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#### Abstract

We compare lower defect groups associated with p-regular classes and vertices of simple modules for a block of a finite group algebra. We show that lower defect groups are contained in vertices of simple modules after suitable reordering. Moreover, for a block of principal type, we show that a $p$-regular lower defect group which contains a vertex of simple module is a defect group of the block.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 such that the residue field $k=\mathcal{O} / J(\mathcal{O})$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $Z k G$ be the center of the group algebra $k G$. We call a primitive idempotent of $Z k G$ a block of $k G$. In this paper, we consider the relation between two important families of $p$-subgroups associated with a block $b$ of $k G$, lower defect groups of $b$ and vertices of simple $k G b$-modules.

A conjugacy class of $G$ is called $p$-regular if the order of its element is not divisible by $p$. The $p$-regular conjugacy classes of $G$ are distributed to blocks of $k G$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ be the set of all $p$-regular classes of $G$ and

$$
\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)=\bigcup_{b} \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)
$$

a decomposition of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ into blocks of $k G$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ and $Q_{i}$ a defect group of $C_{i}$, namely, $Q_{i}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$ where $x_{i} \in C_{i}$. Then $Q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l(b))$ are called lower defect groups of $b$ associated with $p$-regular classes.

Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be a representatives of complete set of isomorphism classes of simple $k G b$-modules. It is known that this set and $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)$ have the same cardinality. We want to compare $Q_{i}$ and a vertex $\operatorname{vx}\left(S_{j}\right)$ of $S_{j}$. These are both subgroups of some defect group of $b$. For example, if a defect group $P$ of $b$ is abelian, then $\operatorname{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)=P$ for all $i$ by the theorem of Knörr [9]. Hence in this case, $Q_{i} \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{j}\right)$ for all $i, j$. Our first result shows that the inclusion $Q_{i} \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)$ holds in general for all $i$ if we renumber the indices suitably.

Proposition 1.1. Let b be a block of $k G$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $k G b$-modules. Let $\left\{\bar{Q}_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be the lower defect groups of $b$ associated with p-regular classes. Then there exists a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, l(b)\}$ such that

$$
Q_{i} \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for all i. In particular,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{l(b)}\left|Q_{i}\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{l(b)}\left|\operatorname{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)\right|
$$

Next we consider when the equality holds in Proposition 1.1 We show that, under some assumption, if the equality holds then $Q_{i}=\mathrm{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)$ is a defect group of $b$. A block $b$ is of principal type if the image of Brauer homomorphism with respect $Q$ is a block of $k C_{G}(Q)$ for every $p$-subgroup $Q$ contained in a defect group of $b$. For a $p$-subgroup $Q$ of $G$, we denote by $m_{b}^{1}(Q)$ the multiplicity of $Q$ as a lower defect group of $b$ associated with $p$-regular classes, that is, $m_{b}^{1}(Q)$ is a number of $i$ $(1 \leq i \leq l(b))$ such that $Q_{i}={ }_{G} Q$. The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $b$ be a block of principal type of $k G$ and $P$ a defect group of $b$. Let $S$ be a simple $k G b$-module and $R$ a vertex of $S$. If $R \leq Q<P$, then $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=0$.

By Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we have the following Corollary.
Corollary 1.3. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ be a set of representatives of $p$-regular conjugacy classes of $G$. Let $Q_{i}$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $k G$-modules. Then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left|Q_{i}\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left|\operatorname{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)\right|
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is $p$-nilpotent.
We prove these results in section 3 In section 4, we consider blocks of $p$-solvable groups. We show a result which is slightly weaker than Theorem 1.2 without the assumption that $b$ is of principal type. Finally, in section we add some results on the complexity of modules.

In this paper, all $k G$-modules are finite generated right modules. For an indecomposable $k G$-module $M$, we denote a vertex of $M$ by $\mathrm{vx}(M)$. We refer to [6], [10, 11 and [12] for modular representations of finite groups.

