

Local and Global Properties of p -Laplace Hénon Equation

Geyang, Du

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University,
Beijing 100871, China, E-mail: gydu@pku.edu.cn

Shulin, Zhou

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University,
Beijing 100871, China, E-mail: szhou@math.pku.edu.cn

Abstract

We first give some apriori estimates of positive radial solutions of p -Laplace Hénon equation. Then we study the local and global properties of those solutions. Finally, we generalize some radial results to the nonradial case.

Keywords p -Laplace Hénon equation, singular solutions, removable singularity.

MSC(2010) 35A01,32D20,35J92,34M35.

1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we study local and global properties of p -Laplace Hénon equation

$$-\Delta_p u = |x|^\alpha u^q, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$. Local properties refer to local behavior of solutions near a certain point, like removable singularity and the order of isolated singularity. Global properties refer to properties of solutions in \mathbb{R}^N .

When $p = 2$, this is the usual Hénon equation

$$-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^q. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) was proposed by astrophysicist Hénon in [8]. The first mathematical study about this equation was by [14]. After that, a lot of results such as existence, nonexistence, and symmetry breaking were studied, see [13, 15, 18].

When $p = 2, \alpha = 0$, equation (1) is the well known Lane-Emden equation

$$-\Delta u = u^q,$$

which was studied in [5]. Lions studied its isolated singularity in [11].

Because the p -Laplacian operator is lack of linearity when $p \neq 2$, equation (1) is more difficult than equation (2). Nevertheless, in [16] Serrin generalized the Carleson's result about the harmonic function [4] and obtained the well-known local properties of general quasilinear equations. Based on his work, the local and global properties of p -Laplace Lane-Emden equation

$$-\Delta_p u = u^q,$$

were studied in [1, 7].

Usually, the right hand side of equation (1) is called a source term, see [22]. When the right hand side is changed to negative, like

$$-\Delta_p u = -|x|^\alpha u^q,$$

it is called an absorption term. Results about local and global properties of elliptic partial differential equations with an absorption term can be found in [2, 3, 6, 12, 20, 21].

Before stating our main results, we first give some definitions. Define μ as the fundamental solution of $-\Delta_p u = \delta_0$ in distributional sense,

$$\mu(x) = \mu(|x|) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{N-p} (\omega_{N-1})^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p-1}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < N, \\ (\omega_{N-1})^{\frac{-1}{N-1}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{|x|} \right), & \text{if } p = N. \end{cases}$$

where ω_{N-1} is the area of unit sphere S^{N-1} and δ_0 is the Dirac delta function.

The concept of continuous solution was introduced by Serrin [17]. u is called a continuous solution of (1) in Ω if u is continuous in Ω with $\nabla u \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ and u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha u^q \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

All the solutions referred in the following are continuous solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2 we give some lemmas which will be used in the following sections. Then in Section 3 we study the local properties of (1) in the radial case.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $1 < p < N$, Ω is an open domain containing $\{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , and let u be a positive radial solution of (1) in $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$.*

(i) *In the subcritical case $p-1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or there exists some constant $C > 0, \tilde{C} > 0$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} = C$. Furthermore, u satisfies $-\Delta_p u - |x|^\alpha u^q = \tilde{C} \delta_0$ in the distributional sense.*

(ii) *In the critical case $q = \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x|} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}} u(x) = \left[\left(\frac{N-p}{p+\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}.$$

(iii) In the supercritical case $\frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p} < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$, either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} u(x) = \lambda = \left[\left(\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p} \right)^{p-1} \left(N - \frac{pq + \alpha(p-1)}{q+1-p} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{q+1-p}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume $p = N, q > p - 1$, Ω is an open domain containing $\{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , and let u be a positive radial solution of (1) in $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or there exists some constant $C > 0, \tilde{C} > 0$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} = C$, and u satisfies $-\Delta_p u - |x|^\alpha u^q = \tilde{C} \delta_0$ in the distributional sense.

Next, in Section 4 we obtain the following global property by dealing with the exterior problem.

Theorem 1.3. Assume $1 < p < N$ and $p - 1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$. Then equation (1) has no positive radial solution in \mathbb{R}^N .

Finally, in Section 5 we prove some of the radial results can be generalized to the nonradial case, such as the subcritical case in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4 (Subcritical Case). Assume $1 < p < N, p - 1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, and let u be a positive solution of (1) in $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$. Then

(i) either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1), or

(ii) there exists some constant $a > 0$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} = a$.

