

# ON THE NUMBER OF $p$ -HYPERGEOMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF KZ EQUATIONS

ALEXANDER VARCHENKO

\* *Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill  
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA*

\* *Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, Lomonosov Moscow State University  
Leninskiye Gory 1, 119991 Moscow GSP-1, Russia*

*Key words:* KZ equations; master polynomials;  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11D79 (32G34, 33C60, 33E50)

ABSTRACT. It is known that solutions of the KZ equations can be written in the form of multidimensional hypergeometric integrals. In 2017 in a joint paper of the author with V. Schechtman the construction of hypergeometric solutions was modified, and solutions of the KZ equations modulo a prime number  $p$  were constructed. These solutions modulo  $p$ , called the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions, are polynomials with integer coefficients. A general problem is to determine the number of independent  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions and understand the meaning of that number.

In this paper we consider the KZ equations associated with the space of singular vectors of weight  $n - 2r$  in the tensor power  $W^{\otimes n}$  of the vector representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . In this case the hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations are given by  $r$ -dimensional hypergeometric integrals. We consider the module of the corresponding  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions, determine its rank, and show that the rank equals the dimension of the space of suitable square integrable differential  $r$ -forms.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ KZ equations	3
2.1. Definition of equations	3
2.2. Gauge transformation	4
2.3. Solutions of KZ equations over $\mathbb{C}$	4
2.4. $p$ -integrals	5
2.5. KZ equations modulo $p$	5
2.6. Module of $p$ -hypergeometric solutions	6
2.7. More general choice of the numbers $M$ and $c$	6
3. Pairs $(p, q)$ of type 1	7
3.1. Numbers $M$ and $c$	7
3.2. Main Theorem	8
4. Leading term and leading index	9
4.1. Leading term of a polynomial	9
4.2. Leading index of a vector of $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]_p$	10
4.3. Index $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$	10

\**E-mail:* anv@email.unc.edu, supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1954266

4.4. Special summand	10
4.5. Proof of Theorem 3.5	12
4.6. Leading terms and eigenvectors	12
5. Solutions and a Cartier map	13
5.1. Square integrability criterion	13
5.2. Square integrable differential $r$ -forms	14
5.3. Schur polynomials	15
5.4. Cartier map	16
References	17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov ( $KZ$ ) equations is a system of differential equations for conformal blocks in conformal field theory, see [KZ]. Versions of  $KZ$  equations appear in mathematical physics, representation theory, enumerative geometry, algebraic geometry, theory of special functions, see for example [EFK, MO, B].

It is known that solutions of the  $KZ$  equations can be written in the form of multidimensional hypergeometric integrals, see [SV1]. Relatively recently, the construction of these hypergeometric solutions was modified, and solutions of the  $KZ$  equations modulo a prime number  $p$  were constructed in [SV2]. These solutions modulo  $p$ , called the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions, are vector-valued polynomials with integer coefficients. The general problem is to understand how these polynomials reflect the remarkable properties of the  $KZ$  equations and their hypergeometric solutions.

In this paper we address the particular problem to determine the number of independent  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions and understand the meaning of that number. We consider the  $KZ$  equations associated with the space of singular vectors of weight  $n - 2r$  in the tensor power  $W^{\otimes n}$  of the vector representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . In this case the hypergeometric solutions of the  $KZ$  equations are given by  $r$ -dimensional hypergeometric integrals. We consider the module of the corresponding  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions, determine its rank, and show that the rank equals the dimension of the space of suitable square integrable differential  $r$ -forms.

The  $KZ$  equations depend on a parameter  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . In this paper we assume that  $q$  is a prime number less than  $p$ , and the pair  $(p, q)$  satisfies certain conditions (the pair is of type 1).

On  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions and, more generally, on the solutions of the  $KZ$  equations modulo  $p^s$  see [SIV, V4, V5, V6, V7, V8, VZ1, VZ2].

In Section 2 we define the  $KZ$  equations, recall the construction of solutions in the form of hypergeometric integrals and the construction of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions. We also introduce the module of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions. The main result of the paper is Theorem 3.5, formulated in Section 3 and proved in Section 4. Theorem 3.5 determines the rank of the module of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions.

In Section 5 we construct a suitable Cartier map, which relates the hypergeometric solutions and  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions. As a result of this construction, we interpret the rank of the module of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions as the dimension of some vector space of square

integrable differential  $r$ -forms on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ , the  $r$ -th direct power of the complex projective line. Previously this result was known for  $r = 1$ , see [SIV].

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Alexey Slinkin for useful discussions.

## 2. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ KZ EQUATIONS

**2.1. Definition of equations.** Consider the complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  with generators  $e, f, h$  and relations  $[e, f] = h$ ,  $[h, e] = 2e$ ,  $[h, f] = -2f$ . Consider the complex vector space  $W$  with basis  $w_1, w_2$  and the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action,

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module  $W^{\otimes n}$  has a basis labeled by subsets  $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$V_J = w_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{j_n},$$

where  $j_i = 1$  if  $j_i \notin J$  and  $j_i = 2$  if  $j_i \in J$ .

Consider the weight decomposition of  $W^{\otimes n}$  into eigenspaces of  $h$ ,  $W^{\otimes n} = \sum_{r=0}^n W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]$ . The vectors  $V_J$  with  $|J| = r$  form a basis of  $W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]$ . Denote  $\mathcal{J}_r$  the set of all  $r$ -element subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Define the space of singular vectors of weight  $n-2r$ ,

$$\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r] = \{w \in W^{\otimes n}[n-2r] \mid ew = 0\}.$$

This space is nonempty if and only if  $n \geq 2r$ . We assume that  $n \geq 2r$ . Then

$$\dim \text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r] = \dim W^{\otimes n}[n-2r] - \dim W^{\otimes n}[n-2r+2] = \binom{n}{r} - \binom{n}{r-1}.$$

Let  $w = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} c_J V_J \in W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]$ . Then  $w \in \text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]$ , if and only if its coefficients satisfy the system of linear equations labeled by  $r-1$ -element subsets  $K \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in K \\ j \notin K}} c_{K \cup \{j\}} = 0.$$

Define the Casimir element  $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}h \otimes h + e \otimes f + f \otimes e \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and the linear operators on  $W^{\otimes n}$  depending on parameters  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,

$$H_m(z) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n \frac{\Omega_{mj}}{z_m - z_j}, \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\Omega_{mj} : W^{\otimes n} \rightarrow W^{\otimes n}$  is the Casimir operator acting in the  $m$ th and  $j$ th tensor factors. The operators  $H_m(z)$  are called the Gaudin Hamiltonians. Denote

$$\partial_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m}, \quad m = 1, \dots, n.$$

For any nonzero number  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $1 \leq m, l \leq n$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad [q\partial_m - H_m(z_1, \dots, z_n), q\partial_l - H_l(z_1, \dots, z_n)] = 0,$$

and for any  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $m = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad [H_m(z_1, \dots, z_n), x \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 + \cdots + 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes x] = 0.$$

The system of differential equations

$$(2.4) \quad (q\partial_m - H_m(z_1, \dots, z_n))\tilde{I}(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

on a  $W^{\otimes n}$ -valued function  $\tilde{I}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is called the *KZ equations*, see [KZ, EFK].

