

DEGREE-2 ABEL MAPS AND HYPERELLEPTIC CURVES

ALEX ABREU, SALLY ANDRIA, AND MARCO PACINI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we resolve the degree-2 Abel map for nodal curves. Our results are based on a previous work of the authors reducing the problem of the resolution of the Abel map to a combinatorial problem via tropical geometry. As an application, we characterize when the (symmetrized) degree-2 Abel map is not injective, a property that, for a smooth curve, is equivalent to the curve being hyperelliptic.

MSC (2020): 14H10, 14H40, 14T90.

Keywords: Algebraic curve, hyperelliptic curve, tropical curve, Abel map.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is dedicated to the construction of an explicit resolution of the degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map for a regular smoothing of a nodal curve. For a smooth curve C , the degree- d Abel map is an important morphism taking a d -tuple of points on C , to the associated invertible sheaf on the curve (tensorized with a fixed invertible sheaf on the curve). When the fixed invertible sheaf is $\mathcal{O}_C(dP_0)$ for a point P_0 on C , the map is usually called Abel-Jacobi map. This map encodes many important properties of the curve. For instance, the degree-2 Abel map detects when the curve is hyperelliptic. More precisely, a smooth curve is hyperelliptic if and only if the degree-2 Abel map is not injective, and in this case the curve is endowed with a g_2^1 , which can be identified with the fiber of the Abel map (up to the action of the symmetric group). In this paper we investigate how we can extend the above description to singular curves.

We construct an explicit resolution of the degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map using the results in [1], where the general problem of resolving Abel maps is reduced to checking a certain combinatorial property of the tropical Abel map. More precisely, this translates into the problem of showing the existence of a compatibility between the polyhedral structures of the tropical Jacobian of a curve and the product of the relevant tropical curve. This is the result contained in [1, Theorem A]. In degree 2, this yields a very explicit combinatorial condition describing how to blow up the domain of the geometric Abel map to get a resolution. This is summarized in Theorem 3.1.

Let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ be a regular smoothing of a curve C with a section σ through its smooth locus, and μ be a polarization on \mathcal{C} . We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^\sigma$ the Esteves compactified Jacobian parametrizing (σ, μ) -quasistable torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on \mathcal{C} (see [7]). As usual, we write $\mathcal{C}^2 := \mathcal{C} \times_B \mathcal{C}$. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{C}/B of degree- $(k+2)$. We define the degree-2 Abel (rational) map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2: \mathcal{C}^2 &\dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^\sigma \\ (Q_1, Q_2) &\longmapsto [\mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(Q_1)}(-Q_1 - Q_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Our main result holds when μ is the trivial degree-0 polarization and \mathcal{L} is the trivial sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. An important ingredient to describe the resolution of the degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map is the notion of tail of a nodal curve. A subcurve of a nodal curve is a δ -tail if the subcurve and its complementary curves are connected and intersect each other in δ nodes.

Theorem (Theorem 4.7). *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_N be the 2-tails and the 3-tails of C which do not contain $\sigma(0)$. Consider the sequence of blowups*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_N^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_N} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} \mathcal{C}^2$$

where ϕ_0 is the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 along its diagonal subscheme and ϕ_i is the blowup of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i-1}^2$ along the strict transform of the divisor $Z_i \times Z_i$ of \mathcal{C}^2 via $\phi_0 \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i-1}$. Then the rational map

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \circ \phi_0 \circ \dots \circ \phi_N: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_N^2 \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^\sigma$$

is a morphism, i.e., it is defined everywhere.

Next we investigate the relation between the degree-2 Abel map and hyperelliptic (nodal) curves. More precisely, we study when the (symmetrized) degree-2 Abel map is not injective. The upshot is that this happens exactly when the curve has a simple torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree 2 with non-negative degree over every component of the curve and at least two sections. We call a curve satisfying all these condition a *pseudo-hyperelliptic* curve. It is easy to see that if a curve is hyperelliptic, then it is pseudo-hyperelliptic.

It is worth noticing that a variation of the condition of hyperelliptic curve was already given by Caporaso in [4]. She introduced and study the notion of weakly-hyperelliptic curve, which is the condition of the existence of a balanced degree-2 invertible sheaf on a curve with at least 2 sections. Again, if a curve is hyperelliptic, then it is weakly-hyperelliptic. We study the relation between weakly-hyperelliptic and pseudo-hyperelliptic.

Theorem (Theorem 4.20). *Let C be a curve with no separating nodes. The following properties hold.*

- (1) *The curve C is pseudo-hyperelliptic if and only if, for some (every) regular smoothing $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of C , the symmetrized degree-2 Abel map of \mathcal{C} is not injective.*
- (2) *If C is stable and weakly-hyperelliptic, then C is pseudo-hyperelliptic.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, we will use the notations introduced in [3, Sections 2 and 3] and [1, Section 3]. In this section we just recall some basic definitions and constructions.

Given a graph Γ , we denote by $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ the sets of vertices and edges of Γ . Given a subset $V \subset V(\Gamma)$, we set $V^c = V(\Gamma) \setminus V$. For an orientation $\vec{\Gamma}$ on Γ , we denote by $s(e)$ and $t(e)$ the source and target of an edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Given subsets $V, W \subset V(\Gamma)$, we let $E(V, W)$ be the set of edges of Γ connecting a vertex of V with a vertex of W . A *refinement* of a graph Γ is a graph obtained by inserting a non-negative number n_e (depending on e) of vertices in the interior of each edge e of Γ . If a vertex v of the refinement is inserted in the interior of an edge e of Γ , we say that v is a vertex *over* e .

A *metric graph* is a pair (Γ, ℓ) where Γ is a graph and ℓ is a function $\ell: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, called the *length function*. Let (Γ, ℓ) be a metric graph. If $\vec{\Gamma}$ is an orientation on Γ , we define the *tropical curve* X associated to $(\vec{\Gamma}, \ell)$ as

$$X = \frac{\left(\bigcup_{e \in E(\vec{\Gamma})} I_e \cup V(\vec{\Gamma}) \right)}{\sim}$$

where $I_e = [0, \ell(e)] \times \{e\}$ and \sim is the equivalence relation generated by $(0, e) \sim s(e)$ and $(\ell(e), e) \sim t(e)$. We usually just write e to represent I_e in X , and denote by e° the interior of e . We say that (Γ, ℓ) is a *model* of the tropical curve X . We will identify tropical curves with isometric models.

Let Γ be a graph and define $\ell: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\ell(e) = 1$ for every $e \in E(\Gamma)$. We denote by X_Γ the tropical curve induced by the metric graph (Γ, ℓ) .

Let X be a tropical curve and Γ be a graph. A *divisor* on X (respectively, on Γ) is a function $\mathcal{D}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (respectively, $D: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$) such that $\mathcal{D}(p) \neq 0$ only for finitely many points $p \in X$. Given a divisor \mathcal{D} on X , we define the *support* of \mathcal{D} as the set of points p of X such that $\mathcal{D}(p) \neq 0$ and denote it by $\text{supp}(\mathcal{D})$. A *polarization* on X (respectively, on Γ) is a function $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (respectively, $\mu: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) such that $\mu(p) \neq 0$ only for finitely many points $p \in X$ and such that $\sum_{p \in X} \mu(p)$ (respectively, $\sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \mu(v)$) is an integer, called the *degree* of the polarization μ .

Given a point p_0 in X , (respectively, a vertex $v_0 \in V(\Gamma)$), a divisor \mathcal{D} on X (respectively, D on Γ) is called (p_0, μ) -quasistable (respectively, (v_0, μ) -quasistable) if:

$$\sum_{p \in Y} (\mathcal{D}(p) - \mu(p)) + \frac{\delta_Y}{2} \geq 0$$

for every tropical subcurve Y of X (respectively, every subset $Y \subset V(\Gamma)$), where the inequality is strict if $p_0 \in Y \neq X$. Here, δ_Y is the number of tangent direction outgoing from Y in the case of a tropical curve (see [3, Section 3.1] for the precise definition), while it is equal to $|E(Y, Y^c)|$ in the case of a graph.