Theorem 1.5. Assume $1 < p < N, p - 1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$ and let $u(x)$ be a nonnegative solution of (1) in \mathbb{R}^N satisfying $|x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} u(x) \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$.

As far as we know, there are several papers studying local and global properties of p -Laplace Lane-Emden equation, but there are few about p -Laplace Hénon equation. Some results such as Theorem 1.5 are new even for p -Laplace Lane-Emden equation.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we list some lemmas which will be used later. For quasilinear partial differential equations

$$\operatorname{div} A(x, u, \nabla u) = B(x, u, \nabla u), \quad (3)$$

where A is a given vector function and B is a measurable function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x, u, \xi)| &\leq a|\xi|^{p-1} + b|u|^{p-1} + e, \\ |B(x, u, \xi)| &\leq c|\xi|^{p-1} + d|u|^{p-1} + f, \\ \xi \cdot A(x, u, \xi) &\geq |\xi|^p - d|u|^p - g, \end{aligned}$$

we assume that $1 < p \leq N$, $a \in (0, \infty)$ and coefficients b through g are measurable functions in the respective Lebesgue classes

$$b, e \in L^{\frac{N}{p-1-\epsilon}}; c \in L^{\frac{N}{1-\epsilon}}; d, f, g \in L^{\frac{N}{p-\epsilon}}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

The following lemma comes from Theorem 1 in [17].

Lemma 2.1 (Isolated Singularity). *Assume Ω is an open domain containing $\{0\}$, and let u be a continuous solution of (3) in the $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that $u \geq L$ for some constant L . Then either u has removable singularity at 0, or else*

$$u \approx \begin{cases} |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p-1}}, & \text{if } p < N, \\ \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), & \text{if } p = N, \end{cases}$$

in the neighborhood of the origin, where “ \approx ” means “has the same order with”.

We call u is a p -harmonic function if $\Delta_p u = 0$. The following Comparison Principle comes from Theorem 2.15 in [10].

Lemma 2.2 (Comparison Principle). *Suppose that u and v are p -harmonic functions in a bounded domain Ω . If at each $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \zeta} u(x) \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \zeta} v(x),$$

excluding the situation $\infty \leq \infty$ and $-\infty \leq -\infty$, then $u \leq v$ in Ω .

The following Strong Comparison Principle comes from Proposition 1.5.2 in [22].

Lemma 2.3 (Strong Comparison Principle). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain, $p > 1$ and $c \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$. Assume u and v belong to $C^1(\Omega)$, satisfy*

$$-\Delta_p u + cu \leq 0 \text{ and } -\Delta_p v + cv \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$$

and ∇v never vanishes in Ω . If $u \leq v$ in Ω and there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0) = v(x_0)$, then $u \equiv v$ in Ω .

3 Local Properties in the Radial Case

We first give some apriori estimates which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1 (Apriori Estimate). *Assume $1 < p < N$, Ω is an open domain containing $\{0\}$, and let u be a positive radial solution of (1) in $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$.*

(i) *If $p - 1 < q$, then there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \leq C$ near 0.*

(ii) *If $q = \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, then there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \cdot \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{(p-1)(p+\alpha)}} \leq C$ near 0.*

(iii) *If $q > \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, then there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that $|x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} u(x) \leq C$ near 0.*

Proof. The idea of proof comes from [7]. Without loss of generality, we assume $B_1(0) \subset \Omega$. Let

$$\beta = \frac{p-N}{p-1}, s = r^\beta, v(s) = u(r),$$

then we obtain

$$(p-1)|v'|^{p-2}v'' + s^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \frac{v^q}{|\beta|^p} = 0, \quad s \in [1, \infty) \quad (4)$$

As a result, $v'' < 0$, which means v' is decreasing and bounded in $[1, \infty)$.

(i) When $v(s)$ is bounded, we can automatically get the conclusion. So we only need to consider the unbounded case, which means $v(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ because of the concavity of v . It is easy to check $v' \geq 0$. According to L'Hospital's rule, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(s)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} v'(s)$. So $\frac{v(s)}{s}$ is bounded when s is large, which means $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)}$ is bounded near 0. This proves the first part.

