

GLOBAL SOLUTION OF THE 3D RELATIVISTIC VLASOV-MAXWELL SYSTEM FOR LARGE DATA WITH CYLINDRICAL SYMMETRY

XUECHENG WANG

Abstract

We show that the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system admits global solution for a class of arbitrarily large cylindrically symmetric initial data.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Ideas of proof and the outline of this paper	2
1.2. Notation	6
2. Rough estimates for the electromagnetic field	7
2.1. A localized version of the Glassey-Strauss decomposition	8
2.2. L_x^∞ -type rough estimate for the electromagnetic field	11
2.3. A dichotomy for the localized magnetic field	15
2.4. A singular weighted space-time estimate for the distribution function	21
3. Estimate of the velocity characteristics start from the t -majority set	24
4. L_x^∞ -type estimates for the localized acceleration force	27
4.1. Set-up	27
4.2. L_x^∞ -type estimates of the acceleration force in the large angle region	32
4.3. L^∞ -type estimates of the acceleration force in the small angle region	38
4.4. Estimates of the projection of the magnetic field onto the z -axis	52
5. Point-wise estimates of the projection of the acceleration force onto the horizontal plane	54
5.1. Point-wise estimates of the projection of the acceleration force in the large angle region	54
5.2. Point-wise estimates of the projection of the acceleration force in the small angle region	60
6. Proof of Lemma 3.1	75
6.1. Proof of Lemma 3.1 under the assumption of the estimate of the elliptic part	75
6.2. The estimates of elliptic parts	88
7. The proof of the Lemma 3.2	96
7.1. Proof of the assumed Lemmas	100
References	113

1. INTRODUCTION

The 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system is one of the fundamental models in the plasma physics, which reads as follows,

$$(RVM) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x f + (E + \hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla_v f = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) dv, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0, \\ \partial_t E = \nabla \times B - 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) \hat{v} dv, \\ \partial_t B = -\nabla \times E, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ denotes the distribution function of particles, $(E, B) : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the electromagnetic fields, $\hat{v} := v/\sqrt{1+|v|^2}$. As a result of direct computations, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|E|^2 + |B|^2) + 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+|v|^2} f(t, x, v) dv \right] = -\nabla_x \cdot ((E \times B) + 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f(t, x, v) dv). \quad (1.2)$$

Very importantly, from the above equality, the following conservation law holds for the RVM system,

$$\mathcal{H}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |E(t, x)|^2 + |B(t, x)|^2 dx + 8\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + |v|^2} f(t, x, v) dv = \mathcal{H}(0). \quad (1.3)$$

Hence, L^2 -norm of the electromagnetic fields (E, B) and the first momentum of the distribution function remain bounded over time within the lifespan of solution.

We are interested in the Cauchy problem of RVM system. For small data case or other dimensional cases, we refer readers to [4, 12, 13, 21] and references therein for more details. In this paper, we mainly restrict ourselves to the large data problem in $3D$, in which we understand much less.

The global existence of solutions of RVM for *sufficiently regular finite energy* initial data is a longstanding open problem. Most of previous results are focused on the continuation criteria of the $3D$ RVM system. A classic result of Glassey-Strauss [5] says that the classical solution can be globally extended as long as the particle density has compact support in v for all the time. A new proof and also a new version of this result based on Fourier analysis was given by Klainerman-Staffilani [9], which says that the classical solution can be globally extended as long as the initial data have compact support and the L_x^∞ -norm of the electromagnetic field remains bounded over time. See also Kunze[10], Pallard[15], Luk-Strain [13], and Patel[16] for the recent improvements on the continuation criteria.

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Given any cylindrical symmetric initial data $E_0, B_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $f_0(x, v) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \geq 6$. Without loss of generality, we assume the initial data are cylindrical symmetric with respect to the z -axis in the following sense,*

$$\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_0(Rx, Rv) = f_0(x, v), \quad E_0(Rx) = RE_0(x), \quad B_0(Rx) = RB_0(x). \quad (1.4)$$

If the initial distribution function decays polynomially in the following sense,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3, |\alpha| \leq s} \|(1 + |x| + |v|)^{N_0} \nabla_{x,v}^\alpha f_0(x, v)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} < +\infty, \quad N_0 := 10^{10}, \quad (1.5)$$

then the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system (1.1) admits a global solution $(f(t, \cdot, \cdot), E(t, \cdot), B(t, \cdot)) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}_x^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}_x^3)$. Moreover, the L_x^∞ -norm of the electromagnetic field grows at most polynomially over time.

1.1. Ideas of proof and the outline of this paper. We use the classic momentum method, which is to control high momentum of the distribution function over time. More precisely, we define

$$M_n(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |v|)^n f(t, x, v) dv dx, \quad \widetilde{M}_n(t) := (1 + t)^{n^3} + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} M_n(s), \quad n := N_0/10 = 10^9. \quad (1.6)$$

Therefore $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$ is an increasing function with respect to time. Moreover, the size of t is much smaller than $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$.

Let T denotes the maximal time of existence. From the rough L_x^∞ estimate of electromagnetic field in (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we know that the boundedness of $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$ in $[0, T)$ ensures that $E, B \in L^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3)$. From the continuation criteria of Luk-Strain [13][Theorem 5.7], the fact that $E, B \in L^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3)$ ensures that the lifespan of RVM (1.1) can be extended to $[0, T + \delta]$, where δ is some sufficiently small constant. Hence, due to the definition of the maximal time of existence, we know that the solution exists globally.

Therefore, the large data global existence problem reduces to the estimate of the high momentum $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$, which is also well-known as the heart of the problem.

Although it is highly technical to control the high momentum, before getting into the details, we would like provide some intuitions and key observations behind the proof. First of all, as point out in Klainerman-Staffilani [9], a key observation is the smoothing effect of electromagnetic fields over time, which comes from the fact the speed of particles is strictly less than the speed of light. Intuitively speaking, the smoothing effects says that $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (E(s), B(s)) ds$ is smoother than the electromagnetic fields $E(s), B(s)$ itself. Unfortunately, the smoothing effect gets weaker as the velocity goes to infinity. Finding the right balance between the gain of smoothness and the loss of v is also the key to the problem.

Secondly, we observe that, once the size of $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$ reaches a threshold, the space characteristic will eventually **travel away** from the z -axis, in which the worst scenario might occur due to the cylindrical symmetry of the solution,

see also (1.27) and (1.28). As a result, the effect of acceleration force in later time will play a minor role, the size of $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$ would not change much. Hence, we have a good upper bound for the size of $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$.

Lastly, thanks to the upper bound of the size of $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$, we have $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))| \ll |V(s)|$ in the worst scenario. Thanks to the good gap between $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$ and $|V(s)|$ in the worst scenario, we know that the directions of most of velocity characteristics are localized around the north pole or the south pole. With this information of localization and again the good gap between $|(V_1(s), V_2(s))|$ and $|V(s)|$, we can rule out the time resonance case and get access to the smoothing effect via doing normal form transformation with respect to time.

Now, we introduce the detailed set-up of the estimate of the high momentum. For convenience, we define the following quantity to capture the size of $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$.

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad M_t := \inf\{k : k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq (\widetilde{M}_n(t))^{1/(n-1)}\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that, for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, s.t., $t_1 \leq t_2$, we have $M_{t_1} \leq M_{t_2}$ because $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$ is an increasing function.

In particular, let $\epsilon := 100/n = 10^{-7}$, from the above definition, the following L_x^1 -estimate of the localized density holds,

$$\sum_{j \geq (1+\epsilon)M_t} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) \psi_j(v) dx dv \right| \lesssim \sum_{j \geq (1+\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-nj} \widetilde{M}_n(t) \lesssim 2^{-n(1+\epsilon)M_t + (n-1)M_t} \lesssim 2^{-100M_t}, \quad (1.8)$$

which is very small and far better than the control provided by the conservation law of the first momentum. Therefore, intuitively speaking, we know that the size of v are mostly localized inside a ball of radius $2^{(1+\epsilon)M_t}$ for the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ at time t .

Recall (1.1). If $s \leq t$ ($s \geq t$), the following system of equations satisfied by the backward (forward) characteristics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} X(x, v, s, t) = \hat{V}(x, v, s, t) \\ \frac{d}{ds} V(x, v, s, t) = E(s, X(x, v, s, t)) + \hat{V}(x, v, s, t) \times B(s, X(x, v, s, t)) := K(s, X(x, v, s, t), V(x, v, s, t)) \\ X(x, v, t, t) = x, \quad V(x, v, t, t) = v. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

In later context, we will refer $K(s, X(x, v, s, t), V(x, v, s, t))$ as the acceleration force of characteristics. Note that, due to the transport nature of the Vlasov equation, for any $s, t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$f(t, x, v) = f(s, X(x, v, s, t), V(x, v, s, t)). \quad (1.10)$$

For any $t \in [0, T]$, we define **t -majority** set of particles, which initially localize around zero, at time $s \in [0, T]$ as follows,

$$R_t(s) := \{(X(x, v, s, 0), V(x, v, s, 0)) : |V(x, v, 0, 0)| + |X(x, v, 0, 0)| \leq 2^{M_t/2}\}. \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t(s, x, v) &:= \sup_{\tau \in [0, s]} \inf\{k : k \in \mathbb{R}_+, |\dot{V}(x, v, \tau, 0)| \leq 2^{kM_t}\}, \quad \alpha_t(s) := \sup_{(x, v) \in R_t(0)} \alpha_t(s, x, v), \\ \beta_t(s, x, v) &:= \sup_{\tau \in [0, s]} \inf\{k : k \in \mathbb{R}_+, |V(x, v, \tau, 0)| \leq 2^{kM_t}\}, \quad \beta_t(s) := \sup_{(x, v) \in R_t(0)} \beta_t(s, x, v), \\ \alpha_t &:= \alpha_t(t), \quad \tilde{\alpha}_t = \min\{\alpha_t, (1 + 2\epsilon)\}, \quad \beta_t := \beta_t(t), \quad \tilde{\beta}_t = \min\{\beta_t, (1 + 2\epsilon)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where for any vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we use $\not u := (u_1, u_2)$ to denote the projection of u onto the horizontal plane.

