - \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) * \eta_\delta dx \right) \right| \leq C_6 \delta, \quad (3.18)$$

where $C_6 > 0$ depends only on D'_1 and $\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)$. Set $\delta = \frac{\omega^2}{4C_6 \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)}$. By (1.9), (3.1), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18), there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ depending only on α , D'_1 , $\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)$, and ω such that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \\ & \geq \frac{4}{9} \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx \right)^2 - \varepsilon \sigma D'_1 - 2C_6 \delta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \left(\omega^2 - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (D'_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \varepsilon \sigma D'_1 - 2C_6 \delta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \omega^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

holds for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$, where we used $(\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi_0^\varepsilon) dx)^2 - (\int_{\Omega} k(\varphi^\varepsilon) dx)^2 \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (D'_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by (1.9). From (3.8), (3.9), (3.14), and (3.19), we obtain (3.10) and (3.11). \square

Remark 3.7. For the classical solution to the volume preserving mean curvature flow, we can obtain a similar estimate for the non-local term (see Proposition 6.2).

We define the discrepancy measure ξ_t^ε on Ω by

$$\xi_t^\varepsilon(\phi) := \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2}{2} - \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon} \right) dx, \quad \phi \in C_c(\Omega). \quad (3.20)$$

In addition, we denote

$$\xi_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|^2}{2} - \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon}.$$

Proposition 3.3 implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Assume (3.3). Then $\xi_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq 0$ for any $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty)$. In addition, ξ_t^ε is a non-positive measure for any $t \geq 0$.

We denote the backward heat kernel $\rho = \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t)$ by

$$\rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(s-t))^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(s-t)}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0 \leq t < s.$$

With exactly the same proof as in [36, p. 2028], we obtain the following estimates similar to the monotonicity formula obtained by Huisken [17] (for convenience, we call the following as the monotonicity formula).

Proposition 3.9 (See [36]). Let $\xi_\varepsilon(x, 0) \leq 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$. Assume (3.3). Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\xi_t^\varepsilon(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^\varepsilon)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \quad (3.21)$$

holds for any $0 \leq t < s < \infty$ and for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here, μ_t^ε and ξ_t^ε are extended periodically to \mathbb{R}^d . In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_2} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_1} \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 dt} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_1} \right) e^{C_3(t_2-t_1+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \infty$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)$.

Remark 3.10. Ilmanen [20] proved the monotone decreasing of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x)$ with respect to t , for the solution to the Allen–Cahn equation without the non-local term under suitable assumptions. In general, one can show that the Brakke flow with smooth initial data has unit density for a short time by using the monotonicity formula (see [38]). However, in order to show a similar conclusion for our problem, it is necessary that $\mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) - \mu_t^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is small enough, due to (3.10) (see Lemma 5.3 below).

As a corollary of the monotonicity formula, we can obtain the following upper bounds of the densities of μ_t^ε .

Corollary 3.11 (See [20, 35]). There exists $0 < D_2 < \infty$ depending only on d , C_3 , D_1 , and T such that

$$\mu_t^\varepsilon(B_R(y)) \leq D_2 R^{d-1} \quad (3.23)$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $R \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)$, and $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Using the same calculation as (6.10) below, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, 0) d\mu_0^\varepsilon \leq \frac{D_1 \omega_{d-1}}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk = D_1 \quad (3.24)$$

for any $s > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where we used $\int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk = \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + 1) = \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}/\omega_{d-1}$. By (3.22) and (3.24),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon \leq D_1 e^{C_3(T+1)} \quad (3.25)$$

for any $t \in [0, T)$ with $0 < t < s$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Set $R = 2\sqrt{s-t}$. We compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} R^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{R^2}} d\mu_t^\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} R^{d-1}} \int_{B_R(y)} e^{-1} d\mu_t^\varepsilon. \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore we have (3.23) by (3.25) and (3.26). \square

By the integration by parts, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.12. For any nonnegative test function $\phi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$, there exists $C_7 > 0$ depends only on D_1 , $\|\phi\|_{C^2(\Omega)}$, ω , and d such that

$$\int_0^T \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mu_t^\varepsilon(\phi) \right| dt \leq C_7(1+T) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1) \text{ and } T > 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. By the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \phi (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx + \lambda^\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \phi \sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)} \varphi_t^\varepsilon dx - \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \varphi_t^\varepsilon dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \phi \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi \frac{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} \varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \phi (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx \\ & \leq C \sigma D_1 \left(1 + |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $\|\phi\|_{C^2(\Omega)}$. Note that there exists $c = c(d) > 0$ such that $\sup \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} \leq c(d) \|\nabla^2 \phi\|_{C^0(\Omega)}$ by Cauchy's mean-value theorem. Thus (3.8), (3.11), and (3.29) imply (3.27). \square

With an argument similar to [20], we can show the following proposition by using (3.27).

Proposition 3.13. There exist a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a family of Radon measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$\mu_t^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \rightarrow \mu_t \quad \text{as Radon measures on } \Omega \quad (3.30)$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ and for any $d \geq 2$. In addition, there exists a countable set $B \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\mu_t(\Omega)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty) \setminus B$.

Proof. Let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C_c(\Omega)$ be a dense subset with $\phi_k \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ for any k , and for any $x \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$ and $r \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi_k \in C_c^2(B_r(x))$. Let $f_k^i(t) = \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi_k) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{d}{ds} \mu_s^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi_k) \right)_+ ds$ and $g_k^i(t) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{d}{ds} \mu_s^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi_k) \right)_- ds$. Then

$$\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi_k) = f_k^i(t) - g_k^i(t),$$

and $f_k^i(t)$ and $g_k^i(t)$ are non decreasing functions with

$$0 \leq f_k^i(t) \leq C_k \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g_k^i(t) \leq C_k$$

for any i and $t \in [0, T)$, where $C_k > 0$ depends only on D_1 , $\|\phi_k\|_{C^2(\Omega)}$, ω , and d , by (3.27). Then Helly's selection theorem implies that there exist a subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ (denoted by the same index), $f_k, g_k : [0, T) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_k^i(t) = f_k(t)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_k^i(t) = g_k(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T)$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi_k) = f_k(t) - g_k(t) \quad (3.31)$$

for any $t \in [0, T)$. By this and the diagonal argument, we can choose a subsequence such that (3.31) holds for any $t \in [0, T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, for any $t \in [0, T)$, the compactness of Radon measures yields that there exist μ_t and a subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ (depending on t) such that $\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \mu_t$ as Radon measures. However, μ_t is uniquely determined by (3.31). Hence we obtain (3.30) for any $t \in [0, T)$. By the diagonal argument with $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have (3.30) for any $t \in [0, \infty)$.

From the similar argument as above, there exists monotone increasing functions f and g such that $\mu_t(\Omega) = f(t) - g(t)$. By the monotonicity, there exists a countable set B such that f and g are continuous on $[0, \infty) \setminus B$. This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.14. Let B be the countable set given by Proposition 3.13. For any $t, s \in [0, \infty) \setminus B$ with $t < s$, we have $\mu_s(\Omega) \leq \mu_t(\Omega)$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.13, we may assume that $\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mu_t(\Omega)$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$. By (1.7) and $E^{\varepsilon_i}(t) \leq E_S^{\varepsilon_i}(0) \leq D_1$, Helly's selection theorem yields that there exist a subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ (denoted by the same index) and a monotone decreasing function $E(t)$ such that $E^{\varepsilon_i}(t) \rightarrow E(t)$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$. For any $T > 0$, the estimate (3.11) and Fatou's lemma imply

$$\int_0^T \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} E_P^{\varepsilon_i}(t) dt \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T E_P^{\varepsilon_i}(t) dt = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \frac{\varepsilon_i^\alpha}{2} |\lambda^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dt = 0.$$

Therefore $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} E_P^{\varepsilon_i}(t) = 0$ a.e. $t \geq 0$ and hence $E(t) = \sigma \mu_t(\Omega)$ for a.e. $t \geq 0$. By this, the monotonicity of $E(t)$, and the continuity of $\mu_t(\Omega)$ on $[0, \infty) \setminus B$, we obtain the claim. \square

We define a Radon measure μ on $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ by $d\mu := d\mu_t dt$. By the boundedness of $\sup_i \mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\Omega)$, the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi d\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} dt = \int_{\Omega \times [0, T)} \phi d\mu \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, T)).$$

For measures μ and μ_t , we have the following property.

Proposition 3.15. There exists a countable set $\tilde{B} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\text{spt } \mu_t \subset \{x \in \Omega \mid (x, t) \in \text{spt } \mu\} \quad (3.32)$$

for any $t \in (0, \infty) \setminus \tilde{B}$.

Proof. Let f_k and g_k be monotone increase functions given by Proposition 3.13. Then there exists a countable set \tilde{B} such that f_k and g_k are continuous on $[0, \infty) \setminus \tilde{B}$ for any k . Suppose that there exists $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \setminus \tilde{B}$ such that $x \in \text{spt } \mu_{t_0}$ and $(x, t_0) \notin \text{spt } \mu$. Then we may assume that there exists k such that $x \in \text{spt } \phi_k$ and $\mu(\phi_k \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)) = 0$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, where ϕ_k is a function given by Proposition 3.13. From $x \in \text{spt } \mu_{t_0}$, $\mu_{t_0}(\phi_k) > 0$ and there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that $\mu_t(\phi_k) > 0$ for any $t \in (t_0 - \delta', t_0 + \delta')$ by the continuity of f_k and g_k . However, this contradicts $\mu(\phi_k \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)) = 0$. Therefore we obtain (3.32) for $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus \tilde{B}$. \square

3.4. Integrability of μ_t for $d \leq 3$. In the case of $d \leq 3$, we can use the results of [32]. For $d \geq 4$, we employ the arguments of [20, 28, 38] in Section 4 below.

Theorem 3.16. Assume that $d = 2$ or 3 and (3.30). Then μ_t is integral for a.e. $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The estimates (3.8), (3.9), and (3.11) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \left(\Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^2 dx dt &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\varphi_t^\varepsilon)^2 dx dt + \int_0^T |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx dt \\ &\leq \sigma \mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) + 2D_1 C_3 (1+T) \leq \sigma D_1 + 2D_1 C_3 (1+T) \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

for any $T > 0$. Then Fatou's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx dt \\ \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx < \infty \quad \text{for a.e. } t \geq 0.$$

By this, $2 \leq d \leq 3$, and (3.9), μ_t is integral for a.e. $t \geq 0$ (see [32, Theorem 5.1]). \square

4. RECTIFIABILITY AND INTEGRALITY OF μ_t

We already proved the rectifiability and integrality of μ_t with $d \leq 3$ in Theorem 3.16. Next we consider the case of $d \geq 2$ and basically follow [20, 28, 38].

4.1. Assumptions. We assume (2.9) and (3.1)–(3.3) again in this section. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence such that $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the weak compactness of the Radon measures and Proposition 3.13, we may assume that there exist Radon measures μ , $|\xi|$ and a family of Radon measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that

$$\mu(\phi) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi) dt, \quad |\xi|(\phi) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \sigma^{-1} |\xi_{\varepsilon_i}| \phi dx dt, \quad \phi \in C_c(\Omega \times (0, T))$$

and

$$\mu_t(\phi) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi), \quad \phi \in C_c(\Omega), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Remark 4.1. In the discussion above, we proved that there exists $\mu_t = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_t^\varepsilon$ for any $t \geq 0$, however such a property does not necessarily hold for ξ_t^ε .

By the standard PDE theories and the rescaling arguments, we obtain the following lemma. The proof is almost the same as [38, Lemma 4.1]. So, we skip this.

Lemma 4.2. There exists $C_8 > 0$ depending only on d and C_1 such that

$$\sup_{\Omega \times [0, T]} \varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon| + \sup_{x, y \in \Omega, t \in [0, T]} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t) - \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(y, t)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_8 \quad (4.1)$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

4.2. **Vanishing of ξ .** First we show $|\xi| = 0$ for any $d \geq 2$.

