

Quantum relative modular functions

Alexandru Chirvasitu

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed normal subgroup of a locally compact quantum group. We introduce a strictly positive group-like element affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ that, roughly, measures the failure of \mathbb{G} to act measure-preservingly on \mathbb{H} by conjugation. The triviality of that element is equivalent to the condition that \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} have the same modular element, by analogy with the classical situation. This condition is automatic if $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ is central, and in general implies the unimodularity of \mathbb{H} .

We also describe a bijection between strictly positive group-like elements δ affiliated with $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ and quantum-group morphisms $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$, with the closed image of the morphism easily described in terms of the spectrum of δ . This then implies that property-(T) locally compact quantum groups admit no non-obvious strictly positive group-like elements.

Key words: locally compact quantum group; modular element; modular function; unimodular

MSC 2020: 46L67; 20G42; 22D05; 22D25; 22D55

Introduction

The initial motivation for the present paper was the well-known result that nilpotent locally compact groups are unimodular (e.g. [18, p.318, Corollary 2]). Several proofs exist in the literature (with more references cited in Section 2), but one naive strategy that comes to mind would be as follows: given that nilpotence means that the *ascending central series*

$$\{1\} \leq Z(\mathbb{G}) \leq \dots \leq \mathbb{G}$$

is finite, perhaps one can employ induction by starting with the abelian group $Z(\mathbb{G})$ (which is of course unimodular) and then lifting unimodularity along *cocentral* quotients $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$ (i.e. quotients by a central closed subgroup). In short, one would need

Claim *A cocentral quotient \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} of a locally compact group is unimodular if and only if \mathbb{G} is.*

Since unimodularity simply means that the *modular function* [15, §2.4] is trivial, this suggests possible generalization:

Claim *For any cocentral quotient $\pi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$, the modular function of \mathbb{G} is obtained from that of \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} by restriction along π .*

All of this is true and follows easily enough from standard material on modular functions (e.g. from [15, Theorem 2.51]), though I have not seen these precise statements. Couched in these terms, though, the statements generalize easily to the framework of locally compact *quantum* groups [24, 25, 23, 39, 31, 32, 26], since all of the ingredients are present. To summarize, postponing the notation and terminology until Section 1:

- For a locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} there is a *modular element* $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ [24, §7] affiliated with the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$, to be thought of as the inverse of the usual modular function (see Remark 2.2 for why this convention is convenient).
- There are notions of (closed [36, Definition 2.6]) normal [37, Definition 2.10] and central [20, Definition 2.3] quantum subgroups $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$.
- As well as quotient groups \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} by closed normal quantum subgroups [37, Theorem 2.11].

All of this allows the formulation of one of the main results below (see Section 2 and Corollary 2.3):

Theorem *Given a closed central quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ of a locally compact quantum group, the modular elements of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} coincide.*

In particular, a cocentral quotient \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} is unimodular if and only if \mathbb{G} is. ■

More generally, there is a very satisfying way of measuring the discrepancy from the previous theorem's conclusion. Summarizing Theorems 2.12 and 2.14 and Propositions 2.16 and 2.17:

Theorem *Let $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed normal quantum subgroup. The modular elements $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$ strongly commute, so their ratio $\delta := \delta_{\mathbb{G}}\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{-1}$ is again a strictly positive element affiliated with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$, group-like in the sense that $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta) = \delta \otimes \delta$.*

That element is trivial precisely when two canonical operator valued weights from $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ to its von Neumann subalgebra $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})$ coincide. This condition

- *is the quantum analogue of \mathbb{G} acting measure-preservingly by conjugation on \mathbb{H} ;*
- *is automatic when $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ is central;*
- *and entails the unimodularity of \mathbb{H} .* ■

The element δ in the statement above is the relative modular function alluded to in the title of the paper (see Definition 2.13): the phrase is meant to indicate that it is relative to an embedding $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ rather than absolute, attached to \mathbb{G} alone.

On a different note but still on the topic of strictly positive group-like elements δ affiliated with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$, we have (Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.7)

Theorem *Every strictly positive group-like element δ affiliated with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ induces a quantum-group morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose closed image is precisely the closed subgroup*

$$\{\log t \mid 0 < t \in \text{Sp}(\delta)\} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}, +).$$

■

An immediate consequence (Theorem 3.9 below) is the following generalization of the unimodularity of property-(T) quantum groups [9, Theorem 6.1]:

Theorem *If the LCQG \mathbb{G} has property (T) then the only strictly positive group-like element affiliated with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ is 1.* ■

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2001128.

I am grateful for insightful comments and pointers to the literature from A. Skalski, P. Kasprzak, P. Sołtan, G. Folland and A. Deitmar.

1 Preliminaries

Inner products are linear in the second variable, and for vectors v, w in a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle - | - \rangle)$ and an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we write

$$\omega_{v,w}(A) := \langle v | Aw \rangle = \langle vA | w \rangle = \langle A^*v | w \rangle.$$

Any number of sources cover the needed operator-algebra background: [4, 29], etc. Assorted standard notation:

- $B(\cdot)$ and $K(\cdot)$ denote the algebras of bounded and respectively compact operators on a Hilbert space.
- $M(\cdot)$ is the *multiplier algebra* of a C^* -algebra [4, §II.7.3].
- For C^* -algebras A and B the space $\text{Mor}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B consists (as in [38, Introduction], [11, §1.1], [12, §2], etc.) of those linear, bounded, multiplicative $*$ -maps $f : A \rightarrow M(B)$ that are *non-degenerate* in the sense that $f(A)B$ is norm-dense in B .

We also depict $\pi \in \text{Mor}(A, B)$ as arrows:

$$A \xrightarrow{\pi} B.$$

- M_* is the *predual* [4, §III.2.4] of a von Neumann algebra, M_+ its positive cone (set of positive elements), and \widehat{M}_+ its *extended positive part* [16, Definition 1.1].
- the tensor-product symbol ‘ \otimes ’ has contextual meaning: between C^* -algebras it denotes the *minimal* (or *spatial*) C^* tensor product [4, §II.9.1.3], between W^* -algebras it is the von-Neumann-flavored spatial tensor product of [4, §III.5.1.4], the Hilbert-space tensor product when appropriate, etc.

For the needed material on locally compact quantum groups we refer mainly to [24, 25, 23] (with more precise citations below, as needed). The first of these also has an introductory overview of the necessary weight and modular theory; [34, 33] are other good sources for this latter topic. Of particular interest are the *operator-valued weights* of [16, 17], covered also in [34, §IX.4].