From the above definition, we know that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], s \in [0, t], \quad R_s(0) \subset R_t(0), \quad \alpha_s M_s \leq \alpha_t(s) M_t \leq \alpha_t M_t, \quad \beta_s M_s \leq \beta_t(s) M_t \leq \beta_t M_t. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that

$$\forall s \in [0, t], x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad X(X(x, v, 0, s), V(x, v, 0, s), s, 0) = x, \quad V(X(x, v, 0, s), V(x, v, 0, s), s, 0) = v.$$

Therefore, from the above definition, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., either $|\not v| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$ or $|v| \geq 2^{\beta_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, we have

$$\forall s \in [0, t], x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (X(x, v, 0, s), V(x, v, 0, s)) \notin R(0). \quad (1.14)$$

Thanks to the rapid polynomial decay rate of the initial data in (1.5), the following estimate holds for any $s \in [0, t], x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., either $|\not v| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$ or $|v| \geq 2^{\beta_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$,

$$|f(s, x, v)| = |f_0(X(x, v, 0, s), V(x, v, 0, s))| \lesssim 2^{-4nM_t}. \quad (1.15)$$

Therefore to control the high moments $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$, it would be sufficient to control the t -majority set over time, see (1.11).

With the above set-up, now we are ready to introduce main ideas of the proof of the main theorem 1.1 presented this paper, which intuitively speaking, can be summarized as follows,

$$\text{iterative smoothing scheme} \xrightarrow{\text{bootstrap}} \text{space characteristics will eventually travel away from } z\text{-axis}, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\text{iterative smoothing scheme} + \text{space characteristics will eventually travel away from } z\text{-axis} \xrightarrow{\text{bootstrap}} \alpha_t \leq \alpha^* := 2/3 + \iota, \quad (1.17)$$

$$\text{iterative smoothing scheme, } \alpha_t \leq \alpha^* \xrightarrow{\text{bootstrap}} \beta_t \leq 1 - 2\epsilon, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\beta_t \leq 1 - 2\epsilon \implies \widetilde{M}_n(t) \lesssim (1+t)^{n^3} \implies (E(t), B(t)) \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{\text{continuation criteria}} \text{global existence}, \quad (1.19)$$

where $\iota := 10^{-5}$.

- Firstly, we explain the last step (1.19).

Recall (1.12) and the definition of the t -majority set in (1.11). From the estimate $\beta_t \leq 1 - 2\epsilon$, we know that $|X(x, v, 0, t)| + |V(x, v, 0, t)| \geq 2^{M_t/2}$ if $|v| \geq 2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t}$. From the decay assumption of the initial data in (1.5) and the following estimate holds if $|v| \geq 2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t}$,

$$|f(t, x, v)| = |f_0(X(x, v, 0, t), X(x, v, 0, t))| \lesssim (1 + |X(x, v, 0, t)| + |V(x, v, 0, t)|)^{-N_0+10} \lesssim 2^{-4.5nM_t}. \quad (1.20)$$

Recall (1.9), from the rough L_x^∞ estimate of electromagnetic field in (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$|v - V(x, v, 0, t)| \lesssim 2^{(3+10\epsilon)M_t}, \implies |v| \sim |V(x, v, 0, t)|, \quad \text{if } |v| \gtrsim 2^{(3+20\epsilon)M_t}, \quad (1.21)$$

$$|x - X(x, v, 0, t)| \lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t}, \implies |x| \sim |X(x, v, 0, t)|, \quad \text{if } |x| \gtrsim 2^{2\epsilon M_t}. \quad (1.22)$$

Hence, after combining the above estimates (1.20–1.22), we know that the following estimate holds if $|v| \geq 2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t}$,

$$|f(t, x, v)| = |f_0(X(x, v, 0, t), X(x, v, 0, t))| \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-4} (1 + |v|)^{-n-4}. \quad (1.23)$$

From the above estimate, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|v| \geq 2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t}} (1 + |v|)^n f(t, x, v) dx dv \right| \lesssim 1. \quad (1.24)$$

Moreover, from the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|v| \leq 2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t}} (1 + |v|)^n f(t, x, v) dx dv \right| \lesssim 2^{(n-1)(1-\epsilon)M_t} \leq (\widetilde{M}_n(t))^{1-\epsilon}. \quad (1.25)$$

Therefore, recall (1.6), from the estimates (1.24) and (1.25), we know that the following estimate holds for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$M_n(t) \lesssim (\widetilde{M}_n(t))^{1-\epsilon}. \quad (1.26)$$

Roughly speaking, we showed the **sub-linearity** of $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$. More precisely, from the above estimate and the fact that $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$ is an increasing function with respect to t , the following estimate holds for any $s \in [0, t]$,

$$\widetilde{M}_n(t) = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} M_n(s) + (1+t)^{n^3} \lesssim (\widetilde{M}_n(t))^{1-\epsilon} + (1+t)^{n^3}, \implies \widetilde{M}_n(t) \lesssim (1+t)^{n^3}.$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{M}_n(t)$ grows at most at rate $(1+t)^{n^3}$ over time. Hence finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1. **Therefore, the validity of Theorem 1.1 is reduced to the validity of Proposition 3.1.**

- Secondly, we explain the step (1.18).

By using a bootstrap argument, it would be sufficient to start at a time s_0 such that $|V(s_0)|$ is close to $2^{(1-2\epsilon)M_t}$, e.g., $2^{(1-3\epsilon)M_t}$. Recall (1.9). To control the variation of the velocity characteristics over time, it would be sufficient to estimate the following quantity,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds, \quad \tilde{V}(s) := V(s)/|V(s)|.$$

Intuitively speaking, after representing the acceleration force in terms of half-wave and localizing the size of frequency and the angle between ξ and $\mu \tilde{V}(s)$, we have the following approximation on the Fourier side,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \{+, -\}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i s \mu |\xi|} \mathcal{K}^\mu(s, \xi, V(s)) \varphi_{k, n}^\mu(\xi, V(s)) ds,$$

where $\varphi_{k,n}^\mu(\xi, V(s))$ denotes a smooth cutoff function s.t., $|\xi| \sim 2^k$, $\angle(\xi, \mu\tilde{V}(s)) \sim 2^n$. Very importantly, note that

$$e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + it\mu|\xi|} = \frac{1}{i\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|} \partial_s (e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|}), \quad \forall \xi \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{k,n}(\xi, V(s))), \quad |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + \mu|\xi|| \sim 2^{k+2n}.$$

Thanks to the upper bound of α_t , which implies that $|\dot{V}(s)| \leq 2^{\alpha^* M_t}$, $\alpha^* \approx 2/3$, we know that the angle between the velocity characteristic and the z -axis is very small. Moreover, again because of the upper bound of α_t , we know that actually particles are mostly concentrated around the north pole or south pole if $|v|$ is very large, e.g., close to M_t . After exploiting these observations, we prove a point-wise estimate of the frequency and angular localized acceleration force, see the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, which will be used as a basic tool.

Now, we explain the main ideas behind the iterative smoothing scheme. From the obtained estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, thanks again to the gap between the upper bounds of α_t and β_t , we can rule out the time resonance case. Roughly speaking, we can rule out the case $k + 2n \leq (2 - 10^{-3})M_t/3$.

For the non-resonance case, i.e., $k + 2n \geq (2 - 10^{-3})M_t/3$, we do normal form transformation. Recall (1.9). Unfortunately, a new acceleration force (second generation) will be introduced because of the normal form transformation. Roughly speaking, we need to control the following type integral,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} K_{k_1, n_1}^\nu(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \tilde{K}_{k, n}^\mu(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \approx \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + is\mu|\xi| + is\nu|\eta|} \\ \times \hat{K}_{k_1, n_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \hat{K}_{k, n}(s, \xi, V(s)) \varphi_{k, n}^\mu(\xi, V(s)) \varphi_{k_1, n_1}^\nu(\eta, V(s)) d\eta d\xi ds, \quad |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \eta + \nu|\eta|| \sim 2^{k_1+2n_1},$$

where $\tilde{K}_{k, n}(s, X(s), V(s))$ denotes the result of the first generation acceleration force after doing normal form transformation and $K_{k_1, n_1}(s, X(s), V(s))$ denotes the localized second generation acceleration force.

Again, roughly speaking, from the obtained estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we can rule out the case $k_1 + 2n_1 \leq (k + 2n) + (1 - 10^{-3})M_t/3$, which includes the time resonance case. Note that, for the case $k_1 + 2n_1 \geq (k + 2n) + (1 - 10^{-3})M_t/3$, i.e., the non-resonance case, we have

$$e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + it\mu|\xi| + it\nu|\eta|} = \frac{\partial_s (e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + it\mu|\xi| + it\nu|\eta|})}{i\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu|\xi| + i\nu|\eta|}, \quad |\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu|\xi| + \nu|\eta|| \sim 2^{k_1+2n_1}.$$

Therefore, we can exploiting the oscillation in time again by doing normal form transformation. By using the same philosophy, we can iterate this process, which is also why we call it **iterative smoothing scheme**.

Now, we explain the reason why the iteration process can be stopped in finite steps. Intuitively speaking, each time we do normal form transformation, we gain a smallness of 2^{-cM_t} . Although c varies at different steps, it has a positive lower bound, which is at least $1/7$. Since the L_x^∞ -norm of the electromagnetic field is bounded from above by $2^{(1+2\alpha_t+10\epsilon)M_t}$, see the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, the iteration process of doing normal form transformation, is stopped in finite steps (at most six steps). The conclusion is summarized in Lemma 3.2, which shows that the variation of velocity characteristics is perturbative when the full velocity reaches at a high level. Hence finishing the bootstrap argument.

