

# TRANSLATION NUMBERS FOR BUNDLE AUTOMORPHISMS AND A COCYCLE ON A GROUP OF HOMEOMORPHISMS

SHUHEI MARUYAMA

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce and study translation numbers for automorphisms of principal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bundles and flat principal  $\mathbb{R}$ -bundles. We use them to show a vanishing result of a characteristic class of foliated bundles and to detect undistortion elements in the group of bundle automorphisms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Poincaré's translation number is an invariant of one-dimensional dynamical systems, and it has been generalized to other cases, e.g., to higher dimensional manifolds. Such generalizations include the asymptotic cycle [Sch57] and the (homological) translation vectors ([MZ89], [Pol92], [Fra96], [Mat97]), which are vector-valued invariants. In [GK11b], Gal and Kędra introduced another type of generalizations called the local rotation number (and the corresponding local translation number), which are contrastingly a numerical invariant. In this paper, we consider the local translation number and introduce a measure theoretic counterpart which should be called the mean translation number.

Let  $A$  be either the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers or the group  $\mathbb{R}^\delta$  of real numbers with the discrete topology. For a path-connected topological space  $X$  and a cohomology class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ , let  $\pi: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow X$  denote a principal  $A$ -bundle corresponding to  $a$ . A homeomorphism  $\hat{g}: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow \hat{X}_a$  is called a *bundle automorphism* if there exists a homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi \circ \hat{g} = g \circ \pi$  holds. Let  $\hat{G}_a$  denote the group of bundle automorphisms of  $\hat{X}_a \rightarrow X$ .

For a bundle automorphism  $\hat{g}$ , we define its local translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\hat{g})$  and mean translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\hat{g})$  in Section 2 (our definition of  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\hat{g})$  slightly differ from one in [GK11b]; see Remark 2.5). Here  $x$  is a point of  $X$ ,  $\mu$  is a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$ , and  $\alpha$  is a singular one-cocycle representing  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ . As suggested by their symbols, these translation numbers depend on the choice of  $x$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$ . They are given as a limit and an integration, and may not exist in some cases; see Definitions 2.4 and 2.6. We note that when  $X$  is a compact space which admits a universal covering, there is a standard way of taking  $\alpha$  (which we call a *standard representative*; see Definition 2.13 and Lemma 2.15), and we can define translation numbers  $\hat{\text{rot}}_x(\hat{g})$  and  $\hat{\text{rot}}_\mu(\hat{g})$  as  $a$ -dependent notions; see Remark 2.17.

Let  $G_a = \text{Homeo}(X, a)$  be the group of  $a$ -preserving homeomorphisms of  $X$ . In [GK11b], Gal and Kędra introduced and studied a group two-cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}$  on  $G_a$  with coefficients in  $A$ . As the Poincaré translation number relates to the Euler class of  $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$ , the local

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37E45; 37E10.

*Key words and phrases.* translation number; undistortion elements.

translation number and the mean translation number relate to the cohomology class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(G_a; A)$ . In particular, we obtain the following vanishing result on the class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $K$  be a group and  $\phi: K \rightarrow G_a$  a homomorphism. If either the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}$  or the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  is defined on the preimage  $p^{-1}(\phi(K))$  of  $\phi(K)$  and is a homomorphism on it, then the cohomology class  $\phi^*[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is equal to zero in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(K; \mathbb{R})$ .

By using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following, which was originally shown in Gal–Kędra [GK11a].

**Theorem 1.2** ([GK11a, Theorem 1.6]). Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $\mu$  a Borel probability measure on  $X$ . Assume that the class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  admits a standard representative. If a homomorphism  $\phi: K \rightarrow G_a$  factors through the group  $G_{a,\mu}$  of  $\mu$ -preserving homeomorphisms, then the class  $\phi^*[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is equal to zero in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(K; \mathbb{R})$ .

Under the settings in Theorem 1.1 (or in Theorem 1.2), we further assume that the cohomology class  $a$  is in  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then we can define an integer-valued cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha,\mathbb{Z}}$  which satisfies  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}] = [\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha,\mathbb{Z}}]$  in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(G_a; \mathbb{R})$ . However, the integral cohomology class  $\phi^*[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha,\mathbb{Z}}] \in H_{\text{grp}}^2(K; \mathbb{Z})$  does not necessarily equal to zero (see Remark 3.6).

We also provide several Seifert-fibered 3-manifolds that the cohomology class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is non-zero (Theorem 3.8).

In Section 4, we use the translation numbers to study distortion in the group  $\widehat{G}_a$  of bundle automorphisms (see Subsection 4.1 for the definition of distortion). The translation numbers detect undistortion elements in  $\widehat{G}_a$  as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $\alpha$  a standard representative. If either a local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  or a mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is non-zero, then  $\widehat{g}$  is undistorted in  $\widehat{G}_a$ .

In [GK11b], Gal and Kędra studied undistortion elements in the group  $G_a = \text{Homeo}(X, a)$ . Theorem 1.3 can be seen as a  $\widehat{G}_a$ -analogue of their results [GK11b, Theorems 1.1 and 1.6].

## 2. TRANSLATION NUMBERS

In this section, we give the definitions of the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}$  and the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$ .

