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#### Abstract

We consider the Cole-Hopf solution of the (1+1)-dimensional KPZ equation $\mathcal{H}^{f}(t, x)$ started with initial data $f$. In this article, we study the sample path properties of the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}:=\mathcal{H}^{f}(t, 0)$. We show that for a very large class of initial data which includes any deterministic continuous initial data that grows slower than parabola $\left(f(x) \ll 1+x^{2}\right)$ as well as narrow wedge and stationary initial data, temporal increments of $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ are well approximated by increments of a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $\frac{1}{4}$. As a consequence, we obtain several sample path properties of KPZ temporal properties including variation of the temporal process, law of iterated logarithms, modulus of continuity and Hausdorff dimensions of the set of points with exceptionally large increments.


## 1. Introduction

In this article we study the local sample path properties of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation. KPZ equation is a stochastic partial differential equation formally given by

$$
\partial_{t} \mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x x} \mathcal{H}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} \mathcal{H}\right)^{2}+\xi, \quad \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\xi=\xi(t, x)$ is the Gaussian space-time white noise. Introduced in [KPZ86], the KPZ equation is a popular model for interfaces of random growth. However, the equation is ill-posed due to the presence of the non-linear term $\left(\partial_{x} \mathcal{H}\right)^{2}$. To make sense of the equation one considers $\mathcal{Z}:=e^{\mathcal{H}}$ which formally solves Stochastic Heat Equation (SHE) with multiplicative noise:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \mathcal{Z}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x x} \mathcal{Z}+\xi \mathcal{Z}, \quad \mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{Z}(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The SHE is known to be well-posed and has a well-developed solution theory based on Itô integral and chaos expansion [Wal86, BC95, Qua11, Cor12]. In particular, there exists a unique $C((0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$-valued process $\mathcal{Z}$ that solves (1.1) with the initial data $\mathcal{Z}(0, x)=g(x)$ in the mild sense, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}(t, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t}(x-y) g(y) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(x-y) \mathcal{Z}(s, y) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{t}(x):=(2 \pi t)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-x^{2} /(2 t)\right)$ is the standard heat kernel.
For a function-valued initial data $\mathcal{Z}(0, x) \geq 0$ that is not identically zero, it is known $\mathcal{Z}(t, x)>0$ for all $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ almost surely [Mue91]. Thus $\mathcal{H}:=\log \mathcal{Z}$ is well defined and is termed as the Cole-Hopf solution of the KPZ equation. This notion of solution coincides with other notions of solutions, such as regularity structures [Hai13, Hai14], paracontrolled distributions [GIP15, GP17], and energy solutions [GJ14, GP18], under suitable assumptions.

An often considered initial data is to start the SHE from a Dirac delta at the origin, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{n w}}(0, x):=\delta_{0}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is referred to as the narrow wedge initial data for $\mathcal{H}^{\text {nw }}$. For such an initial data, [Flo14] established the positivity for $\mathcal{Z}^{\text {nw }}(t, x)$ so that the Cole-Hopf solution $\mathcal{H}^{\text {nw }}:=\log \mathcal{Z}^{\text {nw }}$ is well-defined.

In this paper, we consider a general class of initial data for the KPZ equation which we now describe.

Definition 1.1. Fix $\mathfrak{p}=(\theta, \delta, \lambda, \kappa, M) \in(0, \infty)^{5}$. The $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class is a class of possible initial data for the KPZ equation consisting of:
(1) Narrow wedge initial data corresponding to delta initial data (1.3) for SHE.
(2) Brownian initial data: The two sided Brownian motion $B(x)$ independent from the spacetime white noise $\xi$.
(3) A measurable deterministic function $f \mapsto \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class if it satisfies the following two conditions:
(a) $f(x) \leq \lambda\left(1+|x|^{2-\delta}\right)$,
(b) there exist a subinterval $\mathcal{I} \subset[-M, M]$ with $|\mathcal{I}|=\theta$ such that $f(y) \geq-\kappa$ for all $y \in \mathcal{I}$.

We consider the SHE $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, x)$ (1.1) started from initial data $e^{f}$ where $f$ belong to the above class and define the KPZ equation with initial data $f$ via the Cole-Hopf transform $\mathcal{H}^{f}(t, x):=$ $\log \mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, x)$. We consider the $K P Z$ temporal process $\mathcal{H}^{f}(t, 0)$ as a function of time with spatial point fixed at 0 . We use the abbreviated notation $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}:=\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, 0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}:=\mathcal{H}^{f}(t, 0)$. Our main result, which is stated below, shows that the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ can be approximated by a fractional Brownian motion at a local level uniformly over compact sets of $(0, \infty)$.
Theorem 1.2. Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}, k \geq 2, T>1$, and $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right)$. and consider any $f$ from the $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class defined in Definition 1.1. One can construct a probability space consisting of the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ started with $f$, a fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ with Hurst parameter $\frac{1}{4}$ (defined in Definition 3.1), such that the process: $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}-(2 / \pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathfrak{B}_{t}: t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]\right\}$ is locally Hölder continuous with exponent $\beta$ and furthermore

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}-(2 / \pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathfrak{B}_{t}\right\|_{C^{0, \beta}\left[T^{-1}, T\right]}^{k}\right]<\infty .
$$

Here $\|f\|_{C^{0, \beta}[a, b]}$ denotes the Hölder coefficient of $f$ which is defined as

$$
\|f\|_{C^{0, \beta}[a, b]}:=\sup _{x \neq y \in[a, b]} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\beta}} .
$$

Remark 1.3. In plain words, Theorem 1.2 shows that one can put $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}, \mathfrak{B}_{t}$ in a common probability space such that $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}-\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ on any compact interval of $(0, \infty)$ is Hölder continuous with Hölder exponent less than $\frac{2}{5}$. Since $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ is itself a Hölder continuous process with Hölder exponent less than $\frac{1}{4}$, Theorem 1.2 entails that $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ is almost surely Hölder continuous with Hölder exponent being less than $\frac{1}{4}$ as well. This recovers the result from [BC95, Theorem 2.2] (see also [Wal86]) which shows that the solution to SHE (for a wide class of functional initial data including delta initial data) as a process in time is almost surely Hölder continuous with Hölder exponents less than $\frac{1}{4}$.

The sharp comparison in Theorem 1.2 allows us to obtain several sample path properties of the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ as a consequence. To state the results, we recall the notion of $\alpha$-variation of a function. Given a function $g:[s, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha>0$, define the $\alpha$-variation of $g$ at scale $\varepsilon$ by

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha, \varepsilon}(g):=\sum_{u \in[s+\varepsilon, t] \cap \in \mathbb{Z}}|g(u)-g(u-\varepsilon)|^{\alpha} .
$$

With this definition in place we are now ready to state the theorem regarding the temporal increments of the KPZ equation for initial data drawn from $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$.
Theorem 1.4. Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}$ and consider any from the $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class defined in Definition 1.1. Consider the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ started from $f$. The following holds:
(1) Temporal increments of $\mathcal{H}^{f}$ are asymptotically normal. For $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}<\infty$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$,

$$
\left((\pi / 2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left[\mathcal{H}_{t_{i}+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t_{i}}\right]\right)_{i=1}^{k} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}_{k}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{k}\right) .
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{k}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{k}\right)$ is a standard Gaussian $k$-vector with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and variance-covariance matrix $\mathbf{I}_{k}$.
(2) $\mathcal{H}^{f}$ has quartic variation in the sense that for any $[s, t] \subset(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{V}_{4, \varepsilon}\left(\left.\mathcal{H}^{f}\right|_{[s, t]}\right) \xrightarrow{p} \frac{6}{\pi}(t-s) .
$$

(3) For any $t>0$ with probability 1 we have

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}-\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}}{\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \sqrt{\log \log (1 / \varepsilon)}}=\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} .
$$

(4) With probability 1 we have

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\log (1 / \varepsilon)}} \sup _{\substack{\leq s<t \leq 2 \\|t-s| \leq \varepsilon}}\left|\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}-\mathcal{H}_{s}^{f}\right|=\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

(5) Set

$$
E(\alpha):=\left\{t \in[1,2]: \limsup _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}-\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}\right|}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\log (1 / \varepsilon)}} \geq \alpha\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right\} .
$$

We have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E(\alpha)=1-\alpha^{2}$ almost surely where $\operatorname{dim}_{H}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.
1.1. Proof Idea. In this section, we illustrate the main ideas that goes behind the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof strategy is to first establish a similar temporal approximation at the level of Stochastic Heat Equation and then update it to KPZ equation level using tail estimates for KPZ equation. Our approximation for the SHE holds for a wider class of initial data, namely the $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class (see Definition 2.1 for details).

Let us consider SHE $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, x)$ started from initial data $f$ drawn from $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class (see Definition 2.1). We first study the temporal differences of the SHE. Using (1.2) for each $t, \varepsilon>0$, one has

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t+\varepsilon, 0)-\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, 0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right] f(y) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y) \mathcal{Z}^{f}(s, y) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y)  \tag{1.4}\\
+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right] \mathcal{Z}^{f}(s, y) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y) .
\end{array}
$$

Assuming $\varepsilon$ small enough, we seek to find a good approximation for the r.h.s. of above equation. We now give a heuristic idea how to arrive at a good approximation. We remark that the following approach was outlined in [KSXZ13].

For the class of initial data considered here, the first term on the r.h.s. of (1.4) can be shown to be of the order $O(\varepsilon)$ which turns out to be much more regular than the other two terms. Thus this term can be ignored.

Let us consider the third term in r.h.s. of (1.4). Note that the heat kernel $p_{t}(x)$ is singular near $t=0$ and for small enough $t, p_{t}(y) \mathrm{d} y \approx \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} y)$. As long as $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(s, y)$ is nice enough, one expects

$$
\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right] \mathcal{Z}^{f}(s, y) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y)
$$

is regular enough for $t^{\prime}<t$. Hence the main contribution in the second term on r.h.s. of (1.4) comes when $s$ is close to $t$. For $s$ close to $t$, the essential contribution of $\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]$ comes from $y=0$. Similarly in the second term, as $s$ is already close to $t$, again the contribution of $p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)$
comes when $y$ is close to zero. Thus naively we may replace $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(s, y)$ by $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, 0)$ in the second and third term. We then expect the quantity on the r.h.s. of (1.4) is close to

$$
\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, 0) \cdot\left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right] \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y)+\int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y)\right],
$$

which is same as

$$
\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, 0) \cdot\left[\mathcal{V}_{t+\varepsilon}(0)-\mathcal{V}_{t}(0)\right]
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{V}_{t}(x):=\int_{(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(y-x) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y)
$$

with the same underlying space-time white noise $\xi(t, x)$. $\mathcal{V}_{t}(x)$ solves the linear heat equation $\partial_{t} \mathcal{V}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x x} \mathcal{V}+\xi$ with $\mathcal{V}_{0}(x) \equiv 0$. By Proposition 2.2 in [KSXZ13] ( $\alpha=2$ in their statement), one can find a fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ with Hurst parameter $\frac{1}{4}$ and another process $R_{t}$ on the same probability space as $\mathcal{V}_{t}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{V}_{t}=(2 / \pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathfrak{B}_{t}+R_{t} .
$$

Finally, the process $R_{t}$ is known to be much more regular and can be ignored. Thus the above approach leads to the following approximation theorem.

Theorem 1.5. Fix $\mathfrak{q}=\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$ and fix any $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ defined in Definition 2.1. Consider the SHE defined in (1.1) with initial data $e^{f}$. Fix $T>1$ and $k \geq 2$ and $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right)$. There exists a fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ with Hurst parameter $\frac{1}{4}$ on the same probability space and constants $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\beta, \mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\beta, \mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}-(2 / \pi)^{1 / 4} \mathcal{Z}_{t}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{t}\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{k \beta} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We mention that this result appears in [KSXZ13] for flat initial data $\mathcal{Z}(0, x) \equiv$ Const. Once Theorem 1.5 is established, similar approximation theorem for the KPZ equation is established using sharp tail estimates for KPZ equation that holds for general initial data. These sharp tail estimates are proven for in [CG20] and holds for all initial data in $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class.

To make the above argument rigorous, one requires uniform moment estimates as well as spatial and temporal regularity moment estimates for the SHE. Such estimates are well known for flat initial data (Lemma 3.1 in [KSXZ13]). One of the key technical achievements of this paper is to extend those moment estimates to general initial data. Given any $T>1$, we are interested in estimating moments of three types:

- $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(y)^{k}\right]$ for all $s \in(0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]$ for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ and $|x|$ small enough.
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]$ for all $s, t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

For the first point, we show that the logarithm of the moments are of the form $|y|^{2-\delta}$. For the next two points we produce regularity estimates. We show that the expressions in the second and the third points above are bounded by $|x|^{k \theta}$ (for any $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ) and $|s-t|^{k / 4}$ respectively.

We first prove the uniform moment estimate and spatial regularity estimate for narrow wedge data using the moment estimates and tail estimates from [CG20] and [CGH21] respectively. We then upgrade it to general initial data via the convolution formula that relates the spatial process of $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, \cdot)$ with that of $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(t, \cdot)$ started with initial data $\delta_{y}(x)$.

Proposition 1.6 (Convolution formula). For any functional initial data in $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$, one has

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(x) e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y,
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, y}(x)$ denotes SHE started with initial $\delta_{y}(x)$. Furthermore for each fixed $y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, y}(x) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(x-y) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a process in $x$.
The proof of the above proposition is immediate from the chaos expansion for the SHE and hence skipped. The convolution formula is not helpful in obtaining temporal regular estimate. To derive the temporal regularity estimates, we rely on the chaos expansion instead and use the uniform moments estimates for general initial data.
1.2. Previous Works. Our main results about the KPZ temporal process fit into the broader endeavor of understanding the local structure and multifractal properties of stochastic systems. Studying fractal properties of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) is an active area of research for last five-six decades. The main focus of a vast majority of such works resided in the study of the large peaks of the SPDEs with multiplicative noise [GM90, CM94, BC95, HHNT15, FK09, CJKS13, CD15, BC16, Che17, CHN19]. The growth of the large peaks is also connected to the intermittency phenonmena of SPDEs. We refer to [BC95, CM94, Kho14] for a detailed discussion.

Local structure of the SHE and KPZ were explored in [KSXZ13, FKM15, HK17]. In [KSXZ13], the authors considered a generalization of the SHE in (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \mathcal{Z}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\alpha / 2} \mathcal{Z}+\sigma(\mathcal{Z}) \xi, \quad \mathcal{Z}(0, x) \equiv 1, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is any continuous Lipschitz function and $\alpha \in(1,2]$. Here $\Delta_{\alpha / 2}$ denotes the fractional Laplace operator acting on the spatial variable and it is defined as

$$
\left(\widehat{\Delta_{\alpha / 2} \psi}\right)(\xi):=-|\xi|^{\alpha} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)
$$

It was shown that locally $t \mapsto \mathcal{Z}(t, x)$ looks like a fixed multiple of fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $H=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}$. The approximation in [KSXZ13] is strong enough to deduce several fractal properties $\mathcal{Z}$. For $\alpha=2$, [HK17] studied the short-time peaks of $t \mapsto \mathcal{Z}(t, x)$. In particular they studied the Hausdorff and Box dimension of the set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{c}:=\left\{x \in[0,1] \left\lvert\, \limsup _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, x)-1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\log (1 / \varepsilon)}} \geq c\right.\right\},
$$

and concluded that high local oscillations of $(t, x) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}(t, x)$ are multifractal. A similar study was conducted for the spatial increments of the random function the solution of (1.7) in [FKM15]. One of the corollaries of their result is that the spatial process of the KPZ equation for flat initial data is locally Brownian, thus complementing the works of [Hai13, QR11].

Long time variants of the above results were conjectured in the physics literature decades ago and were established rigorously in [KKX17, KKX18]. [KKX17] investigated the fractal properties of the SHE started from the flat initial data and established the multifractal nature of the spatial process of the KPZ equation. The same set of authors in a later paper [KKX18] showed that there are infinitely many different stretch scale (in the spatial direction) and time scale such that for any given stretch and time scale, the peaks of the spatio-temporal process of the stochastic heat equation attain non-trivial macroscopic Hausdorff dimensions. Fractal properties of the peaks of the KPZ temporal process under 1:2:3 scaling were investigated in [DG21] and more recently the gaps of the tall peaks of SHE were addressed in [GY21].

We close this section by reviewing some of the recent works related to fractal geometry of the KPZ fixed point. KPZ equation is one of the prototypical model in the KPZ universality class which contains a large collection of random growth models that are believed to exhibit certain common features such as universal scaling exponent of $1 / 3$ and certain universal non-Gaussian large time fluctuations. We refer to [Cor12] for an excellent survey on this topic. All such models in the KPZ universality class are conjectured to converge to an universal scaling limit, the KPZ fixed point $\mathfrak{h}(t, x)$ constructed in [DOV18, MQR21]. Recently [QS20, Vir20] announced proofs of convergence of KPZ equation (with narrow wedge initial data) under $1: 2: 3$ scaling to the KPZ fixed point $\mathfrak{h}(t, x)$ (with narrow wedge initial data). Fractal geometry of KPZ fixed point related to geodesic geometry were studied in [BGH21, BGH22, GH21] (see also [Gan21] for a survey) and related to fixed point maximizers were studied in [CHHM21, Dau22]. In the context of local structure, it was recently shown in [DSV20] that KPZ fixed point has cubic variation in the similar spirit as Theorem 1.4 (2). The questions about short time and long time law of iterated logarithms for KPZ fixed point are investigated in [DGL22]. We mention that the KPZ fixed point is not known to be associated to any SPDE and hence the ideas to prove any fractal property for the fixed point are quite different than the ones presented here.

Organization. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove several moment estimates for SHE. Based on it, we prove Theorem 1.5 in Section 3. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are then completed in Section 4.
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## 2. Moment estimates for Stochastic Heat Equation

Before commencing with the analysis, let us mention a few pieces of notations that we will use throughout this paper. We use $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, x)$ or $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)$ to denote the solution to SHE started from initial data $e^{f}$. When $f$ corresponds to narrow wedge initial data, we denote it as $\mathcal{Z}^{\text {nw }}(t, x)$ or $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(x)$. When $x=0$, we will often use the shorthand notations $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}:=\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}:=\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(0)$. We use analogous notations for the KPZ equation as well. We use $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(x, y, z, \ldots)>0$ to denote a generic deterministic positive finite constant that may change from line to line, but dependent on the designated variables $x, y, z, \ldots$.

In this section we prove moment estimates for SHE (1.1). for a wide class of initial data which we now introduce.
Definition 2.1. Fix any $\mathfrak{q}=\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}=\left(\lambda_{k}, \delta_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$. The $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class is a class of possible initial data for the KPZ equation consisting of:
(1) Narrow wedge initial data corresponding to delta initial data (1.3) for SHE.
(2) Consider any measurable (possibly random) function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$
M_{k}^{f}(x):= \begin{cases}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{k f(x)}\right] & \text { if } f \text { is random }  \tag{2.1}\\ e^{k f(x)} & \text { if } f \text { is deterministic } .\end{cases}
$$

$f$ belongs to the class $\operatorname{Hyp}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ if for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log M_{k}^{f}(x) \leq \lambda_{k}\left(1+|x|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This class of initial data is much larger than $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class introduced in Definition 1.1. We record this fact in the following Lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Given $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}$, there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q}) .
$$

Proof. Fix any $\mathfrak{p}=(\theta, \delta, \lambda, \kappa, M) \in(0, \infty)^{5}$. We set $\lambda_{k}=\max \left\{2 k \lambda, \frac{k^{2}}{2}\right\}, \delta_{k}=\min (1, \delta)$. Consider $\mathfrak{q}:=\left(\lambda_{k}, \delta_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$. Clearly for any deterministic function $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$, following the definition in (2.1), we have $\log M_{k}^{f}(x)=k f(x) \leq k \lambda\left(1+|x|^{2-\delta}\right) \leq \lambda_{k}\left(1+|x|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right)$. Thus $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$. For Brownian initial data as $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{k B(x)}\right]=e^{k^{2}|x| / 2}$, we have $M_{k}^{f}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} k^{2}|x| \leq$ $\lambda_{k}\left(1+|x|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right)$. Hence $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$.

The rest of this section is devoted several kinds of moment estimates for the SHE. In Section 2.1, we establish uniform moment estimates in Propostion 2.3. In Section 2.2 and Section 2.3, we produce spatial and temporal regularity moment estimates in Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.6 respectively. For Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, we first prove our estimates for the narrow wedge case in Propositions 2.3-nw and $2.4-\mathrm{nw}$ respectively. Then we upgrade it to general initial data by convolution formula (Proposition 1.6).
2.1. Uniform moment estimates. In this subsection we provide growth estimates for moments of SHE started from $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$.
Proposition 2.3. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>0$ and $k \geq 2$. There exists a constant $\Delta=$ $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$ such that for all $s \in[0, T]$, for all functional $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)\right]^{k}\right] \leq \Delta e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3-nw. Fix $T>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Consider the SHE $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(x)$ started from delta initial data (1.3). There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(k, T)>0$ such that for all $s \in[0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[(2 \pi s)^{k / 2}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{\mathrm{nw}}(y)\right]^{k} \exp \left(\frac{k y^{2}}{2 s}\right)\right] \leq \mathrm{C}<\infty .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.4 in [ACQ11] we have $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{n w}}(s, y) e^{\frac{y^{2}}{2 s}} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{n w}}(s, 0)$. Thus we may assume $y=0$. Then the result follows from the upper bound in Lemma 4.5 in [CG20].

In order to extend our estimates from narrow wedge initial data we rely on the convolution formula (Proposition 1.6).

Proof of Proposition 2.3. To get the moment bounds for general initial data, we use Proposition 1.6 and a clever Hölder trick inspired from [GL20] (see Eq (2.2)). Using Proposition 1.6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)^{k}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}, z}(y) e^{f(z)} \mathrm{d} z\right)^{k}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} e^{\frac{(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}, z}(y) e^{f(z)} \mathrm{d} z\right)^{k}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply Hölder inequality to the terms $e^{-\frac{(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}}$ and $e^{\frac{(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, z}(y) e^{f(z)}$ with Hölder exponent $k$ and $\ell=\frac{k}{k-1}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)^{k}\right] & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\ell(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} d z\right)^{\frac{k}{\ell}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, z}(y)\right]^{k} e^{k f(z)} \mathrm{d} z\right] \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\ell(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} d z\right)^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} M_{k}^{f}(z) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, z}(y)^{k}\right] \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(\frac{4 s \pi}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}} M_{k}^{f}(z) \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, z}(y)^{k}\right] \mathrm{d} z \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{k}^{f}(x)$ is defined in (2.1). Using (1.6) and applying Lemma 2.3-nw we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.5) \leq \mathrm{C} \frac{1}{(2 \pi s)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} M_{k}^{f}(z) \exp \left(-\frac{k(y-z)^{2}}{4 s}\right) \mathrm{d} z \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}>0$ depends on $k, T$. Here we utilize the fact that the initial data is independent of the underlying space-time white noise. Using assumption (2.2) followed by a change of variable $u \mapsto \sqrt{k}(z-y) / \sqrt{2 s}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.6) & =\mathrm{C} \frac{1}{(2 \pi s)^{1 / 2}} \sqrt{\frac{2 s}{k}} e^{\lambda_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\lambda_{k}\left|u \sqrt{\frac{2 s}{k}}+y\right|^{2-\delta_{k}}-\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
& \leq \mathrm{C} e^{\lambda_{k}} e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\Delta|u|^{2-\delta_{k}}-\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we used the fact that one can choose $\Delta>0$ depending on $\lambda_{k}$ and $T$ such that $\lambda_{k}\left|u \sqrt{\frac{2 s}{k}}+y\right|^{2-\delta} \leq \Delta\left(|u|^{2-\delta}+|y|^{2-\delta}\right)$ for all $s \in[0, T]$ and for all $u, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly the above integral is uniformly bounded by a constant depending on $\Delta$ and $\delta_{k}$. Thus adjusting the constant $\Delta$ we get the desired bound.
2.2. Spatial Regularity estimate. In this subsection we prove regularity estimates for the moments of $\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|$. As before we rely on convolution formula to bypass for narrow wedge results to functional data. For proving regularity estimates for narrow wedge data, we use tail estimates for differences of height functions in scaled KPZ equation noted in [CGH21]. Towards this end, for narrow wedge initial data, we introduce the $1: 2: 3$ scaled version of the KPZ equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{t}(\alpha, x):=\frac{\log \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha t}^{\mathbf{n w}}\left(t^{2 / 3} x\right)+\frac{\alpha t}{24}}{t^{1 / 3}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above $1: 2: 3$ scaling of fluctuations, space, and time are the characteristics of the models in KPZ universality class. We use the shorthand notation $\mathfrak{h}_{t}(x)$ for $\mathfrak{h}_{t}(1, x)$. Being an integrable model, $\mathfrak{h}_{t}(x)$ itself enjoys several properties. It admits exact one-point distribution formula and moment formulas [ACQ11]. Furthermore it can be viewed as the top curve of KPZ line ensemble that enjoys a type of Brownian Gibbs property [CH16]. Exploiting such rich structure several fine estimates for $\mathfrak{h}_{t}(x)$ are obtained [CG20], [CGH21], and [DG21]. We utilize them to obtain moment estimates in our setting.

Proposition 2.4. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1, \theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $k \geq 2$. There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, \theta\right)>0$ such that for all functional $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right], 0<|x| \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{C}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}}{|x|^{k \theta}}\right]=\mathrm{C}<\infty
$$

Proposition 2.4-nw. Fix $T>1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(k, T)>0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right], x \neq 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(x+y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(y)\right|^{k}}{|x|^{k / 2}}\right]=\mathrm{C}<\infty
$$

We use tail estimates of $\mathfrak{h}_{t}(x)$ and its spatial or temporal increments and convert them to moment estimates for $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }}(y)$ via the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Let $X: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous stochastic process. Fix $M>1$ and let $I$ be an intervals of $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose for all $s, t \in I$ with $|t-s|<1$ and for all $m>0$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(|X(s, t)| \leq m|s-t|^{\beta}\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} m^{\alpha}\right) .
$$

for some constant $\mathrm{C}>0, \beta>0$ and $\alpha>1$. Then

$$
\sup _{p \in\left[M^{-1}, M\right]} \sup _{\substack{s \neq t \in I \\|t-s| \leq 1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left|e^{p X(s, t)}-1\right|^{k}}{|s-t|^{k \beta}}\right]=R(\alpha, \beta, \mathrm{C}, M)<\infty .
$$

We postpone the proof of this result to appendix. Assuming this we complete the proof of Proposition 2.4-nw.

Proof of Proposition 2.4-nw. By Proposition 2.3-nw, Proposition 2.4-nw follows for all $x$ bounded away from 0 . Thus we may assume $|x| \leq T^{-2 / 3}$ and set $u=t^{-2 / 3} x \in(-1,1)$ and $v=t^{-2 / 3} y$. Using the scaling in (2.7), we have the following decomposition:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}}(x+y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}}(y)=\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}}(x+y)[1- & \left.e^{\frac{(x+y)^{2}-y^{2}}{2 t}}\right] \\
& +\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}}(y)\left[e^{t^{1 / 3}\left[\mathfrak{h}_{t}(u+v)+\frac{(u+v)^{2}}{2}-\mathfrak{h}_{t}(v)-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right]}-1\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.3-nw ensures all moments of $\mathcal{Z}_{t}(y)$ are uniformly bounded. Indeed using Proposition $2.3-\mathrm{nw}$, the moments for the first term in the above equation can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\left|1-e^{\frac{(x+y)^{2}-y^{2}}{2 t}}\right| x\right|^{-k / 2}\right|^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}(x+y)^{k}\right] & \leq \mathrm{C}|x|^{-k / 2}\left|1-e^{\frac{(x+y)^{2}-y^{2}}{2 t}}\right|^{k} e^{-\frac{k(x+y)^{2}}{2 t}} \\
& \leq \mathrm{C}\left[|x|^{1 / 2} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]}\left|\partial_{y}\left(e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2 t}}\right)\right|\right]^{k} \leq \mathrm{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is uniformly bounded for $0<x \leq 1$. Thus in view of (2.8) it is enough to prove uniform $k$-th moment estimates for $\left[e^{t^{1 / 3}\left[\mathfrak{h}_{t}(u+v)+\frac{(u+v)^{2}}{2}-\mathfrak{h}_{t}(v)-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right]}-1\right]$. By Theorem 1.3 in [CGH21] for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in(-1,1)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathfrak{h}_{t}(u+v)+\frac{(u+v)^{2}}{2}-\mathfrak{h}_{t}(v)-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right| \geq m \sqrt{|u|}\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} m^{3 / 2}\right) .
$$

Since $u=t^{-2 / 3} x$ and $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$. Using the above estimate, in view of Lemma 2.5, we get that

$$
\sup _{\substack{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right] \\ 0<|x| \leq T^{-2 / 3}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left|e^{t^{1 / 3}\left[\mathfrak{h}_{t}(u+v)+\frac{(u+v)^{2}}{2}-\mathfrak{h}_{t}(v)-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right]}-1\right|^{k}}{|x|^{k / 2}}\right]<\infty .
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Proposition 2.4. Fix any $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$. Fix $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By convolution formula (Proposition 1.6) and the elementary inequality $\left|\int g(y) \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq \int|g(y)| \mathrm{d} y$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(y)}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(0)\right] \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(y)}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(0)\right| \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (2.4) and (2.5), we first rewrite the above expression $e^{f(y)}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}, y}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathrm{nw}, y}(0)\right|$ as product of $e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{4 t}} e^{f(y)}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, y}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\text {nw }, y}(0)\right|$ and $e^{-\frac{k y^{2}}{4 t}}$. Then apply Hölder inequality with exponents $k$ and $\ell=\frac{k}{k-1}$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right] \leq\left(\frac{4 s \pi}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{4 t}} M_{k}^{f}(y) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(x)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}, y}(0)\right|^{k}\right] \mathrm{d} y . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{k}^{f}(x)$ is defined in (2.1). Using (1.6) and (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.10) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(\frac{k y^{2}}{4 t}+\lambda_{k}\left(1+|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}}(x+y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}}(y)\right|^{k}\right] \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.3-nw, the log moments for SHE for narrow wedge initial data decays parabolically. Thus combining Proposition 2.3-nw and Proposition 2.4-nw we see that there exists $y_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$, such that for all $|y| \geq y_{0}$ and $x \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}}(x+y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{\mathbf{n w}}(y)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \min \left\{|x|^{k / 2}, \exp \left(-\lambda_{k}\left(1+|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right)-\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}\right)\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.11) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{-y_{0}}^{y_{0}}|x|^{k / 2} e^{\lambda_{k}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{C} \int_{|y| \geq y_{0}} \min \left\{|x|^{k / 2} e^{\lambda_{k}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}, e^{-\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y
$$

The first term is indeed bounded by $\mathrm{C} \cdot|x|^{k / 2}$. For the second term, we set $y_{1}=\sqrt{2 T \log (1 / x)}$ and observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|y| \geq y_{1}} \min \left\{|x|^{k / 2} e^{\lambda_{k}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}, e^{-\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y \leq \int_{|y| \geq y_{1}} e^{-\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}} \mathrm{~d} y \leq \mathrm{C}|x|^{k} \\
& \int_{|y| \leq y_{1}} \min \left\{|x|^{k / 2} e^{\lambda_{k}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}, e^{-\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y \leq 2 y_{1}|x|^{k / 2} e^{\lambda_{k}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \leq|x|^{k \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality is true for all small enough $x$ depending on $\lambda_{k}, \delta_{k}, \theta$ and $T$. This is due to the fact $y_{1}$ and $e^{\lambda_{k}\left|y_{1}\right|^{2-\delta_{k}}}$ are both sub-polynomial order. This completes the proof.
2.3. Temporal regularity estimate. The main goal of this section is to prove the following proposition that provides temporal regularity estimate for SHE started from initial data $f$ in $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class defined in Definition 2.1.

Proposition 2.6. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1$ and $k \geq 2$. There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\sup _{s \neq t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right], y \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}}{|s-t|^{k / 4}}\right]=\mathrm{C}<\infty
$$

The proof of Proposition 2.6 relies on some heat kernel estimates which we record below.
Lemma 2.7. Fix $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\Delta, \delta_{k}>0$, one can find constants $\rho_{0}=\rho_{0}\left(\theta, \Delta, \delta_{k}\right)>0$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\theta, \Delta, \delta_{k}\right)>0$ such that for all $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{r}^{2}(y) \min \left\{|y|^{2 \theta}, e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s \leq \mathrm{C} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{r}^{2}(y) e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \leq \mathrm{C} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$ where now $\rho_{0}$ and C can be chosen free of $\theta$.
Lemma 2.8. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1$, and $a \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right]$. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>$ 0 and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T\right)>0$, such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and for all $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)-p_{t-s}(x)\right]^{2}\left[1+\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(x)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} s \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3}{2} \min (a, 1)}
$$

Assuming the lemmas we complete the proof of Proposition 2.6.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. By Proposition 2.3 and 2.3-nw, Proposition 2.6 holds for $s, t$ with $|s-t|$ bounded away from zero. So we assume $s>t$ and $s-t$ small enough. Set $s=t+\varepsilon$ and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)=\int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x) & \mathcal{Z}_{r}^{f}(x) \xi(\mathrm{d} x, \mathrm{~d} r) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)-p_{t-s}(x)\right] \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(x) \xi(\mathrm{d} x, \mathrm{~d} r)
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute the moments we apply Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality on each of the above terms. Using the inequalities $|a+b|^{k} \leq 2^{k-1}\left(a^{k}+b^{k}\right)$ and $|a+b|^{\frac{2}{k}} \leq x^{\frac{2}{k}}+y^{\frac{2}{k}}$ for $k \geq 2$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}=\int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{r}^{f}(x)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} r \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)-p_{t-s}(x)\right]^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(x)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} r
\end{aligned}
$$

By the moment estimates from Proposition 2.3-nw and Proposition 2.3 we see that the first term is at most $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{r}^{2}(y) \varepsilon^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta}}$ which is at most $\mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by Lemma 2.7 with $\rho=\varepsilon$. The second term is at most $\mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as well from Lemma 2.8 with $a=\infty$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Suppose $c_{0}$ be such that $|y|^{2 \theta} \leq e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}$ for all $|y| \leq c_{0}$. We separate the $y$ integral into two parts depending on $|y| \leq c_{0}$ and $|y| \geq c_{0}$. Making a change of variable $x \mapsto \frac{y}{\sqrt{r}}$ we see that

$$
\int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{|y| \leq c_{0}} p_{r}^{2}(y)|y|^{2 \theta} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} r=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r^{2 \theta-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{|y| \leq c_{0}} e^{-x^{2}}|x|^{2 \theta} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{\rho} r^{2 \theta-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta} .
$$

For the $|y| \geq c_{0}$ integral, we can choose $\rho_{0}$ depending on $c_{0}$ and other parameters so that the following two conditions hold:

- $e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{r}} \leq r^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2 r}}$ for all $r \leq \rho \leq \rho_{0}$ and $|y| \geq c_{0}$, and
- $\frac{y^{2}}{4 r} \geq \Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}$ for all $|y| \geq c_{0}$ and $r \leq \rho \leq \rho_{0}$.

Then for all $\rho \leq \rho_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{|y| \geq c_{0}} p_{r}^{2}(y) e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{1}{r} \int_{|y| \geq c_{0}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{r}} e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} r \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} r \int_{|y| \geq c_{0}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{\rho} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \rho^{\frac{3}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (2.12). For (2.13) we proceed in the exact same manner. Here we choose $c_{0}=1$. Then $|y| \leq c_{0}$ integral is now bounded above by $e^{\Delta} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{|y| \leq 1} p_{r}^{2}(y) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The other integral can be bounded exactly as before. This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon(B):=\int_{B} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(x)-p_{t-s}(x)\right]^{2}\left[1+\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(x)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} s . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove Lemma 2.8 in two steps. In Step 1 we show that $\Upsilon\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{5 / 4}$. Then in Step 2, we argue $\Upsilon\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3}{2} \min (a, 1)}$. Since $\Upsilon\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)=\Upsilon\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}\right)\right)+\Upsilon\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right)$, we get the desired bound.

Step 1. For narrow wedge initial data applying Proposition 2.3-nw we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2}\left(1+s^{-1} e^{-y^{2} / s}\right) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for functional $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ applying Proposition 2.3 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $t-s \geq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Set

$$
\chi: \left.=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}, r \in\left[\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}, T\right]} \right\rvert\, p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y) e^{\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}|y|} .
$$

We claim that $\chi \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{8}}$. As $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$, assuming the claim we see that

$$
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.15) \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \chi^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(1+s^{-1}\right) e^{-y^{2} / s} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{4}},
$$

and

$$
\text { r.h.s. of }(2.16) \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \chi^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y|} \mathrm{d} y \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{4}},
$$

which proves that $\Upsilon\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{4}}$. Hence it suffices to prove the claim. Towards this end, we rely on mean value theorem. Observe that

$$
e^{\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k+\mid}}|y|} \partial_{r} p_{r}(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}} r^{-3 / 2}\left[\frac{1}{t} y^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}}-e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}}\right] e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}+\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k+}}|y|} .
$$

Clearly $\frac{1}{r} y^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}}+e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}}$ is uniformly bounded as $y$ varies in $\mathbb{R}$ and $r$ varies in $(0, \infty)$. Furthermore

$$
\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}, r \in(0, T+1]} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r}+\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right) \leq \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 T+4}+\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}\right) \leq \mathrm{C}<\infty
$$

Thus for all $r \in(0, T+1]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left|e^{\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}+|y|} \partial_{r} p_{r}(y)\right| \leq \mathrm{C} r^{-3 / 2}$. Hence

$$
\left.\chi=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}, r \in\left[\varepsilon^{a}, T\right]}\left|p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y) e^{\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}+|y|}} \leq \varepsilon \cdot \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}, r \in\left[\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}, T+1\right]} e^{\Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k+}}|y|}\right| \partial_{r} p_{r}(y) \right\rvert\, \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{8}} .
$$

This completes our work for this step.
Step 2. In this step we prove that $\Upsilon\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3}{2} \min (a, 1)}$. We may choose $\varepsilon$ small enough so that $s \geq t-\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq \frac{1}{2 T}$. Hence the range of integration is bounded away from zero. We may thus combine the estimates from Lemma 2.3-nw and Lemma 2.3 to conclude that for all $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\Upsilon\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s
$$

We divide the $y$-integral into two parts base on $|y| \leq 1$ and $|y| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}} \int_{|y| \leq 1}\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s & \leq \mathrm{Ce}^{2 \Delta^{\prime}} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} r \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{C} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{r+\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{r+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right] \mathrm{d} r \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{C} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[f\left(\varepsilon^{a / 2}\right)-f\left(\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r) & :=\sqrt{r+\varepsilon}+\sqrt{r}-2 \sqrt{r+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left[(\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{r+\varepsilon})\left(\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{r+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)\left(\sqrt{r+\varepsilon}+\sqrt{r+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)\right]^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly from the above explcit expression we see that

$$
\left|f\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)-f\left(\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right| \leq\left|f\left(\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\left(\varepsilon^{a}+\varepsilon\right) \sqrt{\varepsilon^{a}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3}{2} \min (a, 1)}
$$

We now turn towards the other integral: $|y| \geq 1$ region. Since $|y| \geq 1$, we may choose $\varepsilon_{0}=$ $\varepsilon_{0}\left(k, \Delta^{\prime}, \delta_{k}\right)>0$ so that the following two conditions hold for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ :

- $e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{r+\varepsilon}} \leq \varepsilon^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2 r+2 \varepsilon}}$ for all $r \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{1 / 4}\right]$, and $|y| \geq 1$.
- $\frac{y^{2}}{4 r+4 \varepsilon} \geq 2 \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}$ for all $r \in\left(0, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\right]$, and $|y| \geq 1$.

Using $\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} \leq p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)^{2}+p_{r}(y)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\pi r} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{r+\varepsilon}\right)$ and assuming $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, the above condition forces

$$
\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} e^{2 \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{3} r^{-1} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4 r+4 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

Integrating both sides over $|y| \geq 1$ and $r \in\left(\varepsilon^{a}, \varepsilon^{a / 2}\right)$ we get

$$
\int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}} \int_{|y| \geq 1}\left[p_{r+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{r}(y)\right]^{2} e^{2 \Delta \Delta^{\prime}|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{3} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}} \frac{\sqrt{r+4 \varepsilon}}{r} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{3} \int_{\varepsilon^{a}}^{\varepsilon^{a / 2}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} r \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{3}
$$

Combining the two estimates we get $\Upsilon\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{1 / 4}, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3}{2} \min (a, 1)}$, completing the proof.

## 3. Comparison to Fractional Brownian motion

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5 which compares increments of SHE with that of fractional Brownian motion. Towards this end, we first recall the definition of fractional Brownian motion.

Definition 3.1. A standard fractional Brownian motion ( $\mathrm{fBm}(H)$ ) with Hurst parameter $H \in$ $(0,1)$ is a continuous, mean-zero Gaussian process $X:=\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ with $X_{0}=0$ a.s. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{t}-X_{s}\right|^{2}\right)=|t-s|^{2 H} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $H=\frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to a standard Brownian motion. In this article we will be only dealing with $H=\frac{1}{4}$ fractional Brownian motion. We will reserve the notation $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ for $\operatorname{fBM}(1 / 4)$.

Coming back to the proof of Theorem 1.5, we consider another space-time stochastic process defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{t}(x):=\int_{(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(y-x) \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same underlying space-time white noise $\xi(t, x) . \mathcal{V}_{t}(x)$ solves the linear heat equation $\partial_{t} \mathcal{V}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x x} \mathcal{V}+\xi$ with $\mathcal{V}_{0}(x) \equiv 0$,

As outlined in the introduction, we show that the temporal increments of the non-linear stochastic heat equation SHE can be related to temporal increments of the linear one via the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1, \beta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right)$ and $k \geq 2$. Consider the SHE defined in (1.1) with initial data $f$ in $\mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ class defined in Definition 2.1. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, \beta, T\right)>0$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, \beta, T\right)>0$, such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\right)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\left(\mathcal{V}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{V}_{t}\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C}_{k, T} \varepsilon^{k \beta}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{s}:=\mathcal{V}_{s}(0)$ defined in (3.2).
Proof of Theorem 1.5 can be completed assuming Proposition 3.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Proposition 2.2 in [KSXZ13] ( $\alpha=2$ in their statement), one can find a fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ with Hurst parameter $\frac{1}{4}$ and another process $R_{t}$ on the same probability space as $\mathcal{V}_{t}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{V}_{t}=(2 / \pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathfrak{B}_{t}+R_{t} .
$$

Furthermore according to Remark 2.4 in [KSXZ13], the process $R_{t}$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{t+\varepsilon}-R_{t}\right|^{k}\right] \leq$ $\mathrm{C}_{K, T} \cdot \varepsilon^{k}$ for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right], k \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Furthermore by Lemma 2.3-nw and 2.3, $\mathbb{E}\left[\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\right]^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C}$ for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ and $k \geq 2$. Combining this with (3.3), in view of Hölder inequality we arrive at (1.5). This completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Recall the evolution equation of $\mathcal{Z}^{f}(t, x)$ from (1.2) and definition of $\mathcal{V}_{t}$ from (3.2). Note that we have the following decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{f}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\left(\mathcal{V}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{V}_{t}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right] e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y+\Lambda((0, t))+\text { Rem } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda(B) & :=\int_{B \times \mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right] \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y), \\
\operatorname{Rem} & :=\int_{(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right] \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The moments of the first term in (3.4) can be easily controlled in the following manner. We first note that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right] e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right| e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y .
$$

Writing $\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right| e^{f(y)}$ as product of $e^{\frac{y^{2}}{8 T}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right| e^{f(y)}$ and $e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{8 T}}$, and applying Hölder inequality with exponent $k$ and $\ell=\frac{k}{k-1}$ we get

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right| e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right)^{k} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\ell y^{2}}{8 T}}\right)^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right|^{k} e^{k f(y)} \mathrm{d} y .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right] e^{f(y)} \mathrm{d} y\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right|^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{k f(y)}\right] \mathrm{d} y \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C depends on $k, T$. But by mean value theorem as follows:

$$
e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}}\left|p_{t+\varepsilon}(y)-p_{t}(y)\right|^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{k f(y)}\right] \leq \varepsilon^{k} \cdot \sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T+1\right]} e^{\frac{k y^{2}}{8 T}} M_{k}^{f}(y)\left|\partial_{t} p_{t}(y)\right|^{k} .
$$

Under the condition (2.2), we see the supremum on the r.h.s. of the above equation is integrable. Hence r.h.s. of (3.6) is at most $\mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{k}$ where C depends on $k, T, \lambda_{k}$ and $\delta_{k}$.

For the remainder term Rem, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1$ and $k \geq 2$. Fix $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, \theta\right)>0, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, \theta\right)>0$, such that for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right], \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, and $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|\operatorname{Rem}|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{k\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)} .
$$

For the $\Lambda$ term, we split it into two parts. Fix $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$. We may assume $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4 T^{2}}$. Since $t \geq T^{-1}$, we have $\frac{1}{2 T} \leq t-\varepsilon^{a}$. We split the interval $(0, t)$ into $\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right]$, and $\left(t-\varepsilon^{a}, t\right)$. We have the following moment estimates.

Lemma 3.4. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1$ and $k \geq 2$. Fix $a \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, a, \theta\right)>0, C=C\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, a, \theta\right)>0$, such that for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$, $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, and $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Lambda\left(\left(t-\varepsilon^{a}, t\right)\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{k a\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Fix $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}, T>1$ and $k \geq 2$. Fix $a \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, and $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. There exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, a, \theta\right)>0, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T, a, \theta\right)>0$, such that for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$, $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, and $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Lambda\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right]\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{k\left(1-\frac{3 a}{4}\right)} .
$$

We defer the proof of the lemmas to next subsection. Fix any $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right)$. Choose $\theta$ such that $\frac{1+2 \theta}{4+2 \theta}=\beta$. The fact that $\beta<\frac{2}{5}$ ensures $\theta<\frac{1}{2}$. Set $a=\frac{2}{2+\theta}$ so that $\frac{a(2 \theta+1)}{4}=1-\frac{3 a}{4}=\frac{1+2 \theta}{4+2 \theta}=\beta$. Combining all the moment estimates from Lemma 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 for this choice of $a$ and $\theta$, in view of the decomposition in (3.4) we arrive at (3.3) via Hölder inequality.
3.2. Proof of auxiliary lemmas. In this subsection we prove Lemma 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5. We fix $T>1, k \geq 2, a \in(0,1), \theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\gamma \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$. In all the proofs below the constants C, $\varepsilon_{0}$ depends on $a, k, T, \gamma, \theta$, and $f$ via $\lambda_{k}$ and $\delta_{k}$ defined in (2.2). We won't mention this further.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. For the remainder term Rem defined in (3.5), we rewrite it as $\mathrm{Rem}_{1}+\mathrm{Rem}_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Rem}_{1}:=\int_{(t, t+\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)\right] \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y), \\
& \operatorname{Rem}_{2}:=\int_{(t, t+\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right] \xi(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{~d} y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality separately for $\mathrm{Rem}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Rem}_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[|\operatorname{Rem}|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \leq \mathrm{C}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\operatorname{Rem}_{1}\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}+\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\operatorname{Rem}_{2}\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}\right] \\
& \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}^{2}(y) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s  \tag{3.7}\\
&  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad+\mathrm{C} \int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}^{2}(y) \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

For the expectation in the integrand of the first term in (3.7) we use Lemma 2.4 for small enough $|y|$. For large $|y|$, we use the generic moment estimate from Lemma 2.3. Together we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r.h.s. of }(3.7) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}^{2}(y) \min \left\{|y|^{2 \theta}, e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\rho=\varepsilon$ in the Lemma 2.7 we see that r.h.s. of (3.9) is at most $\mathrm{C} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta}$. For the expectation in the integrand of the second term in (3.7) we use Lemma 2.6 to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { r.h.s. of }(3.8) \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t+\varepsilon-s}^{2}(y)|s-t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s=\mathrm{C} \int_{t}^{t+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi(t+\varepsilon-s)}}|s-t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making a change of variable $x \mapsto \varepsilon^{-1}(s-t)$ we get

$$
\text { r.h.s. of }(3.10)=\mathrm{C} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \mathrm{C}^{\prime} .
$$

Combining this with the estimate of first double integral in (3.7) proves Lemma 3.3.
Proof of Lemma 3.4. The proof follows the same strategy as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Indeed, we use similar decomposition as proof of Lemma 3.3 (see (3.8)). An application of BDG inequality followed by moment estimates from Lemma 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Lambda\left(\left[t-\varepsilon^{a}, t\right)\right)\right|^{k}\right]\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{t-\varepsilon^{a}}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} } & {\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s } \\
& \quad+\mathrm{C} \int_{t-\varepsilon^{a}}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(0)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leq \mathrm{C} \int_{t-\varepsilon^{a}}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} & {\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \min \left\{|y|^{2 \theta}, e^{\Delta|y|^{2-\delta_{k}}}\right\} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s } \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For the double integral in (3.11) we apply $\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \leq p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)^{2}+2 p_{t-s}(y)^{2}$ and separate it into two double integrals. Using Lemma 2.7 with $\rho \mapsto \varepsilon^{a}+\varepsilon$, we get that each of the double integral is at most $\mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{a\left(\frac{1}{2}+\theta\right)}$. For the double integral in (3.12), we can compute the $y$ integral explicitly. Its straightforward to check that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} y=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\varepsilon-s}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{t-s+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}}
$$

Using the above bound along with a change of variable $r \mapsto t-s$ we get r.h.s. of (3.12) $\leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{a}} \mathrm{~d} r \leq$ $\mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{a}$. Combining this with the estimate for the double integral in (3.11) we get the desired result.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Fix $a \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. We again apply BDG inequality and the elementary inequalities $(a+b)^{k} \leq 2^{k-1}\left(a^{k}+b^{k}\right)$ and $(x+y)^{2 / k} \leq x^{2 / k}+y^{2 / k}(k \geq 2)$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Lambda\left(\left(0, t-\varepsilon^{a}\right)\right)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} & \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)\right|^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}+\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}(0)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}\right] \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq \mathrm{C} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon^{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[p_{t+\varepsilon-s}(y)-p_{t-s}(y)\right]^{2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{f}(y)^{k}\right]^{\frac{2}{k}}+1\right] \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is estimated to be at most C $\cdot \varepsilon^{2-\frac{3 a}{2}}$ in Lemma 2.8 this completes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and 1.4

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. We first states one point tail estimates for the unscaled KPZ equation that allow us to control negative moments of Stochastic Heat Equation as well.

Proposition 4.1. Fix $T>1$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}$. There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{p}, T)>0$ such that for all $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ and for all $f \in \mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}\right| \geq s\right) \leq \mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} s^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

Proof. Fix $T>1$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}$. Consider the scaled KPZ equation

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{t}^{f}:=t^{-1 / 3}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2 t}^{f}+\frac{t}{12}-\frac{2}{3} \log (2 t)\right) .
$$

Since $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ and we allow $C$ to be dependent on $T$, it is suffices to show $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\mathfrak{h}_{t}^{f}\right| \geq s\right) \leq$ $\mathrm{C} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} s^{3 / 2}\right)$. This is essentially proved in [CG20] for narrow wedge initial data, Brownian initial data, and for certain functional initial data belonging to Hyp class in their Definition 1.1. Their Hyp class is adapted to the KPZ fixed point scaling and consists of conditions on $g(y):=t^{-1 / 3} f\left((2 t)^{1 / 3} y\right)$. We briefly explain how our $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$ class is contained in their class of initial data.Assume $f$ to be functional initial data. Recall the condition 3a from Definition 1.1. As $t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$, one can get a constant $A=A(\lambda, \delta, T)$ so that

$$
g(y) \leq \lambda t^{-1 / 3}\left(1+\left|(2 t)^{1 / 3} y\right|^{2-\delta}\right) \leq A+\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{2 / 3}} y^{2} .
$$

This verifies Eq. (1.2) in [CG20] for $C \mapsto A$ and $\nu \mapsto \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore due to condition 3b, there must exist an interval $\mathcal{I} \subset\left[-(2 T)^{1 / 3} M,(2 T)^{1 / 3} M\right]$ with size at least $(2 T)^{-1 / 3} \theta$ where $g(y) \geq-\kappa T^{1 / 3}$. This verifies Eq. (1.3) in [CG20] for $\theta \mapsto(2 T)^{-1 / 3} \theta, \kappa \mapsto \kappa T^{1 / 3}$, and $M \mapsto(2 T)^{1 / 3} M$.

Thus, $g \in \mathbf{H y p}\left(A_{T}, \frac{1}{2},(2 T)^{1 / 3} M,(2 T)^{-1 / 3} \theta,-\kappa T^{1 / 3}\right)$ according to Definition 1.1 in [CG20]. Since we allow C to be dependent on $T$, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 in [CG20] leads to the desired conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in(0, \infty)^{5}$ and fix any $f \in \operatorname{Hyp}_{1}(\mathfrak{p})$. For simplicity we drop the $f$ from the notation and write $\mathcal{H}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{t}$ for $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}$ respectively. We obtain a $\mathfrak{q} \in((0, \infty) \times(0, \infty))^{\mathbb{N}}$ from Lemma 2.2 so that $\mathbf{H y p}_{1}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathbf{H y p}_{2}(\mathfrak{q})$. Given any $k \geq 2, \beta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right)$ and $T>1$ we will show that there exists a probability space containing $\mathcal{H}_{t}$ as well as a fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ with index $\frac{1}{4}$ and a constant $\mathrm{C}>0$ depending on $k, \beta, T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s, t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{H}_{s}-\mathcal{H}_{t}-(2 / \pi)^{1 / 4}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{s}-\mathfrak{B}_{t}\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C}|s-t|^{k \beta} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Theorem 1.2 follows from Kolmogorov's continuity theorem. Thanks to one point tail estimates from (4.1), (4.1) follows whenever $|s-t|$ is bounded away from zero. So, without loss of generality we may assume $s=t+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is small enough. We divide the rest of the proof into two steps.
Step 1. In this step we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{4} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{k}\right]<\infty, \text {, }, \text {, }} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ where $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(\beta=\frac{1}{3}, \mathfrak{q}, k, T\right)>0$ is chosen from Theorem 1.5. Observe that

$$
|x|^{k} \leq\left(e^{|x|}-1\right)^{k} \leq\left|e^{-x}-1\right|^{k}+\left|e^{x}-1\right|^{k}
$$

Take $x=\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}$ and then divide the aforementioned inequality by $\varepsilon^{\frac{k}{4}}$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{4}}\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{k} & \leq \mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{-k} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{4}}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}\right|^{k}+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}\right]^{-k} \cdot \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{4}}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}\right|^{k} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}\right|^{2 k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}\right]^{-2 k}+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}\right]^{-2 k}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from AM-GM inequality. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{t, \varepsilon}:=\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}-(2 / \pi)^{1 / 4} \mathcal{Z}_{t}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{t}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the elementary inequality $|a+b|^{2 k} \leq 2^{2 k-1}\left(a^{2 k}+b^{2 k}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { r.h.s. of }(4.3) & \leq 2^{2 k-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left[\left|\Theta_{r, \varepsilon}\right|^{2 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{r}^{2 k}\left|\mathfrak{B}_{r+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{r}\right|^{2 k}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{r+\varepsilon}^{-2 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{r}^{-2 k}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{2 k-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\Theta_{r, \varepsilon}\right|^{2 k}+2^{2 k-2}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{r}^{4 k}+\varepsilon^{-k}\left|\mathfrak{B}_{r+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{r}\right|^{4 k}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{r+\varepsilon}^{-2 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{r}^{-2 k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is another application of AM-GM inequality. Taking expectations and utilizing (1.5) with $\beta=\frac{1}{3}$ (for $\left.\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and the fact that $\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{r+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{r}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} Z \sim N(0,1)$ we get

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{4}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C}\left[\varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{2 k}{3}}+\mathbb{E}\left[|Z|^{4 k}\right]+\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T+1\right]} \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\right]^{-2 k}+\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{f}\right]^{4 k}\right)\right]
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and for some constant $\mathrm{C}>0$ depending on $\mathfrak{q}_{k}, k, T$. The moments of $\mathcal{Z}_{r}$ (both negative and positive) can be bounded uniformly on $r \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ using the uniform one point upper and lower tail bounds for $\mathcal{H}_{r}=\log \mathcal{Z}_{r}$ for $r \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ from Proposition 4.1. Thus the right hand side of above equation is finite uniformly in $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. This completes our work in this step.

Step 2. In this step we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]} \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{Z}_{t}}-1-\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}+\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{k}<\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ where $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(\beta, \mathfrak{q}, 2 k, T)>0$ is chosen from Theorem 1.5. Assuming (4.5), proof of (4.1) for $s=t+\varepsilon$ can be shown as follows. Applying the elementary inequality $|a+b c|^{k} \leq$ $2^{k-1}|a|^{k}+2^{k-2} b^{2 k}+2^{k-2} c^{2 k}$ we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{-k \beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}-(2 / \pi)^{1 / 4}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{t}\right)\right|^{k}\right] \leq \mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{-k \beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{Z}_{t}}-1-\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}+\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{k}\right] \\
&+\mathrm{CE}\left[\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{-2 k}\right]+\mathrm{C} \varepsilon^{-2 k \beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Theta_{t, \varepsilon}\right|^{2 k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Theta_{t, \varepsilon}$ is defined in (4.4). Each of the three expectations appearing on r.h.s. of the above equation is uniformly bounded by (4.5), tail estimates from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.5 respectively. Hence it suffices to show (4.5). Observe that

$$
\left|e^{x}-1-x\right|^{k} \leq x^{2 k} e^{k|x|}=x^{2 k}\left(e^{-x}+e^{x}\right)^{k}
$$

Take $x=\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}$ and then divide the aforementioned inequality by $\varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}}$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{Z}_{t}}-1-\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}+\mathcal{H}_{t}\right| & \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{2 k}\left|\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{t}}{\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}}+\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}}{\mathcal{Z}_{t}}\right|^{k}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{2 k} \mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{-k} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{-k}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{2}+\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the AM-GM inequality and the elementary inequality $\left|a^{2}+b^{2}\right|^{4 k} \leq 2^{4 k-1}\left(a^{8 k}+b^{8 k}\right)$ we get

$$
\text { r.h.s. of }(4.6) \leq \mathrm{C}\left[\varepsilon^{-2 k}\left|\mathcal{H}_{t+\varepsilon}-\mathcal{H}_{t}\right|^{8 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{t+\varepsilon}^{-4 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{-4 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{8 k}+\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{8 k}\right]
$$

Clearly the first term is uniformly bounded via Proposition 4.2. The moments of $\mathcal{Z}_{r}$ (both negative and positive) can be bounded uniformly on $r \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ using the uniform one point upper and lower tail bounds for $\mathcal{H}_{r}=\log \mathcal{Z}_{r}$ for $r \in\left[T^{-1}, T\right]$ from Proposition 4.1. Thus the right hand side of above equation is finite uniformly in $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We appeal to Theorem 1.2 to get a probability space containing the KPZ temporal process $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}$ and fractional Brownian motion $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{t}^{f}-(2 / \pi)^{1 / 4} \mathfrak{B}_{t}$ is $\frac{1}{3}$ Hölder
continuous. Hence it is enough to understand the increment properties of Fractional Brownian motion instead. Using (3.1) it follows that for $t_{i} \neq t_{j}$ the pairwise covariance

$$
\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{t_{j}+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{t_{j}}, \mathfrak{B}_{t_{j}+\varepsilon}-\mathfrak{B}_{t_{j}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. This leads to the proof of Part (1) of Theorem 1.4. The quartic variation and the Law of iterated logarithm for $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ follows from Eq 1 in [GN05] (see also [Rog97]) and Theorem 1.1 (C) in [Ore72] respectively. Modulus of continuity and Hausdorff dimension for $\mathfrak{B}_{t}$ follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 5.3 in [KPX00].
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