

Neutron spectroscopy evidence on the dual nature of magnetic excitations in a van der Waals metallic ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$

Song Bao,^{1,*} Wei Wang,^{2,*} Yanyan Shangguan,^{1,*} Zhengwei Cai,^{1,*} Zhao-Yang Dong,³
Zhentao Huang,¹ Wenda Si,¹ Zhen Ma,⁴ Ryoichi Kajimoto,⁵ Kazuhiko Ikeuchi,⁶
Shin-ichiro Yano,⁷ Shun-Li Yu,^{1,8,†} Xiangang Wan,^{1,8} Jian-Xin Li,^{1,8,‡} and Jinsheng Wen^{1,8,§}

¹*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

²*School of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China*

³*Department of Applied Physics, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China*

⁴*Institute for Advanced Materials, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, China*

⁵*J-PARC Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan*

⁶*Neutron Science and Technology Center, Comprehensive Research Organization for Science and Society (CROSS), Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1106, Japan*

⁷*National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu 30077, Taiwan*

⁸*Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

In the local or itinerant extreme, magnetic excitations can be described by the Heisenberg model which treats electron spins as localized moments, or by the itinerant-electron model where the exchange interaction between electrons leads to unequal numbers of electrons with up and down spins. However, it has been elusive when both local moments and itinerant electrons are present in the intermediate range. Using inelastic neutron scattering, we provide direct spectroscopic evidence on the coexistence of and interplay between local moments and itinerant electrons in a van der Waals metallic ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, which can sustain tunable room-temperature ferromagnetism down to the monolayer limit. We find that there exist ferromagnetic spin-wave excitations dispersing from the zone center at low energies resulting from local moments, and a column-like broad continuum at the zone boundary at high energies up to over 100 meV resulting from itinerant electrons. Unlike the two-dimensional crystal structure, the low-energy mode exhibits a three-dimensional nature, and the high-energy mode also has an out-of-plane dependence. Both modes persist well above the Curie temperature of 160 K. Our neutron spectroscopic data reveal that the low-energy spin waves at 100 K are more coherent than those at 4 K, which is evidence of the weakening of the Kondo screening at high temperatures. These results unambiguously demonstrate the coexistence of local moments and itinerant electrons, and the Kondo effect between these two components in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. Such behaviors are generally expected in heavy-fermion systems with heavy f electrons but rarely clearly observed in materials with light d electrons. These findings shed light on the understanding of magnetism in transition-metal compounds.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetism has been a long and mysterious issue in condensed matter physics. Research on it can be divided into two main directions with essentially opposite starting points¹. First is the local-moment picture initiated by Heisenberg, where each electron is localized and thus produces a local spin moment². The interaction between localized spins is governed primarily by the Heisenberg exchange coupling. The other is the itinerant-electron model proposed by Bloch³, Slater⁴, and Stoner⁵, where electrons are regarded as itinerant Bloch waves and the exchange interaction between electrons splits the electron band and results in unequal amount of up and down spins, thus giving rise to magnetism^{6,7}. The magnetic excitations then can have the form of Stoner continuum resulting from the scattering between the particle and hole bands, and therefore depend crucially on the electronic band structure. For the past century, the theory of magnetism has been developed extensively along both directions. However, neither one can be applied to explain the magnetic properties universally. There is a particular challenge in describing the physi-

cal properties of magnetic transition metals with d electrons, where the mutually opposite degrees of freedom—localized spins and itinerant electrons are both present. In previous decades, plenty of researches were carried out on the spin dynamics in $3d$ -transition metals like Fe (Refs. 8 and 9) and Ni (Refs. 10–13), and weak itinerant ferromagnets^{14,15}. Initially, it seemed that the spin dynamics in the ferromagnetic phase could be well described under the framework of spin-wave excitations regardless of the itinerancy^{8–12,16}. However, the persistent spin waves observed well above the Curie temperature T_C were unexpected for a conventional Heisenberg ferromagnet^{17–19}, where the spin waves should turn into spin diffusive mode above T_C (Refs. 20–22). To account for the magnetism in these materials, there is a third scenario intermediate between the two aforementioned cases, where some d -electrons are itinerant, while the others are localized, and there is interplay between the local moments and itinerant electrons which provide the Kondo screening²³. The situation is then similar to that found in heavy fermion systems with more localized f electrons^{24–26}, but is more controversial due to the more itinerant nature of d electrons^{14,27–38}. This is particularly the case for iron- and copper-based high-temperature

superconductors^{30–43}. On the one hand, both the localized and itinerant models have its own merits and drawbacks in explaining some of the experimental data. On the other hand, direct evidence on the coexistence of local moments and itinerant electrons and their interplay is still lacking.

Reminiscent of this longstanding debate, we study a recently discovered van der Waals (vdW) metallic ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 . The crystal structure of Fe_3GeTe_2 belongs to the space group $P6_3/m\bar{m}$ (No. 194)⁴⁴, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). It consists of Fe_3Ge slabs separated by the vdW-bonded Te layers. In each Fe_3Ge slab, Fe ions on Fe(1) and Fe(2) sites are bonded to form a noncoplanar hexagonal network as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b). Neutron powder diffraction has shown that Fe_3GeTe_2 develops a long-range ferromagnetic order below T_C , with spins aligned along the c axis as illustrated in Fig. 1(a) (Ref. 45). The T_C of $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ usually ranges from 140 to 230 K, depending on the stoichiometric amount of Fe atom^{44–53}. It exhibits abundant fascinating physical properties, such as large anomalous Hall effect induced by topological nodal lines^{52,54–56}, topological spin texture⁵⁷, Kondo-lattice physics^{58,59}, and large effective electron mass renormalization and electronic correlations^{60,61}. More intriguingly, the realization of a stable and tunable two-dimensional (2D) ferromagnetism even down to monolayer makes it a great platform for developing new spintronic devices based on magnetic vdW heterostructures^{50,62–67}. For insulating vdW ferromagnets like $\text{Cr}_2\text{Ge}_2\text{Te}_6$ (Ref. 68) and CrI_3 (Ref. 69), the ferromagnetic state can be well described by an anisotropic Heisenberg model with local moments correlating with each other via ferromagnetic interactions. Assisted by the inherent magnetocrystalline anisotropy along the c axis, thermal fluctuations can be suppressed and a 2D long-range ferromagnetic order in the monolayer limit can be stabilized^{68,69}. In contrast, with the involvement of electronic itinerancy, the microscopic origin of magnetism in Fe_3GeTe_2 becomes controversial.

In Ref. 70, it was found that the results of magnetization measurements could be well presented with the modified Arrott plot, which gave a generalized Rhodes-Wohlfarth ratio one order of magnitude less than that for a local-moment system. It indicated the itinerant nature of magnetism in Fe_3GeTe_2 . In Ref. 63, the observation of gate-tunable ferromagnetism was attributed to the large variation in the density of states at the Fermi level, consistent with an itinerant-electron Stoner model⁷¹. On the other hand, such a model was challenged in a recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) study in Ref. 72, where the electronic bands were barely changed across T_C . Instead, the crucial role of local moments in Fe_3GeTe_2 was emphasized⁷². Furthermore, an effective local-moment Hamiltonian was determined by an inelastic neutron scattering (INS) study in Ref. 73. In a local picture, it was also argued that the rise of the Curie temperature upon gate tuning was due to the reduction in the magnetic frustration of dif-

ferent exchange paths⁷⁴. Considering the contradictory evidence, further work is needed to clarify the issue regarding the microscopic origin of magnetism in Fe_3GeTe_2 in particular and in transition-metal compounds with d electrons in general.

In this work, we use INS to study the spin dynamics in single crystals of Fe-deficient $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ ($x = 0.28$). The excitation spectra are dominated by the high-energy (E) non-dispersive column-like mode, which can be attributed to the particle-hole continuum of the excitations between the exchange-split bands. There also exists a low- E dispersive mode which can be attributed to collective spin excitations. We find that the spin excitations are robust against temperatures and the low- E dispersive mode becomes more coherent at 100 K. Such an unusual temperature effect, which is opposite to that of a conventional Heisenberg ferromagnet, is related to the weakening of the Kondo screening effect upon warming. Our results in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ can be interpreted as that both local and itinerant moments contribute to the magnetic excitations, and these two degrees of freedom couple with each other through the Kondo effect. These results demonstrate that $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ is an excellent platform to investigate the interplay between local spins and itinerant electrons.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND CHARACTERIZATIONS

Single crystals of Fe_3GeTe_2 could be grown by the chemical vapor transport method⁷⁰. The raw powder materials Fe, Ge and Te were well mixed in a stoichiometric molar ratio of 3:1:2, with additional iodine as the transport agent. The crystals grown by this method had a negligible Fe deficiency, with a relatively higher $T_C \sim 220$ K, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1 (Ref. 75). However, the dimension and mass of the crystals were small. Large single crystals could be obtained using the self-flux method, with the mixed Fe, Ge and Te in a molar ratio of 2:1:4, as described in Ref. 47. The crystals so grown, labeled as $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ with x representing about $28 \pm 5\%$ Fe vacancies mainly on Fe(2) sites^{47,73}, had a reduced $T_C \sim 160$ K as visualized from the susceptibility data as shown in Fig. 1(d). We label these samples as $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ hereafter (See Supplementary Fig. 2 for details). Crystals grown by both methods have an easy axis along the c -axis. However, the magnetic anisotropy in Fe-deficient samples is reduced compared to that in Fe_3GeTe_2 . Both of these two points can be revealed readily from the difference in the magnitude of susceptibility and saturation magnetic field for field perpendicular and parallel to the a - b plane, as shown in Fig. 1(d) and Supplementary Fig. 1. Furthermore, under zero-field-cooling (ZFC) condition, the susceptibility drops significantly below a T^* of ~ 90 K for the 0.01-T field applied along the c -axis, which we believe to signify the antiferromagnetic correlation between the local spins and itinerant elec-

trons, as we will explain later in this work. Despite the Fe deficiencies, no significant changes were found for the space group and lattice parameters, and the long-range ferromagnetic order⁴⁷. Furthermore, the relatively large mass and air-stable property made the crystals grown by the self-flux method feasible for INS experiments. Therefore, we used single crystals grown by the self-flux method for the INS measurements in this work.