Recall that the correspondence between a flat bundle and its holonomy homomorphism gives a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of principal  $A$ -bundles over  $X$  to  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), A) \cong H^1(X; A)$ . Let  $\pi: \widehat{X}_a \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $A$ -bundle corresponding to the class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  and  $\widehat{G}_a$  the group of bundle automorphisms of  $\widehat{X}_a$ . Since  $\widehat{X}_a \rightarrow X$  is a principal  $A$ -bundle, the group  $A$  acts on  $\widehat{X}_a$  and this defines a bundle automorphism  $T_r: \widehat{X}_a \rightarrow \widehat{X}_a$  for any  $r \in A$ . Note that the bundle automorphism group  $\widehat{G}_a$  is given as

$$(2.1) \quad \widehat{G}_a = \{\widehat{g} \in \text{Homeo}(\widehat{X}_a): \widehat{g} \circ T_r = T_r \circ \widehat{g} \text{ for any } r \in A\}.$$

For  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ , let  $\alpha$  be a singular cocycle with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  which represents  $a$ . Here, when  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , we regard the class  $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  as an element of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$  under the

change of coefficients homomorphism  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\pi^*a = 0$ , there exists a singular zero-cochain  $\theta$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\widehat{X}_a$  such that  $d\theta = \pi^*\alpha$ , where  $d$  denotes the coboundary operator of the singular cochain complex. We regard  $\theta$  as a map from  $\widehat{X}_a$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Notation 2.1.** For a singular chain  $c$  and singular cochain  $u$  on  $X$ , let  $\int_c u$  denote their pairing.

**Lemma 2.2.** There exists a cochain  $\theta$  satisfying  $d\theta = \pi^*\alpha$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \theta(T_r(\widehat{x})) = \theta(\widehat{x}) + r$$

for any  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_a$  and  $r \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta'$  be a cochain satisfying  $d\theta' = \pi^*\alpha$ . Let us fix a point  $y$  in  $X$  and  $\widehat{y}$  a point in the fiber on  $y$ . First we consider the case where  $\widehat{y}$  and  $T_r(\widehat{y})$  belong to the same path-connected component. We take a path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{X}_a$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \widehat{y}$  and  $\gamma(1) = T_r(\widehat{y})$ . Then, we have

$$\theta'(T_r(\widehat{y})) - \theta'(\widehat{y}) = \int_{\gamma} d\theta' = \int_{\gamma} \pi^*\alpha = \int_{\pi(\gamma)} \alpha.$$

Since  $\alpha$  represents the class  $a$  and it corresponds to the holonomy homomorphism of  $\widehat{X}_a \rightarrow X$ , the value  $\int_{\pi(\gamma)} \alpha$  is equal to the difference of  $\gamma(1)$  and  $\gamma(0)$ , that is, equal to  $r$ . For any locally constant function  $C: \widehat{X}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the sum  $\theta = \theta' + C$  also satisfies  $d\theta = \pi^*\alpha$ . With a suitable choice of  $C$ , the cochain  $\theta = \theta' + C$  satisfies

$$\theta(T_r(\widehat{y})) = \theta(\widehat{y}) + r$$

for any  $r \in A$  and any  $\widehat{y}$  in the fiber on  $y$ . This  $\theta$  is a desired cochain. Indeed, let  $x$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$  and  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_a$  its lift. For a path  $\sigma$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , there exists a unique lift  $\tilde{\sigma}$  starting at  $\widehat{x}$ . Since the other endpoint is written as  $T_s(\widehat{y})$  for some  $s \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(T_r(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}) &= \theta(T_{r+s}(\widehat{y})) - \int_{T_r\tilde{\sigma}} d\theta - \left( \theta(T_s(\widehat{y})) - \int_{\tilde{\sigma}} d\theta \right) \\ &= r - \int_{\sigma} \alpha + \int_{\sigma} \alpha = r. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $x$  be a point in  $X$  and  $\theta$  a singular zero-cochain on  $\widehat{X}_a$  as in Lemma 2.2. The function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}: \widehat{G}_a \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}),$$

where  $\widehat{x}$  is a point in the fiber on  $x$ .

Note that, by Lemma 2.2, the function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$  is independent of the choice of  $\theta$  and the lift  $\widehat{x}$  of  $x$ .

Definition 2.4 (Local translation number). For an element  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{G}_a$ , its *local translation number*  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is defined by

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^n)}{n}$$

if this limit exists.

Remark 2.5. In [GK11b, Proposition 3.10], Gal and Kędra considered the value

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(\widehat{g}^n(\widehat{x}))}{n}$$

for an arbitrary zero-cochain  $\theta$  satisfying  $d\theta = \pi^*\alpha$  (i.e.,  $\theta$  does not necessarily satisfy condition (2.2)) when  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $A = \mathbb{R}$ , limit (2.3) depends on the choice of  $\theta$ .

If the function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$  is a quasimorphism on the cyclic subgroup  $\langle \widehat{g} \rangle \subset \widehat{G}_a$ , that is, the set  $\{\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^{m+n}) - \rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^m) - \rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is bounded, then the limit exists (see [Cal09, Lemma 2.21] for example). In particular, if  $\widehat{g}$  preserves the fiber on  $x$  (as a set), the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is defined.

Another condition that guarantees the existence of the limit comes from ergodic theory. Let  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism covered by  $\widehat{g}$  and  $\mu$  a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$ . If the function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is in  $L^1(\mu)$ , the limit exists  $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is also in  $L^1(\mu)$  by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem.

Definition 2.6 (Mean translation number). For an element  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{G}_a$ , its *mean translation number*  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is defined by

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \int_X \rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) d\mu(x)$$

if this integral exists.

Remark 2.7. (1) Birkhoff's ergodic theorem also implies that  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \int_X \widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) d\mu(x)$ .

Moreover, if  $g$  is  $\mu$ -ergodic, the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is constant  $\mu$ -a.e. and equal to the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$   $\mu$ -a.e.

(2) For any homeomorphism  $g$  on a compact metric space  $X$ , there always exists a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  by the Krylov–Bogolioubov theorem (see [Wal82, Corollary 6.9.1] for example).