## 2. LOWER DEFECT GROUPS OF A BLOCK

Lower defect groups of a block are defined by Brauer [3] and some related results can be found in [5, [8, [13] or [6, V, Section 10], [12, Chapter 5, Section 11]. In this section we quote some known results used in this paper. We consider lower defect groups associated with $p$-regular classes only.

Let $G_{p^{\prime}}$ be the set of all $p$-regular elements of $G$. We denote by $k G_{p^{\prime}}$ the $k$ subspace of $k G$ spanned by $G_{p^{\prime}}$. If $C$ is a conjugacy class of $G$, we set $\hat{C}=$ $\sum_{x \in C} x \in k G$. Then $\{\hat{C} \mid C \in \mathrm{Cl}(G)\}$ is a basis of the center $Z k G$ of $k G$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)$ be the set of all $p$-regular conjugacy classes of $G$. Then $\left\{\hat{C} \mid C \in \mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $Z k G_{p^{\prime}}=Z k G \cap k G_{p^{\prime}}$. On the other hand,

$$
Z k G_{p^{\prime}}=\bigoplus_{b} Z k G_{p^{\prime}} b=\bigoplus_{b} Z k G_{p}^{\prime} \cap Z k G b
$$

where $b$ ranges over all blocks of $k G$ and there exists a disjoint decomposition

$$
\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)=\bigcup_{b} \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)
$$

such that $\left\{\hat{C} b \mid C \in \mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)\right\}$ is a basis of $Z k G_{p^{\prime}} b$ for any block $b$.
Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ and $Q_{i}$ a defect group of $C_{i}$, that is, $Q_{i}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$ where $x_{i} \in C_{i}$. We denote by $m_{b}^{1}(Q)$ the multiplicity of $Q$ as a lower defect group of $b$ associated with $p$-regular classes, that is, $m_{b}^{1}(Q)$ is a number of $i(1 \leq i \leq l(b))$ such that $Q={ }_{G} Q_{i}$. Here, for subgroups $H, K$ of $G$, we write $H={ }_{G} K$ if $H$ and $K$ are $G$ conjugate.

Let $M$ be a $k G$-module and $H \subset K$ be subgroups of $G$. Let $M^{H}$ be the set of fixed points of $H$ in $M$. We denote by $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{K}$ the trace map from $M^{H}$ to $M^{K}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{K}(m)=\sum_{g \in H \backslash K} m g
$$

We set $M_{H}^{K}=\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{K}\left(M^{H}\right)$. The group $G$ acts on $k G$ and $k G_{p^{\prime}}$ by conjugation and we can define the trace maps

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{K}:(k G)^{H} \longrightarrow(k G)^{K}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{K}:\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)^{H} \longrightarrow\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)^{K} .
$$

The proof of the following basic properties of lower defect groups are found in [6, V, Section 10] and [12, Chapter 5, Section 11].

Proposition 2.1. Let $b$ be a block of $k G$ and $P$ a defect group of $b$. Then the following holds.
(1) If $Q$ is a p-subgroup of $G$, then $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=\operatorname{dim}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right){ }_{Q}^{G} / \sum_{R<Q}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{G}$.
(2) If $m_{b}^{1}(Q)>0$ then $Q$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $P$ and $m_{b}^{1}(P)=1$.
(3) Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ and $Q_{i}$ a defect group of $C_{i}$. Then $l(b)=\left|\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)\right|$ is the number of isomorphism classes of simple $k G b$-modules and $\left\{\left|Q_{i}\right| \mid 1 \leq i \leq l(b)\right\}$ is the set of elementary divisors of the Cartan matrix of $k G b$.
(4) Let $m \geq 0$. Then $\sum_{Q} m_{b}^{1}(Q)$ where $Q$ ranges over the set of representatives of conjugacy classes of subgroups of $G$ of order $p^{m}$ is the multiplicity of $p^{m}$ as an elementary divisor of the Cartan matrix of $k G b$.

