

Glassy dynamics of the one-dimensional Mott insulator excited by a strong terahertz pulse

Kazuya Shinjo,^{1,2} Shigetoshi Sota,³ and Takami Tohyama¹

¹*Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan*

²*Computational Quantum Matter Research Team,*

RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

³*Computational Materials Science Research Team,*

RIKEN Center for Computational Science (R-CCS), Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan

(Dated: August 5, 2022)

The elucidation of nonequilibrium states in strongly correlated systems holds the key to emergence of novel quantum phases. The nonequilibrium-induced insulator-to-metal transition is particularly interesting since it reflects the fundamental nature of competition between itinerancy and localization of the charge degrees of freedom. We investigate pulse-excited insulator-to-metal transition of the half-filled one-dimensional extended Hubbard model. Calculating the time-dependent optical conductivity with the time-dependent density-matrix renormalization group, we find that strong mono- and half-cycle pulses inducing quantum tunneling strongly suppress spectral weights contributing to the Drude weight σ_D , even if we introduce a large number of carriers Δn_d . This is in contrast to a metallic behavior of $\sigma_D \propto \Delta n_d$ induced by photon absorption and chemical doping. The strong suppression of σ_D in quantum tunneling is a result of the emergence of the Hilbert-space fragmentation, which makes pulse-excited states glassy.

Introduction. The elucidation of nonequilibrium states in strongly correlated systems is of great interest since it promises to open a door to the emergence of novel quantum phases. Nonequilibrium quantum many-body states have recently been investigated not only in solids with light and electric fields [1–10, 12, 25] but also in trapped ions [13, 14], cold atoms [15–17], and quantum circuits [18–23]. One of the most significant challenges in this field is how to preserve nonequilibrium states, such as the Floquet states [20, 21, 26], from thermalization [27–30], for which the realization of many-body localization (MBL) [31–33] may hold the key. Also, the nonequilibrium-induced insulator-to-metal transition is a fundamental issue associated with competition between itinerancy and localization of charge degrees of freedom. The photoinduced insulator-to-metal transitions [2, 3, 5–8] due to photon absorption have been suggested in the one-dimensional (1D) Mott insulator. Similarly, non-absorbable terahertz photons with strong intensity have been suggested to induce a metallic state [10, 25] via quantum tunneling [34–39].

Until now it has been commonly accepted that the breakdown of the Mott insulators via electric pulses leads to metallic states. However, we raise question about the validity of this understanding. To answer this question, we examine the possibility of the emergence of novel quantum phases such as glass phases with intermediate properties between itinerancy and MBL.

In this Letter, we investigate pulse-excited states of the half-filled 1D extended Hubbard model (1DEHM) using the time-dependent density-matrix renormalization group (tDMRG) [40–42]. We propose a Mott transition to glassy states induced by mono- and half-cycle terahertz pulses. If we excite the Mott insulating state via

photon absorption, we obtain metallic states with large spectral weights contributing to the Drude component σ_D . In contrast, we find that strong electric fields inducing the Zener breakdown [43] strongly suppress σ_D , even if we introduce a large number of carriers. We consider that the emergence of the Hilbert-space fragmentation [23, 24, 45–48, 50–53] due to high fields leads to glassy dynamics [54–59] as seen in fracton systems [60–67].

Model and method. To investigate nonequilibrium properties of the 1D Mott insulator, we use 1DEHM with a vector potential $A(t)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = -t_h \sum_{i,\sigma} B_{i,\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow} + V \sum_i n_i n_{i+1}, \quad (1)$$

where $B_{i,\sigma} = e^{iA(t)} c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}$, $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ is the creation operator of an electron with spin $\sigma (= \uparrow, \downarrow)$ at site i , and $n_i = \sum_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}$ with $n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$. We consider $(U, V) = (10, 3)$ taking the nearest-neighbor hopping t_h to be the unit of energy ($t_h = 1$), which describes the optical conductivity in a 1D Mott insulator ET-F₂TCNQ [68]. Spatially homogeneous electric field $E(t) = -\partial_t A(t)$ applied along the chain is incorporated via the Peierls substitution in the hopping terms [69]. Unless otherwise noted, we consider the half-filled 1DEHM with $L = 32$ sites. Note that we set the light velocity c , the elementary charge e , the Dirac constant $\hbar$, and the lattice constant to 1.

We assume that pulses have time dependence determined by $A(t) = A_{\text{pump}}(t) + A_{\text{probe}}(t)$ with $A_{\text{probe}}(t) = A_0^{\text{Pr}} e^{-(t-t_0^{\text{Pr}})^2 / [2(t_d^{\text{Pr}})^2]} \cos[\Omega^{\text{Pr}}(t - t_0^{\text{Pr}})]$ for probe pulses. Unless otherwise noted, we use $A_{\text{pump}}(t) = A_0 e^{-(t-t_0)^2 / (2t_d^2)} \cos[\Omega(t - t_0)]$ for pump pulses. We set




FIG. 1. Δn_d of the $L = 32$ half-filled 1DEHM for $(U, V) = (10, 3)$ excited by electric pulses. Red points are Δn_d as a function of E_0^2 for (a) $\Omega = 8$ with a black line for eye guide, (b) $\Omega = 6$, (c) $\Omega = 3$, and (d) $\Omega = 0$. (e) Red points are Δn_d as a function of E_0 for $\Omega = 0$. The black line shows a fitted curve proportional to $E_0 \exp(-\pi E_{th}/E_0)$.

$A_0^{pr} = 0.001$, $\Omega^{pr} = 10$, $t_d^{pr} = 0.02$, and $t_0^{pr} = t_0 + \tau$, where τ indicates the delay time between pump and probe pulses. We obtain time-dependent wave functions by the tDMRG implemented by the Legendre polynomial [4, 5] employing open boundary conditions and keep $\chi = 3000$ density-matrix eigenstates. We obtain both singular and regular parts of the optical conductivity in nonequilibrium $\sigma(\omega, \tau) = \frac{j_{probe}(\omega, \tau)}{i(\omega + i\gamma)LA_{probe}(\omega)}$ [1, 2, 6], where $A_{probe}(\omega)$ and $j_{probe}(\omega, \tau)$ are the Fourier transform of $A_{probe}(t)$ and current induced by a probe pulse, respectively (see Sec. S1 in the Supplemental Material [75]). γ indicates a broadening factor.

Doublon density. First of all, we demonstrate how pumping energy makes a difference in carrier production. Figure 1 shows how much electric pulses with $(t_d, t_0) = (2, 10)$ change doublon density $\Delta n_d = \frac{1}{L}[\langle I \rangle_t - \langle I \rangle_0]$ in 1DEHM, where $I = \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}$, $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_t$ is the average of an expectation value of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ from $t = 21$ to 22 just before a probe pulse is applied, and $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_0$ is an expectation value of $\mathcal{O}$ for a ground state. We focus on $\Delta n_d < 0.1$, which can be achieved with experiments. Δn_d oscillates even after pulse decay, but their amplitudes are smaller than the radius of red points in Fig. 1. Since $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau < 0)]$ has an excitonic level at $\omega = \omega_1$ and a continuum begins at $\omega = \omega_c$ [68, 84–87], where $(\omega_1, \omega_c) = (6, 6.5)$ for $(U, V) = (10, 3)$, a pump pulse with $\Omega = 8$ excite electrons in a continuum leading to $\Delta n_d \propto E_0^2$ [see Fig. 1(a)] as discussed in Ref. [39] with the amplitude of electric fields E_0 . Taking $\Omega = \omega_1$, we can efficiently excite doublons and holons even for small E_0 [see Fig. 1(b)]. For subgap excitations, i.e., $\Omega < \omega_1$, electrons are excited by a nonlinear process, which is classified into multiphoton absorption and quantum tunneling. The crossover between them is called the Keldysh crossover [88]. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show Δn_d generated by two-photon absorption and quantum tunneling, respectively. For $\Omega = 0$ mono-cycle pulses, we find that Δn_d follows a threshold behavior $\Delta n_d \propto E_0 \exp(-\pi E_{th}/E_0)$ [39] as indicated by the black line in Fig. 1(e). Using this relation, we can estimate the




FIG. 2. $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 0$ mono- [half]-cycle pulses for $t_d = 2$ [$t_d = 4$] with (a) $E_0 = 1.5$, (b) $E_0 = 1.8$, and (c) $E_0 = 2.1$ [(e) $E_0 = 1.7$, (f) $E_0 = 1.9$, and (g) $E_0 = 2.0$]. Black, red, and blue-dashed lines are for $\tau < 0$, $\tau = 12$, and 14, respectively. (d) [(h)] $|E(\omega)|$ of a mono- [half]-cycle pulse with $E_0 = 1.5$ [$E_0 = 1.7$]. The inset indicates $-E(t)$. (a)–(c) and (e)–(g) are obtained with the half-filled $L = 32$ 1DEHM for $(U, V) = (10, 3)$ taking $\gamma = 0.4$.

doublon-holon correlation length $\xi \simeq \omega_1/(2E_{th}) \sim 1.5$.

