

Nuclear matter in $1 + 1$ dimensions

Robert M. Konik,¹ Marton Lajer,¹ Robert D. Pisarski,² and Alexei M. Tsvelik¹

¹*Division of Condensed Matter Physics and Material Science,
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973*

Abstract

We review the solution of QCD in two spacetime dimensions. Following the analysis of Baluni, for a single flavor the model can be analyzed using Abelian bosonization. The theory can be analyzed in strong coupling, when the quarks are much lighter than the gauge coupling. In this limit, the theory is given by a Luttinger liquid.

I. INTRODUCTION

This subject of this pedagogical article is not directly about keV warm dark matter, to which this volume is devoted, but to other subjects on which Hector worked before delving into cosmology, in particular his work on integrable models in $1 + 1$ dimensions.

In particular, R.D.P. would like to express that I viewed Hector both as a great physicist and as a true gentleman. Thus I am especially honored to contribute to this volume, as I had both the joy of working with Hector [1, 2], and to consider him as a good friend.

The subject of this paper is the behavior of Quantum ChromoDynamics (QCD) in $1 + 1$ dimensions. This problem has been analyzed several times over the years, and recently [3]. The purpose of this article is to bring together the results, which tend to span a rather wide range of methods. What is interesting is that if one concentrates just upon the low energy excitations for cold, dense QCD in $1 + 1$ dimensions, then the theory reduces to that for a *single, massless boson*, which propagates with a speed less than that of light. This is what is known as a Luttinger liquid.

We first sketch how to derive these results, and then conclude with some speculations at to their possible relevance for cold, dense QCD in $3 + 1$ dimensions.

II. QCD FOR A SINGLE FLAVOR

We begin with the usual Lagrangian for QCD, where the quarks lie in the fundamental representation of a $SU(N_c)$ color group,

$$L = \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \bar{q}_{f,\sigma} \gamma^\mu D_{\mu,\sigma\sigma'} q_{f,\sigma'} + m \bar{q}_{f,\sigma} q_{f,\sigma} \right]. \quad (1)$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu \ ; \ G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig [A_\mu, A_\nu]. \quad (2)$$

The gauge coupling g has dimensions of mass in two spacetime dimensions; the quark fields \bar{q}, q carry $a, b \dots = 1, \dots N_f$ flavor and $\alpha, \beta \dots = 1, \dots N_c$ color indices.

In two dimensions, gauge fields are not propagating degrees of freedom, which allows one to simplify the theory. It helps to choose the gauge $A_0 = 0$. That still leaves A_x , which for simplicity we denote just as the color matrix A . Baluni [4] noted that one can further choose a “hybrid” gauge, which vastly simplifies the analysis. First, to assume that the

gauge potential, A , is a off-diagonal matrix, and that the electric field, E , is diagonal:

$$A^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad , \quad \alpha = \beta \quad ; \quad E^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad , \quad \alpha \neq \beta \quad ; \quad E^{\alpha\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(e^\alpha - \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_c} e^\beta \right) . \quad (3)$$

This gauge is useful in imposing Gauss' law, $D_x E = J_0$, where J_0 is the quark current. Besides the contribution of the quark current, this also involves the covariant derivative, D_x , and so the commutator of the gauge potential with the electric field. In the hybrid gauge, however, the diagonal elements of the electric field are directly proportional to the diagonal elements of the quark current, while the off-diagonal elements of the gauge potential are proportional to the off-diagonal elements of the quark current:

$$\partial_x e^\alpha = j_0^{\alpha\alpha} \quad ; \quad ig(e^\alpha - e^\beta)A^{\alpha\beta} = j_0^{\alpha\beta} \quad \alpha \neq \beta \quad ; \quad j_0^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{q}^\alpha \gamma_0 q^\beta . \quad (4)$$

There is no sum over repeated indices: $j_0^{\alpha\alpha}$ is just a single element of the diagonal quark current, with color α . For the color diagonal current, $A^{\alpha\beta}$ does not enter, because it is taken to be purely off-diagonal. Similarly, for the elements of the color current which are off-diagonal in color, the spatial derivative of the electric field does not enter, because it is assumed to be purely diagonal.

In two dimensions there is no magnetic field, so the action for the gauge field just involve the square of the electric field. Thus the above doesn't look very useful, since j_0 is proportional to the spatial derivative of the electric field. This is where bosonization is useful, as the current $j_0 \sim \partial_x \phi$, where ϕ is a boson field. By Gauss' law, in the hybrid gauge the electric field e^α is naturally proportional to the scalar field of bosonization.