INS measurements were performed on 4SEASONS, a time-of-flight spectrometer at the MLF of J-PARC in Japan⁷⁷; and SIKA, a cold-neutron triple-axis spectrometer at the OPAL of ANSTO in Australia⁷⁸. The sample array consisted of 25 pieces of single crystals weighing about 3.95 g in total. They were coaligned and glued on aluminum plates by a backscattering Laue X-ray diffractometer. For the measurements on 4SEASONS, the sample array was mounted in a closed-cycle refrigerator in a manner that the (h, h, l) plane was the horizontal plane and $[-1, 1, 0]$ direction was aligned vertically. We chose a primary incident energy $E_i = 90$ meV and a chopper frequency of 350 Hz. This setup gave an energy resolution of 5.12 meV [full width at half maximum (FWHM)] at the elastic line. Since 4SEASONS was operated in a multiple- E_i mode, it had a set of other E_i s of 8.9, 48.4 and 222 meV besides the primary one. The respective energy resolution for these E_i s are 0.35, 2.20, 17.18 meV at the elastic line. Measurements were done at three temperatures of 4, 100 and 250 K. Scattering data were collected by rotating the sample about the $[-1, 1, 0]$ direction. Raw data were reduced and combined together into four-dimensional matrices, and analyzed using the software suite Utsusemi⁷⁹. For the measurements on SIKA, data were collected in the $(h, k, 0)$ plane using a fixed final-energy mode with $E_f = 5.0$ meV. Better instrumental resolution was obtained with $E_f = 3.5$ meV, and measurements were performed in the (h, h, l) plane with this setup. We used a hexagonal structure with the refined lattice parameters in Ref. 47, with $a = b = 3.9536(7)$ Å and $c = 16.396(2)$ Å. The wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$ is expressed as (h, k, l) in reciprocal lattice unit (rlu) of $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (4\pi/\sqrt{3}a, 4\pi/\sqrt{3}b, 2\pi/c)$. To eliminate the influence of the Bose statistics, the measured neutron scattering intensities were divided by the Bose factor via $\chi''(\mathbf{Q}, E) = (1 - e^{-E/k_B T})S(\mathbf{Q}, E)$, where k_B was the Boltzmann constant.

III. RESULTS

A. Ferromagnetic state and particle-hole continuum

The temperature dependence of the integrated intensities for the magnetic Bragg peak $(1, 0, 0)$ measured on SIKA is plotted in Fig. 1(e). It clearly shows that the magnetic order has an onset at the $T_C \sim 160$ K for the experimental sample $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. Since there is a direct relationship between T_C and Fe deficiency^{44,47,51,52},

the single transition indicates that our coaligned single crystals grown with the self-flux method have controllable vacancy concentration of Fe ions with $x \approx 0.28$. We find no obvious temperature-dependent behavior for the intensities at the magnetic Bragg peak $(0, 0, 2)$. It is expected since this wave vector is parallel to the direction of the ordered moments. It indicates that the easy axis is along the c axis in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. These results are consistent with the magnetic susceptibility measurements on a piece of single crystal shown in Fig. 1(d).

Figure 2(a) and (b) show the overall profiles of the spin excitation spectra along high-symmetry directions $[1, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$ in the (h, k) plane, respectively. These directions are defined in Fig. 1(c). The upper and lower panels in Fig. 2(a) and (b) were obtained with $E_i = 90$ and 48.4 meV, respectively, measured on 4SEASONS. There are two distinct patterns of spin excitations. First, it is found that spin-wave-like excitations disperse up from the zone center Γ point and propagate towards the zone boundary in the lower panels of Fig. 2(a) and (b). It indicates the ferromagnetic correlations in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. This low- E dispersive mode can be better resolved using a small $E_i = 8.9$ meV, and will be discussed in detail later. Second, we find that the dispersive mode merges into a broad continuum above ~ 20 meV. The fluctuation continuum has a column-like shape and extends to high energies around the zone boundary, as shown in the upper panels of Fig. 2(a) and (b). To acquire sufficient scattering intensities of the in-plane magnetic excitations, another wave vector l , perpendicular to the (h, k) plane, was integrated over large ranges in Fig. 2(a) and (b). Such integrations can result in some contamination by phonons in the spin excitation spectra. Nevertheless, the contamination is only significant in the energy window below ~ 25 meV, where the phonon excitations are intensive, and thus does not affect the column-like spectra at high energies (See Ref. 75 for details). We plot the constant- E contours at two typical energies in Fig. 2(c) and (d). The two characteristics of the spin excitations can be also visualized in the momentum space of the (h, k) plane. At an energy transfer of $\Delta E = 3 \pm 0.1$ meV, we find ring-like excitations around the zone center [Fig. 2(d)], which are originated from the low- E dispersive mode. As the energy increases, such excitations gradually propagate towards the Brillouin zone boundary. At $\Delta E = 45 \pm 5$ meV, we find they already turn into a broad continuum spreading along the zone boundary [Fig. 2(c)]. The broad continuum exists in a large energy window, and is still visible up to 100 meV (More contours can be found in Supplementary Fig. 6).

To quantitatively analyze the spin dynamics in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, we cut through the spin excitation spectra in Fig. 2 at various energies with appropriate E_i . Examples of constant- E scans through the high- E broad continuum along $[1, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$ directions are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), respectively. Although the non-dispersive spin fluctuations exhibit continuous feature in energy, their scattering intensities actually have momen-




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic crystal and magnetic structures of Fe₃GeTe₂. There are two inequivalent sites of Fe atoms, labeled as Fe(1) and Fe(2), respectively. Arrows indicate the magnetic moments on Fe atoms. (b) Top view of the hexagonal structure in the *a-b* plane. For clarity, only one Fe₃Ge slab sandwiched by two Te layers is shown. (c) Two-dimensional Brillouin zones with high-symmetry points in the *(h, k)* plane. (d) Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility on a single crystal of Fe_{2.72}GeTe₂. Empty and filled circles or squares represent the magnetization measurements under zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) conditions, respectively. The inset shows magnetization *M*–*H* curves at *T* = 2.5 K, with the magnetic field applied along the *c* axis and in the *a-b* plane. The magnetic field applied was 0.01 T, unless marked otherwise. (e) Temperature dependence of the integrated intensities of the magnetic Bragg peak (1, 0, 0) measured on SIKa, plotted in an arbitrary unit (a.u.). The error bars represent one standard deviation throughout the paper. The solid line is a guideline to the eye. The dashed line indicates the Curie temperature *T_C* of ~160 K.

tum dependence. The scans with various energies along the [1, 0] direction show that the excitations are centered around (−0.5, 0) in Fig. 3(a), which is the M point of the Brillouin zone. It can be found from the scans along the [1, 1] direction in Fig. 3(b), that the scattering intensities are stronger around the K point (−0.5 ± 0.17, −0.5 ± 0.17) than around the M′ point (−0.5, −0.5). We extract the peak centers from the Gaussian fits to these constant-*E* scans with various energy transfers at different *E_i*s, and plot the peak positions in Fig. 3(c) and (d) to show the spin dispersions along the [1, 0] and [1, 1] directions, respectively. The low-*E* dispersive mode is also included.