Lemma 4.3. Assume $(x', t') \in \text{spt } \mu$ and $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist a sequence $\{(x_j, t_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $|(x_j, t_j) - (x', t')| < \frac{1}{j}$ and $|\varphi^{\varepsilon_{i_j}}(x_j, t_j)| < \alpha_1$ for all j .

Proof. Define $Q_r = \overline{B_r(x') \times (t' - r, t' + r)}$ for $r > 0$. If the claim is not true, then there are $r > 0$ and $N > 1$ such that $\inf_{Q_r} |\varphi^{\varepsilon_i}| \geq \alpha_1$ for any $i > N$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\inf_{Q_r} \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \geq \alpha_1$ for any $i > N$. For $s \in [\alpha_1, 1)$, we have $W(s) = \frac{1}{4s} W'(s)(s^2 - 1) \leq \frac{1}{4\alpha_1} W'(s)(s^2 - 1)$. Assume that $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_r(x'))$ satisfies $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi = 1$ on $B_{r/2}(x')$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} dx dt &\leq \frac{1}{4\alpha_1} \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\alpha_1} \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \left(-\varphi_t^\varepsilon + \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon + \lambda^\varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon} \right) ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\left| \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \varphi_t^\varepsilon ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) dx dt \right| = \left| \int_{t'-r}^{t'+r} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_r(x')} \phi^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} (\varphi^\varepsilon)^3 - \varphi^\varepsilon \right) dx dt \right| \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on r . Here we used $\|\varphi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$. By $\inf_{Q_r} \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \geq \alpha_1$, the integration by parts, and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) dx dt &= \int_{Q_r} -2\phi(\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon)((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) - 2\phi^2 |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 \varphi^\varepsilon dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{Q_r} \alpha_1 \phi^2 |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} |\nabla \phi|^2 ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1)^2 - 2\phi^2 |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 \alpha_1 dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \int_{Q_r} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx dt \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on α_1 , r , and $\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty}$. By $\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) = -2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \lambda^\varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}}{\varepsilon} ((\varphi^\varepsilon)^2 - 1) dx dt \right| &\leq \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 |\lambda^\varepsilon| \frac{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx dt \\ &\leq \int_{t'-r}^{t'+r} |\lambda^\varepsilon| \int_{B_r(x')} \frac{2W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx dt \\ &\leq 2\sigma D_1 \sqrt{2r} \left(\int_{t'-r}^{t'+r} |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\sigma D_1 \sqrt{2r} C_3^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+t')^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists $C > 0$ depending only on α_1 , r , C_3 , $\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty}$, t' , and D_1 such that

$$\int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} dx dt \leq C.$$

By (3.6), $\mu_t^\varepsilon(B_{r/2}(x')) \leq 2\sigma^{-1} \int_{B_{r/2}(x')} \frac{W}{\varepsilon} dx$. Thus

$$\int_{t'-r}^{t'+r} \mu_t^\varepsilon(B_{r/2}(x')) dt \leq 2\sigma^{-1} \int_{Q_r} \phi^2 \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx dt \leq 2\sigma^{-1} \varepsilon C,$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on α_1 , r , C_3 , $\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty}$, t' , and D_1 . However, this implies $(x', t') \notin \text{spt } \mu$. This is a contradiction. \square

Set

$$\rho_y^r(x) := \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2r^2}}, \quad r > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.2)$$

Note that $\rho_{(y,s)}(x,t) = \rho_y^r(x) = \rho_x^r(y)$ for $r = \sqrt{2(s-t)}$.

Lemma 4.4. There exist $\gamma_1, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in (0, 1)$ depending only on d, W, T, D_2 , and C_3 such that the following hold. For $t, s \in [0, T/2]$ with $0 < s - t \leq \eta_1$, we denote $r = \sqrt{2(s-t)}$ and $t' = s + r^2/2$. If $x \in \Omega$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_x^r(y) d\mu_s(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x,t')}(y, s) d\mu_s(y) < \eta_2, \quad (4.3)$$

then $(B_{\gamma_1 r}(x) \times \{t'\}) \cap \text{spt } \mu = \emptyset$.

Proof. First we remark that $0 \leq t < s < t' < T$, $s = \frac{t+t'}{2}$, and $r = \sqrt{2(s-t)} = \sqrt{2(t'-s)}$. Assume that $x \in \Omega$ satisfies (4.3), $(x', t') \in \text{spt } \mu$, and $x' \in B_{\gamma_1 r}(x)$. We choose γ_1, η_1 , and η_2 later. Let $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ be a constant. By Lemma 4.3, there exist a sequence $\{(x_j, t_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a subsequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_j, t_j) = (x', t')$ and $|\varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(x_j, t_j)| < \alpha_1$ for all j . Then we may assume that for $\alpha' = (\alpha_1 + 1)/2 > \alpha_1$, there exists $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(W, \alpha_1) > 0$ such that $\frac{W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(y, t_j))}{\varepsilon_j} \geq \frac{W(\alpha')}{\varepsilon_j}$ for any j and for any $y \in B_{\gamma_2 \varepsilon_j}(x_j)$, because $W(\alpha_1) > W(\alpha')$ and

$$|\varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(y, t_j) - \varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(x_j, t_j)| \leq \sup_{z \in \Omega} \|\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(z, t_j)\| |y - x_j| \leq \varepsilon_j^{-1} W(0) |y - x_j| \leq W(0) \gamma_2$$

for any $y \in B_{\gamma_2 \varepsilon_j}(x_j)$, where we used (3.6). Thus, there exists $\eta_3 = \eta_3(d, \gamma_2) > 0$ such that

$$\eta_3 \leq \int_{B_{\gamma_2 \varepsilon_j}(x_j)} \frac{W(\alpha')}{\varepsilon_j} \rho_{(x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2)}(y, t_j) dy \leq \int_{B_{\gamma_2 \varepsilon_j}(x_j)} \frac{W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_j}(y, t_j))}{\varepsilon_j} \rho_{(x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2)}(y, t_j) dy.$$

Here we used

$$\inf_{y \in B_{\gamma_2 \varepsilon_j}(x_j)} \rho_{x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2}(y, t_j) > C_9 \varepsilon_j^{1-d} > 0,$$

where $C_9 > 0$ depends only on d and γ_2 . By the monotonicity formula, we have

$$\eta_3 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2)}(y, t_j) d\mu_{t_j}^{\varepsilon_j}(y) \leq e^{C_3(T+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2)}(y, s) d\mu_s^{\varepsilon_j}(y).$$

Choose $\eta_2 = \eta_2(d, \gamma_2, T, C_3) > 0$ such that

$$2\eta_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_j, t_j + \varepsilon_j^2)}(y, s) d\mu_s^{\varepsilon_j}(y)$$

and letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$2\eta_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x', t')}(y, s) d\mu_s(y). \quad (4.4)$$

Changing the center of the backward heat kernel by using (6.8), we have

$$\eta_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x, t')}(y, s) d\mu_s(y)$$

when $|x - x'| \leq \gamma_1 r$. Here γ_1 depends only on η_2 and D_2 . This is a contradiction to (4.3). Therefore $(x', t') \notin \text{spt } \mu$. \square

We can also show the following using the estimate (4.4).

Lemma 4.5. There exists $C_{10} > 0$ depending only on d, T, C_3 , and D_2 such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\text{spt } \mu_t \cap U) \leq C_{10} \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \mu_{t-r^2}(U) \quad (4.5)$$

for any $t \in (0, T) \setminus \tilde{B}$ and for any open set $U \subset \Omega$, where \tilde{B} is the countable set given by Proposition 3.15.

Proof. We need only prove (4.5) for any compact set $K \subset U$. Let $X_t := \{x \in K \mid (x, t) \in \text{spt } \mu\}$ with $t \in (0, T) \setminus \tilde{B}$. For any $x \in X_t$, by (4.4), we have

$$2\eta_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x,t)}(y, t - r^2) d\mu_{t-r^2}(y).$$

for sufficiently small $r > 0$. By (6.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x,t)}(y, t - r^2) d\mu_{t-r^2}(y) \\ & \leq \int_{B_{Lr}(x)} \rho_{(x,t)}(y, t - r^2) d\mu_{t-r^2}(y) + 2^{d-1} e^{-\frac{3L^2}{8}} D_2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $L > 0$. Therefore for sufficiently large $L > 0$ depending only on d, γ_2, T, C_3 , and D_2 , we have

$$\eta_2 \leq \int_{B_{Lr}(x)} \rho_{(x,t)}(y, t - r^2) d\mu_{t-r^2}(y) \leq (4\pi)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{1-d} \mu_{t-r^2}(B_{Lr}(x)),$$

where we used $\rho_{(x,t)}(y, t - r^2) \leq (4\pi)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} r^{1-d}$. Hence there exists $C_{11} > 0$ depending only on d, γ_2, T, C_3 , and D_2 such that

$$\omega_{d-1} r^{d-1} \leq C_{11} \mu_{t-r^2}(B_{Lr}(x)) \quad (4.6)$$

holds for any sufficiently small $r > 0$. Set $\mathcal{B} := \{\overline{B}_{Lr}(x) \subset U \mid x \in X_t\}$. By the Besicovitch covering theorem, there exists a finite sub-collection $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{N(d)}$ such that each \mathcal{B}_i is a family of the disjoint closed balls and

$$X_t \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N(d)} \cup_{\overline{B}_{Lr}(x_j) \in \mathcal{B}_i} \overline{B}_{Lr}(x_j). \quad (4.7)$$

Let \mathcal{H}_δ^{d-1} be defined in [11, Chapter 2]. Note that $\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^{d-1}$. By (4.6) and (4.7), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2Lr}^{d-1}(X_t) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{\overline{B}_{Lr}(x_j) \in \mathcal{B}_i} \omega_{d-1} (Lr)^{d-1} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{\overline{B}_{Lr}(x_j) \in \mathcal{B}_i} L^{d-1} C_{11} \mu_{t-r^2}(\overline{B}_{Lr}(x_j)) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N(d)} L^{d-1} C_{11} \mu_{t-r^2}(U) = N(d) L^{d-1} C_{11} \mu_{t-r^2}(U). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \downarrow 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(X_t) \leq N(d) L^{d-1} C_{11} \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \mu_{t-r^2}(U)$. By this and (3.32), we obtain (4.5). \square

By Lemma 4.4, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6 (see [20, 28]). For $T \in [1, \infty)$, let η_2 be a constant as in Lemma 4.4. Set

$$Z_T := \left\{ (x, t) \in \text{spt } \mu \mid 0 \leq t \leq T/2, \limsup_{s \downarrow t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_s(y) \leq \eta_2/2 \right\}.$$

Then $\mu(Z_T) = 0$ holds.

Proof. Let η_1 , η_2 , and γ_1 be constants as in Lemma 4.4. For $\tau \in (0, \eta_1)$, we denote

$$Z^\tau := \left\{ (x, t) \in \text{spt } \mu \mid 0 \leq t \leq T/2, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_s(y) < \eta_2, \text{ for any } s \in (t, t + \tau] \right\}.$$

Let $\{\tau_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence with $\tau_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then $Z_T \subset \cup_{m=1}^\infty Z^{\tau_m}$. Therefore we need only show $\mu(Z^\tau) = 0$ for any $\tau \in (0, \eta_1)$. Set

$$P_\tau(x, t) := \{(x', t') \mid \tau > |t - t'| > \gamma_1^{-2}|x - x'|^2\}, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in [0, T/2).$$

We now show that if $(x, t) \in Z^\tau$, then

$$P_\tau(x, t) \cap Z^\tau = \emptyset. \quad (4.8)$$

Assume that $(x', t') \in P_\tau(x, t) \cap Z^\tau$ for a contradiction. First we consider the case of $t' > t$. Set $s = \frac{t'+t}{2}$ and $r = \sqrt{t' - t} = \sqrt{2(s - t)}$. Since $(x, t) \in Z^\tau$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_x^r(y) d\mu_s(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_s(y) < \eta_2.$$

Therefore Lemma 4.4 yields $(x', t') \notin \text{spt } \mu$, because $x' \in B_{\gamma_1 r}(x)$ by the definition of $P_\tau(x, t)$. This yields a contradiction. In the case of $t' < t$, we can show $(x, t) \notin \text{spt } \mu$ similarly. This is a contradiction. Therefore (4.8) holds.

