Multivariate permutation entropy, a Cartesian graph product approach

John Stewart Fabila-Carrasco
School of Engineering
Institute for Digital Communications
University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, EH9 3FB, UK
John.Fabila@ed.ac.uk

Chao Tan
School of Electrical and Information Engineering
Tianjin University
Tianjin, 300072, China
tanchao@tju.edu.cn

Javier Escudero
School of Engineering
Institute for Digital Communications
University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, EH9 3FB, UK
javier.escudero@ed.ac.uk

arXiv:2203.00550v1 [math.CO] 1 Mar 2022

***Abstract*—Entropy metrics are nonlinear measures to quantify the complexity of time series. Among them, permutation entropy is a common metric due to its robustness and fast computation. Multivariate entropy metrics techniques are needed to analyse data consisting of more than one time series. To this end, we present a multivariate permutation entropy, $\mathrm{MPE_G}$, using a graph-based approach.**

Given a multivariate signal, the algorithm $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ involves two main steps: 1) we construct an underlying graph G as the Cartesian product of two graphs G_1 and G_2, where G_1 preserves temporal information of each times series together with G_2 that models the relations between different channels, and 2) we consider the multivariate signal as samples defined on the regular graph G and apply the recently introduced permutation entropy for graphs.

Our graph-based approach gives the flexibility to consider diverse types of cross channel relationships and signals, and it overcomes with the limitations of current multivariate permutation entropy.

***Index Terms*—permutation entropy, graph signals, entropy metrics, complexity, multivariate time series.**

I. Introduction

Entropy measurements are a common tool used in the analysis of time series to describe the probability distribution of the states of a system. Based on this concept, the seminal paper [1] introduced the so-called permutation entropy (PE) as a measure to quantify complexity in time series, a fundamental challenge in data analysis. This entropy involves calculating permutation patterns, i.e., permutations defined by comparing neighbouring values of the time series. PE has been applied in a wide range of fields: physical systems [2], economics [3], and biomedicine [4], [5], among many other applications.

There have been studies on the properties of permutation entropy, including extensions to higher regular domains [6] and irregular domains or graphs [7]. Some modifications of PE consider nonlinear mappings to deal with the differences between the amplitude values [8], [9], or weights in permutation patterns [10]. Previous research also has extended PE to different scales [11], [12], or studied its dependencies with respect to random signals [13], autoregressive processes [14] or high-order autoregressive processes [15].

J.S. Fabila-Carrasco and J. Escudero were supported by the Leverhulme Trust via a Research Project Grant (RPG-2020-158).

Most biomedical and physical systems are multivariate. Therefore, univariate entropy metrics have been generalised to a multivariate setting, including: multivariate sample entropy [16], multivariate dispersion entropy [17], among others. A multivariate multiscale permutation entropy (MMPE) to analyse physiological signals is proposed in [18]. However, such algorithm extracts the permutation patterns from each channel separately regardless of their cross-channel information. MMPE treated multichannel signals as a unique block and without interactions between the channels. Thus, it works appropriately when the components of a multivariate signal are statistically independent but does not consider the spatial domain of time series.

Contributions: We introduce a multivariate permutation entropy based on the Cartesian product of graphs. Such approach would enable us to, first, overcome the limitation of current multivariate permutation entropy and, second, give flexibility to consider diverse types of cross channel relationships and signals.

Structure of the manuscript: The outline of the paper is as follows: Section II introduces the Cartesian product of graphs and the permutation entropy: univariate, multivariate and for graph signals. Section III presents the graph associated with a multivariate signal, and Section IV presents the multivariate permutation entropy. Section V shows how $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ applies to synthetic signals. The conclusions and future lines of research are presented in Section VI.

II. Background: graph and permutation entropies

In this section, we introduce general background information, including the definition of a graph and the Cartesian product (Section II-A), the original permutation entropy (Section II-B) and the recently introduced permutation entropy for graph signals (Section II-C).

A. Graphs, Cartesian product and graph signal

An *undirected graph* (or simply graph) G is defined as the pair $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ which consists of a finite set of vertices or nodes $\mathcal{V} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, an edge set $\mathcal{E} \subset \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathcal{V}\}$. The adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is the corresponding $N \times N$

symmetric matrix on edges with entries $1 = \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{A}_{ji}$ if $(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$ and 0 otherwise.

A *directed graph* or *digraph* is a graph where each edge has an orientation or direction.