We need the following result in Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5.
Proposition 2.2 ([5, Proposition (II) 1.3]). If $Q$ is a p-subgroup of $G$ then

$$
m_{b}^{1}(Q)=\operatorname{dim}\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{N_{G}(Q)} \operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)
$$

where $\operatorname{Br}_{Q}: Z k G \longrightarrow Z k N_{G}(Q)$ is the Brauer homomorphism.

## 3. Proof of main results

The following Proposition is proved in [7, Theorem 10, Corollary]. It is obtained from [4, p.243, Corollaire] or [6, IV, Theorem 2.3] also.

Proposition 3.1. Let $M$ be an indecomposable $k G$-module.
(1) Let $\varphi$ be the Brauer character corresponding to $M$. Let $x \in G_{p^{\prime}}$ and $Q \in$ $\operatorname{Syl}_{p}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$. If

$$
\varphi(x) \notin p \mathcal{O}
$$

then $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{G} M$ has an indecomposable direct summand $N$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. (2) If $Q$ is a p-subgroup of $G$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{G} M$ has an indecomposable direct summand $N$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$, then $Q \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}(M)$.

Proposition 1.1 is immediate from this Proposition.
(Proof of Proposition 1.1)
Let $\varphi_{i}$ be the Brauer character of $S_{i}$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be the $p$-regular conjugacy classes distributed into $b$ in the block decomposition of $\mathrm{Cl}\left(G_{p^{\prime}}\right)$. Fix $x_{i} \in C_{i}$ for each $i$. We may assume that $Q_{i}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $C_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$ where $x_{i} \in C_{i}$. Then the determinant of the matrix $\left(\varphi_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l(b)}$ is not contained in $J(\mathcal{O})$ by [12, Chapter 5 , Theorem 11.6] and there exists a permutation $\sigma$ such that

$$
\prod_{i} \varphi_{\sigma(i)}\left(x_{i}\right) \notin J(\mathcal{O})
$$

Since $p \mathcal{O} \subset J(\mathcal{O})$, the result follows from Proposition 3.1.
Next we prove Theorem 1.2, First we study the case that $Q$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G \triangleright H$. Let be a block of $k H$ of defect 0 . Let $T=T(b)$ be the inertial group of $b$ in $G$. Assume that $|T: H| \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Then $b(k H)_{1}^{G}=0$.
Proof. By the Mackey decomposition, we have

$$
(k H)_{1}^{G} \subset \sum_{t \in G / T}\left((k H)^{t}\right)_{1}^{T}=(k H)_{1}^{T}
$$

Since $b$ is $T$-invariant, we have

$$
b(k H)_{1}^{T}=b \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{T}(k H)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{T}(b k H)=\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{T}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{H}(b k H)\right)
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{H}(b k H)=Z(b k H)=k b
$$

since $b$ is a block of defect 0 , and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{T}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{H}(b k H)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{T}(k b)=0
$$

since $|T: H| \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $Q$ be a normal p-subgroup of $G$ and $\bar{G}=G / Q$. Let $\mu: k G \longrightarrow k \bar{G}$ be the surjective algebra homomorphism induced by the natural surjective homomorphism $G \longrightarrow \bar{G}$. Let $b$ be a block of $k G$ and $\bar{b}=\mu(b)$. Then $\mu$ induces an isomorphism

$$
b \cdot\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} \cong \bar{b} \cdot\left(k\left(Q C_{G}(Q) / Q\right)_{p^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\bar{G}}{1}
$$

Proof. The natural surjective homomorphism $G \longrightarrow \bar{G}$ induces a bijection

$$
C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}} \longrightarrow{\overline{\left(Q C_{G}(Q)\right)_{p^{\prime}}}}^{=}=\left(Q C_{G}(Q) / Q\right)_{p^{\prime}}
$$