By property (2.3) the Gaudin Hamiltonians preserve every space  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ . Hence the system of *KZ equations* can be considered with values in any particular space  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ .

**2.2. Gauge transformation.** If  $\tilde{I}(z)$  satisfies the *KZ equations* (2.4), then the function  $I(z)$ , defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{I}(z) = I(z) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^{1/2q},$$

satisfies the equations

$$(2.6) \quad \left( q\partial_m - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n \frac{\Omega_{mj} - 1/2}{z_m - z_j} \right) I(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad m = 1, \dots, n,$$

which we also call the *KZ equations*.

The linear operator  $\Omega - \frac{1}{2} : W^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow W^{\otimes 2}$  acts as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto 0, & w_2 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto 0, \\ w_1 \otimes w_2 &\mapsto -w_1 \otimes w_2 + w_2 \otimes w_1, & w_2 \otimes w_1 &\mapsto w_1 \otimes w_2 - w_2 \otimes w_1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider the *KZ equations* (2.6) with values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  over the field of complex numbers, and we shall also consider the *KZ equations* (2.6) modulo  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number.

**2.3. Solutions of *KZ equations* over  $\mathbb{C}$ .** Denote  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ . Define the master function

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi(t, z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^{2/q} \prod_{s=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^r (t_i - z_s)^{-1/q}.$$

For any function  $F(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ , denote  $\text{Sym}_t[F(t_1, \dots, t_r)] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} F(t_{\sigma_1}, \dots, t_{\sigma_r})$ . For  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \in \mathcal{J}_r$  define the weight function

$$W_J(t, z) = \text{Sym}_t \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{t_i - z_{j_i}} \right].$$

For example,

$$W_{\{3\}} = \frac{1}{t_1 - z_3}, \quad W_{\{4,5\}} = \frac{1}{t_1 - z_4} \frac{1}{t_2 - z_5} + \frac{1}{t_2 - z_4} \frac{1}{t_1 - z_5}.$$

The function

$$(2.9) \quad W(t, z) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} W_J(t, z) V_J$$

is called the  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -weight vector-function.

Consider the  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued function

$$(2.10) \quad I^{(\gamma)}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_{\gamma(z)} \Phi(t, z) W(t, z) dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r,$$

where  $\gamma(z)$  in  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}_t^r$  is a horizontal family of  $r$ -dimensional cycles of the twisted homology defined by the multivalued function  $\Phi(t, z)$ , see [CF, SV1, DJMM, V1, V3]. The cycles  $\gamma(z)$  are  $r$ -dimensional analogs of Pochhammer double loops.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The function  $I^{(\gamma)}(z)$  takes values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  and satisfies the KZ equations (2.6).*

This theorem and its generalizations can be found, for example, in [CF, DJMM, SV1].

The solutions in Theorem 2.1 are called the hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations.

**Theorem 2.2** ([V1, Theorem 12.5.5]). *If  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  is generic, then all solutions of the KZ equations (2.6) have this form.*

For special values of the parameter  $q$  the space of the hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations may span only a proper subspace of the space of all solutions, see in [FSV1, FSV2] the discussion of the relations of this subspace of solutions and conformal blocks in conformal field theory.

**2.4.  $p$ -integrals.** Let  $p$  be a positive integer. Let  $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_k} c_{d_1, \dots, d_k} t_1^{d_1} \dots t_k^{d_k}$  be a polynomial. Let  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$ . The coefficient  $c_{l_1 p - 1, \dots, l_r p - 1}$  will be called the  $p$ -integral of  $f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  over the cycle  $\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}_p$  and is denoted by

$$\int_{\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}_p} f(t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_r.$$

**2.5. KZ equations modulo  $p$ .** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be prime numbers,  $p > q$ . We consider the system of KZ equations (2.6) with parameter  $q$  modulo  $p$ . Namely, we look for  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomials in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  with integer coefficients, which satisfy system (2.6) modulo  $p$  and with values in the subspace  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  modulo  $p$ . More precisely, let  $I(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} I_J(z_1, \dots, z_n) V_J$  with  $I_J(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ . We request that

- for any  $m = 1, \dots, n$ , the rational function  $(q\partial_m - H_m(z))I(z)$  can be written as a ratio of two polynomials with integer coefficients such that the denominator is nonzero modulo  $p$ , while the numerator is zero modulo  $p$ ;
- the coefficients  $I_J(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  of the polynomial  $I(z)$  satisfy equations (2.1) modulo  $p$ .

A construction of such solutions was presented in [SV2].

Let  $M, c$  be the least positive integers such that

$$(2.11) \quad M \equiv -\frac{1}{q}, \quad c \equiv \frac{2}{q} \pmod{p}.$$

Let  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Define the master polynomial,

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi_p(t, z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^c \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M.$$

Recall the weight vector-function  $W(t, z)$  in (2.9). The function  $\Phi_p(t, z)W(t, z)$  is a  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomial in  $t, z$ . Let  $(l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$ . Denote

$$(2.13) \quad I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z) = \int_{\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}_p} \Phi_p(t, z)W(t, z) dt_1 \dots dt_r.$$

This is a  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomial in  $z$ .

**Theorem 2.3** ([SV2]). *For any positive integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , the polynomial  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  is a solution of the KZ equations modulo  $p$  with values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  modulo  $p$ .*

We call the polynomials  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions.

In this paper we determine the number of independent  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions under the assumption that  $(p, q)$  is a pair of type 1.

