THE ADDITION THEOREM FOR TWO-STEP NILPOTENT TORSION GROUPS

MENACHEM SHLOSSBERG

Abstract. The Addition Theorem for the algebraic entropy of group endomorphisms of torsion abelian groups was proved in [4]. Later, this result was extended to all abelian groups [3] and, recently, to all torsion finitely quasihamiltonian groups [7]. In contrast, when it comes to metabelian groups, the additivity of the algebraic entropy fails [8]. Continuing the research within the class of locally finite groups, we prove that the Addition Theorem holds for two-step nilpotent torsion groups.

arXiv:2201.12860v2 [math.GR] 11 Sep 2022

1. Introduction

The algebraic entropy was first considered in [1] for endomorphisms of (discrete) abelian groups. Weiss [10] and Peters [9] connected this entropy with the topological entropy via Bridge Theorems. We refer the reader to [4] for an extensive study of the algebraic entropy for endomorphisms of torsion abelian groups.

Following [2] we now give the general definition for the algebraic entropy of endomorphisms of (not necessarily abelian) groups. Let G be a group and $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. For a finite subset X of G and $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, the n-th ϕ-trajectory of X is

$$T_n(\phi, X) = X \cdot \phi(X) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi^{n-1}(X).$$

The algebraic entropy of ϕ with respect to X is

$$H(\phi, X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ell(T_n(\phi, X))}{n},$$

where $\ell(T_n(\phi, X)) = \log |T_n(\phi, X)|$. The algebraic entropy of ϕ is $h(\phi) = \sup\{H(\phi, X)|\ X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)\}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$ is the family of all finite subsets of X. It is easy to see that $h(\phi) = \sup\{H(\phi, X)|\ X \in \mathcal{C}\}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is any cofinal subfamily of $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$. In particular, if G is locally finite, then $\mathcal{C}$ can be chosen to be $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the family of all finite subgroups of G.

In this paper, we focus on the following property that is known as the Addition Theorem.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups closed under taking subgroups and quotients.*

(1) We say that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for a group $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup H of G if

$$h(\phi) = h(\phi \restriction_H) + h(\bar{\phi}),$$

where $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}_{G/H} \in \mathrm{End}(G/H)$ is the map induced by ϕ.

(2) The Addition Theorem holds in $\mathfrak{X}$ for endomorphisms if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and every ϕ-invariant normal subgroup H of G.

(3) The Addition Theorem holds in $\mathfrak{X}$ for automorphisms if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and every ϕ-stable normal subgroup H of G.

Dikranjan, Goldsmith, Salce and Zanardo [4] proved that the Addition Theorem holds for endomorphisms of torsion abelian groups. This result was extended to all abelian groups by Dikranjan and Giordano Bruno [3]. In the non-commutative case, the Addition Theorem was proved for automorphisms of torsion groups which are either FC-groups [6] or quasihamiltonian [11] as well as for endomorphisms of torsion quasihamiltonian FC-groups (see [11]). Extending these results, Giordano Bruno and Salizzoni [7] recently proved the additivity of the algebraic entropy for endomorphisms of torsion finitely quasihamiltonian groups. Indeed, as it was shown in [7], the class of torsion finitely quasihamiltonian groups is a family of locally finite groups that properly contains the class of torsion groups that are either FC-groups or quasihamiltonian.

Nevertheless, the Addition Theorem fails for automorphisms of metabelian groups. By [8], $\mathrm{AT}(G, id_G, H)$ does not hold, where $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{Z})} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is the Lamplighter group, $H = \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ and id_G is the identity automorphism of G.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28D20, 20F16, 20F18, 20F50, 16W20 .

Key words and phrases. Addition Theorem, algebraic entropy, nilpotent group, solvable group, locally finite group.

1.1. **Main results.**

(1) Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of solvable groups closed under taking subgroups and quotients. We prove that the Addition Theorem holds in $\mathfrak{X}$ for endomorphisms if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, G')$ holds for every $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. In case $\mathfrak{X}$ consists of nilpotent groups, then the Addition Theorem holds in $\mathfrak{X}$ for automorphisms if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, Z(G))$ holds for every $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ (see Proposition 3.3).

(2) The reduction for solvable groups, among other things, helps us to prove that the Addition Theorem holds for endomorphisms of two-step nilpotent torsion groups (see Theorem 4.6). This is done by proving the inequality $h(\phi) \geq h(\phi \restriction_{G'}) + h(\bar{\phi})$ using Proposition 4.1 while the converse inequality follows from Proposition 4.4.