Glassy dynamics. We show in Fig. 2 the results of 1DEHM excited by a quantum tunneling with strong $\Omega = 0$ pulses whose energy is in terahertz band. We show $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by mono-cycle pulses with $(\Omega, t_d) = (0, 2)$ for various E_0 in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). $|E(\omega)| = |\int dt e^{i\omega t} E(t)|$ with $E_0 = 1.5$ shown in Fig. 2(d) indicates

that the photon energy is too small to excite the Mott gap. We obtain $\Delta n_d = 0.01, 0.07$, and 0.1 for Figs. 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c), respectively. The spectral weights above the Mott gap transfer to lower energies, but we find that the Drude weight σ_D , which we define as spectral weight below $\omega = 0.15$ (see Secs. S2 and S3 in the Supplemental Material [75]), is not proportional to Δn_d but is strongly suppressed even if we take large Δn_d as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). Note that the Drude weight appears at $\omega \neq 0$ due to a finite-size effect and its peak approaches $\omega = 0$ as L increases [4, 89, 90]. For $L = 32$, we can mask this finite-size effect by taking $\gamma = 0.4$. For half-cycle pulses, we obtain $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ as shown in Figs. 2(e)-2(g). $|E(\omega)|$ given by $E(t) = E_0 e^{-(t-t_0)^2/(2t_d^2)} \cos[\Omega(t-t_0)]$ for $(\Omega, t_d) = (0, 4)$ is shown in Fig. 2(h). We obtain $\Delta n_d = 0.02, 0.07$, and 0.08 for Figs. 2(e), 2(f), and 2(g), respectively. Even if we find finite σ_D as shown in Fig. 2(e) with small Δn_d , further increase in Δn_d does not enhance σ_D as shown in Figs. 2(f) and 2(g), but rather suppresses it.

The strong suppression of σ_D suggests that strong fields localize nonequilibrium states. When a thermal state with $\sigma_D \neq 0$ approaches an MBL state with $\sigma_D = 0$, σ_D is suppressed and the center of gravity of low-energy spectral weights shifts to higher energy [91–93], which is similar to the structure seen in Figs. 2(b), 2(c), 2(f), and 2(g) when E_0 is large.

The suppression of the Drude weight is clearly shown in Fig. 3(a) if we compare $\bar{\sigma}_D$ (see below) induced by $\Omega = 0$ pulses (see magenta and light blue points) with those by photon absorption with $\Omega = 3$ (see brown points) and $\Omega = 6$ (see gray points) pulses as well as electron doping (see black points). Here, we introduce an time-averaged Drude weight $\bar{\sigma}_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=12,14} \int_{\omega=0}^{2\eta} d\omega \text{Re}\sigma(\omega, \tau)$ in Fig. 3(a) with $2\eta = 0.15$. Note that carrier density by electron doping are represented as $\Delta n_d^e = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L} [\langle I \rangle_{\text{doped}} - \langle I \rangle_{\text{half}}]$, where $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{doped}}$ and $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{half}}$ are expectation values of $\mathcal{O}$ for electron-doped and half-filled 1DEHM, respectively. The factor $1/2$ is introduced to compare the carrier density of electron-doped systems with that of pulse-excited systems where the same number of holons and doublons are excited.

We find that σ_D of electron-doped 1DEHM (see Sec. S2 in the Supplemental Material [75]) has large values leading to $\sigma_D \propto \Delta n_d$. Upon electron doping, electrons are free to move and their kinetic energy decreases as indicated by black points in Fig. 3(b). The change of the kinetic energy for electron doped 1DEHM is defined as $\Delta T^e = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} [\langle B_{j,\sigma} \rangle_{\text{doped}} - \langle B_{j,\sigma} \rangle_{\text{half}}]$. Upon electron doping, spectral weights above the Mott gap transfer to those at $\omega = 0$ due to spin-charge separation [94]. Since the change of total spectral weights is determined by $-\frac{1}{2L} \Delta T^e$ according to the optical sum rule [95], the decrease of kinetic energy contributes to the enhance-




FIG. 3. (a) $\bar{\sigma}_D$ as the function of Δn_d and Δn_d^e . $\gamma = 0.4$ is taken. (b) $-\Delta T/L$ as the function of Δn_d and $-\Delta T^e/L$ as the function of Δn_d^e . All plots are obtained for the $L = 32$ 1DEHM.

ment of σ_D . Photon absorptions also lead to metallic states following $\bar{\sigma}_D \propto \Delta n_d$. $\bar{\sigma}_D$ of 1DEHM excited by $\Omega = 3$ and 6 pulses are obtained from $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$, which exhibits large spectral weights at $\omega = 0$ as shown in Fig. 4. $\Omega = 3$ pulses with $E_0 = 0.9$ [Fig. 4(a)], $E_0 = 1.5$ [Fig. 4(b)], and $E_0 = 1.8$ [Fig. 4(c)] lead to $\Delta n_d = 0.007, 0.03$, and 0.07 , respectively. $\Omega = 6$ pulses with $E_0 = 0.12$ [Fig. 4(d)], $E_0 = 0.24$ [Fig. 4(e)], and $E_0 = 0.36$ [Fig. 4(f)] lead to $\Delta n_d = 0.009, 0.03$, and 0.05 , respectively. Note that $\bar{\sigma}_D$ is affected by the emergence of spectral weights at $\omega \sim 0.5$ (see Sec. S3 in the Supplement Material [75]).

In contrast to the electron-doped and photon-absorbed systems, there is no metallization when excitations are induced by a photon nonabsorbable $\Omega = 0$ pulse causing quantum tunneling. The change of kinetic energy $\Delta T = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} [\langle B_{j,\sigma} \rangle_t - \langle B_{j,\sigma} \rangle_0]$ induced by $\Omega = 0$ pulses exhibits a significant difference from other cases: $-\Delta T < 0$ monotonically decreases with increasing Δn_d as shown by magenta and light blue points in Fig. 3(b). We consider that a large increase in ΔT is associated




FIG. 4. $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 3$ [$\Omega = 6$] pulses with (a) $E_0 = 0.9$, (b) $E_0 = 1.5$, and (c) $E_0 = 1.8$ [(d) $E_0 = 0.12$, (e) $E_0 = 0.24$, and (f) $E_0 = 0.36$]. Black, red, and blue dashed lines are for $\tau < 0$, $\tau = 12$, and 14, respectively. All plots are obtained by taking $\gamma = 0.4$ for the half-filled $L = 32$ 1DEHM with $(U, V) = (10, 3)$.

with a restricted mobility due to the presence of strong fields, which leads to the strong suppression of $\bar{\sigma}_D$.

The time evolution of an entanglement entropy $S_E = -\sum_i p_i \ln p_i$ with the eigenvalue p_i of a reduced density matrix obtained by contracting half of the whole system shows different behavior when 1DEHM is excited by quantum tunneling and by photon absorption (see Sec. S4 in the Supplemental Material [75]). For photon absorption, S_E shows rapid linear growth and saturates at the end of pulse irradiation. On the other hand, for quantum tunneling, S_E shows slow logarithmic growth and continues to grow slowly even after the end of pulse irradiation. The slow growth of S_E [14–19] is considered to be one of the manifestations of the localized nature of excited states by a high-field terahertz pulse.

Floquet effective Hamiltonians. We see how $\Omega = 0$ pulses localize nonequilibrium states in the 1D Mott insulator. For simplicity, we consider the dc limit of the Hamiltonian (S2) with $V = 0$ taking $A(t) = \Delta t$. Using the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [20, 22], we obtain an effective model for resonant driving $U = p\Delta \gg t_h$, taking non-zero integers p . Due to the collective nature of the Zener breakdown, tunneling occurs not only between nearest-neighbor sites but also across several sites associated with $\Delta \leq U$ [34–39]. The $\xi \sim 1.5$ indicates that the dominant contribution to the breakdown is quantum tunneling within a few sites, which can be described as the effect of resonant electric fields with $\Delta = U/p$ for $p \lesssim 3$. The leading-order effective Hamiltonians for $p = 1, 2$,

and 3 are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)} &= -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left(h_{j+1,j,\sigma}^\dagger + h_{j+1,j,\sigma} \right), \\ \mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)} &= \frac{t_h^2}{\Delta} \left[(T_1 + T_1^\dagger) - 2(T_2 + T_2^\dagger) + H_D^a - T_{XY} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{t_h^2}{3\Delta} (H_D^b - T_3^b - T_{XY}), \\ \mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)} &= \frac{t_h^2}{2\Delta} (H_D^a - T_{XY}) + \frac{t_h^2}{4\Delta} (H_D^b - T_3^b - T_{XY}),\end{aligned}$$

respectively (see Sec. S5 in the Supplemental Material [75]), where

$$\begin{aligned}h_{ji,\sigma}^\dagger &= n_{j,-\sigma} (1 - n_{i,-\sigma}) c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}, \\ T_1 &= \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j+2,-\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}) (1 - 2n_{j+1,-\sigma}) c_{j+2,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}, \\ T_2 &= \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j+2,\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}) c_{j+2,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,-\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}, \\ H_D^a &= \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j+1,-\sigma} [-n_{j,\sigma} + 2n_{j+1,\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma})], \\ H_D^b &= \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} [-n_{j+1,-\sigma} + 2n_{j,-\sigma} (1 - n_{j+1,-\sigma})], \\ T_3^b &= \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} (1 - n_{j+2,-\sigma}) \\ &\quad \times \left(c_{j,-\sigma} c_{j+1,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+2,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right), \\ T_{XY} &= \sum_{j,\sigma} [(1 - n_{j,-\sigma})(1 - n_{j,\sigma}) + n_{j+1,-\sigma} n_{j+1,\sigma}] \\ &\quad \times c_{j,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,-\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

The effective Hamiltonians suggest that the Floquet metastable states have conservations due to $[P + I, \mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)}] = [P + 2I, \mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)}] = [P, \mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)}] = [I, \mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)}] = 0$, where $P = \sum_k k n_k$ is the dipole moment. Since the resonance condition induces real excitations, the effect of a strong electric field remains in excited states even after a pulse disappears. Such conservation may break ergodicity and lead to exotic many-body dynamics. Indeed, it has numerically demonstrated that $\mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)}$ can induce ergodicity-breaking many-body eigenstates [23] like quantum many-body scarring [103–115]. Also, dynamics governed by $\mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)}$ is known to be non-ergodic [24]. Kinetic constraints imposed by such conservation lead to the emergent fragmentation of the Hilbert space, generating exponentially many disconnected subspaces [23, 24, 45–48, 50–53] even within a single symmetry sector. Dipole-moment-conserved system is a representative system with such restriction as seen in fractons [60–67], which localize charge excitations topologically. T_3^b included in $\mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)}$ conserving both P and I is an example of showing doublon-assisted dipole-

moment conserving processes, which does not produce Drude weight/superfluid density [116].