**Remark.** The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on the polynomials in  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  with values in  $W^{\otimes n}$  by permuting the tensor factors and the variables simultaneously,

$$\sigma(p(z_1, \dots, z_n) v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = (p(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)}) v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}), \quad \sigma \in S_n.$$

It is clear that for any positive integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , the polynomial  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  is symmetric with respect to the  $S_n$ -action.

**2.6. Module of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions.** Denote  $\mathbb{Z}[z^p] = \mathbb{Z}[z_1^p, \dots, z_n^p]$ . Let

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{M} = \left\{ \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_r} f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z) I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z) \mid f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z^p] \right\},$$

be the  $\mathbb{Z}[z^p]$ -module generated by the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  of Theorem 2.3. Every element of  $\mathcal{M}$  is a solution of the KZ equations modulo  $p$  with values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  modulo  $p$ . Indeed the KZ equations (2.6) are linear and  $\frac{\partial z_i^p}{\partial z_j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $i, j$ .

We say that two elements  $I, I' \in \mathcal{M}$  are equivalent and write  $I \sim I'$ , if  $I - I'$  is divisible by  $p$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{M}/(\sim)$  of equivalence classes is an  $\mathbb{F}_p[z^p]$ -module.

**2.7. More general choice of the numbers  $M$  and  $c$ .** For  $s = 1, \dots, n$  fix a positive integer  $M_s$  such that

$$M_s \equiv -\frac{1}{q} \pmod{p}.$$

Fix a positive integer  $c'$  such that

$$c' \equiv \frac{2}{q} \pmod{p}.$$

Define the master polynomial,

$$\Phi_p(t, z; \vec{M}, c') = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^{c'} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{M_s}.$$

Recall the weight vector-function  $W(t, z)$  in (2.9). The function  $\Phi_p(t, z; \vec{M}, c')W(t, z)$  is a  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomial in  $t, z$  with integer coefficients. Let  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$ . Denote

$$I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z; \vec{M}, c') = \int_{\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}_p} \Phi_p(t, z; \vec{M}, c')W(t, z) dt_1 \dots dt_r.$$

This is a  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomial in  $z$  with integer coefficients.

**Theorem 2.4** ([SV2]). *For any positive integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , the polynomial  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z; \vec{M}, c')$  is a solution of the KZ equations modulo  $p$  with values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$  modulo  $p$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *For any positive integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , the polynomial  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z; \vec{M}, c')$  belongs to the module  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions.*

For  $l = 1$  this statement follows from [SIV, Theorem 3.1].

*Proof.* Let  $M, c$  be defined in (2.11). Then  $c' = c + d_0p$ ,  $M_s = M + d_s p$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $d_0, \dots, d_n$  are nonnegative integers. Then we have modulo  $p$ ,

$$\Phi_p(t, z; \vec{M}, c') \equiv \Phi_p(t, z) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i^p - t_j^p)^{d_0} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i^p - z_s^p)^{d_s} \pmod{p}.$$

This formula implies the theorem. □

### 3. PAIRS $(p, q)$ OF TYPE 1

**3.1. Numbers  $M$  and  $c$ .** Let  $p, q$  be prime numbers,  $p > q$ . Let  $k$  be the minimal positive integer such that  $q|(kp - 1)$ . We have  $1 \leq k < q$ .

We say that the pair  $(p, q)$  is of type 1 or type 2 if

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \leq k \leq q/2 \quad \text{or} \quad q/2 < k < q,$$

respectively.

The pairs  $(p, 2)$  are all of type 1.

The pairs  $(p, 3)$  are of type 1 if  $p = 3m + 1$  and of type 2 if  $p = 3m + 2$ .

Let  $p = mq + s$ ,  $s \in \{1, \dots, q - 1\}$ . Then the minimal positive integer  $k$  such that  $q|(kp - 1)$  belongs to  $\{1, \dots, q - 1\}$  and is determined by the property  $ks \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Hence half of the values of  $s$  gives pairs  $(p, q)$  of type 1 and half of the values of  $s$  gives pairs  $(p, q)$  of type 2.

In the rest of the paper we always assume that  $(p, q)$  is of type 1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The integer  $q - 2k$  is the least positive integer  $m$  such that  $q|(mp + 2)$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $q|(kp - 1)$ . Hence  $q|(qp - 2(kp - 1))$ , and  $qp - 2(kp - 1) = (q - 2k)p + 2$ . We also have  $0 \leq q - 2k \leq q - 2$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *The integers*

$$(3.2) \quad M = \frac{kp - 1}{q}, \quad c = \frac{(q - 2k)p + 2}{q}$$

are the least positive integers satisfying the congruences (2.11). The integer  $c$  is odd and

$$(3.3) \quad 2M + c = p.$$

□

**Example.** If  $q = 2$ , then  $M = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ,  $c = 1$ . The case  $q = 2$  is the only case in which  $c$  does not depend on  $p$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For positive integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$  the polynomial  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  equals zero, if  $l_1, \dots, l_r$  are not pairwise distinct.

*Proof.* The integer  $c$  is odd. Hence the polynomial  $\Phi_p(t, z)W(t, z)$  is skew-symmetric with respect to permutations of  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ . □

In what follows we always assume

$$(3.4) \quad n = qg + 2r - 1$$

for some positive integer  $g$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $q, k, g$  be fixed. Let  $p$  be large enough so that

$$(3.5) \quad M - g = \frac{kp - 1}{q} - g = \frac{kp - 1 - gq}{q} \geq 0.$$

Let  $l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ . Then the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad kg + r - 1 \geq l_1$$

is necessary for  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  to be nonzero. Hence there are at most  $\binom{kg+r-1}{r}$  tuples  $(l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1)$  such that  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  is nonzero.

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, \dots, r$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg_{t_i} \Phi_p(t, z)W(t, z) &= nM + (r-1)c - 1 \\ &= (gq + 2r - 1) \frac{kp - 1}{q} + (r-1) \frac{(q-2k)p + 2}{q} - 1 \\ &= (kg + r - 1)p - 1 + \frac{kp - 1 - gq}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

**3.2. Main Theorem.** For a polynomial  $F$  in some variables with integer coefficients, denote by  $[F]_p$  the polynomial  $F$  whose integer coefficients are projected to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n} a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$$

be a  $W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]$ -valued polynomial in  $z$  with integer coefficients. Here each  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$  is a linear combination of basis vectors  $\{V_J \mid J \in \mathcal{J}_r\}$  with integer coefficients. Denote by  $[f(z)]_p$  the polynomial

$$[f(z)]_p = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n} [a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}]_p z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n},$$

where each  $[a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}]_p$  is the linear combination  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$  whose integer coefficients are projected to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Denote by  $W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$  the vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of linear combinations of symbols  $\{V_J \mid J \in \mathcal{J}_r\}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Define the subspace  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p \subset W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$  as the subspace of all vectors  $\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} c_J V_J$  whose coefficients satisfy equations (2.1).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(p, q)$  be of type 1. Let inequality (3.5) hold. Then for any  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$  such that  $kg + r - 1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ , the polynomial  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  is nonzero. The polynomials*

$$\{[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p \mid kg + r - 1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1\}$$

are linear independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p[z]$ , that is, if

$$\sum_{kg+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1} f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z) [I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p = 0$$

for some  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[z]$ , then all  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z)$  are equal to zero.

