

Oriented Random Walk on the Heisenberg Group and Percolation

Itai Benjamini and Oded Schramm

February 4, 2022

Abstract

It is shown that oriented random walk on the Heisenberg group admits exponential intersection tail. As a corollary we get that on any transitive graph of polynomial volume growth, which is not a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}^1, \mathbb{Z}^2$, the infinite cluster of percolation with retention parameter p , close enough to 1, is transient.

1 Introduction

The study of random walks on discrete groups is rather advanced (see for instance Hebisch and Saloff-Coste (1993)). Recently a study of percolation and other related models on groups was initiated (see Benjamini and Schramm (1996) for an introduction). For the theory of percolation on groups to mature it is useful to have some interesting specific groups in which percolation is analyzed. Since the discrete Heisenberg group is the smallest (in the sense of volume growth) infinite non commutative discrete group, which is not a finite extension of \mathbb{Z}^d ($d \leq 4$), it is a natural example to consider. We will see below that the analysis of oriented random walks on the Heisenberg group is useful for the study of percolation on transitive graphs of polynomial volume growth.

Description of the Heisenberg group and its Cayley graph. The Heisenberg group H has the presentation $H = \langle a, b, c : c = [a, b], [a, c] = [b, c] = e \rangle$, where e denotes the identity element. We now describe the Cayley graph G_H of H with respect to the generators a and b . It is easy to verify that each element $h \in H$ has a unique representation of the form $h = a^n b^m c^k$, where $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus h can be identified with the point $(n, m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, and the vertices of G_H may be taken to be \mathbb{Z}^3 . The edges then are

$$E = \{[(x, y, z), (x, y + 1, z)] : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{[(x, y, z), (x + 1, y, z - y)] : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

With this set of directed edges G_H may be viewed as a **directed** graph. A **directed** path on G_H is a path that respects the orientation of its edges.

Since G_H contains a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 , $p_c(G_H) < 1$. Several facts regarding percolation on \mathbb{Z}^d , such as uniqueness of the infinite cluster or exponential decay for the connectivity function below the critical probability, holds for G_H with similar proofs. It is of interest to prove an analogue of the Grimmett-Marstrand theorem regarding percolation in a slab for G_H . As this is the missing part in applying renormalization arguments for percolation on G_H . (for background on percolation see Grimmett (1989)). Grimmett Kesten and Zhang (1993) proved transience of the super critical infinite cluster in \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \geq 3$. Benjamini, Pemantle and Peres (1998) gave a very different proof using a measure on paths such that the number of intersections of two paths chosen independently according to the measure, has an exponential tail.

In this note it is shown that the probability that two independent oriented random walks on G_H will intersect n times decays exponentially in n . As a corollary we get that G_H is transient and even the super critical infinite percolation cluster, for p close enough to 1, is a.s. transient.

We start with some definitions and a result from Benjamini, Pemantle and Peres (1998) and then formulate the theorem.

Definitions.

1. Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be an infinite directed graph with all vertices of finite degree and let $v_0 \in V_G$. Denote by $\Upsilon = \Upsilon(G, v_0)$ the collection of infinite directed paths in G which emanate from v_0 and tend to infinity (i.e., the paths in Υ visit any vertex at most finitely many times). The set $\Upsilon(G, v_0)$, viewed as a subset of $E_G^{\mathbb{Z}}$, is a Borel set in the product topology.
2. Let $0 < \theta < 1$. A Borel probability measure μ on $\Upsilon(G, v_0)$ has **Exponential intersection tails** with parameter θ (in short, EIT(θ)) if there exists C such that

$$\mu \times \mu \left\{ (\varphi, \psi) : |\varphi \cap \psi| \geq n \right\} \leq C\theta^n$$

for all n , where $|\varphi \cap \psi|$ is the number of edges in the intersection of φ and ψ .

3. If such a measure μ exists for some basepoint v_0 and some $\theta < 1$, then we say that G *admits random paths with* EIT(θ). Analogous definitions apply to undirected graphs.
4. **Oriented percolation** with parameter $p \in (0, 1)$ on the directed graph G is the process where each edge of G is independently declared *open* with probability p and *closed* with

probability $1 - p$. The union of all directed open paths emanating from v will be called the **oriented open cluster** of v and denoted $C(v)$.