(3) In Section 5 we provide more results concerning locally finite groups. It is proved in Corollary 5.3 that the Addition Theorem holds for endomorphisms of a locally finite group having a fully characteristic finite index simple subgroup. As a concrete example we may consider the finitary symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{fin}(\mathbb{N}_+)$ (see Example 5.4).

1.2. **Notation and terminology.** The sets of non-negative reals, non-negative integers and positive natural numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_+$, respectively.

An element x of a group G is *torsion* if the subgroup of G generated by x, denoted by $\langle x \rangle$, is finite. Moreover, G is *torsion* if every element of G is torsion. A group is called *locally finite* if every finitely generated subgroup is finite. Every locally finite group is torsion and for solvable groups the converse also holds.

A group G is *solvable* if there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and a subnormal series

$$G_0 = \{1\} \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_k = G,$$

where 1 denotes the identity element, such that the quotient group G_j/G_{j-1} is abelian for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. In particular, G is *metabelian*, if $k \leq 2$. The subgroup $Z(G)$ denotes the *center* of G, and we set $Z_0(G) = \{1\}$ and $Z_1(G) = Z(G)$. For $n > 1$, the *n-th center* $Z_n(G)$ is defined as follows:

$$Z_n(G) = \{x \in G : [x, y] \in Z_{n-1}(G) \text{ for every } y \in G\},$$

where $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. A group is *nilpotent* if $Z_n(G) = G$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, its nilpotency class is the minimum of such n. In particular, G is abelian or two-step nilpotent (i.e., nilpotent of class 2) if $G' \subseteq Z(G)$, where G' is the *derived subgroup* of G, namely, the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[x, y]$, where $x, y \in G$. It is known that every nilpotent group is solvable and every nilpotent group of class at most 2 is metabelian. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(G)$ the power set of G, while $\mathcal{L}(G)$ denotes the lattice of all subgroups of G.

We denote by $\mathrm{End}(G)$ the set of all endomorphisms of G, and $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is its subset consisting of all automorphisms. If $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, then a subgroup H of G is called *ϕ-invariant* if $\phi(H) \subseteq H$, and H is *ϕ-stable* if $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and $\phi(H) = H$. A subgroup H of G is *characteristic* if H is ϕ-invariant for every $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, and H is *fully characteristic* if the same holds for every $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$.

2. The functions $\ell(-)$ and $\ell(-,-)$

In this section we collect useful results from [7] concerning the functions $\ell(-)$ and $\ell(-,-)$. For a group G define the function $\ell : \mathcal{P}(G) \times \mathcal{L}(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows: $\ell(X, B) = \ell(\pi(X))$, where $\pi : G \to \{xB |\ x \in G\}$ is the canonical projection.

Lemma 2.1. [7, lemma 3.2] *Let G be a group, $X, X' \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $B, B' \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. Then:*
(a) the function $\ell(X, B)$ is increasing in X and decreasing in B;
(b) $\ell(XB) = \ell(X, B) + \ell(B)$;
(c) $\ell(XX', B) \leq \ell(X, B) + \ell(X', B)$;
(d) if BB' is a subgroup, $\ell(XX', BB') \leq \ell(X, B) + \ell(X', B')$;
(e) for $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, $\ell(\phi(X), \phi(B)) \leq \ell(X, B)$.

It turns out that the algebraic entropy $H(\phi, X)$ can be computed using a suitable decreasing subsequence of $\{\frac{\ell(T_n(\phi, X))}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$.

Proposition 2.2. [7, Proposition 3.1] *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$ with $1 \in X$. Then:*
(a) the function

$$n \mapsto \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, X))}{2^n}$$

is decreasing;
(b) $H(\phi, X) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, X))}{2^n}$.

The following analogous result will help us to compute the entropy of $h(\bar{\phi})$.

Proposition 2.3. [7, Proposition 3.3] *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, H a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G and $\pi : G \to G/H$ the canonical projection. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$ with $1 \in X$. Then:*

(a) the function

$$n \mapsto \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, X), H)}{2^n}$$

is decreasing;

(b) $H(\bar{\phi}_{G/H}, \pi(X)) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi,X),H)}{2^n}$.