(ii) According to the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\frac{v(s) - v(1)}{s - 1} = v'(\theta) \geq v'(s), 1 < \theta < s.$$

So $v(s) \geq sv'(s) + o(s)$ when s is large. As a result, we induce from (4) that there exists some $c > 0$ such that when s is large

$$((v')^{p-1})' + cs^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}p+\frac{\alpha}{\beta}+q}(v')^q \leq 0.$$

Let $\gamma = \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}p + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + q$, $\psi(s) = (v'(s))^{p-1}$. We study the following two cases in the situation when s is large.

When $q = \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, $\gamma = -1$, $\psi(s)$ satisfies

$$\psi' + cs^{-1}\psi^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \leq 0.$$

After integration, we get $\psi(s) \leq c(\ln s)^{-\frac{p-1}{q+1-p}}$, which means

$$v'(s) \leq c(\ln s)^{-\frac{1}{q+1-p}} = c(\ln s)^{-\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}.$$

As a consequence, $\frac{v(s)}{s}(\ln s)^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}$ is bounded when s is large. This means $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x|} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}$ is bounded near 0.

(iii) When $q > \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, $\gamma > -1$, $\psi(s)$ satisfies

$$\psi' + cs^\gamma \psi^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \leq 0.$$

After integration, we get $\psi(s) \leq cs^{-\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1-p}(p-1)}$, which means

$$v'(s) \leq cs^{-\frac{\gamma+1}{q+1-p}} = cs^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{\beta(q+1-p)}-1}.$$

As a consequence, $v(s)s^{\frac{p+\alpha}{\beta(q+1-p)}}$ is bounded when s is large. This means $u(x)|x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}}$ is bounded near 0.

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. (i) **the Subcritical Case**

$|x|^\alpha u^q = |x|^\alpha u^{q+1-p} u^{p-1}$. From Lemma 3.1, $\frac{u}{\mu} \leq C$ near 0. In addition, when $p-1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, we have $q+1-p < \frac{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$. So

$$|x|^\alpha u^{q+1-p} \in L^{\frac{N}{p}+\epsilon}(\Omega)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. According to Lemma 2.1, either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or there exists constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1\mu(x) \leq u(x) \leq c_2\mu(x)$ near 0. Using the notation in Lemma 3.1, we have $\frac{v(s)}{s} \geq c_1$ which means $v'(s) \geq c_1$ when s is large. From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know $v'(s)$ is decreasing in $[1, \infty)$. So there exists a constant C such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(s)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} v'(s) = C$, which means

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} = C, \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_r(r)}{\mu_r(r)} = \tilde{C},$$

where $\tilde{C} = (C \cdot \frac{N-p}{p-1})^{p-1} \cdot \omega_{N-1}$.

For $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$, if we multiply both sides of (1) by φ and integrate by parts over $B_1(0) \setminus B_\epsilon(0)$, then we get

$$\int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} \varphi + \int_{B_1(0) \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{B_1(0) \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} |x|^\alpha u^q \varphi, \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{n} = \frac{|x|}{x}$. It is known that the fundamental solution $\mu(x)$ satisfies

$$-\int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla \mu|^{p-2} \nabla \mu \cdot \vec{n} \varphi + \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla \mu|^{p-2} \nabla \mu \cdot \nabla \varphi = \varphi(0).$$

Sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (5), we have

$$-\tilde{C}\varphi(0) + \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{B_1(0)} |x|^\alpha u^q \varphi,$$

which implies

$$-\Delta_p u - |x|^\alpha u^q = \tilde{C}\delta_0$$

in the distributional sense.

(ii) **the Critical Case**

Let

$$w(s) = r^\delta u(r), s = -\ln r, \delta = \frac{N-p}{p-1} = \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}.$$

Then (1) can be transformed into

$$[|w' + \delta w|^{p-2} (w' + \delta w)]' + w^q = 0.$$

As a result,

$$|w' + \delta w|^{p-2} (w' + \delta w) \Big|_t^{t_n} = - \int_t^{t_n} w^q.$$

From Lemma 3.1, $w(s) \leq C s^{\frac{p-N}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}$, so for $\forall t > 0, w^q \in L^1(t, \infty)$. As a consequence,

$$|w'(t) + \delta w(t)|^{p-2} (w'(t) + \delta w(t)) = \int_t^\infty w^q.$$

This means $w'(t) + \delta w(t) > 0, t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(w'(t) + \delta w(t))^{p-1} = \int_t^\infty w^q.$$

Using the same procedure as in [7] in the critical case, we claim that

- (a) $w(t)$ is decreasing in $(1, \infty)$,
- (b) $\frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} \rightarrow 0$, or $\frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} \rightarrow -\delta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} \rightarrow -\delta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose ϵ_0 small such that $w(t) \leq c e^{(-\delta+\epsilon_0)t}$ for some $c > 0$ when t is large, which means $u(r) \leq c \frac{1}{r_0^\epsilon}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. As in the subcritical case, we can prove $|x|^\alpha u^{q+1-p} \in L^{\frac{N}{p}+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. So

by Lemma 2.1, u is regular or have the same order with $\mu(x)$. The later is impossible.