The result for the Hamiltonian after bosonization is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \quad , \\ \mathcal{H}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \pi_\alpha^2 + 2m\Lambda \left(1 - \cos(2\sqrt{\pi}e^\alpha) \right) \quad , \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} &= \frac{g^2}{8\pi N_c} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_c} (e^\alpha - e^\beta)^2 + \Lambda^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_c} \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{\pi}(e^\alpha - e^\beta))}{(e^\alpha - e^\beta)} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where π^α is the momentum conjugate to the electric field e^α . We are sloppy about normalization, and in particular about normal ordering. As typical with bosonization, most terms contain ultraviolet divergences (from tadpole like diagrams), and are only well defined if normal ordered. The terms in \mathcal{H}_0 are standard for bosonization. The term in \mathcal{H}_{int} which is

proportional to $(e^\alpha - e^\beta)^2$ is related to the usual electric field term. The second term in \mathcal{H}_{int} arises from the current-current interaction which the gauge field induces. The mass scale Λ arises from normal ordering, and previous analysis took it as proportional to the gauge coupling, $\Lambda \sim g$. While this at least ensures that the perturbative expansion is well defined, it is not evident that this is consistent. In particular, it is not obvious how to normal order expressions such as $\sin(\phi)/\phi$.

This form of the theory has been analyzed by several authors [5–12]. We assume a single flavor because with two or more flavors, \mathcal{H}_{int} involves the conjugate momenta, π^α , as well as the coordinates e^α [5–8]. This significantly complicates the analysis. For several flavors, it is more useful to adopt non-Abelian bosonization [3, 7, 8, 10–13] (for a recent review of non-Abelian bosonization, see Ref. [14]). Even so, the case of a single flavor is still most illustrative.

Returning to the present approach, even with a single flavor there are $N_c - 1$ coupled sine-Gordon models, with an peculiar coupling, from the last term of \mathcal{H}_{int} . A single sine-Gordon model has a rich spectrum of excitations: both small fluctuations, analogous to mesons, and kinks and anti-kinks, analogous to baryons and anti-baryons. With $N_c - 1$ coupled sine-Gordon models, the spectrum becomes even more convoluted. Notice, however, that the fields for the lightest mesons are naturally proportional to the $\sim e^\alpha - e^\beta$. From the above, their mass is $\sim g$, and so this represents a set of mesons/glueballs. There are then baryons, given by kinks [5–8]. For further studies of the spectra of this model, see Refs. [3, 8–12]. Certainly, as a confining gauge theory, it is expected that *all* excitations are massive.

In Ref. [3], an alternate approach was taken. The gauge $A_x = 0$ was taken, and the free gauge field integrated out:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \int dx \left[-i\bar{q}_{R,\alpha} \partial_x q_{R,\alpha} + i\bar{q}_{L,\alpha} \partial_x q_{L,\alpha} - m(\bar{q}_{R,\alpha} q_{L,\alpha} + \bar{q}_{L,\alpha} q_{R,\alpha}) \right] - \pi g^2 \int dx dy \sum_{A=1}^{N_c^2-1} J_0^A(x) |x-y| J_0^A(y) ; \quad (6)$$

where $q_{L,R} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)q$ are the right- and left- moving components of the quark field. The currents for right- and left- moving quarks, $J_{R,L}^A$, are given by

$$J_0^A = J_R^A + J_L^A \quad , \quad J_R^A = \bar{q}_{R,\alpha} (t)_{\alpha\beta}^A q_{R,\beta} \quad , \quad J_L^A = \bar{q}_{L,\alpha} (t)_{\alpha\beta}^A q_{L,\beta} \quad , \quad (7)$$

with $(t^A)_{\alpha\beta}$ matrices for the adjoint representation. The chiral currents $J_{R,L}$ obey a $SU(N_c)$

Kac-Moody algebra [14].

Introducing the chiral currents is especially useful when expanding about the massless limit. With the fields $q_{R,\alpha} \sim \exp(i\sqrt{4\pi}\varphi_\alpha)$ and $q_{L,\alpha} \sim \exp(i\sqrt{4\pi}\bar{\varphi}_\alpha)$. After bosonizing the current-current interaction, one obtains two terms in the potential. The first is from the diagonal elements,

$$V_{\text{Cartan}}(x) = -\pi g^2(1 - 1/N_c) \int dy |x - y| \partial_x \Phi_\alpha(x) \partial_y \Phi_\alpha(y) = g^2(1 - 1/N_c) \Phi_\alpha(x) \Phi_\alpha(x) , \quad (8)$$

where $\Phi = \varphi + \bar{\varphi}$. The off-diagonal terms contribute

$$V_{\text{off-diag}} = \sum_{\alpha > \beta} \int dy \frac{g^2}{4\pi} |x - y|^{-1} \left\{ \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}[\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x) - \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(y)]] \right. \\ \left. + \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}[\bar{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta}(x) - \bar{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta}(y)]] - 2|x - y|^2 \cos[\sqrt{4\pi}[\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x) + \bar{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta}(y)]] \right\} \quad (9)$$

with $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta$.