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $g$  and  $h$  be elements of  $G_a$  covered by  $\widehat{g}$  and  $\widehat{h}$  in  $\widehat{G}_a$ , respectively.

(1) If  $g$  and  $h$  preserve a point  $x$  in  $X$ , then

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{gh}) = \widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) + \widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{h}).$$

(2) If  $g$  and  $h$  preserve a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ , then

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{gh}) = \widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) + \widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{h})$$

if each term exists.

*Proof.* Since

$$\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}) = \theta(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) + \theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}) = \rho_{h(x),\alpha}(\widehat{g}) + \rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{h})$$

for any  $\widehat{g}$  and  $\widehat{h}$  in  $\widehat{G}_a$ , the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $A$  be the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers and  $g$  an element of  $G_a$  covered by  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{G}_a$ . If  $g$  has a periodic point  $x$  of period  $q$ , then the following hold:

- (1) The local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is of the form  $n/q$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) Assume that  $\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  is measurable. Then, there exists a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  such that the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  is equal to  $n/q$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Take a lift  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_a$  of  $x$ . By the assumption of  $x$ , there exists an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\widehat{g}^q(\widehat{x}) = T_n(\widehat{x})$ .

- (1) Notice that the local translation number for a periodic point  $x$  always exists since  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$  is a quasimorphism on the cyclic subgroup  $\langle \widehat{g} \rangle$ . Since  $\theta(T_n(\widehat{x})) = \theta(\widehat{x}) + n$ , we have  $\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^{qk}) = nk$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we have

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^{qk})}{k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^{qk})}{qk} = \frac{1}{q} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nk}{k} = \frac{n}{q}.$$

- (2) For  $y \in X$ , let  $\delta_y$  denote the Dirac measure on  $y$ , and we define a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \delta_{g^i(x)}.$$

By (1), we have  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{g^i(x),\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = n/q$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ . Hence we obtain

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \int_X \widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) d\mu(x) = \frac{n}{q}.$$

$\square$

**Remark 2.10.** Even if the translation numbers  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  and  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  are equal to zero, the homeomorphism  $g$  may not have a periodic point. Let  $X = T^2$  be the two-dimensional torus and  $a$  the cohomology class corresponding to  $(1,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} = H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then the space  $\widehat{X}_a$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ . For an irrational number  $r$ , we define  $g_r: X \rightarrow X$  and its lift  $\widehat{g}_r$  by

$$g_r(z, w) = (z, we^{2\pi ir})$$

and

$$\widehat{g}_r(x, w) = (x, we^{2\pi ir}),$$

respectively. Then, for  $\alpha = dz$ , the translation numbers are equal to zero although  $g_r$  has no periodic points.

Under the setting in Proposition 2.9, the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  and the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  are independent of the choice of  $\alpha$ . This is generalized as follows:

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be singular one-cocycles representing the class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  and  $\beta$  a singular zero-cochain on  $X$  satisfying  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = d\beta$ . We regard  $\beta$  as a function  $\beta: X \rightarrow A$ . Let  $g$  be an element of  $G_a$  covered by  $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_a$ .

- (1) Assume that  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha_1}(\hat{g})$  and  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha_2}(\hat{g})$  are defined. If  $\beta$  is a bounded function on the orbit  $\{g^i(x)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the equality

$$\hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha_1}(\hat{g}) = \hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha_2}(\hat{g})$$

holds.

- (2) Let  $\mu$  be a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$  and assume that  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha_1}(\hat{g})$  and  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha_2}(\hat{g})$  are defined. If  $\int_X (\beta(g(x)) - \beta(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$ , the equality

$$\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha_1}(\hat{g}) = \hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha_2}(\hat{g})$$

holds.

Remark 2.12. If  $\beta: X \rightarrow A$  is measurable, the condition  $\int_X (\beta(g(x)) - \beta(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$  holds.

*Proof of Proposition 2.11.* Let  $\theta_j$  be a zero-cochain on  $\hat{X}_a$  for  $\alpha_j$  as in Lemma 2.2. Since  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = d\beta$ , we have  $d(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = d(\pi^*\beta)$ . Hence there exists a locally constant function  $C: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta_1 - \theta_2 - \pi^*\beta = C$ . This  $C$  is in fact globally constant since the equality

$$C(T_r(\hat{y})) = \theta_1(T_r(\hat{y})) - \theta_2(T_r(\hat{y})) - \pi^*\beta(T_r(\hat{y})) = \theta_1(\hat{y}) - \theta_2(\hat{y}) - \pi^*\beta(\hat{y}) = C(\hat{y})$$

holds for any  $\hat{y} \in \hat{X}_a$  and  $r \in A$ . Hence we obtain

$$\rho_{x, \alpha_1}(\hat{g}) - \rho_{x, \alpha_2}(\hat{g}) = \beta(g(x)) - \beta(x),$$

and this immediately implies the proposition.  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ . A singular one-cocycle  $\alpha$  representing  $a$  is called *standard* if there exists a zero-cochain  $\theta: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta$  is continuous,  $\pi^*\alpha = d\theta$ , and

$$\theta(T_r(\hat{x})) = \theta(\hat{x}) + r$$

for any  $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}_a$  and  $r \in A$ . Let  $S_a$  be the set of standard cocycles of  $a$ .

Remark 2.14. For a standard representative  $\alpha$  of  $a$ , the function  $\rho_{x, \alpha}(\hat{g})$  is continuous with respect to  $x$  for any  $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_a$ . Hence, if we assume that  $X$  is compact, the function  $\rho_{x, \alpha}(\hat{g})$  is in  $L^1(\mu)$  for any Borel probability measure on  $X$ . In particular, for  $p(\hat{g})$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$ , the mean translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha}(\hat{g})$  always exists and the local translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha}(\hat{g})$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e.