Let X be a tropical curve and p_0 be a point of X . Let μ be a polarization on X . Recall that in an equivalence class of a divisor on a tropical curve there is just one (p_0, μ) -quasistable divisor (see [3, Theorem 5.6]). For a degree- d divisor \mathcal{D} on X , we denote by $\text{qs}(\mathcal{D})$ the unique (p_0, μ) -quasistable divisor on X which is equivalent to \mathcal{D} . Given an oriented model (Γ, ℓ) of X , for every edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and every real number $t \in [0, \ell(e)]$, we let by $p_{e,t}$ the point on e at distance t from the source of e .

Given a tropical curve X , we let $J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}(X)$ be the tropical Jacobian parametrizing (p_0, μ) -quasistable divisors on X . Recall that $J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}(X)$ is homeomorphic to the usual tropical Jacobian (see [3, Theorem 5.10]). We set $X^2 := X \times X$. Given a divisor \mathcal{D}^\dagger on X , we define the tropical Abel map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}^\dagger}^{\text{trop}}: X^2 &\rightarrow J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}(X) \\ (p_1, p_2) &\mapsto [\mathcal{D}^\dagger - p_1 - p_2], \end{aligned}$$

where $[-]$ denotes the class of a divisor in the tropical Jacobian. Alternatively, the map $\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}^\dagger}^{\text{trop}}$ takes (p_1, p_2) to the unique (p_0, μ) -quasistable divisor in the class of $\mathcal{D}^\dagger - p_1 - p_2$.

Remark 2.1. Let X be a tropical curve with a point $p_0 \in X$. Let Γ be a model of X . Let μ be a polarization on X induced by a polarization on Γ and \mathcal{D} a degree- d divisor on X . We let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the minimal refinement of Γ such that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{D}) \subset V(\widehat{\Gamma})$. We denote by D the divisor on $\widehat{\Gamma}$ induced by \mathcal{D} . We call the pair $(\widehat{\Gamma}, D)$ on $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the *combinatorial type* of \mathcal{D} . By [3, Proposition 5.3], the degree- d divisor \mathcal{D} on X is (p_0, μ) -quasistable if and only if $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is obtained by inserting at most one vertex in the interior of each edge of Γ and D is (p_0, μ) -quasistable on $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

3. DEGREE-2 ABEL MAPS

Let C be a nodal curve over an algebraically closed field k . A subcurve Z of C is a reduced union of components of C . Given a subcurve Z of C , we let $Z^c := \overline{C} \setminus Z$. Throughout this section we will fix a regular smoothing $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of a nodal curve C with a section $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of π through its smooth locus. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^2 := \mathcal{C} \times_B \mathcal{C}$.

Let μ be a degree- k polarization on \mathcal{C} . We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^\sigma$ the Esteves compactified Jacobian parametrizing (σ, μ) -quasistable torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on the curves of the family π (see [7] for more details). Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{C}/B of degree- $(k+2)$. As in [2], we define the

degree-2 Abel (rational) map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2: \mathcal{C}^2 &\dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu}^{\sigma} \\ (Q_1, Q_2) &\longmapsto [\mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(\pi(Q_1))}(-Q_1 - Q_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

We let Γ be the dual graph of C and X_{Γ} be the tropical curve induced by Γ (with unitary lengths). Given an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on C , we denote by $D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}$ the divisor on Γ given by the multidegree of $\mathcal{L}|_C$. We also let $D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}$ be the divisor on X_{Γ} induced by $D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}$.

Given a point $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2)$ of \mathcal{C}^2 , where N_i is a node of C , we will consider the following two ways of blowing up \mathcal{C}^2 locally around \mathcal{N} . If $N_1 \in Z_1 \cap Z_1^c$ and $N_2 \in Z_2 \cap Z_2^c$ for subcurves Z_1 and Z_2 of C , we can consider the blowups $\phi: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\phi}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ and $\phi': \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\phi'}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ respectively along $Z_1 \times Z_2$, or along $Z_1 \times Z_2^c$. The first one is also equivalent to the blowup along $Z_1^c \times Z_2^c$ and the second one is equivalent to the blowup along $Z_1^c \times Z_2$. In both cases, the inverse image of \mathcal{N} is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 . The situation is illustrated in Figure 1, where st_{ϕ} and $\text{st}_{\phi'}$ applied to a divisor of \mathcal{C}^2 denote the strict transform of this divisor. These blowups induce a dual picture on the product X_{Γ}^2 : we illustrate the relation between these blowups and the dual picture in Figure 2.




FIGURE 1. The two types of blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 around (N_1, N_2) .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ be a regular smoothing of a nodal curve C with smooth components. Let $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a section of π through its smooth locus. Let μ be a polarization of degree k over the family and \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on \mathcal{C} of degree $k+2$. Let (N_1, N_2) be a point of \mathcal{C}^2 , with N_i a node of C . Let Z_1 and Z_2 be subcurves of C such that $N_1 \in Z_1 \cap Z_1^c$ and $N_2 \in Z_2 \cap Z_2^c$. Let e_1 and e_2 be the edges in the dual graph Γ of C that correspond to N_1 and N_2 , where e_i is oriented from Z_i to Z_i^c . Consider the divisor $\mathcal{D}_{x,y} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1,x} - p_{e_2,y}$ on X_{Γ} , for some $x, y \in [0, 1]$.*

(1) *If the combinatorial type of $\text{qs}(\mathcal{D}_{x,y})$ is constant on the sets*

$$\{(x, y); 0 < x < y < 1\} \text{ and } \{(x, y); 0 < y < x < 1\},$$

then the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 along $Z_1 \times Z_2$ resolves the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ locally around the point (N_1, N_2) .

(2) *If the combinatorial type of $\text{qs}(\mathcal{D}_{x,y})$ is constant on the sets*

$$\{(x, y); 0 < x < 1 - y < 1\} \text{ and } \{(x, y); 0 < 1 - y < x < 1\},$$

then the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 along $Z_1 \times Z_2^c$ resolves the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ locally around the point (N_1, N_2) .




FIGURE 2. The sets $\{(x, y); 0 < x < 1 - y < 1\}$ and $\{(x, y); 0 < 1 - y < x < 1\}$ and the corresponding blow-up.

(3) If the combinatorial type of $\text{qs}(\mathcal{D}_{x,y})$ is constant on the set

$$\{(x, y); 0 < x, y < 1\},$$

then the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ is defined at the point (N_1, N_2) .

Proof. Items (1) and (2) follow directly from [1, Theorem 5.4].

Let us prove Item (3). Let $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathcal{C}^2$. Let $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ and $\phi': \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ be the blowups respectively along $Z_1 \times Z_2$ and $Z_1 \times Z_2^c$ (see Figure 1). By items (1) and (2), we know that $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'$ are defined respectively over the inverse images $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ and $\phi'^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Let y_0 be the distinguished point on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ given by

$$y_0 = \text{st}_{\phi}(Z_1 \times Z_2) \cap \text{st}_{\phi}(Z_1 \times Z_2^c) \cap \text{st}_{\phi}(Z_1^c \times Z_2^c).$$


Let x_1, x_2 be any two points on $\phi'^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$. We know that $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'$ is defined at x_1 and x_2 . For $i = 1, 2$, consider a map $\rho'_i: \text{Spec } k[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\rho'_i(0) = x_i$ and $\rho_i(\eta)$ is contained in $\text{st}_{\phi'}(Z_1 \times Z_2^c)$, as in Figure 3, where 0 and η are the special and generic points of $\text{Spec } k[[t]]$, respectively. In particular, we have $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'(x_i) = \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \bar{\rho}_i(0)$, where $\bar{\rho}_i = \phi' \circ \rho'_i: \text{Spec}(k[[t]]) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$. By construction, we can lift $\bar{\rho}_i$ to maps $\rho_i: \text{Spec } k[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\rho_1(0) = \rho_2(0) = y_0$. By the same reasoning, we have $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi(y_0) = \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \rho_i(0)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we get:

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'(x_1) = \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi(y_0) = \alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'(x_2).$$

Hence $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'$ contracts all fibers of ϕ' . Moreover, arguing as in the proof of [9, Corollary III 11.4], we have $\phi'_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^2}$, since ϕ' is birational and \mathcal{C}^2 is normal. Hence by the Rigidity Lemma (see [6, Lemma 1.15, pag.12]) the map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi'$ factors through ϕ' , so $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ is defined at \mathcal{N} . \square

4. THE RESOLUTION OF THE DEGREE-2 ABEL MAP

4.1. Local resolutions. Throughout this section we will fix a regular smoothing $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of a nodal curve C with a section $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of π through its smooth locus. We will perform blowups of \mathcal{C}^2 along divisors of type $Z \times Z$, where Z is a subcurve of the special fiber C . Actually, we will restrict our attention to a special class of subcurves, called *tails*.