Hence $\mu$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\mu_{0}: k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}} \simeq k\left(\overline{Q C_{G}(Q)}\right)_{p^{\prime}}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\mu\left(b \cdot\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G}\right)=\bar{b} \cdot\left(k\left(\overline{Q C_{G}(Q)}\right)_{p^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\bar{G}}{1}
$$

and

$$
b \cdot\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} \subset k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}, \bar{b} \cdot\left(k\left(\overline{Q C_{G}(Q)}\right)_{p^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\bar{G}}{\overline{1}} \subset k\left(\overline{Q C_{G}(Q)}\right)_{p^{\prime}}
$$

it follows that the restriction of $\mu_{0}$ induces the desired isomorphism.

Lemma 3.4. Let $Q$ be a normal p-subgroup of $G$ and $b$ a block of $k G$. Let $P$ be $a$ defect group of b. Assume that $Q<P$ and $C_{P}(Q)=Z(Q)$. Then $b \cdot\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G}=$ 0 , in particular, $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=0$.

Proof. Let $H=Q C_{G}(Q)$. The block $b$ is a central idempotent of $k H$. Let $b=\sum_{i} b_{i}$ be the block decomposition of $b$ in $k H$. Let $T_{i}=T\left(b_{i}\right)$ be the inertial group of $b_{i}$ in $G$. Then there exists a defect group $P_{i}$ of $b$ such that $P_{i} \leq T_{i}$ and $P_{i} \cap H$ is a defect group of $b_{i}$. Since $P_{i}$ is conjugate to $P$ in $G$ and $C_{P}(Q)=Z(Q)$,

$$
P_{i} \cap H=P \cap H=Q
$$

and it follows that $Q$ is a defect group of $b_{i}$. Let $\mu: k G \longrightarrow k \bar{G}=k(G / Q)$ be the natural surjective algebra homomorphism. Then $\mu\left(b_{i}\right)=\overline{b_{i}}$ is a block of $k \bar{H}$ of defect 0 for all $i$. Since $Q<P$, we have $H \neq H P_{i}$ and $\left|T_{i}: H\right| \equiv 0 \bmod p$. The inertial group of $\overline{b_{i}}$ in $\bar{G}$ is $\overline{T_{i}}=T_{i} / Q$ and $\left|\overline{T_{i}}: \bar{H}\right| \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Hence

$$
\overline{b_{i}}(k \bar{H}) \frac{\bar{G}}{\overline{1}}=0
$$

by Lemma 3.2 and

$$
b(k \bar{H}) \frac{\bar{G}}{\overline{1}}=\left(\sum_{i} \overline{b_{i}}\right)(k \bar{H}) \frac{\bar{G}}{\overline{1}}=0 .
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.3

$$
b\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} \simeq \bar{b}\left(k \bar{H}_{p^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\bar{G}}{1} \subset \bar{b}(k \bar{H}) \frac{\bar{G}}{1}=0
$$

Moreover, since $Q$ is a normal subgroup of $G, \operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)=b$ and the result follows from Proposition 2.2,

In the following, we consider a $p$-subgroup $Q$ such that $C_{P}(Q)=Z(Q)$ for any defect group $P$ of $b$ containing $Q$.

Theorem 3.5. Let b be a block of $k G$ and $Q$ a p-subgroup of $G$. Assume that $Q$ is a proper subgroup of a defect group of $b$. If $C_{P}(Q)=Z(Q)$ for any defect group $P$ of $b$ containing $Q$, then $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=0$.

