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#### Abstract

Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold. A vertex in $\Delta$ whose link is not a sphere is called a singular vertex. When $\Delta$ contains at most two singular vertices, its combinatorial characterization is known 9. In this article, we present a combinatorial characterization of such a $\Delta$ when it has three singular vertices, including one $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ singularity, or four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities. In both cases, we prove that $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface, and some boundary complexes of 4 -simplices by applying the combinatorial operations of types connected sums, vertex foldings, and edge foldings.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of pseudomanifolds, and in particular normal pseudomanifolds, has been one of the central topics in combinatorial topology. Structures for such objects from a combinatorial and topological viewpoint in terms of simplicial and cell complexes are being developed by various researchers throughout the world. A basic and fundamental enumerative invariant of any $n$-dimensional simplicial complex, $\Delta$, is its $f$-vector $\left(f_{-1}, f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$, where $f_{i}$ is the number of $i$-dimensional faces of $\Delta$ for $-1 \leq i \leq n$. The empty set is considered to be the only face of dimension -1 . Inequalities in the lower and upper bound conjectures involving $f_{i}$ have been used as a very interesting and powerful tool to analyze the interplay between the $f$-vector of a complex and its geometric carrier. In 1970, a breakthrough in this direction was given by Walkup [19]. Inspired by [19, a new combinatorial invariant $g_{2}(\Delta)$ has been defined as $g_{2}(\Delta)=f_{1}-(d+1) f_{0}+\binom{d+2}{2}$ for every $d$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$. From [19], it is known that for a triangulated 3-manifold $\Delta, g_{2}(\Delta) \geq 0$ with the equality occurring when $\Delta$ is a triangulation of a stacked sphere. Barnette [4, 5] achieved the same results in higher dimensions. In 1987, Kalai [13 used the concept of rigidity and framework on graphs to establish the lower bounds of $f$-vector for normal pseudomanifolds of dimension at least three, where 2-dimensional links were triangulated spheres.

[^0]Kalai's findings establish a lower bound on the value of $g_{2}$ for a normal $d$-pseudomanifold, where 2-dimensional links are spheres, expressed in terms of the same for a link. Subsequently, Fogelsanger's results in [8, Chapter 8] removed the restriction on 2-dimensional links. The combined implications of Kalai and Fogelsanger's results assert that if $d \geq 0$ and $\Delta$ is a normal $d$-pseudomanifold, then for any face $\sigma$ of $\Delta$ with co-dimension 3 or more, the inequality $g_{2}(\Delta) \geq g_{2}(\operatorname{lk}(\sigma, \Delta))$ holds. In [12], the non-negativity of the invariant $g_{2}$ has been described in a different way of looking at rigidity, and in [3], a detailed study on the lower bound theorem has been given in terms of Gromov rigidity. For the case of the normal 3-pseudomanifold $\Delta$, the result turned out to be $g_{2}(\Delta) \geq g_{2}(\mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta))$ for every vertex $v$ in $\Delta$. If $g_{2}(\Delta)=g_{2}(\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta))$ for some vertex $v$, then such a complex $\Delta$ is said to be $g_{2}$-minimal (or have relatively minimal $g_{2}[9]$ ). Basak and Swartz [9] proved that if $\Delta$ is a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold with at most two singular vertices, then $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface and some boundary complexes of 4 -simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums. In this article, we have worked with the $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifolds with at most four singularities. More precisely, we proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that $\Delta$ has $n$ singular vertices among which $n-2$ have $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities, where $3 \leq n \leq 4$. Then $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface, and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of types connected sums, vertex foldings, and edge foldings.