FIGURE 3. The blowups ϕ and ϕ' .

Definition 4.1. A δ -tail of a nodal curve C is a connected subcurve Z such that Z^c is connected and $|Z \cap Z^c| = \delta$.

Proposition 4.2. Let μ be a polarization of degree k and \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf of degree $k + 2$ over \mathcal{C}/B . Assume that the components of C are smooth. Consider a point $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathcal{C}^2$, where N_1, N_2 are nodes of C , with $N_1 = Z \cap Z^c$ for a 1-tail Z of C . Then the degree-2 Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2: \mathcal{C}^2 \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$ is defined at \mathcal{N} .

Proof. Let Γ be the dual graph of C and $X = X_{\Gamma}$ the associated tropical curve with edges of unitary lengths. Let v_0 be the vertex of Γ corresponding to $P_0 = \sigma(0)$, and $p_0 \in X$ be the point corresponding to v_0 . We let e_1 and e_2 be the edges of Γ corresponding to N_1 and N_2 . The tropical Abel map $\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}: X^2 \rightarrow J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}$ takes a pair $(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2})$, for real numbers $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$, to the class of the divisor on X given by:

$$(1) \quad \alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2}) = [\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2}].$$

We define the divisor $\mathcal{P} = p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_1, 0}$ on X . Since $N_1 = Z \cap Z^c$ for a 1-tail Z of X , we have that the graph obtained from Γ by removing the edge e_1 is not connected. Hence the divisor \mathcal{P} on X is principal. So we can write:

$$\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2}) = [\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_2, t_2}],$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, 0}$ (which is a divisor on X induced by a divisor on Γ). So we reduce ourselves to the case of the degree-1 Abel map. As explained in [1, Lemma 5.10] and in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.8], the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t_2}$ is independent of t_2 . Hence the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2}$ is independent of the pairs $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$. By Theorem 3.1 (3), we deduce that the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ is already defined at (N_1, N_2) . \square

Proposition 4.3. Let μ be a polarization of degree k and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf of degree $k + 2$ over \mathcal{C}/B . Assume that the components of C are smooth. Let Z be a 2-tail of C and write $\{N_1, N_2\} = Z \cap Z^c$. Consider the point

$$\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2) \in (Z \cap Z^c) \times (Z \cap Z^c) \subset \mathcal{C}^2.$$

Let $\phi: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ be the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 with center $Z \times Z$. Then the rational map

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{L}}^2: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$$

is defined along the rational curve $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$.

Proof. We can keep the set-up of Proposition 4.2. The tropical Abel map $\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}} : X^2 \rightarrow J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}$ is as in Equation (1). Assume that $t_1 > t_2$. We define the divisor on X :

$$\mathcal{P} = p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_1, t_1 - t_2} + p_{e_2, t_2} - p_{e_2, 0}.$$

Since $\{N_1, N_2\} = Z \cap Z^c$ for a 2-tail Z of C , we have that the graph obtained from Γ by removing the edges e_1, e_2 is not connected. Hence \mathcal{P} is a principal divisor. Then we have

$$\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2}) = [\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t}],$$

where $t = t_1 - t_2$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_2, 0}$ (which is a divisor induced by a divisor on Γ). So we reduce ourselves to the case of the degree-1 Abel map: as explained in [1, Lemma 5.10] and in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.8], the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t}$ is independent of t . Hence the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2}$ is independent of (t_1, t_2) whenever $t_1 > t_2$. A similar reasoning can be done for the case $t_1 < t_2$. Hence, using Theorem 3.1 (1), we conclude that the blowup along $Z \times Z$ gives rise to a resolution of $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2$ locally around $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2)$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let μ be a polarization of degree k and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf of degree $k + 2$ over \mathcal{C}/B . Assume that the components of C are smooth. Consider the point $\mathcal{N} = (N, N) \in \mathcal{C}^2$, for a node N of C . Let $\phi: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^2$ be the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 with center the diagonal subscheme of \mathcal{C}^2 . Then the rational map*

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{L}}^2: \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^2 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$$

is defined along the rational curve $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$.

Proof. We can keep the set-up of Proposition 4.2. The tropical Abel map $\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}} : X^2 \rightarrow J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}$ is as in Equation (1), where $e := e_1 = e_2$. Assume that $t_1 + t_2 < 1$. We define the principal divisor on X :

$$\mathcal{P} = p_{e, 0} - p_{e, t_1} - p_{e, t_2} + p_{e, t_1 + t_2}.$$

Then we have

$$\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e, t_1}, p_{e, t_2}) = [\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, t}],$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, 0}$ and $t = t_1 + t_2$. So we reduce ourselves to the case of the degree-1 Abel map: as explained in [1, Lemma 5.10] and in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.8], the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, t}$ is independent of t . Hence the combinatorial type of the quasistable divisor on X equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, t_1} - p_{e, t_2}$ is independent of (t_1, t_2) whenever $t_1 + t_2 < 1$.

The reasoning is similar for $t_1 + t_2 > 1$: we just consider

$$\mathcal{P} = p_{e, 1} - p_{e, t_1} - p_{e, t_2} + p_{e, t_1 + t_2 - 1},$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, 1}$ and $t = t_1 + t_2 - 1$, so that

$$\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\dagger}}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e, t_1}, p_{e, t_2}) = [\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} - p_{e, t}].$$

By Theorem 3.1 (2), we deduce that the blowup along the diagonal subscheme of \mathcal{C}^2 gives rise to a resolution of $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^2 \circ \phi$ locally around $\mathcal{N} = (N, N)$. \square

4.2. The resolution of the Abel-Jacobi map. Our main goal is to give a complete resolution of the degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map of any nodal curve, namely the map taking a pair (Q_1, Q_2) of points on a curve C to $\mathcal{O}_C(2P_0 - Q_1 - Q_2)$ for a given smooth point P_0 of C . This is done in Theorem 4.7. Before, we need two results.

Lemma 4.5. *Let Z be a δ -tail of a curve C .*

- (1) *If $Z \cap Z^c \subset Z'$ for some tail Z' of C , then either $Z \subset Z'$ or $Z^c \subset Z'$.*
- (2) *If $|(Z \cap Z^c \cap Z' \cap (Z')^c)| = \delta - 1$ for some tail Z' of C , then one of the following conditions holds:*

$$Z \subset Z', \quad Z' \subset Z, \quad Z^c \subset Z', \quad Z' \subset Z^c.$$

Proof. See [10, Lemma 2.4]. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let P_0 be a smooth point of C . Let $\mathcal{T} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_h)$ be a sequence of tails of C , where Z_i is a k_i -tail with $k_i \in \{2, 3\}$ and $P_0 \notin Z_i$. Consider the sequence of blowups*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{T}}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_h^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_h} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} \mathcal{C}^2$$

where ϕ_0 is the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 along its diagonal subscheme and ϕ_i is the blowup of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i-1}^2$ along the strict transform of the divisor $Z_i \times Z_i$ of \mathcal{C}^2 via $\phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i-1}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ is independent of the ordering of the sequence \mathcal{T} .