If the space  $X$  is a smooth manifold, we can take  $\alpha$  as a differential one-form and  $\theta$  as a differential zero-form, that is, a smooth function on  $\hat{X}_a$ . Hence any cohomology class on a smooth manifold admits a standard representative. More generally, the following lemma holds, which was essentially proved in [GK11b, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 2.15.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact space which admits a universal covering. Then, for any  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ , there exists a standard representative. In particular,  $S_a$  is non-empty.

*Proof.* By abuse of notation, let  $a: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow A$  denote the holonomy homomorphism. When  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the homomorphism  $a: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as a real-valued homomorphism by composition with the inclusion  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$  be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -bundle associated to the holonomy homomorphism  $a$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is endowed with the usual topology. Since the fiber is contractible and  $X$  is paracompact, there exists a continuous section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{R}$ . We define a map  $\theta: \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$[\tilde{x}, r] = T_{\theta([\tilde{x}, r])}(\sigma\pi([\tilde{x}, r])).$$

Then, the function  $\theta$  satisfies  $\theta(T_s([\tilde{x}, r])) = \theta([\tilde{x}, r]) + s$ . Moreover, since the section  $\sigma$  is continuous, so is  $\theta$ . The  $A$ -bundle  $\hat{X}_a = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} A$  is a subset of  $\tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{R}$  with a finer topology. Hence the map  $\theta$  remains continuous on  $\hat{X}_a$ . Moreover, there exists a singular one-cocycle  $\alpha$  such that  $d\theta = \pi^*\alpha$ . Indeed, for paths  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}'$  on  $\hat{X}_a$  satisfying  $\pi_*\hat{\gamma} = \pi_*\hat{\gamma}'$ , there exists a real number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\hat{\gamma} = T_r \circ \hat{\gamma}'$  since the fiber of  $\hat{X}_a$  has the discrete topology. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{\gamma}} d\theta &= \theta(\hat{\gamma}(1)) - \theta(\hat{\gamma}(0)) = \theta(T_r(\hat{\gamma}'(1))) - \theta(T_r(\hat{\gamma}'(0))) \\ &= \theta(\hat{\gamma}'(1)) + r - (\theta(\hat{\gamma}'(0)) + r) = \int_{\hat{\gamma}'} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that the cocycle  $\alpha$  represents the class  $a$ . It suffices to show that, for any loop  $\gamma$  in  $X$ , the value  $\int_{\gamma} \alpha$  is equal to the holonomy of  $\gamma$ . Let  $\hat{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{X}_a$  be a lift of  $\gamma$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha = \int_{\pi_*\hat{\gamma}} \alpha = \int_{\hat{\gamma}} \pi^*\alpha = \int_{\hat{\gamma}} d\theta = \theta(\hat{\gamma}(1)) - \theta(\hat{\gamma}(0)),$$

and hence  $\alpha$  represents the class  $a$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.16.** Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ . Assume that  $S_a$  is non-empty. Then, the local translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha}$  and the mean translation number  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha}$  are independent of the choice of  $\alpha \in S_a$ .

*Proof.* For elements  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  of  $S_a$ , we take zero-cochains  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively, as in the definition of  $S_a$ . Then there exists a zero-cochain  $\beta: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a constant function  $C$  such that

$$\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \pi^*\beta + C$$

by the same argument in the proof of Proposition 2.11. Since  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are continuous, so is  $\beta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, Proposition 2.11 and the compactness of  $X$  imply the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 2.17.** Let  $X$  be a compact space and  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  a cohomology class which admits a standard representative. For a point  $x$  and a Borel probability measure  $\mu$ , we define  $\hat{\text{rot}}_x$  and  $\hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu}$  by

$$\hat{\text{rot}}_x = \hat{\text{rot}}_{x, \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu} = \hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu, \alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha \in S_a$ . These translation numbers are well-defined and  $a$ -dependent notions by Proposition 2.16, although they will not appear in the rest of the paper.

**2.1. Relation to the homological translation vector.** Let us recall the definition of the homological translation number (see [Fra96] and [Mat97] for details). Let  $X$  be a closed manifold and  $\{g_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  an isotopy from the identity  $\text{id}_X = g_0$  to a homeomorphism  $g = g_1$ . Let  $\tilde{g}$  denote the isotopy class of  $\{g_t\}$  relative to the fixed endpoints. For a point  $x$  in  $X$ , the homological translation vector  $h_{x,\tilde{g}}$  of  $x$  is defined as an element of

$$H_1(X; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}([X, S^1], \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $[X, S^1]$  is the homotopy set of continuous maps  $X \rightarrow S^1$ . For a continuous map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and a path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , let  $\varphi_\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous lift of the map  $t \mapsto \varphi(\gamma(t))$ , and we set

$$\Delta_\varphi(\gamma) = \varphi_\gamma(1) - \varphi_\gamma(0).$$

Then, the homological translation vector  $h_{x,\tilde{g}}: [X, S^1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$h_{x,\tilde{g}}([\varphi]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \Delta_\varphi(\{g_t(x)\} * \{g_t \circ g(x)\} * \cdots * \{g_t \circ g^{n-1}(x)\})$$

if the limit exists. Here  $*$  denotes the concatenation of the paths. For a  $g$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$ , the mean translation number  $h_{\mu,\tilde{g}} \in H_1(X; \mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$h_{\mu,\tilde{g}}([\varphi]) = \int_X \Delta_\varphi(\{g_t(x)\}) d\mu(x).$$

For a continuous map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow S^1$ , let  $\alpha_\varphi$  be the one-cocycle on  $X$  induced by  $\Delta_\varphi$ . Note that this induces the isomorphism  $[X, S^1] \cong \text{Im}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}))$ . We set  $a = [\alpha_\varphi] \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ . For an isotopy  $\{g_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ , let  $\{\hat{g}_t: \hat{X}_a \rightarrow \hat{X}_a\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  be the lift from  $\text{id}_{\hat{X}_a} = \hat{g}_0$ , and we set  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}_1$ .

