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#### Abstract

We consider the irreducibility of Generalised Laguerre Polynomials for negative integral values given by $L_{n}^{(-1-n-s)}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n-j+s}{n-j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}$. For different values of $s$, this family gives polynomials which are of great interest. It was proved earlier that for $0 \leq s \leq 60$, these polynomials are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this paper we improve this result upto $s \leq 88$.


## 1. Introduction

For a positive integer $n$ and real number $\alpha$, the Generalised Laguerre Polynomial (GLP) is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(n+\alpha)(n-1+\alpha) \cdots(j+1+\alpha)}{j!(n-j)!}(-x)^{j} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These polynomials were discovered around 1880 and they have been extensively studied in various branches of mathematics and mathematical physics. The algebraic properties of GLP were first studied by Schur [8, [9] where he established the irreducibility of $L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ for $\alpha \in\{0,1,-n-1\}$, gave a formula for the discriminant $\Delta_{n}^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)=n!L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ by

$$
\Delta_{n}^{(\alpha)}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} j^{j}(\alpha+j)^{j-1}
$$

and calculated their assosciated Galois groups. For an account of results obtained on GLP, we refer to [3], [7].

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f=n$. By irreducibility of a polynomial, we shall always mean its irreducibility over $\mathbb{Q}$. We observe that if a polynomial of degree $n$ has a factor of degree $k<n$, then it has a factor of degree $n-k$. Therefore given a polynomial of degree $n$, we always consider factors of degree $k$ where $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. If the argument $\alpha$ of (1) is a negative integer, we see that the constant term of $L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ vanishes if and only if $n \geq|\alpha|=-\alpha$ and then $L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is reducible. Therefore we assume that

[^0]$\alpha \leq-n-1$. We write $\alpha=-n-s-1$ where $s$ is a non-negative integer. We have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}^{(-n-s-1)}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n} \frac{(n+s-j)!}{(n-j)!s!} \frac{x^{j}}{j!} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Borrowing the notation from [11], we consider the following polynomial

$$
g(x):=g(x, n, s)=(-1)^{n} L_{n}^{(-n-s-1)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+s-j}{n-j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}
$$

where $b_{j}=\binom{n+s-j}{n-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $b_{n}=1, b_{0}=\binom{n+s}{s}=\frac{(n+1) \cdots(n+s)}{s!}$. We observe that $g(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $L_{n}^{(-n-s-1)}(x)$ is irreducible. The aim of this paper is to discuss the irreducibility of $g(x)$. We consider more general polynomial

$$
G(x):=G(x, n, s)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} b_{j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}
$$

such that $a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ with $\left|a_{0}\right|=\left|a_{n}\right|=1$. If $a_{j}=1$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$, we have $G(x)=g(x)$. We write

$$
g_{1}(x):=n!g(x)=n!\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+s-j}{n-j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}
$$

and

$$
G_{1}(x)=n!G(x)
$$

so that $g_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ are monic polynomials with integer coefficients of degree $n$. The irreducibility of $g_{1}(x)$ and $G_{1}(x)$ implies the irreducibility of $g(x)$ and $G(x)$ respectively. We begin with the following result by Sinha and Shorey [11] on $G(x)$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $s \leq 92$. Then $G(x)=G(x, n, s)$ has no factor of degree $k \geq 2$ except when $(n, k, s) \in\{(4,2,7),(4,2,23),(9,2,19),(9,2,47),(16,2,14),(16,2,34),(16,2,89)$, $(9,3,47),(16,3,19),(10,5,4)\}$.

We re-state Lemma 1.1 as follows:
Let $s \leq 92$. Assume that $G(x)$ has a factor of degree greater than or equal to 2 . Then $(n, k, s) \in\{(4,2,7),(4,2,23),(9,2,19),(9,2,47),(16,2,14),(16,2,34),(16,2,89),(9,3,47)$, $(16,3,19),(10,5,4)\}$. We check that $g(x)$ is irreducible when $n \in\{4,9,10,16\}$. Therefore by Lemma 1.1 with $G(x)=g(x)$ we have

Lemma 1.2. Let $n \geq 3$ and $s \leq 92$. Then $g_{1}(x)$ is either irreducible or linear factor times an irreducible polynomial.

The irreducibility of $g_{1}(x)$ was proved by Schur [8] for $s=0$, by Hajir [2] for $s=1$, by Sell [10] for $s=2$ and by Hajir [3] for $3 \leq s \leq 8$. We shall prove

Theorem 1. $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible for $9 \leq s \leq 88$.