- Lastly, we explain main ideas behind the step (1.16) and the step (1.17).

By using a bootstrap argument, we start at a time “ s_1 ” such that $|\dot{V}(s_1)|$ is close to $2^{(\alpha^*-2\epsilon)M_t}$, and $|V(s)|$ is bounded from above by $2^{(1-3\epsilon)M_t}$. Hence, $|\dot{V}(s)|/|V(s)|$ has a decent lower bound, more precisely, $|\dot{V}(s)|/|V(s)| \gtrsim 2^{(\alpha^*-1+5\epsilon)M_t}$.

Due to the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we expect that particles are not strongly localized if they are away from the z -axis. The benefit of cylindrical symmetry getting stronger as the distance with respect to the z -axis increases. Hence, it's reasonable to expect that the distance with respect to the z -axis of characteristics play a role. With this motivation, we compute the following quantity,

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} |\dot{X}(s)|^2 = 2 \left(\frac{|\dot{V}(s)|^2}{1 + |V(s)|^2} + C_0(X(s), V(s)) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) \right), \quad (1.27)$$

where

$$C_0(X(s), V(s)) = \frac{(\dot{X}(s), 0)}{\sqrt{1 + |V(s)|^2}} - \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{(1 + |V(s)|^2)^{3/2}} V(s).$$

Thanks to the lower bound of $|\dot{V}(s)|/|V(s)|$, our key observation is that the **space characteristics will eventually travel away from z -axis**. Intuitively speaking, we show that

$$\underbrace{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{|\dot{V}(s)|^2}{1 + |V(s)|^2} ds}_{\text{leading term}} + \underbrace{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} C_0(X(s), V(s)) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds}_{\text{just a perturbation}}. \quad (1.28)$$

Once again, to prove the above relation, we use again the iterative smoothing scheme together with a basic tool, the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1, which is tailored for the purpose of closing the bootstrap argument. The conclusion is summarized in Lemma 3.1.

Since $|\dot{V}(s)|^2/(1 + |V(s)|^2)$ is dominating, from (1.27), roughly speaking, $\dot{X}(s)$ will eventually travel away from z -axis. Moreover, we have a good estimate of the lapse of time that $|\dot{X}(s)|$ stays in a dyadic interval.

As a result of direct computation, from (1.9), we have

$$||\dot{V}(t_2)| - |\dot{V}(t_1)|| \lesssim \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\dot{V}(s)/|V(s)|, 0) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right|, \quad ||V(t_2)| - |V(t_1)|| \lesssim \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right|. \quad (1.29)$$

Thanks to the good estimate of the lapse of time, again from Lemma 3.1, we show that the variation of the full velocity characteristics and the projection of the velocity characteristics are perturbative. Hence finishing the bootstrap argument.

This paper is organized as follows.

- In section 2 By using a localized version of the Glassey-Strauss decomposition, we show a L^∞ -type rough estimate for the electromagnetic field, a singular weighted space-time estimate for the distribution function, and a dichotomy for the localized magnetic field, which says that either the L_x^∞ -norm of the localized magnetic field is not very big or the geometric mean of the L_x^∞ -norm and the L_x^2 -norm of the localized magnetic field is not very big.
- In section 3 Under the assumption of the validities of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we control both the projection of $|\dot{V}(x, v, s, 0)|$ and the full characteristics $|V(x, v, s, 0)|$ that starts from the majority set and prove Proposition 3.1. Hence finishing the proof of our main theorem 1.1.
- In section 4 After exploiting the benefit of the cylindrical symmetry and using estimates obtained in section 2, we carefully estimate the L_x^∞ -norm of the frequency localized and angle localized acceleration force $K(s, X(s), V(s))$. Both the obtained final estimate and the way of localization are directly related to the size of the oscillation of phase in time. The obtained estimates in section 4 are building blocks for the proof of Lemma 3.2 in section 7.
- In section 5 For the purpose of estimating the evolution of $|\dot{X}(s)|^2$ and $|\dot{V}(s)|^2$ over time, we estimate the projection of the acceleration force onto the horizontal plane, which is better than the acceleration force itself in the sense that the associated symbol of $\mathbf{P}(E + \hat{V} \times B)$ is better than $E + \hat{V} \times B$, where $\mathbf{P}$ denotes the projection operator onto the horizontal plane. More precisely, $\mathbf{P} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbf{P}(u) = \not{u}$. Unlike the L^∞ -type estimates obtained in section 4, the obtained estimates depend on the size of $|\dot{X}(t)|$, which aims to capture the benefit of the fact that the space characteristics will eventually travel away from the z -axis.
- In section 6 By using estimates obtained in section 2, section 4, and section 5, we show that an iterative smoothing scheme is available, i.e., we can do normal form transformation iteratively. After at most six steps of iterations, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.1.
- In section 7 By using estimates obtained in section 2 and section 4 and the iterative smoothing scheme, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.2.

1.2. Notation. For any vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, v \in \mathbb{R}^3/\{0\}$, we define $\tilde{v} := v/|v|$, $\langle u \rangle := (1 + |u|^2)^{1/2}$, and $\not{u} := (u_1, u_2)$. We define the unit vectors of the Cartesian coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as follows,

$$e_1 := (1, 0, 0), \quad e_2 := (0, 1, 0), \quad e_3 := (0, 0, 1). \quad (1.30)$$

Moreover, we define the following projection mapping $\mathbf{P} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbf{P}_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P}(u) = \not{u}, \mathbf{P}_i(u) = u_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. As a result of direct computations, very importantly, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$u = (\tilde{v} \cdot u) \tilde{v} + \sum_{i=1,2,3} ((\tilde{v} \times e_i) \cdot u) (\tilde{v} \times e_i), \quad \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla_v \tilde{v} = \frac{\tilde{v}}{(1 + |v|^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (\tilde{v} \times e_i) \cdot \nabla_v \tilde{v} = \frac{(\tilde{v} \times e_i)}{(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (1.31)$$

From the above results of computations, we know that the radial direction derivative is much better than the derivatives in rotational directions. In later context, the decomposition in (1.31) will be used constantly in the estimate of ∇_v derivatives of symbols without further explanation.

For any two numbers A and B , we use $A \lesssim B$, $A \approx B$, and $A \ll B$ to denote $A \leq CB$, $|A - B| \leq cA$, and $A \leq cB$ respectively, where C is an absolute constant and c is a sufficiently small absolute constant. We use $A \sim B$ to denote the case when $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. For an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use " k_+ " to denote $\max\{k, 0\}$ and use " k_- " to denote $\min\{k, 0\}$.

We use the convention that all constants which only depend on the **initial data**, e.g., the conserved quantities in (1.3), will be treated as absolute constants.

We fix an even smooth function $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which is supported in $[-3/2, 3/2]$ and equals to one in $[-5/4, 5/4]$. For any $k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\psi_k, \psi_{\leq k}, \psi_{\geq k}, \psi_{[k_1, k_2]} : \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi_k(x) := \tilde{\psi}(|x|/2^k) - \tilde{\psi}(|x|/2^{k-1}), \quad \psi_{\leq k}(x) := \sum_{l \leq k} \psi_l(x), \quad \psi_{\geq k}(x) := 1 - \psi_{\leq k-1}(x), \quad \psi_{[k_1, k_2]}(x) = \sum_{k \in [k_1, k_2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \psi_k(x).$$

Moreover, we define the cutoff function $\psi_{l, \bar{l}} : \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the threshold $\bar{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$, as follows,

$$\varphi_{l, \bar{l}}(x) := \begin{cases} \psi_{\leq \bar{l}}(x) & \text{if } l = \bar{l} \\ \psi_l(x) & \text{if } l > \bar{l} \end{cases}, \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varphi_{[l_1, l_2], \bar{l}}(x) := \sum_{l \in [\max\{l_1, \bar{l}\}, l_2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{l, \bar{l}}(x), \quad (1.32)$$

In particular, if the threshold $\bar{l} = 0$, we use the following notation,

$$\forall k, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \varphi_k(x) := \varphi_{k;0}(x), \quad \varphi_{[k_1, k_2]}(x) := \varphi_{[k_1, k_2];0}(x). \quad (1.33)$$

For an integrable function $f(x)$, we use both $\hat{f}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)$ to denote the Fourier transform of f , which is defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

We use $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)$ to denote the inverse Fourier transform of $g(\xi)$. Moreover, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use $P_k, P_{\leq k}$ and $P_{\geq k}$ to denote the projection operators by the Fourier multipliers $\psi_k(\cdot), \psi_{\leq k}(\cdot)$ and $\psi_{\geq k}(\cdot)$ respectively. For convenience in notation, we also use $f_k(x)$ to abbreviate $P_k f(x)$.

We define the following class of symbol,

$$\mathcal{S}^\infty := \{m(\xi) : m : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[m](x)\|_{L_x^1} < +\infty\}.$$

Moreover, the $\mathcal{S}^\infty$ -norm of symbols is defined as follows,

$$\|m(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m)(x)\|_{L_x^1}. \quad (1.34)$$

Acknowledgment The author thanks Yu Deng, Pin Yu, and Fan Zheng for helpful comments and suggestions on the early version of draft. The author acknowledges support from NSFC-11801299, NSFC-12141102, and MOST-2020YFA0713003.