The theorem is proved in Section 4.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(p, q)$  be of type 1. Let inequality (3.5) hold. Then the  $\mathbb{F}_p[z^p]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}/(\sim)$  is free of rank  $\binom{kg+r-1}{r}$  with a basis  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$ , where  $kg + r - 1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ .  $\square$*

#### 4. LEADING TERM AND LEADING INDEX

**4.1. Leading term of a polynomial.** Denote by  $\succ$  the lexicographical ordering of monomials  $z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$ , where  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Thus  $z_1 \succ z_2 \succ \dots \succ z_{n-1} \succ z_n$  and so on. For example,  $z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2 \succ z_1^2 z_2 z_4^5$ .

For a nonzero polynomial

$$f(z) = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n} a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$$

consider the summand  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  corresponding to the lexicographically largest monomial  $z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  entering  $f(z)$  with a nonzero coefficient  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$ . We call this summand the leading term of  $f(z)$ , the corresponding  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$  – the leading coefficient, and  $z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  – the leading monomial.

For example, let  $I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)$  be one of the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions of Theorem 2.3. Then

$$[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n} [a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}]_p z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n},$$

with  $[a_{d_1, \dots, d_n}]_p \in \text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$ . If  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  is nonzero, then it has the leading term, monomial, and coefficient. The leading coefficient is a nonzero vector of  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$ .

**4.2. Leading index of a vector of  $W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$ .** We order  $\{V_J \mid J \in \mathcal{J}_r\}$ , the basis vectors of  $W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$ , lexicographically with the largest of them being  $V_{\{1,2,\dots,r\}} = w_2 \otimes \dots \otimes w_2 \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_1$  and the smallest of them being  $V_{\{n-r+1,n-r+2,\dots,n\}} = w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \dots \otimes w_2$ .

Every nonzero vector  $w \in W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$  is a linear combination of the basis vectors. Let  $V_{\{m_1,\dots,m_r\}}$  be the largest of the basis vectors entering  $w$  with a nonzero coefficient. We call the index  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  the leading index of  $w$ .

**4.3. Index  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ .** Given integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , consider the system of inequalities for integers  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad (m_i - 1)M \leq nM + (r - i)c - l_i p < m_i M, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Clearly, these inequalities uniquely determine the integers  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let inequality (3.5) hold. Let  $kg+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ . Then  $m_i+2 \leq m_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ , and  $1 \leq m_1, m_r < n$ .*

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  we have  $(m_i - 1)M \leq nM + (r - i)c - l_i p = nM + (r - i - 1)c + c - l_{i+1}p + (l_{i+1} - l_i)p \leq nM + (r - i - 1)c + c - l_{i+1}p - p = nM + (r - i - 1)c - l_{i+1}p - 2M < (m_{i+1} - 2)M$ . Hence  $m_{i+1} > m_i + 1$ . This implies that  $m_i + 2 \leq m_{i+1}$ .

The inequality  $1 \leq m_1$  follows from the inequality  $l_1 p \leq nM + (r - 1)c$ , which is true since

$$l_1 p \leq (kg + r - 1)p \leq (kg + r - 1)p + \frac{kp - 1 - gq}{q} = nM + (r - 1)c,$$

see (3.7). We also have  $M + 2c = p \leq l_r p \leq (n - m_r + 1)M$ . Hence  $m_r < n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$  and  $(l'_1, \dots, l'_r)$  be two distinct tuples of integers such that  $kg+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$  and  $kg+r-1 \geq l'_1 > \dots > l'_r \geq 1$ . Let  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  and  $\{m'_1, \dots, m'_r\}$  be the corresponding sets defined by (4.1). Then  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\} \neq \{m'_1, \dots, m'_r\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $l_i > l'_i$  for some  $i$ . Then  $(m_i - 1)M \leq nM + (r - i)c - l_i p = nM + (r - i)c - l'_i p + (l'_i - l_i)p \leq nM + (r - i)c - l'_i p - p = nM + (r - i)c - l'_i p - 2M - c < nM + (r - i)c - l'_i p - 2M < (m'_i - 2)M$ . Hence  $m'_i > m_i + 1$ .  $\square$

**4.4. Special summand.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^c &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \left( \sum_{a_{ij} + a_{ji} = c} \binom{c}{a_{ji}} (-1)^{a_{ji}} t_i^{a_{ij}} t_j^{a_{ji}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(a_{ij}) \in A} t_1^{\sum_{j \neq 1} a_{1j}} \dots t_r^{\sum_{j \neq r} a_{rj}} \prod_{i < j} (-1)^{a_{ji}} \binom{c}{a_{ji}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \{(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r, i \neq j} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a_{ij} + a_{ji} = c \text{ for every } i \neq j\}$ . Hence

$$(4.2) \quad [I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p = \left[ \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}_p} \Phi_p(t, z) W(t, z) dt_1 \dots dt_r \right]_p = \\ = \sum_{(a_{ij}) \in A} \left( \prod_{i < j} (-1)^{a_{ji}} \binom{c}{a_{ji}} \right) \left[ \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}_p} W(t, z) \prod_{i=1}^r t_i^{\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}} \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M dt_1 \dots dt_r \right]_p.$$

This sum contains the special summand

$$S(z) := \left[ \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}_p} W(t, z) \prod_{i=1}^r t_i^{(r-i)c} \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M dt_1 \dots dt_r \right]_p$$

corresponding to the collection  $(a_{ij})$  such that  $a_{ij} = c$  for  $i < j$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ .

For  $1 \leq u \leq nM$ , denote

$$(4.3) \quad z(u) := z_s, \quad \text{if } (s-1)M < u \leq sM.$$

Thus  $z(1) = z(2) = \dots = z(M) = z_1$ ,  $z(M+1) = z_2$  and so on.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The vector-polynomial  $S(z)$  is nonzero. The leading monomial of  $S(z)$  equals*

$$(4.4) \quad z^{l_1, \dots, l_r} := \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{u=1}^{nM+(r-i)c-l_i p} z(u).$$

Denote by  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  the leading coefficient of  $S(z)$ . Then the leading index of the vector  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  equals  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  determined by inequalities (4.1). Moreover, the leading monomial of any other nonzero summand in (4.2) is lexicographically smaller than  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ . Thus  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r} z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is the leading term of  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$ .

*Proof.* We rewrite  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  using the integers  $m_1, \dots, m_r$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad z^{l_1, \dots, l_r} = \prod_{i=1}^r z_1^M \dots z_{m_i-1}^M z_{m_i}^{(n-m_i+1)M+(r-i)c-l_i p}.$$

Let  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r} = z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  for some  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ . Then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{m_i} &= (n - m_i + 1)M + (r - i)(c + M) - l_i p, & i = 1, \dots, r; \\ d_j &= rM, & j = 1, \dots, m_1 - 1; \\ d_j &= (r - i)M, & j = m_i + 1, \dots, m_{i+1} - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, r - 1; \\ d_j &= 0 & j = m_r + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is the lexicographically maximal monomial which can be produced by  $S(z)$ . Let  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  be the coefficient of  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  in  $S(z)$ . Then  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r} = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} c_J V_J$  with  $c_J \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . It is clear that  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  is the leading index of  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ , if  $c_{\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}}$  is nonzero, but

$$(4.7) \quad c_{\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}} = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(n-m_i+1)M+(r-i)c-l_i p} \binom{M-1}{(n-m_i+1)M+(r-i)c-l_i p},$$

which is nonzero due to (3.3) and (4.1). Thus  $S(z)$  is nonzero, its leading term equals  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r} z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ , and the leading index of  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ . It remains to prove that the leading monomial of any other nonzero summand in (4.2) is lexicographically smaller than  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ .

Let  $\tilde{S}(z)$  be any other summand in (4.2),

$$\tilde{S}(z) := \left( \prod_{i < j} (-1)^{a_{ji}} \binom{c}{a_{ji}} \right) \left[ \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}_p} W(t, z) \prod_{i=1}^r t_i^{\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}} \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M dt_1 \dots dt_r \right]_p.$$

It is clear that the lexicographically maximal monomial which can be produced by  $\tilde{S}(z)$  equals

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{z}^{l_1, \dots, l_r} := \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{u=1}^{nM - l_i p + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}} z(u).$$

Assume that  $\sum_{j \neq 1} a_{1j} < (r-1)c$ . Let  $s$  be the least index such that the maximal power of  $z_s$  dividing  $\prod_{u=1}^{nM - l_1 p + \sum_{j \neq 1} a_{1j}} z(u)$  is strictly smaller than the maximal power of  $z_s$  (which we denote by  $b$ ) dividing  $\prod_{u=1}^{nM + (r-1)c - l_1 p} z(u)$ . Then the maximal power of  $z_s$  dividing  $\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{u=1}^{nM - l_i p + \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}} z(u)$  is strictly smaller than  $b + (r-1)M$ . This implies that  $\tilde{z}^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is lexicographically smaller than  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ .

Thus, a summand  $\tilde{S}(z)$  must have  $\sum_{j \neq 1} a_{ij} = (r-1)c$  in order to have a monomial as large lexicographically as  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ . This means that  $a_{1j} = c$  and  $a_{j1} = 0$  for  $j \neq 1$ .

Now take any summand  $\tilde{S}(z)$  in (4.2) with  $\sum_{j \neq 1} a_{1j} = (r-1)c$ . In a similar way we show that  $\tilde{S}(z)$  must have  $\sum_{j \neq 2} a_{2j} = (r-2)c$  in order to have a monomial as large lexicographically as  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ . Repeating this reasoning we conclude that the special summand  $S(z)$  is the only summand in (4.2) which may have the monomial  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  with a nonzero coefficient; and no summands in (4.2) may have a monomial larger than  $z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ . Lemma 4.3 is proved.  $\square$

**4.5. Proof of Theorem 3.5.** Let  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  be one of the vector-polynomials of Theorem 3.5. Then  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  has the leading term  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r} z^{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  described in Lemma 4.3. Also the leading index  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  of  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is determined by  $l_1, \dots, l_r$  in (4.1). Let  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[z]$ . Then the leading term of the vector-polynomial  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z)[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  equals the product of leading terms of  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z)$  and  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$ . Moreover, the leading index of the leading coefficient of  $f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z)[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  equals the leading index  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  of the leading coefficient of  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$ .

Consider a sum  $\sum_{kq+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1} f_{l_1, \dots, l_r}(z)[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  as in Theorem 3.5. Then all nonzero summands have different leading indices due to the previous remark and Lemma 4.2. This implies that such a sum is not zero if it has nonzero summands. Theorem 3.5 is proved.

**Example.** Let  $q = 2$ ,  $n = 5$ ,  $r = 2$ . Then Theorem 3.5 says that for any odd prime  $p$  there is just one  $p$ -hypergeometric solution  $[I^{(2,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_5)]_p$ . This polynomial is homogeneous of degree  $2p - 4$  and takes values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes 5}[1]_p$ . The leading term of  $[I^{(2,1)}(z_1, \dots, z_5)]_p$  is  $C_{2,1} z_1^{p-2} z_2^{(p-1)/2} z_3^{(p-3)/2}$  where the leading coefficient  $C_{2,1} \in W^{\otimes 5}[1]_p$  has the leading index  $\{m_1, m_2\} = \{1, 3\}$ .

Notice that the space  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes 5}[1]$  has dimension 5.

**4.6. Leading terms and eigenvectors.** Let  $I(z) \in \text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]_p \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[z]$  be a polynomial solution of the KZ equations (2.6) with a positive integer parameter  $q$  (not necessarily a  $p$ -hypergeometric solution). Let  $C z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  be its leading term,  $C \in \text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n - 2r]_p$ . Then we have modulo  $p$ ,

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\ell=j+1}^n \bar{\Omega}_{j,\ell} C \equiv q d_j C, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad d_n \equiv 0,$$

see [V7, Lemma 5.1]. Thus the leading coefficient  $C$  is an eigenvector of the linear operators  $\bar{\Omega}_j = \sum_{\ell=j+1}^n \bar{\Omega}_{j,\ell}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , with prescribed eigenvalues.