The *Cartesian product* of two graphs $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ and $G' = (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{E}')$, denoted $G \square G'$, is the graph defined by:

1) the vertex set is given by:
$$\mathcal{V}(G \square G') = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' = \{\, (v, v') \,|\, v \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } v' \in \mathcal{V}' \,\};$$
2) two vertices (v, v') and (u, u') are adjacent in $G \square G'$ if and only if either
   - $v = u$ and v' is adjacent to u' in $\mathcal{V}'$, or
   - $v' = u'$ and v is adjacent to u in $\mathcal{V}$.

A *graph signal* is a real function defined on the vertices, i.e., $\mathbf{X}\colon \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The graph signal $\mathbf{X}$ can be represented as an n-dimensional column vector.

B. Original permutation entropy: univariate and multivariate

Univariate permutation entropy: For a time series $\mathbf{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the algorithm to compute PE is the following [1]:

1) For $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ the *embedding dimension* and $L \in \mathbb{N}$ the *delay time*, the *embedding vector* $\mathbf{x}_i^m(L) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $\mathbf{x}_i^m(L) = (x_{i+jL})_{j=0}^{m-1} = \big(x_i, x_{i+L}, \ldots, x_{i+(m-1)L}\big)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - (m-1)L$.

2) The embedding vector $\mathbf{x}_i^m(L) = \big(x_i, x_{i+L}, \ldots, x_{i+(m-1)L}\big)$ is arranged in the increasing order vector: $\big(x_{i+(k_1-1)L} \leq x_{i+(k_2-1)L} \leq \cdots \leq x_{i+(k_m-1)L}\big)$. We use the convention in [4]. In the case of equal values, the order is given by the corresponding $k's$. Therefore, any embedding vector $\mathbf{x}_i^m(L)$ is uniquely mapped onto the vector $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

3) The relative frequency for the distinct permutation $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k$, where $k = m!$, is denoted by $p(\pi_1), p(\pi_2), \ldots, p(\pi_k)$. The permutation entropy PE for the time series $\mathbf{X}$ is computed as the normalised Shannon entropy for the k distinct permutations as follows
$$\mathrm{PE} = -\frac{1}{\ln(m!)} \sum_{i=1}^{m!} p(\pi_i) \ln p(\pi_i) \; .$$

Multivariate permutation entropy: MMPE is proposed in [18]. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a multivariate signal, MMPE applies steps 1) and 2) from the original PE for each channel. The difference is step 3), where the probability distribution aggregates the frequency of patterns from all channels in the multivariate signal, but it does not account for inter-channel relationships, i.e.

3) The relative frequencies are denoted by $\{\pi_{i,j}\}$, then the marginal relative frequencies describing the distributions of the patterns is defined by: $P_j = \sum \pi_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m!$. The multivariate MMPE is computed as the normalised Shannon entropy for the marginal relative frequencies:
$$\mathrm{MMPE} = -\frac{1}{\ln(m!)} \sum_{j=1}^{m!} P_j \ln P_j \; .$$

C. Permutation entropy for graph signals

Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a graph, $\mathbf{A}$ its adjacency matrix and $\mathbf{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a signal on the graph. The permutation entropy for the graph signals $\mathrm{PE_G}$ is defined in [7] as follows:

1) For $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ the *embedding dimension*, $L \in \mathbb{N}$ the *delay time* and for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ we define $y_i^{kL} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{kL}(i)|} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{kL}(i)} x_j = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_{kL}(i)|} (\mathbf{A}^{kL}\mathbf{X})_i$, where $\mathcal{N}_k(i) = \{\, j \in \mathcal{V} \,|\, \text{it exists a walk on } k \text{ edges joining } i \text{ and } j \,\}$. Hence, we construct the embedding vector $\mathbf{y}_i^{m,L} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ given by $\mathbf{y}_i^{m,L} = \big(y_i^{kL}\big)_{k=0}^{m-1} = \Big(y_i^0, y_i^L, \ldots y_i^{(m-1)L}\Big)$.

2) The embedding vector $\mathbf{y}_i^{m,L}$ is arranged in increasing order.

3) The relative frequency for the distinct permutation $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k$, where $k = m!$, is denoted by $p(\pi_1), p(\pi_2), \ldots, p(\pi_k)$. The permutation entropy $\mathrm{PE_G}$ for the graph signal $\mathbf{X}$ is computed as the normalised Shannon entropy
$$\mathrm{PE_G} = -\frac{1}{\ln(m!)} \sum_{i=1}^{m!} p(\pi_i) \ln p(\pi_i) \; .$$
For time series, $\mathrm{PE_G}$ reduces to PE. In particular, if $\mathbf{X}$ is a time series and G the directed path on n vertices, then for all m and L, the equality holds: $\mathrm{PE}(m, L) = \mathrm{PE}_G(m, L)$ (see [7, Prop. 3]).