To prove the next lemma one can use the proof of [7, Lemma 3.4] even though we assume here a weaker condition on the finite subgroup F.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$ with $1 \in X$. If $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that $T_n(\phi, F) \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then the function*

$$n \mapsto \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, X), T_{2^n}(\phi, F))}{2^n}$$

is decreasing.

3. Reductions to (fully) characteristic subgroups

A useful property of the algebraic entropy is invariance under conjugation (see [2, Lemma 5.1.7]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let G and H be groups, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{End}(H)$. If there exists an isomorphism $\xi : G \to H$, then $h(\phi) = h(\xi\phi\xi^{-1})$.*

In the sequel we will also use the next property of monotonicity for subgroups and quotients (see [2, Lemma 5.1.6]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and H be a ϕ-invariant subgroup of G. Then*

(1) $h(\phi) \geq h(\phi \restriction_H)$;
(2) if H is normal and $\bar{\phi} : G/H \to G/H$ is the endomorphism induced by ϕ, then $h(\phi) \geq h(\bar{\phi})$.

For every group G its derived subgroup G' is fully characteristic, while its center $Z(G)$ is only a characteristic subgroup. The next proposition provides partial answers to Question 5.2.11(c) and Question 5.2.12(c) of [2].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of solvable groups closed under taking subgroups and quotients.*

(1) if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, G')$ holds for every $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, then the Addition Theorem holds in $\mathfrak{X}$ for group endomorphisms;
(2) if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, Z(G))$ holds for every nilpotent group $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, then $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every nilpotent group $G \in \mathfrak{X}, \phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and H a ϕ-stable normal subgroup of G.

Proof. (1) Let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ be a solvable group of class $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We have to prove that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and every ϕ-invariant normal subgroup H of G. This will be done using induction on n. If $n = 1$, then G is abelian and the assertion follows from the Addition Theorem for abelian groups (see [3, Theorem 1.1]). Using the induction hypothesis and the conjugation of the properties $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, G'), \mathrm{AT}(H, \phi \restriction_H, H')$ and $\mathrm{AT}((G/H, \bar{\phi}, (G/H)'$, we deduce that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds by [11, Proposition 5.9]. Note that unlike here, there G is assumed to be metabelian. Nevertheless, G' is a solvable group of class $n - 1$ for which the Addition Theorem holds by the induction hypothesis.

(2) Let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ be a nilpotent group of class $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We have to prove that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and every ϕ-stable normal subgroup H of G. This will be done using induction on the nilpotency class n. If $n = 1$, then G is abelian and the assertion follows from the Addition Theorem for abelian groups. Analogously to the proof of (1), we prove that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ follows from the conjugation of the properties $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, Z(G)), \mathrm{AT}(H, \phi \restriction_H, Z(H))$ and $\mathrm{AT}((G/H, \bar{\phi}, Z(G/H))$. By $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, Z(G))$, we deduce that

$$h(\phi) = h(\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}) + h(\widetilde{\phi}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\widetilde{\phi} : G/Z(G) \to G/Z(G)$ is the map induced by ϕ.

As $Z(G)$ is abelian, and $Z(G) \cap H$ is a ϕ-stable subgroup of $Z(G)$, we get by the Addition Theorem for abelian groups

$$h(\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}) = h(\phi \restriction_{Z(G)\cap H}) + h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}} \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z(G)/Z(G) \cap H)$ is the map induced by $\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}$.

Moreover, since $G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent of class $n-1$, and $HZ(G)/Z(G)$ is a $\widetilde{\phi}$-stable subgroup of $G/Z(G)$, we obtain by the induction hypothesis

$$h(\widetilde{\phi}) = h(\widetilde{\phi} \restriction_{HZ(G)/Z(G)}) + h(\overline{\widetilde{\phi}}), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\overline{\widetilde{\phi}} \in \mathrm{Aut}((G/Z(G))/(HZ(G)/Z(G)))$ is the map induced by $\widetilde{\phi}$.

Hence, by Equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$h(\phi) = h(\phi \restriction_{Z(G)\cap H}) + h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}) + h(\widetilde{\phi} \restriction_{HZ(G)/Z(G)}) + h(\overline{\widetilde{\phi}}). \tag{3.4}$$

Claim 1 $h(\phi \restriction_H) = h(\phi \restriction_{Z(G)\cap H}) + h(\widetilde{\phi} \restriction_{HZ(G)/Z(G)})$.