The dispersions in Fig. 3(c) and (d) showing essentially non-dispersive magnetic excitations in a large energy window are clearly beyond general spin waves. In some other magnets, where spin excitations are steep^{32,33,80}, the excitations from the magnetic Bragg peak are seemingly dispersionless in the low-*E* window, but will disperse outward and become broad at high energies^{32,33,80}. This is certainly not the case here, as the low-*E* excitations are dispersive while the high-*E* excitations are

column-like. A quadratic fitting, which can describe the ferromagnetic spin waves to some extent, is applied to the low-*E* dispersive mode along the [1, 0] direction in Fig. 3(c). It reaches its maximum energy of ~60 meV at the M point, but cannot contribute to the magnetic scattering above it. For the [1, 1] direction, although the tendency of the fit can reach a higher energy at the M′ point, the real spin-wave energy should be the same for the symmetry-equivalent M′ and M points. Furthermore, the column-like excitations around the Brillouin zone boundary actually can stem from very low energy. This can be more clearly visualized from the excitations along the *c*-axis shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. It is worth mentioning that there exists a significant amount of ~28% Fe deficiency in our sample, which will introduce the disorder effect into the system⁷³. Additionally, magnon-magnon interactions can also damp the spin waves and make them broader. However, the disorder effect will not change the profile of the spin waves, and the magnon-magnon interactions usually occur at energies above the single-magnon excitations. The column-like excitations in the




FIG. 2. (a) and (b) INS results for the spin excitation spectra at 4 K, along two high-symmetry directions $[1,0]$ and $[1,1]$, respectively. The lower panels in (a) and (b) were obtained with $E_i = 48.4$ meV, and integrated over $-0.1 \leq k \leq 0.1$ rlu and $-8 \leq l \leq 8$ rlu. The upper panels were obtained with $E_i = 90$ meV, and integrated over $-0.1 \leq k \leq 0.1$ rlu and $-10 \leq l \leq 10$ rlu. Vertical dashed lines indicate the M point. (c) Constant- E contour at 45 meV in the (h, k) plane, obtained with $E_i = 90$ meV. The energy interval was chosen to be ± 5 meV and l was integrated over $-10 \leq l \leq 10$ rlu. (d) Constant- E contour at 3 meV in the (h, k) plane, obtained with $E_i = 8.9$ meV. The energy interval is ± 0.1 meV and l was integrated over $1.8 \leq l \leq 2.2$ rlu. The data had been folded about the l direction for better statistics. Solid lines in (c) and (d) indicate the Brillouin zone boundary.

entire energy range at the Brillouin zone boundary are inconsistent with the ferromagnetic spin waves dispersing from the Brillouin zone center, even after considering the disorder effect and magnon-magnon interactions. The conflict between our results and the spin-wave interpretation in a local-moment Heisenberg model urges us to consider the role of itinerant electrons^{58,63,70}.

Within the framework of itinerant Stoner model, ferromagnetic state is originated from the unbalanced numbers of spin-up and spin-down electrons induced by the exchange splitting⁵⁻⁷. In such a case, spin-flip particle-hole excitations can happen between the spin-up and the spin-down electronic bands, as shown schematically in Fig. 3(g). For these spin excitations, with the same transferred wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$, multiple particle-hole excitations with various transferred energies can be permitted. That can result in a particle-hole continuum in the itinerant ferromagnetic excitations⁵⁻⁷. The profile of this continuum is determined by the exchange splitting energy Δ and the specific band structures. To figure out the origin of the column-like excitations, we firstly use the density-functional theory (DFT) to calculate the electronic bands of this material⁸¹. The results in Fig. 3(e) and (f) show that in the ferromagnetic state, the spin-up and spin-

down bands are separated by a large exchange splitting $\Delta \sim 1.5$ eV, consistent with that in Ref. 72. From the band structures, we find that the dispersions of the bands resemble those of a triangular lattice. To capture the major characteristics of the bands across the Fermi level, we next apply a tight-binding model on a triangular lattice consisting of four electronic bands as shown in Fig. 3(g) to simulate the multiband nature in the material. It can be found that the simulated bands (red for spin up and green for spin down) are similar to the DFT results shown in Fig. 3(e) and (f). Moreover, the Fermi surface formed by these bands as shown in the inset of Fig. 3(g), is consistent with the observed Fermi surface by the ARPES measurements^{58,72}, where there are two hole pockets near the Γ point and a small electron pocket near the K point. Finally, we use the random phase approximation (RPA) to calculate the magnetic excitation spectra resulting from the particle-hole scattering processes between these four bands as sketched in Fig. 3(g). The wave vectors corresponding to the strongest scatterings are illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3(g). The calculated magnetic excitation spectra resulting from these scattering processes without and with the correction of the Hubbard interaction U are shown in Fig. 3(h) and (i), respectively. It can




FIG. 3. (a) and (b) Constant- E scans at various energies along $[1,0]$ and $[1,1]$ directions, respectively, with $E_i = 222$ meV. For each scan, the energy intervals at selected energies were chosen to be ± 5 meV, and l was integrated over $-10 \leq l \leq 10$ rlu. Solid lines are fits with Gaussian functions. Error bars represent one standard deviation throughout the paper. (c) and (d) Spin dispersions along $[1,0]$ and $[1,1]$ directions, respectively. The peak centers were extracted from fittings to the constant- E scans as shown in (a) and (b). The horizontal error bars represent the full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the constant- E scans with Gaussian fitting, and the vertical error bars represent the energy integration interval. Dashed lines are quadratic fits to the data below 20 meV. Dotted lines indicate the Brillouin zone boundaries. Shaded regions are guides to the eye. (e) and (f) DFT calculations of spin-up and spin-down bands in the ferromagnetic state, respectively. (g) Schematic diagram for the particle-hole (p-h) scattering processes. The red and green bands with up and down spins respectively are simulated bands via tight-binding model on a triangular lattice. These bands are plotted over (e) and (f) for comparisons. Black arrows represent the p-h scattering occurring between bands with different spin directions. The inset shows the corresponding Fermi surface and the wave vectors corresponding to the most significant scattering near the Fermi level. Calculated bare (h) and RPA renormalized (i) spin excitation spectra below 100 meV induced by the p-h scattering processes in (g).

be found that the main features in the experiment that the column-like excitations occur at the zone boundary and the intensities are stronger at the K point than at the M point as shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), are reproduced. Moreover, since there is no qualitative difference between the profiles of the spin excitation spectra with and without U , our analysis does not depend on the specific value of U . We note that the intensities are higher at high energies than those at low energies, different from the experiment. We think this is because, in addition to the spin-flip particle-hole excitations, the low-energy intensities in the experimental spectra also have contributions from spin waves (as we discuss below) as well as phonons, while the RPA calculations only consider the spin excitations from the itinerant electrons. Besides, this is a

multiband system, while in the calculations, we only use simplified four bands as shown in Fig. 3(g) to capture the main features. By considering contributions from all the bands crossing the Fermi level together with spin waves and phonons, the level of agreement on the intensity between the experiment and calculations may be improved. With these results, we can attribute the column-like excitations to the particle-hole excitation continuum between the exchange-split bands (More details about the DFT and RPA calculations can be found in Ref. 75). Such a continuum has been hypothesized theoretically for a long time⁵⁻⁷, but rarely observed experimentally^{26,28}. It reflects the itinerant ferromagnetism induced by the exchange splitting in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$.

B. Low- E spin waves and Kondo effect

To better characterize the low- E dispersive mode in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, we use the high-resolution data with $E_i = 8.9$ meV obtained on 4SEASONS. In Fig. 4, we plot the excitation spectra at 4 K for both in-plane [Fig. 4(a) and (b)] and out-of-plane [Fig. 4(c)] directions. We chose the $[-1, 2, 0]$ direction symmetrically equivalent to the $[1, 1, 0]$ direction used in Figs. 2 and 3 to avoid spurious peaks at low energies. Besides the steep in-plane dispersions, a prominent out-of-plane dispersion is also observed. From the spectra in Fig. 4, no spin gap is observed. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 8(a), the constant- Q cut at $(0, 0, 2)$ does not show any features corresponding to the spin gap either, even in the measurements with much finer instrumental resolution performed on SIKA. Instead, additional quasielastic scattering is found. This result is contrary to a spin gap of 3.7 meV reported by a previous INS experiment in Ref. 73. Actually, the ring-like excitations at 3 ± 0.1 meV in Fig. 2(d) also evidence an overestimated value of 3.7 meV about the spin gap. We do not think the samples of these two experiments have an essential difference, because of the same growth method, similar Fe deficiency, and close T_C . The reason for the different results can be that the out-of-plane dispersion was ignored in Ref. 73, where the in-plane dispersion and the spin gap were determined with a too-wide integration range of l over $-2 \leq l \leq 2$ rlu. In fact, with our data, we can also obtain exactly the same spin “gap” value of 3.7 meV using the same integration range, as demonstrated in Supplementary Fig. 7.

The high-resolution data allow us to examine the low- E spin-wave-like excitations quantitatively. In the small wave-vector limit, the ferromagnetic spin waves can be described by a well-known quadratic dispersion relation $E = E_g + DQ^2$, where E_g is the spin gap and D is the spin-wave stiffness. It gives D of 69.0 ± 1.8 meV $\text{\AA}^2$ for the $[1, 0, 0]$ direction, 56.7 ± 2.9 meV $\text{\AA}^2$ for the $[-1, 2, 0]$ direction and 53.6 ± 1.9 meV $\text{\AA}^2$ for the $[0, 0, 1]$ direction (See Supplementary Fig. 8 for details). The comparable values of the spin-wave stiffness for in-plane and out-of-plane directions suggest the three-dimensional nature of the magnetism in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, despite its quasi-two-dimensional vdW lattice structure. A recent ARPES study reported strong variation of the electronic bands along the out-of-plane direction⁷². It can provide the interlayer hopping channel for the magnetic exchange interaction, whose strength can be tuned by protonic gating, as observed from the exchange-bias measurements⁶⁶. The scaling analysis on $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ also revealed a behavior of three-dimensional magnetism^{49,50}, consistent with our results. Besides, nonzero intercepts can be found in Supplementary Fig. 8(c), implying a spin gap around 0.5 meV, even though there is no evidence of a spin gap in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 8(a).