Proof. Let $N=N_{G}(Q)$. Let

$$
\mathrm{Br}_{Q}: Z k G \longrightarrow Z k N
$$

be the Brauer homomorphism and

$$
\operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)=\sum_{i} b_{i}
$$

a decomposition into block idempotents of $k N$. Then $b_{i}^{G}=b$ and $Q<D_{i}$ by Brauer's First Main Theorem where $D_{i}$ is a defect group of $b_{i}$. There exists a defect group $P_{i}$ of $b$ such that $D_{i} \leq P_{i}$. Then

$$
C_{D_{i}}(Q) \leq C_{P_{i}}(Q)=Z(Q)
$$

by the assumption. Hence

$$
b_{i} \cdot\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{N}=0
$$

by Lemma 3.4 and

$$
\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{N} \operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)=\left(k C_{G}(Q)_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{N}\left(\sum_{i} b_{i}\right)=0
$$

Hence the result follows by Proposition 2.2 ,

Lemma 3.6. Let $b$ be a block of $k G$ and $(P, e)$ a maximal $(G, b)$-Brauer pair. Let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{(P, e)}(G, b)$ be the fusion system of $b$ with respect to $(P, e)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$. Let $Q$ be a proper subgroup of $P$. If $Q$ is $\mathcal{F}$-centric, then $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=0$.

Proof. Let $P_{1}$ is a defect group of $b$ such that $Q<P_{1}$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $P^{g}=P_{1}$. Let $Q_{1}={ }^{g} Q$. Then

$$
\varphi: Q \longrightarrow Q_{1}(\leq P), \varphi(u)=g u g^{-1}
$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$. Hence $C_{P}\left(Q_{1}\right)=Z\left(Q_{1}\right)$ since $Q$ is $\mathcal{F}$-centric and it follows that $C_{P_{1}}(Q)=Z(Q)$. Hence $b$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.5 .

Now we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 A block $b$ of $k G$ is of principal type if $\operatorname{Br}_{Q}(b)$ is a block of $k C_{G}(Q)$ for every $p$-subgroup $Q$ contained in a defect group of $b$ ([11, Definition 6.3.13]).
(Proof of Theorem 1.2)
There exists a $(G, b)$-Brauer pair $(R, f)$ such that $Z(R)$ is a defect group of the block $f$ of $k C_{G}(R)$ by the theorem of Knörr ( $9,3.6$ Corollary], [11, Corollary 10.3.2]). Let $(P, e)$ be a maximal $(G, b)$-Brauer pair such that $(R, f) \leq(P, e)$. Let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{(P, e)}(G, b)$ be the fusion system of $b$ with respect to $(P, e)$. Then $R$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroup of $P$ by [11, Proposition 8.5.3]. Moreover, since $b$ is a block of principal type, we have $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$ by [11, Proposition 8.5.5]. Since $R \leq Q \leq P$, $Q$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroup by [11, Proposition 8.2.4]. Hence the results follows from Lemma 3.6.

Remark 3.7. In Theorem 1.2, $S$ does not necessarily need to be simple. Let $b$ be a block of $\mathcal{O} G$ with defect group $P$ and $S$ an indecomposable $\mathcal{O} G b$-module. If $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O G b}}(S) \cong \mathcal{O} / J(\mathcal{O})^{m}$ for some $m>0$, then there is a $(G, b)$-Brauer pair $(R, f)$ such that $Z(R)$ is a defect group of the block $f$ of $k C_{G}(R)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 by 11, Corollary 10.3.2]. It follows that if $b$ is of principal type and $R \leq Q<P$, then $m_{b}^{1}(Q)=0$.
(Proof of Corollary 1.3)
The inequality holds by Proposition 1.1. If $G$ is $p$-nilpotent, then $k G b$ has a unique simple module (up to isomorphism) for every block $b$ of $k G$. The vertex of the simple $k G b$-module is a defect group of $b$ and that is a $p$-regular lower defect group of $b$. Hence the equality holds. On the other hand, assume that the equality holds. If $P$ is a defect group of a block $b$ of $k G$, then $m_{b}^{1}(P)=1$. Hence the principal block $b_{0}(k G)$ of $k G$ has a unique simple module (up to isomorphism) by Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 since $b_{0}(k G)$ is a block of principal type by Brauer's Third Main Theorem ([11, Theorem 6.3.14]). Hence $G$ is $p$-nilpotent.