An eigenbasis of the operators  $\bar{\Omega}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , on  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]_p$  is formed by the so-called iterated singular vectors, for example see [V2]. Such an iterated vector is determined by its leading index.

If  $p$  is large enough with respect to  $n$ , then the vectors of that eigenbasis are separated by eigenvalues.

Let  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  be one of the  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions of Theorem 3.5. Let  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r} z_1^{d_1} \dots z_n^{d_n}$  be its leading term, where  $d_j$  are defined in (4.6). Let  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  be the leading index of  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$ . If  $p$  is large enough with respect to  $n$ , then  $C_{l_1, \dots, l_r}$  is the iterated singular vector with leading index  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ . It is the eigenvector of the operators  $\bar{\Omega}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , with eigenvalues defined by formula (4.9).

## 5. SOLUTIONS AND A CARTIER MAP

In this section we discuss how the two objects:

- the set of indices  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$  with  $kg+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ , appearing in Theorem 3.5,
- and the number of such indices  $\binom{kg+r-1}{r}$ , appearing in Corollary 3.6,

are related to the integrand  $\Phi(t, z)W(t, z)dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r$  of the integral representation of complex hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations, appearing in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Recall that the complex hypergeometric solutions with values in  $\text{Sing } W^{\otimes n}[n-2r]$  are given by the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(\gamma)}(z) &= \int_{\gamma(z)} \Phi(t, z)W(t, z) dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r, \\ \Phi(t, z) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^{2/q} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{-1/q}, \\ W(t, z) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} W_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(t, z) V_{i_1, \dots, i_r}, \quad W_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(t, z) = \text{Sym}_{t_1, \dots, t_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{t_j - z_{i_j}}, \end{aligned}$$

see Section 2.3, while the corresponding  $p$ -hypergeometric solutions are given by the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z) &= \int_{\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}_p} \Phi_p(t, z)W(t, z) dt_1 \dots dt_r, \\ \Phi_p(t, z) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^c \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M, \quad W(t, z) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} W_J(t, z) V_J, \end{aligned}$$

see Section 2.5.

**5.1. Square integrability criterion.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $r$ . If  $f$  is a meromorphic function on  $M$  and  $S$  is an irreducible subvariety of  $M$ , then the order of  $f$  along  $S$ ,  $\text{ord}_S(f)$ , is the coefficient of the exceptional divisor of the blow up of  $S$  at the divisor of  $f$ . This notion generalizes to the setting where  $f$  has only finitely many determinations, which means that  $f$  becomes univalued on a finite (possibly ramified) cover of  $M$ . Then  $f$  has at a generic point of the exceptional divisor a fractional order.

Let  $\omega$  be a multivalued meromorphic  $r$ -form on  $M$  with only finitely many determinations and let  $S$  be an irreducible subvariety of  $M$ . Write  $\omega$  at some point  $s$  of  $S$  as  $f\omega_0$  where  $\omega_0$

is  $d$ -form on  $M$  that is nonzero at  $s$  and  $f$  is multivalued meromorphic at  $s$ . The logarithmic order of  $\omega$  along  $S$  is  $\text{codim}(S) + \text{ord}_{S;s}(f)$ . This only depends on  $\omega$  and  $S$ .

Suppose that  $D \subset M$  is a hypersurface which is arrangement-like in the sense that  $D$  can be covered by analytic coordinate charts of  $M$  on which  $D$  is given by a product of linear forms in the coordinates, see [STV]. It is clear that  $D$  then comes with a natural partition into connected, locally closed submanifolds, its strata.

We say that a stratum  $S$  is decomposable if at a generic point  $s \in S$  the germ  $D_s$  of the hyperplane arrangement can be decomposed into the disjoint sum  $A_1 \cup A_2$  of two germs of hyperplane arrangements and, after a suitable linear coordinate change, defining equations for  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  have no common variables. We say that a stratum  $S$  is dense if it is of codimension 1 or if it is not decomposable, see [V1, STV].

We have the following trivial observation.

**Proposition 5.1** ([LV]). *Suppose  $M$  is compact and  $\omega$  is a meromorphic multivalued  $r$ -form on  $M$  with only finitely many determinations and whose polar set is contained in an arrangement-like hypersurface  $D$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) *the form  $\omega$  is square integrable in the sense that  $\int_M \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}$  converges;*
- (ii) *the form  $\omega$  has positive logarithmic order along any dense stratum of  $D$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  is a proper surjective map with  $\tilde{M}$  a complex manifold of the same dimension as  $M$  and such that  $\omega$  becomes a univalued  $d$ -form on  $\tilde{M}$ , then the latter form is regular.*

**5.2. Square integrable differential  $r$ -forms.** Let  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$  be coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}^r \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  distinct parameters.

Consider a differential  $r$ -form

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega &= P(t) \Phi(t, z)^k dt \\ &= P(t) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{-k/q} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - t_j)^{2k/q} \cdot dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r \end{aligned}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^r \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^r$ . Here  $k$ ,  $0 < k < q$ , is a positive integer;  $dt = dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_r$ ; and  $P(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$ .

Let  $n = qg + 2r - 1$  where  $g$  is some positive integer, cf. (3.4).

**Theorem 5.2.** *The form  $\omega$  is square integrable on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  if and only if*

$$(5.2) \quad \deg_{t_i} P \leq kg - 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $D$  the support of the divisor of  $\omega$ . The support  $D$  lies in the union of hypersurfaces defined by  $t_i = z_s$ ,  $t_i = \infty$ , and  $t_i = t_j$  for  $i < j$ . This union is clearly arrangement-like. Its dense strata of codimension  $l$  are:

- (i) diagonals in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  defined by letting  $l \geq 2$  coordinates to coalesce;
- (ii) loci in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  defined by setting  $l \geq 1$  coordinates equal to  $\infty$ ;
- (iii) loci in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  defined by setting  $l \geq 1$  coordinates equal to some  $z_s$ .

Let  $S$  be defined by the equations  $t_{i_1} = \dots = t_{i_l}$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq r$ . Then the logarithmic order of  $\omega$  along  $S$  is  $\geq l - 1 + \binom{l}{2} \cdot 2k/q > 0$ .