For $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [\tau/2, T/2]$, we denote

$$Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0} = Z^\tau \cap \left(B_{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{\tau}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \tau/2, t_0 + \tau/2) \right).$$

We can choose a countable set $\{(x_j, t_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $Z^\tau \subset \cup_{j=1}^\infty Z^{\tau, x_j, t_j}$. Thus we need only prove $\mu(Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}) = 0$. Let $P : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a projection such that $P(x, t) = x$. For $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $r \leq \rho$, let $\{\overline{B}_{r/5}(x_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a covering of $P(Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}) \subset B_{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{\tau}}(x_0)$. Then, we may choose a countable covering $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{B}_r(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of $P(Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0})$ with $(x_i, t_i) \in Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}$ for some t_i , by Vitali's covering theorem. Let A be a set of centers of all balls in $\{\overline{B}_r(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Then, by Besicovitch's covering theorem, there exist $N(d)$ and subcollections $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{N(d)} \subset \mathcal{F}$ of disjoint balls such that

$$A \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N(d)} \cup_{B_{k,i} \in \mathcal{F}_k} B_{k,i}. \quad (4.9)$$

Note that \mathcal{F}_k is finite ($\mathcal{F}_k = \{B_{k,1}, \dots, B_{k,n_k}\}$) and

$$\mathcal{L}^d(\cup_{i=1}^{n_k} B_{k,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathcal{L}^d(B_{k,i}) \leq \mathcal{L}^d(\overline{B}_{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{\tau}+\rho}(x_0))$$

since each balls in \mathcal{F}_k are disjoint and $B_{k,i} \subset \overline{B}_{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{\tau}+\rho}(x_0)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \omega_d r^d = \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{B_{k,i} \in \mathcal{F}_k} \mathcal{L}^d(B_{k,i}) \leq N(d) \mathcal{L}^d(\overline{B}_{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{\tau}+\rho}(x_0)) =: N'. \quad (4.10)$$

If $(x, t) \in Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}$, then there exists $B_{k,i} = \overline{B}_r(x_{k,i}) \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for some k and i such that $x \in \overline{B}_{2r}(x_{k,i})$ and $|t_{k,i} - t| \leq \gamma_1^{-1}|x_{k,i} - x|^2 \leq 4\gamma_1^{-1}r^2$ by (4.8) and (4.9) (note that we should change the radius because A is not a covering of Z^{τ, x_0, t_0}). Hence, we have

$$Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N(d)} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} \overline{B}_{2r}(x_{k,i}) \times (t_{k,i} - 4r^2\gamma_1^{-2}, t_{k,i} + 4r^2\gamma_1^{-2})$$

By this, (3.23), and (4.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mu(\overline{B}_{2r}(x_i) \times (t_i - 4r^2\gamma_1^{-2}, t_i + 4r^2\gamma_1^{-2})) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} D_2(2r)^{d-1} \times 8\gamma_1^{-2}r^2 \leq 2^{d+2}\gamma_1^{-2}\omega_d^{-1}N'D_2\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.10). Letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we have $\mu(Z^{\tau, x_0, t_0}) = 0$. Thus $\mu(Z_T) = 0$ holds. \square

Theorem 4.7 (see [20]). We see that $|\xi| = 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_t^{\varepsilon_i}|(\Omega) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. First we show that

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, s)} \frac{\rho_{(y,s)}(x, t)}{s - t} d|\xi|(x, t) \leq C \quad (4.11)$$

for some $C > 0$. By (3.6) and (3.22), integrating (3.21) on $(0, s - \delta)$ with $\delta > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{s-\delta} \frac{1}{2\sigma(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) \left| \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} \right| dx dt \\ &\leq \left(1 + e^{C_3(s+1)} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s-\delta} |\lambda^\varepsilon|^2 dt \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, 0) d\mu_0^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (4.11). Next, integrating (4.11) on $\Omega \times (0, T)$ by $d\mu_s ds$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \left(\int_{\Omega \times (t, T)} \frac{\rho_{(y,s)}(x, t)}{s - t} d\mu_s(y) ds \right) d|\xi|(x, t) \leq CD_1 T,$$

where we used Fubini's theorem. Then this boundedness implies

$$\int_{\Omega \times (t, T)} \frac{\rho_{(y,s)}(x, t)}{s - t} d\mu_s(y) ds < \infty \quad \text{for } |\xi|\text{-a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (4.12)$$

Next we claim

$$a(x, t) := \limsup_{s \downarrow t} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_s(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } |\xi|\text{-a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (4.13)$$

Define $\beta := \log(s - t)$ and

$$h(s) := \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_s(y).$$

Assume that (x, t) satisfies (4.12). Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\log(T-t)} h(t + e^\beta) d\beta < \infty. \quad (4.14)$$

Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a negative monotone decreasing sequence such that

$$\beta_i \downarrow -\infty, \quad 0 < \beta_i - \beta_{i+1} \leq \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad h(t + e^{\beta_i}) \leq \theta.$$

For any $\beta \in (-\infty, \beta_1)$, choose i such that $\beta \in [\beta_i, \beta_{i-1})$ holds. One can check that

$$\sup_{y \in B_{Mr}(x)} \frac{\rho_{(y, t+2e^\beta - e^{\beta_i})}(x, t)}{\rho_{(y, t+e^{\beta_i})}(x, t)} \leq e^{M^2(1-e^{\beta-\beta_i})} \leq e^{M^2(1-e^\theta)} \quad (4.15)$$

for $M > 0$, where $r = \sqrt{2(2e^\beta - e^{\beta_i})}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h(t + e^\beta) &= \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y, t+e^\beta)}(x, t) d\mu_{t+e^\beta}(y) = \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y, t+2e^\beta)}(x, t + e^\beta) d\mu_{t+e^\beta}(y) \\ &\leq e^{C_3(\beta-\beta_i+1)} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y, t+2e^\beta)}(x, t + e^{\beta_i}) d\mu_{t+e^{\beta_i}}(y) \\ &\leq e^{2C_3} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y, t+2e^\beta - e^{\beta_i})}(x, t) d\mu_{t+e^{\beta_i}}(y) \\ &\leq e^{2C_3} \int_{B_{Mr}(x)} \rho_{(y, t+2e^\beta - e^{\beta_i})}(x, t) d\mu_{t+e^{\beta_i}}(y) + e^{2C_3} 2^{d-1} e^{-\frac{3M^2}{8}} D_2 \\ &\leq e^{2C_3} e^{M^2(1-e^\theta)} \int_{B_{Mr}(x)} \rho_{(y, t+e^{\beta_i})}(x, t) d\mu_{t+e^{\beta_i}}(y) + e^{2C_3} 2^{d-1} e^{-\frac{3M^2}{8}} D_2 \\ &\leq e^{2C_3} e^{M^2(1-e^\theta)} \theta + e^{2C_3} 2^{d-1} e^{-\frac{3M^2}{8}} D_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where we used (3.22), (6.9), and

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho_{(y, t+e^{\beta_i})}(x, t) d\mu_{t+e^{\beta_i}}(y) = h(t + e^{\beta_i}) \leq \theta.$$

Thus, for any $\delta > 0$, we can choose $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $M > 0$ such that $h(t + e^\beta) \leq \delta$ for any $\beta < \beta_1$. This proves (4.13). Set

$$A := \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \mid a(x, t) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \mid a(x, t) > 0\}.$$

Then $\Omega \times (0, T) = A \cup B$ and $|\xi|(B) = 0$ by (4.13). Moreover, Lemma 4.6 and (6.9) imply $\mu(A) = 0$ and thus $|\xi|(A) = 0$, because $|\xi|$ is absolute continuous with respect to μ . Therefore $|\xi|(\Omega \times (0, T)) = 0$. The rest of the claim can be shown from the dominated convergence theorem. \square

4.3. Rectifiability. Next we show the rectifiability of μ_t .

Definition 4.8. For $\phi \in C_c(G_{d-1}(\Omega))$, we define $V_t^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{V}_{d-1}(\Omega)$ by

$$V_t^\varepsilon(\phi) := \int_{\Omega \cap \{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)| \neq 0\}} \phi \left(x, I - \frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|} \otimes \frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|} \right) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x). \quad (4.17)$$

Here, φ^ε is a solution to (1.5).

Note that the first variation of V_t^ε is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V_t^\varepsilon(\vec{\phi}) &= \int_{G_{d-1}(\Omega)} \nabla \vec{\phi}(x) \cdot S dV_t^\varepsilon(x, S) \\ &= \int_{\Omega \cap \{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)| \neq 0\}} \nabla \vec{\phi}(x) \cdot \left(I - \frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|} \otimes \frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)|} \right) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\vec{\phi} \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. By the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V_t^\varepsilon(\vec{\phi}) &= \int_{\Omega} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon) \left(\varepsilon \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) dx - \int_{\Omega \cap \{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)| \neq 0\}} \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} \vec{\phi} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega \cap \{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)| \neq 0\}} \nabla \vec{\phi} \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)|} \otimes \frac{\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)}{|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon(x,t)|} \right) \xi_\varepsilon dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Note that the second and third terms of the right hand side converges to 0 for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$ by Theorem 4.7. By (3.8) and (3.11), we have

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx dt \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.16). Thus Fatou's lemma implies

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx < \infty \quad (4.19)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$. Hence, (4.18) and (4.19) show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\delta V_t^{\varepsilon_i}(\vec{\phi})| \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$ and for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\sup |\vec{\phi}| \leq 1$. Let $t \in [0, T) \setminus \tilde{B}$ satisfy (4.20), where \tilde{B} is given by Proposition 3.15. Taking a subsequence $i_j \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a varifold V_t such that $V_t^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \rightarrow V_t$ as Radon measures and δV_t is a Radon measure by (4.20). In addition, Proposition 3.15, Lemma 4.5, and the standard measure theoretic argument imply

$$V_t = V_t \llcorner \{x \in \Omega \mid \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} r^{1-d} \|V_t\|(B_r(x)) > 0\} \times \mathbb{G}(d, d-1).$$

Therefore Allard's rectifiability theorem yields the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. For a.e. $t \geq 0$, μ_t is rectifiable. In addition, for a.e. $t \geq 0$, μ_t has a generalized mean curvature vector $\vec{h}(\cdot, t)$ with

$$\delta V_t(\vec{\phi}) = - \int_{\Omega} \vec{\phi} \cdot h(\cdot, t) d\mu_t = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}) \left(\varepsilon_i \Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i} \right) dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi |\vec{h}|^2 d\mu_t \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_i \phi \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} \right)^2 dx < \infty$$

for any $\phi \in C_c(\Omega; [0, \infty))$ and $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Detailed proof of this is in [20, 38], so we omit it (however, the essential part has already been discussed above).