## 4. Blocks of $p$-SOLVABLE GROUPS

Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Let $b$ be a block of $k G$. Let $c$ be the block of $k N$ such that $b c \neq 0$ and $H$ the inertial group of $c$ in $G$. Then there exists a block $d$ of $k H$ such that $d b=d$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}(d)=b$. The $(k G b, k H d)$-bimodule $b k G d=k G d$
induces a Morita equivalence between $k G b$ and $k H d$. In particular, $l(b)=l(d)$. The trace map induces an algebra isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}: Z(k H d) \longrightarrow Z(k G b)
$$

Moreover if $P$ is a defect group of $d$ then $P$ is a defect group of $b$ (cf. 11, Theorem 6.8.3]). With these notations, we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. If $Q$ is a subgroup of $H$, then $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}: \sum_{t \in G}\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H} d \simeq\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} b .
$$

Proof. $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}$ induces an injective $k$-linear map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}:\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{H} d \longrightarrow\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{G} b
$$

for any subgroup $R$ of $H$. In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H} d\right) \subset\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t}}^{G} b=\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} b
$$

for $t \in G$. On the other hand,

$$
\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} \subset \sum_{t \in G}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} d \subset \sum_{t \in G}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H} d & =\sum_{t \in G}\left(\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H}+k\left(G_{p^{\prime}}-H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H}\right) d \\
& =\sum_{t \in G}\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H} d
\end{aligned}
$$

since $d g d=0$ for any $g \in G-H$. Hence

$$
\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} b=\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q}^{G} d\right) \subset \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\sum_{t \in G}\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{Q^{t} \cap H}^{H} d\right)
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $U$ be a p-subgroup of $H$. Let

$$
\mathcal{U}=\left\{W \leq H \mid W=_{G} U\right\}
$$

and let $\left\{U_{j}\right\}$ be a set of representatives of $H$-conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{U}$. Then

$$
\sum_{j} m_{d}^{1}\left(U_{j}\right)=m_{b}^{1}(U)
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{R_{i j}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)}$ be a set of representatives of $H$-conjugacy classes of subgroups of $H$ of order $|U|$ such that

$$
R_{i j}={ }_{G} R_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \Longleftrightarrow i=i^{\prime} .
$$

We may assume $U=R_{11}$ and $\left\{U_{j}\right\}=\left\{R_{1 j}\right\}$. We set $R_{i}=R_{i 1}$. For each $i, \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}$ induces a $k$-linear map

$$
\Phi_{i}: \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq r(i)}\left(\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i j}}^{H} d / \sum_{R<R_{i j}}\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{H} d\right) \longrightarrow\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i}}^{G} b / \sum_{R<R_{i}}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{G} b
$$

We claim that $\Phi_{i}$ is an isomorphism. For $t \in G$, if $R_{i}^{t} \leq H$ then $R_{i}^{t}={ }_{H} R_{i j}$ for some $j$. On the other hand, if $R_{i}^{t} \cap H<R_{i}^{t}$ then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i}^{t} \cap H}^{H} d\right) \subset\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i}^{t} \cap H}^{G} b=\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i} \cap \cap^{t} H}^{G} b \subset \sum_{R<R_{i}}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{G} b .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i}}^{G} b=\sum_{t \in G} \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i}^{t} \cap H}^{H} d\right) \subset \operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}\left(\sum_{j}\left(k H_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R_{i j}}^{H} d\right)+\sum_{R<R_{i}}\left(k G_{p^{\prime}}\right)_{R}^{G} b
$$

by Lemma 4.1 and $\Phi_{i}$ is surjective. Hence

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(i)} m_{d}^{1}\left(R_{i j}\right) \geq m_{b}^{1}\left(R_{i}\right)
$$

for each $i$ and

$$
\sum_{i, j} m_{d}^{1}\left(R_{i j}\right) \geq \sum_{i} m_{b}^{1}\left(R_{i}\right)
$$