A strong $\Omega = 0$ pulse produce two effects in excited states: one is the injection of carriers promoting itinerancy, and the other is the restriction of motion promoting localization. As a result of their competing effects, the localization effect prevails in the $U \sim 10$ strong coupling region, and the excited states follow glassy dynamics [54–59] with weak-ergodicity breaking. We see the strong suppression of σ_D for $U = 7$ and 13 fixing $V/U = 0.3$ (see Sec. S6 in the Supplemental Material [75]). However, the suppression of σ_D for $U = 7$ is weaker than that for $U = 10$ and 13. This is because glassy states are unlikely to emerge in weak-coupling region, since the above discussion with the effective Hamiltonians is valid in strong-coupling regime. We note that the glassy state proposed in this Letter has a different origin from that induced by randomness near the Mott transition [117–122]. We expect that the glassy dynamics may be detected in ET-F₂TCNQ excited by a terahertz pulse with amplitude about 3.5 MV/cm.

Summary. We have investigated $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ of pulse-excited states of the half-filled 1DEHM using tDMRG. We have proposed that an insulator-to-glass transition is induced by strong mono- and half-cycle pulses, which leads to the suppression of σ_D . This is in contrast to the insulator-to-metal transition that occurs upon excitation by photon absorption accompanying $\sigma_D \propto \Delta n_d$. Restricted mobility due to strong fields induces glassy dynamics as seen in fracton systems. Not glassy but metallic states have been observed in the Mott insulator κ -(ET)₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Br excited by terahertz pulses in the experiment [25]. One possibility is that the enhancement of σ_D has been observed during electric field irradiation when non-equilibrium metastable states have not yet been reached (see Sec. S7 in the Supplemental Material [75]). Another possibility is that electron correlation is not so large that the subspaces in the fragmented Hilbert space are connected. Lastly, we note that qualitative differences in $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ between photon absorptions and quantum tunnelings have recently been observed in a Mott insulator Ca₂RuO₄ [123].

We acknowledge discussions with H. Okamoto, K. Iwano, T. Yamaguchi, A. Takahashi, and Y. Murakami. This work was supported by CREST (Grant No. JPMJCR1661), the Japan Science and Technology Agency, by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, KAKENHI (Grants No. 17K14148, No. 19H01829, No. 19H05825, No. 21H03455) from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT), Japan, and by JST PRESTO (Grant No. JPMJPR2013). Numerical calculation was carried out using computational resources of HOKUSAI at RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science, the supercomputer system at the information initiative center, Hokkaido University, the facilities of the Supercomputer Center at In-

stitute for Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo, and supercomputer Fugaku provided by the RIKEN Center for Computational Science through the HPCI System Research Project (Project ID: hp170325, hp220048).

-
- [1] G. Yu, C. H. Lee, and A. J. Heeger, N. Herron and E. M. McCarron, Transient photoinduced conductivity in single crystals of YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.3}: “Photodoping” to the metallic state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2581 (1991).
 - [2] Y. Taguchi, T. Matsumoto, and Y. Tokura, Dielectric breakdown of one-dimensional Mott insulators Sr₂CuO₃ and SrCuO₂, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 7015 (2000).
 - [3] S. Iwai, M. Ono, A. Maeda, H. Matsuzaki, H. Kishida, H. Okamoto, and Y. Tokura, Ultrafast Optical Switching to a Metallic State by Photoinduced Mott Transition in a Halogen-Bridged Nickel-Chain Compound, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 057401 (2003).
 - [4] A. Cavalleri, Th. Dekorsy, H. H. W. Chong, J. C. Kieffer, and R. W. Schoenlein, Evidence for a structurally-driven insulator-to-metal transition in VO₂: A view from the ultrafast timescale, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 161102(R) (2004).
 - [5] H. Okamoto, H. Matsuzaki, T. Wakabayashi, Y. Takahashi, and T. Hasegawa, Photoinduced Metallic State Mediated by Spin-Charge Separation in a One-Dimensional Organic Mott Insulator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 037401 (2007).
 - [6] K. A. Al-Hassanieh, F. A. Reboredo, A. E. Feiguin, I. González, and E. Dagotto, Excitons in the One-Dimensional Hubbard Model: A Real-Time Study, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 166403 (2008).
 - [7] A. Takahashi, H. Itoh, and M. Aihara, Photoinduced insulator-metal transition in one-dimensional Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 205105 (2008).
 - [8] S. Wall, D. Brida, S. R. Clark, H. P. Ehrke, D. Jaksch, A. Ardavan, S. Bonora, H. Uemura, Y. Takahashi, T. Hasegawa, H. Okamoto, G. Cerullo, and A. Cavalleri, Quantum interference between charge excitation paths in a solid-state Mott insulator, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 114 (2011).
 - [9] H. Okamoto, T. Miyagoe, K. Kobayashi, H. Uemura, H. Nishioka, H. Matsuzaki, A. Sawa, and Y. Tokura, Photoinduced transition from Mott insulator to metal in the undoped cuprates Nd₂CuO₄ and La₂CuO₄, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 125102 (2011).
 - [10] M. Liu, H. Y. Hwang, H. Tao, A. C. Strikwerda, K. Fan, G. R. Keiser, A. J. Sternbach, K. G. West, S. Kittiwatanakul, J. Lu, S. A. Wolf, F. G. Omenetto, X. Zhang, K. A. Nelson, and R. D. Averitt, Terahertz-field-induced insulator-to-metal transition in vanadium dioxide metamaterial, *Nature* **487**, 345 (2012).
 - [11] H. Yamakawa, T. Miyamoto, T. Morimoto, T. Terashige, H. Yada, N. Kida, M. Suda, H. M. Yamamoto, R. Kato, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda and H. Okamoto, Mott transition by an impulsive dielectric breakdown, *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 1100 (2017).
 - [12] S. Ishihara, Photoinduced Ultrafast Phenomena in Correlated Electron Magnets, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **88**, 072001 (2019).
 - [13] R. Blatt and C. F. Roos, Quantum simulations with trapped ions, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 277 (2012).
 - [14] C. Monroe, W. C. Campbell, L.-M. Duan, Z.-X. Gong,