III. Construction of the graph

In this section, we will associate a graph for each multivariate signal. Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a multivariate signal; we will construct a 2D graph (using the Cartesian product). One dimension will preserve the temporal information, and another will preserve the cross-channel information.

Dimension 1. Temporal information

We associate the directed path with a time series, where a vertex represents each sample time. A *directed path* on n vertices is a directed graph that joins a sequence of different vertices with all the edges in the same direction and is denoted by $\overrightarrow{P_n}$, i.e. its vertices are $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and its arcs $(i, i+1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. An example is depicted in Fig. 1(a).

Dimension 2. Relationships between channels

$\mathbf{U} = \{U_s\}^{s=1,2,\ldots,p}$ is a multivariate signal consisting on a set of p time series (or channels). Let I_p be the graph with p vertices representing the interaction between different channels, i.e. U_i and U_j are adjacent in the graph I_p if and only if they interact.

If we do not have any a priori information about the interactions between channels, by default, we will consider equal interactions between all channels. Complete graphs represent such relations, i.e., we will set $I_p = K_p$ as the complete graph with p vertices, see an example in Fig. 1(b).

Definition 1. *Let $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{t,s}\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,n}^{s=1,2,\ldots,p}$ be a multivariate time series with $p-$channels of length n and with I_p the graph of interactions between channels. We define $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ as the graph associated with $\mathbf{U}$ and given by:*
$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}} := \overrightarrow{P_n} \square I_p \; .$$

We set I_p as the complete graph in case of not having additional information about the interaction of the channels.




Fig. 1. (a) Directed path with seven vertices, denoted by $\overrightarrow{P_7}$. (b) Interactions between the four channels are encoded with the complete graph on four vertices, denoted by K_4. (c) The Cartesian product $\overrightarrow{P_7}\square K_4$.

By construction, the vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ and the sample points in the multivariate signal $\mathbf{U}$ are indexed by the same set, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathcal{V}(\overrightarrow{P_n}\square I_p) = \mathcal{V}(\overrightarrow{P_n}) \times \mathcal{V}(I_p) = \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_p \ ;$$

hence, we can consider $\mathbf{U}$ as a graph signal defined on the regular domain $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$.

The constructed $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ is a 2D domain, time defines one dimension, and cross-channel dependencies define another one. Hence, the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ preserve the temporal/dependency structure of the multivariate signal $\mathbf{U}$. This is not the case for the currently available implementation of multivariate PE.

Example 1. *Consider a multivariate time series with four channels and seven sample points, i.e., $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{t,s}\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,7}^{s=1,2,3,4}$, and we do not have any additional information between channels. By default, we will assume all channels interact with each other. Fig. 1(c) shows the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ constructed in Def. 1.*

An example of a multivariate signal where not all channels interact with each others is shown in Fig. 2(a). The adjacency matrix associated with the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ is shown in Fig. 2(b). The graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ constructed according to Def.1 is depicted in Fig. 2(c).

More complex relations between channels: Observe that the only imposed condition on the graph I_p is the number of vertices, i.e., I_p has as many vertices as the number of channels. Non-complete graphs can model other dependencies between channels.

We will use undirected edges for bidirectional relationships between channels. We also can use directed edges for unidirectional interaction and include weighted edges for heterogeneous relations. Hence, in general, I_p would be a weighted (directed or undirected) graph.

Regular structure but not periodic structure: Covering graphs or periodic graphs are used as models of chemical compounds, like graphene nanoribbons [19]. The graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ is not a covering graph, but it can be considered as a geometrical




Fig. 2. (a) An undirected graph G represents the relationships between channels. (b) The adjacency matrix corresponding to the graph G. (c) The Cartesian product $\overrightarrow{P_7}\square G$.

perturbation (see, e.g., [20]) of a periodic graph. Hence, some properties of the periodic graphs can be preserved in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$, including spectral properties [21]. Such properties are important in graph signal processing [22], [23] and combinatorics [24]. This could be useful to formulate more general improved multivariate signals entropies.