Nair and Shorey [6] and Jindal, Laishram and Sarma [5] already proved the irreducibility of $g_{1}(x)$ in the range of $9 \leq s \leq 22$ and $23 \leq s \leq 60$ respectively. But our proof of Theorem 1 is new. The proofs of [6] and 5] depend on the method of Hajir in [3] whereas our proof depends on Lemma 1.2 which is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.1. We could not cover the cases of $88 \leq s \leq 92$ due to computational limitations.

At this point, we pause with a digression concerning notation. In order to dispell possible confusion in the reader concerning notation, it is worth pointing out that the notation $L_{n}^{<s>}(x)$ (resp., $\mathcal{L}_{n}^{<s>}(x)$ ) was used by the authors in [3], 5] and [7] to denote the polynomial $g(x, n, s)$ (resp, $g_{1}(x, n, s)$ ).

## 2. Preliminaries

From now onwards we shall assume that $s \geq 9$. For a real number $\alpha$, we write $[\alpha]$ to be the largest integer not exceeding $\alpha$.

Let $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} d_{j} x^{j} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $d_{0} d_{m} \neq 0$ and let $p$ be a prime. For an integer $x$, let $\nu(x)=\nu_{p}(x)$ be the highest power of $p$ dividing $x$ and we write $\nu(0)=\infty$. Let S be the following set of points in the extended plane

$$
S=\left\{\left(0, \nu\left(d_{m}\right)\right),\left(1, \nu\left(d_{m-1}\right)\right),\left(2, \nu\left(d_{m-2}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(m, \nu\left(d_{0}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

Consider the lower edges along the convex hull of these points. The left most endpoint is $\left(0, \nu\left(d_{m}\right)\right)$ and the right most endpoint is $\left(m, \nu\left(d_{0}\right)\right)$. The endpoints of each edge belong to S and the slopes of the edges increase strictly from left to right. The polygonal path formed by these edges is called the Newton polygon of $f(x)$ with respect to the prime $p$ and we denote it by $N P_{p}(f)$. The endpoints of the edges of $N P_{p}(f)$ are called the vertices of $N P_{p}(f)$. We begin with a very useful result, due to Filaseta [1], giving a criterion on the factorisation of a polynomial in terms of the maximum slope of the edges of its Newton polygon.
Lemma 2.1. Let $l, k, m$ be integers with $m \geq 2 k>2 l \geq 0$. Suppose $h(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} x^{j} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $p$ be a prime such that $p \nmid b_{m}$ and $p \mid b_{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-l-1$ and the right most edge of $N P_{p}(h)$ has slope $<\frac{1}{k}$. Then for any integers $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ with $p \nmid a_{0} a_{m}$, the polynomial $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{j} b_{j} x^{j}$ cannot have a factor with degree in $[l+1, k]$.

The next result is Lemma 4.2 of [12] with $a=0$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ denote arbitrary integers and

$$
h(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} \frac{x^{j}}{j!} .
$$

Assume that $h(x)$ has a factor of degree $k \geq 1$. Suppose that there exists a prime $p>k$ such that $p$ divides $n(n-1) \cdots(n-k+1)$. Then $p$ divides $a_{0} a_{n}$.

For a positive integer $l$ and a prime $p$, let $\nu_{p}(l)$ be the maximal power of $p$ dividing $l$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $p$ be a prime. For any integer $l \geq 1$, write $l$ in base $p$ as $l=$ $l_{t} p^{t}+l_{t-1} p^{t-1}+\cdots+l_{1} p+l_{0}$ where $0 \leq l_{i} \leq p-1$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$ and $l_{t}>0$. Then

$$
\nu_{p}(l!)=\frac{l-\sigma_{p}(l)}{p-1}
$$

where $\sigma_{p}(l)=l_{t}+l_{t-1}+\cdots+l_{1}+l_{0}$.
This is due to Legendre. For a proof, see [4, Ch.17, p 263]. As a consequence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}\left(\binom{m}{t}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{p}(t)+\sigma_{p}(m-t)-\sigma_{p}(m)}{p-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $g_{1}(x)$ is a linear factor times an irreducible polynomial. Let $p$ be a prime dividing $n$ and $s<p^{2}$. Then

$$
d+\left[\frac{s}{p}\right] \geq p
$$

where $d \equiv \frac{n}{p}(\bmod p)$ for $1 \leq d<p$.