4.4. Integrality. To prove the integrality, we mainly follow [19, 38, 40]. The propositions that are directly applicable to our problem are in Appendix for readers' convenience. Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a positive sequence with $r_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\varepsilon_i}{r_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Set $u^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \varphi^\varepsilon(x, t)$ and $g^\varepsilon(\tilde{t}) = r\lambda^\varepsilon(t)$ for $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{r}$, $\tilde{t} = \frac{t}{r^2}$, and $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$. Then, u^ε is a solution to

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} u_{\tilde{t}}^\varepsilon = \tilde{\varepsilon} \Delta_{\tilde{x}} u^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} + g^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(u^\varepsilon)}. \quad (4.21)$$

We remark that the monotonicity formula (3.22) and the upper bound of the density (3.23) hold for $d\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}) = \sigma^{-1}(\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}|\nabla_{\tilde{x}} u^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) d\tilde{x}$, because the value

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x)$$

is invariant under this rescaling, and for any $s > 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{s^{d-1}} \int_{B_s(0)} \left(\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}|\nabla_{\tilde{x}} u^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \right) d\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{(sr)^{d-1}} \int_{B_{sr}(0)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon|\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) dx \leq \sigma D_2$$

by (3.23). We subsequently drop $\tilde{\cdot}$ for simplicity. First we consider the energy estimate on $\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \geq 1 - b\}$.

Proposition 4.10 (See [40]). For any $s > 0$ and $a \in (0, T)$, there exist positive constants b and ϵ_2 depending only on D_1, D_2, C_3, a, α , and s such that

$$\int_{\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \geq 1 - b\}} \frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x, t))}{\varepsilon} dx \leq s$$

for all $t \in (a, T)$ whenever $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_2)$.

To prove Proposition 4.10, we prepare following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.11 (See [40]). For any $\delta \in (0, T)$, there exist positive constants C_{12} and ϵ_3 depending only on d, δ, α , and C_1 with the following property. Assume that there exist $(x_0, t_0) \in B_1(0) \times (\delta, T)$ and $\gamma \in (0, \frac{2}{3}]$ such that

$$u^\varepsilon(x_0, t_0) < 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma \quad (\text{or } u^\varepsilon(x_0, t_0) > -1 + \varepsilon^\gamma) \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$1 \leq \tilde{r} := C_{12}\gamma |\log \varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \quad (4.23)$$

Then

$$\inf_{B_{\varepsilon\tilde{r}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}^2, t_0)} u^\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \quad \left(\text{resp.} \quad \sup_{B_{\varepsilon\tilde{r}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}^2, t_0)} u^\varepsilon > -\frac{1}{2} \right)$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_3)$.

Proof. We may assume that $B_{\varepsilon\tilde{r}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}^2, t_0) \subset B_2(0) \times (0, T)$ by (4.23). We consider the rescaling of (4.21) by $\tilde{x} = \frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{t} = \frac{t - t_0}{\varepsilon^2}$. Then we obtain

$$\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}^\varepsilon = \Delta_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{u}^\varepsilon - W'(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \tilde{g}^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)}, \quad (x, t) \in B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0), \quad (4.24)$$

where $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ and $\tilde{g}^\varepsilon(\tilde{t}) = g^\varepsilon(t)$. Note that (1.11) and $\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega) = 1$ yield

$$\|\varepsilon \tilde{g}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \quad (4.25)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let ψ be a function with

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{\tilde{t}} & \geq \Delta_{\tilde{x}}\psi - \frac{1}{10}\psi & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \times (-\infty, 0), \\ \psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) & \geq e^{\frac{|\tilde{x}|+|\tilde{t}|}{C_{13}}} & \text{on } (\mathbb{R}^d \times (-\infty, 0)) \setminus B_1(0, 0), \\ \psi(0, 0) & = 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

for some constant $C_{13} > 0$. For example, $\psi = e^{-\frac{\tilde{t}}{100}-1}e^{\frac{1}{100d}\sqrt{1+|\tilde{x}|^2}}$ satisfies (4.26). Set $\tilde{r} := C_{13}\gamma|\log \varepsilon|$. We may assume that $\tilde{r} \geq 1$ for sufficiently small ε . Note that

$$1 - \varepsilon^\gamma e^{\frac{\tilde{r}}{C_{13}}} = 0. \quad (4.27)$$

The assumption (4.22) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(0, 0) < 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma. \quad (4.28)$$

For a contradiction, we assume that

$$\inf_{B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0)} \tilde{u}^\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.29)$$

Set $\phi^\varepsilon := 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma \psi$. Then (4.26) and (4.28) imply

$$\phi_t^\varepsilon \leq \Delta_{\tilde{x}}\phi^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{10}(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \times (-\infty, 0)$$

and

$$\phi^\varepsilon(0, 0) = 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma \psi(0, 0) = 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma > \tilde{u}^\varepsilon(0, 0). \quad (4.30)$$

Moreover, by $\tilde{r} \geq 1$,

$$\psi \geq e^{\frac{|\tilde{x}|+|\tilde{t}|}{C_{13}}} \geq e^{\frac{\tilde{r}}{C_{13}}} \quad \text{on } \partial(B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0)).$$

Therefore

$$\phi^\varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma \psi \leq 1 - \varepsilon^\gamma e^{\frac{\tilde{r}}{C_{13}}} = 0 < \frac{1}{2} \leq \tilde{u}^\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \partial(B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0)) \quad (4.31)$$

by (4.27) and (4.29). We consider a function $w = \phi^\varepsilon - \tilde{u}^\varepsilon$ on $B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0)$. By (4.30) and (4.31), w attains its positive maximum at an interior point $(x', t') \in B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \times (-\tilde{r}^2, 0)$, and hence $w_{\tilde{t}} - \Delta_{\tilde{x}}w \geq 0$ and $w > 0$ at (x', t') . At (x', t') , we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq w_{\tilde{t}} - \Delta_{\tilde{x}}w \leq \frac{1}{10}(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) + W'(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \tilde{g}^\varepsilon \sqrt{2W(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{1}{10}(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) - 2\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(1 - (\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)^2) - \varepsilon \tilde{g}^\varepsilon(1 - (\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10}(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) + (-1 + \frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha})(1 - (\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10}(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) + \frac{3}{2}(-1 + \frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{1-\alpha})(1 - \phi^\varepsilon) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ε , where we used (4.25) and $1 > \phi^\varepsilon > \tilde{u}^\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}$ at (x', t') . This is a contradiction. The other case can be proved similarly. \square

Lemma 4.12 (See [40]). For any $\delta \in (0, T)$, there exist positive constants C_{14} and ϵ_4 depending only on δ, α, d, C_3 , and D_2 such that the following holds. For $t \in (\delta, T)$ and $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, set

$$Z_{r,t_0} := \left\{ x_0 \in B_1(0) \mid \inf_{B_r(x_0) \times (t_0-r^2, t_0)} |u^\varepsilon| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_4)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^d(Z_{r,t_0}) \leq C_{14}r, \quad \varepsilon \leq r < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.32)$$

Proof. First we claim that there exist some constants ϵ_4 , C_{15} , and C_{16} such that if $x_0 \in Z_{r,t_0}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_4)$ then

$$\sigma \mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(B_{C_{15}r}(x_0)) = \int_{B_{C_{15}r}(x_0)} \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx \Big|_{t=t_0-2r^2} \geq C_{16}r^{d-1}. \quad (4.33)$$

holds for any $r \in [\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2})$. We may assume that $(x_1, t_1) \in B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ with $|u^\varepsilon(x_1, t_1)| < \frac{1}{2}$. By the monotonicity formula (3.22), for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_1} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_0-2r^2} \right) e^{C_3(3r^2+1)}. \quad (4.34)$$

By $|u^\varepsilon(x_1, t_1)| < \frac{1}{2}$, repeating the proof of Lemma 4.4, there exists $\eta = \eta(\alpha, d) > 0$ such that

$$\eta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_1}. \quad (4.35)$$

Then (4.34), (4.35), and (6.9) imply

$$\eta' \leq \int_{B_R(x_1)} \rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(x) \Big|_{t=t_0-2r^2} + 2^{d-1} e^{-\frac{3R^2}{16(t_1 + \varepsilon^2 - t_0 + 2r^2)}} D_2,$$

where $\eta' = \eta'(\alpha, d, C_3) > 0$. By $|t_1 - t_0| < r^2$ and $\varepsilon \leq r$, we have $e^{-\frac{3R^2}{16(t_1 + \varepsilon^2 - t_0 + 2r^2)}} \leq e^{-\frac{3R^2}{64r^2}}$. Thus there exists $\gamma > 0$ depending only on α, d, C_3, D_2 such that

$$\frac{\eta'}{2} \leq \int_{B_{\gamma r}(x_1)} \rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t_0 - 2r^2) d\mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(x).$$

Note that since $t_1 + \varepsilon^2 - (t_0 - 2r^2) \geq 2r^2$ there exists $C > 0$ depending only on d such that

$$\rho_{(x_1, t_1 + \varepsilon^2)}(x, t_0 - 2r^2) \leq \frac{C}{r^{d-1}}.$$

Hence we obtain (4.33) for some C_{15} , and C_{16} . Finally we prove (4.32). The inequality (4.33) yields that there exists $C_{17} > 0$ depending only on α, d, C_3, D_2 such that

$$\mathcal{L}^d(\overline{B}_{C_{15}r}(x_0)) \leq r C_{17} \mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(\overline{B}_{C_{15}r}(x_0)) \quad (4.36)$$

for any $x_0 \in Z_{r,t_0}$ and $r \in [\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2})$. Set $\tilde{r} := C_{15}r$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.6, there exist $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{N(d)}$ such that $N(d)$ depends only on d , $\mathcal{F}_k = \{\overline{B}_{\tilde{r}}(x_{k,1}), \dots, \overline{B}_{\tilde{r}}(x_{k,n_k})\}$ is a family of disjoint closed balls for any k , and

$$Z_{r,t_0} \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N(d)} \cup_{i=1}^{n_k} \overline{B}_{2\tilde{r}}(x_{k,i}), \quad x_{k,i} \in Z_{r,t_0} \quad \text{for any } k \text{ and } i.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^d(Z_{r,t_0}) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathcal{L}^d(\overline{B}_{2\tilde{r}}(x_{k,i})) = 2^d \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathcal{L}^d(\overline{B}_{\tilde{r}}(x_{k,i})) \\ &\leq 2^d \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} r C_{17} \mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(\overline{B}_{\tilde{r}}(x_{k,i})) = 2^d r C_{17} \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(\cup_{i=1}^{n_k} \overline{B}_{\tilde{r}}(x_{k,i})) \\ &\leq 2^d r C_{17} \sum_{k=1}^{N(d)} \mu_{t_0-2r^2}^\varepsilon(B_{1+C_{15}/2}(0)) \leq 2^d r C_{17} N(d) D_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.23), (4.36), and the property that \mathcal{F}_k is a family of disjoint balls. Hence, we obtain (4.32). \square

Proof of Proposition 4.10. First, we restrict $b \in (0, 1)$ to be small enough so that

$$1 - \sqrt{b} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \log \sqrt{b} \leq -1, \quad C_{12} |\log b| \geq 1. \quad (4.37)$$

and restrict ε to be small enough to use lemmas 4.11 and 4.12. We choose a positive integer J such that

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{J+1}}} \in (b, \sqrt{b}]. \quad (4.38)$$

Then, (4.23), (4.37), and (4.38) imply

$$1 \leq C_{12} |\log b| \leq \frac{1}{2^J} C_{12} |\log \varepsilon|. \quad (4.39)$$

Set $t_0 \in (\delta, T)$ and

$$A_j := \left\{ x \in B_1(0) \mid 1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}} \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^j}} \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, J.$$