To recall, briefly, the main concept of interest ([25, Definition 1.1]):

Definition 1.1 A locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} (occasionally abbreviated LCQG) is a pair (M, Δ) where

- M , denoted also by $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, is a von Neumann algebra.
- $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ is a W^* morphism $M \rightarrow M \otimes M$, *coassociative* in the sense that

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta : M \rightarrow M \otimes M \otimes M.$$

- we assume the existence of

- (a) a *left Haar weight* on M : a normal, semifinite and faithful (n.s.f. for short) weight $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}}$, left-invariant in the sense that

$$\varphi((\omega \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x)) = \omega(1)\varphi(x)$$

for all $\omega \in M_*$ and

$$x \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi^+ := \{x \in M_+ \mid \varphi(x) < \infty\}.$$

(b) similarly, a *right* Haar weight $\psi = \psi_{\mathbb{G}}$, right-invariant:

$$\psi((\text{id} \otimes \omega)\Delta(x)) = \omega(1)\psi(x), \quad \forall \omega \in M_*, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{m}_{\psi}^+. \quad \blacklozenge$$

Also central to the discussion is the following object ([24, Terminology 7.16]).

Definition 1.2 The *modular element* $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ of an LCQG \mathbb{G} is the unique (possibly unbounded) operator that is

- *strictly positive* in the sense that its spectral resolution [30, Theorem 13.30] assigns the zero projection to $\{0\}$ (equivalently: it has dense range [24, p.841]);
- *affiliated* with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ in the sense that its spectral projections belong to that von Neumann algebra;

and such that

$$\psi_{\mathbb{G}}(\cdot) = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}, \delta}(\cdot) := \varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta^{1/2} \cdot \delta^{1/2}). \quad (1-1) \quad \blacklozenge$$

Other notation pertinent to quantum groups:

- $L^2(\mathbb{G}) = L^2(\mathbb{G}, \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})$ is the Hilbert space carrying the GNS representation attached to the left Haar weight φ , equipped with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{G})$.
- $C_0(\mathbb{G}) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ is the *reduced function algebra* of \mathbb{G} , associated to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$ in [25, §1.2] (with the notation $M = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$, $M_c = C_0(\mathbb{G})$) and studied extensively in [24].
- $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ is the *universal function algebra*, constructed in [23, §4].
- $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is the *dual* LCQG: [25, §1.1] for the von Neumann version and [24, §8] for the C^* counterpart.
- $W \in W_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the *multiplicative unitary* of [24, Proposition 3.17]: it is defined as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{G})$ by

$$W^*(\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda(y)) = \Lambda \otimes \Lambda(\Delta(y)(x \otimes 1)),$$

it implements the comultiplication by

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W,$$

and belongs to

$$M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

- $S = S_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $R = R_{\mathbb{G}}$ are the *antipode* and *unitary antipode* of \mathbb{G} respectively [24, Terminology 5.42].

Remark 1.3 [24, Proposition 7.10] says that $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ is in fact also affiliated with the C^* -algebra $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ in the sense of [38, Definition 1.1]. Furthermore, it lifts along the surjection $C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{G})$ to a strictly positive element $\delta_u = \delta_{u, \mathbb{G}}$ affiliated with the universal function algebra [23, Proposition 10.1]. \blacklozenge

Notation 1.4 We denote the affiliation relation, in either the C^* or W^* setting, by primed containment symbols: \in' and \ni' . \blacklozenge

1.1 Morphisms

LCQG morphisms have many incarnations; for a review of the theory the reader can consult, for instance, [27] (where many of the issues were initially settled), [23, §12] or [11, §1.3]. In particular, attached to such a morphism $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ we have a right action

$$\pi_r : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H})$$

as well as a left one,

$$\pi_l : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}).$$

Throughout the paper, *closed* quantum subgroups $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ are as in [36, Definition 2.6], referred to as *closed in the sense of Vaes* in [11, Definition 3.1] (to distinguish from a formally weaker version due to Woronowicz): those for which the dual morphism $\widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ corresponds to a comultiplication-intertwining embedding

$$L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

The *centrality* of a quantum subgroup (or more generally, of a morphism) can be cast as the following paraphrase of [20, Definition 2.3]:

Definition 1.5 A morphism $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is *central* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_r} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_l} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{flip}} \end{array} & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \end{array} \quad (1-2)$$

commutes. ◆

For a closed quantum subgroup $\iota : \mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ one can define the left and right quantum homogeneous \mathbb{G} -spaces (e.g. [36, Definition 4.1]):

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) &:= \{x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mid \iota_r(x) = x \otimes 1\} \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{G}) &:= \{x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mid \iota_l(x) = 1 \otimes x\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1-3)$$

Morphisms of locally compact quantum groups preserve unitary antipodes; this is well known, but we set out the claim here in precisely the form needed below (see e.g. [21, equation (2.2b)]).

Lemma 1.6 For an LCQG morphism $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_r} & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) & \xrightarrow{R_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes R_{\mathbb{H}}} & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \\ & \searrow_{R_{\mathbb{G}}} & \downarrow \pi_l & & \downarrow \text{flip} \\ & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_l} & L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\text{flip}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_l} & L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{R_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes R_{\mathbb{G}}} & L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \\ & \searrow_{R_{\mathbb{G}}} & \downarrow \pi_r & & \downarrow \text{flip} \\ & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_r} & L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) & \xrightarrow{\text{flip}} \end{array}$$

commute.

Proof This follows from [27, Theorems 5.3 and 5.5], which describe π_r and π_l in terms of a single object attached to the morphism π (a *bicharacter*, in the language of [27, §3]). \blacksquare

In the discussion below, we follow [24] in denoting by

- σ_t (or $\sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}$ when wishing to emphasize the group) the modular automorphism group of a left Haar weight [24, §1.3];
- $\sigma'_t = \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t}$ the modular group of a right Haar weight [24, p.846];
- $\tau_t = \tau_{\mathbb{G},t}$ the scaling group of \mathbb{G} [24, Terminology 5.42] and by $\nu = \nu_{\mathbb{G}}$ its *scaling constant* [24, Terminology 7.16].

In addition to the antipode-intertwining properties noted in Lemma 1.6, it will also be useful to record the compatibility between $\pi_{l,r}$ and these one-parameter groups.

Lemma 1.7 *For an LCQG morphism $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ we have*

$$\pi_l \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t} = (\tau_{\mathbb{H},t} \otimes \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}) \pi_l : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}). \quad (1-4)$$

$$\pi_r \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} = (\sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \otimes \tau_{\mathbb{H},-t}) \pi_r : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}). \quad (1-5)$$

$$\pi_l \tau_{\mathbb{G},t} = (\tau_{\mathbb{H},t} \otimes \tau_{\mathbb{G},t}) \pi_l : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}). \quad (1-6)$$

$$\pi_r \tau_{\mathbb{G},t} = (\tau_{\mathbb{G},t} \otimes \tau_{\mathbb{H},t}) \pi_r : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}). \quad (1-7)$$

Proof The style of proof is the same for all of these, so we focus on (1-4).