2. ROUGH ESTIMATES FOR THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

Recall (2.1). As a result of direct computations, after doing dyadic decomposition for the size of v , we can reduce the Maxwell system into the standard wave equations as follows,

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, \quad (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)U = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{N}_U^j(t, x), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_E^j(t, x) := -4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{v} \partial_t f(t, x, v) + \nabla_x f(t, x, v)) \varphi_j(v) dv, \quad \mathcal{N}_B^j(t, x) := -4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(t, x, v) \varphi_j(v) dv. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, after substituting the $\partial_t f$ by using the the Vlasov equation in (1.1) and doing integration by parts in v , for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_E^j(t, x) = 4\pi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{v}(\hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x) f(t, x, v) - \nabla_x f(t, x, v)) \varphi_j(v) - f(t, x, v)(E(t, x) + \hat{v} \times B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_v (\varphi_j(v) \hat{v}) dx dv \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

From the Duhamel's formula, we have

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, \quad U(t) = \cos(t|\nabla|)U_0 + \frac{\sin(t|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}U_1 + \sum_{\mu \in \{+, -\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|} \mathcal{N}_U^j(s) ds, \quad U_1 := (\partial_t U)|_{t=0}. \quad (2.4)$$

The following classic Kirchhoff's formulas allow us to represent the solution of wave equation in physical space.

Lemma 2.1 (Kirchhoff's formulas). *For any $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the following equality holds,*

$$|\nabla|^{-1} \sin(t|\nabla|)h(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} t \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} h(x + t\theta) d\theta, \quad (2.5)$$

$$|\nabla|^{-1} \cos(t|\nabla|)h(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla|^{-1} h(x + t\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t\theta \cdot \frac{\nabla}{|\nabla|} h(x + t\theta) d\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} h(x + t\theta) d\theta dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{it\xi \cdot \theta} \hat{h}(\xi) d\theta = 2\pi \hat{h}(\xi) \int_0^\pi e^{it|\xi| \cos(\phi)} \sin(\phi) d\phi = \frac{4\pi \sin(t|\xi|)}{t|\xi|} \hat{h}(\xi). \quad (2.7)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired formula (2.5). Our desired equality (2.6) holds after taking derivative with respect to “ t ” for the equality (2.5). $\square$

Moreover, from the work of Luk-Strain[12][Proposition 2.2], the following conservation law holds if we integrate the equality (1.2) in spacetime region bounded by $t = 0$ and the backward null cone from the point (x, t) .

Lemma 2.2. *For any $t \in [0, T^*)$, we have*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s)^2 K_g^2(s, x + (t-s)\omega, \omega) d\omega ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s)^2 \langle v \rangle (1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) d\omega dv ds \lesssim 1, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$K_g^2 := |E \cdot \omega|^2 + |B \cdot \omega|^2 + |E - \omega \times B|^2 + |B + \omega \times E|^2.$$

2.1. A localized version of the Glassey-Strauss decomposition. Since the angle between v and $-\omega$ and the size of $t-s$ will play essential roles in later argument, to track down precisely the role of the angle between v and $-\omega$ and the size of $t-s$, we first localize the electromagnetic field and then obtain the corresponding Glassey-Strauss decomposition for the localized electromagnetic field.

Note that, due to the fact that $t \leq 2^{\epsilon M_t - 10}$, see (1.6), we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(x) = \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(x) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(x) \quad (2.9)$$

From the above equality (2.9), after localizing the angle between v and $-\omega$ and the size of $t-s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(t, x) &= \sum_{l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} E_{j,l}^m(t, x), \quad B_j(t, x) = \sum_{l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} B_{j,l}^m(t, x), \\ E_{j,l}^m(t, x) &:= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) (\hat{v} \partial_t f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) + \nabla_x f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \\ B_{j,l}^m(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) \hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)$ is defined as follows,

$$\varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) = \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{l; -j}(\tilde{v} + \omega). \quad (2.11)$$

Following the work of Glassey-Strauss [5], we do the same $S-T$ decomposition for the localized magnetic field. The only difference is that, due to the new introduced cutoff function, the formulas of the Glassey-Strauss decomposition will change accordingly. For completeness, we prove it in details in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following Glassey-Strauss decomposition holds for the localized electromagnetic field,*

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, \quad U_{j,l}^m(t, x) = U_{S;j,l}^m(t, x) + U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x) + U_{0;j,l}^m(t, x), \quad (2.12)$$

$$U_{S;j,l}^m(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) (E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_U(v, \omega) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right)$$

$$\times f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \quad (2.13)$$

$$U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [\omega_{j;0}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) + \sum_{q=1,2,3} \omega_{j;q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega)] f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) d\omega dv ds, \quad (2.14)$$

$$U_{0;j,l}^m(t, x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t f(0, x + t\omega, v) \frac{m_U(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,-l}(v, \omega) d\omega dv & \text{if } t \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)), \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

where the coefficients $m_U(v, \omega)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, and the coefficients $\omega_{j;a}^{m,U}(v, \omega)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, are defined as follows,

$$m_E(v, \omega) := \hat{v} + \omega, \quad m_B(v, \omega) = \hat{v} \times \omega, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{j;0}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) &:= \frac{(1 - |\hat{v}|^2)(\hat{v} + \omega)}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) - \left(\omega - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v})\hat{v} \cdot \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) (t-s) \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_j(v), \\ \forall q \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad \omega_{j;q}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) &= -((\delta_{1q}, \delta_{2q}, \delta_{3q}) + \omega_q \hat{v} - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v})(\omega_q + \hat{v}_q)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega}) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{j;0}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) &:= \frac{(1 - |\hat{v}|^2)(\hat{v} \times \omega)}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) - \frac{\hat{v} \times \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} (t-s) \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_j(v), \\ \forall q \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad \omega_{j;q}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) &= -(\hat{v} \times (\delta_{1p}, \delta_{2p}, \delta_{3p}) - \frac{\hat{v} \times \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} (\hat{v}_p + \omega_p)) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. Recall (2.10). We have

$$B_{j,l}^m(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) \frac{\varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)}{|y-x|} \hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(t-|y-x|, y, v) dy dv, \quad \omega := \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}.$$

As in the [5], we have

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad \partial_i = \omega_i(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^{-1} S + \left(\delta_{ip} - \frac{\omega_i \hat{v}_p}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) T_p, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$S := \partial_t + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x, \quad \forall p \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad T_p := -\omega_p \partial_t + \partial_{x_p}.$$

By using the above equalities, as a typical example, the following decomposition holds for the first component of the vector $B_{j,l}^m(t, x)$,

$$(B_{j,l}^m(t, x))_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \frac{\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)}{|y-x|} (\hat{v}_2 \partial_{x_3} - \hat{v}_3 \partial_{x_2}) f(t-|y-x|, y, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) dy dv = I_{j,l}^{1;1}(t, x) + I_{j,l}^{1;2}(t, x), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{j,l}^{1;1}(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \frac{\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)}{|y-x|} \frac{\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} S f(t-|y-x|, y, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) dy dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \frac{\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)}{|y-x|} (E(t-|y-x|, y) + \hat{v} \times B(t-|y-x|, y)) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{(\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \\ &\quad \times f(t-|y-x|, y, v) dy dv \\ I_{j,l}^{1;2}(t, x) &= \sum_{p=1,2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \frac{\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)}{|y-x|} c_p T_p f(t-|y-x|, y, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) dy dv \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$c_p := (\hat{v}_2 \delta_{3p} - \hat{v}_3 \delta_{2p}) - \frac{\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \hat{v}_p.$$

Now, we focus on the $I_{j,l}^{1;2}(t, x)$. By the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{j,l}^{1;2}(t, x) &= - \sum_{p=1,2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x| \leq t} \partial_{y_p} \left(\frac{c_p \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)}{|y-x|} \right) f(t-|y-x|, y, v) dy dv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y-x|=t} \frac{\omega_p c_p}{|y-x|} f(t-|y-x|, y, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) dy dv, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

which splits naturally into the T -part and 0-part (i.e., initial data part). As a result of direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1,2,3} \partial_{y_p} (|y-x|^{-1} c_p) &= -|y-x|^{-2} (\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2) \frac{1-|\hat{v}|^2}{1+(\hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2}, \\ \sum_{p=1,2,3} c_p \partial_{y_p} (\varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega)) &= \sum_{p=1,2,3} \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \left(\frac{c_q - c_p \omega_p \omega_q}{|y-x|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=1,2,3} |y-x|^{-1} \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \left[(\hat{v}_2 \delta_{3q} - \hat{v}_3 \delta_{2q}) - \frac{\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} (\hat{v}_q + \omega_q) \right], \\ \sum_{p=1,2,3} c_p \partial_{y_p} (\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)) &= \sum_{p=1,2,3} \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) c_p \omega_p = \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) \frac{\hat{v}_2 \omega_3 - \hat{v}_3 \omega_2}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

From the results of the above computations, after changing coordinates, our desired decomposition in (2.12) holds.

We can do similar procedures for the electric field. Note that, from the equalities in (2.19), $\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\hat{v}_i \partial_t + \partial_{x_i} = \hat{v}_i S + \partial_{x_i} - \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_q \partial_{x_q} = \frac{\hat{v}_i + \omega_i}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} S + d_p T_p, \quad d_p := \left(\delta_{ip} - \frac{(\omega_i + \hat{v}_i) \hat{v}_p}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right). \quad (2.23)$$

Note that

$$\partial_{y_p} (|y-x|^{-1} d_p) = -|y-x|^{-2} \omega_p d_p + |y-x|^{-1} \partial_{y_p} d_p = -|y-x|^{-2} \frac{\hat{v}_i + \omega_i}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} (1 - |\hat{v}|^2).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1,2,3} d_p \partial_{y_p} (\varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega)) &= \sum_{p,q=1,2,3} |y-x|^{-1} \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) (d_q - d_p \omega_p \omega_q) \\ &= \sum_{q=1,2,3} |y-x|^{-1} \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \left(\delta_{iq} + \omega_q \hat{v}_i - \frac{(\omega_i + \hat{v}_i)(\omega_q + \hat{v}_q)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right), \\ \sum_{p=1,2,3} d_p \partial_{y_p} (\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|)) &= \sum_{p=1,2,3} \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) d_p \omega_p = \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(|y-x|) \left(\omega_i - \frac{(\omega_i + \hat{v}_i) \hat{v} \cdot \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above results of computations, after using the decomposition (2.23) and redoing steps in (2.20) and (2.22), our desired decomposition for the localize electric field in (2.12) also holds. $\square$