IV. Multivariate permutation entropy ($\mathrm{MPE_G}$)

In this section, we define the multivariate permutation entropy $\mathrm{MPE_G}$. We use the permutation entropy for graph signals $\mathrm{PE_G}$ (Section II-C) and the graph construction described in Def. 1.

Definition 2. ***Multivariate permutation entropy*** **($\mathrm{MPE_G}$)**
Let $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{t,s}\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,n}^{s=1,2,\ldots,p}$ be a multivariate time series with interaction graph I_p between channels

1) ***Graph construction.*** *Construct the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ described in Def. 1, i.e.,*
$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}} := \overrightarrow{P_n}\square I_p \ .$$
2) ***Graph signal.*** *Consider $\mathbf{U}$ as a signal defined on the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$, i.e.,*
$$\mathbf{U}\colon \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \ .$$
3) **PE *for graph signals.*** *The* multivariate permutation entropy ($\mathrm{MPE_G}$) *is defined as the permutation entropy for the graph signal* $\mathrm{PE_G}$ *(see Section II-C) for the signal $\mathbf{U}$ and the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$, i.e.,*
$$\mathrm{MPE_G} = \mathrm{PE_G}(\mathbf{U}) \ .$$

Proposition 1 proves some important relations between $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ and PE metrics presented in the literature (see Table I).

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{t,s}\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,n}^{s=1,2,\ldots,p}$ be a multivariate time series with interaction graph I_p between channels:*

1) *If $p = 1$, then $\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathrm{PE}$.*
2) *If $s = 1$, then $\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathrm{PE_G}$.*
3) *If I_p is the graph defined by p isolated vertices, then*
$$\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathrm{MMPE} \ .$$

4) *If I_p is a directed path on p vertices, then*

$$\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U}) \approx \mathrm{PE}_{2D} \ .$$

5) *If $\mathbf{U} = \{U_t\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,n}^{s=1,2,\ldots,p}$, then* $\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U}) \approx \mathrm{PE}(U_t)$.

Proof. $1)-3)$ are easy properties and follow from the entropy definitions (Table I).

4) If I_p is a directed path on p vertices, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ is a directed grid graph with $n \times p$ vertices, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}} = \overrightarrow{P_n} \square \overrightarrow{P_p}$. Hence, by definition of $\mathrm{MPE_G}$, the algorithm reduces to apply $\mathrm{PE_G}$ to the signal $\mathbf{U}$ defined on the grid $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$, and the performance of $\mathrm{PE_G}$ and PE_{2D} are similar (see [7, Sec. IV]).

5) We prove the case $m = 2$ and $L = 1$; the other cases are analogous. By definition of $\mathrm{PE_G}$, each vertex belongs to the permutation pattern π_1 or π_2. For every vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}(\overrightarrow{P_n})$, where v_i is not the last vertex of the path, it is easy to show that the set of vertices $\{\, (v_i, v_j) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}) \,|\, j = 1, 2, \ldots, p \,\}$ also belong to π_1 (similarly with π_2). Hence, the relative frequencies are preserved in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\overrightarrow{P_n}$ (except for at most s vertices corresponding to the last vertex of each path). Then, for a large n, the values of its corresponding Shannon entropies are close enough. □

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF SOME PERMUTATION ENTROPY METRICS.

Entropy metric	Properties/Limitations
PE Permutation Entropy Reference: [1] - [5]	Analyse univariate time series Simple and computationally fast Multiscale extension
$\mathrm{PE_{2D}}$: Regularly sampled 2D data **PE** Reference: [6]	Analyse bidimensional data Multiscale extension Valuable for texture analysis
$\mathrm{PE_G}$ **PE** for Graph Signals Reference: [7]	Analyse graph signals (including: time series and image) No multiscale extension yet
MPE Multivariate Multiscale **PE** Reference: [18]	Analyse multivariate data but as a unique block (no interactions) Multiscale included
$\mathrm{MPE_G}$ Multivariate **PE**, a graph product approach Reference: Definition 2	Analyse multivariate data including cross channel relationships Use **$\mathrm{PE_G}$** for a graph Cartesian product No multiscale extension yet

V. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we apply the algorithm to a set of multivariate synthetic signals used in the study of dynamical systems.