But $\sum_{i, j} m_{d}^{1}\left(R_{i j}\right)$ is the multiplicity of $|U|$ as an elementary divisor of the Cartan matrix $C_{d}$ of $k H d$ and $\sum_{i} m_{b}^{1}\left(R_{i}\right)$ is that of the Cartan matrix $C_{b}$ of $k G b$. Since $k G b$ and $k H d$ are Morita equivalent, $C_{d}=C_{b}$ and it follows that

$$
\sum_{i, j} m_{d}^{1}\left(R_{i j}\right)=\sum_{i} m_{b}^{1}\left(R_{i}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r(i)} m_{d}^{1}\left(R_{i j}\right)=m_{b}^{1}\left(R_{i}\right)
$$

and $\Phi_{i}$ is an isomorphism for each $i$. In particular, for $i=1$, we have

$$
\sum_{j} m_{d}^{1}\left(U_{j}\right)=m_{b}^{1}(U)
$$

The following theorem is the main result of this section. If the block $b$ is of principal type, then this is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 ,

Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a p-solvable group. Let b be a block of $k G$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=$ $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ and $Q_{i}$ a defect group of $C_{i}$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $k G b$-modules. Then there exists a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, l(b)\}$ such that

$$
Q_{i} \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for all $i$ and

$$
Q_{i}<_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

unless $Q_{i}$ is a defect group of $b$.
Proof. Let $c$ be a block of $k O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ such that $b c \neq 0$ and $H$ the inertial group of $c$ in $G$. Then there exists a block $d$ of $k H$ such that $d b=d$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{H}^{G}(d)=b$. Moreover $(k G b, k H d)$-bimodule $b k G d=k G d$ induces a Morita equivalence between $k G b$ and $k H d$. In particular, $l(b)=l(d)$. Moreover if $P$ is a defect group of $d$ then $P$ is a defect group of $b$.

If $G=H$, then the result holds by Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 since $b=d$ is a block of principal type by [11, Lemma 10.6.5].

Suppose that $G>H$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(b)=\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Cl}_{p^{\prime}}(d)=\left\{\tilde{C}_{i}\right\}$. Let $Q_{i}$ (resp. $\tilde{Q}_{i}$ ) be a defect group of $C_{i}$ (resp. $\tilde{C}_{i}$ ). If $Q$ is a $p$-subgroup of $P$,

$$
\left|\left\{1 \leq j \leq l(d) \mid \tilde{Q}_{j}={ }_{G} Q\right\}\right|=m_{b}^{1}(Q)=\left|\left\{1 \leq i \leq l(b) \mid Q_{i}={ }_{G} Q\right\}\right|
$$

by Lemma 4.2. Hence we may assume $Q_{i}=\tilde{Q}_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l(b)$. Let $\tilde{S}_{i}=S_{i} \otimes_{k G b} k G d$ be the simple $k H d$-module corresponding to $S_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{vx}\left(\tilde{S}_{i}\right)={ }_{G}$ $\mathrm{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)$. By induction there exists a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, l(d)\}$ such that

$$
Q_{i} \leq_{H} \operatorname{vx}\left(\tilde{S}_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for all $i$ and

$$
Q_{i}<_{H} \operatorname{vx}\left(\tilde{S}_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

if $Q_{i}<_{H} P$. Since $P$ is a defect group of $b$, it follows that

$$
Q_{i} \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for all $i$ and

$$
Q_{i}<_{G} \operatorname{vx}\left(S_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

unless $Q_{i}$ is a defect group of $b$.
The following corollary is a block version of Corollary 1.3 for $p$-solvable groups.
Corollary 4.4. Let $G$ be a p-solvable group. Let b be a block of $k G$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l(b)}$ be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple $k G b$-modules. Then

$$
\operatorname{det} C_{b} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{l(b)}\left|\operatorname{vx}\left(S_{i}\right)\right|
$$

where $C_{b}$ is the Cartan matrix of $k G b$ and the equality holds if and only if $l(b)=1$.