For $x_0 \in A_j$, we use Lemma 4.11 with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2^j}$. Note that (4.23) holds with $\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2^j} C_{12} |\log \varepsilon|$ by (4.39). Then we obtain

$$\inf_{B_{\varepsilon \tilde{r}}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \varepsilon^2 \tilde{r}^2, t_0)} |u^\varepsilon| < \frac{1}{2}$$

and hence

$$A_j \subset Z_{\varepsilon \tilde{r}, t_0}. \quad (4.40)$$

By (4.23), we have $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon \tilde{r} < \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently small ε . Therefore (4.32) and (4.40) yield

$$\mathcal{L}^d(A_j) \leq \mathcal{L}^d(Z_{\varepsilon \tilde{r}, t_0}) \leq \frac{1}{2^j} C_{12} C_{14} \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \quad (4.41)$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, J$. On the other hand, since $|u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}}$ for any $x \in A_j$, we obtain

$$\frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x, t_0))}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{W(1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}})}{\varepsilon} \leq C_{18} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^j} - 1} \quad (4.42)$$

for some constant C_{18} depending only on W . We define $Y := \{x \in B_1(0) \mid 1 - b \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)| \leq 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\}$. Note that

$$Y \subset \cup_{j=1}^J A_j \quad (4.43)$$

by (4.38). Set $C_{19} = C_{12} C_{14} C_{18}$. Then from (4.38), (4.41), (4.42), and (4.43) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x, t_0))}{\varepsilon} dx &\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{A_j} \frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x, t_0))}{\varepsilon} dx \leq C_{19} |\log \varepsilon| \sum_{j=1}^J 2^{-j} \varepsilon^{2^{-j}} \\ &\leq C_{19} |\log \varepsilon| \int_1^{J+1} 2^{-t} \varepsilon^{2^{-t}} dt = C_{19} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2^{J+1}}} - \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\log 2} \leq C_{19} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\log 2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

where we used that a function $p(t) = 2^{-t} \varepsilon^{2^{-t}}$ satisfies $p'(t) > 0$ for $t \in [1, J+1]$. Note that $2^{-J-1} \log \varepsilon \leq \log \sqrt{b} \leq -1$ by (4.37) and (4.38). Using the same argument above, we can

show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}| \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}\}} \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx \\
& \leq C_{18} \mathcal{L}^d(\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}| \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}\}) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} C_{19} \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

where we used Lemma 4.11 with $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}$. Since $|u^\varepsilon| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}| \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)|\}} \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx \\
& \leq \frac{W(1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}})}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}^d(\{x \in B_1(0) \mid |1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}| \leq |u^\varepsilon(x, t_0)|\}) \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{L}^d(B_1(0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

By (4.44), (4.45), and (4.46), Proposition 4.10 holds for sufficiently small b and ε . \square

Now we prove the integrality of μ_t .

Theorem 4.13. For a.e. $t > 0$, there exist a countably $(d-1)$ -rectifiable set M_t and \mathcal{H}^{d-1} -measurable function $\theta_t : M_t \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\theta_t \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \llcorner M_t)$ such that $\mu_t = \theta_t \mathcal{H}^{d-1} \llcorner M_t$ holds.

Proof. Set $H^\varepsilon := \Delta \varphi^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(\varphi^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}$. Then for a.e. $t_0 > 0$, we can choose a subsequence $\{V_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that $V_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \rightarrow V_{t_0}$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\xi_{\varepsilon_{i_j}}(x, t_0)| dx = 0, \tag{4.47}$$

and

$$c_H(t_0) := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{i_j} |H^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_{i_j}}|(x, t_0) dx < \infty \tag{4.48}$$

hold by Theorem 4.7 and (4.19). Note that V_{t_0} is a countably $(d-1)$ -rectifiable varifold and determined by μ_{t_0} uniquely from Theorem 4.9. We fix such $t_0 > 0$ and show the claim for μ_{t_0} . In this proof, even if we take a subsequence ε_{i_j} , we always write ε_{i_j} by ε_i for simplicity. Set

$$A_{i,m} := \left\{ x \in \Omega \mid \int_{B_r(x)} \varepsilon_i |H^{\varepsilon_i} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}|(x, t_0) dx \leq m \mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}(B_r(x)) \text{ for any } r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}$$

and

$$A_m := \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{there exists } x_i \in A_{i,m} \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x_i \rightarrow x\}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Besicovitch covering theorem implies

$$\mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}(\Omega \setminus A_{i,m}) \leq \frac{c(d)c_H(t_0)}{m}, \tag{4.49}$$

where $c(d) > 0$ is a constant depending only on d . Set $A := \cup_{m=1}^\infty A_m$. Next we prove

$$\mu_{t_0}(\Omega \setminus A) = 0. \tag{4.50}$$

If (4.50) is not true, there exists a compact set $K \subset \Omega \setminus A$ with $\mu_{t_0}(K) > \frac{1}{2} \mu_{t_0}(\Omega \setminus A) > 0$. Since $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$, we have $K \subset \Omega \setminus A_m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $x \in K$, there is a neighborhood $B_r(x)$ such that $B_r(x) \cap A_{i,m} = \emptyset$ for sufficiently large i , by the definition of A_m . This and the compactness of K imply that there exist an open set O_m and $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

such that $K \subset O_m$ and $O_m \cap A_{i,m} = \emptyset$ for any $i \geq i_0$. Let $\phi_m \in C_c(O_m)$ be a nonnegative test function such that $0 \leq \phi_m \leq 1$ and $\phi_m = 1$ on K . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{t_0}(K) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \phi_m d\mu_{t_0} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi_m d\mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus A_{k,m}} \phi_m d\mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i} \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}(\Omega \setminus A_{k,m}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

for any $k \geq i_0$. Combining (4.49) and (4.51), we obtain $\mu_{t_0}(K) = 0$. Therefore we have proved (4.50).

By the rectifiability of μ_{t_0} and (4.50), for μ_{t_0} a.e. $x \in \text{spt } \mu_{t_0}$, it has an approximate tangent space P and $x \in A_m$ for some m . Fix such x . We may assume that $x = 0$ and $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d = 0\}$ by a parallel translation and a rotation. Set $\theta := \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{t_0}(B_r(0))}{\omega_{d-1} r^{d-1}}$. We need only prove $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Phi_r(x) = \frac{x}{r}$ for $r > 0$ and $(\Phi_r)_\# V_{t_0}$ be the usual push forward of the varifold. Then for any positive sequence $r_i \rightarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{r_i})_\# V_{t_0} = \theta |P|$, where $|P|$ is the unit density varifold generated by P . By the assumption $0 \in A_m$, there exists $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $x_i \in A_{i,m}$ and $x_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_i}{r_i} = 0, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{r_i} = 0, \quad (4.52)$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{r_i})_\# V_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i} = \theta |P|. \quad (4.53)$$

Set $u^{\varepsilon_i}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t)$ and $g^{\varepsilon_i}(\tilde{t}) = r_i \lambda^{\varepsilon_i}(t)$ for $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{r_i}$, $\tilde{t} = \frac{t-t_0}{r_i^2}$, and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = \frac{\varepsilon_i}{r_i}$ (another functions $\tilde{\xi}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}$ and $\tilde{H}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}$ are defined in the same way). Note that $\tilde{x}_i := \frac{x_i}{r_i} \rightarrow 0$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i \rightarrow 0$ by (4.52) and u^{ε_i} is a solution to (4.21) with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$ instead of ε . We compute

$$\int_{B_3(0)} |\tilde{\xi}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}(\tilde{x}, 0)| d\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{r_i^{d-1}} \int_{B_{3r_i}(0)} |\xi_{\varepsilon_i}(x, 0)| dx.$$

Thus, by (4.47) we may assume that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_3(0)} |\tilde{\xi}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}(\tilde{x}, 0)| d\tilde{x} = 0, \quad (4.54)$$

passing to a subsequence if necessary. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \int_{B_3(0)} |\tilde{H}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}(\tilde{x}, 0)| d\tilde{x} &= \frac{\varepsilon_i}{r_i^{d-2}} \int_{B_{3r_i}(0)} |H^{\varepsilon_i} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t_0)| dx \leq \frac{m}{r_i^{d-2}} \mu_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}(B_{4r_i}(x_i)) \\ &\leq 4^{d-1} m \omega_{d-1} D_2 r_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

where we used (3.23), (4.52), and $x_i \in A_{i,m}$. Let $\tilde{V}_t^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}$ be a varifold defined by (4.17) with $u^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}$ instead of φ^ε . Then $\tilde{V}_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i} = (\Phi_{r_i})_\# V_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}$. Next we show

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_3(0)} (1 - (\nu_d^i)^2) \tilde{\varepsilon}_i |\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}|^2 d\tilde{x} \Big|_{\tilde{t}=0} = 0, \quad (4.56)$$

where $\nu^i = (\nu_1^i, \nu_2^i, \dots, \nu_d^i) = \frac{\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}}{|\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}|}$. For $S \in \mathbb{G}(d, d-1)$, set $\psi(S) := 1 - \nu_d^2$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be one of the unit normal vectors to S . Then $\psi : \mathbb{G}(d, d-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined, continuous,

and $\psi(P) = 0$. Hence, for any $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\phi\psi \in C_c(G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{V}_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}(\phi\psi) &= \int \phi(\tilde{x})(1 - (\nu_d^i)^2) d\|\tilde{V}_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}\|(\tilde{x}) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_{r_i})_{\#} V_{t_0}^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi\psi) = \theta|P|(\phi\psi) \\ &= \theta \int \phi(\tilde{x})\psi(P) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\tilde{x}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

where we used (4.53) and $\psi(P) = 0$. Thus (4.57) proves (4.56). We subsequently fix the subsequence and drop $\tilde{\cdot}$ and time variable (for example, we write $u^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i}(\tilde{x}, 0)$ as u^{ε_i}) for simplicity. We assume that $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a smallest positive integer greater than θ , namely,

$$\theta \in [N - 1, N). \quad (4.58)$$

Let $s > 0$ be an arbitrary number. Then Proposition 4.10 and (3.6) imply that there exists $b > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\{x \in B_3(0) \mid |u^{\varepsilon_i}(x)| \geq 1-b\}} \frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i} dx \leq s \quad (4.59)$$

for sufficiently large i . Note that we may use Proposition 4.10 with $t = 0$ since $\tilde{t} = \frac{t-t_0}{r_i^2}$ in this proof. For these $s > 0$, $b > 0$, and $c > 0$ given by Lemma 4.2, we choose ϱ and L given by propositions 6.4 and 6.5 in Appendix with $R = 2$ (we may restrict ϱ to be small if necessary). We choose $a = L\varepsilon_i$ as a constant in Proposition 6.4. Set

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &:= B_2(0) \cap \{|u^{\varepsilon_i}| \leq 1-b\} \\ &\cap \left\{ x \mid \int_{B_r(x)} \varepsilon_i |H^{\varepsilon_i} \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| + |\xi_{\varepsilon_i}| + (1 - \nu_d^2) \varepsilon_i |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dx \leq \varrho \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(B_r(x)) \quad \text{if } \varepsilon_i L \leq r \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

for sufficiently large i . The Besicovitch covering theorem, (4.54), (4.55), and (4.56) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}((B_2 \cap \{|u^{\varepsilon_i}| \leq 1-b\}) \setminus G_i) \\ &\leq \frac{c(d)}{\varrho} \int_{B_3(0)} \varepsilon_i |H^{\varepsilon_i} \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| + |\xi_{\varepsilon_i}| + (1 - \nu_d^2) \varepsilon_i |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

Next we show that for sufficiently large i

$$\frac{\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(B_r(x))}{\omega_{d-1} r^{d-1}} \geq 1 - 2s, \quad \text{for any } x \in G_i \text{ and } r \in [L\varepsilon_i, 1] \quad (4.62)$$