Proof. By $\mathrm{AT}(H, \phi \restriction_H, Z(H))$, we deduce that

$$h(\phi \restriction_H) = h(\phi \restriction_{Z(H)}) + h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_H}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\phi \restriction_H} : H/Z(H) \to H/Z(H)$ is the map induced by $\phi \restriction_H$.

As $Z(H)$ is abelian, and $H \cap Z(G)$ is a ϕ-stable subgroup of $Z(H)$, we obtain by the Addition Theorem for abelian groups

$$h(\phi \restriction_{Z(H)}) = h(\phi \restriction_{H\cap Z(G)}) + h(\xi), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\xi : Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G)) \to Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G))$ is the map induced by $\phi \restriction_{Z(H)}$. Hence, to prove Claim 1, it suffices to show that

$$h(\widetilde{\phi} \restriction_{HZ(G)/Z(G)}) = h(\xi) + h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_H}). \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H/(H \cap Z(G)))$ be the map induced by $\phi \restriction_H$. Then $H/(H \cap Z(G))$ is nilpotent of class less than n having $Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G))$ as a ψ-stable subgroup. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\mathrm{AT}(H/(H \cap Z(G)), \psi, Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G)))$$

holds. Moreover, $\xi = \psi \restriction_{Z(H)/(H\cap Z(G))}$ and the automorphisms $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ are conjugated, respectively, to

$$\phi \restriction_{Z(H)}, \widetilde{\phi \restriction_H},$$

where $\bar{\psi} \in \mathrm{Aut}(H/(H \cap Z(G)))/(Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G)))$ is the map induced by ψ.

Therefore, Equation (3.7) follows from $\mathrm{AT}(H/(H \cap Z(G)), \psi, Z(H)/(H \cap Z(G)))$ and Lemma 3.1. □

Claim 2 $h(\bar{\phi}) = h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}) + h(\overline{\widetilde{\phi}})$.

Proof. By $\mathrm{AT}((G/H, \bar{\phi}, Z(G/H))$ we have,

$$h(\bar{\phi}) = h(\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G/H)}) + h(\delta), \tag{3.8}$$

where $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}((G/H)/Z(G/H))$ is the map induced by $\bar{\phi}$. As $Z(G)H/H$ is a $\bar{\phi}$-stable subgroup of the abelian group $Z(G/H)$, we have

$$h(\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G/H)}) = h(\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G)H/H}) + h(\varphi), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z(G/H)/(Z(G)H/H))$ is the map induced by $\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G/H)}$. The map $\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}$ is conjugated to $\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G)H/H}$. This fact implies that $h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}) = h(\bar{\phi} \restriction_{Z(G)H/H})$. By (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$h(\bar{\phi}) = h(\widetilde{\phi \restriction_{Z(G)}}) + h(\varphi) + h(\delta). \tag{3.10}$$

So, to prove Claim 2, it suffices to show that

$$h(\overline{\widetilde{\phi}}) = h(\varphi) + h(\delta). \tag{3.11}$$

Let $M = (G/H)/(HZ(G)/H)$, $N = Z(G/H)/(Z(G)H/H)$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ be the map induced by $\bar{\phi}$. As M is nilpotent of class less than n, and N is an η-stable subgroup of M, we deduce that $\mathrm{AT}(M, \eta, N)$ holds by the induction hypothesis. We use Invariance under conjugation to conclude that (3.11) is satisfied. □

Claim 1, Claim 2 and Equation (3.4) complete the proof of (2), i.e.,

$$h(\phi) = h(\phi \restriction_H) + h(\bar{\phi}).$$ □

4. When G is a two-step nilpotent torsion group

We prove in Theorem 4.6 below that the Addition Theorem holds for endomorphisms of two-step nilpotent torsion groups.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and N be a ϕ-invariant central torsion subgroup of G. Then,*

$$h(\phi) \geq h(\phi \restriction_N) + h(\bar{\phi}),$$

where $\bar{\phi} \in \mathrm{End}(G/N)$ is the map induced by ϕ.