It is useful to contrast these analyses with the solution of QCD at large N_c by 't Hooft [15]. Again, one goes to axial gauge, so that the propagator for the gauge field reduces to that of a free field. Doing so, it is possible to solve the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the quark propagator. This demonstrates a confining spectrum. This has been extended to nonzero quark density by Bringoltz [16], who finds chiral density waves for a massive quark.

There is a peculiarity in the diagonal potential, V_{Cartan} . The bosonized fields, φ or $\bar{\varphi}$, are manifestly periodic. However, V_{Cartan} is clearly not periodic. This is also present in the previous form, the “mass” term in Eq. (5). It wasn't apparent in this form, nor the lack of periodicity appreciated. In terms of the φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ fields, though, it demonstrates that since periodicity is lost, the only topologically non-trivial configurations are those which involve the *sum* of all angles. The only soft mode remaining is the sum of the Φ_α 's,

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} . \quad (10)$$

This is *completely* unaffected by the potential terms in Eqs. (8) and (9), which only involve the differences, $\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_\beta$, *etc.*.

The ground state corresponds to the state where all fields are equal. Projecting the mass term onto this vacuum gives a *single* sine-Gordon model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \Pi^2 + (\partial_x \Phi)^2 \right\} + 2 \frac{\tilde{m}}{2\pi} \left[1 - \cos \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{N_c}} \Phi \right) \right] . \quad (11)$$

In this expression \tilde{m} is proportional to the original mass scale, including the effects of renormalization by normal ordering. Naturally, the projection assumes that the energy scale generated by the mass term is much smaller than the energies of the mesonic fields. Besides the $U(1)$ field Φ , there are also color singlet excitations above Λ_{QCD} , involving fluctuations of individual fields Φ_α around the minimum of the potential. By going to energies below the scale of the gauge coupling, all of these massive degrees of freedom can be ignored.

This implies that in $1+1$ dimensions, dense QCD is much simpler than one might expect. By bosonization, a nonzero chemical potential is incorporated simply by shifting

$$\left(\frac{4\pi}{N_c}\right)^{1/2}\Phi \rightarrow 2k_0x + \left(\frac{4\pi}{N_c}\right)^{1/2}\Phi, \quad k_0 = \frac{\mu}{N_c}. \quad (12)$$

This follows directly because $j_0 \sim \partial_x \phi$. It is only Φ is affected, as fermion number only couples to the global $U(1)$ symmetry for fermion number. The resulting effective Lagrangian is then

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{\tilde{m}}{2\pi} \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{N_c}}\Phi + 2k_0x\right), \quad (13)$$

As mentioned above, in vacuum the spectrum of this model consists of a soliton with mass m_s , and anti-soliton with the same mass, and $2N_c - 2$ breathers, which are also massive. These are all gauge invariant states.

The chemical potential does not affect the system until $\mu > m_s$. At that point, the soliton becomes massless, while all other states, the anti-soliton and the breathers, remain massive. Analysis shows that at $\mu > m_s$, this model renormalizes into that of a Luttinger liquid:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{\tilde{K}(\mu)}{2} \left[v_F(\mu)^{-1} (\partial_\tau \Phi)^2 + v_F(\mu) (\partial_x \Phi)^2 \right], \quad (14)$$

This is a single, massless boson, which propagates with a speed less than that of light.

The solution of the model is the following. The overall normalization of the effective Lagrangian is the Luttinger parameter, \tilde{K} , while v_F is the Fermi velocity; both are functions of the chemical potential, μ . The extraction of these dependencies requires the exact solution of the sine-Gordon model.

The limits of these parameters is easy to understand. At the edge of the Fermi surface, where $k_F \rightarrow 0$, the Luttinger parameter $\tilde{K} \rightarrow 1$. In contrast, the Fermi velocity $v_F \rightarrow 0$. This implies that the Φ field doesn't propagate, as the spatial term vanishes. For asymptotically high density, $k_F \rightarrow \infty$, the Luttinger parameter $\tilde{K} \rightarrow 1/N_c$, and the Fermi velocity $v_F \rightarrow 1$.