Let  $S$  be defined by the equations  $t_{i_1} = \cdots = t_{i_l} = z_s$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l \leq r$ . Then the logarithmic order  $\omega$  along  $S$  is  $\geq l - lk/q + \binom{l}{2} \cdot 2k/q = l(1 - k/q) + \binom{l}{2} \cdot 2k/q > 0$ .

Let  $S$  be defined by the equation  $t_{i_1} = \infty$  for some  $i_1$ . In the coordinates  $u_1, \dots, u_r$ ,  $u_i = 1/t_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad \omega = (-1)^r \left( P(1/u_1, \dots, 1/u_r, z) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r u_r^{\deg_{t_i} P} \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (1 - z_s u_i)^{-k/q} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (u_j - u_i)^{2k/q} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r u_r^{-\deg_{t_i} P + nk/q - (r-1)2k/q - 2} \cdot du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_r$$

Hence the logarithmic order of  $\omega$  along  $S$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + nk/q - (r-1)2k/q - 2 - \deg_{t_{i_1}} P &= (n - 2(r-1))k/q - 1 - \deg_{t_{i_1}} P \\ &= kg - 1 - \deg_{t_{i_1}} P + k/q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the logarithmic order along  $S$  is positive if and only if  $\deg_{t_{i_1}} P \leq kg - 1$ .

Let  $S$  be defined by the equations  $t_{i_1} = \cdots = t_{i_l} = \infty$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l \leq r$ . It follows from (5.3) that the logarithmic order along  $S$  is positive if the logarithmic order is positive along every hyperplane defined by the equation  $t_{i_j} = \infty$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**5.3. Schur polynomials.** A sequence of integers  $a = (a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r \geq 0)$  is called a partition. For a partition  $a$  the polynomial  $m_a(t) = \text{Sym}_t t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_r^{a_r}$  is called a symmetric monomial function. The polynomial

$$s_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(t) = \frac{\det (t_i^{a_j + r - j})_{i,j=1, \dots, r}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)}$$

is called a Schur polynomial. It is known that

$$(5.4) \quad s_a(t) = \sum_{b \leq a} K_{a,b} m_{b_1, \dots, b_r}(t),$$

where  $K_{a,b}$  are nonnegative integers,  $K_{a,a} = 1$ . The inequality  $b \leq a$  means  $\sum_{j=1}^i b_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^i a_j$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The numbers  $K_{a,b}$  are called the Kostka numbers.

For a positive integer  $d$ , denote

$$A(d) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \mid d \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r \geq 0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}(d)$  be the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  $\{m_a(t) \mid a \in A(d)\}$ . The module  $\mathcal{V}(d)$  has rank  $\binom{d+r}{r}$ . The set  $\{s_a(t) \mid a \in A(d)\}$  of Schur polynomials is a basis of  $\mathcal{V}(d)$  by formula (5.4).

Let us return to Theorem 5.2. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the vector space of all differential  $r$ -forms  $\omega = P(t)\Phi(t, z)^k dt$  such that  $P(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  symmetric with respect to permutations of  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ , and  $\omega$  is square integrable on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$ .

**Corollary 5.3.** *The set  $\{s_a(t)\Phi(t, z)^k dt \mid a \in A(kg - 1)\}$  of differential  $r$ -forms on  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{W}$ . The vector space  $\mathcal{W}$  has dimension  $\binom{kg+r-1}{r}$ .  $\square$*

Notice that this binomial coefficient equals the rank of the module  $\mathcal{M}/(\sim)$  in Corollary 3.6.

We introduce the following  $\mathbb{F}_p[z]$ -variant of the vector space  $\mathcal{W}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_p[z]$  be the free  $\mathbb{F}_p[z]$ -module with basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of formal algebraic differential  $r$ -forms

$$\omega_a = s_a(t)\Phi(t, z)^k dt, \quad a \in A(kg - 1).$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{\omega^a \mid a \in A(kg - 1)\}$  be the collection of  $\mathbb{F}_p[z]$ -linear functions on  $\mathcal{W}_p[z]$  such that  $\langle \omega^a, \omega_b \rangle = \delta_{a,b}$  for all  $a, b \in A(kg - 1)$ .

**5.4. Cartier map.** Assume that  $(p, q)$  is of type 1. Hence  $q \mid (kp - 1)$ ,  $0 < k \leq q/2$ .

We define a map which sends every differential form  $\Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{J}_r$ , to  $\mathcal{W}_p[z]$ , that is, to a linear combination of differential  $r$ -forms  $\omega_a$ ,  $a \in A(kg - 1)$ , with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p[z]$ . We call this map the Cartier map. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt &= \frac{\Phi(t, z)^{kp}}{\Phi(t, z)^{kp-1}}W_J(t, z)dt \\ &= \Phi(t, z)^{kp} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^{(2-2kp)/q} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{(kp-1)/q} W_J(t, z)dt \\ &= \frac{\Phi(t, z)^{kp}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^p} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^{(2+(q-2k)p)/q} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{(kp-1)/q} W_J(t, z)dt \\ &= \frac{\Phi(t, z)^{kp}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^p} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^c \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^M W_J(t, z)dt \\ &= \frac{\Phi(t, z)^{kp}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^p} \Phi_p(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $c, M, \Phi_p(t, z)$  are defined in (3.2) and (2.12).

Since  $(p, q)$  is of type 1, the integer  $c$  is odd. Hence the polynomial  $\Phi_p(t, z)W_J(t, z)$  is skew-symmetric with respect to permutations of  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ . We expand  $\Phi_p(t, z)W_J(t, z)$  with respect to the  $t$ -variables as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p(t, z)W_J(t, z) &= \\ &= (t_1 \dots t_r)^{p-1} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A(kg-1)} c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z) \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{|\sigma|} t_{\sigma(1)}^{(a_1+r-1)p} t_{\sigma(1)}^{(a_2+r-2)p} \dots t_{\sigma(r)}^{a_r p} \right) + \sum' \\ &= (t_1 \dots t_r)^{p-1} \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A(kg-1)} c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z) s_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(t_1^p, \dots, t_r^p) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i^p - t_j^p) + \sum', \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum'$  denotes the sum of the monomials  $t_1^{d_1} \dots t_r^{d_r}$  such that at least one of  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  is not of the form  $lp - 1$  for some positive integer  $l$ ; the coefficients  $c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z)$  are suitable polynomials in  $z$ .