Proof. Assume that a permutation \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{T} gives rise to a blowup $\phi_{\mathcal{T}'}$ different from $\phi_{\mathcal{T}}$. This implies that, locally at a point $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2)$ with N_1, N_2 distinct nodes of C , the blowups $\phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{T}'}$ are different. We can assume that locally at \mathcal{N} , the blowup $\phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ has center $Z_i \times Z_i$ and the blowup $\phi_{\mathcal{T}'}$ has center $Z_j \times Z_j$, for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, so that we have $Z_i \neq Z_j$ and

$$\{N_1, N_2\} \subset Z_i \cap Z_i^c \cap Z_j \cap Z_j^c.$$

By Lemma 4.5, one of the following conditions holds:

- (2) $Z_i \subset Z_j, \quad Z_j \subset Z_i, \quad Z_i^c \subset Z_j, \quad Z_j \subset Z_i^c.$

Let C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 be the components of C such that $N_1 \in C_1 \cap C'_1$ and $N_2 \in C_2 \cap C'_2$. Since $\phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{T}'}$ are different locally at \mathcal{N} , we can assume, without loss of generality, that $C_1 \cup C_2 \subset Z_i$ and $C_1 \cup C'_2 \subset Z_j$. Then we have

$$C_1 \subset Z_i \cap Z_j, \quad C_2 \subset Z_i \cap Z_j^c, \quad C'_2 \subset Z_i^c \cap Z_j.$$

On the other hand:

- (1) since $C_1 \subset Z_i \cap Z_j$, it follows that $Z_j \not\subset Z_i^c$.
- (2) since $C_2 \subset Z_i \cap Z_j^c$, it follows that $Z_i \not\subset Z_j$.
- (3) since $C'_2 \subset Z_i^c \cap Z_j$, it follows that $Z_j \not\subset Z_i$.
- (4) since $P_0 \in Z_i^c \setminus Z_j$, it follows that $Z_i^c \not\subset Z_j$.

This contradicts Equation (2). □

Theorem 4.7 (Degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map). *Let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ be a regular smoothing of a nodal curve C . Let $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a section of π through its smooth locus and μ be the trivial degree-0 polarization. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_N be the 2-tails and the 3-tails of C which do not contain $\sigma(0)$. Consider the sequence of blowups*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_N^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_N} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} \mathcal{C}^2$$

where ϕ_0 is the blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 along its diagonal subscheme and ϕ_i is the blowup of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i-1}^2$ along the strict transform of the divisor $Z_i \times Z_i$ of \mathcal{C}^2 via $\phi_0 \circ \dots \circ \phi_{i-1}$. Then the rational map

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2 \circ \phi_0 \circ \dots \circ \phi_N: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_N^2 \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$$

is a morphism, i.e., it is defined everywhere.

Before proving the theorem, we need to recall a result in [10] describing how to convert the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C(2P_0 - Q_1 - Q_2)$ into a (σ, μ) -quasistable sheaf, where P_0, Q_1, Q_2 are smooth points of the nodal curve C . We will give the graph-theoretical equivalent of this result, which suits better with our purposes. More precisely, given a graph Γ and vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$, we will describe the (v_0, μ) -quasistable divisor on Γ equivalent to $2v_0 - v_1 - v_2$ (see Theorem 4.16).

Let Γ be a graph. Given a subset $V \subset V(\Gamma)$, we denote by $\Gamma(V)$ the subgraph of Γ whose set of vertices is V and whose edges are the edges of Γ connecting two (possibly coinciding) vertices of V .

Definition 4.8. A *hemisphere* of Γ is a subset $H \subset V(\Gamma)$ such that $\Gamma(H)$ and $\Gamma(H^c)$ are connected subgraphs of Γ . A δ -hemisphere of Γ is a hemisphere H such that $|E(H, H^c)| = \delta$.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, \delta}$ the set of δ -hemispheres of Γ . Given subsets $V, W \subset V(\Gamma)$, we define:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, \delta}(V, W) := \{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, \delta} \mid V \subset H^c \text{ and } W \subset H\}.$$

Let S be a finite set. We say that a set \mathcal{H} of subsets of S is union-closed (respectively, intersection-closed) if $H_1 \cup H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ (respectively, $H_1 \cap H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$) for every $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. We note that every non-empty intersection-closed set has a unique minimal element and every non-empty union-closed set has a unique maximal element.

Proposition 4.9. Let Γ be a graph and v_0, v_1, v_2 vertices of Γ . Then the sets $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, 1}(v_0, v_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, 2}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ are union-closed and intersection-closed.

Proof. See [5, Lemma 4.3] and [10, Section 3 and Proposition 3.1]. \square

Definition 4.10. Given subsets $V, W \subset V(\Gamma)$, we say that W is V -free if $E(V, V^c) \cap E(W, W^c) = \emptyset$.

Remark 4.11. A 1-hemisphere H is H' -free for every δ -hemisphere $H' \neq H, H^c$. If $H_1, H_2 \subset V(\Gamma)$ are V -free hemispheres, for some $V \subset V(\Gamma)$, then $H_1 \cap H_2$ and $H_1 \cup H_2$ are also V -free.

Definition 4.12. Let Γ' be a subdivision of Γ . Let V be a subset of $V(\Gamma')$. We say that an edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ is *fully contained* in V if V contains the vertices incident to e and all the vertices over e . Note that when $\Gamma' = \Gamma$, this simply means that $e \in E(V, V)$.

Lemma 4.13. Let H_2 and H_3 be a 2-hemisphere and a 3-hemisphere. Write $E(H_2, H_2^c) = \{f_1, f_2\}$ and $E(H_3, H_3^c) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Assume that the intersection $H = H_2 \cap H_3$ is non-empty and properly contained in H_2 and H_3 . Assume that $H_2 \cup H_3 \neq V(\Gamma)$. Then, up to reordering the indices, one of the following properties hold


- (1) H is a 2-hemisphere such that $E(H, H^c) = \{f_1, e_1\}$, with f_1 fully contained in H_3 and e_1 fully contained in H_2 , while f_2 is fully contained in H_3^c and e_2, e_3 are fully contained in H_2^c .
- (2) H is a 3-hemisphere such that $E(H, H^c) = \{f_1, e_1, e_2\}$, with f_1 fully contained in H_3 and e_1, e_2 fully contained in H_2 , while f_2 is fully contained in H_3^c and e_3 is fully contained in H_2^c .

Proof. By the hypothesis, the sets H , $H_2 \setminus H$, $H_3 \setminus H$ and $H_2^c \cap H_3^c$ are nonempty and form a partition of $V(\Gamma)$. Since H_3 is connected, we have that $E(H, H_3 \setminus H)$ is nonempty. However $E(H, H_3 \setminus H) \subseteq E(H_2, H_2^c) = \{f_1, f_2\}$. We assume that $f_1 \in E(H, H_3 \setminus H)$. Arguing in a similar manner, using that H_3^c is connected, we have that $f_2 \in E(H_2 \setminus H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c)$. Even more, we can conclude that $e_1 \in E(H, H_2 \setminus H)$ and $e_3 \in E(H_3 \setminus H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c)$ (see Figure 4).

Since H_2 is a 2-hemisphere, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(H, H_3 \setminus H) &= \{f_1\}, & E(H_2 \setminus H, H_3 \setminus H) &= \emptyset, \\ E(H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c) &= \emptyset, & E(H_2 \setminus H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c) &= \{f_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E(H_3, H_3^c) = E(H, H_2 \setminus H) \cup E(H_3 \setminus H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c)$. Therefore, the edge e_2 only has two possibilities: it belongs to either $E(H_3 \setminus H, H_2^c \cap H_3^c)$ or $E(H, H_2 \setminus H)$. In the former case H satisfies the conditions in item (1), while in the latter case it satisfies the conditions in item (2).

FIGURE 4. The edges e_1, e_3, f_1, f_2 .