This implies that in the limit of infinite N_c , that there is a density at which \tilde{K} goes from being of order one, as is typical of dilute fermions, to of order $\sim 1/N_c$. This is only valid at infinite N_c ; at finite N_c , \tilde{K} varies smoothly with density.

The solution for arbitrary chemical potential can be carried out through the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz. This is valid for arbitrary fermion mass. It is also possible to compute using perturbation theory in the mass parameter. Details, and a solution for two flavors and colors, are given in Ref. [3].

For an arbitrary number of flavors, presumably the theory is always a Luttinger liquid. The solution is rather more complicated, and involves non-Abelian bosonization. For an arbitrary number of flavors, it is not direct to solve the theory in weak coupling, when the mass is much larger than the gauge coupling. Thus it is only a conjecture that the theory is a Luttinger liquid, although in two dimensions it is most natural to expect.

It is an extraordinary feature of Fermi surfaces in two dimensions that the excitations near the Fermi surface are not fermions, but bosons. This is only possible because of bosonization in two dimensions.

It is impossible to resist speculating upon whether something analogous happens in $3 + 1$ dimensions. In a quarkyonic phase [17–33], while the free energy is that of deconfined quarks and gluons, excitations near the Fermi surface are confined. It is conceivable that this introduces a strong anisotropy into the system, so that it is essentially one dimensional. If true, then there is a complicated pattern of excitations which arise. Especially with two or more light flavors, an involved pattern of chiral density waves can arise.

What is most intriguing, however, is whether the quarkyonic phase in $3 + 1$ dimensions is a Luttinger liquid. That is, a type of non-Fermi liquid. In particular, are the excitations near the Fermi surface not controlled by nucleons, but by (effective) bosons. The properties of an effective non-Fermi liquid can be described by an (anisotropic) effective Lagrangian, and used to compute the transport properties of a quarkyonic regime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of R.D.P. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-SC0012704; by B.N.L. under the Lab Directed Research and Development program 18-036; and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, National Quantum

Information Science Research Centers, Co-design Center for Quantum Advantage (C2QA) under contract number DE-SC0012704. The of M. J., A.M.T., and R.M.K. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division under contract DE-SC0012704.

- [1] Daniel Boyanovsky, Hector J. de Vega, Richard Holman, S. Prem Kumar, and Robert D. Pisarski, “Nonequilibrium evolution of a ’Tsunami’: Dynamical symmetry breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 3653–3669 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9711258.
- [2] Daniel Boyanovsky, Hector J. de Vega, Richard Holman, S. Prem Kumar, and Robert D. Pisarski, “Real time relaxation of condensates and kinetics in hot scalar QED: Landau damping,” *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 125009 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9802370.
- [3] Marton Lajer, Alexei Tsvelik, Robert Konik, and Robert D. Pisarski, “When nuclear matter in $(1 + 1)d$ and $(3 + 1)d$ is not a fermi liquid,” .
- [4] Varouzhan Baluni, “The Bose Form of Two-dimensional Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **90**, 407–412 (1980).
- [5] Paul J. Steinhardt, “Baryons and Baryonium in QCD in Two-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **176**, 100–112 (1980).
- [6] E. Cohen, Y. Frishman, and D. Gepner, “Bosonization of Two-dimensional QCD With Flavor,” *Phys. Lett. B* **121**, 180–182 (1983).
- [7] Doron Gepner, “Nonabelian Bosonization and Multiflavor QED and QCD in Two-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **252**, 481–507 (1985).
- [8] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, “Bosonization and QCD in two-dimensions,” *Phys. Rept.* **223**, 309–348 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9207017.
- [9] E. Abdalla and M.C.B. Abdalla, “Updating QCD in two-dimensions,” *Phys. Rept.* **265**, 253–368 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9503002.
- [10] A. Armoni, Y. Frishman, and J. Sonnenschein, “The String tension in massive QCD in two-dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 430–433 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9709097.
- [11] A. Armoni, Y. Frishman, J. Sonnenschein, and U. Trittman, “The Spectrum of multiflavor QCD in two-dimensions and the nonAbelian Schwinger equation,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **537**, 503–515 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9805155.