The assertion of Lemma [2.4] was proved in [5, Corollary 3.2] under the assumption of $p$ dividing $n_{1}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=n_{0} \cdot n_{1} \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{0}, n_{1}\right)=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\prod_{p \left\lvert\, \operatorname{gcd}\left(n,\binom{n+s}{s}\right)\right.} p^{\operatorname{ord}_{p}(n)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $n_{0}$ is the largest divisor of $n$ which is coprime to $\binom{n+s}{s}$. Thus the assumption $p$ dividing $n_{1}$ in [5, Corollary 3.2] is replaced by $p$ dividing $n$ in Lemma 2.4 when $g_{1}(x)$ is linear factor times an irreducible polynomial.

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.2 with $h(x)=g_{1}(x)$ and $k=1$ to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \left\lvert\, \frac{(n+1) \cdots(n+s)}{s!}\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu_{p}(n)>s$, then $\nu_{p}\left(\frac{(n+1) \cdots(n+s)}{s!}\right) \leq \nu_{p}\left(\frac{s!}{s!}\right) \leq 0$ contradicting (6). Therefore $\nu_{p}(n) \leq$ $s<p^{2}$ and hence $1 \leq \nu_{p}(n)<2$. We write,

$$
n=p D \text { where } \operatorname{gcd}(D, p)=1
$$

and $s=p s_{1}+s_{0}$ where $1 \leq s_{1}<p, 0 \leq s_{0}<p$. Then $n+s=p\left(D+s_{1}\right)+s_{0}$ which implies that $\sigma_{p}(n+s)=\sigma_{p}\left(D+s_{1}\right)+s_{0}$. Now we argue as in [5, Lemma 3.1] for deriving from (3) and (6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leq \nu_{p}\left(\binom{n+s}{s}\right) & =\frac{\sigma_{p}(n)+\sigma_{p}(s)-\sigma_{p}(n+s)}{p-1} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{p}(D)+s_{1}+s_{0}+-\sigma_{p}\left(D+s_{1}\right)-s_{0}}{p-1} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{p}(D)+s_{1}-\sigma_{p}\left(D+s_{1}\right)}{p-1} \\
& =\nu_{p}\left(\binom{D+s_{1}}{s_{1}}\right) \\
& =\nu_{p}\left(\frac{(D+1) \cdots\left(D+s_{1}\right)}{s_{1}!}\right) \\
& =\nu_{p}\left((D+1) \cdots\left(D+s_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\nu_{p}(D+j) \text { for exactly one } j \text { with } 1 \leq j \leq s_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $s_{1}<p$. Hence $D+\left[\frac{s}{p}\right]=D+s_{1} \geq D+j \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(D, p)=1$, we observe from (3) that $D=\frac{n}{p} \equiv d(\bmod p)$ where $1 \leq d<p$ by looking at $p$ - adic representation of $n$. Hence $d+\left[\frac{s}{p}\right] \geq p$ where $d \equiv \frac{n}{p}(\bmod p)$ for $1 \leq d<p$.

Next, we prove
Lemma 2.5. Assume that $g_{1}(x)$ is linear factor times an irreducible polynomial. Then for $n \leq 127$ and $s \leq 103, g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible.

This result is proved in [6, Lemma 2.10] without the assumption that $g_{1}(x)$ is linear factor times an irreducible polynomial. But Lemma 2.5 suffices for our purpose. We give here a proof of this particular case, as it involves considerably less computations.

Proof. Let $n \leq 127$ and $s \leq 103$. Since $g_{1}(x)$ is linear factor times an irreducible polynomial, we see that $n_{0}=1$. Assume that $n \geq s+2$. Then $\max \left(\frac{n+s}{2}, n-1\right)=n-1$ and we derive from [3, Lemma 4.1] that $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible if $n$ is prime. Now we check that $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible for all pairs $(n, s)$ with $n$ composite and $n \geq s+2$. Next we assume that $n<s+2$. Then $\max \left(\frac{n+s}{2}, n-1\right)=\frac{n+s}{2}$. We determine all pairs $(n, s)$ such that $n<s+2$ and there exists a prime $p$ satisfying $\frac{n+s}{2}<p \leq n$. Then $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible for all these pairs $(n, s)$ by [3, Lemma 4.1]. For the remaining pairs $(n, s)$ with $n<s+2$, we check that $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible.