Several classifications and descriptions of triangulated manifolds and pseudomanifolds have been done based on the $f$-vector and the value of $g_{2}$. In [2], the $d$-pseudomanifold with $d+4$ vertices has been described. The work in [16] established that only a finite number of combinatorial manifolds are possible for a given upper bound of $g_{2}$. In [17, 15, the $f$-vector was the key factor where homology manifolds and specific types of pseudomanifolds were involved. In [14] and [20], normal $d$-pseudomanifolds with $g_{2}=1$ and $g_{2}=2$ have been characterized, respectively. The concepts of rigidity and the frameworks described in [13, 18] are utilized in each case. In the recent article [7, normal 3-pseudomanifolds with at most two singular vertices are characterized. Additionally, [6] delves into the characterization of normal 3 -pseudomanifolds with $g_{2} \leq 4$. For a characterization of homology $d$-manifolds with $g_{2} \leq 3$, one can refer to [8].

## 2 Preliminaries

A simplicial complex $K$ is a finite collection of simplices in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every simplex $\sigma \in K$, all of its faces are in $K$, and for any two simplices $\sigma, \tau \in K$, $\sigma \cap \tau$ is a face of both $\sigma$ and $\tau$. We assume that the empty set $\emptyset$ (considered a simplex of dimension -1 ) is a member of every simplicial complex. For a simplicial complex $\Delta$, the notation $|\Delta|$ will be used to denote the geometric carrier, i.e., $|\Delta|:=\cup_{\sigma \in \Delta} \sigma$. Two simplices, $\sigma=u_{0} u_{1} \cdots u_{k}$ and $\tau=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{l}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are considered skew if $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{0}, \ldots, v_{l}$ are affinely independent. In that case, $u_{0} \cdots u_{k} v_{0} \cdots v_{l}$ is a $(k+l+1)$ simplex and is denoted by $\sigma \star \tau$ or $\sigma \tau$. Two simplicial complexes $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ in some $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ are called skew if $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are skew for every simplex $\sigma \in \Delta_{1}$ and $\tau \in \Delta_{2}$. The join of two skew simplicial complexes $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ is defined as $\left\{\sigma \star \tau: \sigma \in \Delta_{1}, \tau \in \Delta_{2}\right\}$, and is denoted by $\Delta_{1} \star \Delta_{2}$. In particular, the join $\sigma \star \Delta_{1}$, where $\sigma$ is an $i$-simplex and $\Delta_{1}$ is a $j$-dimensional simplicial complex, is defined as the $(i+j+1)$-dimensional simplicial complex $\{\tau: \tau \leq \sigma\} \star \Delta_{1}$. If a simplex $\tau$ is a face of another simplex $\sigma$, then we denote it by $\tau \leq \sigma$.

If $S$ is a collection of simplices, then the sub-collection of all the simplices of dimension at most one in $S$ is called the graph of $S$ and is denoted by $G(S)$. The link of a face $\sigma$ in $\Delta$ is the collection of faces in $\Delta$ that do not intersect $\sigma$ and whose join with $\sigma$ lies in $\Delta$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)$. The star of a face $\sigma$ in $\Delta$ is $\{\gamma: \gamma \leq \sigma \star \alpha$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)\}$ and is denoted by st $(\sigma, \Delta)$. If the underlying simplicial complex is specified, we then simply write $\mathrm{lk}(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{st}(\sigma)$ for $\mathrm{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)$ and st $(\sigma, \Delta)$, respectively. A maximal face in $\Delta$ (i.e., a maximal simplex in $\Delta$ ) is called a facet of $\Delta$, and if all the facets are of the same dimension, then we say $\Delta$ is a pure simplicial complex. For a simplex $\sigma$, its boundary complex is defined as $\{\tau: \tau \leq \sigma$ and $\tau \neq \sigma\}$.