Note that the sets H , $H_3 \setminus H$, $H_2 \setminus H$ and $H_2^c \cap H_3^c$ are connected because $H_3 = H \cup (H_3 \setminus H)$ is connected and H and $H_3 \setminus H$ are connected by a single edge, hence each H and $H_3 \setminus H$ must be connected. The same reasoning holds for $H_2 \setminus H$ and $H_2^c \cap H_3^c$, using the fact that H_3^c is connected. Hence $H^c = (H_3 \setminus H) \cup (H_2 \setminus H) \cup (H_2^c \cap H_3^c)$ is connected, which means that H is a hemisphere. \square

We let $H_{2,1}$ be the minimal element of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ (which exists and is unique by Proposition 4.9). Define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\}) = \{H_{2,1}, \dots, H_{2,m_2}\},$$

where $H_{2,i}$ is the minimal element of the set of hemispheres of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ that are $H_{2,j}$ -free for every $j < i \leq m_2$ and containing $H_{2,i-1}$. The hemisphere $H_{2,i}$ exists and is unique since the set

$$\{H \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\}) \mid H_{2,i-1} \subset H, H \text{ is } H_{2,j}\text{-free for } j = 1, \dots, i-1\}$$

is intersection-closed by Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.11.

Notice that we have a sequence of nested 2-hemispheres

$$H_{2,1} \subset H_{2,2} \subset \dots \subset H_{2,m_2}.$$

We let $\mathcal{H}'_{\Gamma,3}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,3}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ of the hemispheres that are H -free for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$.

Proposition 4.14. *The subset $\mathcal{H}'_{\Gamma,3}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ is intersection-closed.*

Proof. See [10, Proposition 3.5]. \square

We let $H_{3,1}$ be the minimal element of $\mathcal{H}'_{\Gamma,3}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ and define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,3}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\}) = \{H_{3,1}, \dots, H_{3,m_3}\},$$

where $H_{3,i}$ is the minimal element of the set of hemispheres $\mathcal{H}'_{\Gamma,3}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\})$ that are $H_{3,j}$ -free for every $j < i \leq m_3$ and containing $H_{3,i-1}$. As before, we have that $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,3}^{\text{free}}$ is well-defined. Notice that we have a sequence of nested 3-hemispheres

$$H_{3,1} \subset H_{3,2} \subset \dots \subset H_{3,m_3}.$$

Remark 4.15. Let $k = 2, 3$. Notice that we have a natural orientation on every edge $e \in E(H_{k,i}, H_{k,i}^c)$ such that $s(e) \in H_{k,i}$ and $t(e) \in H_{k,i}^c$. Moreover, if $e \in E(H_{k,i}, H_{k,i}^c)$, then $t(e) \in H_{k,i+1}$.

Finally we set:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(v_0, v_1, v_2) &= \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,1}(v_0, v_1) \sqcup \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,1}(v_0, v_2) \\ &\sqcup \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\}) \sqcup \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,3}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_1, v_2\}).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the same 1-hemisphere could belong in both $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,1}(v_0, v_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,1}(v_0, v_2)$.

For every subset $V \subset V(\Gamma)$, we let $\text{div}(V)$ be the principal divisor on Γ given by:

$$\text{div}(V) = \sum_{e \in E(V, V^c)} (s(e) - t(e)),$$

where the orientation is chosen such that $s(e) \in V$ for every $e \in E(V, V^c)$. Let v_0, v_1, v_2 be vertices of Γ and μ the trivial degree-0 polarization on Γ . The following result tells us how to find the (v_0, μ) -quasistable divisor equivalent to the divisor $2v_0 - v_1 - v_2$.

Theorem 4.16. *Let Γ be a graph and v_0, v_1, v_2 vertices of Γ . Then*

$$2v_0 - v_1 - v_2 - \sum_{V \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma(v_0, v_1, v_2)} \text{div}(V)$$

is the (v_0, μ) -quasistable divisor equivalent to $2v_0 - v_1 - v_2$.

Proof. See [10, Theorem 5.3]. □

Before going on with the proof of Theorem 4.7, we need to introduce a divisor on a tropical curve X attached to a hemisphere of its underlying graph.

Definition 4.17. Let X be a tropical curve and (Γ, ℓ) be a model of X . Let v_0 be a vertex of Γ . For a hemisphere H of Γ , consider the orientation on an edge $e \in E(H, H^c)$ from the vertex of e contained in H to the vertex of e contained in H^c (see Remark 4.15). We define the divisor

$$\mathcal{P}_H = \sum_{e \in E(H, H^c)} p_{e,0} - \sum_{e \in E(H, H^c)} p_{e,\ell(e)}.$$

Remark 4.18. Notice that if $\ell(e) = \ell(e')$ for every $e, e' \in E(H, H^c)$, then \mathcal{P}_H is a principal divisor on X .

Proof of Theorem 4.7. We can assume that all the components of C are smooth. Indeed, the general case follows from the case in which the components of C are smooth arguing as in the last part of the proof of [1, Theorem 5.8], and using [10, Theorem 1.3].

Since quasistability is an open property by [7, Proposition 34], it is enough to check that the global blowup of \mathcal{C}^2 described in the statement is a blowup resolving the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2 : \mathcal{C}^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu^\sigma$ locally around any point $\mathcal{N} = (N_1, N_2)$ of \mathcal{C}^2 for N_i a node of C .

If $N_1 = Z \cap Z^c$ for a 1-tail Z of C , the result follows from Proposition 4.2. If $N_1 = N_2$, the result follows from Proposition 4.4. So we will assume, through the rest of the proof, that $N_1 \neq N_2$ and neither N_1 nor N_2 disconnects C .

We will use Theorem 3.1. Let Γ_0 be the dual graph of C , and let $X = X_{\Gamma_0}$, namely, the tropical curve whose underlying graph is Γ_0 with all unitary lengths. Let v_0 be the vertex of Γ_0 corresponding to $P_0 = \sigma(0)$, and $p_0 \in X$ be the point corresponding to v_0 . We have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^\dagger = 2p_0$. The tropical Abel map $\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^\dagger}^{\text{trop}} : X^2 \rightarrow J_{p_0, \mu}^{\text{trop}}$ takes a pair $(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2})$, for edges $e_1, e_2 \in E(\Gamma_0)$ and real numbers $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, to:

$$\alpha_{2, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^\dagger}^{\text{trop}}(p_{e_1, t_1}, p_{e_2, t_2}) = [2p_0 - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2}].$$

Let (Γ, ℓ) be the model of X such that Γ is the refinement of Γ_0 obtained by inserting vertices v_{e_1}, v_{e_2} in the interior of e_1, e_2 , respectively, with $\ell([s(e_i), v_{e_i}]) = t_i$. We let $K_{2,1}$ be the minimal element of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,2}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_{e_1}, v_{e_2}\})$ and $K_{3,1}$ be the minimal element of $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma,3}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_{e_1}, v_{e_2}\})$.

We have three cases to consider.

- (1) We have that $E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_{2,1}, K_{2,1}^c) \neq \emptyset$, for every $i = 1, 2$.
- (2) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(v_{e_1}, \{v_{e_1}\}^c) \cap E(K_{2,1}, K_{2,1}^c) &\neq \emptyset. \\ E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(K_{2,1}, K_{2,1}^c) &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish 3 subcases:

- (2.a) There exists a 3-hemisphere K_3 containing v_{e_1} and v_{e_2} and not containing v_0 such that $E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_3, K_3^c) \neq \emptyset$, for every $i = 1, 2$.
- (2.b) Every 3-hemisphere K_3 containing v_{e_1} and v_{e_2} and not containing v_0 satisfies the condition $E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(K_3, K_3^c) = \emptyset$ and there exists a 3-hemisphere K'_3 such that $E(v_{e_1}, \{v_{e_1}\}^c) \cap E(K'_3, K'^c_3) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2.c) Every 3-hemisphere K_3 containing v_{e_1} and v_{e_2} and not containing v_0 satisfies the condition $E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_3, K_3^c) = \emptyset$, for every $i = 1, 2$.