Proof. Let E be a finite subgroup of N and $C = \pi(B)$, where B is a finite subset of G and $\pi : G \to G/N$ is the quotient homomorphism. As E is a finite subgroup of the ϕ-invariant central subgroup N, we deduce that $\phi^k(E)$ is a central subgroup of G for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $T_n(E)$ is central and $T_n(\phi, EB) = T_n(\phi, E) \cdot T_n(\phi, B)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. This implies that $\ell(T_n(\phi, EB)) = \ell(T_n(\phi, E)) + \ell(T_n(\phi, B), T_n(\phi, E))$. By Lemma 2.1(a),

$$\ell(T_n(\phi, E)) + \ell(\pi(T_n(\phi, B))) = \ell(T_n(\phi, E)) + \ell(T_n(\phi, B), N) \leq$$

$$\leq \ell(T_n(\phi, E)) + \ell(T_n(\phi, B), T_n(\phi, E)) = \ell(T_n(\phi, EB)).$$

As $\pi(T_n(\phi, B)) = T_n(\bar{\phi}, C)$, it follows that $H(\phi \restriction_N, E) + H(\bar{\phi}, C) \leq H(\phi, EB) \leq h(\phi)$. Since E, B were chosen arbitrarily, we deduce that

$$h(\phi) \geq h(\phi \restriction_N) + h(\bar{\phi}).$$ □

Remark 4.2. Another algebraic entropy is defined in [2, Remark 5.1.2] as follows. The n-th ϕ-trajectory of X is

$$T_n^{\#}(\phi, X) = \phi^{n-1}(X) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi(X) \cdot X.$$

The algebraic entropy of ϕ with respect to X is $H^{\#}(\phi, X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ell(T_n^{\#}(\phi, X))}{n}$ and the algebraic entropy of ϕ is $h^{\#}(\phi) = \sup\{H^{\#}(\phi, X) |\ X \in \mathcal{C}\}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is any cofinal subfamily of $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$. Let us see that $h^{\#}$ coincides with h. To this aim, let $X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$. Then $\widetilde{X} = X \cup X^{-1}$ is a symmetric set containing X and $(T_n(\phi, \widetilde{X}))^{-1} = T_n^{\#}(\phi, \widetilde{X})$. This implies that $H^{\#}(\phi, \widetilde{X}) = H(\phi, \widetilde{X})$ and $h^{\#}(\phi) = h(\phi)$, as $\mathcal{C} = \{\widetilde{X} |\ X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)\}$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(G)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and F be a subgroup of G. Then:*

(1) $\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}_+} (T_n(\phi, F) \cup T_n(\phi, F)^{-1})^m$. In particular, if G is locally finite and F is finite, then

$$\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle = (T_n(\phi, F) \cup T_n(\phi, F)^{-1})^m$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$;

(2) $\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle = T_n(\phi, F) \cdot E_n$, where $E_n = \langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle \cap G'$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Proof. (1) Since the set $X_n = T_n(\phi, F) \cup T_n(\phi, F)^{-1}$ is symmetric and contains 1, it generates a subgroup of the form $\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}_+} X_n^m$. When G is locally finite and F is finite we may use the finiteness of $\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle$ and the containment $X_n^m \subseteq X_n^{m+1}$ to prove the last assertion.
(2) In the notation of Remark 4.2,

$$T_n(\phi, F)^{-1} = T_n^{\#}(\phi, F) = \phi^{n-1}(F) \cdot \ldots \cdot \phi(F) \cdot F$$

as F is a subgroup of G. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$, where $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\pi(g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} \cdot g_n) = \pi(g_n \cdot g_{n-1} \cdots g_2 \cdot g_1),$$

where $\pi : G \to G/G'$ is the quotient map, since G/G' is abelian. It follows that

$$\pi(T_n(\phi, F)) = \pi(T_n^{\#}(\phi, F)) = \pi(T_n(\phi, F)^{-1}).$$

Moreover, as F is a subgroup of G and G/G' is abelian we get that

$$\pi(T_n(\phi, F) \cup T_n(\phi, F)^{-1})^m = \pi(F^m \cdot \phi(F^m) \ldots \cdot \phi^{n-1}(F^m)) = \pi(F \cdot \phi(F) \ldots \cdot \phi^{n-1}(F)) = \pi(T_n(\phi, F)),$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Using also (1) we deduce that $\pi(T_n(\phi, F)) = \pi(\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle)$. This implies that

$$\langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle = T_n(\phi, F) \cdot E_n,$$

where $E_n = \langle T_n(\phi, F)\rangle \cap G'$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a torsion metabelian group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. Then, $h(\phi) \leq h(\phi \restriction_{G'}) + h(\bar{\phi})$.*