**Proposition 2.18.** The equalities

$$h_{x,\tilde{g}}([\varphi]) = \hat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha_\varphi}(\hat{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\mu,\tilde{g}}([\varphi]) = \hat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha_\varphi}(\hat{g})$$

hold.

*Proof.* We take a zero-cochain  $\theta_\varphi$  for  $\alpha_\varphi$  by Lemma 2.2. Since  $d\theta_\varphi = \pi^* \alpha_\varphi$ , we have

$$\Delta_\varphi(\{g_t(x)\}) = \int_{\{g_t(x)\}} \alpha_\varphi = \int_{\{\hat{g}_t(\hat{x})\}} d\theta_\varphi = \theta_\varphi(\hat{g}(\hat{x})) - \theta_\varphi(\hat{x}).$$

This implies the proposition. □

### 3. ON THE GAL–KEDRA COCYCLE

The goal of this section is to show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.



**3.1. Preliminary on group cohomology.** In this subsection, we review group cohomology (see [Bro82] for details). For a group  $G$  and a trivial  $G$ -module  $Z$ , a *group  $p$ -cochain of  $G$  with coefficients in  $Z$*  is a function from the  $n$ -fold product  $G^n$  to  $Z$ . Let  $C_{\text{grp}}^n(G; Z)$  denote the module of group  $n$ -cochains. The coboundary map  $\delta: C_{\text{grp}}^n(G; Z) \rightarrow C_{\text{grp}}^{n+1}(G; Z)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta c(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = & c(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i c(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ & + (-1)^{n+1} c(g_1, \dots, g_n) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n > 0$  and  $\delta = 0$  for  $n = 0$ . The cohomology of the cochain complex  $(C_{\text{grp}}^*(G; Z), \delta)$  is denoted by  $H_{\text{grp}}^*(G; Z)$  and called the *group cohomology of  $G$* . By definition, we have  $H_{\text{grp}}^0(G; Z) \cong Z$  and  $H_{\text{grp}}^1(G; Z) \cong \text{Hom}(G, Z)$ , where  $\text{Hom}(G, Z)$  denotes the module of  $Z$ -valued homomorphisms on  $G$ .

The second cohomology group  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; Z)$  is closely related to the central  $Z$ -extensions of  $G$ . An exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$  is called a *central  $Z$ -extension of  $G$*  if the image  $i(Z)$  is contained in the center of  $E$ .

**Theorem 3.1** ([Bro82, (3.12) Theorem]). The second group cohomology  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; Z)$  with coefficients in  $Z$  is bijective to the set of equivalence classes of central  $Z$ -extensions of  $G$ ;

$$(3.1) \quad H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; Z) \cong \{\text{central } Z\text{-extensions of } G\} / \{\text{splitting extensions}\}.$$

For a central  $Z$ -extension  $E$ , the corresponding cohomology class  $e(E)$  under bijection (3.1) is called the *Euler class of the central extension  $E$* . One of the cocycles representing the Euler class  $e(E)$  is given as the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.2** ([Mor16, Proposition 1]). Let  $Z$  and  $W$  be trivial  $G$ -modules,  $0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$  be a central extension, and  $f: Z \rightarrow W$  a homomorphism. Let  $F: E \rightarrow W$  be a function whose restriction  $F|_Z$  is equal to  $f$ , where we identify  $i(Z)$  with  $Z$ . Then, there exists a cocycle  $c \in C_{\text{grp}}^2(G; W)$  such that the pullback  $p^*c$  is equal to  $-\delta F$ . Moreover, the cocycle  $c$  represents the class  $f_*e(E) \in H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; W)$ , where  $f_*: H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; Z) \rightarrow H_{\text{grp}}^2(G; W)$  is the change of coefficients homomorphism.

**Remark 3.3.** For a central extension  $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$  and a homomorphism  $f: Z \rightarrow W$ , the class  $f_*e(E)$  is trivial if and only if the homomorphism  $f: Z \rightarrow W$  extends to a homomorphism  $F: E \rightarrow W$  by Proposition 3.2. In particular, the Euler class  $e(E)$  is trivial if and only if the identity homomorphism  $\text{id}_Z$  extends to a homomorphism  $F: E \rightarrow Z$ .

**3.2. Gal–Kędra cocycle.** For  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ , let  $G_a = \text{Homeo}(X, a)$  be the group of  $a$ -preserving homeomorphisms of  $X$ . Gal and Kędra defined in [GK11b] a group two-cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x, \alpha}$  on  $G_a$ , which we call the *Gal–Kędra cocycle*. For a point  $x \in X$  and a representative  $\alpha$  of  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ , the cocycle is defined by

$$\mathfrak{G}_{x, \alpha}(g, h) = \int_x^{h(x)} g^* \alpha - \alpha$$

for  $g, h \in G_a$ . Here, the symbol  $\int_x^{h(x)} \sigma$  denotes the pairing of a singular one-cochain  $\sigma$  and a path from  $x$  to  $h(x)$ . Since  $g^*\alpha - \alpha$  is a coboundary,  $\mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}(g, h)$  is independent of the choice of paths from  $x$  to  $h(x)$ . This group two-cochain  $\mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}$  is a cocycle, and its cohomology class is independent of the choice of  $x$  and  $\alpha$  (see [GK11b] for details; see also [ILM06]).