The spin gap is an manifestation of the out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy, which is believed to be crucial in stabilizing the 2D long-range ferromagnetic order down

to monolayer in Fe_3GeTe_2 (Refs. 62 and 63). It was estimated that in the monolayer limit, a single-ion anisotropy of ~ 2 meV was required⁶³. On these results, we would like to make a few remarks. First, our experimental sample possesses certain Fe deficiencies that will reduce the magnetic anisotropy⁵³, and thus reduce the spin gap as a result. It can be revealed from the difference in the magnetization saturation fields for field perpendicular to and within the a - b plane, which is ~ 1.5 T in our sample in the inset of Fig. 1(d), compared to ~ 5 T in a crystal with negligible deficiency in Supplementary Fig. 1. Second, the out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy can be significantly enhanced with reduced sample thickness⁵⁰. Finally, from Supplementary Fig. 8(a), we believe that there exist diffusive fluctuations induced by itinerant electrons. On the one hand, they can contribute to the quasielastic scattering and fill up the spin gap^{10,17,27,82}. On the other hand, given that the magnetic excitations are diffusive, the energy of spin waves deduced from constant- E scans in Supplementary Fig. 8(c) can be overestimated¹³. This can explain the discrepancy on the absence [Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 8(a)] or presence [Supplementary Fig. 8(c)] of a spin gap.

The low- E dispersive mode at higher temperatures 100 and 250 K ($\sim 1.6T_C$) is also investigated, and plotted in Fig. 4(d)-(f) and (g)-(i), respectively. It is found that the excitations still maintain the spin-wave-like profile upon warming. The paramagnetic scattering intensities become much weaker at 250 K, especially for those along the $[0, 0, 1]$ direction. The temperature evolution of the spin-wave stiffness is plotted in Supplementary Fig. 8(d). We find that the in-plane spin excitations gradually soften upon warming, but they still persist even at $1.6T_C$. The out-of-plane spin excitations also soften upon warming in the ferromagnetic phase. For this direction at $1.6T_C$, the paramagnon dispersion can still be identified by doing various constant- E scans, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 9, although the intensities are much weaker compared to those in the a - b plane. We plot the extracted peak centers in Fig. 4(i). Based on these data points, the spin-wave stiffness is finite. As we know, for a conventional Heisenberg ferromagnet with second order ferromagnetic phase transition, the spin-wave stiffness should vanish at T_C via $D(T) \propto (1 - T/T_C)^{\nu-\beta}$, where ν and β are critical exponents of the magnetic phase transition for the correlation length and magnetization, respectively^{20-22,83}. Therefore, our results showing the persistent low- E dispersive mode above T_C suggest that the ferromagnetic phase transition in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ is weakly first order instead of second order⁴⁹. In addition, the high- E non-dispersive mode also changes little across T_C , as shown in Supplementary Fig. 10. We notice that in some quasi-2D magnets, persistent in-plane spin waves can be observed above magnetic phase transition temperature, even though the long-range order is destroyed by thermal fluctuations⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷. It is usually attributed to the short-range order induced by much stronger intralayer magnetic interactions⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷. However, such a picture in




FIG. 4. Low- E spin excitation spectra obtained with $E_i = 8.9$ meV at 4 K [(a)-(c)], 100 K [(d)-(f)], and 250 K [(g)-(i)], respectively. The spectra in the left [(a), (d) and (g)], middle [(b), (e) and (h)] and right [(c), (f) and (i)] columns represent the spin excitations along $[1, 0, 0]$, $[-1, 2, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1]$ directions, respectively. The integration ranges of k , h and l when necessary were $-0.04 \leq k \leq 0.04$ rlu, $-0.05 \leq h \leq 0.05$ rlu and $1.8 \leq l \leq 2.2$ rlu. The data have been folded about the l direction to improve the statistics. Data points in (i) were obtained by fitting the constant- E cuts shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

the low-dimensional system may not be applicable to understand the temperature evolution of $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. First, despite the quasi-2D vdW structure, $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ actually has a 3D nature of magnetism, because of the comparable spin-wave stiffness for in-plane and out-of-plane directions as discussed above. Second, both in-plane and out-of-plane spin excitations persist above T_C as shown in Fig. 4(g)-(i).

By bare-eye inspections, it can be found that the excitations at 100 K [middle panels of Fig. 4] are sharper both along the energy and momentum axes than those at 4 K [top panels of Fig. 4], especially for the in-plane directions [Fig. 4(d)&(e) *vs* (a)&(b)]. To analyze the data quantitatively, we plot constant- E scans at 2.45 meV along $[1, 0, 0]$ direction at 4 and 100 K in Fig. 5(a) and (d), respectively.

It is found that the peaks are sharper at 100 K than at 4 K. The linewidths of the peaks are related to the inverse of the dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths ξ . We extract ξ at various energies and plot them in Fig. 5(g). Overall, we find that the dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths of the low- E spin waves are very short, which are only about 1.5 to 3.5 lattice constants in the a - b plane even at low temperatures, where well-defined and long-range correlated spin waves are expected. It reflects the damping character of this mode. More intriguingly, as shown in Fig. 5(g), it is clear that the low- E spin waves possess longer correlation lengths at 100 K than at 4 K.

To further quantify the temperature effect, we plot the constant- Q cuts through the in-plane [Fig. 5(b) and (e)] and out-of-plane dispersions [Fig. 5(c) and (f)] at 4




FIG. 5. (a) Constant- E scans at 2.45 meV along the $[1, 0, 0]$ direction, measured at 4 K and (d) at 100 K with $E_i = 8.9$ meV and a resulting energy resolution of 0.35 meV. The energy interval for the integration is ± 0.1 meV. Solid curves are fits with Gaussian functions convoluted with the instrumental resolutions. The dashed curve in (a) is the fitting result of (d), and is plotted for comparison purpose. (b) Constant- Q cuts at $(-0.09, 0, 2)$, measured at 4 K and (e) at 100 K. The thickness of h is 0.02 rlu. (c) Constant- Q cuts at $(0, 0, 1.7)$, measured at 4 K and (f) at 100 K. The thickness of l is 0.04 rlu. Solid curves in these Constant- Q cuts are fits with the DHO formula convoluted with the instrumental resolutions as discussed in the text. Arrows mark the peak center of the fitted DHO, and the vertical bars mark the magnon energy E_0 . Horizontal bars in (e) and (f) represent the instrumental resolutions at the elastic line. (g) Dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths extracted from fitting to the constant- E scans and normalized to the lattice parameter a for the $[1, 0, 0]$ direction. (h) and (i) Damping constant γ as a function of magnon energy E_0 at two temperatures for $[1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1]$ directions, respectively. The horizontal bars in (g) represent the energy integration interval of ± 0.1 meV, and in (h) and (i) represent the fitting errors for E_0 . Solid lines in (h) and (i) are guides to the eye.

and 100 K. At $Q = (-0.09, 0, 2)$, a peak of the spin excitations can only be found at 100 K, as shown in Fig. 5(e). At 4 K, no such peak is observed, but instead, there is a broad hump just above zero energy in Fig. 5(b). At $Q = (0, 0, 1.7)$, inelastic peaks can be found at both 4 and 100 K, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (f). The peak centers do not change obviously, but the peak becomes sharper at 100 K than at 4 K. To quantitatively understand the results, we convolute the instrumental resolution with a damped harmonic oscillator (DHO) formula to fit the data, which is often used to describe damped spin waves^{28,33}. It has a form of $\chi''(Q, E) \propto \frac{\gamma E_0 E}{(E^2 - E_0^2)^2 + (\gamma E)^2}$, where E_0 is the magnon energy and γ is the damping constant (the inverse of $\gamma/2$ represents the lifetime of the damped magnons). For the cuts at $Q = (0, 0, 1.7)$, with the DHO fitting, we find

that the actual peak center slightly shifts away from the magnon energy E_0 to lower energy, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (f). It is owing to the comparable values of E_0 and the damping constant γ . Nevertheless, a larger γ is extracted at 4 K. For the cuts at $Q = (-0.09, 0, 2)$, a similar case about the peak and E_0 occurs at 100 K in Fig. 5(e). Moreover, the broad hump at 4 K is reproduced by the DHO fitting, with peak center much smaller than E_0 as shown in Fig. 5(b). In this case, the damping constant γ is much larger than the magnon energy E_0 , so that there is no inelastic peak by the spin excitations centered around E_0 . It represents a state of over-damped magnons (See Supplementary Note 6 for details). More constant- Q cuts at different Q s along $[1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1]$ directions are plotted in Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12, respectively, where similar phenomena can be found. These indicate the lifetime and coherence of the

dispersive mode are enhanced at 100 K. To better present this conclusion, we extract the fitted parameters γ and E_0 from Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12, and plot γ as a function of E_0 for $[1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1]$ directions in Fig. 5(h) and (i), respectively. It can be found that the damping constant γ at 4 K is larger than that at 100 K along both directions, especially for the in-plane cuts.