## 5. Complexity of modules

Let $Q$ be a $p$-subgroup of $G$ and $M$ an indecomposable $k G$-module. Suppose that $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{G} M$ has a direct summand $N$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. We set $|\operatorname{vx}(M)|=$ $p^{v(M)}$ and $|Q|=p^{a}$. Then by Proposition 3.1, $Q \leq_{G} \operatorname{vx}(M)$ and in particular $a \leq v(M)$. If the dimension of a source of $M$ is divisible by $p$, then proper inequality $a<v(M)$ holds. We consider another information on this inequality related to the complexity of $M$. For the complexity of a module, we refer to [1] and [2, Section $5]$.

Let $c(M)$ be the complexity of $M$ and $r(M)$ the $p$-rank of $\mathrm{vx}(M)$. Since $M$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{vx}(M)}^{G} \operatorname{Res}_{\mathrm{vx}(M)}^{G} M$ and $c\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathrm{vx}(M)}^{G} M\right) \leq r(M)$, we have

$$
c(M) \leq r(M) \leq v(M)
$$

Proposition 5.1. Let $Q$ be a p-subgroup of $G$ and $M$ an indecomposable $k G$ module. Suppose that $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{G} M$ has a direct summand $N$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$. If $|Q|=p^{a}$ then

$$
a \leq v(M)+c(M)-r(M) .
$$

Proof. Since $N$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}^{G} M$ and $\operatorname{dim} N \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$,

$$
\operatorname{rank}(Q)=c(N) \leq c\left(\operatorname{res}_{Q}^{G} M\right) \leq c(M)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{rank}(Q)+v(M)-r(M) \leq v(M)+c(M)-r(M)
$$

where $\operatorname{rank}(Q)$ is the $p$-rank of $Q$. We may assume that $Q \leq \mathrm{vx}(M)$ by Proposition 3.1(2). Let $E$ be an elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{vx}(M)$ of maximal rank and $F$ an elementary abelian $p$-subgroup of $Q$ of maximal rank. The class of elementary abelian $p$-groups satisfies the condition in Lemma 5.2 below. Hence $|Q| /|F| \leq|\operatorname{vx}(M)| /|E|$ by Lemma 5.2 and we have

$$
a \leq \operatorname{rank}(Q)+v(M)-r(M)
$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of finite p-groups which satisfies the following property:

$$
P \in \mathcal{X}, P \triangleright R \Rightarrow R \in \mathcal{X}
$$

Let $P$ be a p-group and $Q$ be a subgroup of $P$. Suppose $E \leq P, F \leq Q$ and $E, F \in \mathcal{X}$. If $|L| \leq|F|$ for any subgroup $L \leq Q$ such that $L \in \mathcal{X}$, then

$$
|Q: F| \leq|P: E|
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|P: Q|$. If $P=Q$ then $|E| \leq|F|$ by the assumption. Hence $|Q: F| \leq|P: E|$. Assume that $P>Q$ and let $R$ be a maximal subgroup of $P$ such that $Q \leq R<P$. Then $R \cap E \in \mathcal{X}$ since $P \triangleright R$ and $E \triangleright R \cap E$. If $R \nsupseteq E$, then $P=R E$ and $P / R=R E / R \cong E / R \cap E$. It follows that $|P| /|E|=|R| /|R \cap E|$ and $|R| /|R \cap E| \geq|Q| /|F|$ by induction. If $R \geq E$, then $|P| /|E|>|R| /|E|$ and it follows that $|R| /|E| \geq|Q| /|F|$ by induction.
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