Note that the projection  $\widehat{G}_a \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$  factors through  $G_a$ . Moreover, the induced map  $p: \widehat{G}_a \rightarrow G_a$  is surjective. In particular, we have a central extension

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \widehat{G}_a \xrightarrow{p} G_a \rightarrow 1.$$

In [GK11a], the following is shown.

**Theorem 3.4** ([GK11a, Theorem 5.1]). The cocycle  $\mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}$  represents the Euler class  $e(\widehat{G}_a)$  of central extension (3.2).

To see the relation between the cocycle  $\mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}$  and the local rotation number (or the function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$ ), we state a proof of Theorem 3.4 using  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* For any  $r \in A$ , we have

$$\rho_{x,\alpha}(T_r) = \theta(T_r(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}) = \theta(\widehat{x}) + r - \theta(\widehat{x}) = r$$

by Lemma 2.2. Hence the restriction  $\rho_{x,\alpha}|_A$  is equal to the identity homomorphism  $\text{id}_A$ .

For any  $\widehat{g}, \widehat{h} \in \widehat{G}_a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}, \widehat{h}) &= \theta(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}) - (\theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x}) + \theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x})) \\ &= \theta(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - (\theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x})). \end{aligned}$$

We set  $p(\widehat{g}) = g$  and  $p(\widehat{h}) = h$ , then we have

$$p^*\mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}, \widehat{h}) = \mathfrak{S}_{x,\alpha}(g, h) = \int_x^{h(x)} g^*\alpha - \alpha.$$

Let  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a path satisfying  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = h(x)$ . We take the lift  $\widehat{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{X}_a$  of  $\gamma$  satisfying  $\widehat{\gamma}(0) = \widehat{x}$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{h(x)} g^*\alpha - \alpha &= \int_\gamma g^*\alpha - \alpha = \int_{\pi(\widehat{\gamma})} g^*\alpha - \alpha = \int_{\widehat{\gamma}} \pi^*g^*\alpha - \pi^*\alpha \\ &= \int_{\widehat{\gamma}} \widehat{g}^*\pi^*\alpha - \pi^*\alpha = \int_{\widehat{\gamma}} \widehat{g}^*d\theta - d\theta = \int_{\widehat{\gamma}} d(\widehat{g}^*\theta - \theta) \\ &= \int_{\partial\widehat{\gamma}} \widehat{g}^*\theta - \theta = \theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{\gamma}(1))) - \theta(\widehat{\gamma}(1)) - (\theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{\gamma}(0))) - \theta(\widehat{\gamma}(0))) \\ &= \theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{\gamma}(1))) - \theta(\widehat{\gamma}(1)) - (\theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{x})). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\widehat{\gamma}(1)$  is in the fiber on  $h(x)$ , there exists a number  $r \in A$  such that  $\widehat{\gamma}(1) = T_r(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x}))$ . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\widehat{g}(\widehat{\gamma}(1))) - \theta(\widehat{\gamma}(1)) &= \theta(\widehat{g}(T_r(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})))) - \theta(T_r(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x}))) \\ &= \theta(T_r(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x}))) - \theta(T_r(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x}))) \\ &= \theta(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) + r - (\theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) + r) = \theta(\widehat{g}\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})) - \theta(\widehat{h}(\widehat{x})). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $p^*\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha} = -\delta\rho_{x,\alpha}$ . By Proposition 3.2, we obtain  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}] = e(\widehat{G}_a)$ .  $\square$

Remark 3.5. (1) In the proof of Theorem 3.4, we showed that the equality  $p^*\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha} = -\delta\rho_{x,\alpha}$  holds. Let  $G_a^b$  be the subgroup of  $G_a$  on which the cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}$  is bounded and  $\widehat{G}_a^b$  the preimage of  $G_a^b$  with respect to the projection  $\widehat{G}_a \rightarrow G_a$ . The equality  $p^*\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha} = -\delta\rho_{x,\alpha}$  implies that the function  $\rho_{x,\alpha}$  is a quasimorphism on  $\widehat{G}_a^b$ . Hence, the local translation number gives rise to a homogeneous quasimorphism

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}: \widehat{G}_a^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

(See [Cal09] for basics of quasimorphisms.)

(2) In general, the cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}$  is not bounded on  $G_a$ . For example, let  $T^n$  be a  $n$ -dimensional torus for  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $i: S^1 \rightarrow T^n$  be the injection defined by  $i(x) = (x, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\phi: \text{Homeo}_+(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(T^n)$  the homomorphism defined by

$$(\phi(f))(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (f(x_1), x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $x \in S^1$ ,  $f \in \text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in T^n$ . If  $a \in H^1(T^n; \mathbb{Z})$  is a class satisfying  $i^*a \neq 0$ , the pullback  $\phi^*[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is equal to the Euler class of  $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$  up to non-zero constant multiple. In particular, the class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is non-zero on  $\text{Homeo}_0(T^n)$ . Because any non-zero cohomology class in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(\text{Homeo}_0(T^n); \mathbb{Z})$  is unbounded [MR18], the cocycle  $\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}$  is not bounded for any  $x$  and  $\alpha$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let us consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G}_a & \longrightarrow & G_a \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \widehat{\phi} \uparrow & & \uparrow \phi \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \phi^*\widehat{G}_a & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where  $\phi^*\widehat{G}_a$  is the pullback of  $\widehat{G}_a$ . By assumption, either the local translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}$  or the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  defines a homomorphism  $\phi^*\widehat{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose restriction to  $A$  is equal to the inclusion map  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Hence the Euler class  $e(\phi^*\widehat{G}_a)$  is trivial in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(K; \mathbb{R})$  by Remark 3.3. On the other hand, the class  $e(\phi^*\widehat{G}_a)$  is equal to the pullback  $\phi^*(e(\widehat{G}_a))$ . Hence the class  $\phi^*(e(\widehat{G}_a))$  is equal to zero.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $\alpha$  be a standard representative of  $a$ . By Remark 2.14, the mean translation number  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  is defined on the preimage  $p^{-1}(G_{a,\mu})$ . By Proposition 2.8,  $\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}$  is a homomorphism on  $p^{-1}(G_{a,\mu})$ . Since  $p^{-1}(\phi(K)) \subset p^{-1}(G_{a,\mu})$ , Theorem 1.1 implies Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