- A. V. Gorshkov, P. W. Hess, R. Islam, K. Kim, N. M. Linke, G. Pagano, P. Richerme, C. Senko, and N. Y. Yao, Programmable quantum simulations of spin systems with trapped ions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 025001 (2021).
- [15] H. Bernien, S. Schwartz, A. Keesling, H. Levine, A. Omran, H. Pichler, S. Choi, A. S. Zibrov, M. Endres, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Probing many-body dynamics on a 51-atom quantum simulator, *Nature* **551**, 579 (2017).
- [16] J. Eisert, M. Friesdorf, and C. Gogolin, Quantum many-body systems out of equilibrium, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 124 (2015).
- [17] R. Senaratne, S. V. Rajagopal, T. Shimasaki, P. E. Dotti, K. M. Fujiwara, K. Singh, Z. A. Geiger, and D. M. Weld, Quantum simulation of ultrafast dynamics using trapped ultracold atoms, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2065 (2018).
- [18] E. A. Martinez, C. A. Muschik, P. Schindler, D. Nigg, A. Erhard, M. Heyl, P. Hauke, M. Dalmonte, T. Monz, P. Zoller, and R. Blatt, Real-time dynamics of lattice gauge theories with a few-qubit quantum computer, *Nature* **534**, 516 (2016).
- [19] H. Lamm and S. Lawrence, Simulation of Nonequilibrium Dynamics on a Quantum Computer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 170501 (2018).
- [20] A. Smith, M. S. Kim, F. Pollmann, and J. Knolle, Simulating quantum many-body dynamics on a current digital quantum computer, *npj Quantum Inf.* **5**, 106 (2019).
- [21] S.-H. Lin, R. Dilip, A. G. Green, A. Smith, and F. Pollmann, Real- and Imaginary-Time Evolution with Compressed Quantum Circuits, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 010342 (2021).
- [22] M. Benedetti, M. Fiorentini, and M. Lubasch, Hardware-efficient variational quantum algorithms for time evolution, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 033083 (2021).
- [23] X. Mi, M. Ippoliti, C. Quintana, *et al.*, Time-crystalline eigenstate order on a quantum processor, *Nature* **601**, 531 (2022).
- [24] M. Bukov, L. D'Alessio, and A. Polkovnikov, Universal High-Frequency Behavior of Periodically Driven Systems: from Dynamical Stabilization to Floquet Engineering, *Adv. Phys.* **64**, 139 (2015).
- [25] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas, High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a Floquet-space perspective, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 093039 (2015).
- [26] T. Oka and S. Kitamura, Floquet Engineering of Quantum Materials, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **10**, 387 (2019).
- [27] L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and M. Rigol, From Quantum Chaos and Eigenstate Thermalization to Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics, *Adv. Phys.* **65**, 239 (2016).
- [28] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [29] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994).
- [30] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems, *Nature* **452**, 854 (2008).
- [31] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Many-Body Localization and Thermalization in Quantum Statistical Mechanics, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 15 (2015).
- [32] E. Altman and R. Vosk, Universal Dynamics and Renormalization in Many-Body-Localized Systems, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 383 (2015).
- [33] D. A. Abanin, E. Altman, I. Bloch, and M. Serbyn, Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 021001 (2019).
- [34] T. Oka, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Breakdown of a Mott Insulator: A Nonadiabatic Tunneling Mechanism, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 066406 (2003).
- [35] T. Oka and H. Aoki, Ground-State Decay Rate for the Zener Breakdown in Band and Mott Insulators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 137601 (2005).
- [36] T. Oka and H. Aoki, in *Quantum and Semi-Classical Percolation and Breakdown in Disordered Solids*, edited by A. K. Sen, K. K. Bardhan, and B. K. Chakrabarti (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [37] T. Oka and H. Aoki, Dielectric breakdown in a Mott insulator: Many-body Schwinger-Landau-Zener mechanism studied with a generalized Bethe ansatz, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 033103 (2010).
- [38] M. Eckstein, T. Oka, and P. Werner, Dielectric Breakdown of Mott Insulators in Dynamical Mean-Field Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 146404 (2010).
- [39] T. Oka, Nonlinear doublon production in a Mott insulator: Landau-Dykhne method applied to an integrable model, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 075148 (2012).
- [40] S. R. White, Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863 (1992).
- [41] S. R. White and A. E. Feiguin, Real-Time Evolution Using the Density Matrix Renormalization Group, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 076401 (2004).
- [42] A. J. Daley, C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck, and G. Vidal, Time-dependent density-matrix renormalization-group using adaptive effective Hilbert spaces, *J. Stat. Mech.* P04005 (2004).
- [43] C. Zener, A theory of the electrical breakdown of solid dielectrics, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A145**, 523 (1934).
- [44] S. Scherg, T. Kohlert, P. Sala, F. Pollmann, B. H. Madhusudhana, I. Bloch, and M. Aidelsburger, Observing non-ergodicity due to kinetic constraints in tilted Fermi-Hubbard chains, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4490 (2021).
- [45] P. Sala, T. Rakovszky, R. Verresen, M. Knap, and F. Pollmann, Ergodicity Breaking Arising from Hilbert Space Fragmentation in Dipole-Conserving Hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 011047 (2020).
- [46] V. Khemani, M. Hermele, and R. Nandkishore, Localization from Hilbert space shattering: From theory to physical realizations, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 174204 (2020).
- [47] T. Rakovszky, P. Sala, R. Verresen, M. Knap, and F. Pollmann, Statistical localization: From strong fragmentation to strong edge modes, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 125126 (2020).
- [48] S. Moudgalya, A. Prem, R. Nandkishore, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, Thermalization and its absence within Krylov subspaces of a constrained Hamiltonian, in Memorial Volume for Shoucheng Zhang, pp. 147-209 (World Scientific, Singapore, 2021).
- [49] J.-Y. Desautels, A. Hudomal, C. J. Turner, and Z. Papić, Proposal for Realizing Quantum Scars in the Tilted 1D Fermi-Hubbard Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 210601 (2021).
- [50] L. Herviou, J. H. Bardarson, and N. Regnault, Many-body localization in a fragmented Hilbert space, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 134207 (2021).
- [51] T. Kohlert, S. Scherg, P. Sala, F. Pollmann, B. H. Madl-

- husudhana, I. Bloch, and M. Aidelsburger, Experimental realization of fragmented models in tilted Fermi-Hubbard chains, arXiv: 2106.15586.
- [52] Z. Papić, Weak ergodicity breaking through the lens of quantum entanglement, arXiv:2108.03460.
 - [53] S. Moudgalya, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, Quantum Many-Body Scars and Hilbert Space Fragmentation: A Review of Exact Results, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **85** 086501 (2022).
 - [54] A. Amir, Y. Oreg, and Y. Imry, Electron Glass Dynamics, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2**, 235 (2011).
 - [55] S. Gopalakrishnan and R. Nandkishore, Mean-field theory of nearly many-body localized metals, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 224203 (2014).
 - [56] M. van Horssen, E. Levi, and J. P. Garrahan, Dynamics of many-body localization in a translation-invariant quantum glass model, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 100305(R) (2015).
 - [57] M. Pretko, Finite-temperature screening of $U(1)$ fractons, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 115102 (2017).
 - [58] A. Prem, J. Haah, and R. Nandkishore, Glassy quantum dynamics in translation invariant fracton models, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 155133 (2017).
 - [59] Z. Lan, M. van Horssen, S. Powell, and J. P. Garrahan, Quantum Slow Relaxation and Metastability due to Dynamical Constraints, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 040603 (2018).
 - [60] M. Pretko, Subdimensional particle structure of higher rank $U(1)$ spin liquids, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 115139 (2017).
 - [61] M. Pretko, Higher-spin Witten effect and two-dimensional fracton phases, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 125151 (2017).
 - [62] M. Pretko, The fracton gauge principle, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 115134 (2018).
 - [63] D. J. Williamson, Z. Bi, and M. Cheng, Fractonic matter in symmetry-enriched $U(1)$ gauge theory, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125150 (2019).
 - [64] J. Sous and M. Pretko, Fractons from frustration in hole-doped antiferromagnets, *npj Quantum Materials* **5**, 81 (2020).
 - [65] J. Sous and M. Pretko, Fractons from polarons, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 214437 (2020).
 - [66] M. Pretko, X. Chen, and Y. You, Fracton phases of matter, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35**, 2030003 (2020).
 - [67] R. M. Nandkishore, and M. Hermele, Fractons, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **10**, 295 (2019).
 - [68] T. Yamaguchi, K. Iwano, T. Miyamoto, N. Takamura, N. Kida, Y. Takahashi, T. Hasegawa, and H. Okamoto, Excitonic optical spectra and energy structures in a one-dimensional Mott insulator demonstrated by applying a many-body Wannier functions method to a charge model, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 045124 (2021).
 - [69] R. Peierls, Zur Theorie des Diamagnetismus von Leitungselektronen, *Z. Phys.* **80**, 763 (1933).
 - [70] K. Shinjo, S. Sota, and T. Tohyama, Effect of phase string on single-hole dynamics in the two-leg Hubbard ladder, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 035141 (2021).
 - [71] K. Shinjo, Y. Tamaki, S. Sota, T. Tohyama, Density-matrix renormalization group study of optical conductivity of the Mott insulator for two-dimensional clusters, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 205123 (2021).
 - [72] C. Shao, T. Tohyama, H.-G. Luo, and H. Lu, Numerical method to compute optical conductivity based on pump-probe simulations, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 195144 (2016).
 - [73] K. Shinjo and T. Tohyama, Ultrafast transient interference in pump-probe spectroscopy of band and Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 165103 (2018).
 - [74] J. Rincón and A. E. Feiguin, Nonequilibrium optical response of a one-dimensional Mott insulator, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 085122 (2021).
 - [75] See Supplemental Material for time-dependent optical conductivity in the nonequilibrium state, optical conductivities in electron-doped systems, metallic states generated by photon absorption, the time evolution of entanglement entropy, effective Hamiltonians with strong couplings and fields, the interaction dependence of optical conductivities excited by a $\Omega = 0$ pulse, and the examination of pump-pulse widths in optical spectra, which includes Refs. [3, 7–13].
 - [76] Y. Mizuno, K. Tsutsui, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, Nonlinear optical response and spin-charge separation in one-dimensional Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R4769 (2000).
 - [77] T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa, Nonlinear optical response in Mott insulators, *J. Luminescence* **94-95**, 659 (2001).
 - [78] M. Ono, K. Miura, A. Maeda, H. Matsuzaki, H. Kishida, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, M. Yamashita, and H. Okamoto, Linear and nonlinear optical properties of one-dimensional Mott insulators consisting of Ni-halogen chain and CuO-chain compounds, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 085101 (2004).
 - [79] H. Kishida, H. Matsuzaki, H. Okamoto, T. Manabe, M. Yamashita, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, Gigantic optical nonlinearity in one-dimensional Mott-Hubbard insulators, *Nature* **405**, 929 (2000).
 - [80] H. Kishida, M. Ono, K. Miura, H. Okamoto, M. Izumi, T. Manako, M. Kawasaki, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, T. Tohyama, K. Tsutsui, and S. Maekawa, Large Third-Order Optical Nonlinearity of Cu-O Chains Investigated by Third-Harmonic Generation Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 177401 (2001).
 - [81] D. Golež, M. Eckstein, and P. Werner, Dynamics of screening in photodoped Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 195123 (2015).
 - [82] S. Ohmura, A. Takahashi, K. Iwano, T. Yamaguchi, K. Shinjo, T. Tohyama, S. Sota, and H. Okamoto, Effective model of one-dimensional extended Hubbard systems: Application to linear optical spectrum calculations in large systems based on many-body Wannier functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 235134 (2019).
 - [83] D. R. Baykusheva, H. Jang, A. A. Husain, S. Lee, S. F. R. TenHuisen, P. Zhou, S. Park, H. Kim, J.-K. Kim, H.-D. Kim, M. Kim, S.-Y. Park, P. Abbamonte, B. J. Kim, G. D. Gu, Y. Wang, and M. Mitrano, Ultrafast Renormalization of the On-Site Coulomb Repulsion in a Cuprate Superconductor, *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 011013 (2022).
 - [84] W. Stephan and K. Penc, Dynamical density-density correlations in one-dimensional Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R17269 (1996).
 - [85] F. Gebhard, K. Bott, M. Scheidler, P. Thomas, and S.W. Koch, Optical absorption of non-interacting tight-binding electrons in a Peierls-distorted chain at half band-filling, *Philos. Mag. B* **75**, 47 (1997).
 - [86] F. H. L. Essler, F. Gebhard, and E. Jeckelmann, Excitons in one-dimensional Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 125119 (2001).
 - [87] E. Jeckelmann, Optical excitations in a one-dimensional Mott insulator, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 075106 (2003).