5. A subgraph Λ of G is called **transient** if when the orientations on the edges are ignored, Λ is connected and simple random walk on it is a transient Markov chain. As explained in Doyle and Snell (1984), the latter property is equivalent to finiteness of the effective resistance from a vertex of Λ to infinity, when each edge of Λ is endowed with a unit resistor.

The following proposition is from Benjamini, Pemantle and Peres (1998).

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose a directed graph G admits random paths with $EIT(\theta)$. Consider oriented percolation on G with parameter p . If $p > \theta$ then with probability 1 there is a vertex v in G such that the directed open cluster $C(v)$ is transient.*

Recall that a path $\{\Gamma_n\}$ in \mathbb{Z}^d is called *oriented* if each increment $\Gamma_{n+1} - \Gamma_n$ is one of the d standard basis vectors. The difference of two independent, uniformly chosen, oriented paths in \mathbb{Z}^d is a random walk with increments generating the $d - 1$ dimensional hyperplane $\{\sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 0\}$. For $d \geq 4$, this random walk is transient; let $\theta_d < 1$ denote its return probability to the origin. As noted by Cox and Durrett (1983), it follows that the uniform measure on oriented paths in \mathbb{Z}^d has $EIT(\theta_d)$. (They attribute the idea of applying this in percolation to H. Kesten.)

Theorem 1.2. *The uniform measure on oriented paths in G_H has exponential intersection tail.*

Where the uniform measure on oriented paths is the infinite symmetric product measure on $\{a, b\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where each word corresponds to an oriented path naturally.

It is well known (to group theorists) that any finitely generated infinite nilpotent group, which is not a finite extension of \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 , contains either the discrete Heisenberg group or \mathbb{Z}^3 as subgroups. (For finitely generated nilpotent groups the torsion group is finite, assuming this, it is an exercise to show the above, see Kurosh (1956)). By a Trofimov's generalization of Gromov's celebrated theorem (Trofimov (1986)), any transitive graph of polynomial volume growth is a nilpotent cover of a finite graph. Thus in particular contains a graph which is rough- isometric to either G_H or \mathbb{Z}^3 . Thus we get

Corollary 1.3. *The infinite cluster for percolation with retention parameter p on a transient transitive graph of polynomial volume growth is transient for p close enough to 1.*

Benjamini, Lyons and Schramm (1998) conjectured that a super critical infinite percolation cluster on any transitive transient graph is transient. The conjecture was verified there for nonamenable Cayley graphs.

2 Proof and further remarks

Consider two oriented random walks $\alpha = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1) \dots)$ and $\beta = (\beta(0), \beta(1) \dots)$. Let $\alpha_j = 0$ if the j 'th edge of α is of the form $[p, pa]$, and $\alpha_j = 1$ if it is of the form $[p, pb]$. Similarly define β_j . If $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ is the location of α after k steps, then $v_1 + v_2 = k$. Consequently, intersections of α and β correspond to k 's such that $\alpha(k) = \beta(k)$.

For $\alpha(k) = \beta(k)$, we must have $\sum_{j < k} \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} \beta_j$ and also $\sum_{j < k} \sum_{i < j} (1 - \alpha_i) \alpha_j$ must be equal to the corresponding expression with β .

$$\sum_{j < k} \sum_{i < j} (1 - \alpha_i) \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j - \sum_j \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j.$$

Since $\sum_j \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j$ depends only on the number of i 's for which $\alpha_i = 1$, $\alpha(k) = \beta(k)$ convertible to $\sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} j \beta_j$ together with $\sum_{j < k} \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} \beta_j$. The probability for the latter is of order $k^{-1/2}$. Given the latter, the probability of the former is of order $k^{-3/2}$. However, the following easier estimate suffices:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} j \beta_j \right] \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} j \beta_j \right] \leq \max_n \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j = n \right]$$

Hence the above estimate can be obtained by observing that $\sum_{j < \log_2 k} 2^j \alpha_{2^j}$ is uniformly distributed in an interval (of \mathbb{Z}) of size at least $(k/2) - 1$. Together with the other condition, this gives a probability of $O(k^{-3/2})$ for an intersection at the k 'th step. Hence, there is positive probability for no intersections at all. Given that there is an intersection at the k 'th step, the probability for any further intersections at time $\geq k$ is the same as the probability to have an intersection at some time $t \geq 1$. That proves the EIT.