Remark 3.6. In general, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 do not hold in  $H_{\text{grp}}^2(G_{a,\mu}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Indeed, if  $X = S^1$  and  $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is the generator, the class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha_{\mathbb{Z}}}] \in H_{\text{grp}}^2(\text{Homeo}_+(S^1); \mathbb{Z})$  is equal to the Euler class of  $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$ , which is non-zero on the Lebesgue measure preserving subgroup. For example, it is known that the Euler class with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is non-zero on the subgroup  $SO(2)$  of  $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$ , which preserves the Lebesgue measure.

**3.3. Cohomological non-triviality of the Gal–Kędra cocycle.** Concerning the non-triviality of the cohomology class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$ , Gal–Kędra showed in [GK11a] the following:

**Theorem 3.7** ([GK11a, Theorem 1.9]). Let  $K$  be a connected topological group and  $\phi: K \rightarrow G_a$  be a homomorphism. Assume that the canonical homomorphism  $H^2(BK; A) \rightarrow H^2(BK^\delta; A)$  is injective, where  $BK$  and  $BK^\delta$  are the classifying spaces. Then the class  $\phi^*[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}]$  is non-zero if and only if  $\text{ev}_x^* a \in H_{\text{grp}}^1(K; A)$  is non-zero. Here  $\text{ev}_x: K \rightarrow X$  is the map defined by  $\text{ev}_x(k) = (\phi(k))(x) \in X$ .

By using this, we show that the Gal–Kędra cocycle for several Seifert-fibered 3-manifolds is cohomologically non-trivial (we refer to [JN83] for basics of Seifert-fibered 3-manifolds).

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $X$  be a closed Seifert-fibered 3-manifold whose Euler number is equal to zero. If  $X$  is not covered by  $S^3$ , there exists a cohomology class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  such that the cohomology class  $[\mathfrak{G}_{x,\alpha}] \in H_{\text{grp}}^2(G_a; A)$  is non-zero.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the identity component  $\text{Homeo}_0(X)$  of the homeomorphism group  $\text{Homeo}(X)$  and  $\phi: K \rightarrow G_a$  the inclusion. Thurston’s theorem [Thu74] asserts that the map

$$B\iota^*: H^*(BK; A) \rightarrow H^*(BK^\delta; A)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence, by Theorem 3.7, it suffices to construct a cohomology class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$  such that  $\text{ev}_x^* a \in H^1(K; A)$  is non-zero for some point  $x \in X$ . Since  $X$  is a Seifert-fibered 3-manifold,  $X$  is equipped with the circle action

$$\iota: S^1 \rightarrow K$$

whose orbits are the fibers of  $X$ . Hence, we now construct a cohomology class  $a \in H^1(K; A)$  which is non-zero on a fiber of  $X$ , that is,  $\iota^* \text{ev}_x^* a \neq 0$ .

Let  $(g; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n))$  be a Seifert invariant of  $X$ . If  $g \geq 0$ , a presentation of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  is given by

$$\langle a_i, b_i, q_j, h \mid [h, a_i] = [h, b_i] = [h, q_j] = 1, q_j^{\alpha_j} h^{\beta_j} = 1, q_1 \dots q_n [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_g, b_g] = 1 \rangle.$$

Here  $h$  corresponds to a regular fiber of  $X$  and 1 denotes the unit element of  $\pi_1(X)$ . Note that  $h$  is an element of infinite order since  $X$  is not covered by  $S^3$  (see [Sco83, Lemma 3.2] for example). Hence  $\alpha_j$  is non-zero for any  $j$ . We set  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$  and define a map  $\phi: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow A$  by

$$\phi(a_i) = \phi(b_i) = 0, \quad \phi(q_j) = \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta_j}{\alpha_j}, \quad \phi(h) = \alpha.$$

This map is a well-defined homomorphism since the Euler number of  $X$  is equal to zero. Since  $\phi(h) = \alpha \neq 0$ , the homomorphism  $\phi$  defines a desired cohomology class  $a \in H^1(X; A)$ .

The proof for the case where  $g < 0$  is similar.  $\square$

## 4. UNDISTORTION ELEMENTS IN THE GROUP OF BUNDLE AUTOMORPHISMS

In this section, we assume that  $S_a$  is non-empty. We apply the translation numbers to study distortion in the group  $\widehat{G}_a$ .

**4.1. Distortion in groups.** Let us recall distortion in groups. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group and  $S$  a finite (symmetric) generating set of  $\Gamma$ . For any element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , its *word norm*  $|\gamma|_S$  is defined by

$$|\gamma|_S = \min\{l : \gamma = s_1 \cdots s_l \text{ for some } s_j \in S\},$$

and its *translation length*  $\tau(\gamma)$  is given as

$$\tau(\gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\gamma^n|_S}{n}.$$

An element  $\gamma$  is called *distorted* in  $\Gamma$  if  $\tau(\gamma) = 0$  and *undistorted* otherwise. In contrast to the word norm and the translation length, distortion and undistortion do not depend on the choice of generators.

For an arbitrary group  $G$ , an element  $g \in G$  is *undistorted* in  $G$  if it is undistorted in every finitely generated subgroup of  $G$ .