- [88] L. Keldysh, Ionization in the field of a strong electromagnetic wave, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **20**, 1307 (1965).
- [89] H. Hashimoto and S. Ishihara, Photoinduced correlated electron dynamics in a two-leg ladder Hubbard system, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 165133 (2016).
- [90] C. Shao, T. Tohyama, H.-G. Luo, and H. Lu, Photoinduced charge carrier dynamics in Hubbard two-leg ladders and chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **99** 035121 (2019).
- [91] O. S. Barišić and P. Prelovšek, Conductivity in a disordered one-dimensional system of interacting fermions, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 161106(R) (2010).
- [92] S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Müller, V. Khemani, M. Knap, E. Demler, and D. A. Huse, Low-frequency conductivity in many-body localized systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 104202 (2015).
- [93] R. Steinigeweg, J. Herbrych, F. Pollmann, and W. Brenig, Typicality approach to the optical conductivity in thermal and many-body localized phases, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 180401(R) (2016).
- [94] M. Ogata and H. Shiba, Bethe-ansatz wave function, momentum distribution, and spin correlation in the one-dimensional strongly correlated Hubbard model, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 2326 (1990).
- [95] P. F. Maldague, Optical spectrum of a Hubbard chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 2437 (1977).
- [96] M. Žnidarič, T. Prosen, and P. Prelovšek, Many-body localization in the Heisenberg XXZ magnet in a random field, *Phys. Rev. B* **77** 064426 (2008).
- [97] J. H. Bardarson, F. Pollmann, and J. E. Moore, Unbounded Growth of Entanglement in Models of Many-Body Localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** 017202 (2012).
- [98] M. Serbyn, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Universal Slow Growth of Entanglement in Interacting Strongly Disordered Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 260601 (2013).
- [99] R. Vosk and E. Altman, Many-Body Localization in One Dimension as a Dynamical Renormalization Group Fixed Point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 067204 (2013).
- [100] A. Nanduri, H. Kim, and D. A. Huse, Entanglement spreading in a many-body localized system, *Phys. Rev. B* **90** 064201 (2014).
- [101] R. Singh, J. H. Bardarson, and F. Pollmann, Signatures of the many-body localization transition in the dynamics of entanglement and bipartite fluctuations, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 023046 (2016).
- [102] M. Bukov, M. Kolodrubetz, and A. Polkovnikov, Schrieffer-Wolff Transformation for Periodically Driven Systems: Strongly Correlated Systems with Artificial Gauge Fields, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 125301 (2016).
- [103] N. Shiraishi and T. Mori, Systematic Construction of Counterexamples to the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 030601 (2017).
- [104] S. Moudgalya, S. Rachel, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, Exact excited states of nonintegrable models, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 235155 (2018).
- [105] S. Moudgalya, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, Entanglement of exact excited states of Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki models: Exact results, many-body scars, and violation of the strong eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 235156 (2018).
- [106] T. Iadecola and M. Znidarič, Exact Localized and Ballistic Eigenstates in Disordered Chaotic Spin Ladders and the Fermi-Hubbard Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 036403 (2019).
- [107] T. Iadecola, M. Schecter, and S. Xu, Quantum many-body scars from magnon condensation, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 184312 (2019).
- [108] S. Ok, K. Choo, C. Mudry, C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, and T. Neupert, Topological many-body scar states in dimensions one, two, and three, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **1**, 033144 (2019).
- [109] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Weak ergodicity breaking from quantum many-body scars, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 745 (2018).
- [110] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Quantum scarred eigenstates in a Rydberg atom chain: Entanglement, breakdown of thermalization, and stability to perturbations, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 155134 (2018).
- [111] S. Choi, C. J. Turner, H. Pichler, W. W. Ho, A. A. Michailidis, Z. Papić, M. Serbyn, M. D. Lukin, and D. A. Abanin, Emergent $SU(2)$ Dynamics and Perfect Quantum Many-Body Scars, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 220603 (2019).
- [112] C.-J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, Exact Quantum Many-Body Scar States in the Rydberg-Blockaded Atom Chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 173401 (2019).
- [113] W. W. Ho, S. Choi, H. Pichler, and M. D. Lukin, Periodic Orbits, Entanglement, and Quantum Many-Body Scars in Constrained Models: Matrix Product State Approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 040603 (2019).
- [114] J. Feldmeier, F. Pollmann, and M. Knap, Emergent Glassy Dynamics in a Quantum Dimer Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 040601 (2019).
- [115] S. Moudgalya, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, η -pairing in Hubbard models: From spectrum generating algebras to quantum many-body scars, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 085140 (2020).
- [116] A. Seidel, H. Fu, D.-H. Lee, J. M. Leinaas, and J. Moore, Incompressible Quantum Liquids and New Conservation Laws, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 266405 (2005).
- [117] V. Dobrosavljević, D. Tanasković, and A. A. Pastor, Glassy Behavior of Electrons Near Metal-Insulator Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 016402 (2003).
- [118] E. Dagotto, Complexity in Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems, *Science* **309**, 257 (2005).
- [119] E. Miranda and V. Dobrosavljević, Disorder-driven non-Fermi liquid behaviour of correlated electrons, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **68**, 2337 (2005).
- [120] E. C. Andrade, E. Miranda, and V. Dobrosavljević, Electronic Griffiths Phase of the $d = 2$ Mott Transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 206403 (2009).
- [121] T. Itou, E. Watanabe, S. Maegawa, A. Tajima, N. Tajima, K. Kubo, R. Kato, K. Kanoda, Slow dynamics of electrons at a metal-Mott insulator boundary in an organic system with disorder, *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1601594 (2017).
- [122] R. Yamamoto, T. Furukawa, K. Miyagawa, T. Sasaki, K. Kanoda, and T. Itou, Electronic Griffiths Phase in Disordered Mott-Transition Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 046404 (2020).
- [123] X. Li, H. Ning, O. Mehio, H. Zhao, M.-C. Lee, K. Kim, F. Nakamura, Y. Maeno, G. Cao, and D. Hsieh, Keldysh Space Control of Charge Dynamics in a Strongly Driven Mott Insulator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 187402 (2022).

Supplemental Material for “Glassy dynamics of the one-dimensional Mott insulator excited by a strong terahertz pulse”

Kazuya Shinjo^{1,2}, Shigetoshi Sota³, and Takami Tohyama¹

¹Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan

²Computational Quantum Matter Research Team, RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

³Computational Materials Science Research Team, RIKEN Center for Computational Science (R-CCS), Kobe, Hyogo 650-0047, Japan

TIME-DEPENDENT OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITY IN THE NONEQUILIBRIUM STATE

Using a method discussed in Refs. [S1, S2], we obtain optical conductivities in the nonequilibrium system. In order to identify the response of a system with respect to later probe pulses, subtraction is necessary; that is, two successive steps are involved in order to calculate the optical conductivity in nonequilibrium. First, a time-evolution process that describes the nonequilibrium development of a system in the absence of a probe pulse is evaluated. This leads to $j_{\text{pump}}(t)$. Second, in the presence of a probe pulse, we obtain $j_{\text{total}}(t, \tau)$. The subtraction of $j_{\text{pump}}(t)$ from $j_{\text{total}}(t, \tau)$ produces the required $j_{\text{probe}}(t, \tau)$, i.e., the variation of the current expectations due to the presence of a probe pulse. Then, the optical conductivity in nonequilibrium is given by

$$\sigma(\omega, \tau) = \frac{j_{\text{probe}}(\omega, \tau)}{i(\omega + i\eta)LA_{\text{probe}}(\omega)}, \quad (\text{S1})$$

where $A_{\text{probe}}(\omega)$ and $j_{\text{probe}}(\omega, \tau)$ are the Fourier transform of the vector potential of a probe pulse $A_{\text{probe}}(t)$ and $j_{\text{probe}}(t, \tau)$, respectively. L is the number of sites. This approach has been combined with DMRG to calculate optical conductivities [S3–S6].

OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITIES IN ELECTRON-DOPED SYSTEMS

We show in Fig. S1(a) the optical conductivities $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega)]$ of the one-dimensional extended Hubbard model (1DEHM)

$$\mathcal{H} = -t_h \sum_{i,\sigma} \left(c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow} + V \sum_i n_i n_{i+1} \quad (\text{S2})$$

with $(U, V) = (10, 3)$ upon electron doping, where $c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ is the creation operator of an electron with spin $\sigma (= \uparrow, \downarrow)$ at site i and $n_i = \sum_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}$ with $n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$. The black, red, blue, and green lines are for half-filling, one-, two-, and three-electron doping, respectively. We use $L = 32$ sites under open boundary conditions and a broadening factor $\gamma = 0.4$. Figure S1(b) shows the magnified view of the range $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$. We assume that spectral weights integrated in the yellow background $\sigma_D = \int_{\omega=0}^{2\eta} d\omega \text{Re}\sigma(\omega)$ with $2\eta = 0.15$ contributes to the Drude weight.

METALLIC STATES GENERATED BY PHOTON ABSORPTION

We demonstrate that a metallic state with large σ_D is induced in 1DEHM via photon absorption. In addition, the contribution of stimulated emission (SE) and absorption (SA) emerges since the transition dipole moment $\langle 1|x|2 \rangle$ and a third-order nonlinear optical susceptibility are anomalously large in the 1D Mott insulators [S7–S11], where $|1\rangle$ ($|2\rangle$) is one-photon-allowed (-forbidden) excitonic state with odd- (even-)parity symmetry. For $(U, V) = (10, 3)$, the energy gap between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ is $\omega_1 = 6$ and that between $|0\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ is $\omega_2 = 6.3$, where $|0\rangle$ is the ground state.

We show $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 3$ pulses with $E_0 = 0.9$ [Fig. S2(a)], 1.5 [Fig. S2(b)], and 1.8 [Fig. S2(c)], which leads to $\Delta n_d = 0.007, 0.03$, and 0.07 , respectively. Here, E_0 is the amplitude of electric field and $\Delta n_d = \frac{1}{L} [\langle I \rangle_t - \langle I \rangle_0]$ is the change in doublon density of 1DEHM before and after a pulse is applied, where $I = \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}$, $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_t$ is the average of an expectation value of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ from $t = 21$ to 22 just before a probe pulse is applied, and $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_0$ is an expectation value of $\mathcal{O}$ for a ground state. $\Omega = 3$ pulses excite the ground state to $|2\rangle$ by a two-photon process. σ_D has finite values even for open boundary conditions, since we introduce $\gamma = 0.2$ in Fig. S2. Figures S2(a)-(c) and S2(e)-(g) are the same as Figs. 4(a)-(c) and 4(d)-(f) in the main text, but γ is changed. To distinguish the fine structure of spectra, γ used in this section is smaller than that in other sections and the main text. In addition to metallic properties following $\sigma_D \propto \Delta n_d$, we find negative spectral weights at $\omega \simeq 0.5$ due to SE from $|2\rangle$ to $|1\rangle$. Since




FIG. S1. (a) $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega)]$ of the $L = 32$ 1DEHM with $(U, V) = (10, 3)$, where we take $\gamma = 0.4$. (b) The magnified view of the range $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$.

σ_D has large values, the energy $\omega \simeq 0.5$ that takes a minimum of $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ is different from $\delta\omega = \omega_2 - \omega_1 = 0.3$. We show in Fig. S2(d) spectral weights contributing to σ_D and SE estimated as $\bar{\sigma}_D = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=12,14} \int_{\omega=0}^{2\eta} d\omega \text{Re}\sigma(\omega, \tau)$ with blue points and $\bar{\sigma}_{se} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=12,14} \int_{\omega=0.5-\eta}^{0.5+\eta} d\omega \text{Re}\sigma(\omega, \tau)$ with red points, respectively, where the energy width is defined as $2\eta = 0.15$. We find that $\bar{\sigma}_D > 0$ ($\bar{\sigma}_{se} < 0$) increases (decreases) with increasing Δn_d . We note here that the redshift of the Mott gap for $\Delta n_d = 0.07$ as shown in Fig. S2(c) is due to the dynamical Coulomb screening [S12, S13].

We show $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 6$ pulses with $E_0 = 0.12$ [Fig. S2(e)], 0.24 [Fig. S2(f)], and 0.36 [Fig. S2(g)], which leads to $\Delta n_d = 0.009$, 0.03, and 0.05, respectively. These pulses excite the ground state to $|1\rangle$ by a one-photon process. As with the case of $\Omega = 3$ pulses, metallic states are induced accompanying $\sigma_D \propto \Delta n_d$. However, we do not find negative spectral weights but positive ones at $\omega \simeq \delta\omega$ due to SA from $|1\rangle$ to $|2\rangle$ [S1, S2, S6]. We show in Fig. S2(h) spectral weights contributing to σ_D and SA estimated as $\bar{\sigma}_D$ with blue points and $\bar{\sigma}_{sa} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=12,14} \int_{\omega=0.3-\eta}^{0.3+\eta} d\omega \text{Re}\sigma(\omega, \tau)$ with red points, respectively. We find that both $\bar{\sigma}_D$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{sa}$ increase with increasing Δn_d .




FIG. S2. $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 3$ ($\Omega = 6$) pulses with (a) $E_0 = 0.9$, (b) $E_0 = 1.5$, and (c) $E_0 = 1.8$ [(e) $E_0 = 0.12$, (f) $E_0 = 0.24$, and (g) $E_0 = 0.36$]. Black, red, and blue dashed lines are for $\tau < 0$, $\tau = 12$, and 14 , respectively. The insets show magnified views of the range $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$. (d) [(h)] $\bar{\sigma}_D$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{se}$ [$\bar{\sigma}_{sa}$] obtained from spectral weights in the yellow and green background in (a)-(c) [(e)-(g)]. Two endpoints of the error bars in (d) and (h) represent the value of σ_D at $\tau = 12$ and 14 . All plots are obtained by taking $\gamma = 0.2$ for the half-filled $L = 32$ 1DEHM with $(U, V) = (10, 3)$.

THE TIME EVOLUTION OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

The time evolution of an entanglement entropy $S_E = -\sum_i p_i \ln p_i$ shows different behavior when 1DEHM is excited by quantum tunneling and by photon absorption. Here, p_i is obtained by the Schmidt decomposition of a wavefunction $|\psi\rangle$ as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i p_i |\psi_A^i\rangle |\psi_B^i\rangle, \quad (\text{S3})$$

where a system is composed of two subsystems A and B . We obtain S_E by making A half of the whole system. In Fig. S3(a), we show the time evolution of S_E in $L = 32$ half-filled 1DEHM excited by a $\Omega = 0$ mono-cycle pulse, which




FIG. S3. The time evolution of S_E in the half-filled $L = 32$ 1DEHM excited by (a) a $\Omega = 0$ mono-cycle pulse and (b) a $\Omega = 3$ pulse, which induces quantum tunneling and photon absorption, respectively.

induces quantum tunneling. This pulse is the same as the one used in Figs. 1(d), 1(e), and 2(a)-2(c) in the main text. We find that S_E shows slow logarithmic growth and continues to grow slowly even after the end of pulse irradiation, i.e., $t > 20$. For comparison, we show in Fig. S3(b) the time evolution of S_E when 1DEHM is excited with a $\Omega = 3$ pulse, whose photons are absorbable. This pulse is the same as the one used in Figs. 1(c) and 4(a)-4(c) in the main text. We see that S_E shows rapid linear growth and then saturates at the end of pulse irradiation, i.e., $t = 20$. Since the initial state is not a product state with $S_E = 0$, and the increase in S_E depends on the amplitudes of electric fields E_0 , the situation is complicated, and underlying physics is nontrivial. However, we can see a tendency for S_E to grow more slowly for quantum tunneling than for photon absorption. The slow growth of S_E [S14–S19] is considered to be one of the manifestations of the localized nature of excited states by a high-field terahertz pulse. Since entanglement spectrum contains more information than S_E , its analysis is interesting and remains as a future work.

EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIANS WITH STRONG COUPLINGS AND FIELDS

For a time-periodic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(t + T_0) = \mathcal{H}(t)$, we obtain the effective Hamiltonian in the high-frequency limit $\Omega_0 = 2\pi/T_0 \gg 1$ as $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} + O(\Omega_0^{-2})$ [S20–S22], where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} dt \mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0, \quad (\text{S4})$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_{-m}]}{m\Omega_0}. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Here, we Fourier-decompose the Hamiltonian as $\mathcal{H}(t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_l e^{il\Omega_0 t}$.