Proof. Since G is a torsion metabelian group it is locally finite by [5, Proposition 1.1.5]. Recall that in this case $h(\phi) = \sup\{H(\phi, F))|\ F \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}$. Let D be a finite subgroup of G and let $C = \pi(D)$, where $\pi : G \to G/G'$ is the quotient homomorphism. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 2.3, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $n \geq M$,

$$\frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D), G')}{2^n} \leq H(\bar{\phi}, C) + \varepsilon. \tag{4.1}$$

For $T = T_{2^M}(\phi, D)$ and $E = \langle T\rangle \cap G'$ we have $\langle T\rangle = TE$ and $\langle T\rangle G' = TEG' = TG'$, by Lemma 4.3. Note that E and $S = T_{2^M}(\phi, E)$ are finite abelian as G is torsion metabelian. On the one hand,

$$\ell(T, E) = \log[\langle T\rangle : E] = \log[\langle T\rangle : \langle T\rangle \cap G'] = \log[\langle T\rangle G' : G'] = \log[TG' : G'] = \ell(T, G').$$

On the other hand,

$$\ell(T, G') \leq \ell(T, S) \leq \ell(T, E)$$

by Lemma 2.1(a). Hence,

$$\ell(T, G') = \ell(T, S). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $n \geq M$. By Lemma 2.4 and equations (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D), T_{2^n}(\phi, E))}{2^n} \leq \frac{\ell(T, S)}{2^M} = \frac{\ell(T, G')}{2^M} \leq H(\bar{\phi}, C) + \varepsilon \leq h(\bar{\phi}) + \varepsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

By Proposition 2.2, there exists $N \geq M$, such that for every $n \geq N$,

$$\frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, E))}{2^n} \leq H(\phi\restriction_{G'}, E) + \varepsilon \leq h(\phi\restriction_{G'}) + \varepsilon, \tag{4.4}$$

and also

$$H(\phi, D) \leq \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D))}{2^n}. \tag{4.5}$$

By Lemma 2.1(b),

$$\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D)) \leq \ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D)T_{2^n}(\phi, E)) = \ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D), T_{2^n}(\phi, E)) + \ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, E)). \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (4.3), (4.4), (4.5)and (4.6) that

$$H(\phi, D) \leq \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D))}{2^n} \leq \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, D), T_{2^n}(\phi, E))}{2^n} + \frac{\ell(T_{2^n}(\phi, E))}{2^n} \leq h(\bar{\phi}) + h(\phi\restriction_{G'}) + 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof as the latter holds for any finite subgroup D and any $\varepsilon > 0$. □

Remark 4.5. It is worth noting that Proposition 4.4 is no longer true in case the metabelian group G is not torsion. Indeed, consider the Lamplighter group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{Z})} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ which was mentioned in the introduction. Using the arguments appearing in [7, Example 2.7], one can show that for $\phi = id_G$ it holds that $h(\phi\restriction_{G'}) = h(\bar{\phi}) = 0$ while $h(\phi) = \infty$. It follows that

$$h(\phi) > h(\phi\restriction_{G'}) + h(\bar{\phi}).$$

Theorem 4.6. *If G is a two-step nilpotent torsion group, $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$ and H is a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G, then $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(1), it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, G')$ holds. Taking into account that $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ and using Proposition 4.1 we have,

$$h(\phi) \geq h(\phi\restriction_{G'}) + h(\bar{\phi}).$$

By Proposition 4.4, the converse inequality also holds and we complete the proof. □

5. More on locally finite groups

Let G be a group and $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. In the sequel we say that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi)$ holds if $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, H)$ holds for every ϕ-invariant normal subgroup H. If this happens for any $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$, then we say that $\mathrm{AT}(G)$ holds.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a locally finite group and $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. Then the family*

$$\mathcal{L}(G, \phi) = \{H \text{ is a } \phi\text{-invariant normal subgroup of } G \text{ and } \mathrm{AT}(H, \phi\restriction_H) \text{ holds}\}$$

contains a maximal element (with respect to inclusion).