**4.2. A seminorm on  $\widehat{G}_a$ .** From here to the end of the next subsection, we fix a standard cocycle  $\alpha$  of  $a$ . By the definition of standard cocycles, the value  $\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})$  depends continuously on  $x$ . Hence, the set  $\{\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) : x \in X\}$  is bounded when  $X$  is compact. We set

$$(4.1) \quad \|\widehat{g}\|_\alpha = \sup_{x \in X} |\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})|.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $X$  is compact, then  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  is a seminorm on the group  $\widehat{G}_a$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{G}_a$ , we have  $\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^{-1}) = -\rho_{g^{-1}(x),\theta(\widehat{g})}$ , where  $g = p(\widehat{g}) \in G_a$ . Hence the equality  $\|\widehat{g}^{-1}\|_\alpha = \|\widehat{g}\|_\alpha$  follows.

For  $\widehat{g}, \widehat{h} \in \widehat{G}_a$ , we set  $g = p(\widehat{g})$  and  $h = p(\widehat{h})$ . Then we obtain

$$\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{gh}) = \rho_{h(x),\theta(\widehat{g})} + \rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{h}),$$

and this implies the inequality  $\|\widehat{gh}\|_\alpha \leq \|\widehat{g}\|_\alpha + \|\widehat{h}\|_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Undistortion in  $\widehat{G}_a$ .** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $\widehat{G}_a$  and  $S$  a finite generating set. Let  $|\cdot|_S$  be the word norm with respect to  $S$ . It is easily verified that an inequality

$$(4.2) \quad C \cdot |\widehat{g}|_S \geq \|\widehat{g}\|_\alpha$$

holds for any  $\widehat{g} \in \Gamma$ , where  $C = \max\{\|s\|_\alpha : s \in S\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Note that the inequality  $|\widehat{\text{rot}}_{\mu,\alpha}(\widehat{g})| > 0$  implies the existence of a point  $x \in X$  with  $|\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})| > 0$  by Remark 2.7 (1). Hence it suffices to show that  $\widehat{g}$  is undistorted in  $\widehat{G}_a$  when  $|\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})| > 0$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $\widehat{G}_a$  that contains  $\widehat{g}$  and  $S$  a finite generating set of  $\Gamma$ . Then we have

$$\frac{C \cdot |\widehat{g}^n|_S}{n} \geq \frac{\|\widehat{g}^n\|_\alpha}{n} \geq \frac{|\rho_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}^n)|}{n}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\tau(\widehat{g}) \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot |\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g})| > 0$$

by assumption. Since  $\Gamma$  is arbitrary, the element  $\widehat{g}$  is undistorted in  $\widehat{G}_a$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $X$  be a compact space,  $\widehat{g}$  an element of  $\widehat{G}_a$ , and set  $g = p(\widehat{g}) \in G_a$ . Assume that  $g$  has a periodic point  $x \in X$  of period  $q$ . If there exists a lift  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_a$  of  $x$  such that  $\widehat{g}^q(\widehat{x}) \neq \widehat{x}$ , then  $\widehat{g}$  is undistorted in  $\widehat{G}_a$ . In particular, the element  $T_r$  is undistorted in  $\widehat{G}_a$  for any non-zero number  $r \in A$ .

*Proof.* By assumption, the points  $\widehat{g}^q(\widehat{x})$  and  $\widehat{x}$  belong to the same fiber, and hence there exists a non-zero number  $r \in A$  such that  $\widehat{g}^q(\widehat{x}) = T_r(\widehat{x})$ . Then, by the calculation same as in the proof of Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\widehat{\text{rot}}_{x,\alpha}(\widehat{g}) = \frac{r}{q} \neq 0.$$

This, together with Theorem 1.3, implies the corollary.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Yoshifumi Matsuda for helpful discussions. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21J11199.

#### REFERENCES

- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [Cal09] Danny Calegari, *scl*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 20, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009.
- [Fra96] John Franks, *Rotation vectors and fixed points of area preserving surface diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 7, 2637–2662.
- [GK11a] Światosław R. Gal and Jarek Kędra,  $\int_x^{hx}(g^*\alpha - \alpha)$ , arXiv:1105.0825 (2011).
- [GK11b] ———, *On distortion in groups of homeomorphisms*, J. Mod. Dyn. **5** (2011), no. 3, 609–622.
- [ILM06] Rais S. Ismagilov, Mark Losik, and Peter W. Michor, *A 2-cocycle on a symplectomorphism group*, Mosc. Math. J. **6** (2006), no. 2, 307–315.
- [JN83] Mark Jankins and Walter D. Neumann, *Lectures on Seifert manifolds*, Brandeis Lecture Notes, vol. 2, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, 1983.
- [Mat97] Shigenori Matsumoto, *Rotation sets of surface homeomorphisms*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 1, 89–101.
- [Mor16] Hitoshi Moriyoshi, *The Calabi invariant and central extensions of diffeomorphism groups*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 154, Springer, [Tokyo], 2016, pp. 283–297.
- [MR18] Kathryn Mann and Christian Rosendal, *Large-scale geometry of homeomorphism groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 7, 2748–2779.
- [MZ89] Michał Misiurewicz and Krystyna Ziemian, *Rotation sets for maps of tori*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **40** (1989), no. 3, 490–506.
- [Pol92] Mark Pollicott, *Rotation sets for homeomorphisms and homology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), no. 2, 881–894.
- [Sch57] Sol Schwartzman, *Asymptotic cycles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 270–284.
- [Sco83] Peter Scott, *The geometries of 3-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), no. 5, 401–487.
- [Thu74] William P. Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 304–307.

- [Wal82] Peter Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, JAPAN

*Email address:* `m17037h@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`