All three one-parameter groups lift to the universal quantum-group function algebras $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ (and analogue for \mathbb{H}) of [23]: see [23, §8] for the modular groups σ and σ' and [23, §9] for τ .

At the universal level we have [23, Proposition 9.2]

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u = (\tau_{\mathbb{G},t}^u \otimes \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u) \Delta_{\mathbb{G}} : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M(C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C_0^u(\mathbb{G})). \quad (1-8)$$

Now apply the universal incarnation

$$\pi^u : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M(C_0(\mathbb{H})^u)$$

of π ([27, §4], [23, §12]) to the left leg of (1-8) to obtain

$$\pi_l^u \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u = (\pi^u \tau_{\mathbb{G},t}^u \otimes \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u) \Delta_{\mathbb{G}} : C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow M(C_0(\mathbb{H})^u \otimes C_0^u(\mathbb{G})),$$

where

$$\pi_l^u := (\pi^u \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}.$$

Next, use the scaling-group-intertwining property

$$\pi^u \tau_{\mathbb{G},t}^u = \tau_{\mathbb{H},t}^u \pi^u$$

of π^u (which follows, for instance, from [27, Proposition 3.10]) on the right-hand side to produce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_l^u \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u &= (\tau_{\mathbb{H},t}^u \pi^u \otimes \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u) \Delta_{\mathbb{G}} \\ &= (\tau_{\mathbb{H},t}^u \otimes \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^u) \pi_l^u. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to conclude, note that this reduces precisely to the desired identity (1-4), because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_t^u} & M(C_0(\mathbb{H})^u \otimes C_0^u(\mathbb{G})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_t} & L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \end{array}$$

commutes [23, Proposition 12.1]. ■

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1.7 and the definitions of the quantum homogeneous spaces \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} and $\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}$:

Corollary 1.8 *For any closed locally compact quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$*

(1) $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ *is invariant under $\tau_{\mathbb{G},t}$ and $\sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t}$;*

(2) *and similarly, $L^\infty(\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is invariant under $\tau_{\mathbb{G},t}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}$.* ■

2 Relative modular elements

One of the main results of this section (to be strengthened later, when more language has been introduced) is

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed central subgroup of a locally compact quantum group. The modular element of \mathbb{G} coincides with that of \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} .*

Remark 2.2 To put Theorem 2.1 into some perspective, with centrality being the last of a series of progressively more stringent conditions, note that

- If $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ is a closed normal subgroup then the modular element $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ of \mathbb{G} *restricts to $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}$* in the sense of [5, Definition 3.3] (by [5, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.9]).

The restriction terminology employed there is chosen so that classically it specializes back to what one would guess. The modular function of a locally compact group \mathbb{G} is typically denoted by $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ or plain Δ ([3, §A.3], [13, §1.4], [15, §2.4], etc.). Here, in order to avoid confusion with the comultiplication, we write

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}}(x) := \Delta(x)^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{G}.$$

This is compatible with the previous use of the symbol δ , in the general context of quantum groups: on the one hand we have the relation (1-1) between left and right Haar weights, while on the other hand, classically, we have

$$d\mu_{right}(x) = \Delta(x)^{-1}d\mu_{left}(x)$$

by [15, Proposition 2.31].

As the name suggests, then, $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ restricting to $\delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ as in [5, Definition 3.3] means precisely that $\delta_{\mathbb{H}} = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}|_{\mathbb{H}}$ for ordinary locally compact groups.

- If furthermore $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ is unimodular, it follows that the modular function *factors through* $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$, in the sense that

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R};$$

in other words, δ is affiliated with the von Neumann subalgebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$. This follows from [5, Theorem 3.4, condition (2)] and classically it means that the morphism

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\times, \cdot)$$

factors through \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} .

- Finally, it takes centrality to ensure that that factorization in fact coincides with the modular function

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} : \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\times, \cdot). \quad \blacklozenge$$

Before moving on to the proof of Theorem 2.1, note the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.3 *If $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ is a closed central subgroup of a locally compact quantum group then \mathbb{G} is unimodular if and only if \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} is.* ■

As yet another consequence, we have the unimodularity of nilpotent locally compact (classical) groups. The result is well known, but the proofs one encounters tend to be different in flavor: [18, Corollary 2, p.318] leverages some structure results on nilpotent groups, while [3, Example A.3.7] uses (via [3, Exercise A.8.10]) the fact that nilpotent groups have *subexponential growth*.

Corollary 2.4 *Nilpotent locally compact groups are unimodular.*

Proof Filter the nilpotent group \mathbb{G} with its ascending central series

$$\{1\} \leq Z(\mathbb{G}) \leq \dots \leq \mathbb{G}$$

(finite, by the nilpotence assumption), and proceed by induction on the length of that series: the base case of abelian groups is trivial, and the induction step passes from a quotient to a central extension using Corollary 2.3. ■

For a closed quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ we will work with the two operator-valued weights \mathcal{T}_l and \mathcal{T}_r defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_r} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_l} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \\ L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{H}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \end{array} & L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_+, \end{array} \quad (2-1)$$

with the ‘ l ’ subscript indicating left invariance or mapping to the *left* coset space, and similarly,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_l} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_r} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \\ L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{G}})_+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \text{id}} \\ \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \end{array} & L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_+. \end{array} \quad (2-2)$$

Lemma 2.5 *For a closed quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ of a closed quantum subgroup we have*

$$R_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l = \mathcal{T}_r \circ R_{\mathbb{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{T}_l \circ R_{\mathbb{G}}.$$

Proof That $R_{\mathbb{G}}$ interchanges $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_+$ and $L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}})_+$ follows from Lemma 1.6 (applied to the embedding morphism $\iota : \mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$) and the definition (1-3) of the two quantum homogeneous spaces (see also [21, Proposition 3.3]).

As for the substance of the statement, it too is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.6: to obtain $R_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l = \mathcal{T}_r \circ R_{\mathbb{G}}$, for instance, apply $\psi_{\mathbb{H}}$ to the right-hand leg of the top diagram in Lemma 1.6 and use the fact that (by definition!) $\varphi_{\mathbb{H}}$ is nothing but $\psi_{\mathbb{H}} \circ R_{\mathbb{H}}$. The other equation follows similarly from the second diagram. ■

For a closed *normal* quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ the two homogeneous spaces coincide (and this in fact characterizes normality; [21, §4], [37, Theorem 2.11]):

$$\mathbb{H} \text{ normal} \iff L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}).$$

In that case \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} is an LCQG in its own right and $R_{\mathbb{G}}$ restricts to $R_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$. Lemma 2.5 thus implies

Lemma 2.6 *For a closed normal quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ of a closed quantum subgroup we have*

$$R_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l = \mathcal{T}_r \circ R_{\mathbb{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r = \mathcal{T}_l \circ R_{\mathbb{G}}.$$