Note that all the assumptions in Proposition 6.5 are satisfied by Lemma 4.2, (3.6), and (4.60). Thus we have (4.62) with $r = L\varepsilon_i$. By the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\tau} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau^{d-1}} \int_{B_\tau(x)} e_{\varepsilon_i} dy \right\} + \frac{1}{\tau^d} \int_{B_\tau(x)} (\xi_{\varepsilon_i} + \varepsilon_i H^{\varepsilon_i}(y \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i})) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\tau^{d+1}} \int_{\partial B_\tau(x)} (y \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i})^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma\tau^{d-1}} \int_{B_\tau(x)} e_{\varepsilon_i} dy \Big|_{\tau=L\varepsilon_i}^r &\geq - \int_{L\varepsilon_i}^r \frac{1}{\sigma\tau^d} \int_{B_\tau(x)} \varepsilon_i H^{\varepsilon_i}(y \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}) dy d\tau \\ &\geq - \int_{L\varepsilon_i}^1 \frac{1}{\sigma\tau^d} \int_{B_\tau(x)} \varepsilon_i \tau |H^{\varepsilon_i} \nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| dy d\tau \\ &\geq - \frac{\varrho D_2}{\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.23), (4.60), and $\xi_{\varepsilon_i} \leq 0$. Therefore we obtain (4.62) for sufficiently large i by restricting ϱ to be small. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\phi \in C_c(B_3(0))$ be a nonnegative test function such that $\phi = 1$ on $B_2(0) \cap \{|x_d| > \delta\}$. Then there exists $i_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi) \leq (1 - 2s)\omega_{d-1} \frac{\delta^{d-1}}{2}, \quad \text{for any } i \geq i_0, \quad (4.63)$$

since $\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} = \|V_0^{\varepsilon_i}\| \rightarrow \theta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P$. Assume that $x \in G_i \cap \{|x_d| > 2\delta\}$ for $i \geq i_0$. Then (4.62) and (4.63) imply

$$(1 - 2s)\omega_{d-1}\delta^{d-1} \leq \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(B_{\delta_1}(x)) \leq \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi) \leq (1 - 2s)\omega_{d-1} \frac{\delta^{d-1}}{2}, \quad \text{for any } i \geq i_0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus

$$\text{dist}(P, G_i) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.64)$$

Set $Y := P^{-1}(x) \cap G_i \cap \{x \mid u^{\varepsilon_i}(x) = l\}$ for $x \in P \cap B_1(0)$. Next we show that for sufficiently large i

$$\#Y \leq N - 1, \quad \text{for any } x \in P \cap B_1(0) \text{ and } |l| \leq 1 - b. \quad (4.65)$$

For a contradiction, assume that $\#Y \geq N$ and choose $y_j \in Y$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. We use Proposition 6.4 with $R = 1$, $a = L\varepsilon_i$ and $Y' = \{y_j\}_{j=1}^N$ instead of Y . Note that the smallness of $\text{diam } Y'$ is true from (4.64) and $|y_j - y_k| > 3L\varepsilon_i$ for any $1 \leq j < k \leq N$ holds by (6.14). Then (6.13) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{(L\varepsilon_i)^{d-1}} \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(B_{L\varepsilon_i}(y_j)) \leq s + (1 + s)\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\{z \mid \text{dist}(z, Y') < 1\}) \quad (4.66)$$

for sufficiently large i . By (4.64) and $\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} = \|V_0^{\varepsilon_i}\| \rightarrow \theta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P$,

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\{z \mid \text{dist}(z, Y') < 1\}) \leq \theta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P(\overline{B_1(0)}) = \theta\omega_{d-1}.$$

By this, $\#Y' = N$, (4.62), and (4.66) we have

$$N\omega_{d-1}(1 - 2s) \leq s + (1 + s)\theta\omega_{d-1}.$$

However, this contradicts (4.58) by restricting s to be small. Thus (4.65) holds for sufficiently large i .

Finally, we complete the proof. Set $\hat{V}_0^{\varepsilon_i} := V_0^{\varepsilon_i}|_{\{|x_d| \leq 1\} \times \mathbb{G}(d, d-1)}$. We regard P as a diagonal matrix (p_{jk}) with $p_{kk} = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$ and $p_{dd} = 0$. Then the push-forward of $\hat{V}_0^{\varepsilon_i}$ by P is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\#}\hat{V}_0^{\varepsilon_i}(\phi) &= \int_{\{|x_d| \leq 1\}} \phi(Px, \nabla Px \circ (I - \nu^i \otimes \nu^i)) |\Lambda_{d-1} \nabla Px \circ (I - \nu^i \otimes \nu^i)| d\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} \\ &= \int_{\{|x_d| \leq 1\}} \phi(Px, P \circ (I - \nu^i \otimes \nu^i)) |\nu_d^i| d\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in C_c(P \cap B_2(0) \times \mathbb{G}(d, d-1))$. Here $|\Lambda_{d-1} \nabla P x \circ (I - \nu^i \otimes \nu^i)|$ is the Jacobian and $\nu_d^i = \frac{\partial x_d u^{\varepsilon_i}}{|\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}|}$. Due to (4.53), $P_{\#} \hat{V}_0^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow P_{\#}(\theta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P) = \theta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.54),

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_3(0)} \left| \frac{\varepsilon_i |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i} - \sqrt{2W(u^{\varepsilon_i})} |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| \right| dx = 0 \quad (4.67)$$

holds (see (5.1) below). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{d-1} \theta &= \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_P(B_1(0)) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{\#} \hat{V}_0^{\varepsilon_i}\|(B_1(0)) = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} |\nu_d^i| d\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0) \cap G_i} |\nu_d^i| d\mu_0^{\varepsilon_i} + 2s \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{B_1(0) \cap G_i} |\nu_d^i| \sqrt{2W(u^{\varepsilon_i})} |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| dx + 2s, \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

where we used (4.59), (4.61), and (4.67). By the co-area formula and the area formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_1(0) \cap G_i} |\nu_d^i| \sqrt{2W(u^{\varepsilon_i})} |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}| dx \\ &= \int_{-1+b}^{1-b} \sqrt{2W(\tau)} \int_{B_1(0) \cap G_i \cap \{u^{\varepsilon_i}=\tau\}} |\Lambda_{d-1} \nabla P x \circ (I - \nu^i \otimes \nu^i)| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(x) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-1+b}^{1-b} \sqrt{2W(\tau)} \int_{B_1(0) \cap \{x_d=0\}} \mathcal{H}^0(\{u^{\varepsilon_i}=\tau\} \cap G_i \cap P^{-1}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(x) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{-1+b}^{1-b} \sqrt{2W(\tau)} \int_{B_1(0) \cap \{x_d=0\}} (N-1) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(x) d\tau \\ &\leq \sigma(N-1) \omega_{d-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

where we used (4.65) and $\sigma = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{2W(\tau)} d\tau$. Hence $\theta \leq N-1$ due to (4.68) and (4.69) and the arbitrariness of s . By this and (4.58), $\theta = N-1$. \square

5. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we prove Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 on the existence of the weak solution in the sense of L^2 -flow and distributional BV -solution.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $\{\varphi_0^{\varepsilon_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of functions such that all the claims of Proposition 2.4 are satisfied. Then one can check that all the assumptions in Section 3 and 4 are fulfilled. Therefore (a) holds by propositions 2.4, 3.13, and 3.14. By taking a subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (b) (the proof is standard and is exactly the same as that in [36], so we omit it). By Lemma 3.6 and the weak compactness of $L^2(0, T)$, we may take a subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that (c) holds (for the weak convergence for all $T > 0$, we only need to use the diagonal argument).

Next we show (d). We compute

$$\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon}|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\varphi^{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon} - \sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon})} |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon}| = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon} |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon}|}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{W(\varphi^{\varepsilon})}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \leq |\xi_{\varepsilon}|. \quad (5.1)$$

Set $d\hat{\mu}^{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon})} |\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon}| dx dt$. By (5.1), Proposition 3.13, and Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\hat{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{as Radon measures,} \quad (5.2)$$

where $d\mu := d\mu_t dt$. By (3.8), (3.11), (5.1), and (5.2), we obtain

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |\lambda^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 d\hat{\mu}^{\varepsilon_i} \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |\lambda^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 d\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} dt \leq D_1 C_3 (1 + T).$$

Then there exist $\vec{f} \in (L_{loc}^2(\mu))^d$ and the subsequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} -\lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} dx dt &= \int_{\Omega \times (0, T) \cap \{|\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}| \neq 0\}} -\lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \frac{\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}}{|\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}|} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\hat{\mu}^{\varepsilon_i} \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \vec{f} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [18, Theorem 4.4.2]). Moreover, if $\vec{\phi}$ is smooth, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \vec{f} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} -\lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})} \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \lambda^{\varepsilon_i} k(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i}) \operatorname{div} \vec{\phi} dx dt = \int_0^T \lambda \int_{\Omega} \psi \operatorname{div} \vec{\phi} dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \lambda \int_{\Omega} \vec{\phi} \cdot \nu d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\| dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (c), $k'(s) = \sqrt{2W(s)}$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i}) = \sigma(\psi - \frac{1}{2})$ for a.e. (x, t) , and the dominated convergence theorem. Hence we have (2.10).

Now we prove (e). By replacing $d\hat{\mu}^\varepsilon$ with $d\tilde{\mu}^\varepsilon := \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} |\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon|^2 dx dt$, the convergence (2.11) is obtained in the same way as (d). In addition, for any $\vec{\phi} \in C_c(\Omega \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \vec{v}^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t^{\varepsilon_i} dt = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \vec{v}^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} d\tilde{\mu}^{\varepsilon_i} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} -\varepsilon_i \left(\Delta \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} - \frac{W'(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}{\varepsilon_i^2} + \lambda^{\varepsilon_i} \frac{\sqrt{2W(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i})}}{\varepsilon_i} \right) \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon_i} \cdot \vec{\phi} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\vec{h} + \vec{f}) \cdot \vec{\phi} d\mu_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 4.9 and (d). Thus $\vec{v} = \vec{h} + \vec{f}$. One can check that $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is an L^2 -flow with the generalized velocity vector \vec{v} (see [36, Proposition 4.3] for the inequality (2.1) and [30, Lemma 6.3] for the perpendicularity). \square

To prove Theorem 2.8, we use the following proposition and lemmas. In the original proof of the following proposition, $2 \leq d \leq 3$ is assumed to use the results of [32, Proposition 4.9, Theorem 5.1]. However, we already know that $|\xi| = 0$ and μ_t is integral for a.e. t , so we can show the claim in the same way.

Proposition 5.1 (See Proposition 4.5 of [30]). Let ψ , \vec{v} , and $\vec{\nu}$ are given by Theorem 2.6. Then $\int |\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu}| d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\| dt < \infty$ and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu} d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\| dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi_t \psi dx dt \quad (5.3)$$

for any $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$ and for any $T > 0$.

Proof. Set $\nabla_{x,t} = (\nabla, \partial_t)$ in the sense of BV. One can check that $\|\nabla_{x,t} \psi\| \ll \mu$, $\mu|_{\partial^* \{\psi=1\}}$ is rectifiable, $\int |\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nu}| d\|\nabla \psi(\cdot, t)\| dt < \infty$, and the approximate tangent space coincides with

that of $\|\nabla_{x,t}\psi\|$ for μ -a.e. and $\|\nabla_{x,t}\psi\|$ -a.e. (see [30, Proposition 8.1–8.3] and [2, Proposition 2.85]). By this and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi(\vec{v}, 1) \cdot \vec{v}_{x,t} d\|\nabla_{x,t}\psi\| = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\| dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi \psi_t dx dt$$

for any $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$, where $\vec{v}_{x,t}$ is the inner unit normal vector of $\{(x, t) \mid \psi(x, t) = 1\}$. Therefore we obtain (5.3). \square

Lemma 5.2. Let γ and δ be positive constants with $\delta < \gamma$. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 2.8, there exist $T_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon_5 \in (0, 1)$ depending only on γ , δ , and $C_3(\omega, d, D'_1)$ with the following property. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a smooth even function such that $g(0) = 0$, $0 \leq g''(s) \leq 2$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g(s) = |s| - \frac{1}{2}$ if $|s| \geq 1$. Set $g^\delta(s) := \delta g(s/\delta)$ and define $\tilde{r}^{\epsilon, \delta} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty))$ by

$$\tilde{r}^{\epsilon, \delta}(x, t) := g^\delta(x_1) + \int_0^t \lambda^\epsilon(\tau) d\tau + 2\delta^{-1}t - \gamma,$$

where λ^ϵ is given by (1.6). Set $\tilde{\phi}^{\epsilon, \delta} := q^\epsilon(\tilde{r}^{\epsilon, \delta})$ and assume that $\tilde{\phi}^\epsilon(x, 0) \geq \varphi_0^\epsilon(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\tilde{\phi}^{\epsilon, \delta} \geq \varphi^\epsilon \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T_2) \quad (5.4)$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_5)$.