We close this section by stating the following result which is Lemma 3.6 from [5] with $i=1$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $p \mid n(s+1)$ and $\left.\nu_{p}\binom{n+s}{s}\right)=u$. Then $g_{1}(x)$ cannot have a factor of degree 1 if any of the following condition holds:
(i) $u=0$
(ii) $u>0, p>2$ and $\max \left\{\frac{u+1}{p}, \frac{\nu_{p}\left(n+s-z_{0}\right)-\nu_{p}(n)}{z_{0}+1}\right\}<1$, where $z_{0} \equiv n+s(\bmod p)$ with $1 \leq z_{0}<p$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

For $c>1$ and $s \geq c^{2}$, we consider the following set given by

$$
H_{s, c}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}, n>127 \text { and for } p \mid n, p^{\nu_{p}(n)} \leq s \text { and if } p>s c^{-1} \text { then } d+\left[\frac{s}{p}\right] \geq p\right\}
$$

where $1 \leq d<p$ and $d \equiv \frac{n}{p}(\bmod p)$. Since $p \geq s c^{-1} \geq \sqrt{s}$, we derive from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 that it suffices to prove the irreducibility of $g_{1}(x)=g_{1}(x, n, s)$ with $n \in H_{s, c}$. We partition $H_{s, c}$ as $H_{s, c, 1}$ and $H_{s, c, 2}$ given by

$$
H_{s, c, 1}=\left\{n \in H_{s, c} \text { such that } P(n) \leq\left[s c^{-1}\right]\right\}
$$

and

$$
H_{s, c, 2}=\left\{n \in H_{s, c} \text { such that } P(n)>\left[s c^{-1}\right]\right\}
$$

Let $9 \leq s \leq 88$. By taking $c \in\{3,3.42,5.5,7.7\}$ we compute $H_{s, c, 1}$ and $H_{s, c, 2}$ and hence $H_{s, c}$ for $9 \leq s \leq 88$. We give some details regarding the computations of $H_{s, c}$ below. For example, for $s=80$, and $c=7.7$, the cardinality of $H_{s, c},\left|H_{s, c}\right|=1538$ and for $s=85$, and $c=7.7$, the cardinality of $H_{s, c},\left|H_{s, c}\right|=2466$. The following table gives the $c$ values which we have chosen for each $s$ to compute the set $H_{s, c}$.

| $s$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9 \leq s \leq 11$ | 3 |
| $12 \leq s \leq 35$ | 3.42 |
| $36 \leq s \leq 60$ | 5.5 |
| $61 \leq s \leq 88$ | 7.7 |

For each $n \in H_{s, c}$, we apply Lemma 2.6 to derive that $g_{1}(x)=g(x, n, s)$ is irreducible except for $(n, s) \in T$ where
$T=\{(272,17),(144,21),(144,23),(144,25),(144,26),(312,26),(600,26),(216,29),(216,31)$, $(720,31),(240,35),(1440,35),(288,40),(288,41),(216,42),(216,44),(216,47),(288,47)$, $(288,48),(216,49),(144,51),(288,51),(144,53),(216,53),(288,53),(4320,55),(216,59)$, $(216,63),(288,63),(432,63),(672,63),(180,71),(192,71),(216,71),(216,79),(576,79)$, $(144,80),(192,80),(216,80),(320,80),(432,80),(576,80),(720,80),(4320,80)\}$
We use IrreduicibilityQ command in Mathematica to check that $g_{1}(x)$ is irreducible for all these values of $(n, s) \in T$.

Remark 3.1. It is not necessary to compute $H_{s, c}$ for all values of $s$. For a fixed $c$, if $\left[s c^{-1}\right]=\left[(s+1) c^{-1}\right]$ and $P(s+1) \leq\left[s c^{-1}\right]$, then $H_{s, c}=H_{s+1, c}$. The assertion follows from the definitions of $H_{s, c, 1}$ and $H_{s, c, 2}$. Therefore $H_{s, c}=H_{s+1, c}$ for $s \in$
$\{19,27,29,34\}$ with $c=3.42, s \in\{39,41,47,49,53,55,59\}$ with $c=5.5$ and $s \in$ $\{62,69,71,74,79,83,87\}$ with $c=7.7$.

Remark 3.2. We can take $c=5.5$ or $c=7.7$ according as $s$ at least 36 or $s \geq 60$ respectively. But we cannot take c more than $3.42 \frac{s}{s-1}$ without sharpening [6, Corollary 6]. Consequently we get sets $H_{s, c}$ of smaller size when $s \geq 36$ and this reduces the computations.
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