Notice that there are no other subcases to consider, because if the two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(K_3, K_3^c) &\neq \emptyset. \\ E(v_{e_1}, \{v_{e_1}\}^c) \cap E(K_3, K_3^c) &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

hold for some 3-hemisphere K_3 , then, by Lemma 4.13, we have that $K_{2,1} \cap K_3$ is a 3-hemisphere (because $K_{2,1}$ is minimal) and it would satisfy the condition in case (2.a).

- (3) We have

$$E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_{2,1}, K_{2,1}^c) = \emptyset, \text{ for every } i = 1, 2.$$

We distinguish 3 subcases:

- (3.a) We have that $E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_{3,1}, K_{3,1}^c) \neq \emptyset$, for every $i = 1, 2$.
- (3.b) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(v_{e_1}, \{v_{e_1}\}^c) \cap E(K_{3,1}, K_{3,1}^c) &\neq \emptyset. \\ E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(K_{3,1}, K_{3,1}^c) &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

- (3.c) We have that $E(v_{e_i}, \{v_{e_i}\}^c) \cap E(K_{3,1}, K_{3,1}^c) = \emptyset$, for every $i = 1, 2$.

We discuss the above cases. Case (1) follows from Proposition 4.3.

Case (2.a). We assume that the orientation of e_1 and e_2 satisfies the condition $s(e_1), s(e_2) \in K_3$. Recall that $t_i = \ell([s(e_i), v_{e_i}])$.

We consider the refinement Γ' of Γ_0 by adding two vertices over each edge. Of course, Γ' is a refinement of Γ . We denote by ψ the natural function

$$\psi: E(\Gamma') \rightarrow E(\Gamma_0)$$

taking an edge e of Γ' to the edge f of Γ_0 if e is obtained by subdividing f .

For $i = 1, 2$, we already have the vertex v_{e_i} over the edge e_i , so we will only add another vertex v'_{e_i} . As illustrated in Figure 5, if $t_1 < t_2$, the vertices over e_1 will be ordered as follows: $s(e_1), v_{e_1}, v'_{e_1}, t(e_1)$, while the vertices over e_2 are ordered as follows: $s(e_2), v'_{e_2}, v_{e_2}, t(e_2)$. On the other hand, if $t_1 > t_2$, then the orderings become $s(e_1), v'_{e_1}, v_{e_1}, t(e_1)$ and $s(e_2), v_{e_2}, v'_{e_2}, t(e_2)$.



FIGURE 5.

Throughout the proof of case (2a), we will assume that $t_1 < t_2$, leaving to the reader the case $t_1 > t_2$. Our goal will be to find a length function ℓ' on Γ' so that (Γ', ℓ') is a model of X , and the divisors \mathcal{P}_H for every $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma'}(v_0, v_{e_1}, v_{e_2})$ are principal on X . This allows us to conclude the proof. Indeed, using Remark 2.1 and Theorem 4.16 we get that the divisor

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{D}_{t_1, t_2} := 2p_0 - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma'}(v_0, v_{e_1}, v_{e_2})} \mathcal{P}_H$$

is (p_0, μ) -quasistable. Hence Theorem 3.1 (1) tells us that the blowup illustrated on the left hand side of Figure 1 with $Z_1 = Z_2 = \widehat{Z}$, where \widehat{Z} is the 3-tail of C induced by $K_3 \cap V(\Gamma)$, gives rise to a resolution of the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2$ locally at (N_1, N_2) . This is the blowup locally around (N_1, N_2) prescribed by the global blowup in the statement of Theorem 4.7.

We proceed with the construction of the length function ℓ' . We write

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma', 2}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_{e_1}, v_{e_2}\}) &= \{H_{2,1}, \dots, H_{2,m_2}\} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma', 3}^{\text{free}}(v_0, \{v_{e_1}, v_{e_2}\}) &= \{H_{3,1}, \dots, H_{3,m_3}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k of edges of Γ_0 as illustrated in Figure 8, with $f_1 = e_1$ and $f_2 \in E(H_{2,1}, H_{2,1}^c)$, and where the other edges are chosen as follows. Assume that $t_1 < t_2$. The edges of the sequence satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2i+1}, f_{2i+2} &\in \psi(E(H_{2,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c)), \quad f_{2i+1}, f_{2i+2} \in \psi(E(H_{2,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c)) \\ f_{2i}, f_{2i+1} &\in \psi(E(H_{2,3i}, H_{2,3i}^c)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if k is odd with $k = 2k' + 1$, then

$$\psi(E(H_{2,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c)) = \psi(E(H_{2,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c)) = \psi(E(H_{2,3i+3}, H_{2,3i+3}^c))$$

for every $i \geq k'$. If k is even with $k = 2k'$, then for every $i \geq k'$ we have

$$\psi(E(H_{2,3i}, H_{2,3i}^c)) = \psi(E(H_{2,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c)) = \psi(E(H_{2,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c)).$$

Now we consider the 3-hemispheres. If $\psi(E(H_{3,1}, H_{3,1}^c))$ and $\psi(E(H_{2,i}, H_{2,i}^c))$ are disjoint for every i , let us define a length function ℓ' on the set of edges of Γ' so that (Γ', ℓ') is a model for X . We will assume that $k = 2k'$ is even (see Figure 6, also see Figure 7 for the case $t_1 > t_2$), leaving to the reader to work out the other case (see Figure 8). For every $e \in E(\Gamma')$, we define:

$$\ell'(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-t_1}{2} & \text{if } e \in E(H_{2,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c) \text{ or } e \in E(H_{2,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c) \text{ for some } i < k' \\ t_1 & \text{if } \psi(e) = f_k \text{ and } e \notin E(H_{2,3k'-2}, H_{2,3k'-2}^c) \cup E(H_{2,3k'-1}, H_{2,3k'-1}^c) \\ t_1 & \text{if either } e \in E(H_{2,3i}, H_{2,3i}^c) \text{ for some } i < k', \text{ or } t(e) = v_1 \\ 1/3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For every edge $f \in E(\Gamma)$ we have that $\sum_{e \in \psi^{-1}(f)} \ell'(e) = 1$. Indeed if $f = f_i$, then the sum of the lengths will be $t_1 + \frac{1-t_1}{2} + \frac{1-t_1}{2} = 1$, while if $f \notin \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$, then the 3 edges in $\psi^{-1}(f)$ will have length $1/3$. So (Γ', ℓ') is a model of X . Notice that the divisors $\mathcal{P}_{H_{2,i}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{H_{3,i}}$ are principal divisors by Remark 4.18. This conclude the proof in this case.

We are left to consider the case in which $\psi(E(H_{3,1}, H_{3,1}^c))$ and $\psi(E(H_{2,i}, H_{2,i}^c))$ have a common edge for some i . In this case, this edge must be f_k .

We claim that k is odd. First we prove that $H_{3,1}^c$ contains the vertices of Γ' incident to f_{2i} and the vertices over f_{2i} for every i . Let us denote by e_2, f, f_k the edges of $\psi(E(H_{3,1}, H_{3,1}^c))$. The intersection $H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1}$ cannot be a 2-hemisphere, otherwise we would contradict the minimality of $H_{2,1}$. Indeed, the fact that $E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(H_{3,1}, H_{3,1}^c) \neq \emptyset$ implies that

$$E(v_{e_2}, \{v_{e_2}\}^c) \cap E(H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1}, (H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1})^c) \neq \emptyset,$$




FIGURE 6. Attributing lengths to the edges of Γ' for $t_1 < t_2$ and k even. In this case, v_{e_2} is contained in $H_{2,i}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m_2$.




FIGURE 7. Attributing lengths to the edges of Γ' for $t_1 > t_2$ and k even. In this case, v_{e_2} is contained in $H_{2,i}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m_2$.

so $H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1} \subsetneq H_{2,1}$. By Lemma 4.13, we see that $\psi(E(H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1}, (H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,1})^c)) = \{e_2, f, f_1\}$, with e_2 and f fully contained in $H_{2,1}$ and f_2 fully contained in $H_{3,1}^c$.