If $\frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have when t is large,

$$(\delta w(t))^{p-1} \left[1 + o\left(\frac{w'(t)}{w(t)}\right) \right] = \int_t^\infty w^q.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} w(s) &\rightarrow \left[\frac{p-1}{q+1-p} \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{p-1}} s - c \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}}, \\ s^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}} w(s) &\rightarrow \left[\left(\frac{N-p}{p+\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{N-p}{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) **the Supercritical Case**

As in the proof of the critical case, we set $\delta = \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}$, $w(s) = r^\delta u(r)$ where $s = -\ln r$. Then equation (1) becomes

$$|w' + \delta w|^{p-2} (a_1 w + a_2 w' + a_3 w'') + w^q = 0 \quad (6)$$

where

$$a_1 = \delta[(p-1)(\delta+1) - (N-1)] = \delta c_1, a_2 = (p-1)\delta + c_1, a_3 = p-1.$$

It is the same equation as obtained in [7] except that δ is different. Regarding (6) as an autonomous system with variables $(w(s), w_s(s))$, we can prove $(\lambda, 0)$ is asymptotically stable when $\frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p} < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$. By the phase plane analysis, as in [7] we assert either $(w(s), w_s(s)) \rightarrow (\lambda, 0)$ which means $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{p}{q+1-p}} u(x) = \lambda$, or $w(s) \leq ce^{-\delta s}$ which means $u(x)$ is regular. This completes the proof. \square

Next we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let $s = -\ln r$, $v(s) = u(r)$, then

$$(N-1)|v'|^{N-2} v'' + e^{-s(N+\alpha)} v^q = 0$$

Using the same procedure as in the proof of the subcritical case when $p < N$, we can obtain the conclusion. \square

4 Global Properties in the Radial Case

Lemma 4.1 (Exterior Problem). *Assume $1 < p < N$, and $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \geq 1\}$.*

(i) *If $p - 1 < q \leq \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, then (1) has no positive radial solution in G .*

(ii) *If $\frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p} < q$, and u is a positive radial solution of (1) in G , then there exists some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1 \mu(x) \leq u(x) \leq c_2 |x|^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}}$$

when $|x|$ is large.

Proof. (i) We argue by contradiction, assuming that equation (1) has a positive radial solution u in G . Using the same transformation as in the proof of Lemma 3.1,

$$v(s) = u(r), s = r^\beta, \beta = \frac{p-N}{p-1},$$

we obtain

$$(|v'|^{p-2}v')' + \frac{1}{|\beta|^p} s^{\frac{1}{\beta}(p+\alpha)-p} v^q = 0, \quad s \in (0, 1].$$

Because $v''(s) < 0$, there exists a constant c such that $v(s) \rightarrow c$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. As in [7], we can prove $c = 0$ and when s is small

$$v'(s) \leq \begin{cases} cs^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}-1}, & q < \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ c \left(\ln \frac{1}{s}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q+1-p}}, & q = \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}. \end{cases}$$

This means $v'(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. It is a contradiction to $v'(0) > 0$.

(ii) Because $v(s)$ is concave, $\frac{v(s)}{s} \geq v'(s)$. In addition, $v'(s) > c_1 > 0$ when s is small, so $\frac{v(s)}{s} \geq c_1$ which proves $u(x) \geq c_1 \mu(x)$.