Proof. We denote $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}^{\epsilon, \delta}$ for simplicity. By (3.7) and the comparison principle, we need only prove

$$\tilde{r}_t \geq \Delta \tilde{r} - \frac{2q^\epsilon(\tilde{r})}{\epsilon} (|\nabla \tilde{r}|^2 - 1) + \lambda^\epsilon \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T_2) \quad (5.5)$$

for sufficiently small $T_2 > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, since $\tilde{\phi}^{\epsilon, \delta} \geq \varphi^\epsilon$ if and only if $\tilde{r} \geq r^\epsilon$. In the case of $|x_1| \geq \delta$, (5.5) holds by $\tilde{r}_t = 2\delta^{-1} + \lambda^\epsilon$, $|\nabla \tilde{r}| = 1$, and $\Delta \tilde{r} = 0$. Next we consider the case of $|x_1| \leq \delta$. Set $O_\delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x_1| \leq \delta\}$. By $\tilde{r}(x, 0) \leq -\gamma + \frac{\delta}{2}$ on O_δ and

$$|\tilde{r}(x, t) - \tilde{r}(x, 0)| \leq \int_0^t |\lambda^\epsilon(\tau)| d\tau + 2\delta^{-1}t \leq \sqrt{C_3(1+t)}\sqrt{t} + 2\delta^{-1}t,$$

there exists $T_2 > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{r}(x, t) \leq -\frac{\gamma}{4} < 0 \quad \text{for any } (x, t) \in O_\delta \times [0, T_2). \quad (5.6)$$

By (5.6) and $|\nabla \tilde{r}| \leq 1$, $\frac{2q^\epsilon(\tilde{r})}{\epsilon} (|\nabla \tilde{r}|^2 - 1) \geq 0$. By using this, for any $(x, t) \in O_\delta \times [0, T_2)$,

$$\tilde{r}_t - \Delta \tilde{r} + \frac{2q^\epsilon(\tilde{r})}{\epsilon} (|\nabla \tilde{r}|^2 - 1) - \lambda^\epsilon \geq 2\delta^{-1} - \Delta \tilde{r} \geq 0, \quad (5.7)$$

where we used $\Delta \tilde{r} \leq \delta^{-1}g''(x_1/\delta) \leq 2\delta^{-1}$. Therefore we obtain (5.5). \square

Lemma 5.3. Let $r \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$. Then there exists $T_3 > 0$ depending only on d and r with the following property. Let $U_0 \subset \subset (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})^d$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}^d(U_0) = \mathcal{L}^d(B_r(0))$ and has a C^1 boundary M_0 with (2.12) for $\delta_1 > 0$. In addition, we assume $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial B_r(0))$. Then we have

$$0 \leq \mu_0(\Omega) - \mu_t(\Omega) \leq \delta_1 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T_3), \quad (5.8)$$

where μ_t is a weak solution to (1.1) with initial data M_0 .

Proof. First we claim that there exists $T_3 > 0$ depending only on d and r such that

$$U_t = \{x \in (0, 1)^d \mid \psi(x, t) = 1\} \subset \left(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{9}{10}\right)^d \quad (5.9)$$

for any $t \in [0, T_3]$, where $\psi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\varepsilon_i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^{\varepsilon_i} + 1)$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}^{\varepsilon, \delta}$ be a function given by Lemma 5.2 with $\gamma = \frac{1}{10}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{20}$. By (5.4) and (5.6), one can check that there exists $T_3 = T_3(C_3(\omega, d, D'_1)) > 0$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t) = -1$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x_1| \leq \frac{1}{10}\}$ for any $t \in [0, T_3]$. Note that ω and D'_1 depend only on r by $\mathcal{L}^d(U_0) = \mathcal{L}^d(B_r(0))$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial B_r(0))$. Hence T_3 depends only on d and r . Therefore $U_t \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x_1| \leq \frac{1}{10}\} = \emptyset$ for any $t \in [0, T_3]$. Similarly we have (5.9). Thus $\partial^*(U_t \cap (0, 1)^d) = \partial^*U_t$ for any $t \in [0, T_3]$. Hence, by using the isoperimetric inequality for Caccioppoli sets (see [12, 39]), and (b3) and (b4) of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$d\omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_0))^{\frac{d-1}{d}} = d\omega_d^{\frac{1}{d}}(\mathcal{L}^d(U_t))^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^*U_t) \leq \mu_t(\Omega) \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T_3]. \quad (5.10)$$

By (2.12) and (5.10), we obtain (5.8). \square

Finally we prove Theorem 2.8.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. First we show (a). From (3.10),

$$\int_0^T |\lambda^\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt \leq C_2(\mu_0^\varepsilon(\Omega) - \mu_T^\varepsilon(\Omega) + T)$$

for any $T > 0$ and for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1)$. By this and (5.8), we can choose $\delta_1 = \delta_1(C_2(\omega, d, D'_1)) > 0$ so that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_4} |\lambda^{\varepsilon_i}|^2 dt} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{\frac{1}{2} C_2 \delta_1} e^{\frac{1}{2} C_2 T_4} \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad (5.11)$$

where $T_4 = T_4(d, r) = \min\{T_3, \frac{2}{C_2} \log \frac{100}{99}\} > 0$ and δ_1 also depends only on d and r since $\mathcal{L}^d(U_0) = \mathcal{L}^d(B_r(0))$ and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) \leq 2\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial B_r(0))$. Then (3.22) and (5.11) imply

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, t) d\mu_t(x) \leq \frac{10}{9} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, 0) d\mu_0(x) \quad (5.12)$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in [0, T_4]$, and $s > 0$ with $0 \leq t < s$. Recall that $\rho_{(y,s)}(x, 0)$ converges to $(d-1)$ -dimensional delta function at y as $s \downarrow 0$. Therefore, since M_0 is C^1 , we may assume that there exists $s_0 > 0$ depending only on M_0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(y,s)}(x, 0) d\mu_0 \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad (5.13)$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in (0, s_0)$. Set $T_1 = T_1(d, r, M_0) := \min\{T_4, s_0\}$. Let $t_0 \in (0, T_1)$ be a number such that μ_{t_0} is integral. Then there exist a countably $(d-1)$ -rectifiable set M_{t_0} and $\theta_{t_0} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_{t_0}})$ such that $\mu_{t_0} = \theta_{t_0} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{M_{t_0}}$. Assume that there exist $x_0 \in M_{t_0}$ and $N \geq 2$ such that M_{t_0} has an approximate tangent space at x_0 and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{t_0}(B_r(x_0))}{\omega_{d-1} r^{d-1}} = \theta_{t_0}(x_0) = N$. Set $r = \sqrt{2(s - t_0)}$ for $t_0 < s < T_1$. Using the same calculation as (6.10), for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_0,s)}(x, t) d\mu_{t_0} &\geq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_\delta^1 \mu_{t_0}(B_{\sqrt{2r^2 \log \frac{1}{k}}}(x_0)) dk \\ &\rightarrow \frac{N\omega_{d-1}}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_\delta^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \ (s \downarrow t_0). \end{aligned}$$

By this and $\int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk = \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2} + 1\right) = \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}/\omega_{d-1}$, we have

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{(x_0, s)}(x, t) d\mu_{t_0} = N.$$

Then we have a contradiction by (5.12) and (5.13). Hence we obtain (a).

The claim (b1) and (b2) are clear and (b3) is also obvious by Remark 2.7 and $\mu_t = \|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\|$ for a.e. $t \in (0, T_1)$. By (5.1), we have (b4).

Next we prove (b5). By (5.3), for any $\phi \in C_c^1((0, T))$, we compute

$$\int_0^{T_1} \phi \int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\| dt = \int_0^{T_1} \phi_t \int_{\Omega} \psi dx dt = 0,$$

where we used (b3) of Theorem 2.6. Thus $\int_{\Omega} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} d\|\nabla\psi(\cdot, t)\| = 0$ for a.e. $t \in (0, T_1)$.

Now we prove (b6). Set $d\nu := d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}|_{\partial^* U_t} dt$. Since the space $C_c(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^2(\nu)$, for any $\eta \in C_c((0, T_1))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{T_1} \int_{\partial^* U_t} \{\vec{v} - \vec{h} + \lambda \vec{v}\} \cdot \vec{v} \eta d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^{T_1} \left(- \int_{\partial^* U_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{v} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} + \lambda \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial^* U_t) \right) \eta dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (b3) and (b5). Hence we obtain (b6). \square

6. APPENDIX

Proposition 6.1 (See Lemma 3.24 in [2]). Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set. Assume that $u \in BV(U)$ and $K \subset U$ is a compact set. Then

$$\int_K |u * \eta_\delta - u| dx \leq \delta \|\nabla u\|(U)$$

for all $\delta \in (0, \text{dist}(K, \partial U))$, where η_δ is the standard mollifier defined in Section 3.

As in Lemma 3.6, we can obtain the following estimate for the classical solution to the volume preserving mean curvature flow.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ and $U_t \subset \Omega$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary M_t for any $t \in [0, T)$ and $0 < \mathcal{L}^d(U_0) < \mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)$. Assume that $\{M_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$ is the volume preserving mean curvature flow. Then there exists $C_\lambda > 0$ depending only on d , $\mathcal{L}^d(U_0)$, and $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0)$ such that

$$\int_0^s |\lambda(t)|^2 dt \leq C_\lambda(1 + s), \quad s \in (0, T), \quad (6.1)$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t)} \int_{M_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{v} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$.

Proof. Let $\vec{\zeta} : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth periodic function. By (1.1), (1.2), the divergence theorem, and the property of the mean curvature, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t) = - \int_{M_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{v} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = - \int_{M_t} |\vec{v}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \leq 0 \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\zeta} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} &= \int_{M_t} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\zeta} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} - \lambda \int_{M_t} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\zeta} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \\ &= - \int_{M_t} \operatorname{div}_{M_t} \vec{\zeta} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} + \lambda \int_{U_t} \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

By (6.2) and (6.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| \left| \int_{U_t} \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \right| &\leq \|\vec{\zeta}(\cdot, t)\|_{C^1} \left(\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t) + \int_{M_t} |\vec{v}| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \right) \\ &\leq \|\vec{\zeta}(\cdot, t)\|_{C^1} \left(\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) + \int_{M_t} |\vec{v}| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Let $\alpha, \delta \in (0, 1)$ and $u = u(x, t)$ be a periodic solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= \chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta - \oint_\Omega (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \int_\Omega u dx &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the standard PDE arguments imply the existence and uniqueness of the solution u and

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C_\delta, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

where $C_\delta > 0$ depends only on d and δ . Set $\vec{\zeta}(x, t) = \nabla u(x, t)$. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{U_t} \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx &= \int_{U_t} (-\Delta u) dx \\ &= \int_{U_t} \left(\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta - \oint_\Omega (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta) \right) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \chi_{U_t} (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta) dx - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_\Omega (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \chi_{U_t} (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta - \chi_{U_t}) dx + \int_\Omega \chi_{U_t}^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_\Omega (\chi_{U_t} * \eta_\delta - \chi_{U_t}) dx - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \int_\Omega \chi_{U_t} dx \\ &\geq -C\delta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t) + \mathcal{L}^d(U_t) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_t)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\geq -C\delta \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) + \mathcal{L}^d(U_0) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_0)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 6.1, $\|\nabla \chi_{U_t}\|(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_t)$, (6.2), and the volume preserving property. We choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$- \int_{U_t} \operatorname{div} \vec{\zeta} dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^d(U_0) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_0)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)} \right) > 0.$$

By this and (6.4),

$$|\lambda| \leq \frac{C_\delta}{\omega'} \left(\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0) + \int_{M_t} |\vec{v}| d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \right), \quad (6.5)$$

where $\omega' = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}^d(U_0) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}^d(U_0)}{\mathcal{L}^d(\Omega)}\right)$. The equality (6.2) implies

$$\int_0^s \int_{M_t} |\bar{v}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} dt \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(M_0), \quad s \in [0, T]. \quad (6.6)$$

Therefore we obtain (6.1) by (6.5) and (6.6). \square

Next we show some properties of the backward heat kernel.