We now iterate the reasoning. Intersecting $H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,3}$ (see Figure 8), we must have that $e_2, f \in \psi(E(H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,3}, (H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,3})^c))$, hence, by Lemma 4.13, $H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,3}$ is a 3-hemisphere, and f_3 is fully contained in $H_{3,1}$ (as neither f_2 nor f_k is fully contained in $H_{3,1}$). Considering $H_{3,1} \cap H_{2,4}$, we have that f_4 must be fully contained in $H_{3,1}^c$, and iterating this process we see that f_{2i} is fully contained in H_3^c for every $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. So k must be odd and we write $k = 2k' + 1$.

As illustrated in Figure 8, for every $e \in E(\Gamma')$, we define:

$$\ell'(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-t_1}{2} & \text{if } e \in E(H_{2,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c) \text{ or } E(H_{2,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c) \text{ for some } i < k', \\ t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{2,3i}, H_{2,3i}^c) \text{ or } t(e) = v_1, \\ 1-t_2 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{3,3i+1}, H_{2,3i+1}^c) \text{ for any } i, \\ t_2-t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{3,3i+2}, H_{2,3i+2}^c) \text{ for any } i, \\ t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{3,3i}, H_{2,3i}^c) \text{ for any } i, \\ 1/3 & \text{if } \psi(e) \notin \psi(E(H_{j,i}, H_{j,i}^c)) \text{ for any } j = 2, 3 \text{ and } i. \end{cases}$$

The remaining edges can be assigned lengths in a such way that $\sum_{e \in \psi^{-1}(f)} \ell'(e) = 1$ for every $f \in E(\Gamma_0)$, so (Γ', ℓ') is a model of X . Again, by Remark 4.18, the divisors $\mathcal{P}_{H_{2,i}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{H_{3,i}}$ are principal divisors, finishing the proof.

Case (2.b). Consider the refinement Γ' of Γ_0 by adding one vertex over each edge. Notice that Γ' is a refinement of Γ . Let $H_{2,i}$ and $H_{3,j}$ be defined as in Equation (4). Let k be the integer such that $|\psi(E(H_{2,i}, H_{2,i}^c)) \cap \psi(E(H_{2,i+1}, H_{2,i+1}^c))| = 1$ for every $i \leq k-1$, and

$$\psi(E(H_{2,k+2i+1}, H_{2,k+2i+1}^c)) = \psi(E(H_{2,k+2i+2}, H_{2,k+2i+2}^c)), \text{ for } i \geq 0.$$




FIGURE 8. Attributing lengths to the edges of Γ' , for $t_1 < t_2$ and k odd. In this case, v_{e_2} is contained in $H_{2,i}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m_2$.




FIGURE 9. Attributing lengths to the edges of Γ' for $t_1 > t_2$ and k odd. In this case, v_{e_2} is contained in $H_{2,i}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m_2$.

If k is even, we define the length ℓ' on Γ' as follows:

$$\ell'(e) = \begin{cases} 1 - t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{2,2i+1}, H_{2,2i+1}) \text{ with } i = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m_2-1}{2} \rfloor \\ t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{2,2i}, H_{2,2i}^c) \text{ with } i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m_2}{2} \rfloor \text{ or } t(e) = v_1 \\ 1 - t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{3,2i}, H_{3,2i}^c) \text{ with } i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m_3}{2} \rfloor \\ t_1 & \text{if } e \in E(H_{3,2i+1}, H_{3,2i+1}^c) \text{ with } i = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m_3-1}{2} \rfloor \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \psi(e) \notin \psi(E(H_{r,i}, H_{r,i}^c)) \text{ for any } r = 2, 3 \text{ and } i. \end{cases}$$

The remaining edges can be assigned lengths in a such way that $\sum_{e \in \psi^{-1}(f)} \ell'(e) = 1$ for every $f \in E(\Gamma_0)$, so (Γ', ℓ') is a model of X .

When k is odd the situation is similar (see Figure 10): the unique difference is that we define $\ell'(e) = 1 - t_1$ for $e \in E(H_{3,2i+1}, H_{3,2i+1}^c)$ and $\ell'(e) = t_1$ for $e \in E(H_{3,2i}, H_{3,2i}^c)$.




FIGURE 10. Attributing lengths to the edges of Γ' for k odd.

As in Case (2.a), we have that the combinatorial type of the divisor \mathcal{D}_{t_1, t_2} defined in Equation (3) does not depend on $0 < t_1, t_2 < 1$. Hence Theorem 3.1 (3) ensures that the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2$ is already defined at (N_1, N_2) , as given by the global blowup in the statement of Theorem 4.7.

Case (2.c). This case is the same as Case (2.b) except that $\psi(E(H_{2,i}, H_{2,i}^c)) \cap \psi(E(H_{3,j}, H_{3,j}^c)) = \emptyset$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m_2$ and $j = 1, \dots, m_3$. So we can freely assign lengths to the edges in $E(H_{3,j}, H_{3,j}^c)$. The conclusion is the same as in Case (2.b).

Case (3.a). This case follows the same steps in Case (2.a): the difference is that $k = 0$ and the sequence of edges f_1, \dots, f_k is empty. The conclusion is the same as in Case (2.a).

Case (3.b). This case follows the same steps in Case (2.b): the difference is that $k = 0$. The conclusion is the same as in Case (2.b).

Case (3.c). In this case, we do not have to further refine Γ_0 as $E(H, H^c)$ does not contain any edge incident to v_{e_1} or v_{e_2} , for every H in $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma(v_0, v_{e_1}, v_{e_2})$. So P_H is principal on X for every $H \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma(v_0, v_{e_1}, v_{e_2})$. As in the previous cases, using Remark 2.1 and Theorem 4.16, the divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_{t_1, t_2} := 2p_0 - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma(v_0, v_{e_1}, v_{e_2})} \mathcal{P}_H$$

on the tropical curve X is (p_0, μ) -quasistable and equivalent to $2p_0 - p_{e_1, t_1} - p_{e_2, t_2}$. Since the combinatorial type of \mathcal{D}_{t_1, t_2} is independent of t_1 and t_2 , it follows from Theorem 3.1 (3) that the Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2$ is already defined at (N_1, N_2) , as prescribed by the global blowup in the statement of Theorem 4.7. \square

Given a regular smoothing $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of a curve, consider the blowup $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ giving rise to a resolution of the degree-2 Abel map $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}^2$, as in Theorem 4.7. Since the locus we are blowing up is invariant under the natural action of S_2 on \mathcal{C}^2 , we can take the quotient

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}/S_2.$$

We thus obtain a map:

$$(5) \quad \beta_2: \mathrm{Sym}^2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu(\mathcal{C})$$

resolving the rational “symmetrized” Abel map $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{C}^2) \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 4.19. Let C be a curve. We say that C is *pseudo-hyperelliptic* if it has a simple torsion-free rank-1 sheaf I of degree 2 with non-negative degree over every component such that $h^0(C, I) \geq 2$.

Recall that a curve is weakly-hyperelliptic if it has a degree-2 balanced invertible sheaf (see [4] for more details). If a stable curve is hyperelliptic, then it is weakly-hyperelliptic.

Theorem 4.20. *Let C be a curve with no separating nodes. The following properties hold.*

- (1) *C is pseudo-hyperelliptic if and only if, for some (every) regular smoothing $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of C , the map $\beta_2: \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu(\mathcal{C})$ is not injective.*
- (2) *if C is stable and weakly-hyperelliptic, then C is pseudo-hyperelliptic.*
- (3) *if C is stable and has a simple torsion-free rank-1 sheaf I of degree 2 with non-negative degree over every component such that $h^0(C, I) \geq 2$, then I is invertible.*

Proof. If C has a rational component E such that $|E \cap E^c| \leq 2$ then it is easy to see that C is pseudo-hyperelliptic and weakly-hyperelliptic, and that β_2 is not injective. So, we will assume that C is stable.