Recall [8, Proposition] also that for closed normal quantum subgroups we have a Weyl-type “disintegration formula”

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l. \tag{2-3}$$

Naturally, since left Haar weights are only determined up to positive scaling, the content of this claim is that the right-hand side of (2-3) is left-invariant. Having fixed a left Haar weight φ though, we are making the convention that the corresponding right Haar weight ψ is determined by it: $\psi = \varphi \circ R$. The following observation says that this switch from left to right Haar weights is compatible with the operator-valued weights \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 2.7 *For a closed, normal quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ of a locally compact quantum group we have*

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l \iff \psi_{\mathbb{G}} = \psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r. \tag{2-4}$$

Proof This follows from the various intertwining properties of the unitary antipode(s), already noted above: suppose we have scaled the left Haar weights so that the left hand equation holds. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathbb{G}} &= \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \circ R_{\mathbb{G}} && \text{by convention} \\ &= \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l \circ R_{\mathbb{G}} && \text{by assumption} \\ &= \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ R_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r && \text{Lemma 2.6} \\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r && \text{again by convention.} \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Under the centrality assumption \mathbb{H} will in particular be *abelian* (in the sense that $L^\infty(\mathbb{H})$ is cocommutative) and hence unimodular, so its left and right Haar weights coincide: $\varphi_{\mathbb{H}} = \psi_{\mathbb{H}}$. \mathbb{H} is furthermore normal so that

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}),$$

and the two operator-valued weights \mathcal{T}_l and \mathcal{T}_r introduced in (2-1) and (2-2) coincide:

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}_l = \mathcal{T}_r.$$

According to Lemma 2.7 we can scale the various Haar weights so that

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T} = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T} = \psi_{\mathbb{G}}. \quad (2-5)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} &= (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}})_t \quad [35, \text{Proposition 4.4}] \text{ and } [24, \text{Proposition 7.12 (6)}] \\ &= (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T})_t \quad \text{by (2-5)} \\ &= (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_t \quad [16, \text{Theorem 4.7}] \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it} \quad \text{analogous to the first equality.} \end{aligned}$$

This is already sufficient to draw the desired conclusion

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} = \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it},$$

since given a positive real λ and a positive (possibly unbounded) operator δ , the latter can be recovered from $u_t := \lambda^{it^2} \delta^{it}$: the logarithm $\log \delta$ (obtained by applying \log to the positive operator δ as usual, via functional calculus [30, Theorem 13.24]) can be obtained [34, §A.3] as

$$i \log \delta \xi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta^{it} - 1}{t} \xi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_t - 1}{t} \xi$$

for ξ ranging over a dense subspace of the ambient Hilbert space. ■

We also record the following remark, obtained in passing in the course of the above proof.

Corollary 2.8 *If $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ is a central, closed, normal quantum subgroup of a locally compact quantum group the scaling constants of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} coincide.*

Proof The proof of Theorem 2.1 actually shows that

$$\nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} = \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and then concludes that the δ factors coincide: $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} = \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it}$. The ν factors must thus also coincide:

$$\nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} = \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which of course implies $\nu_{\mathbb{G}} = \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$. ■

Remark 2.9 By way of bolstering the intuitive plausibility of Theorem 2.1, it might be instructive to consider the classical setup whereby \mathbb{G} is a connected Lie group. In that case we know [15, Proposition 2.30] that

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}}(x) = \Delta_{\mathbb{G}}(x)^{-1} = \det Ad(x),$$

where $Ad : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow GL(Lie(\mathbb{G}))$ is the adjoint action. Choose a decomposition

$$Lie(\mathbb{G}) = Lie(\mathbb{H}) \oplus V$$

and a compatible basis that will give matrix expressions for adjoint-action operators. The centrality of \mathbb{H} then ensures that

$$Ad(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & Ad(\bar{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2-6)$$

where

$$\mathbb{G} \ni x \mapsto \bar{x} \in \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}.$$

Plainly, the determinant of (2-6) equals that of its lower right-hand block, hence [Theorem 2.1](#) in this case. \blacklozenge

[Remark 2.9](#) also suggests what is needed in order to extend [Theorem 2.1](#) to *normal* (non-central) closed quantum subgroups. In that case, (2-6) takes the form

$$Ad(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Ad(x|_{\mathbb{H}}) & * \\ 0 & Ad(\bar{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2-7)$$

where $Ad(x|_{\mathbb{H}})$ denotes the adjoint action by x on $Lie(\mathbb{H})$. Taking determinants we thus have

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}}(x) = \det Ad(x) = \det Ad(x|_{\mathbb{H}}) \cdot \det Ad(\bar{x}) = \det Ad(x|_{\mathbb{H}}) \cdot \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}(\bar{x}). \quad (2-8)$$

The ‘‘correction factor’’ away from [Theorem 2.1](#) is thus $\det Ad(x|_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1})$. Its quantum counterpart, for normal $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$, will be a measure of how far apart the two operator-valued weights

$$\mathcal{T}_l, \mathcal{T}_r : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_+$$

are from each other: it was their coincidence that captured the triviality of the upper left-hand block in (2-6). Measuring this discrepancy between \mathcal{T}_r and \mathcal{T}_l is precisely what the Radon-Nikodym derivative $(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t$ of [\[17, Definition 6.2\]](#) is designed to do, so that construction features below.

As [\[35, Proposition 5.5\]](#) makes clear, such Radon-Nikodym derivatives ought to be intimately related to how one of the operator-valued weights $\mathcal{T}_{l,r}$ evolves under the modular group of the other. The following result examines this.

Lemma 2.10 *For a closed locally compact quantum group $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_l \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^t \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \mathcal{T}_l \quad (2-9)$$

and similarly,

$$\mathcal{T}_r \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t} \mathcal{T}_r \quad (2-10)$$

Proof Note first that the right-hand sides actually make sense: by [Corollary 1.8](#) the modular group $\sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t}$ leaves the codomain

$$L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_+ \subseteq L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_+$$

of \mathcal{T}_l invariant, and similarly for \mathcal{T}_r . The two arguments being entirely parallel, we only run through the first. Denoting by $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ the embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_l \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} &= (\text{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{H}}) \pi_r \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \quad \text{by definition} \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{H}}) (\sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \otimes \tau_{\mathbb{H},-t}) \pi_r \quad (1-5) \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^t (\sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{H}}) \pi_r \quad [\text{24, Proposition 6.8 (3)}] \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^t \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \mathcal{T}_l \quad \text{by the definition of } \mathcal{T}_l \text{ again.} \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of (2-9). \blacksquare

Note, in passing, that for normal quantum subgroups Weyl disintegration transports over to scaling constants.