Several combinatorial tools have been defined to classify simplicial complexes, and taking the suspension of a simplicial complex is one such tool. In [3] and [10, another type of suspension has been defined for the class of normal pseudomanifolds by introducing one extra vertex instead of two. Let $\Delta$ be a normal $(d-1)$-pseudomanifold, and $v \in \Delta$ be a vertex. Then, for a new symbol $u \notin \Delta$, consider the normal $d$-pseudomanifold $\sum_{v, u} \Delta:=$ $(v \star\{\tau \in \Delta: v \not \leq \tau\}) \cup(u \star \Delta)$. The geometric carrier of $\sum_{v, u} \Delta$ is the suspension of $|\Delta|$. The complex $\sum_{v, u} \Delta$ is called the one-vertex suspension of $\Delta$ with respect to the vertex $v$. Note that in $\sum_{v, u} \Delta, \mathrm{lk} u$ and $\mathrm{lk} v$ are simplicially isomorphic to $\Delta$.

A $d$-pseudomanifold is a pure $d$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ such that every face of dimension $d-1$ is contained in exactly two facets, and for any pair of facets $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in $\Delta$, there is a sequence of facets $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$ in $\Delta$ such that $\sigma=\sigma_{1}, \tau=\sigma_{m}$, and $\sigma_{i} \cap \sigma_{i+1}$ is a $(d-1)$-simplex in $\Delta$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. The $d$-pseudomanifolds in which the links of all faces of dimensions up to $d-2$ are connected are called normal. In particular, a normal 3 -pseudomanifold is a strongly connected 3 -dimensional pure simplicial complex where the geometric carrier of the link of each vertex is a closed and connected surface. A vertex in a normal pseudomanifold $\Delta$ whose link is a triangulated sphere is called a non-singular vertex of $\Delta$. If $t$ is a vertex in a normal pseudomanifold $\Delta$ whose link is not a triangulated sphere, we call $t$ a singular vertex of $\Delta$, and if $|\mathrm{k}(t, \Delta)| \cong S$, we say $t$ has $S$-singularity. Two combinatorial tools, vertex folding, and edge folding, are first introduced in [9], and these two are going to be the main key factors in our result.

Definition 2.1. Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be two facets of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ whose intersection is a single vertex $x$. Suppose there is a simplicial bijection $\psi: \sigma_{1} \rightarrow \sigma_{2}$ such that $\psi(x)=x$ and for all other vertices $y \leq \sigma_{1}$, the only path of length two or less from $y$ to $\psi(y)$ is $(y, x, \psi(y))$. Then we can obtain a complex $\Delta_{x}^{\psi}$ by identifying all the faces $\rho \leq \sigma_{1}$ with $\psi(\rho) \leq \sigma_{2}$ and then removing the identified facet. We call $\Delta_{x}^{\psi}$ a vertex folding of $\Delta$ at $x$, and in this case, $\Delta$ is called a vertex unfolding of $\Delta_{x}^{\psi}$.
Definition 2.2. Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be two facets of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ whose intersection is a single edge xy. Suppose there is a simplicial bijection $\psi: \sigma_{1} \rightarrow \sigma_{2}$ such that $\psi(x)=x$, $\psi(y)=y$, and for all other vertices $u \leq \sigma_{1}$, all paths of length two or less from $u$ to $\psi(u)$ pass through either $x$ or $y$. Then we can obtain a complex $\Delta_{x y}^{\psi}$ by identifying all the faces $\rho \leq \sigma_{1}$ with $\psi(\rho) \leq \sigma_{2}$ and then removing the identified facet. We call $\Delta_{x y}^{\psi}$ an edge folding of $\Delta$ at xy, and in this case, $\Delta$ is called an edge unfolding of $\Delta_{x y}^{\psi}$.

If $\Delta$ is a normal $d$-pseudomanifold, then $\Delta_{x y}^{\psi}$ is a pseudomanifold that need not be normal. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{2}\left(\Delta_{x y}^{\psi}\right)=g_{2}(\Delta)+\binom{d}{2}, \quad \text { and } \\
\operatorname{lk}\left(x, \Delta_{x y}^{\psi}\right)=\operatorname{lk}(x, \Delta)_{y}^{\psi} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After applying vertex folding and edge folding to a simplicial complex, the vertices of two facets get identified by the admissible map $\psi$ in the new complex. However, to avoid ambiguity in notations in the new complex, we denote the identified vertices with the same notation as in the original complex.