We consider the one-dimensional Hubbard model with dc electric field Δ

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta} = \sum_{j,\sigma} \left[-t_h \left(c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \Delta j n_{j,\sigma} \right] + U \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}$ is represented in the rotating frame as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{rot}}(t) = & -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left[e^{i\Delta t} g_{jj+1,\sigma} + e^{-i\Delta t} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \right. \\ & \left. + e^{i(\Delta+U)t} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} + e^{-i(\Delta+U)t} h_{jj+1,\sigma} + e^{-i(\Delta-U)t} h_{j+1j,\sigma}^{\dagger} + e^{i(\Delta-U)t} h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S7})$$

with respect to the rotating operator

$$V(t) = e^{-it[\Delta \sum_{j,\sigma} j n_{j,\sigma} + U \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}]}. \quad (\text{S8})$$

Here, we define

$$g_{ij,\sigma} = g_{ij,\sigma}^\dagger = (1 - n_{i,-\sigma})(1 - n_{j,-\sigma})c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + n_{i,-\sigma}n_{j,-\sigma}c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S9})$$

$$h_{ij,\sigma}^\dagger = n_{i,-\sigma}(1 - n_{j,-\sigma})c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}. \quad (\text{S10})$$

For $U = p\Delta$ with non-zero intergers p , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{rot}}(t) = & -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left[e^{i\Delta t} g_{jj+1,\sigma} + e^{-i\Delta t} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger \right. \\ & \left. + e^{i(p+1)\Delta t} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger + e^{-i(p+1)\Delta t} h_{jj+1,\sigma} + e^{i(p-1)\Delta t} h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger + e^{-i(p-1)\Delta t} h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S11})$$

The case where $p = 1$

For $p = 1$, the Fourier-decomposed Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{rot}}(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\Delta t} H_{t,m}^{p=1}, \quad (\text{S12})$$

with

$$H_{t,0}^{p=1} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left[h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger + h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right], \quad (\text{S13})$$

$$H_{t,1}^{p=1} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S14})$$

$$H_{t,-1}^{p=1} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S15})$$

$$H_{t,2}^{p=1} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S16})$$

$$H_{t,-2}^{p=1} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S17})$$

and

$$H_{t,m}^{p=1} = 0 \quad (|m| > 2). \quad (\text{S18})$$

With high-frequency expansion, we obtain the leading-order effective Hamiltonian as

$$\mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = H_{t,0}^{p=1}. \quad (\text{S19})$$

$\mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)}$ has a conservation due to

$$\left[\Delta \sum_k k n_k + U \sum_k n_{k,\uparrow} n_{k,\downarrow}, \mathcal{H}_{p=1}^{(0)} \right] = 0 \quad (\text{S20})$$

and has been studied in Ref. [S23], which proposes the realization of quantum many-body scars.

The case where $p = 2$

For $p = 2$, the Fourier-decomposed Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{rot}}(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\Delta t} H_{t,m}^{p=2}, \quad (\text{S21})$$

where

$$H_{t,0}^{p=2}=0, \quad (\text{S22})$$

$$H_{t,1}^{p=2} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left(g_{jj+1,\sigma} + h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger \right), \quad (\text{S23})$$

$$H_{t,-1}^{p=2} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} \left(g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger + h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right), \quad (\text{S24})$$

$$H_{t,2}^{p=2}=0, \quad (\text{S25})$$

$$H_{t,-2}^{p=2}=0, \quad (\text{S26})$$

$$H_{t,3}^{p=2} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S27})$$

$$H_{t,-3}^{p=2} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S28})$$

and

$$H_{t,m}^{p=2} = 0 \quad (|m| > 4). \quad (\text{S29})$$

With high-frequency expansion, we obtain the leading-order effective Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} = \frac{[H_{t,1}^{p=2}, H_{t,-1}^{p=2}]}{\Delta} + \frac{[H_{t,3}^{p=2}, H_{t,-3}^{p=2}]}{3\Delta} \\ &= \frac{t_h^2}{\Delta} \left[(T_1 + T_1^\dagger) - 2(T_2 + T_2^\dagger) + H_D^a - T_3^a - T_{XY} \right] + \frac{t_h^2}{3\Delta} (H_D^b - T_3^b - T_{XY}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S30})$$

since

$$\mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = 0. \quad (\text{S31})$$

Here, we use the commutation relations as follows.

$$\left[-t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}, -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger \right] = 0, \quad (\text{S32})$$

$$\left[-t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger, -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger \right] = t_h^2 (T_1 - 2T_2), \quad (\text{S33})$$

$$\left[-t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}, -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right] = t_h^2 (T_1^\dagger - 2T_2^\dagger), \quad (\text{S34})$$

$$\left[-t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger, -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma} \right] = t_h^2 (H_D^a - T_3^a - T_{XY}), \quad (\text{S35})$$

$$\left[-t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma} \right] = t_h^2 (H_D^b - T_3^b - T_{XY}), \quad (\text{S36})$$

where

$$T_1 = \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j+2,-\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}) (1 - 2n_{j+1,-\sigma}) c_{j+2,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S37})$$

$$T_2 = \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j+2,\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}) c_{j+2,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,-\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S38})$$

$$H_D^a = \sum_{j,\sigma} (-n_{j,\sigma} n_{j+1,-\sigma} + 2n_{j,-\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} - 2n_{j,-\sigma} n_{j+1,-\sigma} n_{j+1,\sigma}), \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$H_D^b = \sum_{j,\sigma} (-n_{j,\sigma} n_{j+1,-\sigma} + 2n_{j,-\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} - 2n_{j,-\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} n_{j+1,-\sigma}), \quad (\text{S40})$$

$$T_3^a = \sum_{j,\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}) n_{j+2,\sigma} (c_{j,-\sigma} c_{j+1,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+2,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) = 0, \quad (\text{S41})$$

$$T_3^b = \sum_{j,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma} (1 - n_{j+2,-\sigma}) (c_{j,-\sigma} c_{j+1,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+2,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}), \quad (\text{S42})$$

$$T_{XY} = \sum_{j,\sigma} [(1 - n_{j,-\sigma})(1 - n_{j,\sigma}) + n_{j+1,-\sigma} n_{j+1,\sigma}] c_{j,-\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,-\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}. \quad (\text{S43})$$

For $\mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)}$, we find

$$\left[\sum_k k n_k + 2 \sum_k n_{k,\uparrow} n_{k,\downarrow}, \mathcal{H}_{p=2}^{(1)} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{S44})$$

For initial states with charge-density waves, non-ergodic dynamics has been proposed in the case of $p = 2$ [S24].

The case where $p = 3$

For $p = 3$, the Fourier-decomposed Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{rot}}(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\Delta t} H_{t,m}^{p=3}, \quad (\text{S45})$$

where

$$H_{t,0}^{p=3} = 0, \quad (\text{S46})$$

$$H_{t,1}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S47})$$

$$H_{t,-1}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} g_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S48})$$

$$H_{t,2}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S49})$$

$$H_{t,-2}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{j+1j,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$H_{t,3}^{p=3} = 0, \quad (\text{S51})$$

$$H_{t,-3}^{p=3} = 0, \quad (\text{S52})$$

$$H_{t,4}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (\text{S53})$$

$$H_{t,-4}^{p=3} = -t_h \sum_{j,\sigma} h_{jj+1,\sigma}, \quad (\text{S54})$$

and

$$H_{t,m}^{p=3} = 0 \quad (|m| > 5). \quad (\text{S55})$$

With high-frequency expansion, we obtain the leading-order effective Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} = \frac{[H_{t,1}^{p=3}, H_{t,-1}^{p=3}]}{\Delta} + \frac{[H_{t,2}^{p=3}, H_{t,-2}^{p=3}]}{2\Delta} + \frac{[H_{t,4}^{p=3}, H_{t,-4}^{p=3}]}{4\Delta} \\ &= \frac{t_h^2}{2\Delta} (H_D^a - T_3^a - T_{XY}) + \frac{t_h^2}{4\Delta} (H_D^b - T_3^b - T_{XY}),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S56})$$

since

$$\mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(0)} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = 0. \quad (\text{S57})$$

For $\mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)}$, we find

$$\left[\sum_k k n_k, \mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)} \right] = \left[\sum_k n_{k,\uparrow} n_{k,\downarrow}, \mathcal{H}_{p=3}^{(1)} \right] = 0. \quad (\text{S58})$$

INTERACTION DEPENDENCE OF OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITIES EXCITED BY A $\Omega = 0$ PULSE

We show $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ of 1DEHM excited by a $\Omega = 0$ pulse for $U = 7$ and 13 in Figs. S4(a)-(c) and Figs. S4(e)-(g), respectively. Here, we fix $V/U = 0.3$. The parameters for pump pulses t_d and t_0 are the same as those used for Fig. 2 in the main text. We obtain $\Delta n_d = 0.02, 0.06$, and 0.1 for Figs. S4(a), S4(b), and S4(c), respectively. Also, we obtain $\Delta n_d = 0.03, 0.08$, and 0.1 for Figs. S4(e), S4(f), and S4(g), respectively. From Figs. S4(a)-(c) and S4(e)-(g), we can characterize the Drude weights $\bar{\sigma}_D$, which are shown as a function of Δn_d and Δn_d^e in Fig. S4(d) and S4(h) for $(U, V) = (7, 2.1)$ and $(U, V) = (13, 3.9)$, respectively. Here, carrier density by electron doping is $\Delta n_d^e = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L} [\langle I \rangle_{\text{doped}} - \langle I \rangle_{\text{half}}]$, where $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{doped}}$ and $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{half}}$ are expectation values of $\mathcal{O}$ for electron-doped and half-filled 1DEHM, respectively. Similar to the main text, we compare $\bar{\sigma}_D$ induced by chemical doping and a $\Omega = 0$ pulse in Figs. S4(d) and S4(h). These figures indicate that σ_D is strongly suppressed at $U = 7$ and 13 as well as for $U = 10$ discussed in the main text. We note that the suppression of σ_D for $U = 7$ is weaker than that for $U = 10$ and 13. The U dependence of σ_D indicates that glassy states are unlikely to emerge in the weak-coupling region.