To get the stronger correct estimate for

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{j < k} j \alpha_j = \sum_{j < k} j \beta_j \right],$$

we need a bit of Fourier analysis. The Fourier transform for the density distribution of $j\alpha_j$ on \mathbb{Z} is $\cos(jx)$. Since the Fourier transform transforms convolution into multiplication, we are interested in the maximum of $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(ixn) \prod_{j < k} \cos(jx)$ over $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. That is bounded above by

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \prod_{j < k} |\cos(jx)|.$$

Since $\cos(x + \pi) = -\cos(x)$ and $\cos(x) = \cos(-x)$, this is the same as

$$4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \prod_{j < k} |\cos(jx)|.$$

Let $f(x)$ be $\min\{|x - j\pi| : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Observe that $|\cos(x)| \leq \exp(-cf(x)^2)$, for some $c > 0$. So we have to estimate

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \exp\left(-c \sum_{j < k} f(jx)^2\right).$$

We first estimate the integral in the interval $x \in [0, 1/k]$:

$$\int_0^{1/k} \exp\left(-c \sum_{j < k} f(jx)^2\right) = \int_0^{1/k} \exp\left(-c \sum_{j < k} j^2 x^2\right) \leq \int_0^{1/k} \exp(-c' k^3 x^2) = O(k^{-3/2}),$$

for some constant $c' > 0$. For the other interval $x \in [1/k, \pi/2]$, note that $\sum_{j < k} f(jx)^2$ is at least kc'' for some constant $c'' > 0$. Hence the integral $\int_{1/k}^{\pi/2} \exp\left(-c \sum_{j < k} f(jx)^2\right)$ is exponentially small in k . \square

Remarks:

1. The volume of a ball in G_H , of radius n is cn^4 . \mathbb{Z}^4 has the same volume growth. Yet the probability that two oriented random walks in \mathbb{Z}^4 intersects after n steps is of order $n^{-3/2}$ and only n^{-2} on G_H .
2. The probability simple random walk on G_H is at the origin, by time n , is of order cn^{-2} (as in \mathbb{Z}^4). This can be used to show that two simple random walk paths will intersect infinitely often.

Acknowledgements: Thanks to Ran Raz and Ilya Rips for useful discussions.

References

- [1] I. Benjamini, R. Lyons and O. Schramm (1998). Percolation perturbations in potential theory and random walks. *Proceedings of the Cortona Workshop on Random Walks and Discrete Potential Theory*, M. Picardello and W. Woess, eds., Cambridge U. Press, to appear.
- [2] I. Benjamini, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres (1998). Unpredictable paths and percolation. *Ann. Prob.* **26** 1198–1211.
- [3] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm (1996). Percolation beyond \mathbb{Z}^d , many questions and a few answers. *Elect. Comm. Prob.* **1**, 71–82.
- [4] T. Cox and R. Durrett (1983). Oriented percolation in dimensions $d \geq 4$: bounds and asymptotic formulas. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **93**, 151–162.
- [5] P. G. Doyle and E. J. Snell (1984). *Random walks and electrical networks*. Carus Math. Monographs **22**, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D. C.
- [6] G. R. Grimmett (1989). *Percolation*. Springer-Verlag, New-York.
- [7] G. R. Grimmett, H. Kesten and Y. Zhang (1993). Random walk on the infinite cluster of the percolation model. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **96**, 33–44.
- [8] W. Hebisch and L. Saloff-Coste (1993). Gaussian estimates for Markov chains and random walks on groups. *Ann. Prob.* **21**, 673–709.
- [9] A. Kurosh (1956). *The theory of groups*. Chelsea Publishing Comp., New-York.
- [10] V.I. Trofimov (1984). Graphs with polynomial growth, *Math. Sbornik* **123**, 407–421.

THE WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL
itai@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il
<http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~itai/>
schramm@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il
<http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~schramm/>