Proposition 2.11 *For a closed, normal quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ of a locally compact quantum group we have*

$$\nu_{\mathbb{G}} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}.$$

Proof Throughout the proof we assume we have fixed Haar weights on \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} so that both conditions in (2-4) hold (as that result says we may):

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r = \psi_{\mathbb{G}}. \quad (2-11)$$

By definition ([24, Proposition 6.8 and Terminology 7.16]), $\nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$ can be expressed by

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \sigma'_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H},t} = \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^t \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}. \quad (2-12)$$

Now precompose both sides with \mathcal{T}_l :

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^t \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} &= \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^t \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{T}_l \quad (2-11) \\ &= \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \sigma'_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H},t} \mathcal{T}_l \quad (2-12) \\ &= \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \mathcal{T}_l \quad [16, \text{Theorem 4.7}] \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \quad (2-9) \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \sigma'_{\mathbb{G},t} \quad (2-11) \text{ again} \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \nu_{\mathbb{G}}^t \varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \quad [24, \text{Proposition 6.8 (3)}]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired result $\nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1} \nu_{\mathbb{G}}$. ■

In light of [35, Proposition 5.5], Lemma 2.10 is strongly suggestive of Theorem 2.12 below. In the statement, we refer to the *modular group* $\sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}}$ of an operator-valued weight \mathcal{T} on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$; recall that for an operator-valued weight $\mathcal{T} : M \rightarrow \widehat{N}_+$ that modular group is

$$\sigma_t^{\mathcal{T}} := \sigma_t^{\theta \circ \mathcal{T}}|_{N^c}, \quad \theta \text{ any n.s.f. weight on } N :$$

this is [17, Definition 6.2 (1)], relying on the fact that by [17, Proposition 6.1 (1)] the definition does not depend on θ .

Theorem 2.12 *Let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed, normal locally compact quantum subgroup. There is a strictly positive element $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}$ affiliated with the relative commutant*

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})^c = L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})'$$

such that

$$(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it} \quad (2-13)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{G},s}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(\delta^{it}) = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{ist} \delta^{it}, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2-14)$$

Proof By [17, Definition 6.2], the Radon-Nikodym derivative $(DT' : DT)_t$ between two operator-valued weights is simply $(D\omega\mathcal{T}' : D\omega\mathcal{T})_t$ for any n.s.f. weight ω (since that derivative does not depend on ω [17, Proposition 6.1]). We are thus free to choose the weight conveniently:

$$(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t = (D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Assuming (2-11) (as we will), the right-hand weight is nothing but $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}$, and its modular group is $\sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}$. Under that group, the other weight evolves as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t} &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t} \mathcal{T}_r \quad (2-10) \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H},t} \mathcal{T}_r \quad [16, \text{Theorem 4.7}] \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{-t} \varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r \quad (\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \text{ invariant under its own modular group}). \end{aligned}$$

Now [35, Proposition 5.5, (ii) \Rightarrow (iv)] shows that

$$(D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta^{it},$$

i.e. (2-13). That these elements are actually in the relative commutant of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})$ is a general feature of cocycle derivatives between operator-valued weights ([17, Proposition 6.1] again).

As for (2-14), it follows from (2-13) and the cocycle property of the Radon-Nikodym derivatives [17, Proposition 6.3 (2)]:

$$(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_{s+t} = (D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_s \sigma_s^{\mathcal{T}_l} (D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t. \quad \blacksquare$$

We now have the object, alluded to in the discussion following Remark 2.9, that captures the discrepancy between \mathcal{T}_r and \mathcal{T}_l :

Definition 2.13 Let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed, normal, locally compact quantum subgroup.

The *relative modular element* $\delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}$ is the positive element affiliated with

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})^c = L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})'$$

provided by Theorem 2.12, determined by

$$(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it}. \quad (2-15) \quad \blacklozenge$$

We are now ready to generalize Theorem 2.1 to non-central quantum subgroups and provide the quantum counterpart to (2-8).

Theorem 2.14 For a closed, normal, locally compact quantum subgroup $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ we have

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}} = \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}. \quad (2-16)$$

Proof Since

- $\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$, which is affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})$;
- and $\delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}$, affiliated with the relative commutant $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})^c$ by Theorem 2.12,

the two *strongly commute* [2, §11.5] in the sense that the spectral projections of one commute with those of the other. The *strong product* of [34, discussion following Definition IX.2.11] thus makes sense and is again a positive (unbounded, typically) operator; this is the meaning of the right-hand side of (2-16).

On the one hand, we have

$$(D\psi_{\mathbb{G}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}})_t = \nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} \quad (2-17)$$

by [35, Proposition 5.5] and [24, Proposition 6.8 (3)]. On the other,

$$\begin{aligned} (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}})_t &= (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t \quad \text{Lemma 2.7} \\ &= (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r : D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t \quad [34, \text{Theorem VIII.3.2}] \\ &= (D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l)_t \quad [17, \text{Definition 6.2}] \\ &= (D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_t \quad [16, \text{Theorem 4.7 (2)}] \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it} (D\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} : D\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_t \quad (2-15) \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it} \quad \text{as in (2-17), applied to } \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} \\ &= \nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it} \quad \text{Proposition 2.11.} \end{aligned}$$

A comparison with (2-17) delivers the conclusion. ■

Remark 2.15 Proposition 2.11 was not, strictly speaking, necessary in the proof of Theorem 2.14, for we could have reversed the implication as in the proof of Theorem 2.1: upon obtaining the equality

$$\nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \cdot \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleright \mathbb{H}}^{it} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it}$$

the quadratic and linear factors automatically separate to give

$$\nu_{\mathbb{G}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{\frac{1}{2}it^2} \Rightarrow \nu_{\mathbb{G}} = \nu_{\mathbb{H}} \nu_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$$

(i.e. Proposition 2.11) and the target equation (2-16). ◆

It will be convenient, for future reference, to collect a few assorted general remarks on relative modular elements.

Proposition 2.16 *Let $\iota : \mathbb{H} \triangleleft \mathbb{G}$ be a closed, normal locally compact quantum subgroup and $\delta = \delta_{\mathbb{G} \triangleleft \mathbb{H}}$ the relative modular element of Definition 2.13. The following assertions hold.*

(1) $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta) = \delta \otimes \delta$.

(2) $\tau_{\mathbb{G},t}(\delta) = \delta$ and $R_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$.

(3)

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \ni' \delta \xrightarrow{\iota_r} \delta \otimes \delta_{\mathbb{H}} \in' L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{H}), \quad (2-18)$$

where primed belonging symbols denote affiliation, per Notation 1.4.

(4) *similarly,*

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \ni' \delta \xrightarrow{\iota_l} \delta_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \delta \in' L^\infty(\mathbb{H}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}). \quad (2-19)$$

$$(5) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_r}(\delta) = \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(\delta) = \nu_{\mathbb{H}}^t \delta. \quad (2-20)$$

$$(6) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_r} = \delta^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(\cdot) \delta^{-it}. \quad (2-21)$$

Proof Item (1) follows from

- the analogous statement ([24, Proposition 7.12 (1)]) for the plain modular elements $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$, which in the context of Theorem 2.14 strongly commute;
- together with (2-16);
- and the fact that the embedding

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \quad (2-22)$$

intertwines the comultiplications $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$.