Proof. $\mathcal{L}(G,\phi)$ is not empty as it contains $\{1\}$. Let $\{H_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a chain in $\mathcal{L}$. By Zorn's Lemma, it suffices to show that $H=\bigcup_{i\in I} H_i \in \mathcal{L}(G,\phi)$. Clearly, if each H_i is a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G, then so is H. Let us see that $\mathrm{AT}(H,\phi\restriction_H, N)$ holds, where N is a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of H. Since H is the direct limit of the ϕ-invariant subgroups $\{H_i|\ i\in I\}$ it follows from [2, Proposition 5.1.10] that

$$h(\phi\restriction_H)=\sup_{i\in I} h(\phi\restriction_{H_i}).$$

Similarly, we have $h(\phi\restriction_N)=\sup_{i\in I} h(\phi\restriction_{H_i\cap N})$ and

$$h(\bar{\phi}_{H/N})=\sup_{i\in I} h(\bar{\phi}_{H_iN/N})=\sup_{i\in I} h(\bar{\phi}_{H_i/(H_i\cap N)}),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.1. By our assumption, $\mathrm{AT}(H,\phi\restriction_{H_i}, N\cap H_i)$ holds for every $i\in I$. Using this and the previous equalities we obtain

$$h(\phi\restriction_H)=\sup_{i\in I} h(\phi\restriction_{H_i})=\sup_{i\in I} h(\phi\restriction_{H_i\cap N})+\sup_{i\in I} h(\bar{\phi}_{H_i/(H_i\cap N)})=h(\phi\restriction_N)+h(\bar{\phi}_{H/N}),$$

as needed. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let G be a locally finite group, $\phi\in\mathrm{End}(G)$ and H be a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G.*

(1) If $h(\bar{\phi})=0$, then $h(\phi)=h(\phi\restriction_H)$;
(2) if, in addition, $\mathrm{AT}(H,\phi\restriction_H)$ holds, then $\mathrm{AT}(G,\phi)$ holds.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.2(1), it suffices to show that $h(\phi)\le h(\phi\restriction_H)$, i.e., that $H(\phi,E)\le h(\phi\restriction_H)$ for an arbitrary finite subgroup E of G. Let $\pi: G\to G/H$ be the canonical homomorphism and let $E_1=\pi(E)$. Since $\bar{\phi}$ has zero entropy and G/H is locally finite, it follows from [8, Proposition 4.5] that there exists $m\in\mathbb{N}_+$ such that $T_m(\bar{\phi},E_1)$ is $\bar{\phi}$-invariant. This implies that $\phi^m(E)\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)\cdot H$. As E is finite there exists a finite subset F of H such that $\phi^m(E)\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)\cdot F$. Since H is locally finite, there exists a finite subgroup E_2 of H containing F, so we have

$$\phi(T_m(\phi,E))\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)\cdot E_2. \tag{5.1}$$

We now prove that

$$T_{m+k}(\phi,E)\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)T_k(\phi,E_2) \tag{5.2}$$

using induction on k. For $k=1$, $T_{m+1}(\phi,E)\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)T_1(\phi,E_2)$ follows from Equation (5.1). Assuming that $T_{m+k}(\phi,E)\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)T_k(\phi,E_2)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}T_{m+k+1}(\phi,E)&=E\phi(T_{m+k}(\phi,E))\subseteq\\&\subseteq E\phi(T_m(\phi,E))\phi(T_k(\phi,E_2))=\\&=T_{m+1}(\phi,E)\phi(T_k(\phi,E_2))\subseteq\\&\subseteq T_m(\phi,E)E_2\phi(T_k(\phi,E_2))=\\&=T_m(\phi,E)T_{k+1}(\phi,E_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus Equation (5.2) holds, and we have

$$\frac{\log|T_{m+k}(\phi,E)|}{m+k}\cdot\frac{m+k}{k}\le\frac{\log|T_m(\phi,E)|}{k}+\frac{\log|T_k(\phi,E_2)|}{k}.$$

Since m is fixed, letting $k\to\infty$ (so $k+m\to\infty$ as well), we deduce that

$$H(\phi,E)\le H(\phi,E_2)\le h(\phi\restriction_H).$$

(2) Let M be a ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G. By our assumption, $\mathrm{AT}(H,\phi\restriction_H, M\cap H)$ holds as $M\cap H$ is ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of H. This means that

$$h(\phi\restriction_{M\cap H})+h(\bar{\phi}_{H/H\cap M})=h(\phi\restriction_H), \tag{5.3}$$

where $\phi_{H/H\cap M}$ is the endomorphism induced by $\phi\restriction_H$. Since HM/H is a subgroup of G/H and $h(\bar{\phi}_{G/H})=0$ it follows from Lemma 3.2(1) that $h(\bar{\phi}_{HM/H})=0$. By Lemma 3.1, $\bar{\phi}_{M/M\cap H}$ has zero entropy as this endomorphism is conjugated to $\bar{\phi}_{HM/H}$. Applying item (1) to the locally finite group M and the endomorphism $\phi\restriction_M$ we deduce that