The definition of a simplicial complex implies that sometimes $\Delta$ may contain all the proper faces of a simplex $\sigma$, but $\sigma \notin \Delta$. In such a case, we say $\sigma$ is a missing face of $\Delta$. If $S$ is a subset of $\Delta$ such that $x y \in S$ but the vertices $x$ and $y$ are not in $S$, then we say that $x y$ is an open edge of $S$ and denote it by $(x, y)$. The presence of a missing tetrahedron and an open edge in a normal 3-pseudomanifold and its subsets provides information regarding the construction of the same.

Proposition 2.3 ([9]). Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold. Suppose pqrs is a missing facet in $\Delta$ such that $\partial(q r s)$ and $\partial(p r s)$ separate $\operatorname{lk}(p, \Delta)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(q, \Delta)$, respectively, and a small neighborhood of $|\partial(p q r)|$ in $|1 \mathrm{k}(s, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip. Then a small neighborhood of $|\partial(p q s)|$ in $|\mathrm{lk}(r, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip. Further, there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta$ is obtained from $\Delta^{\prime}$ by an edge folding at $r s \in \Delta^{\prime}$, and pqrs is the image of the removed facet.

Proposition 2.4 ([9]). Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with at most two singular vertices, $t$ and $s$, such that $g_{2}(\operatorname{lk}(t, \Delta)) \geq g_{2}(\operatorname{lk}(s, \Delta))$. Then $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of $\mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta)$ and some boundary complexes of 4 -simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums. In particular, if $\Delta$ has exactly one singular vertex, then $\Delta$ is obtained from some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums.

In Proposition [2.4, it is evident that if $\operatorname{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong 1 \mathrm{k}(s, \Delta) \#_{n} H$, where $H$ is either Torus or Klein bottle, then $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of $\mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta)$ and some boundary complexes of 4 -simplices by exactly $n$ vertex foldings and finitely many connected sums.

Proposition 2.5 ([9]). Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold. Then there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold $\bar{\Delta}$ and a vertex $t \in \bar{\Delta}$ such that $\Delta$ is obtained from $\bar{\Delta}$ by (possibly zero) facet subdivisions, and $G(\bar{\Delta})=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \bar{\Delta}))$.

## 3 Normal 3-pseudomanifolds with three or four singularities

Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold and $u v$ be an edge in $\Delta$. Then $\mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta)$ : $u \leq \sigma\}$ is a triangulation of a surface with boundary. Let $K$ be a triangulation of a surface with a boundary. An edge $e$ is called an interior edge of $K$ if $e$ is contained in exactly two triangles in $K$, and an edge $e$ is called a boundary edge of $K$ if $e$ is contained in exactly one triangle in $K$. A vertex $v$ is called a boundary vertex of $K$ if there is a boundary edge of $K$ containing $v$. A vertex $u$ is referred to as an interior vertex of $K$ if there is no boundary edge of $K$ containing $u$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that $G(\Delta)=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \Delta))$, and let $v$ be a vertex in $\Delta$ such that $v \neq t$. Then $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ does not contain any interior vertex.

Proof. If $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ has an interior vertex, say $x$, then $x \in \operatorname{lk}(t, \Delta)$, and $v x$ is an edge in $\Delta$, but $v x \notin \mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta)$. This leads to a contradiction.