To exploit the structure of the conservation law (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we decompose the S -part further into two parts. More precisely, as a result of direct computations, we have

$$((\hat{v} + \omega) \times B)_1 = (\hat{v} + \omega)_2 B_3 - (\hat{v} + \omega)_3 B_2, \quad ((\hat{v} + \omega) \times B)_2 = -(\hat{v} + \omega)_1 B_3 + (\hat{v} + \omega)_3 B_1$$

Moreover, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$, from the first equality in (1.31), we have

$$u = u \cdot \omega \omega + \sum_{i=1,2,3} (u \cdot (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i)) (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i) \implies B_3 = B \cdot \omega (\omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) - \sum_{i=1,2,3} (B \cdot (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i)) (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i.$$

After combining the above two equalities, $\forall a \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$((\hat{v} + \omega) \times B)_a = (-1)^{a-1} [(\hat{v} + \omega)_{3-a} (\omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) (B \cdot \omega) - \left(\sum_{i=1,2,3} (\hat{v} + \omega)_{3-a} (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i (B \cdot (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i)) + (\hat{v} + \omega)_3 B_2 \right)].$$

With the above decomposition, we decompose the acceleration force into two pieces as follows,

$$E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega) = EB^1(t, s, x, \omega, v) + EB^2(t, s, x + (t-s)\omega, \omega, v), \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$EB^1(t, s, x, \omega, v) = E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) - \omega \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + ((\omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) (\hat{v} + \omega)_2 (B \cdot \omega), -(\hat{v} + \omega)_1 (\omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) (B \cdot \omega), 0)(s, x), \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} EB^2(t, s, x, \omega, v) &= \left(\sum_{i=1,2,3} -(\hat{v} + \omega)_2 (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i (B \cdot (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i)) - (\hat{v} + \omega)_3 B_2, \sum_{i=1,2,3} (\hat{v} + \omega)_1 (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_3) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i (B \cdot (\omega \times \mathbf{e}_i)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\hat{v} + \omega)_3 B_1, (\hat{v}_1 + \omega_1) B_2 - (\hat{v}_2 + \omega_2) B_1 \right)(s, x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

From the decomposition of $E + \hat{v} \times B$ in (2.24), we decompose the S part of the electromagnetic field further as follows,

$$E_{S;j,l}^m(t, x) = \sum_{i=1,2} E_{S;j,l}^{m;i}(t, x), \quad B_{S;j,l}^m(t, x) = \sum_{i=1,2} B_{S;j,l}^{m;i}(t, x), \quad (2.27)$$

where $\forall U \in \{E, B\}$,

$$U_{S;j,l}^{m;i}(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) EB^i(t, s, x, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_U(v, \omega) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds. \quad (2.28)$$

Moreover, recall (2.10), we can define the frequency localized version of $U_{j,l}^m(t, x)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, and their corresponding G-S decomposition in Lemma 2.3 and the decomposition of S part in (2.27) as follows,

$$U_{k;j,l}^m(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) U_{j,l}^m(t, x-y) dy, \quad \forall * \in \{S, T, 0\}, \quad U_{k;*,j,l}^m(t, s, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) U_{*,j,l}^m(t, s, x-y) dy, \\ \forall i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad U_{k;*,j,l}^{m;i}(t, s, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) U_{*,j,l}^{m;i}(t, s, x-y) dy \quad K_k(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.29)$$

2.2. L_x^∞ -type rough estimate for the electromagnetic field. The main goal of this subsection is devoted to prove the following proposition, which shows that, recall (1.12) and (1.7), the high momentum of the distribution function controls the L_x^∞ -norm of the electromagnetic field.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\epsilon := 100/n = 10^{-7}$. The following rough estimates hold for any $U \in \{E, B\}$, $t \in [0, T)$,*

$$\|U(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|U_{j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t+6\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof. For better presentation, we postpone the proof of this proposition to the end of this subsection. $\square$

We first consider the case $j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t$. Recall the definition (1.6) and the estimate (1.8). In the following lemma, we show that the contribution from the case $j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t$ is negligible.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\epsilon := 100/n = 10^{-7}$. For any $t \in [0, T)$, $j \in [(1+2\epsilon)M_t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, we have*

$$|U_{j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{-9j} [1 + 2^m (\|E\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty})]. \quad (2.31)$$

Proof. Recall the G-S decomposition in (2.12). Recall (2.14) and the detailed formulas of $\omega_{j;a}^{m,U}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, in (2.18) and (2.17). The following estimate holds for the T-part,

$$|U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^j \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) dv d\omega ds. \quad (2.32)$$

Similarly, recall (2.13), after putting the electromagnetic field in L_x^∞ , we have

$$|U_{S;j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^m (\|E\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) dv d\omega ds. \quad (2.33)$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of the common term in (2.32) and (2.33). Note that, after doing dyadic decomposition for the distribution function, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_j(v) \phi_{m;-10M_t, c_t}(t-s) dv d\omega ds \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} J_{k,m'}(t, x), \quad (2.34)$$

where

$$J_{k,m'}(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} P_k(f)(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m';-k}(t-s) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds. \quad (2.35)$$

By doing integration by parts in ξ many times, the following estimate holds for the kernel associated with the Fourier multiplier P_k ,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{3k} (1 + 2^k |y|)^{-N_0^3}. \quad (2.36)$$

From the above estimate of the kernel, the definition of the high momentum of the distribution function in (1.6) and the volume of support of v , we have, we rule out the case $k \leq 10j$ or $m' + k/2 \leq \epsilon M_t$ as follows,

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ k \leq 10j \text{ or } m' + k/2 \leq \epsilon M_t}} |J_{k,m'}(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ k \leq 10j \text{ or } m' + k/2 \leq \epsilon M_t}} 2^{m'} \min\{2^{3k-nj+(n-1)M_t}, 2^{3j+3\epsilon M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{-10j}. \quad (2.37)$$

Now, we focus on the case $k \geq 10j, m' + k/2 \geq \epsilon M_t$. Note that, in terms of the profile $g(s, x, v) := f(s, x + s\hat{v}, v)$, the following equality holds on the Fourier side,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,m'}(t, x) &= \sum_{\star \in \{ess, err\}} J_{k,m'}^\star(t, x), \quad J_{k,m'}^\star(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi^\star(\omega, \xi) \varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \\ &\times \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_k(\xi) dv d\omega d\xi ds, \quad \varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi) := \psi_{\leq -(1-\epsilon)k/2 - m'/2}(\omega \times \xi / |\xi|), \quad \varphi^{err}(\omega, \xi) = 1 - \varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Note that, we gain at least $2^{-\epsilon k}$ each time we do integration by parts in ω once. After doing integration by parts many times in ω , the following estimate holds for the error type term,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m' + k/2 \geq \epsilon M_t} |J_{k,m'}^{err}(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-100k} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\times (1 + 2^{(1+\epsilon)k/2} |y|)^{-100} \varphi_j(v) dv d\omega dy ds \lesssim \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-100k - nj + (n-1)M_t} \lesssim 2^{-10j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

For the essential part, note that

$$|\omega \cdot \xi + \hat{v} \cdot \xi| \geq 2^{k-2j-10} - \min_{\mu \in \{+, -\}} |\omega \cdot \xi + \mu|\xi|| \geq 2^{k-2j-10} - 2^{-m' - k + 2\epsilon k + k} \gtrsim 2^{k-2j}.$$

From the above estimate of the phase, after doing integration by parts in s once, using the equation satisfied by the Vlasov equation in (1.1), and doing integration by parts in v , we have

$$J_{k,m'}^{ess}(t, x) = J_{k,m'}^{ess;0}(t, x) + J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x), \quad (2.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,m'}^{ess;0}(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi} \frac{\varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi)}{i(\omega + \hat{v}) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) dv d\omega d\xi \Big|_{s=0}^t \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi} \frac{\varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi)}{i(\omega + \hat{v}) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_j(v) \partial_s (\varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s)) dv d\omega d\xi ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f] \cdot \nabla_v \left[\frac{\varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi)}{i(\omega + \hat{v}) \cdot \xi} \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_j(v) \right] \\ &\times \varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) dv d\omega d\xi ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Note that, in terms of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,m'}^{ess;0}(t, x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{K}_{k,j}^{ess}(y, \omega, v) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv \Big|_{s=0}^t \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{K}_{k,j}^{ess}(y, \omega, v) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \partial_s (\varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s)) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (E(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,j}^{ess}(y, \omega, v) \\ &\times f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m'; -k}(t-s) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,j}^{ess}(y, \omega, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \frac{\varphi^{ess}(\omega, \xi)}{i(\omega + \hat{v}) \cdot \xi} \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_j(v) d\xi. \quad (2.45)$$

By doing integration by parts in ξ in ω direction and directions perpendicular to ω , the following estimate holds for the kernel,

$$|\mathcal{K}_k^{ess}(y, \omega, v)| + |\nabla_v \mathcal{K}_k^{ess}(y, \omega, v)| \lesssim 2^{-m' + (1+\epsilon)k + 2j} \varphi_{[j-1, j+1]}(v) (1 + 2^{(1+\epsilon)k/2 - m'/2} |y \times \omega|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k-2j} |y \cdot \omega|)^{-N_0^3}. \quad (2.46)$$

From the above estimate of kernel, we have

$$\sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m' + k/2 \geq \epsilon M_t} |J_{k,m'}^{ess;0}(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-k + 10j - nj + (n-1)M_t + \epsilon M_t} \lesssim 2^{-10j}. \quad (2.47)$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of $J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x)$. Recall (2.44). In terms of the spherical coordinates, we have $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. After using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, from the estimate of kernel in (2.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} 2^{-m' + (1+\epsilon)k + 2j} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi |U(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega)|^2 (1 + 2^{(1+\epsilon)k/2 - m'/2} |y|)^{-N_0^3} \right. \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{[j-1, j+1]}(v) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds \Big)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) (1 + 2^{k-2j} |y \cdot \omega|)^{-N_0^3} \right. \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{(1+\epsilon)k/2 - m'/2} |y \times \omega|)^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{[j-1, j+1]}(v) d\omega dy dv ds \Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