The argument is very similar for part (2): analogous statements hold for $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$ [24, Proposition 7.12 (2)], the inclusion (2-22) intertwines both scaling groups and unitary antipodes [1, Proposition A.5], and we can again apply (2-16).

To obtain (2-18), note that

- $\iota_r(\delta_{\mathbb{G}}) = \delta_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \delta_{\mathbb{H}}$ [5, Theorem 3.4, Corollary 3.9];
- $\iota_r(\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}) = \delta_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes 1$ because $\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}$ is affiliated with (1-3);
- hence the conclusion, per (2-16).

(2-19) is a consequence of (2-18), Lemma 1.6 and the fact that unitary antipodes turn all modular elements (absolute or relative) into their inverses ([24, Proposition 7.12 (2)] and part (2) of this proposition).

The last equality in (2-20) is nothing but (2-14), whereas the first equality will follow once we have (2-21); it thus remains to prove the latter. For that purpose, note that for

$$a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})^c$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_r}(a) &= \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\psi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_r}(a) \quad \text{by [17, Definition 6.2]} \\ &= \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\psi_{\mathbb{G}}}(a) \quad (2-11) \\ &= \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}}(a) \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-it} \quad [24, Proposition 7.12 (5)] \\ &= \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}} \circ \mathcal{T}_l}(a) \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-it} \quad (2-11) \text{ again} \\ &= \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(a) \delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{-it} \quad \text{once more [17, Definition 6.2]} \\ &= \delta^{it} \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(a) \delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}^{-it} \delta^{-it} \quad \text{Theorem 2.14} \\ &= \delta^{it} \sigma_{\mathbb{G},t}^{\mathcal{T}_l}(a) \delta^{-it} \quad \text{because the middle factor is in the commutant } L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H})^c. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of (6) and the result as a whole. ■

The block decomposition (2-7) suggests that Theorem 2.1 ought to generalize past central subgroups, to the case when the upper left-hand block has trivial determinant. We isolate that situation.

Proposition 2.17 *For a closed, normal locally compact quantum subgroup $\iota : \mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ the two operator-valued weights*

$$\mathcal{T}_l, \mathcal{T}_r : L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}})_+ = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}})_+$$

coincide if and only if the relative modular element $\delta_{\mathbb{G}\triangleright\mathbb{H}}$ of Definition 2.13 is 1.

Furthermore, in that case \mathbb{H} is unimodular.

Proof The two operator-valued weights coincide precisely when $(D\mathcal{T}_r : D\mathcal{T}_l)_t = 1$ [17, Theorem 6.5]. That this is equivalent to

$$\delta_{\mathbb{G}\triangleright\mathbb{H}}^{it} = 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \iff \delta = 1$$

then follows from (2-13) and (2-14).

As for the unimodularity of \mathbb{H} , it too follows from $\delta_{\mathbb{G}\triangleright\mathbb{H}} = 1$ by (2-18). ■

Definition 2.18 Let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed, normal locally compact quantum subgroup. We say that *the conjugation (or adjoint) action of \mathbb{G} on \mathbb{H} is measure-preserving* if the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.17 hold.

Alternative phrasing: *\mathbb{G} acts measure-preservingly (by conjugation).* ◆

As hinted above, we have the following immediate consequence of Theorem 2.14 (and Definition 2.18):

Corollary 2.19 *Let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed normal quantum subgroup of an LCQG.*

\mathbb{G} acts measure-preservingly on \mathbb{H} if and only if \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} have the same modular element. ■

Remark 2.20 Once more, the terminology of Definition 2.18 is meant to convey the analogy to the classical case. To see this, let $\mathbb{H} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{G}$ be a closed normal subgroup of an ordinary locally compact group and denote left Haar measures by μ and as before, the classical modular function Δ by $\delta(\cdot^{-1})$.

The disintegration formula (2-3) and the relation

$$d\mu_{\mathbb{G}}(y \cdot y^{-1}) = \delta_{\mathbb{G}}(y)d\mu_{\mathbb{G}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{G}$$

(and its analogue for \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}) easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(y \cdot y^{-1}) &= \frac{\delta_{\mathbb{G}}(y)}{\delta_{\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}}(y)} d\mu_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= \delta_{\mathbb{G}\triangleright\mathbb{H}}(y) d\mu_{\mathbb{H}} \end{aligned} \quad (2-16).$$

This delivers the classical version of Corollary 2.19, with the phrase ‘acts measure-preservingly’ being assigned its straightforward meaning. ◆

3 Modular elements as morphisms

Classically, the inverse modular function $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ is a continuous morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$. This is also true in the quantum setting, for $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$, $\delta_{\mathbb{G}\triangleright\mathbb{H}}$, and more broadly. Echoes of these remark can be seen in [5, Remark 5.2] or the proof of [9, Theorem 6.1], though not quite stated as such. We outline the matter here with some elaboration for future reference, including one application appearing below.

Following the terminology of [24, §7], and by analogy with the standard phrase in use in the theory of Hopf algebras (e.g. [28, Definition 1.3.4]):

Definition 3.1 Let \mathbb{G} be an LCQG. A strictly positive element δ affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ or $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ or $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ is *group-like* if $\Delta(\delta) = \delta \otimes \delta$.

In terms of bounded operators only, this is equivalent to

$$\Delta(\delta^{it}) = \delta^{it} \otimes \delta^{it}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \blacklozenge$$

The following observation merely collects together a number of ready-made results.

Proposition 3.2 *Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group. The following sets of objects are in mutual bijection*

- (a) *morphisms $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$;*
- (b) *strictly positive group-like elements affiliated with $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$;*
- (c) *strictly positive group-like elements affiliated with $C_0(\mathbb{G})$;*
- (d) *strictly positive group-like elements affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof Recall Notation 1.4: \in' denotes the affiliation relation. C^* morphisms extend to affiliated operators [38, Theorem 1.2]; we will use this implicitly in the sequel.

(a) \leftrightarrow (b). There is an comultiplication-preserving isomorphism

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}) \ni' \exp \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \in' C_0(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \quad (3-1)$$

(dual to the usual exponential identification of the groups $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$).

Because δ is strictly positive there is also a unique morphism $C_0(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \rightsquigarrow C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ sending $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ to δ [22, Proposition 6.5]. Composing with (3-1) this gives, for every strictly positive group-like $\delta \in' C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$, a unique morphism

$$\pi^u : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightsquigarrow C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$$

sending $\exp \mapsto \delta$ and intertwining the comultiplications $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ in the sense that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} \pi^u = (\pi^u \otimes \pi^u) \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Such a map π^u is one of the equivalent ways of specifying a quantum-group morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ [27, Theorem 4.8], so we are done.

