

# Comment on “Coulomb Instabilities of a Three-Dimensional Higher-Order Topological Insulator”

Yu-Wen Lee<sup>1,\*</sup> and Min-Fong Yang<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Applied Physics, Tunghai University, Taichung, Taiwan, Republic of China*

(Dated: February 4, 2022)

Recently it was concluded based on renormalization-group (RG) calculations that three-dimensional second-order topological insulators (SOTIs) are always unstable to the Coulomb interaction [1]. They will undergo topological phase transitions to either topological insulators (TIs) or normal insulators (NIs). In this Comment we point out that, just like usual band insulators and TIs, the SOTIs are actually robust against weak Coulomb interactions and there exists no topological phase transition from SOTIs to TIs within the RG approach.

As shown by Eq. (3) in [1], the low-energy effective action for the SOTI is described by a theory of Dirac fermions with a mass  $m$  and with quadratic corrections represented by the  $B_i$  and the  $D$  terms. For the non-interacting case, the critical point of  $m = 0$  separates the topological and the non-topological phases. The nonzero  $D$  term turns the topological phase from TI to SOTI, since it induces a sign-changing mass gap for the surface states, leading to in-gap hinge modes at the mass domain walls of surface states [2]. It is shown by Fig. 2(a) of [1] that the value of  $D$  can flow to zero in the low-energy limit in the presence of the Coulomb interaction. This result is interpreted as a transition from SOTI to TI.

While calculations in [1] are correct, the conclusion about the topological phase transition from SOTI to TI is not true. This is due to a wrong criterion for this transition being employed. We note that the renormalized  $D$  flows to zero *even for the non-interacting case*, but it does certainly not imply a phase transition to TI. The result of vanishing  $D$  is expected, because the  $D$  term describes a quadratic correction to the Dirac theory and then is irrelevant around the non-interacting fixed point. This conclusion can be explicitly drawn from RG equations of [1] as well [see Eqs. (S107)-(S113) in Supplemental Material] by setting the parameter  $\alpha$  denoting the Coulomb interaction to zero. Therefore, the irrelevance of the  $D$  term simply indicates that it plays no role in the low-energy physics for bulk properties, instead of showing a phase transition.

To have a true transition from SOTI to TI, the surface gaps need to be closed, while the mass gap of the bulk states remains finite. For the model under consideration, by applying the approach described in [3], the effective theories of surface states on the four surfaces perpendicular to either the  $x$  or the  $y$  axis can be derived, in which the sign-changing mass gap becomes  $m_{\text{surf}} = mD/B_{\perp}$  (cf. Eq. (10) in [3]). Since both  $D$  and  $B_{\perp}$  could flow




FIG. 1. (a) The renormalized  $m$ ,  $D$ ,  $B_{\perp}$ , and  $m_{\text{surf}} = mD/B_{\perp}$  as functions of the running scale parameter  $\ell$ . The solutions are obtained by using the initial values of system parameters as  $m_0 = B_{\perp}^0 = 1$ ,  $B_z^0 = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha_0 = \gamma_0^2 = 0.1$ , and  $D_0 = 5$ . (b) The RG flow (blue and green lines) and the phase boundary (black line) in the  $m - \alpha$  plane. Here the same parameters as those in Fig. 4(c) of [1] are used.

to zero, the vanishing  $D$  does not immediately imply the closure of the surface gaps  $m_{\text{surf}}$ . For illustration, the renormalized  $m$ ,  $D$ ,  $B_{\perp}$ , and  $m_{\text{surf}}$  as functions of the running scale parameter  $\ell$  are shown in Fig. 1(a), where the same initial values of system parameters as those in Fig. 2 of [1] are employed. We find that, while  $D$  and  $B_{\perp}$  approach zero, both the bulk mass  $m$  and the surface mass  $m_{\text{surf}}$  increase under the RG flow. Therefore, the claimed SOTI-TI transition does not happen.

By numerically solving the RG equations derived in [1], we find that the surface gaps  $m_{\text{surf}}$  of SOTI will not close when Coulomb interaction is weak. Actually, the SOTI remains stable up to a critical strength of Coulomb interaction. The phase diagram of the disorder-free model is shown in Fig. 1(b). Just like the case of TI [4], the effect of the Coulomb interaction (and nonzero  $B_i$ ) is to cause nonuniversal shift of the phase boundary. The phase boundary between NI and SOTI agrees with that depicted in Fig. 4(c) of [1], while the interpretation of the phases separated by the boundary is different. The left-hand side of the phase boundary represents the NI phase, in which the renormalized parameters will flow to the fixed point with negative  $m$ , while the right-hand side shows the SOTI phase characterized by the growing of  $m$  and  $m_{\text{surf}}$ .

In summary, to explore novel phase transitions out of higher-order topological phases of matter, correct physical quantities protecting the higher-order topological properties need to be measured. Otherwise, misleading conclusions could be drawn. In this Comment we

would like to remind that, to locate the possible transitions from SOTI to TI, an appropriate quantity is the sign-changing mass gap  $m_{\text{surf}}$  for the surface states. By examining its behavior within RG approach, the stability of SOTI against weak Coulomb interactions is demonstrated.

We acknowledge financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under Grant No. MOST 110-2112-M-029-003 and MOST 110-2112-M-029-004.

<sup>†</sup> mfyang@thu.edu.tw

- [1] Peng-Lu Zhao, Xiao-Bin Qiang, Hai-Zhou Lu, and X. C. Xie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 176601 (2021).
- [2] For a recent review, see F. Schindler, *J. Appl. Phys.* **128**, 221102 (2020).
- [3] Z. Yan, F. Song, and Z. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 096803 (2018).
- [4] P. Goswami and S. Chakravarty, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 196803 (2011).

---

\* ywlee@thu.edu.tw