Let $v$ be a singular vertex in $\Delta$. If $|\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, then $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ is a Möbius strip, and by Lemma 3.1, $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ has no interior vertices. Let $M$ be a Möbius strip that does not have any interior vertices. An edge $x y \in M$ will be called a cut edge if the edge $x y$ is an interior edge of $M$, and $x y$ separates $M$ into two portions. Then one portion will be a disc, and the other portion will be another Möbius strip. If $x y$ is an interior edge of $M$, and $x y$ does not separate $M$, then we say $x y$ is a non-cut edge of the Möbius strip $M$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that $G(\Delta)=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \Delta))$ and $\Delta$ has exactly three singular vertices, including $t$. Let $v$ be a singular vertex in $\Delta$ such that $v \neq t$ and $|\operatorname{kk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R P}^{2}$. Then $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ contains a non-cut edge $x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. Moreover, the non-cut edge xy creates a missing tetrahedron xyvt in $\Delta$ such that a small neighborhood of $|\partial(x y t)|$ in $|1 \mathrm{k}(v, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we know that the Möbius strip $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ does not contain any interior vertex. If the Möbius strip $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ has a cut edge, then we can cut along the cut edge, and we get another Möbius strip. Continuing this a finite number of times, we have a Möbius strip $M$ where each interior edge will be a non-cut edge. Note that a non-cut edge of $M$ is also a non-cut edge of $\mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$. Furthermore, any vertex $x \in M$ is on the boundary of $M$ and has a degree of at least 3 . Moreover, $x$ is adjacent to two vertices in the boundary of $M$, and for the other vertex $y$, if $x y$ is an edge in $M$, then $x y$ is an interior edge, and hence a non-cut edge of $M$. Let $p$ be the singular vertex other than $t$ and $v$ in $\Delta$. If possible, let $M$ not contain an interior edge $x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. Then, all the interior edges of $M$ are incident to $p$ in $M$. If a non-singular vertex $u$ is not adjacent to $p$ in $M$, then $d(u) \geq 3$ implies that there is a non-singular vertex $x$ such that $u x$ is an interior edge of $M$, leading to a contradiction. Thus, all non-singular vertices of $M$ must be adjacent to $p$ in $M$. Consequently, the Möbius strip $M$ is the same as st $(p, M)$. This is contradictory, as st $(p, M)$ is a 2 -ball. Therefore, $M$ contains an interior edge $x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. Since each interior edge of $M$ is a non-cut edge of $M$, and a non-cut edge of $M$ is also a non-cut edge of $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$, the result is achieved.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $x, y \in \mathrm{lk}(t v, \Delta)$. Since $x y$ is an interior edge of $\mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash$ $\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}, x y \notin \operatorname{lk}(t v, \Delta)$. Thus, $x y v t \notin \Delta$. On the other hand, $x y \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)$, $x, y \in \operatorname{lk}(t v, \Delta)$, and $G(\Delta)=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \Delta))$ imply that $\partial(x y v t) \subset \Delta$. Therefore, xyvt is a missing tetrahedron in $\Delta$. Since $x y$ is a non-cut edge of $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$, $\partial(t x y)$ does not separate $1 \mathrm{k}(v, \Delta)$. Since $|\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, a small neighborhood of $|\partial(t x y)|$ in $|1 \mathrm{k}(v, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Delta$ be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that $G(\Delta)=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \Delta))$ and $\Delta$ has exactly four singular vertices, including $t$. Let $v$ be a singular vertex in $\Delta$ such that $v \neq t$ and $|\operatorname{k}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ contains a non-cut edge $x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. Moreover, the non-cut edge xy creates a missing tetrahedron xyvt in $\Delta$ such that a small neighborhood of $|\partial(x y t)|$ in $|1 \mathrm{k}(v, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip.

Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be two singular vertices other than $v$ and $t$ in $\Delta$. From Lemma 3.1, we know that the Möbius strip $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ does not contain any interior vertex. If the Möbius strip $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$ has a cut edge, then we can
cut along the cut edge and get another Möbius strip. Continuing this a finite number of times, we have a Möbius strip $M$ where each interior edge is a non-cut edge. Furthermore, any vertex $x \in M$ is in the boundary of $M$ and has a degree of at least 3 . Moreover, $x$ is adjacent to two vertices in the boundary of $M$, and for the other vertex $y$, if $x y$ is an edge in $M$, then $x y$ is an interior edge and hence a non-cut edge of $M$. If $M$ contains exactly one singular vertex, then we achieve our result by using similar arguments as in Lemma 3.2,


Figure 1
Assume that $M$ contains both singular vertices $p$ and $q$. If possible, suppose there is no interior edge $x y$ in $M$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices. In such a case, all the interior edges of $M$ are incident to either $p$ or $q$. If a non-singular vertex $u$ is adjacent to neither $p$ nor $q$ in $M$, then $d(u) \geq 3$ implies the existence of a non-singular vertex $x$ such that $u x$ is an interior edge of $M$, leading to a contradiction. Thus, all non-singular vertices of $M$ must be connected to either $p$ or $q$ in $M$. Consequently, the Möbius strip $M$ is the same as the union of st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$. Now we consider three possibilities.
Case 1: Let the edge $p q \notin M$. Then, the stars st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$ take the forms depicted in Figure 1, where $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ are non-singular vertices, $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$. If we identify $p_{i} p_{i+1}$ with $q_{j} q_{j+1}$ or $q_{j+1} q_{j}$, then the identified edge will be in the interior of $M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$ are disjoint, which contradicts the fact that the Möbius strip $M$ is the same as the union of st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$.

Case 2: Let $p q$ be a boundary edge of $M$. Suppose $\operatorname{lk}(p, M)=P\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, x, q\right)$. Then, no edge of the form $q z$ can be identified with some $p_{i} p_{i+1}$ or $p_{i+1} p_{i}$. Let $\operatorname{lk}(q, M)=$ $P\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}, x, p\right)$. Here, $x, p_{i}$, and $q_{j}$ are non-singular vertices, $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$. If we identify $p_{i} p_{i+1}$ with $q_{j} q_{j+1}$ or $q_{j+1} q_{j}$, then the identified edge will be an interior edge of $M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the union of $s t(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$ is a 2 -ball (cf. Figure 2(a)), contradicting the fact that the Möbius strip $M$ is the same as the union of st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$.

Case 3: Let $p q$ be an interior edge of $M$. Let $\operatorname{lk}(p, M)=P\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n_{1}}, x, q, y, p_{n_{2}}^{\prime}, p_{n_{2}-1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, no edge of the form $q z$ can be identified with an edge in the path $P\left(p_{n_{1}}, p_{n_{1}-1}, \ldots, p_{1}, p, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{n_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{lk}(q, M)=P\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m_{1}}, x, p, y, q_{m_{2}}^{\prime}, q_{m_{2}-1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, q_{2}^{\prime}, q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Here, $x, y, p_{i}, p_{j}^{\prime}, q_{k}$, and $q_{l}^{\prime}$ are non-singular vertices, $1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}$, $1 \leq k \leq m_{1}, 1 \leq l \leq m_{2}$. If we identify any edge from the paths $P\left(p_{n_{1}}, p_{n_{1}-1}, \ldots, p_{1}\right)$ or $P\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{n_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$ with an edge from the paths $P\left(q_{m_{1}}, q_{m_{1}-1}, \ldots, q_{1}\right)$ or $P\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{m_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$, then the identified edge will be an interior edge of $M$, leading to a contradiction. Consequently, the union of st $(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$ is a 2 -ball (cf. Figure $2(b)$ ), contradicting the fact that the Möbius strip $M$ is the same as the union of $\operatorname{st}(p, M)$ and st $(q, M)$.

(a)
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Figure 2

Therefore, $M$ contains an interior edge $x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. Since every interior edge of $M$ is a non-cut edge, and a non-cut edge of $M$ is likewise a non-cut edge of $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$, we have successfully established the result.