Lemma 6.3 (See[20]). Let $D > 0$ and ν be a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying

$$\sup_{R>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\nu(B_R(x))}{\omega_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \leq D. \quad (6.7)$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) For any $a > 0$ there exists $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(a) > 0$ such that for any $r > 0$ and for any $x, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - x_1| \leq \gamma_1 r$, we have the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{x_1}^r(y) d\nu(y) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_x^r d\nu(y) + aD, \quad (6.8)$$

where ρ_x^r is given by (4.2).

- (2) For any $r, R > 0$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(x)} \rho_x^r(y) d\nu(y) \leq 2^{d-1} e^{-3R^2/8r^2} D. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. We only show (6.8) here (the estimate (6.9) can be shown more easily). For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{x_1}^r(y) d\nu(y) &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|x_1-y|^2}{2r^2}} d\nu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \nu(\{y \mid e^{-\frac{|x_1-y|^2}{2r^2}} > k\}) dk = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \nu(B_{\sqrt{2r^2 \log \frac{1}{k}}}(x_1)) dk \\ &\leq \omega^{d-1} D \int_0^\beta \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_\beta^1 \nu(B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k}}}(x_1)) dk, \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

where we used (6.7). By $\int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk = \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2} + 1) = \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}/\omega_{d-1}$, we have

$$\int_0^\beta \left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} dk \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.11)$$

We choose $\gamma_1 > 0$ depending only on β such that

$$\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k}} + \gamma_1 \leq \sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k-\beta}} \quad \text{for any } k \in (\beta, 1].$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - x_1| \leq \gamma_1 r$, we have $B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k}}}(x_1) \subset B_{(\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k}} + \gamma_1)r}(x) \subset B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k-\beta}}}(x)$ for $k \in (\beta, 1]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_\beta^1 \nu(B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k}}}(x_1)) dk &\leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_\beta^1 \nu(B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k-\beta}}}(x)) dk \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}r)^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \nu(B_{r\sqrt{2\log \frac{1}{k'}}}(x)) dk' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_x^r(y) d\nu(y). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Hence (6.10), (6.11), and (6.12) imply (6.8). \square

Let $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(x)$ be a smooth function and define

$$e_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon(x)|^2}{2} + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x))}{\varepsilon}, \quad \xi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon(x)|^2}{2} - \frac{W(u^\varepsilon(x))}{\varepsilon}.$$

The following propositions are used in the proof of the integrality of μ_t .

Proposition 6.4 (See [19, 38, 40]). For any $R \in (0, \infty)$, $E_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in (0, 1)$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varrho \in (0, 1)$ with the following property: Assume that a set $Y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ has no more than $N+1$ elements and $Y \subset \{(0, \dots, 0, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\text{diam } Y \leq \varrho R$, and there exists $a \in (0, R)$ such that $|y - z| > 3a$ holds for any $y, z \in Y$ with $y \neq z$. Moreover, we assume the following.

- (1) $u^\varepsilon \in C^2(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \text{dist}(y, Y) < R\})$.
- (2) For any $x \in Y$ and $r \in [a, R]$,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |\xi_\varepsilon| + (1 - (\nu_d)^2) \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon| \left| \Delta u^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \right| dy \leq \varrho r^{d-1}.$$

Here $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) = \frac{\nabla u^\varepsilon}{|\nabla u^\varepsilon|}$.

- (3) For any $x \in Y$,

$$\int_a^R \frac{d\tau}{\tau^d} \int_{B_\tau(x)} (\xi_\varepsilon)_+ dy \leq \varrho.$$

- (4) For any $x \in Y$ and $r \in [a, R]$,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dy \leq E_0 r^{d-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{x \in Y} \frac{1}{a^{d-1}} \int_{B_a(x)} e_\varepsilon \leq s + \frac{1+s}{R^{d-1}} \int_{\{x \mid \text{dist}(x, Y) < R\}} e_\varepsilon. \quad (6.13)$$

Proposition 6.5 (See [19, 38, 40]). For any $s, b, \beta \in (0, 1)$, and $c \in (1, \infty)$, there exist $\varrho, \epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $L \in (1, \infty)$ with the following property: Assume that $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon)$, $u^\varepsilon \in C^2(B_{4\varepsilon L}(0))$ and

$$\sup_{B_{4\varepsilon L}(0)} \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon| \leq c, \quad \sup_{x, y \in B_{4\varepsilon L}(0), x \neq y} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\nabla u^\varepsilon(x) - \nabla u^\varepsilon(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c, \quad |u^\varepsilon(0)| < 1 - b,$$

$$\int_{B_{4\varepsilon L}(0)} (|\xi_\varepsilon| + (1 - (\nu_d)^2) \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2) dx \leq \varrho (4\varepsilon L)^{d-1},$$

and

$$\sup_{B_{4\varepsilon L}(0)} (\xi_\varepsilon)_+ \leq \varepsilon^{-\beta}.$$

Then we have

$$[-1 + b, 1 - b] \subset u^\varepsilon(J) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in J} \partial_{x_d} u^\varepsilon(x) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_{x \in J} \partial_{x_d} u^\varepsilon(x) < 0, \quad (6.14)$$

where $J = B_{3\varepsilon L}(0) \cap \{(0, \dots, 0, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In addition, we have

$$\left| \sigma - \frac{1}{\omega_{d-1}(L\varepsilon)^{d-1}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon L}(0)} e_\varepsilon \right| \leq s.$$

Remark 6.6. Note that the assumptions for $(\xi_\varepsilon)_+$ in the propositions above hold for the solution to (1.5) with suitable initial data (see (3.6)).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Takashi Kagaya for his helpful comments. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20K14343, JP18H03670, and JSPS Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers (LEADER) operated by Funds for the Development of Human Resources in Science and Technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] Almgren, F., Taylor, J. E., and Wang, L., *Curvature-driven flows: a variational approach*, SIAM J. Control Optim., **31** (1993), 387–438.
- [2] Ambrosio, L., Fusco, N., and Pallara, D., *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (2000).
- [3] Antonopoulou, D. and Karali, G. and Sigal, I. M., *Stability of spheres under volume-preserving mean curvature flow*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., **7** (2010), 327–344.
- [4] Athanassenas, M., *Volume-preserving mean curvature flow of rotationally symmetric surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv., **72** (1997), 52–66.
- [5] Brakke, K. A., *The motion of a surface by its mean curvature*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1978).
- [6] Brassel, M. and Bretin, E., *A modified phase field approximation for mean curvature flow with conservation of the volume*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **34** (2011), 1157–1180.
- [7] Bronsard, L. and Stoth, B., *Volume-preserving mean curvature flow as a limit of a nonlocal Ginzburg-Landau equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **28** (1997), 769–807.
- [8] Chen, X., Hilhorst, D., and Logak, E., *Mass conserving Allen-Cahn equation and volume preserving mean curvature flow*, Interfaces Free Bound., **12** (2010), 527–549.
- [9] Delfour, M. C. and Zolésio, J. P., *Shape analysis via oriented distance functions*, J. Funct. Anal., **123** (1994), 129–201.
- [10] Escher, J. and Simonett, G., *The volume preserving mean curvature flow near spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1998), 2789–2796.
- [11] Evans, L. C. and Gariepy, R. F., *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (2015).
- [12] Fusco, N., *The classical isoperimetric theorem*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli (4), **71** (2004), 63–107.
- [13] Gage, M., *On an area-preserving evolution equation for plane curves*, Nonlinear problems in geometry (Mobile, Ala., 1985), Contemp. Math., **51** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1986), 51–62.
- [14] Giusti, E., *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1984).
- [15] Golovaty, D., *The volume-preserving motion by mean curvature as an asymptotic limit of reaction-diffusion equations*, Quart. Appl. Math., **55** (1997), 243–298.
- [16] Huisken, G., *The volume preserving mean curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **382** (1987), 35–48.
- [17] Huisken, G., *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom., **31** (1990), 285–299.
- [18] Hutchinson, J. E., *Second fundamental form for varifolds and the existence of surfaces minimising curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 45–71.
- [19] Hutchinson, J. E. and Tonegawa, Y., *Convergence of phase interfaces in the van der Waals-Cahn-Hilliard theory*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **10** (2000), 49–84.
- [20] Ilmanen, T., *Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to Brakke’s motion by mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom., **38** (1993), 417–461.
- [21] Kim, I. and Kwon, D., *Volume preserving mean curvature flow for star-shaped sets*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **59** (2020), Paper No. 81, 40.
- [22] Laux, T. and Otto, F., *Convergence of the thresholding scheme for multi-phase mean-curvature flow*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **55** (2016), Art. 129, 74.
- [23] Laux, T. and Simon, T. M., *Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to multiphase mean curvature flow*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **71** (2018), 1597–1647.
- [24] Laux, T. and Swartz, D., *Convergence of thresholding schemes incorporating bulk effects*, Interfaces Free Bound., **19** (2017), 273–304.
- [25] Li, H., *The volume-preserving mean curvature flow in Euclidean space*, Pacific J. Math., **243** (2009), 331–355.

- [26] Liu, C., Sato, N. and Tonegawa, Y., *On the existence of mean curvature flow with transport term*, Interfaces Free Bound., **12** (2010), 251–277.
- [27] Luckhaus, S. and Sturzenhecker, T., *Implicit time discretization for the mean curvature flow equation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **3** (1995), 253–271.
- [28] Mizuno, M. and Tonegawa, Y., *Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation with Neumann boundary conditions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **47** (2015), 1906–1932.
- [29] Modica, L. and Mortola, S., *Il limite nella Γ -convergenza di una famiglia di funzionali ellittici*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (5), **14** (1977), 526–529.
- [30] Mugnai, L. and Röger, M., *The Allen-Cahn action functional in higher dimensions*, Interfaces Free Bound., **10** (2008), 45–78.
- [31] Mugnai, L., Seis, C. and Spadaro, E., *Global solutions to the volume-preserving mean-curvature flow*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **55** (2016), Art. 18, 23.
- [32] Röger, M. and Schätzle, R., *On a modified conjecture of De Giorgi*, Math. Z., **254** (2006), 675–714.
- [33] Rubinstein, J. and Sternberg, P., *Nonlocal reaction-diffusion equations and nucleation*, IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics, **48** (1992), 249–264.
- [34] Simon, L., *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ. **3** (1983).
- [35] Takasao, K., *Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation with constraint to Brakke’s mean curvature flow*, Adv. Differential Equations, **22** (2017), 765–792.
- [36] Takasao, K., *Existence of weak solution for volume preserving mean curvature flow via phase field method*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **66** (2017), 2015–2035.
- [37] Takasao, K., *On obstacle problem for Brakke’s mean curvature flow*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **53** (2021), 6355–6369.
- [38] Takasao, K. and Tonegawa, Y., *Existence and regularity of mean curvature flow with transport term in higher dimensions*, Math. Ann. **364** (2016), 857–935.
- [39] Talenti, G., *The standard isoperimetric theorem*, Handbook of convex geometry, Vol. A, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1993), 73–123.
- [40] Tonegawa, Y., *Integrality of varifolds in the singular limit of reaction-diffusion equations*, Hiroshima Math. J., **33** (2003), 323–341.
- [41] Tonegawa, Y., *Brakke’s mean curvature flow*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Singapore, (2019).

Email address: k.takasao@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp