CONVERGENCE FOR THE FRACTIONAL *p*-LAPLACIAN AND ITS CORRESPONDING EXTENDED NIRENBERG PROBLEM

ZHIWEN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. The main objective of this paper is to establish the convergence for the fractional *p*-Laplacian of nonnegative sequence of functions with p > 2. Further, we show the blow-up phenomena for solutions to the extended Nirenberg problem modeled by fractional *p*-Laplacian with the prescribed negative functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let $n \ge 1$, $p \ge 2$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Define the fractional *p*-Laplacian $(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}$ as follows:

$$(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u(x) = c_{n,\sigma p} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy,$$

where $c_{n,\sigma p}$ is a positive constant and *P.V.* represents the Cauchy principal value. It is worth pointing out that $(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}$ becomes the linear fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\sigma}$ if p = 2, while it is a nonlinear nonlocal operator if p > 2. The definition of $(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u$ is valid under the condition that $u \in C_{loc}^{\sigma p+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, where $C_{loc}^{\sigma p+\alpha} := C_{loc}^{[\sigma p+\alpha],\sigma p+\alpha-[\sigma p+\alpha]}$ with $[\sigma p+\alpha]$ denoting the integer part of $\sigma p + \alpha$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L^{p-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^{p-1}}{1+|x|^{n+\sigma p}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Recently, Du, Jin, Xiong and Yang [5] derived the following fact:

"If $u_i \to u$ in $C_{loc}^{2\sigma+\alpha}$ as $i \to \infty$, and $\{(-\Delta)^{\sigma}u_i\}$ converges pointwisely, then $(-\Delta)^{\sigma}u_i \to (-\Delta)^{\sigma}u - \theta$ for some $\theta \ge 0$,"

where the constant θ may be greater than zero, which is different from the classical Laplacian operator. However, the proof for the linear fractional Laplacian cannot be directly used to deal with the nonlinear case. So, in this paper we aim to overcome the nonlinear difficulty for the fractional *p*-Laplacian operator and prove that the above fact also holds for the nonlinear nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}$ with p > 2. The principal result of this paper is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \ge 1$, p > 2, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Assume that a sequence of nonnegative functions $\{u_i\} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{\sigma p+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converges in $C_{loc}^{\sigma p+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a function $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\{(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}u_i\}$ converges pointwisely in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u_i(x) = (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u(x) - \theta,$$

Date: March 2, 2022.

where θ is a nonnegative constant given by

$$\theta = c_{n,\sigma p} \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(x)}{|x|^{n+\sigma p}} dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and R >> |x| + 1, let

$$\begin{split} &(-\Delta)_{p}^{\sigma}u(x) - (-\Delta)_{p}^{\sigma}u_{i}(x) \\ = & c_{n,\sigma p} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y)) - |u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-2}(u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy \\ &+ & c_{n,\sigma p} \int_{B_{R}^{c}(0)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy \\ &+ & c_{n,\sigma p} \int_{B_{R}^{c}(0)} \frac{-|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-2}(u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy \\ = & \Phi_{i}(x, R) + \mathfrak{G}(x, R) + \Psi_{i}(x, R). \end{split}$$
(1.1)

In light of the fact that $u_i \to u$ in $C^{\sigma p+\alpha}(B_{2R}(0))$, we obtain that for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists an integer N > 0 such that for every i > N,

$$\|u_i - u\|_{C^{\sigma p + \alpha}(B_{2R}(0))} \le \varepsilon^{\frac{r}{\min\{1, p-2\}}}, \quad \|u_i\|_{C^{\sigma p + \alpha}(B_{2R}(0))} \le \|u\|_{C^{\sigma p + \alpha}(B_{2R}(0))} + 1.$$
(1.2)

Define

$$\Phi_i(x, R \setminus \varepsilon) := \Phi_i(x, R) - \Phi_i(x, \varepsilon), \quad \mathcal{M} := \|u\|_{C^{\sigma p + \alpha}(B_{2R}(0))} + 1,$$

where $\Phi_i(x,\varepsilon)$ denotes the integral in $\Phi_i(x,R)$ with the domain $B_R(0)$ replaced by $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Using (1.2), we deduce that for $x, y \in B_{2R}(0), i > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} &||u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y)) - |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2}(u_i(x) - u_i(y)) \\ &\leq |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} |(u - u_i)(x) - (u - u_i)(y)| \\ &+ ||u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} - |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} ||u_i(x) - u_i(y)| \\ &\leq C(p, \mathcal{M}) ||u_i - u||_{L^{\infty}(B_{2R}(0))}^{\min\{1, p-2\}} \leq C(p, \mathcal{M}) \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$|\Phi_i(x, R \setminus \varepsilon)| \le C(p, \mathcal{M})\varepsilon^p \int_{B_{2R}(x) \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} \le C(p, n, \sigma, \mathcal{M})\varepsilon^{(1 - \sigma)p}.$$
(1.3)

On the other hand, if $\sigma p + \alpha \in (0, 1]$, then it follows from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_i(x,\varepsilon)| &\leq C(p,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{|x-y|^{(\sigma p+\alpha)(p-1)}}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} \\ &\leq C(p,n,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) \varepsilon^{(\sigma p+\alpha)(p-2)+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$
(1.4)

When $\sigma p + \alpha \in (1, \infty)$, utilizing (1.2) again, it follows from Taylor expansion that $\left| |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} (u_i(x) - u_i(y)) - |\nabla u_i(x)(x-y)|^{p-2} \nabla u_i(x)(x-y) \right|$ $\leq C(p, \sigma, \alpha, \mathcal{M}) \left(|\nabla u_i(x)(x-y)|^{p-2} + |x-y|^{\min\{2,\sigma p+\alpha\}(p-2)} \right) |x-y|^{\min\{2,\sigma p+\alpha\}}$ $\leq C(p, \sigma, \alpha, \mathcal{M}) |x-y|^{\min\{p,(\sigma+1)p+\alpha-2\}},$ where we utilized the following element inequality

$$||a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b| \le C(p)|a-b| (|a-b|^{p-2} + |b|^{p-2}), \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By the same argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y)) - |\nabla u(x)(x-y)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)(x-y) \right| \\ & \leq C(p,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) |x-y|^{\min\{p,(\sigma+1)p+\alpha-2\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that if $\sigma p + \alpha \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_i(x,\varepsilon)| \leq & C(p,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{|x-y|^{\min\{p,(\sigma+1)p+\alpha-2\}}}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \\ \leq & C(p,n,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) \varepsilon^{\min\{(1-\sigma)p,p+\alpha-2\}}, \end{aligned}$$
(1.5)

where we utilized the anti-symmetry of $\nabla u(x)(x-y)$ and $\nabla u_i(x)(x-y)$ with regard to the center x. Consequently, combining (1.3)–(1.5), we deduce that for every i > N,

$$|\Phi_i(x,R)| \le C(p,n,\sigma,\alpha,\mathcal{M}) \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\min\{(1-\sigma)p,(\sigma p+\alpha)(p-2)+\alpha\}}, & \text{if } \sigma p+\alpha \in (0,1], \\ \varepsilon^{\min\{(1-\sigma)p,p+\alpha-2\}}, & \text{if } \sigma p+\alpha \in (1,\infty), \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \Phi_i(x, R) = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that $\{(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u_i\}$ is a pointwise convergent sequence, we then deduce from (1.1) and (1.6) that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R) \text{ exists and is finite.}$$
(1.7)

Since $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and R >> |x| + 1, then

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \left(\frac{R}{|x - y||}\right)^{n + \sigma p} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{C(p)(u^{p-1}(x) + u^{p-1}(y))}{|y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy = 0, \end{split}$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \mathfrak{G}(x, R) = 0.$$

This, in combination with (1.1) and (1.6)–(1.7), leads to that $\lim_{R\to\infty} \lim_{i\to\infty} \Psi_i(x, R)$ exists and is finite,

$$(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}u(x) - \lim_{i \to \infty} (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma}u_i(x) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R).$$
(1.8)

Denote

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_1 &:= -u_i^{p-2}(y)u_i(x), \\ \mathcal{K}_2 &:= \left(u_i^{p-2}(y) - |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2}\right)u_i(x), \\ \mathcal{K}_3 &:= -\left(u_i^{p-2}(y) - |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2}\right)u_i(y), \\ \Theta &:= -|u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2}(u_i(x) - u_i(y)). \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$u_i^{p-1}(y) - \sum_{j=1}^3 |\mathcal{K}_j| \le \Theta = u_i^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{K}_j \le u_i^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=2}^3 |\mathcal{K}_j|.$$
(1.9)

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from Young's inequality that

$$|\mathcal{K}_1| \le \varepsilon u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-2}} u_i^{p-1}(x).$$
(1.10)

We now divide into three cases to estimate \mathcal{K}_2 and \mathcal{K}_3 in the following.

Case 1. Consider 2 . Since

$$u_i^{p-2}(y) \le (|u_i(y) - u_i(x)| + u_i(x))^{p-2} \le |u_i(y) - u_i(x)|^{p-2} + u_i^{p-2}(x),$$

$$|u_i(y) - u_i(x)|^{p-2} \le u_i^{p-2}(y) + u_i^{p-2}(x),$$

then

$$\left|u_{i}^{p-2}(y)-|u_{i}(x)-u_{i}(y)|^{p-2}\right|\leq u_{i}^{p-2}(x).$$

Hence it follows from Young's inequality that

$$|\mathcal{K}_2| \le u_i^{p-1}(x), \quad |\mathcal{K}_3| \le \varepsilon u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-2}}} u_i^{p-1}(x).$$
 (1.11)

Substituting (1.10)–(1.11) into (1.9), we derive

$$(1-2\varepsilon)u_i^{p-1}(y) - \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-2}}u_i^{p-1}(x) \le \Theta \le (1+\varepsilon)u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-2}}}u_i^{p-1}(x).$$
(1.12)

Case 2. Consider the case when p > 3 is an integer. From the binomial theorem and Young's inequality, we have

$$(a+b)^{p-2} = a^{p-2} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} C_{p-2}^j a^{p-2-j} b^j \le (1+\varepsilon) a^{p-2} + C(p) b^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{p-2-k}{k}} \le (1+\varepsilon) a^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}} b^{p-2}, \quad \text{for any } a, b \ge 0.$$

$$(1.13)$$

Using (1.13), we deduce

$$u_i^{p-2}(y) \le (|u_i(y) - u_i(x)| + u_i(x))^{p-2} \le (1+\varepsilon)|u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_i^{p-2}(x),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{p-2}(y) - |u_i(y) - u_i(x)|^{p-2} &\leq \varepsilon |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}} u_i^{p-2}(x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon (1+\varepsilon) u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}} u_i^{p-2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$|u_i(y) - u_i(x)|^{p-2} - u_i^{p-2}(y) \le \varepsilon u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}} u_i^{p-2}(x).$$

Hence, we have

$$\left|u_{i}^{p-2}(y) - |u_{i}(y) - u_{i}(x)|^{p-2}\right| \leq \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_{i}^{p-2}(x).$$
(1.14)

Utilizing (1.14) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_{2}| &\leq \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-2}(y)u_{i}(x) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_{i}^{p-1}(x) \leq \varepsilon u_{i}^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_{i}^{p-1}(x), \\ |\mathcal{K}_{3}| &\leq \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_{i}^{p-2}(x)u_{i}(y) \leq \varepsilon(2+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-2}}u_{i}^{p-1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with (1.9)-(1.10), gives that

$$\Theta \le (1+3\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-2}}u_i^{p-1}(x), \tag{1.15}$$

$$\Theta \ge (1 - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2) u_i^{p-1}(y) - \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-2}} u_i^{p-1}(x).$$
(1.16)

Case 3. Consider the case when p > 3 is not an integer. On one hand, making use of (1.13), we obtain

$$|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-2} \leq (u_{i}(x) + u_{i}(y))^{[p-2]+(p-[p])} \leq \left((1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{[p-2]}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{[p-2]}(x) \right) \left(u_{i}^{p-[p]}(y) + u_{i}^{p-[p]}(x) \right)$$
$$= (1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{p-[p]}(y)u_{i}^{[p-2]}(x) + (1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{[p-2]}(y)u_{i}^{p-[p]}(x) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{p-2}(x).$$
(1.17)

From Young's inequality, we deduce

$$\frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_i^{p-[p]}(y)u_i^{[p-2]}(x) \le \varepsilon u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}}u_i^{p-2}(x),$$
(1.18)

$$(1+\varepsilon)u_i^{[p-2]}(y)u_i^{p-[p]}(x) \le \varepsilon u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}}u_i^{p-2}(x).$$
(1.19)

Substituting (1.18)–(1.19) into (1.17), it follows that

$$|u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} - u_i^{p-2}(y) \le 3\varepsilon u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-2}(x).$$
(1.20)

On the other hand, using (1.13) again, we have

$$u_{i}^{p-2}(y) \leq (|u_{i}(y) - u_{i}(x)| + u_{i}(x))^{|p-2|+(p-|p|)}$$

$$\leq \left((1+\varepsilon)|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{[p-2]} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{[p-2]}(x) \right)$$

$$\cdot \left(|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-[p]} + u_{i}^{p-[p]}(x) \right)$$

$$= (1+\varepsilon)|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{[p-2]}(x)|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-[p]}$$

$$+ (1+\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-[p]}(x)|u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{[p-2]} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}}u_{i}^{p-2}(x). \quad (1.21)$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{[p-3]}} u_i^{[p-2]}(x) |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-[p]} \le \varepsilon |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{p-3}} u_i^{p-2}(x), \quad (1.22)$$

$$(1+\varepsilon) u_i^{p-[p]}(x) |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{[p-2]} \le \varepsilon |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-2}(x). \quad (1.23)$$

Combining (1.20)–(1.23), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{p-2}(y) - |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} &\leq 3\varepsilon |u_i(x) - u_i(y)|^{p-2} + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-2}(x) \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon (1+3\varepsilon) u_i^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (1.20) again, gives that

$$\left|u_{i}^{p-2}(y) - |u_{i}(x) - u_{i}(y)|^{p-2}\right| \leq 3\varepsilon(1+3\varepsilon)u_{i}^{p-2}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{|p-2|}{p-|p|}}}u_{i}^{p-2}(x).$$
(1.24)

In light of (1.24), it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_2| &\leq 3\varepsilon (1+3\varepsilon) u_i^{p-2}(y) u_i(x) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-1}(x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-2]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-1}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_3| \leq & 3\varepsilon(1+3\varepsilon)u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{|p-2|}{p-|p|}}}u_i^{p-2}(x)u_i(y) \\ \leq & \varepsilon(4+9\varepsilon)u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{|p-1|}{p-|p|}}}u_i^{p-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$
(1.26)

Therefore, substituting (1.10) and (1.25)-(1.26) into (1.9), we derive

$$\Theta \le (1 + 5\varepsilon + 9\varepsilon^2)u_i^{p-1}(y) + \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-1]}{p-[p]}}}u_i^{p-1}(x),$$
(1.27)

$$\Theta \ge (1 - 6\varepsilon - 9\varepsilon^2) u_i^{p-1}(y) - \frac{C(p)}{\varepsilon^{\frac{[p-1]}{p-[p]}}} u_i^{p-1}(x).$$
(1.28)

Observe that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(x)}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy = u^{p-1}(x) \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} \le u^{p-1}(x) \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_{R-|x|}^c(x)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} = 0.$$
(1.29)

Since $\lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{i\to\infty}\Psi_i(x,R)$ exists and is finite, it follows from (1.12), (1.15)–(1.16) and (1.27)–(1.29) that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R) \leq c_{n, \sigma p} (1 + \varepsilon_p^{(1)}) \liminf_{R \to \infty} \liminf_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy,$$
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R) \geq c_{n, \sigma p} (1 - \varepsilon_p^{(2)}) \limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(y)}{|x - y|^{n + \sigma p}} dy,$$

6

where

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_p^{(1)} &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & \text{if } 2 3 \text{ is an integer}, \\ \varepsilon(5+9\varepsilon), & \text{if } p > 3 \text{ is not an integer}, \end{cases} \\ \varepsilon_p^{(2)} &= \begin{cases} 2\varepsilon, & \text{if } 2 3 \text{ is an integer}, \\ 3\varepsilon(2+3\varepsilon), & \text{if } p > 3 \text{ is not an integer}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Due to the fact that R >> |x| + 1, we have

$$\frac{(R-|x|)|y|}{R} \le |y-x| \le \frac{(R+|x|)|y|}{R}, \text{ for } y \in B_R^c(0).$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R) \leq c_{n, \sigma p} (1 + \varepsilon_p^{(1)}) \liminf_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy,$$
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \psi_i(x, R) \geq c_{n, \sigma p} (1 - \varepsilon_p^{(2)}) \limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy,$$

By virtue of the arbitrariness of ε and $\{u_i\}$ is nonnegative, we obtain

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi_i(x, R) = c_{n, \sigma p} \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R^c(0)} \frac{u_i^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \ge 0.$$

This, together with (1.8), yields that Theorem 1.1 holds.

In order to show that the limit constant θ captured in Theorem 1.1 may be positive, we consider a sequence of nonnegative functions in the following. Choose a smooth cut-off function η satisfying that

$$\eta(t) \equiv 0 \text{ in } (-\infty, 0], \, \eta(t) \equiv 1 \text{ in } [1, \infty), \text{ and } 0 \le \eta(t) \le 1 \text{ in } [0, 1].$$
 (1.30)

Then for any 0 < s < t and $j \ge 1$, define

$$v_j(x) := j^{-s} w_j(R_j^{-1}x), \quad w_j(x) := \begin{cases} j^s + j^t \phi(x), & \text{in } B_6, \\ (1 - \psi(x))(j^s + j^t), & \text{in } B_6^c, \end{cases}$$
(1.31)

where $\phi(x) = \eta(|x| - 3)$, and $\psi(x) = \eta(|x| - 6)$, $R_j = j^{\frac{(t-s)(p-1)}{\sigma_p}} \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma_p}}$ with

$$\beta := c_{n,\sigma p} \left(\int_{B_4 \setminus B_3} \frac{\phi^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy + \int_{B_6 \setminus B_4} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} + \int_{B_6^c} \frac{(1-\psi(y))^{p-1}}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \right).$$
(1.32)

Example 1.2. Let $n \ge 1$, p > 2 and $0 < \sigma < 1$. If condition (1.31) holds, then we obtain that v_j converges to 1 in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} v_j(x) = -1.$$

Remark 1.3. We here would like to point out that the examples constructed in Example 1.2 and Theorem 2.1 were first given in [5].

Z.W. ZHAO

Proof. It is easily seen from (1.31) that $v_j \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v_j \ge 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , $v_j = 1$ in B_{R_j} , and $\|v_j - 1\|_{C_{loc}^2} \to 0$, as $i \to \infty$. A direct computation gives that

$$(-\Delta)_{p}^{\sigma}v_{j}(x) = j^{-s(p-1)}R_{j}^{-\sigma p}(-\Delta)_{p}^{\sigma}w_{j}(R_{j}^{-1}x), \quad \text{for } x \in B_{R_{j}}.$$
 (1.33)

For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{split} j^{-t(p-1)}(-\Delta)_{p}^{\sigma}w_{j}(R_{j}^{-1}x) \\ &= -c_{n,\sigma p}\int_{B_{4}\setminus B_{3}}\frac{\phi^{p-1}(y)}{|R_{j}^{-1}x-y|^{n+\sigma p}}dy - c_{n,\sigma p}\int_{B_{6}\setminus B_{4}}\frac{dy}{|R_{j}^{-1}x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} \\ &+ \frac{c_{n,\sigma p}}{j^{t-s}}\int_{B_{6}^{c}}\frac{\psi(y)|\psi(y)-1+\psi(y)j^{-(t-s)}|^{p-2}}{|R_{j}^{-1}x-y|^{n+\sigma p}}dy \\ &- c_{n,\sigma p}\int_{B_{6}^{c}}\frac{(1-\psi(y))|\psi(y)-1+\psi(y)j^{-(t-s)}|^{p-2}}{|R_{j}^{-1}x-y|^{n+\sigma p}}dy \\ &\to -\beta, \quad \text{as } j \text{ goes to } \infty, \end{split}$$

where β is defined by (1.32). This, together with (1.33), gives that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} v_j(x) = \beta^{-1} \lim_{j \to \infty} j^{-t(p-1)} (-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} w_j(R_j^{-1}x) = -1, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The proof is complete.

2. Blow-up analysis for the extended fractional Nirenberg problem

The extended fractional Nirenberg problem is equivalent to investigating the following equation

$$(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u(x) = K(x)u^{q(p-1)}(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(2.1)

where $p \geq 2$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$. It has been shown in [5] that there arises blow-up phenomena for the linear fractional Laplacian due to the nonzero constant θ captured in Theorem 1.1. Specially, for p = 2, the compactness of solutions to (2.1) will fail in the region where K is negative. In the following, we follow the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [5] and extend the result to the nonlinear case of p > 2. On the other hand, when K is positive, Jin, Li and Xiong [6–8] derived a priori estimates for the fractional equation (2.1) with p = 2.

While these above-mentioned works are related to the fractional Nirenberg problem, there is another direction of research to study the classical elliptic equation $-\Delta u = K(x)u^p$. When n = 1, 2 and $1 , or <math>n \ge 3$ and 1is called a subcritical Sobolev exponent, while it is the critical Sobolev exponent $if <math>n \ge 3$ and $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. In particular, the elliptic equation in the case of critical Sobolev exponent corresponds to the Nirenberg problem, which is to seek a new metric conformal to the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^n so that its scalar curvature is K(x). Generally, it needs to establish priori estimates of the solutions for the purpose of obtaining the existence of solutions. We refer to [3,4] for the subcritical case. With regard to the critical case, see [1,9,11] for positive functions K and [2,10] for K changing signs, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $n \ge 1$, p > 2, $0 < \sigma < 1$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s > -\frac{\sigma p}{p-1}$. Then there exist two positive constants $c_0 = c_0(n, \sigma, p, q, s)$ and $C_0 = C_0(n, \sigma, p, q, s)$, a sequence of functions $\{K_j\} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$-C_0 \le K_j(x) \le -c_0, \ c_0 \le |\nabla K_j(x)| \le C_0, \ and \ |\nabla^2 K_j(x)| \le C_0, \ in \ B_2,$$

and a sequence of positive functions $\{u_j\} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u_j(x) = K_j(x) u_j^{q(p-1)}(x), \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |x|^s u_j(x) \to 1, \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty.$$

and

$$\min_{\overline{B}_1} u_j \to \infty, \quad as \ j \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let η and ϕ be defined in (1.30) and (1.31). For $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s > -\frac{\sigma p}{p-1}$, let

$$u_j(x) = \begin{cases} j + j^q \phi(x), & \text{in } B_R, \\ (1 - \varphi(x))(j + j^q) + \varphi(x)|x|^{-s}, & \text{in } B_R^c, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(x) = \eta(|x|-R)$ and $R = R(n, p, q, \sigma, s, j) > 9$ is a sufficiently large constant to be determined later. Then $u_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_j > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Denote

$$K_j(x) := \frac{(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} u_j(x)}{u_j^{q(p-1)}(x)}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then $K_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $\{K_j\}$ satisfies the following properties: there exists four positive constants $C_i := C_i(n, \sigma, p), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, such that for every $j \ge 1$,

(K1)
$$-C_1 \leq K_j(x) \leq -C_2$$
, and $\sum_{i=1}^3 |\nabla^i K_j(x)| \leq C_3$ in B_2 ;

(K2) $\nabla^2 K_j(0) \leq -C_4 \mathbf{I}_n$, where \mathbf{I}_n denotes $n \times n$ identity matrix. We first prove (K1). Observe that

$$c_{n,\sigma p}^{-1}K_{j}(x) = -\int_{B_{4}\setminus B_{3}} \frac{\phi^{p-1}(y)}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy - \int_{B_{R}\setminus B_{4}} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} + \int_{B_{R}^{c}} \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y)|^{p-2}\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y)}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy := \sum_{i=1}^{3} J_{i},$$
(2.2)

where $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y) := \varphi(y) - 1 + j^{1-q}\varphi(y) - j^{-q}|y|^{-s}\varphi(y)$. For simplicity, let

$$\gamma := \gamma(n, \sigma, p) = \int_{B_1^c} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} = \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{\sigma p},$$

$$\tau := \tau(n, \sigma, p, s) = \int_{B_1^c} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+s(p-1)}} = \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{\sigma p+s(p-1)}.$$

A straightforward computation yields that

$$0 \ge J_1 \ge -\int_{B_1(x)^c} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} = -\gamma,$$

and

$$-\gamma \le J_2 \le -\int_{B_{R-2}\setminus B_6} \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} = -(6^{-\sigma p} - (R-2)^{-\sigma p})\gamma.$$

Z.W. ZHAO

For $x \in B_2$, $y \in B_R^c$, we have $|x - y| \ge |y|/2$ in virtue of R > 9. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| \leq & 2^{(\sigma+1)p+n-2} \int_{B_R^c} \frac{(1+j^{1-q})^{p-1} + j^{-q(p-1)} |y|^{-s(p-1)}}{|y|^{n+\sigma p}} dy \\ &= & 2^{(\sigma+1)p+n-2} \gamma (1+j^{1-q})^{p-1} R^{-\sigma p} + 2^{(\sigma+1)p+n-2} \tau j^{-q(p-1)} R^{-\sigma p-s(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For a sufficiently large R > 9, we have

$$(R-2)^{-\sigma p}\gamma + 2^{(\sigma+1)p+n-2}R^{-\sigma p}\left(\gamma(1+j^{1-q})^{p-1} + \tau j^{-q(p-1)}R^{-s(p-1)}\right) \le \frac{\gamma 6^{-\sigma p}}{2}$$

which implies that

$$-3c_{n,\sigma p}\gamma \le K_j(x) \le -\frac{c_{n,\sigma p}\gamma 6^{-\sigma p}}{2}, \quad \forall \ x \in B_2, \ j \ge 1.$$

Furthermore, after differentiating (2.2), it follows from a similar calculation that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} |\nabla^{i} K_{j}(x)| \leq C(n,\sigma,p), \quad \text{for } x \in B_{2}, \ j \geq 1.$$

We proceed to verify property (**K2**). A simple calculation shows that for $y \in B_3^c$,

$$\partial_{x_k x_l}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\sigma p}} \right) (0) = \frac{(n+\sigma p)[(n+\sigma p+2)y_k y_l - \delta_{kl}|y|^2]}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+4}}.$$
 (2.3)

Since the integral domain is symmetric, then we see from (2.2)-(2.3) that

$$\partial_{x_k x_l}^2 K_j(0) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq l.$$

If k = l, it follows from the radial symmetry of ϕ and φ that

$$\begin{split} &[(n+\sigma p)c_{n,\sigma p}]^{-1}\partial_{x_{k}x_{k}}^{2}K_{j}(0) \\ &= -\frac{\sigma p+2}{n}\left(\int_{B_{4}\setminus B_{3}}\frac{\phi^{p-1}(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+2}}dy + \int_{B_{R}^{c}}\frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y)|^{p-2}(j^{1-q}\varphi(y) - \mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y))}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+2}}dy\right) \\ &- \frac{\sigma p+2}{n}\left(\int_{B_{R}\setminus B_{4}}\frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+2}} - j^{1-q}\int_{B_{R}^{c}}\frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y)|^{p-2}\varphi(y)}{|y|^{n+\sigma p+2}}dy\right) \\ &\leq -|B_{1}|\left(4^{-(\sigma p+2)} - R^{-(\sigma p+2)} - 3j^{1-q}R^{-(\sigma p+2)}\right) \\ &\leq -|B_{1}|4^{-(\sigma p+3)}, \quad \text{for a sufficiently large } R > 9, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that $|\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}(y)|^{p-2}\varphi(y) \leq 3$ in B_R^c . That is, property (**K2**) holds.

From the radial symmetry of u_j with respect to the origin, we know that K_j is also radially symmetric. Then we have

$$\nabla K_i(0) = 0$$

which, together with $(\mathbf{K1})$ – $(\mathbf{K2})$, leads to that for $j \ge 1$,

$$|\nabla K_j(x)| \ge c_1, \quad \text{in } B_{2\varepsilon_0}(4\varepsilon_0 e_1), \tag{2.4}$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon_0(n, p, \sigma) \in (0, 1/4)$ is a small constant and $c_1 := c_1(n, p, \sigma)$ is a positive constant.

Define

$$\bar{u}_j(x) := \varepsilon_0^s u_j(\varepsilon_0(x+4e_1)), \text{ and } \bar{K}_j(x) := \varepsilon_0^{\sigma p - s(p-1)(q-1)} K_j(\varepsilon_0(x+4e_1)).$$

10

Therefore,

$$(-\Delta)_p^{\sigma} \bar{u}_j = \bar{K}_j(x) \bar{u}_j^{q(p-1)}, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then combining $(\mathbf{K1})$ and (2.4), we obtain

$$-\bar{C} \leq \bar{K}_j(x) \leq -\bar{c}, \ \bar{c} \leq |\nabla \bar{K}_j(x)| \leq \bar{C}, \ \text{and} \ |\nabla^2 \bar{K}_j(x)| \leq \bar{C}, \ \text{in } B_2,$$

where $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(n, \sigma, p, q, s)$ and $\bar{C} = \bar{C}(n, \sigma, p, q, s)$. Moreover, recalling the definition of u_j , we have

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^s \bar{u}_j = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{\overline{B}_1} \bar{u}_j = \varepsilon_0^s j \to \infty, \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.$$

The proof is finished.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Prof. C.X. Miao for his constant encouragement and useful discussions. The author was partially supported by CPSF (2021M700358).

References

- S.-Y. A. Chang, M.J. Gursky, and P.C. Yang, The scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3spheres. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), no. 2, 205-229.
- [2] W.X. Chen, and C.M. Li, A priori estimates for prescribing scalar curvature equations. Ann. of Math. (2) 145 (1997), no. 3, 547-564.
- [3] B. Gidas, and J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 4, 525-598.
- [4] B. Gidas, and J. Spruck, A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 6 (1981), no. 8, 883-901.
- [5] X.S. Du, T.L. Jin, J.G. Xiong, and H. Yang, Blow up limits of the fractional Laplacian and their applications to the fractional Nirenberg problem. arXiv:2112.00960.
- [6] T.L. Jin, Y.Y. Li, and J.G. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 16 (2014), no. 6, 1111-1171.
- [7] T.L. Jin, Y.Y. Li, and J.G. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, Part II: Existence of solutions. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 6, 1555-1589.
- [8] T.L. Jin, Y.Y. Li, and J.G. Xiong, The Nirenberg problem and its generalizations: a unified approach. Math. Ann. 369 (2017), no. 1-2, 109-151.
- [9] Y.Y. Li, Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}^n and related problem. I. J. Differential Equations 120 (1995) 319-410.
- [10] C.-S. Lin, On Liouville theorem and a priori estimates for the scalar curvature equations. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 27 (1998), no. 1, 107-130.
- [11] R. Schoen, and D. Zhang, Prescribed scalar curvature on the n-sphere. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 4 (1996), no. 1, 1-25.

(Z.W. Zhao) Beljing Computational Science Research Center, Beljing 100193, China. *Email address*: zwzhao365@163.com