When $q > \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, and s is small, there exists constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $v'(s) \leq c_2 s^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}-1}$. So

$$\frac{v(s)}{s} \leq 2c_2 s^{-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}-1},$$

which means

$$u(x) \leq 2c_2 |x|^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. We argue by contradiction, assuming that u is a positive radial solution of (1) in \mathbb{R}^N . By Lemma 4.1 we only need to prove the case when $\frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p} < q < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-p} - 1$. Multiply both sides of (1) by u and integrate by parts in $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq R\}$,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} u ds + \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^p dx = \int_{B_R} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} dx.$$

Multiply both sides of (1) by $\nabla u \cdot x$ and integrate by parts in B_R ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N}{p} - 1 - \frac{N+\alpha}{q+1} \right) \int_{B_R} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} dx + \left(\frac{N}{p} - 1 \right) \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} u ds \\ & + \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} \nabla u \cdot x ds - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^p (x \cdot \vec{n}) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\partial B_R} |x|^\alpha u^{q+1} (x \cdot \vec{n}) ds = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

According to Lemma 4.1, $v' \leq 2c_2 s^{-\frac{1}{p} \frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p} - 1}$, so $|\nabla u(R)| \leq CR^{-\frac{q+1+\alpha}{q+1-p}}$ when R is large. Because u is positive in \mathbb{R}^N , the first part of (7) is negative when $q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$. The boundary parts has the same order with $R^{N-p-\frac{(p+\alpha)p}{q+1-p}}$, so they tend to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction, which implies (1) has no positive radial solution in \mathbb{R}^N when $p-1 < q < \frac{(N+\alpha)p}{N-p} - 1$. \square

5 Nonradial Case

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Proof. Because $\frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \leq C$, by Lemma 2.1, either u can be extended to Ω as a C^1 solution of (1) or there exists constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \mu(x) \leq u(x) \leq c_2 \mu(x).$$

Assume $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} = a$, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x_n)}{\mu(x_n)} = a.$$

Denote $x_n = r_n \xi_n$, where $r_n = |x_n|$. Define $u_{r_n}(\xi) = \frac{u(r_n \xi)}{\mu(r_n)}$, $\xi \in \left(0, \frac{1}{r_n}\right)$. It can be easily checked that u_{r_n} satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_\xi (|\nabla_\xi u_{r_n}|^{p-2} \nabla_\xi u_{r_n}) + r_n^{p+\alpha} \mu(r_n)^{q+1-p} |\xi|^\alpha u_{r_n}^q = 0 \quad (8)$$

As $q < \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, and $q+1-p < \frac{(p+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, so

$$r_n^{p+\alpha} \mu(r_n)^{q+1-p} = \mu(1) r_n^{(1-\theta)(p+\alpha)}$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$. In addition, according to definition, $u_{r_n}(\xi) \leq C \frac{\mu(\xi)}{\mu(1)}$. So u_{r_n} is bounded in $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, and

$$r_n^{p+\alpha} \mu(r_n)^{q+1-p} u_{r_n}^q \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By [9, 19], u_{r_n} is Hölder continuous in $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$. As a result, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $u_{r_n}(\xi)$ such that $u_{r_n}(\xi) \rightarrow v(\xi)$ in $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ for some p -harmonic function $v(\xi)$. Clearly,

$$v(\xi) \leq a \frac{\mu(\xi)}{\mu(1)}, \quad v(\xi_n) = a \frac{\mu(\xi_n)}{\mu(1)},$$

so by Lemma 2.3, $v(\xi) = a \frac{\mu(\xi)}{\mu(1)}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose n large such that

$$u_{r_n}(\xi) \geq (a - \epsilon) \frac{\mu(\xi)}{\mu(1)}$$

when ϵ is small, that is

$$u(r_n \xi) \geq (a - \epsilon) \mu(r_n \xi).$$

In particular, $u(x) \geq (a - \epsilon) \mu(x)$ on the boundary of annuli $r_{n+1} \leq |x| \leq r_n$, by Lemma 2.2, $u(x) \geq (a - \epsilon) \mu(x)$ in $r_{n+1} \leq |x| \leq r_n$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u(x) \geq (a - \epsilon) \mu(x)$ in $B_{r_{n_0}} \setminus \{0\}$ for some big n_0 . As a consequence, $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\mu(x)} \geq a - \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we complete the proof. \square

Next, we use the method in [1] to get nonexistence result in nonradial case.