- [12] Ross Dempsey, Igor R. Klebanov, and Silviu S. Pufu, “Exact symmetries and threshold states in two-dimensional models for qcd,” (2021), arXiv:2101.05432 [hep-th].
- [13] Edward Witten, “Nonabelian Bosonization in Two-Dimensions,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92**, 455–472 (1984).
- [14] Andrew J. A. James, Robert M. Konik, Philippe Lecheminant, Neil J. Robinson, and Alexei M. Tsvelik, “Non-perturbative methodologies for low-dimensional strongly-correlated systems: From non-abelian bosonization to truncated spectrum methods,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 046002 (2018), arXiv:1703.08421 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [15] Gerard ’t Hooft, “A Two-Dimensional Model for Mesons,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **75**, 461–470 (1974).
- [16] Barak Bringoltz, “Solving two-dimensional large- N QCD with a nonzero density of baryons and arbitrary quark mass,” *Phys. Rev.* **D79**, 125006 (2009), arXiv:0901.4035 [hep-lat].
- [17] Larry McLerran and Robert D. Pisarski, “Phases of cold, dense quarks at large $N(c)$,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A796**, 83–100 (2007), arXiv:0706.2191 [hep-ph].
- [18] A. Andronic *et al.*, “Hadron Production in Ultra-relativistic Nuclear Collisions: Quarkyonic Matter and a Triple Point in the Phase Diagram of QCD,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **837**, 65–86 (2010), arXiv:0911.4806 [hep-ph].
- [19] Toru Kojo, Yoshimasa Hidaka, Larry McLerran, and Robert D. Pisarski, “Quarkyonic Chiral Spirals,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A843**, 37–58 (2010), arXiv:0912.3800 [hep-ph].
- [20] Toru Kojo, Robert D. Pisarski, and A. M. Tsvelik, “Covering the Fermi Surface with Patches of Quarkyonic Chiral Spirals,” *Phys. Rev.* **D82**, 074015 (2010), arXiv:1007.0248 [hep-ph].
- [21] Toru Kojo, Yoshimasa Hidaka, Kenji Fukushima, Larry D. McLerran, and Robert D. Pisarski, “Interweaving Chiral Spirals,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A875**, 94–138 (2012), arXiv:1107.2124 [hep-ph].
- [22] Kenji Fukushima and Toru Kojo, “The Quarkyonic Star,” *Astrophys. J.* **817**, 180 (2016), arXiv:1509.00356 [nucl-th].
- [23] Larry McLerran and Sanjay Reddy, “Quarkyonic Matter and Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 122701 (2019), arXiv:1811.12503 [nucl-th].
- [24] Robert D. Pisarski, Vladimir V. Skokov, and Alexei M. Tsvelik, “Fluctuations in cool quark matter and the phase diagram of Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 074025 (2019), arXiv:1801.08156 [hep-ph].
- [25] Kie Sang Jeong, Larry McLerran, and Srimoyee Sen, “Dynamically generated momentum space shell structure of quarkyonic matter via an excluded volume model,” *Phys. Rev. C* **101**,

- 035201 (2020), arXiv:1908.04799 [nucl-th].
- [26] Dyana C. Duarte, Saul Hernandez-Ortiz, and Kie Sang Jeong, “Excluded Volume Model for Quarkyonic Matter II: Three-flavor Shell-like Distribution of Baryons in Phase Space,” (2020), arXiv:2007.08098 [nucl-th].
 - [27] Dyana C. Duarte, Saul Hernandez-Ortiz, and Kie Sang Jeong, “Excluded-volume model for quarkyonic Matter: Three-flavor baryon-quark Mixture,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 025203 (2020), arXiv:2003.02362 [nucl-th].
 - [28] Srimoyee Sen and Neill C. Warrington, “Finite-Temperature Quarkyonic Matter with an Excluded Volume Model,” (2020), arXiv:2002.11133 [nucl-th].
 - [29] Srimoyee Sen and Lars Sivertsen, “Mass and radius relations of quarkyonic matter using an excluded volume model,” (2020), arXiv:2011.04681 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [30] Tianqi Zhao and James M. Lattimer, “Quarkyonic Matter Equation of State in Beta-Equilibrium,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 023021 (2020), arXiv:2004.08293 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [31] Robert D. Pisarski, Alexei M. Tsvelik, and Semeon Valgushev, “How transverse thermal fluctuations disorder a condensate of chiral spirals into a quantum spin liquid,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 016015 (2020), arXiv:2005.10259 [hep-ph].
 - [32] Robert D. Pisarski, “Remarks on nuclear matter: How an ω_0 condensate can spike the speed of sound, and a model of $Z(3)$ baryons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, L071504 (2021), arXiv:2101.05813 [nucl-th].
 - [33] R. D. Pisarski and A. M. Tsvelik, “Low energy physics of interacting bosons with a moat spectrum, and the implications for condensed matter and cold nuclear matter,” (2021), arXiv:2103.15835 [nucl-th].