From the above estimate, the Jacobian of changing of coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, the volume of support of v, ω , the conservation law (1.3), and the assumption that $j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t, \epsilon := 100/n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m' + k/2 \geq \epsilon M_t} |J_{k,m'}^{ess;1}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{-10k} + \sum_{k \in [10j, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-m' + (1+\epsilon)k + 2j} \\ &\times (2^{-(1+\epsilon)k/2 + m'/2 + 3j})^{1/2} (\min\{2^{m' + 2p + 5j} 2^{-(2+\epsilon)k + m'}, 2^{-(1+\epsilon)k/2 + m'/2} 2^{m' - nj + (n-1)M_t}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-10j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (2.31) holds after combining the obtained estimates (2.32) and (2.33), the decompositions (2.34), (2.38) and (2.40), and the obtained estimates (2.37), (2.39), (2.47), and (2.49). $\square$

Now, we focus on the case $j \leq (1+2\epsilon)M_t$. Recall the G-S decomposition in (2.12) in Lemma 2.3, we first estimate the T -part in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *For any $t \in [0, T]$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, U \in \{E, B\}$, we have*

$$|U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 + M_t/3 - l/3}, 2^{-m+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 - j/3 - 5l/3}, |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(1+2\epsilon)M_t}\} + 1. \quad (2.50)$$

Proof. Recall (2.14). Due to the cylindrical symmetry, without loss of generality, we assumed that $x = (\not x, 0, x_3)$.

From the detailed formulas of coefficients $\omega_{j;a}^{m,U}(\omega, v), U \in \{E, B\}, a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\not x| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{-l} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{[j-1, j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2, l+2]; -j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m-l} 2^{\epsilon M_t + 2l + j + 2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-3l}\} \mathbf{1}_{m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} + 2^{m+4j} \mathbf{1}_{m = -10M_t} \\ &\lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 + M_t/3 - l/3}, 2^{-m+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 - j/3 - 5l/3}\} + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

Alternatively, if we use the spherical coordinate s.t., $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ and do dyadic decomposition for the size of $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \phi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| &\lesssim \sum_{p, q \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} U_{j,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x) \quad U_{j,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{-l} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{[j-1, j+1]}(v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{[l-2, l+2]; -j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m; -10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p; -10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q; -10M_t}(\sin \phi) d\omega dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

From the volume of support of s, v, ω , the following rough estimate holds,

$$|U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{-l} 2^{2l+3j+m+2p+q}.$$

The above estimate is sufficient to rule out the case either $p = -10M_t$, or $q = -10M_t$, or $m = -10M_t$.

Now it would be sufficient to consider the case $p, q \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$w := x_3 + (t-s) \cos \theta, \quad z := \sqrt{|\not x|^2 + ((t-s) \sin \theta)^2 + 2|\not x|(t-s) \sin \theta \cos \phi}. \quad (2.53)$$

As a result of direct computations, the size of the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(z, \omega) \rightarrow (\theta, \phi)$ is given as follows,

$$|J_{(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (w, z)}| = \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \right| \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \right| \sim z^{-1} |\not x| (t-s)^2 (\sin \theta)^2 \sin \phi. \quad (2.54)$$

Therefore, from the above estimate of the Jacobian, the conservation law (1.3), and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$|U_{j,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{-l} \min\{|\not x|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{m+2p+q+3j+2l}\} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(1+2\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (2.55)$$

Hence the desired estimate (2.50) holds after combining the obtained estimates (2.51) and the above estimate (2.55). $\square$

Lemma 2.6. For any $t \in [0, T]$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, we have

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m/2+j+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{-m-2l}\} + 2^{-10M_t} (\|E(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}). \quad (2.56)$$

Proof. Recall (2.28), and the detailed formulas of $E_{S;j,l}^{m,i}(t, s, x)$ and $B_{S;j,l}^{m,i}(t, s, x)$ in (2.28) and the detailed formula of $EB^1(t, s, x, \omega, v)$ (2.24). Due to the cylindrical symmetry, without loss of generality, we assumed that $x = (|\tilde{x}|, 0, x_3)$. Moreover, in terms of spherical coordinates, we have $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$.

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, after localizing the size of $t-s$, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{E, B\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, s, x)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-2l} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right. \\ &\quad \times [|E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) - \omega \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)|^2 + |\omega \cdot B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)|^2] d\omega dv \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) d\omega dv \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

From the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2 and the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, the following estimate holds if $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, s, x)| \lesssim 2^{-j-2l} 2^{(3j+2l)/2+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{2^{m+2l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \min\{2^{m/2+j+(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{-m-2l}\}. \quad (2.58)$$

Moreover, by using the volume of support of v, ω , the following estimate holds if $m = -10M_t$,

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, s, x)| \lesssim 2^{2m-j-2l} 2^{3j+2l} (\|E(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}) \lesssim 2^{-10M_t} (\|E(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}).$$

Hence the desired estimate (2.56) holds from the above two estimates. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. For any $t \in [0, T]$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, we have

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+5\epsilon)M_t} |\tilde{x}|^{-1/2} + 2^{-10M_t} \|B(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}. \quad (2.59)$$

Moreover, we have

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t+6\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{-8M_t} (\|E(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}). \quad (2.60)$$

Proof. Due to the cylindrical symmetry, without loss of generality, we assumed that $x = (|\tilde{x}|, 0, x_3)$. Moreover, in terms of spherical coordinates, we have $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$.

Recall (2.28) and (2.26). Note that, the associated coefficient of $\mathbf{P}(EB^2(t, s, x, \omega, v))$ is better than the associated coefficient of $\mathbf{P}_3(EB^2(t, s, x, \omega, v))$. Moreover, we observe that “ ∂_{v_3} ” derivative of the symbol is better than the $\nabla_{\tilde{v}}$ derivative of the symbol in $U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)$. Thanks to this observation, after doing dyadic decomposition for the size of $\sin \theta$ and ϕ , the following estimate holds as a result of direct computations,

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x), \quad (2.61)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}}(t-s) |B(s, |\tilde{x}| + (t-s) \sin \theta \cos \phi, (t-s) \sin \theta \sin \phi, x_3 + (t-s) \cos \theta)| \\ &\quad \times f(s, |\tilde{x}| + (t-s) \sin \theta \cos \phi, (t-s) \sin \theta \sin \phi, x_3 + (t-s) \cos \theta, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

By using the volume of support, the following rough estimate holds,

$$\|I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|B(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} 2^{2m+2p+q} 2^{-j-l} 2^{3j+2l}. \quad (2.63)$$

From the above estimate, we can rule out the case either m or p or q equals $-10M_t$.

Now, it remains to consider the fixed m, p, q s.t., $(m, p, q) \in ((-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z})^3$. After using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \left(\int_{t-2^m}^{t-2^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi |B(s, |\tilde{x}| + (t-s) \sin \theta \cos \phi, (t-s) \sin \theta \sin \phi, x_3 + (t-s) \cos \theta)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds \Big)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t-2^m}^{t-2^{m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) f(s, |\not{x}| + (t-s) \sin \theta \cos \phi, (t-s) \sin \theta \sin \phi, x_3 + (t-s) \cos \theta, v) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds)^{1/2}. \quad (2.64)$$

From the estimate of the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.53), the volume of support of v, ω , the estimate (1.15), the conservation law in (1.3), the fact that $|\not{v}|/|v| \sim 2^p$ if $p \geq l+10$, and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{v}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \left(\frac{2^m \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\}}{2^{2m+p+q} |\not{x}|} \right)^{1/2} (2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{m+\max\{l,p\}+p/2} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{-j-l} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 4\epsilon)M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{m+p/2+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 4\epsilon)M_t} |\not{x}|^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (2.59).

Note that, if $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$, then the following estimate holds from the obtained estimate (2.65),

$$|I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{m+p/2+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 4\epsilon)M_t} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} \leq 2^{m/2+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 4\epsilon)M_t}.$$

For the case $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$, we have $|\not{x}| + (t-s)\not{v} \sim 2^{m+p}$. Recall the G-S decomposition in Lemma 2.3. From the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, the point-wise estimate (2.50) in Lemma 2.5, (2.56) in Lemma 2.6, the estimate (2.59) in Lemma 2.7, the estimate in (1.15) if $|\not{v}| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \left[2^{(1+\tilde{\alpha}_t + 5\epsilon)M_t} + \frac{2^{2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 5\epsilon)M_t}}{(2^{m+p})^{1/2}} + 2^{-9M_t} (\|E(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}) \right] \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{-m-j-2l}, 2^{2m+2p} \min\{2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + 5\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.66)$$

If $p \leq l+10$, then from the above obtained estimate, we have

$$|I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{(1+\tilde{\alpha}_t + 5\epsilon)M_t} 2^{p/2}.$$

If $p \geq l+10$, we have $|\not{v}| \sim 2^{j+p}$. From the obtained estimate (2.66) and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{v}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{-j-l-m/2+p/2} (2^{-m-j-2l})^{1/4} (\min\{2^{2m+2p+3j+2l}, 2^{2m+2l+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\})^{3/4} [2^{(1+\tilde{\alpha}_t + 5\epsilon)M_t} \\ &\quad + 2^{-9M_t} (\|E(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty})] \lesssim 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t + 6\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{-8M_t} (\|E(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (2.60) holds from the above estimates and the estimates (2.61) and (2.63). $\square$

Lastly, we give a postponed proof of the Proposition 2.1 as follows.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Recall (2.10). From the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, the estimate (2.50) in Lemma 2.5, the estimate (2.56) in Lemma 2.6, and the estimate (2.60) in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} \|U(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|U_{j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{-6M_t} \left(\sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} \|U(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} \right) + 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t + 6\epsilon)M_t} \\ &\implies \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} \|U(s, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} + \|U_{j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L_{[0,t]}^\infty L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t + 6\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.67)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (2.30). $\square$

2.3. A dichotomy for the localized magnetic field. Note that, the L_x^∞ -estimate of the electromagnetic field obtained in Proposition 2.1 is rather rough. The main goal of this subsection is to improve our understanding on the L_x^∞ -estimate of the electromagnetic field.

The main observation is that the L_x^2 -norm and the L_x^∞ -norm of the localized magnetic field can not be very big at the same time. For example, the main enemy of the L^∞ -estimate are the localized pieces of the magnetic field with $v \sim 2^j$, $\angle(v, -\omega) \sim 2^{-j}$, $j \approx (1+2\epsilon)M_t$. From the estimate (2.96) in Lemma 2.9, we observe that the L^2 -norm of those enemy are very small.

With this intuition, the main goal of this subsection is to give a dichotomy for the localized magnetic field. We show that either the L^∞ -estimate of the localized magnetic field is improved if comparing with the rough estimate obtained in Proposition 2.1 or the geometric mean of the L^∞ -norm and the L^2 -norm is not very big.

We remark that, due to the decomposition of the electromagnetic field in (2.24), we only use the dichotomy scheme for the estimate of $EB^2(t, s, x + (t-s)\omega, \omega, v)$, which only depends on the magnetic field, see (2.26). For conciseness of presentation, we only present the dichotomy for the localized magnetic field in this paper. Similar dichotomy for the localized electric field is also available but not pursued here.

For clarity, we define the following sets of parameters,

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \mathcal{S}(t) &:= \{(m, k, j, l) : m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}\}, \\
\mathcal{T}_0(t) &:= \{(m, k, j, l) : k \leq 20M_t, j \geq (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t\} \cup \{(m, k, j, l) : k \leq 20M_t, m = -10M_t\}, \\
\mathcal{T}_1(t) &:= \{(m, k, j, l) : m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m + k \leq -2(1 + 2\epsilon)l + \epsilon M_t, m + l \leq -(1 + 100\epsilon)M_t, k \leq 20M_t\}, \\
\mathcal{T}_2(t) &:= \{(m, k, j, l) : m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, m + k \geq -2(1 + 2\epsilon)l + \epsilon M_t, m + j + 2l \geq 0, k \leq 20M_t\}, \\
\mathcal{S}_1(t) &:= \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0,1,2} \mathcal{T}_i(t) \right), \quad \mathcal{S}_2(t) := \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0,1,2} \mathcal{T}_i(t) \right)^c. \quad (2.68)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to state the main result of this subsection.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$\sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{11\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}). \quad (2.69)$$

$$\sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}). \quad (2.70)$$

Proof. For better presentation, we postpone the proof of this proposition to the end of this subsection. $\square$

In the following Lemma, we show that the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field can be improved if $m + j + 2l \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.8. *For any $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, the following rough estimates hold for $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$|U_{j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}). \quad (2.71)$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $\not x \neq 0$, we have

$$|U(t, x)| + |U_{j,l}^m(t, x)| \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/4} 2^{5M_t/4 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4 + 5\epsilon M_t} + 2^{5\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) |\not x|^{-1/2} + 1. \quad (2.72)$$

Proof. Recall the G-S decomposition in (2.12) in Lemma 2.3 and the decomposition (2.27). Note that, after combining the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, the estimate (2.50) in Lemma 2.5, the estimate (2.56) in Lemma 2.6, and the estimate (2.59) in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_{0;j,l}^m(t, x)| + |U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| + |U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 + 2j/3} + 2^j) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}), \\
|U_{0;j,l}^m(t, x)| + |U_{T;j,l}^m(t, x)| + |U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) |\not x|^{-1/2} + 1. \quad (2.73)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it would be sufficient to estimate $U_{S;j,l}^{m;2}(t, x)$ for the proof of the desired estimate (2.71) and estimate $U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, x)$ for the proof of the desired estimate (2.72).

Due to the cylindrical symmetry, without loss of generality, we assumed that $x = (|\not x|, 0, x_3)$. Moreover, in terms of spherical coordinates, we have $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$. Recall (2.61). From the obtained estimate (2.63), we know that it would be sufficient to consider the case m, p, q are fixed s.t., $(m, p, q) \in ((-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z})^3$.

Moreover, note that, if $|\not x| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$, then from the obtained estimate (2.65), we have

$$|I_{k;j,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{m+p/2+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2} dy \lesssim 2^{2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t} \quad (2.74)$$

Therefore, it would be sufficient to consider the case $|\not x| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$. For this case, we have $|\not x + (t-s)\omega| \sim (t-s)|\not x| \sim 2^{m+p}$.

Recall (2.62). After using the G-S decomposition in (2.12) in Lemma 2.3 and the decomposition (2.27) for the magnetic field, we have

$$|I_{j;l}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim I_{j;l;0}^{m;p,q}(t, x) + I_{j;l;1}^{m;p,q}(t, x) + I_{j;l;2}^{m;p,q}(t, x), \quad (2.75)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{j;l;0}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \left[\sum_{\tilde{j} \in [(1+2\epsilon)M_t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, \tilde{l} \in [-\tilde{j}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{m} \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |B_{\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, x + (t-s)\omega) \right. \\
&\quad \times f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds. \quad (2.76)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{j;l;1}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \left[\sum_{\substack{\tilde{j} \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, \tilde{l} \in [-\tilde{j}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \tilde{m} \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} |B_{0;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + B_{T;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, x + (t-s)\omega)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |B_{S;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m};2}(s, x + (t-s)\omega)| \right] f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \\
&\quad \times \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds. \tag{2.77}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{j;l;2}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{j} \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, \tilde{l} \in [-\tilde{j}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \tilde{m} \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} |B_{S;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m};1}(s, x + (t-s)\omega)| f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \\
&\quad \times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds. \tag{2.78}
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $I_{j;l;0}^{m;p,q}(t, x)$.

Recall (2.76). From the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$|I_{j;l;0}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l} 2^{2m+3j+2l} 2^{-9M_t+(3+10\epsilon)M_t} \lesssim 1. \tag{2.79}$$

- The estimate of $I_{j;l;1}^{m;p,q}(t, x)$.

Recall (2.77). From the estimate (2.73), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{j;l;1}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &\lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) 2^{-m/2-p/2} \min\{2^{-m-j-2l}, 2^{2m+2p} 2^{3j+2l}\} \lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}+5\epsilon M_t} \\
&\quad \times (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) 2^{-m/2-p/2} \min\{(2^{-m-j-2l})^{5/6} (2^{2m+2p} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/6}, (2^{-m-j-2l})^{1/2} (2^{2m+2p} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2}\} \\
&\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) \min\{2^{-m} 2^{-4j/3-7l/3+\max\{l,p\}-p/6}, 2^{-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{p/2}\} \\
&\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}). \tag{2.80}
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $I_{j;l;2}^{m;p,q}(t, x)$ and $U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, x)$.

Recall (2.28), (2.25), and (2.78). After doing dyadic decomposition for the size of $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \phi$ and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$|U_{S;j,l}^{m;1}(t, x)| \lesssim \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} U_{j;l}^{m;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}(t, x), \quad I_{j;l;2}^{m;p,q}(t, x) \lesssim \sum_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t, x) \tag{2.81}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{j;l}^{m;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}(t, x) &:= 2^{m-j-2l} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) [|E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) - \omega \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)|^2 + |\omega \cdot B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)|^2] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) d\omega dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{\tilde{p};-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{\tilde{q};-10M_t}(\sin \phi) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) d\omega dv \right)^{1/2}, \\
I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{j} \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, \tilde{l} \in [-\tilde{j}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \tilde{m} \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} |B_{\tilde{j};\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m};\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}(s, x + (t-s)\omega)| f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \\
&\quad \times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[j-1,j+1]}(v) \varphi_{[l-2,l+2];-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv ds. \tag{2.82}
\end{aligned}$$

As before, the case either $\tilde{m} = -10M_t$, or $\tilde{p} = -10M_t$, or $\tilde{q} = -10M_t$ is trivial, it would be sufficient to consider the case $\tilde{m}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$ are fixed s.t., $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in ((-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z})^3$.

Note that, $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^{\tilde{p}}$ if $\tilde{p} \geq l + 10$. From this fact, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_{j;l}^{m;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-2l} (2^{-2m+3j+2l})^{1/2} \left(\min\{2^{-m-2\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}} |\phi|^{-1-2j}, 2^{m+2\tilde{p}+\tilde{q}+3j+2l}\} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{j-l/2+\tilde{p}/4+2\epsilon M_t} \\
&\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-\tilde{p}/4+M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2}, 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}\}. \tag{2.83}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (2.82). From the obtained estimate (2.83), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω and v , we have

$$|I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{-m/4-p/4} 2^{-\tilde{p}/4+M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2+6\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m-j-2l}, 2^{2m+2p} 2^{3j+2l}\}. \tag{2.84}$$

Based on the possible size of $\tilde{p}$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $\tilde{p} \geq p - \epsilon M_t$.

From the obtained estimate (2.84), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t,x)| &\lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{-m/4-p/2+M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2+6.25\epsilon M_t} (2^{-m-j-2l})^{3/4} (2^{2m+2p} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/4} \\ &\lesssim 2^{M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2+7\epsilon M_t} (2^{-m-j-2l}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.85)$$

⊕ If $\tilde{p} \leq p - \epsilon M_t$.