Theorem 5.1. *If $1 < p < N$, and $p - 1 < q \leq \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{N-p}$, then (1) has no positive solution in G , where $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \geq 1\}$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction, assuming that (1) has a positive solution in G . Denote $m = \min_{|x|=2} u(x)$, define a sequence of radial functions as follows: $u_{n,0} \equiv 0$, when $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_{n,k} = |x|^\alpha u_{n,k-1}^q, & 2 < |x| < n, \\ u_{n,k} = m, & |x| = 2, \\ u_{n,k} = 0, & |x| = n. \end{cases}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we can prove $u_{n,k-1}(x) \leq u_{n,k}(x) \leq u(x)$. In addition, by [9, 19] $u_{n,k}(x)$ is Hölder continuous. So there exists a subsequence still denoted by $u_{n,k}(x)$ such that $u_{n,k}(x) \rightarrow u_n(x)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some positive radial function $u_n(x)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_n = |x|^\alpha u_n^q, & 2 < |x| < n, \\ u_n = m, & |x| = 2, \\ u_n = 0, & |x| = n. \end{cases}$$

u_n is increasing with n in each common domain, and $u_n \leq u(x)$, by the diagonal method, there exists a positive radial function $v(x)$ such that $u_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ in $|x| \geq 2$. In addition, $v(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p v = |x|^\alpha v^q, & |x| > 2, \\ v = m, & |x| = 2. \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction to Theorem 4.1 which implies equation (1) has no positive radial solution in $|x| \geq 2$. \square

5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof. The idea comes from Lemma 1.1 in [6]. Let $\phi_b(\xi) = b^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} u(b\xi)$, where b is chosen such that $|x| < b$, then $\phi_b(\xi)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_\xi (|\nabla_\xi \phi_b|^{p-2} \nabla_\xi \phi_b) + |\xi|^\alpha \phi_b^q = 0.$$

Let $\Gamma = \{1 \leq |\xi| \leq 4\}$, $\Gamma^* = \{2 \leq |\xi| \leq 3\}$. From $|x|^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} u(x) \leq C$, we get

$$\|\phi_b\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \leq b^{\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} |b\xi|^{-\frac{p+\alpha}{q+1-p}} \leq C,$$

so $\|\nabla \phi_b\|_{C^\alpha(\Gamma^*)} \leq C$, which means

$$\|\nabla u\|_{C^\alpha(\Gamma^*)} \leq C b^{-\frac{q+1+\alpha}{q+1-p}} \leq C |x|^{-\frac{q+1+\alpha}{q+1-p}}.$$

As we have the gradient estimate of u , we can use the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 to prove $u \equiv 0$. \square

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071009).

References

- [1] Marie-Francoise Bidaut-Veron. Local and global behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations of Emden-Fowler type. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 107(4):293–324, 1989.
- [2] Haïm Brézis and Luc Oswald. Singular solutions for some semilinear elliptic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 99(3):249–259, 1987.
- [3] Haïm Brézis and Laurent Véron. Removable singularities for some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 75(1):1–6, 1980/81.
- [4] Lennart Carleson. *Selected problems on exceptional sets*. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 13. D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967.

- [5] Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. *An introduction to the study of stellar structure*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1957.
- [6] Avner Friedman and Laurent Véron. Singular solutions of some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 96(4):359–387, 1986.
- [7] Mohammed Guedda and Laurent Véron. Local and global properties of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 76(1):159–189, 1988.
- [8] Michel Hénon. Numerical experiments on the stability of spherical stellar systems. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 24:229–238, 1973.
- [9] Gary Lieberman. The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva for elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(2-3):311–361, 1991.
- [10] Peter Lindqvist. *Notes on the stationary p -Laplace equation*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [11] Pierre-Louis Lions. Isolated singularities in semilinear problems. *J. Differential Equations*, 38(3):441–450, 1980.
- [12] Charles Loewner and Louis Nirenberg. Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations. In *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pages 245–272. 1974.
- [13] Kenichi Nagasaki. Radial solutions for $\Delta u + |x|^l |u|^{p-1} u = 0$ on the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 36(2):211–232, 1989.
- [14] Wei Ming Ni. A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 31(6):801–807, 1982.
- [15] Enrico Serra. Non radial positive solutions for the Hénon equation with critical growth. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 23(3):301–326, 2005.
- [16] James Serrin. Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations. *Acta Math.*, 111:247–302, 1964.
- [17] James Serrin. Isolated singularities of solutions of quasi-linear equations. *Acta Math.*, 113:219–240, 1965.
- [18] Didier Smets, Michel Willem, and Jiabao Su. Non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 4(3):467–480, 2002.
- [19] Peter Tolksdorf. Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 51(1):126–150, 1984.

- [20] Juan Luis Vázquez and Laurent Véron. Removable singularities of some strongly nonlinear elliptic equations. *Manuscripta Math.*, 33(2):129–144, 1980/81.
- [21] Laurent Véron. Singular solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 5(3):225–242, 1981.
- [22] Laurent Véron. *Local and global aspects of quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017. Quasilinear elliptic singular problems.