Our results that the low- E magnons at 100 K are sharper in both the momentum and energy than those at 4 K are totally different from the temperature evolution of normal spin excitations. The latter are well-defined and long-lived at low temperatures, and will gradually lose correlation and coherence upon warming due to the enhancement of thermal fluctuations^{88–90}. What is the origin of the stronger damping on the low- E spin excitations in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ at low temperatures? One possibility is the effect of disorder as a result of the Fe deficiency. However, it is weakly energy and wave-vector dependent, and particularly is not expected to exhibit a temperature dependence, which conflicts with the results in Fig. 5. For spin excitations resulting from the itinerant electrons, such an unusual temperature effect is not anticipated either. Instead, we believe that the damping and the temperature effect in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ can be understood when considering the Kondo screening effect of the itinerant electrons on the local moments. Actually, the manifestation of coupled local moments and itinerant electrons has been implied by the linear relationship of γ against E_0 in Fig. 5(h) and (i) (Ref. 28). Moreover, such coupling seems to be much stronger in the a - b plane, which can result in a much heavier damping of the in-plane spin waves than those along the out-of-plane direction, as revealed by a significant increase of the slope at 4 K along $[1, 0, 0]$ direction in Fig. 5(h). In fact, some previous studies also reported evidence for the Kondo-lattice behavior in $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ (Refs. 58 and 59). In a Kondo lattice, each local moment is coupled with and screened by the surrounding itinerant electrons. The interplay between them directly contributes to the damping character of the collective excitations of local moments. That also explains the short dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths of the dispersive mode as shown in Fig. 5(g). Moreover, the Kondo screening effect by surrounding itinerant electrons is expected to be more significant at low temperatures, and will weaken upon warming. In this material, there exists coherent-incoherent crossover in the ferromagnetic phase with a characteristic temperature T^* , under the interpretation of Kondo-lattice physics^{58,61}. Below T^* , heavy electrons are expected to emerge with further enhanced effective electron mass. Similar to the analysis in Ref. 58, $T^* \sim 90 \pm 10$ K can be obtained from the resistivity measurements in our sample of $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 13. We think at a temperature below T^* , stronger coherent scattering between collective excitations and surrounding itinerant electrons occurs, which will seriously shorten the lifetime of collective excitations. Such scattering process will be reduced above T^* . This can explain why the dispersive mode is

better defined at 100 K than at 4 K as shown in Figs. 4 and 5.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

Our INS results on the spin dynamics of $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ shown in Figs. 2 and 4 clearly reveal two components of the excitation spectra, with the low- E collective excitations dispersing from the Γ point and the column-like continuum extending up to above 100 meV located at the Brillouin zone boundary. This two-component structure is observed for both in- and out-of-plane directions (Figs. 2-4 and Supplementary Fig. 5). We attribute the column-like excitations to the particle-hole continuum because: First, they conflict with the spin waves in local-moment framework. Second, they can be reproduced by the itinerant-electron model as shown in Fig. 3(h) and (i). This pattern of excitations reflects the itinerant ferromagnetism induced by the exchange splitting in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$. In contrast, in most previous studies of d -electron systems, the spin dynamics can be described under the framework of spin waves, regardless of itinerant electrons^{8–12,16,32,33}. The experimental evidence of the abrupt decrease in magnetic scattering intensities and the spectra broadening at high energies is usually interpreted as the entering of spin waves into the particle-hole continuum^{9,11,12,32}. However, the presence of the continuum is mostly based on conjecture and no clear signature of the continuum has been really observed. Therefore, whether such a picture is correct is still debatable^{32,33}. On the other hand, in our results, based on the two-component character of the spectra, the collective excitations and particle-hole continuum are unambiguously distinguished, providing a rare example demonstrating how the low- E dispersive mode enters the continuum^{26,28}.

Although the itinerant-electron model can explain the observed column-like continuum at high energies, and seemingly the collective excitations of itinerant electrons within this model can also induce the dispersive mode at low energies^{5–7}, it fails to produce the damping character and the unusual temperature effect in Fig. 5. Instead, the Kondo-lattice model is considered. In this model, we think local moments should firstly form and align in parallel to each other through ferromagnetic interactions, which can directly contribute to the low- E spin waves in Fig. 4. In addition, the surrounding itinerant electrons are coupled with and aligned antiparallel to the local moment at each site via antiferromagnetic couplings, giving rise to the Kondo screening effect. The interplay between local moments and itinerant electrons will cause the damping of the spin waves, and give rise to the unusual behavior against temperatures in the sense that the Kondo-screening effect is enhanced at low temperatures and thus the correlation length and lifetime of the low- E magnons decrease in Fig. 5(g)-(i). This is consistent with the observation of a $T^* \sim 90 \pm 10$ K ob-

tained from the resistivity measurements in our sample of $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 13, where coherent heavy electrons emerge below T^* . This picture is also strongly supported by the observation of a significant reduction of the magnetic susceptibility below T^* , as shown in Fig. 1(d) and Supplementary Fig. 1 (ZFC condition). It also reveals that the surrounding itinerant electrons will be detrimental to the establishment of ferromagnetic ground state by the local moments. This view is strongly supported by the experimental observation that the decrease of electrical conductance by an ionic gate will dramatically elevate the T_C in Ref. 63. On the one hand, the spins of itinerant electrons are coupled with the local moment at each site and prefer to align parallel to each other. On the other hand, they also prefer to be antiparallel between nearest-neighbor sites due to the Pauli exclusion. As a result, there is a competition between the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic correlations for the itinerant electrons⁴⁶. From this point of view, the observed topological spin texture can be understood as resulting from the competing magnetic interactions⁵⁷. It is worth mentioning that the spin frustration for the itinerant electrons can be relieved in the vicinity of a Kondo hole. This in turn will enhance the Kondo screening effect on the local moments adjacent to the Kondo hole⁵⁹, and reduce the ferromagnetic interactions between the local spins. We believe that the T_C is primarily controlled by the exchange coupling between the local spins^{73,74}, considering the huge splitting energy Δ of ~ 1.5 eV which is about two orders of magnitude higher than the T_C . Previous ARPES measurements also found the electronic bands were barely changed across T_C , while the exchange splitting was expected to disappear above T_C in an itinerant-electron model⁷². Nevertheless, the T_C is adjustable by an ionic gate that manipulates the charge carriers through the interplay between local moments and itinerant electrons.

According to these results, we consider that the combination of itinerant and local-moment pictures is essential to explain the nature of magnetism in this material^{58,59,61}. The final question is what is the microscopic origin of the local and itinerant moments in $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$? One possible answer is that, both of these two components are from the $3d$ orbitals of Fe atoms. Some of them with less itinerancy will be localized due to the strong onsite Coulomb repulsion, forming local moments. This conjecture is indeed supported by our orbital-resolved band calculations in Supplementary Fig. 14. We notice that in the non-magnetic state, the d_{z^2} orbital has a narrower energy distribution than other orbitals and locates near the Fermi level, which will more easily lead to the opening of a Mott-Hubbard gap and correspondingly the formation of the local moments under some electron interactions. Especially, in the magnetic state, our calculations reveal that the spin-up d_{z^2} orbital of the Fe(2) atom is below the Fermi level, while the spin-down d_{z^2} orbital is above the Fermi level

[Supplementary Fig. 14(e) and (f)], leading to an almost completely polarized d_{z^2} orbital. This implies that this orbital plays the most important role in the formation of local moments. In addition, it can be found that the strongly dispersive bands near the Fermi level also come from Fe $3d$ orbitals, which contribute to the itinerant moments in the magnetic state. Furthermore, the orbital-resolved band structures also reveal that the localized orbitals have distinct hybridizations with some strongly dispersive bands near the Fermi level, which can induce the formation of the Kondo singlets between the local moments and itinerant electrons^{58,91}. We consider our results reflect the dual properties of the $3d$ electrons^{58,59,61}, which has been reported for Fe in iron-based superconductors⁹².

V. SUMMARY

In summary, our INS study on single crystals of Fe-deficient $\text{Fe}_{2.72}\text{GeTe}_2$ has revealed that the spin excitation spectra are composed of a low- E collective mode dispersing from the Γ point, and a column-like continuum at the Brillouin zone boundary that extends to above 100 meV. We attribute these two modes to originate from ferromagnetic spin waves of the local moments and particle-hole continuum from the scattering between the spin-up and spin-down bands, respectively. The local spins and some itinerant electrons couple with each other through the Kondo effect, as evident from the unusual temperature effect of the low- E spin-wave excitations. Our work provides a rare example that spin-wave excitations, particle-hole continuum and Kondo-lattice behavior coexist in a d -electron system, and will shed light on the understanding of magnetism in correlated electronic materials.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Xingye Lu at Beijing Normal University for allowing us to use their Laue machine. The work was supported by National Key Projects for Research and Development of China with Grant No. 2021YFA1400400, National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant Nos 11822405, 12074174, 12074175, 11774152, 11904170, and 12004191, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu province with Grant Nos. BK20180006, BK20190436 and BK20200738, Hubei Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 2021CFB238, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities with Grant No. 020414380183, and the Office of International Cooperation and Exchanges of Nanjing University. We acknowledge the neutron beam time from J-PARC with Proposal No. 2019A0002 and from ANSTO with Proposal No. P8074. Z.M. thanks Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics for funding support.