A. The Hénon map

In discrete-time dynamical systems, one of the most studied is the Hénon map introduced in [25]. Using $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ we can detect dynamical changes in the two-dimensional system defined by the equations:

$$x_{n+1} = 1 - a x_n^2 + y_n$$
$$y_{n+1} = b x_n \ .$$

The map depends on two parameters: a and b. For the values $a = 1.4$ and $b = 0.3$ indicate the existence of a strange attractor; hence the map is chaotic. With $b = 0.3$ and for other values of the parameter a, Fig. 4 shows that the map may be periodic, chaotic or intermittent. We will analyse




Fig. 3. Orbit diagram for the Hénon map with $b = 0.3$.

the parameter a, we consider $a \in [1, 1.4]$ with increments in steps of .0001. For each iteration, we define the multivariate signal $\mathbf{U} = \{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1,2,\ldots,n}$. The initial condition considered are $x_1 = 0.5$, $y_1 = 0.1$ with $n = 100$ (similar results are obtained for other values). We apply $\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U})$ for detecting the dynamic between the two signals. We also apply PE for each univariate signal and MMPE to the multivariate signal, results obtained by PE and MMPE are similar. Fig. 4 shows the entropy values for $\mathrm{MPE_G}(\mathbf{U})$ and PE for $m = 3$ and $L = 1$.




Fig. 4. Entropy values computed for $m = 3$ and $L = 1$.

Our algorithm is able to detect chaotic behaviour and windows of stability. Moreover, the wider gap between values of $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ indicates a larger sensitivity.

B. Lorenz system

The Lorenz system is an example of a system of ordinary differential equations. This system has important applications in mechanics, biology, and circuit theory [26]; and is given by three simultaneous equations:

$$x' = \sigma(y - x),$$
$$y' = x(\rho - z) - y,$$
$$z' = xy - \beta z.$$

Lorenz used the values $\sigma = 10$ and $\beta = 8/3$. It is well-known that for $\rho = 28$, the system showed chaotic behaviour. For $\rho < 1$, the origin is a global attractor, i.e., all orbits converge to a unique equilibrium point [26]. $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ algorithm captures this fact. Table II shows the entropy values computed $\mathrm{MPE_G}$

for $L = 1$ and $m = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$. Entropy values are larger when $\rho > 1$, reflecting more complexity, while for $\rho < 1$, the system tends to the equilibrium; hence, lower values are obtained.

TABLE II
ENTROPY VALUES FOR THE LORENZ SYSTEM.

	$m = 3$	$m = 4$	$m = 5$	$m = 6$	$m = 7$
$\rho = 0.8$	0.4524	0.2860	0.1981	0.1477	0.1166
$\rho = 0.9$	0.4538	0.2878	0.1986	0.1489	0.1169
$\rho = 1.2$	0.7258	0.6673	0.5564	0.4478	0.3787
$\rho = 1.3$	0.7226	0.6872	0.5905	0.4815	0.4136

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We introduced a multivariate permutation entropy to quantify the complexity of multivariate time series. The algorithm proposed use the Cartesian product of graphs and the recently introduced permutation entropy for graph signals [7]. Our graph-based approach considers diverse type of cross channel relationships and overcomes with the limitations of current multivariate permutation entropy.

Future lines of research-based on the present work are:

1) Multivariate dispersion entropy: Using a similar graph-technique presented in this paper, some univariate metrics can be generalised to multivariate metrics, including Dispersion Entropy.

2) Multiscale permutation entropy for graph signals: $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ requires $\mathrm{PE_G}$ in its computation. Multiscale entropy for time series involves downsampling or a coarse-graining process. Such process is unclear for signals defined in graphs; hence a multiscale $\mathrm{PE_G}$ is still an open issue.

3) Interaction between channels changing with time: The graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{U}}$ (Def. 1) uses the Cartesian product. Implicitly, we assumed the relationships between channels are preserved along time. We will explore constructions involving changes in the interactions between channels respect to time.

4) Irregular domains and real-world data: The presented $\mathrm{MPE_G}$ deals with 2D constructions and synthetic signals. We will explore similar entropies techniques for irregular domains and apply to real-world data, including biomedical signals and phase-flow patterns.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bandt, and B. Pompe, "Permutation Entropy: A Natural Complexity Measure for Time Series", *Physical Review Letters*, 2002, 88(17), pp. 174102.

[2] R. Yan, Y. Liu, and R.X. Gao, "Permutation entropy: A nonlinear statistical measure for status characterization of rotary machines", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2012, 29, pp.474-484.

[3] L. Zunino, M. Zanin, B.M. Tabak, D.G. Pérez, O.A. Rosso, "Forbidden patterns, permutation entropy and stock market inefficiency", *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 2009, 388(14), pp.2854-2864.