(b) \leftrightarrow (c). Strictly positive group-likes affiliated with $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ project to such along the surjection $C_0^u(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{G})$. Conversely, they lift uniquely along the same map as explained in the proof of [23, Proposition 10.1].

(c) \leftrightarrow (d). One direction is clear, $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ being contained in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ via a coproduct-preserving inclusion. Conversely, strictly positive group-likes affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ are in fact C^* -affiliated with $C_0(\mathbb{G})$, as in the proof of [24, Proposition 7.10].

This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Notation 3.3 For a strictly positive group-like δ affiliated with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ or $C_0(\mathbb{G})$ or $C_0^u(\mathbb{G})$ we write we write $\underline{\delta}$ for the corresponding morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attached to it via Proposition 3.2. \blacklozenge

Corollary 3.4 *Let \mathbb{G} be an LCQG. Strictly positive group-like elements $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ are invariant under the scaling group and satisfy $R_{\mathbb{G}}(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$.*

Proof We know from Proposition 3.2 that δ is the image of the canonical group-like $\exp \in' C_0(\mathbb{R})$ through a comultiplication-intertwining morphism

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightsquigarrow C_0(\mathbb{G}).$$

Such morphisms also intertwine the scaling groups and unitary antipodes [23, Remark 12.1], so it suffices to verify the claim for the universal strictly positive group-like

$$\delta := \exp \in' C_0(\mathbb{R});$$

that verification is immediate, hence the conclusion. ■

C^* -affiliated elements have an accompanying notion of spectrum [38, equation (1.20)], which by [38, discussion following Theorem 1.6] specializes back to the usual concept for concrete unbounded, normal operators on Hilbert spaces (which is the situation we are concerned with here).

For positive group-likes the spectrum has some very pleasant properties.

Proposition 3.5 *For an LCQG \mathbb{G} the strictly positive portion*

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(\delta) := \mathrm{Sp}(\delta) \setminus \{0\}$$

of the spectrum of a strictly positive group-like $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is a closed subgroup of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$.

Proof The spectrum of a positive (possibly unbounded) operator is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, hence the (topological) closure claim. It remains to argue that $\mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(\delta)$ is closed under multiplication and inversion.

It is a simple application of the spectral theorem (e.g. [30, Theorem 13.30]) to show that for a strictly positive $T \in' B(\mathcal{H})$

- the positive spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(T^{-1})$ is

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(T)^{-1} := \{t^{-1} \mid t \in \mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(T)\}$$

- and similarly, the spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(T \otimes T)$ is the closure of

$$\{st \mid s, t \in \mathrm{Sp}(T)\}.$$

Since

- we have a morphism $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} \in \mathrm{Mor}(C_0(\mathbb{G}), C_0(\mathbb{G})^{\otimes 2})$ sending δ to $\delta \otimes \delta$;
- and a morphism $R_{\mathbb{G}}$ sending $\delta \mapsto \delta^{-1}$ by Corollary 3.4 ($R_{\mathbb{G}}$ is anti-multiplicative, but this makes no difference here);
- for a morphism $\pi \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$ the spectrum of an image $\pi(T)$ is contained in that of T for any A -affiliated T [38, equation (1.21)],

the conclusion follows. ■

Let $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ be a strictly positive group-like. The closed subgroup

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\delta)_{>0} \leq (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot) \quad (3-2)$$

of Proposition 3.5 has an alternative interpretation as a group-theoretic invariant attached to the morphism $\underline{\delta} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in Notation 3.3. Every quantum-group morphism has a *closed image*, introduced in [19, Definition 4.2]; we paraphrase that discussion as follows.

Definition 3.6 Let $\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a morphism of LCQGs. The *closed image* $\overline{\mathrm{im} \pi}$ of π is the smallest closed quantum subgroup $\iota : \overline{\mathrm{im} \pi} \leq \mathbb{G}$ for which π admits a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \overline{\mathrm{im} \pi} \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} \end{array} & \mathbb{G}. \end{array} \quad \blacklozenge$$

Theorem 3.7 Let \mathbb{G} be an LCQG, $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ a strictly positive group-like, and $\underline{\delta} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the morphism associated to it as in Notation 3.3.

The closed image

$$\overline{\mathrm{im} \underline{\delta}} \leq (\mathbb{R}, +)$$

is precisely $\log \mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(\delta)$, i.e. the image of the closed subgroup (3-2) under the logarithm isomorphism $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot) \cong (\mathbb{R}, +)$.

Proof Since δ is strictly positive, its functional calculus allows the application of the logarithm to produce a self-adjoint element $\log \delta$ [22, Definition 7.16]. The same goes for

$$\log \exp = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \in' C_0(\mathbb{R}),$$

and since $\underline{\delta}$ intertwines these logarithm operations [22, Proposition 6.17] and by definition sends $\exp \mapsto \delta$, we have

$$\underline{\delta}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \log \delta.$$

In short, then, $\underline{\delta}$ is the unique [22, Proposition 6.5] morphism sending $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\log \delta$.

Write

$$\mathbb{H} := \log \mathrm{Sp}_{>0}(\delta) \leq \mathbb{R}.$$

[22, Result 6.16] says that \mathbb{H} is precisely the spectrum of $\log \delta$, so that by [22, Theorem 3.4] $\underline{\delta}$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\quad C_0(\mathbb{H}) \quad} & \\ C_0(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \underline{\delta} \quad} & M(C_0^u(\mathbb{G})), \end{array}$$

where the top left arrow is the obvious restriction map and the top right map is one-to-one. That injectivity in particular means that there is no further factorization through any smaller quotients of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, meaning precisely what was sought: $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{R}$ the smallest close subgroup factoring $\underline{\delta}$. ■

The application alluded to at the beginning of the section has to do with *property (T)* for LCQGs; this is a quantum version of the classical familiar concept (e.g. [3, Definition 1.1.3]). Early references in the quantum setting are [14, Definition 3.1] for *discrete* quantum groups and, say, [7, Definition 3.1] and [10, §6] for the general concept. We also refer to [12, 9] (which will be cited more heavily shortly) and *their* sources for further information. In brief ([7, Definitions 2.3 and 3.1]):

Definition 3.8 Let \mathbb{G} be an LCQG.

- (1) Let $U \in M(C_0(\mathbb{G}) \otimes K(\mathcal{H}))$ be a unitary \mathbb{G} -representation in the sense, say, of [12, Definition 2.1]. A net $\zeta_i \in \mathcal{H}$ of unit vectors is *almost invariant* (also: constitutes an *almost-invariant vector*) if

$$\|U(\eta \otimes \zeta_i) - \eta \otimes \zeta_i\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$.