THE EXAMINATION OF PUMP-PULSE WIDTHS IN OPTICAL SPECTRA

As in the main text, we consider $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ of the half-filled 1DEHM excited by electric pulses whose vector potential is represented as $A_{\text{pump}}(t) = A_0 e^{-(t-t_0)^2/(2t_d^2)} \cos[\Omega(t-t_0)]$. We examine the case where 1DEHM is excited by $\Omega = 0$ pulses with longer width than the ones used in the main text. In this section, we use $(t_d, t_0) = (20, 100)$, which leads to the central frequency 2.5 THz and time period 0.4 ps taking $t_h = 112\text{meV}$ for ET-F₂TCNQ. This condition corresponds to pulses used in the experiment [S25], which are about 10 times wider than the one shown in the inset of Fig. 2(d) in the main text.

We show $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ for the three cases of (a) $\tau = 0$, (b) $\tau = 20$, and (c) $\tau = 80$ in Figs. S5(a), S5(b), and S5(c), respectively. As in the main text, probe pulses are applied at $t_0 + \tau$. We calculate $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ of $L = 12$ half-filled 1DEHM for $(U, V) = (10, 3)$ with the time-dependent Lanczos method under open boundary conditions. As shown in Fig. S5(d), the spectral width of a pump pulse used in this section is narrower than that used in the main text as shown in Fig. 2(d) and 2(h). Since $E(t)$ is given as shown in the inset of Fig. S5(d), $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ with $\tau = 0$ and 20 gives spectra near the center of applied pump pulses. Whereas, $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau = 80)]$ gives spectra after turning off pump pulses, which is the same situation as the one considered in the main text. The changes in doublon density between $t = 0$ and 180 are 0.025, 0.093, and 0.12 for $E_0 = 1.6, 1.8$, and 2.0, respectively. Since the virtual creation and annihilation of doublons and holons occur during the application of electric pulses, $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau = 0)]$ shown in Fig. S5(a) exhibits a complicated behavior and cannot be simply understood. Nevertheless, we can see that σ_D tends to have a large oscillating values. σ_D begins to be suppressed at $\tau = 20$ and has small values at $\tau = 80$ especially for $E_0 \geq 1.8$. We find that the strong suppression of σ_D captured in the main text appears again for $t_d = 20$ if a probe pulse is applied after turning off pump pulses.




FIG. S4. $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ of the half-filled $L = 32$ 1DEHM excited by $\Omega = 0$ mono-cycle pulses with $t_d = 2$ for (a)-(d) $(U, V) = (7, 2.1)$ and (e)-(h) $(U, V) = (13, 3.9)$. $\gamma = 0.4$ is taken. The amplitudes of electric fields E_0 are (a) $E_0 = 0.9$, (b) $E_0 = 1.1$, (c) $E_0 = 1.4$, (e) $E_0 = 2.7$, (f) $E_0 = 2.9$, and (g) $E_0 = 3.0$. Black, red, and blue dashed lines are for $\tau < 0$, $\tau = 12$, and 14, respectively. $\bar{\sigma}_D$ as a function of Δn_d and Δn_d^e for (d) $(U, V) = (7, 2.1)$ and (h) $(U, V) = (13, 3.9)$.

-
- [S1] C. Shao, T. Tohyama, H.-G. Luo, and H. Lu, Numerical method to compute optical conductivity based on pump-probe simulations, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 195144 (2016).
[S2] K. Shinjo and T. Tohyama, Ultrafast transient interference in pump-probe spectroscopy of band and Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 165103 (2018).
[S3] S. Ohmura, A. Takahashi, K. Iwano, T. Yamaguchi, K. Shinjo, T. Tohyama, S. Sota, and H. Okamoto, Effective model of one-dimensional extended Hubbard systems: Application to linear optical spectrum calculations in large systems based on many-body Wannier functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 235134 (2019).
[S4] K. Shinjo, S. Sota, and T. Tohyama, Effect of phase string on single-hole dynamics in the two-leg Hubbard ladder, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 035141 (2021).




FIG. S5. $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega, \tau)]$ excited by $\Omega = 0$ mono-cycle pulses for $t_d = 20$ with (a) $\tau = 0$, (b) $\tau = 20$, and (c) $\tau = 80$. (d) $|E(\omega)|$ with $E_0 = 0.08$. The inset indicates $-E(t)$.

- [S5] K. Shinjo, Y. Tamaki, S. Sota, and T. Tohyama, Density-matrix renormalization group study of optical conductivity of the Mott insulator for two-dimensional clusters, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 205123 (2021).
- [S6] J. Rincón and A. E. Feiguin, Nonequilibrium optical response of a one-dimensional Mott insulator, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 085122 (2021).
- [S7] Y. Mizuno, K. Tsutsui, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, Nonlinear optical response and spin-charge separation in one-dimensional Mott insulators, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R4769 (2000).
- [S8] T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa, Nonlinear optical response in Mott insulators, *J. Luminescence* **94-95**, 659 (2001).
- [S9] M. Ono, K. Miura, A. Maeda, H. Matsuzaki, H. Kishida, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, M. Yamashita, and H. Okamoto, Linear and nonlinear optical properties of one-dimensional Mott insulators consisting of Ni-halogen chain and CuO-chain compounds, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 085101 (2004).
- [S10] H. Kishida, H. Matsuzaki, H. Okamoto, T. Manabe, M. Yamashita, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, Gigantic optical nonlinearity in one-dimensional Mott-Hubbard insulators, *Nature* **405**, 929 (2000).

- [S11] H. Kishida, M. Ono, K. Miura, H. Okamoto, M. Izumi, T. Manako, M. Kawasaki, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, T. Tohyama, K. Tsutsui, and S. Maekawa, Large Third-Order Optical Nonlinearity of Cu-O Chains Investigated by Third-Harmonic Generation Spectroscopy, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 177401 \(2001\)](#).
- [S12] D. Golež, M. Eckstein, and P. Werner, Dynamics of screening in photodoped Mott insulators, [Phys. Rev. B **92**, 195123 \(2015\)](#).
- [S13] D. R. Baykusheva, H. Jang, A. A. Husain, S. Lee, S. F. R. TenHuisen, P. Zhou, S. Park, H. Kim, J.-K. Kim, H.-D. Kim, M. Kim, S.-Y. Park, P. Abbamonte, B. J. Kim, G. D. Gu, Y. Wang, and M. Mitrano, Ultrafast Renormalization of the On-Site Coulomb Repulsion in a Cuprate Superconductor, [Phys. Rev. X **12**, 011013 \(2022\)](#).
- [S14] M. Žnidarič, T. Prosen, and P. Prelovšek, Many-body localization in the Heisenberg XXZ magnet in a random field, [Phys. Rev. B **77** 064426 \(2008\)](#).
- [S15] J. H. Bardarson, F. Pollmann, and J. E. Moore, Unbounded Growth of Entanglement in Models of Many-Body Localization, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** 017202 \(2012\)](#).
- [S16] M. Serbyn, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Universal Slow Growth of Entanglement in Interacting Strongly Disordered Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** 260601 \(2013\)](#).
- [S17] R. Vosk and E. Altman, Many-Body Localization in One Dimension as a Dynamical Renormalization Group Fixed Point, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** 067204 \(2013\)](#).
- [S18] A. Nanduri, H. Kim, and D. A. Huse, Entanglement spreading in a many-body localized system, [Phys. Rev. B **90** 064201 \(2014\)](#).
- [S19] R. Singh, J. H. Bardarson, and F. Pollmann, Signatures of the many-body localization transition in the dynamics of entanglement and bipartite fluctuations, [New J. Phys. **18**, 023046 \(2016\)](#).
- [S20] M. Bukov, L. D'Alessio, and A. Polkovnikov, Universal High-Frequency Behavior of Periodically Driven Systems: from Dynamical Stabilization to Floquet Engineering, [Adv. Phys. **64**, 139 \(2015\)](#).
- [S21] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas, High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a Floquet-space perspective, [New J. Phys. **17**, 093039 \(2015\)](#).
- [S22] M. Bukov, M. Kolodrubetz, and A. Polkovnikov, Schrieffer-Wolff Transformation for Periodically Driven Systems: Strongly Correlated Systems with Artificial Gauge Fields, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 125301 \(2016\)](#).
- [S23] J.-Y. Desaulles, A. Hudomal, C. J. Turner, and Z. Papić, Proposal for Realizing Quantum Scars in the Tilted 1D Fermi-Hubbard Model, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 210601 \(2021\)](#).
- [S24] S. Scherg, T. Kohlert, P. Sala, F. Pollmann, B. H. Madhusudhana, I. Bloch, and M. Aidelsburger, Observing non-ergodicity due to kinetic constraints in tilted Fermi-Hubbard chains, [Nat. Commun. **12**, 4490 \(2021\)](#).
- [S25] H. Yamakawa, T. Miyamoto, T. Morimoto, T. Terashige, H. Yada, N. Kida, M. Suda, H. M. Yamamoto, R. Kato, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda and H. Okamoto, Mott transition by an impulsive dielectric breakdown, [Nat. Mater. **16**, 1100 \(2017\)](#).