[4] Y. Cao, W.W. Tung, J.B. Gao, V.A. Protopopescu, and L.M. Hively, "Detecting dynamical changes in time series using the permutation entropy", *Physical review E*, 2004, 70(4), p.046217.

[5] E. Olofsen, J.W. Sleigh, A. Dahan, "Permutation entropy of the electroencephalogram: a measure of anaesthetic drug effect", *BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 2008, 101(6), pp. 810–821.

[6] C. Morel, and A. Humeau-Heurtier, "Multiscale permutation entropy for two-dimensional patterns", *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2021, 150, pp. 139-146.

[7] J.S. Fabila-Carrasco, C. Tan, and J. Escudero,"Permutation Entropy for Graph Signal", *arXiv:2110.00628*, 2021.

[8] H. Azami, and J. Escudero, "Amplitude- and fluctuation-based dispersion entropy", *Entropy*, 2018, 20(3), pp.1–21.

[9] M. Rostaghi, and H. Azami, "Dispersion entropy: A measure for time-series analysis", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2016, 23(5), pp. 610-614.

[10] I. Mitiche, G. Morison, A. Nesbitt, and B.G. Stewart, " Classification of partial discharge EMI conditions using permutation entropy-based features". In *25th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, August 2017, pp. 1375–1379.

[11] M. Costa, A.L. Goldberger, and C.K. Peng, "Multiscale entropy analysis of complex physiologic time series", *Physical review letters*, 2002. 89(6), p. 068102.

[12] H. Azami, and J. Escudero, "Improved multiscale permutation entropy for biomedical signal analysis: Interpretation and application to electroencephalogram recordings", *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 2016, 23, pp.28-41.

[13] A. Dávalos, M. Jabloun, P. Ravier, and O. Buttelli, "Theoretical study of multiscale permutation entropy on finite-length fractional gaussian noise." In *26th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, September 2018, pp. 1087–1091.

[14] A. Dávalos, M. Jabloun, P. Ravier, and O. Buttelli, "Multiscale permutation entropy: Statistical characterization on autoregressive and moving average processes", In *27th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, September 2019, pp. 1–5.

[15] A. Dávalos, M. Jabloun, P. Ravier, and O. Buttelli, "On the Deterministic Estimaton of Multiscale Permutation Entropy of High-Order Autoregressive-Moving-Average Processes as a Function of ARMA Parameters". In *28th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, January 2021, pp. 2225-2229.

[16] M.U. Ahmed and D.P. Mandic,"Multivariate multiscale entropy: A tool for complexity analysis of multichannel data," *Physical Review E*, 2011, 84, p. 61918.

[17] H. Azami, A. Fernández, and J. Escudero,"Multivariate Multiscale Dispersion Entropy of Biomedical Times Series," *Entropy*, 2019, 21(9), Sep. 2019, p. 913.

[18] F.C. Morabito, D. Labate, F. La Foresta, A. Bramanti, G. Morabito, and I. Palamara, "Multivariate Multi-Scale Permutation Entropy for Complexity Analysis of Alzheimer's Disease EEG," *Entropy*, 2012, 14(7), pp. 1186-1202.

[19] J.S. Fabila-Carrasco, and F. Lledó, "Covering graphs, magnetic spectral gaps and applications to polymers and nanoribbons", *Symmetry*, 2019, 11(9), pp. 1–21.

[20] J.S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, "Spectral preorder and perturbations of discrete weighted graphs," *Mathematische Annalen*, 2020, pp. 1-–49.

[21] J.S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, "Spectral gaps and discrete magnetic Laplacians," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2018, 547, pp. 183–216.

[22] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J.M. Moura, and P. Vandergheynst, "Graph signal processing: Overview, challenges, and applications", *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2018, 106(5), pp. 808-828.

[23] L. Stankovic, D. Mandic, M. Dakovic, M. Brajovic, B. Scalzo, and T. Constantinides, "Graph Signal Processing–Part I: Graphs, Graph Spectra, and Spectral Clustering", 2019, *arXiv preprint* arXiv:1907.03467.

[24] J.S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, "Matching number, Hamiltonian graphs and magnetic Laplacian matrices," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2022, 642, pp. 86-–100.

[25] M. Benedicks, and L. Carleson,"The dynamics of the Hénon map," *Annals of Mathematics*, 1991, 133(1), pp. 73–169.

[26] M.W. Hirsch, S. Smale, and R.L. Devaney, "Differential equations, dynamical systems, and an introduction to chaos", *Academic press*, 2012.