- (2) Similarly, having fixed U again, a vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ is *invariant* if

$$U(\eta \otimes \zeta) = \eta \otimes \zeta, \quad \forall \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{G}).$$

- (3) \mathbb{G} has *property (T)* if every unitary representation that has almost-invariant vectors in fact has non-zero invariant vectors. \blacklozenge

Theorem 3.9 below is a slight generalization of the fact that property-(T) quantum groups are unimodular. This latter result has appeared before a number of times: [14, Proposition 3.2] proves the claim for discrete quantum groups, [6, Theorem 6.3] handles second-countable locally compact quantum groups, and [9, Theorem 6.1] proves the general result for arbitrary property-(T) LCQGs. These all deal with the specific group-like element $\delta_{\mathbb{G}}$; among them, the first and third both bear similarities to the argument below.

Theorem 3.9 *For an LCQG \mathbb{G} with property (T) the only strictly positive group-like $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ is 1.*

Proof Consider $\delta \in' C_0(\mathbb{G})$ as in the statement. Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.7 provide us with a morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose closed image is

$$\mathbb{H} := \{\log t \mid 0 \neq t \in \text{Sp}(\delta)\} \leq (\mathbb{R}, +).$$

But the closed image of the resulting morphism $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is then all of \mathbb{H} essentially by definition. It follows that $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ has *dense image* in the sense of [12, Definition 2.8] (see [12, discussion following the statement of Theorem A.1]), and hence \mathbb{H} also has property (T) by [12, Theorem 5.7].

Being classical abelian and property-(T), \mathbb{H} must be compact [3, Theorem 1.1.6] and hence trivial because it is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. We are now done: the spectrum of the strictly-positive operator δ is $\{1\}$, so $\delta = 1$. \blacksquare

References

- [1] Saad Baaĵ and Stefaan Vaes. Double crossed products of locally compact quantum groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 4(1):135–173, 2005.
- [2] Asim O. Barut and Ryszard Rączka. *Theory of group representations and applications*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, second edition, 1986.
- [3] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette. *Kazhdan’s property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [4] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras*, volume 122 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.

- [5] Michael Brannan, Alexandru Chirvasitu, and Ami Viselter. Actions, quotients and lattices of locally compact quantum groups. *Doc. Math.*, 25:2553–2582, 2020.
- [6] Michael Brannan and David Kerr. Quantum groups, property (T), and weak mixing. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 360(3):1043–1059, 2018.
- [7] Xiao Chen and Chi-Keung Ng. Property T for locally compact quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(3):1550024, 13, 2015.
- [8] Alexandru Chirvasitu, Souleiman Omar Hoche, and Paweł Kasprzak. Fundamental isomorphism theorems for quantum groups. *Expo. Math.*, 35(4):390–442, 2017.
- [9] Biswarup Das, Matthew Daws, and Pekka Salmi. Admissibility conjecture and Kazhdan’s property (T) for quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 276(11):3484–3510, 2019.
- [10] Matthew Daws, Pierre Fima, Adam Skalski, and Stuart White. The Haagerup property for locally compact quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 711:189–229, 2016.
- [11] Matthew Daws, Paweł Kasprzak, Adam Skalski, and Piotr M. Sołtan. Closed quantum subgroups of locally compact quantum groups. *Adv. Math.*, 231(6):3473–3501, 2012.
- [12] Matthew Daws, Adam Skalski, and Ami Viselter. Around property (T) for quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 353(1):69–118, 2017.
- [13] Anton Deitmar and Siegfried Echterhoff. *Principles of harmonic analysis*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2014.
- [14] Pierre Fima. Kazhdan’s property T for discrete quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 21(1):47–65, 2010.
- [15] Gerald B. Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, second edition, 2016.
- [16] Uffe Haagerup. Operator-valued weights in von Neumann algebras. I. *J. Functional Analysis*, 32(2):175–206, 1979.
- [17] Uffe Haagerup. Operator-valued weights in von Neumann algebras. II. *J. Functional Analysis*, 33(3):339–361, 1979.
- [18] Roger E. Howe. The Fourier transform for nilpotent locally compact groups. I. *Pacific J. Math.*, 73(2):307–327, 1977.
- [19] Paweł Kasprzak, Fatemeh Khosravi, and Piotr M. Sołtan. Integrable actions and quantum subgroups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):3224–3254, 2018.
- [20] Paweł Kasprzak, Adam Skalski, and Piotr Mikołaj Sołtan. The canonical central exact sequence for locally compact quantum groups. *Math. Nachr.*, 290(8-9):1303–1316, 2017.
- [21] Paweł Kasprzak and Piotr M. Sołtan. Quantum groups with projection and extensions of locally compact quantum groups. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 14(1):105–123, 2020.
- [22] Johan Kustermans. The functional calculus for regular operators in hilbert c^* -modules revisited, 1997. <http://arxiv.org/abs/funct-an/9706007v1>.

- [23] Johan Kustermans. Locally compact quantum groups in the universal setting. *Internat. J. Math.*, 12(3):289–338, 2001.
- [24] Johan Kustermans and Stefaan Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(6):837–934, 2000.
- [25] Johan Kustermans and Stefaan Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting. *Math. Scand.*, 92(1):68–92, 2003.
- [26] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami, and S. L. Woronowicz. A C^* -algebraic framework for quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 14(9):903–1001, 2003.
- [27] Ralf Meyer, Sutanu Roy, and Stanisław Lech Woronowicz. Homomorphisms of quantum groups. *Münster J. Math.*, 5:1–24, 2012.
- [28] S. Montgomery. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, volume 82 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1993.
- [29] Gert K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam). Academic Press, London, 2018. Second edition of [MR0548006], Edited and with a preface by Søren Eilers and Dorte Olesen.
- [30] Walter Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.
- [31] P. M. Sołtan and S. L. Woronowicz. A remark on manageable multiplicative unitaries. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 57(3):239–252, 2001.
- [32] Piotr M. Sołtan and Stanisław L. Woronowicz. From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 252(1):42–67, 2007.
- [33] Șerban Strătilă. *Modular theory in operator algebras*. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucharest; Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1981. Translated from the Romanian by the author.
- [34] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. II*, volume 125 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6.
- [35] Stefaan Vaes. A Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 46(3, suppl.):477–489, 2001.
- [36] Stefaan Vaes. A new approach to induction and imprimitivity results. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 229(2):317–374, 2005.
- [37] Stefaan Vaes and Leonid Vainerman. On low-dimensional locally compact quantum groups. In *Locally compact quantum groups and groupoids (Strasbourg, 2002)*, volume 2 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 127–187. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003.
- [38] S. L. Woronowicz. Unbounded elements affiliated with C^* -algebras and noncompact quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 136(2):399–432, 1991.

- [39] S. L. Woronowicz. From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 7(1):127–149, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: `achirvas@buffalo.edu`