Assume that C is stable and pseudo-hyperelliptic. Let I be a torsion free rank-1 sheaf satisfying the condition in Definition 4.19. Let $\mathbb{P}(I) := \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}(I)) \rightarrow C$ be the semistable modification of C where we add a rational curve over the nodes of C where I is not locally free. We consider the invertible sheaf $L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(I)}(1)$, so that we have $I = f_*(L)$ and L has degree 1 on the exceptional components (see [8, Section 5]). Then, L has non-negative degree on every component of $\mathbb{P}(I)$ and $h^0(\mathbb{P}(I), L) \geq 2$.

We will apply [4, Theorem 5.9] to $\mathbb{P}(I)$ and L . We have two cases. In the first case, there is a component C_0 of $\mathbb{P}(I)$ satisfying the following property. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be the connected components of C_0^c . Then

$$(6) \quad h^0(L|_{C_0}) \geq 2, \quad L|_{C_0^c} = \mathcal{O}_{C_0^c}, \quad L|_{C_0} = \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(C_0 \cap Z_i), \quad |C_0 \cap Z_i| = 2.$$

This means that the component C_0 is not exceptional, because L has degree 2 on C_0 . Moreover, L has degree 0 on every other component, which implies that I is an invertible sheaf, hence $L = I$ and $\mathbb{P}(I) = C$. We can consider smooth points q_1, q_2, q'_1, q'_2 of C lying over C_0 such that

$$L|_{C_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(q_1 + q_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(q'_1 + q'_2).$$

By Condition (6), we have that $L \cong \mathcal{O}_C(q_1 + q_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(q'_1 + q'_2)$, hence $\mathcal{O}_C(2p_0 - q_1 - q_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(2p_0 - q'_1 - q'_2)$ which means that $\beta_2(q_1 + q_2) = \beta_2(q'_1 + q'_2)$, where β_2 is the map in Equation 5 for some (every) regular smoothing of C .

In the second case, there are two components C_1 and C_2 of $\mathbb{P}(I)$ such that (C_1, C_2) is a special \mathcal{B} -pair (in the sense of [4, Definition 5.8]). By [4, Theorem 5.9], we have

$$\deg L_{C_1} = \deg L|_{C_2} = 1, \quad L|_{(C_1 \cup C_2)^c} \cong \mathcal{O}_{(C_1 \cup C_2)^c}.$$

Notice that, if one between C_1 and C_2 is exceptional, then the other must be exceptional as well, and in particular this implies that I is not simple, which is a contradiction. We deduce that I is an invertible sheaf, hence $L = I$ and $\mathbb{P}(I) = C$. We can repeat the argument used in the first case,

now taking $q_1, q'_1 \in C_1$ and $q_2, q'_2 \in C_2$. We leave the details to the reader. Notice that we proved (3) and the “only if” part of (1).

Now assume that there is a regular smoothing $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of C such that β_2 is not injective. We have different cases to consider.

In the first case, there are smooth points q_1, q_2, q'_1, q'_2 of C such that $\beta_2(q_1 + q_2) = \beta_2(q'_1 + q'_2)$. This means that there exists an invertible sheaf T on C of type $T = \mathcal{O}_C(\sum a_i C_i)|_C$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and C_i are the components of C , such that

$$\mathcal{O}_C(2p_0 - q_1 - q_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(2p_0 - q'_1 - q'_2) \otimes T.$$

We deduce that

$$\mathcal{O}_C(q'_1 + q'_2 - q_1 - q_2) \cong T.$$

Let Γ be the dual graph of C . Notice that Γ has no separating edge. If v_1, v_2, v'_1, v'_2 are the vertices of Γ corresponding to the components containing the points q_1, q_2, q'_1, q'_2 , we have that $v'_1 + v'_2 - v_1 - v_2$ is a principal divisor on Γ . Let $f: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the rational function on Γ such that $\text{div}(f) = v'_1 + v'_2 - v_1 - v_2$ (notice that $v'_i \neq v_j$ for every $i, j = 1, 2$ because Γ has no separating edge). We denote by Z the subcurve of C corresponding to the vertices of Γ where f attains its minimum. In particular, $q_1, q_2 \in Z$, $q'_1, q'_2 \in Z^c$ and $|Z \cap Z^c| = 2$. Moreover we have $T|_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(-Z \cap Z^c)$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(Z \cap Z^c) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-q_1 - q_2)|_Z \cong (\mathcal{O}_C(q'_1 + q'_2 - q_1 - q_2) \otimes T^{-1})|_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_C|_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z.$$

Define $L := \mathcal{O}_C(q_1 + q_2)$. We see that L satisfies $h^0(L, C) \geq 2$ (indeed L has the trivial section that vanishes only over q_1, q_2 and a section that vanishes on the whole Z^c). Thus C is pseudo-hyperelliptic in the sense of Definition 4.19.

In the second case, we have nodes n, n' and smooth points q, q' of C such that $\beta_2(n + q) = \beta_2(n' + q')$. Let \tilde{C} be the semistable modification of C obtained by adding an exceptional component over each node of C . Then, there exists a twister T on \tilde{C} such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(2p_0 - \tilde{n} - q) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(2p_0 - \tilde{n}' - q') \otimes T$$

where \tilde{n} and \tilde{n}' are any smooth points of \tilde{C} lying over the exceptional component over n and n' . Arguing as before, we see that $L := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{n} + q)$ satisfies $h^0(L, \tilde{C}) \geq 2$, and hence $h^0(f_*(L), C) \geq 2$. Thus C is pseudo-hyperelliptic in the sense of Definition 4.19.

In the third case, we have a node n and smooth points q, q'_1, q'_2 such that $\beta_2(n + q) = \beta_2(q'_1 + q'_2)$. This case is not possible, since the sheaf represented by $\beta_2(q'_1 + q'_2)$ is invertible, while the one represented by $\beta_2(n + q)$ is not.

The remaining cases are the following ones:

- $\beta(n_1 + n_2) = \beta(q'_1 + q'_2)$;
- $\beta(n_1 + n_2) = \beta(n' + q')$;
- $\beta(n_1 + n_2) = \beta(n'_1 + n'_2)$.

where n_1, n_2, n', n'_1, n'_2 are nodes of C , and q, q'_1, q'_2 and smooth points. All these cases are done in an similar manner as the second case: first, we change C by a suitable semistable modification $f: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ and find a line bundle L such that $h^0(L, \tilde{C}) \geq 2$. This implies that $h^0(f_*(L), C) \geq 2$ which proves that C is pseudo-hyperelliptic in the sense of Definition 4.19.

Finally, item (2) of the statement readily follows by [4, Theorem 5.9]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abreu, S. Andria, and M. Pacini. Abel maps for nodal curves via tropical geometry. To appear in *Math. of Comp.* 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11
- [2] A. Abreu, J. Coelho, and M. Pacini. On the geometry of Abel maps for nodal curves. *Michigan Math. J.*, 64(1):77–108, 2015. 3

- [3] A. Abreu and M. Pacini. The universal tropical Jacobian and the skeleton of the Esteves' universal Jacobian. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 120(3):328–369, 2020. 2, 3
- [4] L. Caporaso. Linear series on semistable curves. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2011(13):2921–2969, 2011. 2, 17, 18
- [5] L. Caporaso and E. Esteves. On Abel maps of stable curves. *Michigan Math. J.*, 55(3):575–607, 2007. 9
- [6] O. Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. 5
- [7] E. Esteves. Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(8):3045–3095, 2001. 1, 3, 11
- [8] E. Esteves and M. Pacini. Semistable modifications of families of curves and compactified Jacobians. *Ark. Mat.*, 54(1):55–83, 2016. 17
- [9] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. 5
- [10] M. Pacini. The resolution of the degree-2 Abel-Jacobi map for nodal curves-I. *Math. Nachr.*, 287(17-18):2071–2101, 2014. 8, 9, 10, 11