$$h(\phi\restriction_M)=h(\phi\restriction_{M\cap H}). \tag{5.4}$$

As $G/HM\cong(G/M)/(HM/M)$ is a quotient of G/H and $\bar{\phi}_{G/H}=0$, we deduce by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2(2) that $\tilde{\phi}\in\mathrm{End}((G/M)/(HM/M))$ has zero entropy, where $\tilde{\phi}$ is the map induced by $\bar{\phi}_{G/M}$. By Lemma 3.1,

$h(\bar{\phi}_{H/H\cap M}) = h(\bar{\phi}_{HM/M})$. Applying item (1) to the locally finite group G/M and the endomorphism $\bar{\phi}_{G/M}$ we obtain

$$h(\bar{\phi}_{G/M}) = h(\bar{\phi}_{HM/M}) = h(\bar{\phi}_{H/H\cap M}). \tag{5.5}$$

From (1) we have

$$h(\phi) = h(\phi \restriction_H). \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (5.3), (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) that $\mathrm{AT}(G, \phi, M)$ holds. □

Corollary 5.3. *If G is a locally finite group having a fully characteristic finite index simple subgroup H, then* $\mathrm{AT}(G)$ *holds.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(G)$. Since H is fully characteristic it is ϕ-invariant normal subgroup of G. Since $[G : H] < \infty$ it follows that $h(\bar{\phi}) = 0$. Clearly, $\mathrm{AT}(H)$ holds as H is simple. By Proposition 5.2, $\mathrm{AT}(G)$ holds. □

Example 5.4. Let us prove that $\mathrm{AT}(G)$ holds, where $G = \mathcal{S}_{fin}(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is the finitary symmetric group which consists of all permutations on $\mathbb{N}_+$ of finite support. Note that G is a locally finite group that is not finitely quasihamiltonian (see [7, Example 2.1(e)]). It is known that $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathbb{N}_+)$, the infinite group of all even permutations, is a fully characteristic simple subgroup of G of index 2. By Corollary 5.3, $\mathrm{AT}(G)$ holds.

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank the referee for the useful suggestions. In particular, for simplifying the proof of Proposition 5.1 using [2, Proposition 5.1.10].

References

[1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, M. H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965) 309–319.
[2] D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Topological entropy and algebraic entropy for group endomorphisms*, Proceedings ICTA2011 Islamabad, Pakistan July 4–10 2011 Cambridge Scientific Publishers (2012) 133–214.
[3] D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Entropy on abelian groups*, Adv. Math. 298 (2016) 612–653.
[4] D. Dikranjan, B. Goldsmith, L. Salce, P. Zanardo, *Algebraic entropy of endomorphisms of abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009) 3401–3434.
[5] M. R. Dixon, *Sylow Theory, Formations and Fitting Classes in Locally Finite Groups*, Series in Algebra, Vol. 2, World Scientific Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1994.
[6] A. Giordano Bruno, M. Shlossberg, D. Toller, *Algebraic entropy on strongly compactly covered groups*, Top. Appl. 263 (2019), 117–140.
[7] A. Giordano Bruno, F. Salizzoni, *Additivity of the algebraic entropy for locally finite groups with permutable finite subgroups*, J. Group Theory 23 (2020), 831–846 DOI 10.1515 / jgth-2019-0096.
[8] A. Giordano Bruno, P. Spiga, *Some properties of the growth and of the algebraic entropy of group endomorphisms*, J. Group Theory 20 (2017), no. 4, 763–774.
[9] J. Peters, *Entropy on discrete Abelian groups*, Adv. Math. 33 (1979) 1–13.
[10] M. D. Weiss, *Algebraic and other entropies of group endomorphisms*, Math. Systems Theory 8 (3) (1974/75) 243–248.
[11] W. Xi, M. Shlossberg, D. Toller, *Algebraic entropy on topologically quasihamiltonian groups*, Topol. Appl. **272** (2020), doi:10.1016/j.topol.2020.107093.

(M. Shlossberg)
School of Computer Science
Reichman University
8 Ha'universita Street, Herzliya, 4610101
Israel
Email address: menachem.shlossberg@post.idc.ac.il