- * These authors contributed equally to the work.
† slyu@nju.edu.cn
‡ jxli@nju.edu.cn
§ jwen@nju.edu.cn
- ¹ Tôru Moriya, “Recent progress in the theory of itinerant electron magnetism,” *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **14**, 1–46 (1979).
 - ² W. Heisenberg, “Zur Theorie des Ferromagnetismus,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **49**, 619–636 (1928).
 - ³ F. Bloch, “Bemerkung zur Elektronentheorie des Ferromagnetismus und der elektrischen Leitfähigkeit,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **57**, 545–555 (1929).
 - ⁴ J. C. Slater, “The Ferromagnetism of Nickel,” *Phys. Rev.* **49**, 537–545 (1936).
 - ⁵ E. C. Stoner, “Ferromagnetism,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **11**, 43–112 (1947).
 - ⁶ Duan Feng and Guojun Jin, *Condensed Matter Physics (Volume I)* (Higher Education Press, 2013).
 - ⁷ Xiao-Fei Su, Zhao-Long Gu, Zhao-Yang Dong, Shun-Li Yu, and Jian-Xin Li, “Ferromagnetism and spin excitations in topological hubbard models with a flat band,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 014407 (2019).
 - ⁸ M. F. Collins, V. J. Minkiewicz, R. Nathans, L. Passell, and G. Shirane, “Critical and Spin-Wave Scattering of Neutrons from Iron,” *Phys. Rev.* **179**, 417–430 (1969).
 - ⁹ J. W. Lynn, “Temperature dependence of the magnetic excitations in iron,” *Phys. Rev. B* **11**, 2624–2637 (1975).
 - ¹⁰ V. J. Minkiewicz, M. F. Collins, R. Nathans, and G. Shirane, “Critical and spin-wave fluctuations in nickel by neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev.* **182**, 624–631 (1969).
 - ¹¹ H. A. Mook, J. W. Lynn, and R. M. Nicklow, “Temperature dependence of the magnetic excitations in nickel,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 556–559 (1973).
 - ¹² J. W. Lynn and H. A. Mook, “Temperature dependence of the dynamic susceptibility of nickel,” *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 198–206 (1981).
 - ¹³ G. Shirane, P. Böni, and J. L. Martínez, “Propagating spin waves in Ni above T_C : Evidence against their existence,” *Phys. Rev. B* **36**, 881–883 (1987).
 - ¹⁴ Y. Ishikawa, G. Shirane, J. A. Tarvin, and M. Kohgi, “Magnetic excitations in the weak itinerant ferromagnet MnSi,” *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 4956–4970 (1977).
 - ¹⁵ Hilbert v. Löhneysen, Achim Rosch, Matthias Vojta, and Peter Wölfle, “Fermi-liquid instabilities at magnetic quantum phase transitions,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 1015–1075 (2007).
 - ¹⁶ Yasuo Endoh and Peter Bni, “Magnetic excitations in metallic ferro- and antiferromagnets,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75**, 111002 (2006).
 - ¹⁷ O. Steinsvoll, C. F. Majkrzak, G. Shirane, and J. Wicksted, “Magnetic fluctuation scattering from metallic nickel above the curie temperature,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 300–303 (1983).
 - ¹⁸ H. A. Mook and J. W. Lynn, “Direct observation of spin waves above T_C for nickel,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 150–153 (1986).
 - ¹⁹ Xiuping Tao, D. P. Landau, T. C. Schulthess, and G. M. Stocks, “Spin Waves in Paramagnetic bcc Iron: Spin Dynamics Simulations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 087207 (2005).
 - ²⁰ Leo P. Kadanoff, Wolfgang Götze, David Hamblen, Robert Hecht, E. A. S. Lewis, V. V. Palciauskas, Martin Rayl, J. Swift, David Aspnes, and Joseph Kane, “Static Phenomena Near Critical Points: Theory and Experiment,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39**, 395–431 (1967).
 - ²¹ B. I. Halperin and P. C. Hohenberg, “Scaling laws for dynamic critical phenomena,” *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 952–971 (1969).
 - ²² Malcolm F Collins, *Magnetic critical scattering* (Oxford University Press, 1989).
 - ²³ Pengcheng Dai, “Antiferromagnetic order and spin dynamics in iron-based superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 855–896 (2015).
 - ²⁴ G. R. Stewart, “Heavy-fermion systems,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **56**, 755–787 (1984).
 - ²⁵ Qimiao Si and Frank Steglich, “Heavy Fermions and Quantum Phase Transitions,” *Science* **329**, 1161 (2010).
 - ²⁶ Yu Song, Weiyi Wang, Chongde Cao, Zahra Yamani, Yuanji Xu, Yutao Sheng, Wolfgang Ler, Yiming Qiu, Yifeng Yang, Robert J. Birgeneau, and Pengcheng Dai, “High-energy magnetic excitations from heavy quasiparticles in CeCu_2Si_2 ,” *npj Quant. Mater.* **6**, 60 (2021).
 - ²⁷ Eric Fawcett, “Spin-density-wave antiferromagnetism in chromium,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 209–283 (1988).
 - ²⁸ Xiang Chen, Igor Krivenko, Matthew B. Stone, Alexander I. Kolesnikov, Thomas Wolf, Dmitry Reznik, Kevin S. Bedell, Frank Lechermann, and Stephen D. Wilson, “Unconventional Hund metal in a weak itinerant ferromagnet,” *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3076 (2020).
 - ²⁹ Yaofeng Xie, Lebing Chen, Zhiping Yin, Tong Chen, Qi Wang, Qiangwei Yin, J. Ross Stewart, Erxi Feng, Huibo Cao, Hechang Lei, Allan H. MacDonald, and Pengcheng Dai, “Magnetic flat band in metallic kagome lattice FeSn ,” *arXiv:2103.12873* (2021).
 - ³⁰ Patrick A. Lee, Naoto Nagaosa, and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Doping a Mott insulator: Physics of high-temperature superconductivity,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 17–85 (2006).
 - ³¹ Guangyong Xu, G. D. Gu, M. Hücker, B. Fauqué, T. G. Perring, L. P. Regnault, and J. M. Tranquada, “Testing the itinerancy of spin dynamics in superconducting $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$,” *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 642–646 (2009).
 - ³² S. O. Diallo, V. P. Antropov, T. G. Perring, C. Broholm, J. J. Pulikkotil, N. Ni, S. L. Bud’ko, P. C. Canfield, A. Kreyssig, A. I. Goldman, and R. J. McQueeney, “Itinerant Magnetic Excitations in Antiferromagnetic CaFe_2As_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 187206 (2009).
 - ³³ Jun Zhao, D. T. Adroja, Dao-Xin Yao, R. Bewley, Shiliang Li, X. F. Wang, G. Wu, X. H. Chen, Jiangping Hu, and Pengcheng Dai, “Spin waves and magnetic exchange interactions in CaFe_2As_2 ,” *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 555–560 (2009).
 - ³⁴ Zhijun Xu, Jinsheng Wen, Guangyong Xu, Songxue Chi, Wei Ku, Genda Gu, and J. M. Tranquada, “Local-moment magnetism in superconducting $\text{FeTe}_{0.35}\text{Se}_{0.65}$ as seen via inelastic neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 052506 (2011).
 - ³⁵ Pengcheng Dai, Jiangping Hu, and Elbio Dagotto, “Magnetism and its microscopic origin in iron-based high-temperature superconductors,” *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 709–718 (2012).
 - ³⁶ K Haule and G Kotliar, “Coherence-incoherence crossover in the normal state of iron oxypnictides and importance of Hund’s rule coupling,” *New J. Phys.* **11**, 025021 (2009).