Returning to  $\Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt = \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A(kg-1)} c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z) s_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(t_1^p, \dots, t_r^p) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{t_i^p - t_j^p}{(t_i - t_j)^p} \Phi(t, z)^{kp} (t_1 \dots t_r)^{p-1} dt \\ & \quad + \frac{\sum'}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (t_i - t_j)^p} \Phi(t, z)^{kp} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\frac{t_i^p - t_j^p}{(t_i - t_j)^p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . We define the *Cartier map*  $\mathcal{C}$  by the formula

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{C} : \Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt \mapsto \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A(kg-1)} [c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z)]_p s_{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(t_1, \dots, t_r) \Phi(t, z)^k dt,$$

cf. [AH].

Recall the collection  $\{\omega^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A(kg-1)\}$  of linear functions on  $\mathcal{W}_p[z]$ . We have

$$\langle \omega^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}, \mathcal{C}(\Phi(t, z)W_J(t, z)dt) \rangle = [c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z)]_p.$$

**Theorem 5.4.** *For any  $p$ -hypergeometric solution  $[I^{(a_1+r, a_2+r-1, \dots, a_r+1)}(z)]_p$ ,  $kg-1 \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_r \geq 0$  we have*

$$(5.6) \quad [I^{(a_1+r, a_2+r-1, \dots, a_r+1)}(z)]_p = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_r} [c_J^{(a_1, \dots, a_r)}(z)]_p V_J.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definition of  $I^{(a_1+r, a_2+r-1, \dots, a_r+1)}(z)$  in (2.13).  $\square$

Formula (5.6) can be reformulates as follows. For any integers  $(l_1, \dots, l_r)$ ,  $kg+r-1 \geq l_1 > \dots > l_r \geq 1$ , the  $p$ -hypergeometric solution  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  is given by the formula

$$(5.7) \quad [I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p = \langle \omega^{(l_1-r, l_2-r+1, \dots, l_r-1)}, \mathcal{C}(\Phi(t, z)W(t, z)dt) \rangle.$$

Notice that  $\Phi(t, z)W(t, z)dt$  is the integrand of the integral representation of complex hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations, see (2.10), while  $[I^{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}(z)]_p$  is a solution of the KZ equations over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Formula (5.7) for  $r=1$  and two prime numbers ( $p > q$ ) not necessarily of type 1 is the subject of [SIV, Theorem 6.2].

## REFERENCES

- [AH] J. Achter, E. Howe, *Hasse–Witt and Cartier–Manin matrices: A warning and a request*, arXiv:1710.10726, 1–14
- [B] P. Belkale, *Rigid local systems and the multiplicative eigenvalue problem*, arXiv:2005.12457, 1–65
- [CF] P. Criste and R. Flume, *On the Identification of Finite Operator Algebras in Two-dimensional Conformally Invariant Field Theories*, Phys. Lett. **B188** (1987), 219–225.
- [DJMM] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Matsuo, and T. Miwa, *Hypergeometric type integrals and the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B4** (1990) 1049–1057

- [EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel, A. Kirillov, *Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 58, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998. 198 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0496-0
- [FSV1] B. Feigin, V. Schechtman, and A. Varchenko, *On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models*, I, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), 173–184
- [FSV2] B. Feigin, V. Schechtman, and A. Varchenko, *On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models*, II, Comm. In Math. Phys. **70** (1995), 219–247
- [KZ] V. Knizhnik and A. Zamolodchikov, *Current algebra and the Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984), 83–103
- [LV] E. Looijenga, A. Varchenko, *Unitarity of  $SL(2)$ -conformal blocks in genus zero*, arXiv:0810.4310, version 1, 1–15
- [MO] D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, *Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology*, Astérisque **408** (2019), 2012 pp., ISBN10 2856299008
- [RV1] R. Rimányi, A. Varchenko, *The  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Selberg integral*, arXiv:2011.14248, 1–19
- [RV2] R. Rimányi, A. Varchenko, *The  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Selberg integral of type  $A_n$* , Lett. Math. Phys., **111**, 71 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-021-01417-x>
- [STV] V. Schechtman, H. Terao, A. Varchenko, *Local systems over complements of hyperplanes and the Kac-Kazhdan conditions for singular vectors*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 100th issue (1995), 93–102
- [SV1] V. Schechtman, A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes and Lie Algebra Homology*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 139–194
- [SV2] V. Schechtman, A. Varchenko, *Solutions of KZ differential equations modulo  $p$* , The Ramanujan Journal, **48** (3), 2019, 655–683, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-018-0068-x>
- [SIV] A. Slinkin, A. Varchenko, *Hypergeometric Integrals Modulo  $p$  and Hasse–Witt matrices*, Arnold Math J., **7** (2021), 267–311, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40598-020-00168-2>
- [V1] A. Varchenko, *Multidimensional Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 21, World Scientific, 1995
- [V2] A. Varchenko, *Asymptotic solutions to the KZ equation and crystal base*, Comm. in Math. Phys. **171** (1995) 99–137
- [V3] A. Varchenko, *Special functions, KZ type equations, and Representation theory*, In: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol **98**, pp. 118. ISBN: 978-0-8218-2867-0 (2003)
- [V4] A. Varchenko, *Solutions modulo  $p$  of Gauss-Manin differential equations for multidimensional hypergeometric integrals and associated Bethe ansatz*, Mathematics 2017, 5(4), 52; doi:10.3390/math5040052, 1–18
- [V5] A. Varchenko, *Hyperelliptic integrals modulo  $p$  and Cartier-Manin matrices*, Pure and Applied Math Quarterly, **16** (2020), n. 3, 315–336

- [V6] A. Varchenko, *An invariant subbundle of the KZ connection mod  $p$  and reducibility of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$  Verma modules mod  $p$* , *Mathematical Notes*, **109**, no. 3 (2021), 386–397, DOI : 10.1134/S0001434621030068
- [V7] A. Varchenko, *Determinant of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -hypergeometric solutions under ample reduction*, [arXiv:2010.11275](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11275), 1–22
- [V8] A. Varchenko, *Notes on solutions of KZ equations modulo  $p^s$  and  $p$ -adic limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$* , with Appendix written jointly with S. Sperber, [arXiv:2103.01725](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01725), 1–42
- [VZ1] A. Varchenko, W. Zudilin, *Ghosts and congruences for  $p^s$ -approximations of hypergeometric periods*, [arXiv:2107.08548](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.08548), 1–29
- [VZ2] A. Varchenko, W. Zudilin, *Congruences for Hasse–Witt matrices and solutions of  $p$ -adic KZ equations*, [arXiv:2108.12679](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12679), 1–26