Using similar arguments as those in Lemma 3.2, it can be concluded that xyvt is a missing tetrahedron in $\Delta$. Since $x y$ is a non-cut edge of $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$, the boundary $\partial(t x y)$ does not separate $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)$. Since $|\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, a small neighborhood of $|\partial(t x y)|$ in $|\mathrm{lk}(v, \Delta)|$ is a Möbius strip.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold, and let $t$ be a singular vertex in $\Delta$ such that $G(\Delta)=G(\operatorname{st}(t, \Delta))$.

1. If $\Delta$ has three singular vertices, including one $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$-singularity, then $\Delta$ is obtained by an edge folding from a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold with exactly two singularities.
2. If $\Delta$ has four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities, then $\Delta$ is obtained by two repeated edge foldings on a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold with exactly two singularities.

Proof. First, we assume that $\Delta$ has three singular vertices, and let the singular vertices of $\Delta$ other than $t$ be $s$ and $v_{1}$, where $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Since $\left|\mathrm{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, by Lemma 3.2, there is a missing tetrahedron $t v_{1} x y$, where $x$ and $y$ are non-singular vertices. Moreover, a small neighborhood of $|\partial(t x y)|$ in $\left|1 \mathrm{k}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right|$ is a Möbius strip. According to Proposition [2.3, there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta=\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)_{t v_{1}}^{\psi}$. Since $\Delta$ is $g_{2}$-minimal with respect to $t, \Delta^{\prime}$ is also $g_{2}$-minimal with respect to $t$. On the other hand, $g_{2}\left(\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)=g_{2}\left(\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right)-3=0$, and hence $v_{1}$ is a non-singular vertex in $\Delta^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{lk}(s, \Delta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{lk}\left(s, \Delta^{\prime}\right), \Delta^{\prime}$ is a $g_{2}$-minimal normal pseudomanifold with two singular vertices $t$ and $s$. Moreover, $g_{2}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=g_{2}\left(\mathrm{lk}\left(t, \Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$, and $\Delta$ is obtained from $\Delta^{\prime}$ by an edge folding along the edge $t v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ is a non-singular vertex in $\Delta^{\prime}$.

Now, let $\Delta$ have four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$-singularities. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertices in $\Delta$ such that $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Since $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R P}^{2}$, by Lemma 3.3, there is a missing tetrahedron $t v_{2} w z$, where $w$ and $z$ are non-singular vertices. Moreover, a small neighborhood of $|\partial(t w z)|$ in $\left|\mathrm{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta\right)\right|$ is a Möbius strip. Therefore, by Proposition [2.3, $\Delta$ is formed via an edge folding along the edge $t v_{2}$ from a normal 3 -pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime}$. In $\Delta^{\prime}, v_{2}$ is a non-singular vertex because $g_{2}\left(\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $g_{2}\left(\mathrm{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta\right)\right)-3=0$. Since the singular vertices other than $t$ and $v_{2}$ did not participate in the process of edge folding, their link in $\Delta^{\prime}$ will be isomorphic to the links in $\Delta$. On the other hand, $\Delta^{\prime}$ is also a $g_{2}$-minimal normal pseudomanifold, with $g_{2}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=g_{2}\left(\operatorname{lk}\left(t, \Delta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus, $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a $g_{2}$ minimal normal pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, and $v_{1} \in \Delta^{\prime}$ is a vertex such that $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. By the same argument as in the last paragraph, $\Delta^{\prime}$ is obtained from a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ with exactly two singular vertices by an edge folding along the edge $t v_{1}$, where $v_{1}$ is a non-singular vertex in $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $\Delta$ is obtained from $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ by two edge foldings along the edges $t v_{1}$ and $t v_{2}$, where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, $t, s$, and $v$, where $|\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Also, let $g_{2}(\Delta)=g_{2}(\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta))$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that $\Delta=\bar{\Delta} \# \Delta_{1} \# \cdots \# \Delta_{n}$, where each $\Delta_{i}$ is the boundary complex of a 4 -simplex, and $G(\bar{\Delta})=G($ st $(t, \bar{\Delta}))$. Note that $\bar{\Delta}$ contains a copy of each of the vertices $t, s$, and $v$, with the links having the same Betti numbers as the link of those vertices in $\Delta$. Let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be the first Betti numbers of $\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta)$, respectively. By Theorem 3.4. $\bar{\Delta}$ is obtained from a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime}$ by an edge folding along an edge of the form $t v$, where $v$ is a non-singular vertex in $\Delta^{\prime}$. Further, $\Delta^{\prime}$ is $g_{2}$-minimal with respect to the singular vertex $t \in \Delta^{\prime}$, and the first Betti number of the link of $s$ in $\Delta^{\prime}$ is unchanged. Notice from the definition that an edge folding along an edge $t x$ reduces the first Betti number of the corresponding vertices by 1 in the resulting complex, and it follows that $b_{1}-b_{2} \geq 1$. On the other hand, if $\Delta$ has four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities, and $G(\Delta)=G($ st $(t, \Delta))$, then $\Delta$ is obtained from a $g_{2}$-minimal normal pseudomanifold by two repeated applications of edge folding. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.4, we have the following:

Corollary 3.5. Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with four singular vertices $t, s, v_{1}$, and $v_{2}$, where $\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{1}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong\left|\operatorname{lk}\left(v_{2}, \Delta\right)\right| \cong \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ and $\Delta$ is $g_{2}$-minimal with respect to the vertex $t$. If $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are the first Betti numbers of $1 \mathrm{k}(t, \Delta)$ and $\operatorname{lk}(s, \Delta)$, respectively, then $b_{1}-b_{2} \geq 2$.

Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3 -pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, including one $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$-singularity, or four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities. Let $s$ and $t$ be the other singular vertices in $\Delta$, where $g_{2}(\Delta)=g_{2}(\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta))$. Then in the first case, $\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_{n} \mathbb{T}^{2} \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, and for the second case, $1 \mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta) \#{ }_{n} \mathbb{T}^{2} \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2} \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, where $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ denotes a triangulated torus. Thus, using Proposition [2.5, Theorem 3.4, and Proposition 2.4. we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.6. Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, including one $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularity. Let $t$ be the singular vertex in $\Delta$ such that $g_{2}(\Delta)=$ $g_{2}(\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta))$. Then, $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of $1 \mathrm{k}(s, \Delta)$ and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by $n$ vertex foldings, one edge folding, and finitely many connected sums, where $1 \mathrm{k}(t, \Delta) \cong 1 \mathrm{k}(s, \Delta) \#_{n} \mathbb{T}^{2} \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ for a singular vertex $s$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\Delta$ be a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with four singular vertices, including two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities. Let $t$ be the singular vertex in $\Delta$ such that $g_{2}(\Delta)=$ $g_{2}(\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta))$. Then $\Delta$ is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of $\mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta)$ and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by $n$ vertex foldings, two edge foldings, and finitely many connected sums, where $\mathrm{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \mathrm{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_{n} \mathbb{T}^{2} \# \mathbb{R P}^{2} \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ for a singular vertex $s$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof follows from Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7.

Remark 3.8. The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 , which give the existence of a non-cut edge $x y$ in $\operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta) \backslash\{\sigma \in \operatorname{lk}(v, \Delta): t \leq \sigma\}$, where $v$ is a singular vertex and $x, y$ are non-singular vertices in $\Delta$. If $\Delta$ has more than four singular vertices, then such a non-cut edge may not exist. Therefore, if $\Delta$ has more than four singular vertices, then such a characterization for $\Delta$ may not be possible. In fact, we have an example of a $g_{2}$-minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold $\Delta$ with five singular vertices, among which one has $\mathbb{T}^{2}$-singularity and the remaining four have $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$-singularities (see [1]), where $\Delta$ cannot be obtained from a normal 3-pseudomanifold $\Delta^{\prime}$ by an edge folding.
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