- ³⁷ Igor A. Zaliznyak, Zhijun Xu, John M. Tranquada, Genda Gu, Alexei M. Tsvelik, and Matthew B. Stone, “Unconventional Temperature Enhanced Magnetism in $\text{Fe}_{1.1}\text{Te}$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 216403 (2011).
- ³⁸ R. A. Ewings, T. G. Perring, J. Gillett, S. D. Das, S. E. Sebastian, A. E. Taylor, T. Guidi, and A. T. Boothroyd, “Itinerant spin excitations in SrFe_2As_2 measured by inelastic neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 214519 (2011).
- ³⁹ Shun-Li Yu, Jia Guo, and Jian-Xin Li, “Spin fluctuations and pairing symmetry in $\text{A}_x\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Se}_2$: dual effect of the itinerant and the localized nature of electrons,” *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **25**, 445702 (2013).
- ⁴⁰ Matthias Eschrig, “The effect of collective spin-1 excitations on electronic spectra in high- T_c superconductors,” *Adv. Phys.* **55**, 47 (2006).
- ⁴¹ H. F. Fong, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, L. P. Regnault, A. Ivanov, G. D. Gu, N. Koshizuka, and B. Keimer, “Neutron scattering from magnetic excitations in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$,” *Nature* **398**, 588 (1999).
- ⁴² J. M. Tranquada, H. Woo, T. G. Perring, H. Goka, G. D. Gu, G. Xu, M. Fujita, and K. Yamada, “Quantum magnetic excitations from stripes in copper oxide superconductors,” *Nature* **429**, 534 (2004).
- ⁴³ R-H He, K. Tanaka, S-K Mo, T. Sasagawa, M. Fujita, T. Adachi, N. Mannella, K. Yamada, Y. Koike, Z. Hussain, and Z-X Shen, “Energy gaps in the failed high- T_c superconductor $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$,” *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 119 (2009).
- ⁴⁴ Hans-Jörg Deiseroth, Krasimir Aleksandrov, Christof Reiner, Lorenz Kienle, and Reinhard K. Kremer, “ Fe_3GeTe_2 and Ni_3GeTe_2 —Two New Layered Transition-Metal Compounds: Crystal Structures, HRTEM Investigations, and Magnetic and Electrical Properties,” *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 1561–1567 (2006).
- ⁴⁵ Valeriy Yu. Verchenko, Alexander A. Tsirlin, Alexei V. Sobolev, Igor A. Presniakov, and Andrei V. Shevelkov, “Ferromagnetic Order, Strong Magnetocrystalline Anisotropy, and Magnetocaloric Effect in the Layered Telluride $\text{Fe}_{3-\delta}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Inorg. Chem.* **54**, 8598–8607 (2015).
- ⁴⁶ Jieyu Yi, Houlong Zhuang, Qiang Zou, Zhiming Wu, Guixin Cao, Siwei Tang, S. A. Calder, P. R. C. Kent, David Mandrus, and Zheng Gai, “Competing antiferromagnetism in a quasi-2D itinerant ferromagnet: Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *2D Mater.* **4**, 011005 (2016).
- ⁴⁷ Andrew F. May, Stuart Calder, Claudia Cantoni, Huibo Cao, and Michael A. McGuire, “Magnetic structure and phase stability of the van der Waals bonded ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 014411 (2016).
- ⁴⁸ Bingjie Liu, Youming Zou, Shiming Zhou, Lei Zhang, Zhe Wang, Hexuan Li, Zhe Qu, and Yuheng Zhang, “Critical behavior of the van der Waals bonded high T_C ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 6184 (2017).
- ⁴⁹ Yu Liu, V. N. Ivanovski, and C. Petrovic, “Critical behavior of the van der Waals bonded ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144429 (2017).
- ⁵⁰ Cheng Tan, Jinhwan Lee, Soon-Gil Jung, Tuson Park, Sultan Albarakati, James Partridge, Matthew R. Field, Dougal G. McCulloch, Lan Wang, and Changgu Lee, “Hard magnetic properties in nanoflake van der Waals Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1554 (2018).
- ⁵¹ Wei Liu, Yamei Wang, Jiyu Fan, Li Pi, Min Ge, Lei Zhang, and Yuheng Zhang, “Field-dependent anisotropic magnetic coupling in layered ferromagnetic $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 104403 (2019).
- ⁵² Yurong You, Yuanyuan Gong, Hang Li, Zefang Li, Mengmei Zhu, Jiaxuan Tang, Enke Liu, Yuan Yao, Guizhou Xu, Feng Xu, and Wenhong Wang, “Angular dependence of the topological Hall effect in the uniaxial van der Waals ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 134441 (2019).
- ⁵³ Se Young Park, Dong Seob Kim, Yu Liu, Jinwoong Hwang, Younghak Kim, Wondong Kim, Jae-Young Kim, Cedomir Petrovic, Choongyu Hwang, Sung-Kwan Mo, Hyung-jun Kim, Byoung-Chul Min, Hyun Cheol Koo, Joonyeon Chang, Chaun Jang, Jun Woo Choi, and Hyejin Ryu, “Controlling the Magnetic Anisotropy of the van der Waals Ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 through Hole Doping,” *Nano Lett.* **20**, 95–100 (2020).
- ⁵⁴ Yihao Wang, Cong Xian, Jian Wang, Bingjie Liu, Langsheng Ling, Lei Zhang, Liang Cao, Zhe Qu, and Yimin Xiong, “Anisotropic anomalous Hall effect in triangular itinerant ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 134428 (2017).
- ⁵⁵ Kyoo Kim, Junho Seo, Eunwoo Lee, K-T Ko, BS Kim, Bo Gyu Jang, Jong Mok Ok, Jinwon Lee, Youn Jung Jo, Woun Kang, Ji Hoon Shim, C. Kim, Han Woong Yeom, Byung Il Min, Bohm-Jung Yang, and Jun Sung Kim, “Large anomalous Hall current induced by topological nodal lines in a ferromagnetic van der Waals semimetal,” *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 794–799 (2018).
- ⁵⁶ Yu Liu, Eli Stavitski, Klaus Attenkofer, and C. Petrovic, “Anomalous Hall effect in the van der Waals bonded ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 165415 (2018).
- ⁵⁷ Bei Ding, Zefang Li, Guizhou Xu, Hang Li, Zhipeng Hou, Enke Liu, Xuekui Xi, Feng Xu, Yuan Yao, and Wenhong Wang, “Observation of Magnetic Skyrmion Bubbles in a van der Waals Ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nano Lett.* **20**, 868–873 (2020).
- ⁵⁸ Yun Zhang, Haiyan Lu, Xiegang Zhu, Shiyong Tan, Wei Feng, Qin Liu, Wen Zhang, Qiuyun Chen, Yi Liu, Xuebing Luo, Donghua Xie, Lizhu Luo, Zhengjun Zhang, and Xinchun Lai, “Emergence of Kondo lattice behavior in a van der Waals itinerant ferromagnet, Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaao6791 (2018).
- ⁵⁹ Mengting Zhao, Bin-Bin Chen, Yilian Xi, Yanyan Zhao, Hang Xu, Hongrun Zhang, Ningyan Cheng, Haifeng Feng, Jincheng Zhuang, Feng Pan, Xun Xu, Weichang Hao, Wei Li, Si Zhou, Shi Xue Dou, and Yi Du, “Kondo Holes in the Two-Dimensional Itinerant Ising Ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nano Lett.* **21**, 6117–6123 (2021).
- ⁶⁰ Jian-Xin Zhu, Marc Janoschek, D. S. Chaves, J. C. Cezar, Tomasz Durakiewicz, Filip Ronning, Yasmine Sassa, Martin Mansson, B. L. Scott, N. Wakeham, Eric D. Bauer, and J. D. Thompson, “Electronic correlation and magnetism in the ferromagnetic metal Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144404 (2016).
- ⁶¹ M. Corasaniti, R. Yang, K. Sen, K. Willa, M. Merz, A. A. Haghighirad, M. Le Tacon, and L. Degiorgi, “Electronic correlations in the van der Waals ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 revealed by its charge dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 161109 (2020).
- ⁶² Zaiyao Fei, Bevin Huang, Paul Malinowski, Wenbo Wang, Tiancheng Song, Joshua Sanchez, Wang Yao, Di Xiao, Xiaoyang Zhu, Andrew F May, Weida Wu, H. David Cobden, Jiun-Haw Chu, and Xiaodong Xu, “Two-dimensional itinerant ferromagnetism in atomically thin Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 778–782 (2018).
- ⁶³ Yujun Deng, Yijun Yu, Yichen Song, Jingzhao Zhang, Nai Zhou Wang, Zeyuan Sun, Yangfan Yi, Yi Zheng

- Wu, Shiwei Wu, Junyi Zhu, Jing Wang, Xian Hui Chen, and Yuanbo Zhang, “Gate-tunable room-temperature ferromagnetism in two-dimensional Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Nature* **563**, 94–99 (2018).
- ⁶⁴ Zhe Wang, Deepak Sapkota, Takashi Taniguchi, Kenji Watanabe, David Mandrus, and Alberto F Morpurgo, “Tunneling spin valves based on $\text{Fe}_3\text{GeTe}_2/\text{hBN}/\text{Fe}_3\text{GeTe}_2$ van der Waals heterostructures,” *Nano Lett.* **18**, 4303–4308 (2018).
- ⁶⁵ Xiao Wang, Jian Tang, Xiuxin Xia, Congli He, Junwei Zhang, Yizhou Liu, Caihua Wan, Chi Fang, Chenyang Guo, Wenlong Yang, Yao Guang, Xiaomin Zhang, Hongjun Xu, Jinwu Wei, Mengzhou Liao, Xiaobo Lu, Jiafeng Feng, Xiaoxi Li, Yong Peng, Hongxiang Wei, Rong Yang, Dongxia Shi, Xixiang Zhang, Zheng Han, Zhi-dong Zhang, Guangyu Zhang, Guoqiang Yu, and Xiufeng Han, “Current-driven magnetization switching in a van der Waals ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaaw8904 (2019).
- ⁶⁶ Guolin Zheng, Wen-Qiang Xie, Sultan Albarakati, Meri Algarni, Cheng Tan, Yihao Wang, Jingyang Peng, James Partridge, Lawrence Farrar, Jiabao Yi, Yimin Xiong, Mingliang Tian, Yu-Jun Zhao, and Lan Wang, “Gate-Tuned Interlayer Coupling in van der Waals Ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 Nanoflakes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 047202 (2020).
- ⁶⁷ Bo Liu, Shanshan Liu, Long Yang, Zhendong Chen, Enze Zhang, Zihan Li, Jing Wu, Xuezhong Ruan, Faxian Xiu, Wenqing Liu, Liang He, Rong Zhang, and Yongbing Xu, “Light-Tunable Ferromagnetism in Atomically Thin Fe_3GeTe_2 Driven by Femtosecond Laser Pulse,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 267205 (2020).
- ⁶⁸ Cheng Gong, Lin Li, Zhenglu Li, Huiwen Ji, Alex Stern, Yang Xia, Ting Cao, Wei Bao, Chenzhe Wang, Yuan Wang, Z. Q. Qiu, R. J. Cava, Steven G. Louie, Jing Xia, and Xiang Zhang, “Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional van der Waals crystals,” *Nature* **546**, 265–269 (2017).
- ⁶⁹ Bevin Huang, Genevieve Clark, Efrén Navarro-Moratalla, Dahlia R. Klein, Ran Cheng, Kyle L. Seyler, Ding Zhong, Emma Schmidgall, Michael A. McGuire, David H. Cobden, Wang Yao, Di Xiao, Pablo Jarillo-Herrero, and Xiaodong Xu, “Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit,” *Nature* **546**, 270–273 (2017).
- ⁷⁰ Bin Chen, JinHu Yang, HangDong Wang, Masaki Imai, Hiroto Ohta, Chishiro Michioka, Kazuyoshi Yoshimura, and MingHu Fang, “Magnetic Properties of Layered Itinerant Electron Ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 124711 (2013).
- ⁷¹ Houlong L. Zhuang, P. R. C. Kent, and Richard G. Hennig, “Strong anisotropy and magnetostriction in the two-dimensional Stoner ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 134407 (2016).
- ⁷² X. Xu, Y. W. Li, S. R. Duan, S. L. Zhang, Y. J. Chen, L. Kang, A. J. Liang, C. Chen, W. Xia, Y. Xu, P. Malinowski, X. D. Xu, J.-H. Chu, G. Li, Y. F. Guo, Z. K. Liu, L. X. Yang, and Y. L. Chen, “Signature for non-stoner ferromagnetism in the van der waals ferromagnet Fe_3GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 201104 (2020).
- ⁷³ S. Calder, A. I. Kolesnikov, and A. F. May, “Magnetic excitations in the quasi-two-dimensional ferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ measured with inelastic neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 094423 (2019).
- ⁷⁴ Zhen-Xiong Shen, Xiangyan Bo, Kun Cao, Xiangang Wan, and Lixin He, “Magnetic ground state and electron-doping tuning of Curie temperature in Fe_3GeTe_2 : First-principles studies,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 085102 (2021).
- ⁷⁵ See Supplementary Materials at URL for additional data and discussions on the magnetizations, composition characterizations, low- and high-energy excitation spectra, Kondo effect, and DFT and RPA calculations. It contains Ref. 76 which is not cited in the main text.
- ⁷⁶ Tao Xie, Yuan Wei, Dongliang Gong, Tom Fennell, Uwe Stuhr, Ryoichi Kajimoto, Kazuhiko Ikeuchi, Shiliang Li, Jiangping Hu, and Huiqian Luo, “Odd and Even Modes of Neutron Spin Resonance in the Bilayer Iron-Based Superconductor $\text{CaKFe}_4\text{As}_4$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 267003 (2018).
- ⁷⁷ Ryoichi Kajimoto, Mitsutaka Nakamura, Yasuhiro Inamura, Fumio Mizuno, Kenji Nakajima, Seiko Ohira-Kawamura, Tetsuya Yokoo, Takeshi Nakatani, Ryuji Maruyama, Kazuhiko Soyama, Kaoru Shibata, Kentaro Suzuya, Setsuo Sato, Kazuya Aizawa, Masatoshi Arai, Shuichi Wakimoto, Motoyuki Ishikado, Shin-ichi Shamoto, Masaki Fujita, Haruhiro Hiraka, Kenji Ohoyama, Kazuyoshi Yamada, and Chul-Ho Lee, “The Fermi Chopper Spectrometer 4SEASONS at J-PARC,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **80**, SB025 (2011).
- ⁷⁸ C.-M. Wu, G. Deng, J.S. Gardner, P. Vorderwisch, W.-H. Li, S. Yano, J.-C. Peng, and E. Imamovic, “SIKA—the multiplexing cold-neutron triple-axis spectrometer at ANSTO,” *J. Inst.* **11**, P10009 (2016).
- ⁷⁹ Yasuhiro Inamura, Takeshi Nakatani, Jiro Suzuki, and Toshiya Otomo, “Development status of software “Utusemi” for chopper spectrometers at MLF, J-PARC,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, SA031 (2013).
- ⁸⁰ Zhengwei Cai, Song Bao, Wei Wang, Zhen Ma, Zhao-Yang Dong, Yanyan Shangguan, Jinghui Wang, Kejing Ran, Shichao Li, Kazuya Kamazawa, Mitsutaka Nakamura, Devashibhai Adroja, Shun-Li Yu, Jian-Xin Li, and Jinsheng Wen, “Spin dynamics of a magnetic Weyl semimetal $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_{1-y}\text{Sb}_2$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 134408 (2020).
- ⁸¹ P Giannozzi, O Andreussi, T Brumme, O Bunau, M Buongiorno Nardelli, M Calandra, R Car, C Cavazzoni, D Ceresoli, M Cococcioni, N Colonna, I Carnimeo, A Dal Corso, S de Gironcoli, P Delugas, R A DiStasio, A Ferretti, A Floris, G Fratesi, G Fugallo, R Gebauer, U Gerstmann, F Giustino, T Gorni, J Jia, M Kawamura, H-Y Ko, A Kokalj, E Küçükbenli, M Lazzeri, M Marsili, N Marzari, F Mauri, N L Nguyen, H-V Nguyen, A Otero de-la Roza, L Paulatto, S Poncé, D Rocca, R Sabatini, B Santra, M Schlipf, A P Seitsonen, A Smogunov, I Timrov, T Thonhauser, P Umari, N Vast, X Wu, and S Baroni, “Advanced capabilities for materials modelling with Quantum ESPRESSO,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **29**, 465901 (2017).
- ⁸² J. W. Lynn, R. W. Erwin, J. A. Borchers, Q. Huang, A. Santoro, J.-L. Peng, and Z. Y. Li, “Unconventional Ferromagnetic Transition in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4046–4049 (1996).
- ⁸³ Lebing Chen, Jae-Ho Chung, Tong Chen, Chunruo Duan, Astrid Schneidewind, Igor Radelytskyi, David J. Voneshen, Russell A. Ewings, Matthew B. Stone, Alexander I. Kolesnikov, Barry Winn, Songxue Chi, R. A. Mole, D. H. Yu, Bin Gao, and Pengcheng Dai, “Magnetic anisotropy in ferromagnetic CrI_3 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 134418 (2020).

- ⁸⁴ Franz Demmel and Tapan Chatterji, “Persistent spin waves above the Néel temperature in YMnO_3 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 212402 (2007).
- ⁸⁵ L. W. Harriger, H. Q. Luo, M. S. Liu, C. Frost, J. P. Hu, M. R. Norman, and Pengcheng Dai, “Nematic spin fluid in the tetragonal phase of BaFe_2As_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 054544 (2011).
- ⁸⁶ Tapan Chatterji, F. Demmel, G. Dhalenne, M.-A. Drouin, A. Revcolevschi, and R. Suryanarayanan, “Spin dynamics of the quasi-two-dimensional ferromagnetic bilayer magnet $\text{La}_{1.2}\text{Sr}_{1.8}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 014439 (2005).
- ⁸⁷ K. Matan, R. Morinaga, K. Iida, and T. J. Sato, “Anisotropic itinerant magnetism and spin fluctuations in BaFe_2As_2 : A neutron scattering study,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 054526 (2009).
- ⁸⁸ D. L. Quintero-Castro, B. Lake, A. T. M. N. Islam, E. M. Wheeler, C. Balz, M. Månsson, K. C. Rule, S. Gvasaliya, and A. Zheludev, “Asymmetric Thermal Line Shape Broadening in a Gapped 3D Antiferromagnet: Evidence for Strong Correlations at Finite Temperature,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 127206 (2012).
- ⁸⁹ S. P. Bayrakci, T. Keller, K. Habicht, and B. Keimer, “Spin-Wave Lifetimes Throughout the Brillouin Zone,” *Science* **312**, 1926–1929 (2006).
- ⁹⁰ T. Huberman, D. A. Tennant, R. A. Cowley, R. Coldea, and C. D. Frost, “A study of the quantum classical crossover in the spin dynamics of the 2D $S=5/2$ antiferromagnet Rb_2MnF_4 : neutron scattering, computer simulations and analytic theories,” *J. Stat. Mech.* **2008**, P05017 (2008).
- ⁹¹ K. Yamagami, Y. Fujisawa, B. Driesen, C. H. Hsu, K. Kawaguchi, H. Tanaka, T. Kondo, Y. Zhang, H. Wadati, K. Araki, T. Takeda, Y. Takeda, T. Muro, F. C. Chuang, Y. Niimi, K. Kuroda, M. Kobayashi, and Y. Okada, “Itinerant ferromagnetism mediated by giant spin polarization of the metallic ligand band in the van der Waals magnet Fe_5GeTe_2 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, L060403 (2021).
- ⁹² Ming Yi, Yan Zhang, Zhi-Xun Shen, and Donghui Lu, “Role of the orbital degree of freedom in iron-based superconductors,” *npj Quant. Mater.* **2**, 57 (2017).