From the above estimate, the obtained estimate (2.82), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of v , the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t,x)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l,p\}} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} 2^{\tilde{j}/2-\tilde{l}} 2^{(3j+2l)/2+\epsilon M_t} \left(\int_0^t \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\tau, x + (t-s)\omega + (s-\tau)\tilde{\omega}, u) \right. \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{\tilde{p};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\theta}) \varphi_{\tilde{q};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\phi}) \varphi_{\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(u, \tilde{\omega}) d\omega d\tilde{\omega} du ds d\tau \Big)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.86)$$

After doing dyadic decomposition for the size of s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\tau, x + (t-s)\omega + (s-\tau)\tilde{\omega}, u) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{\tilde{p};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\theta}) \varphi_{\tilde{q};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\phi}) \\ &\times \varphi_{\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(u, \tilde{\omega}) d\omega d\tilde{\omega} du ds d\tau \lesssim \sum_{\kappa \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} H_\kappa(t, x), \quad H_\kappa(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\tau, x + (t-s)\omega + (s-\tau)\tilde{\omega}, u) \\ &\times \varphi_{\kappa;-10M_t}(s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{\tilde{p};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\theta}) \varphi_{\tilde{q};-10M_t}(\sin \tilde{\phi}) \varphi_{\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(u, \tilde{\omega}) d\omega d\tilde{\omega} du ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.87)$$

From the volume of support of $H_\kappa(t, x)$, we have

$$|H_\kappa(t, x)| \lesssim 2^{2\kappa+2\tilde{p}+2p+3\tilde{j}+2\tilde{l}+5\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.88)$$

From the above estimate, we can rule out the case $\kappa = -10M_t$.

Moreover, for any $\kappa \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\phi, \theta, s) \rightarrow x + (t-s)\omega + (s-\tau)\tilde{\omega}$ can be estimated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} &|det \left(\begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi - \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\phi} & \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\phi} & \cos \theta - \cos \tilde{\theta} \\ -s \sin \theta \sin \phi & s \sin \theta \cos \phi & 0 \\ s \cos \theta \cos \phi & s \cos \theta \sin \phi & -s \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} \right)| \\ &= s^2 \sin \theta [1 - \cos(\theta - \tilde{\theta}) + \sin \theta \sin \tilde{\theta} (1 - \cos(\phi - \tilde{\phi}))] \gtrsim s^2 \sin \theta |1 - \cos(\theta - \tilde{\theta})|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, as $\tilde{p} \leq p - \epsilon M_t$, we have $|\theta - \tilde{\theta}| \sim 2^p$. From the above estimate of Jacobian, the volume of support of $\tilde{\omega}$, and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$|H_\kappa(t, x)| \lesssim \int_0^t 2^{-2\kappa-2p+2\tilde{p}-\tilde{j}} \psi_{\leq \kappa+2}(\tau) d\tau \lesssim 2^{-\kappa-\tilde{j}}. \quad (2.89)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (2.86), (2.87), (2.88), and (2.89), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t,x)| &\lesssim 2^{-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{\tilde{j}/2-\tilde{l}} \left(\sum_{\kappa \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \min\{2^{2\kappa+2\tilde{p}+2p+3\tilde{j}+2\tilde{l}+5\epsilon M_t}, 2^{-\kappa-\tilde{j}}\} \mathbf{1}_{\kappa \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} + 2^{-10M_t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{2\tilde{j}/3-2\tilde{l}/3} 2^{p/3+\tilde{p}/3+3\epsilon M_t} + 1 \lesssim 2^{-l+\max\{l,p\}} 2^{4M_t/3+p/3+\tilde{p}/3+5\epsilon M_t} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimate and the obtained estimate (2.84), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{j;l;\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}^{m;p,q}(t,x)| &\lesssim \min\{2^{-m/4-p/4} 2^{-\tilde{p}/4+M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2} 2^{6\epsilon M_t} 2^{-m-2j-3l}, 2^{-l+4M_t/3+p/3+\tilde{p}/3+5\epsilon M_t}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4+6\epsilon M_t} 2^{-m-j-2l} \end{aligned} \quad (2.90)$$

Hence, after combining the obtained estimates (2.81), (2.85), and (2.90), we have

$$I_{j;l;2}^{m;p,q}(t,x) \lesssim 2^{7\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) 2^{-m-j-2l}. \quad (2.91)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate holds after combining the obtained estimates (2.73), (2.61), (2.74), (2.75), (2.79), (2.80), and the above estimate (2.91).

Recall the decomposition of the electromagnetic field in (2.10), (2.12), and (2.27). Our desired estimate (2.72) holds from the obtained estimates (2.73), (2.81), and (2.83).

□

Now, we consider the L^2 -estimate of the localized magnetic field for the case $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Recall (2.10), in which we have $t - s \sim 2^m$. From the stationary phase point of view, we know that the angle between ω and ξ in $B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)$ are localized within the size of $2^{\max\{-m-k-l, -m/2-k/2\}+\epsilon M_t}$. More precisely, we have

$$B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x) = B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x) + B_{k;j,l}^{err;m}(t, x), \quad (2.92)$$

where $\forall \star \in \{ess, err\}$, we have

$$B_{k;j,l}^{\star;m}(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{i(x+(t-s)\omega) \cdot \xi} (t-s) i(\hat{v} \times \xi) \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{m,k,l}^{\star}(\omega, \xi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds \quad (2.93)$$

where the cutoff functions $\varphi_{m,k,l}^{\star}(\omega, \xi)$, $\star \in \{ess, err\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\varphi_{m,k,l}^{ess}(\omega, \xi) = \psi_{\leq \tilde{c}(m,k,l)}(\omega \times \tilde{\xi}), \quad \varphi_{m,k,l}^{err}(\omega, \xi) = \psi_{> \tilde{c}(m,k,l)}(\omega \times \tilde{\xi}), \quad (2.94)$$

where $\tilde{c}(m, k, l) := \max\{-m-k-l, -m/2-k/2\} + \epsilon^2(M_t + k_+)$. Recall (2.7). Note that, by doing integration by parts in ω once, we gain at least $2^{-\epsilon^2(M_t + k_+)}$ for the error type term $B_{k;j,l}^{err;m}(t, x)$. After doing integration by parts in ω many times, e.g., ϵ^{-3} times,

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^{err;m}(t, x)\|_{L^\infty \cap L^2} \lesssim 2^{-100(M_t + k_+)} 2^{4k} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-nj+(n-1)M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{-100M_t-k} (1 + 2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)M_t})^{-10}. \quad (2.95)$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of the essential part $B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)$. We first consider the case $k \in [0, 20M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $m + k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_t$.

Lemma 2.9 (L^2 -estimate of the localized magnetic field). *Let $t \in [0, T)$, $k \in [0, 20M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $m + k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_t$. Then the following L^2 -type estimate holds,*

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{m+j+2l+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{-100M_t}. \quad (2.96)$$

Proof. Note that, for the case we are considering, we have $\tilde{c}(m, k, l) = -m/2 - k/2 + \epsilon^2(M_t + k_+) \leq l - \epsilon M_t/3$. Recall (2.92) and (2.93). Similar to what we did in (2.104), from the volume of support of v, ω , and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sup_{g \in L^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) |(\hat{v} \times \xi)| |\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}| |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)| \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m,k,l}^{ess}(\omega, \xi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \right. \\ &\quad \times \varphi_k(\xi) d\omega dv ds \Big| \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \sup_{g \in L^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} 2^{2m+k+l} 2^{2\tilde{c}(m,k,l)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)| \varphi_k(\xi) \psi_{[l-2, l+2]}(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\xi}) |\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}| \varphi_j(v) dv d\xi \\ &\lesssim 2^{m+l+\epsilon M_t} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)|^2 \varphi_j(v) dv d\xi \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{m+j+2l+\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.97)$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (2.95), our desired estimate (2.96) holds. $\square$

Now, we consider the case $k \in [0, 20M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $m + k \leq -2l + \epsilon M_t$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $t \in [0, T)$, $k \in [0, 20M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $m + k \leq -2l + \epsilon M_t$. If $m + l \geq -M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, then the following estimate holds,*

$$(\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^\infty})^{1/2} (\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^2})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{M_t/2+10\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.98)$$

If $m + l \leq -M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$ then we have

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t - 50\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.99)$$

Proof. Recall (2.93). In terms of kernel, we have

$$|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} K_{m,k,l}(y, v, \omega) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega) \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \quad (2.100)$$

where the kernel $K_{m,k,l}(y, v)$ is defined as follows,

$$K_{m,k,l}(y, \omega, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} i(\hat{v} \times \xi) \varphi_{m,k,l}^{ess}(\omega, \xi) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.101)$$

By doing integration by parts in ξ along v direction and directions perpendicular to v many times, we have

$$|K_{m,k,l}(y, \omega)| \lesssim 2^{4k+3\tilde{c}(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_t} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+\tilde{c}(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-100}, \quad (2.102)$$

where

Recall (2.92) and (2.100). From the estimate of kernel in (2.102), the conservation law in (1.3), and the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\emptyset| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)| &\lesssim 2^{2m+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4k+3(-m-k-l)}2^{2l-j}, 2^{k+(-m-k-l)}2^{2l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m+k-l-j}, 2^{m+l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m+k-l-j}, 2^{m+l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{2M_t/3+4\alpha_t M_t/3+2\epsilon M_t}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.103)$$

Recall (2.93). From the volume of support of v, ω , and the conservation law (1.3), we also have the following L^2 -type estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sup_{g \in L^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) |(\hat{v} \times \xi)| |\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}| |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)| \varphi_{m,k,l}^{ess}(\omega, \xi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_k(\xi) \right. \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega) d\omega dv ds \Big| \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \sup_{g \in L^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} 2^{2m+k+2l+\tilde{c}(m,k,l)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)| \varphi_k(\xi) \psi_{\leq \tilde{c}(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\xi}) |\overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}| \varphi_j(v) dv d\xi \\ &\lesssim 2^{2m+k+2l} 2^{\tilde{c}(m,k,l)+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{3j+2\tilde{c}(m,k,l)})^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}(s, \xi, v)|^2 \varphi_j(v) dv d\xi \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-k+j+20\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.104)$$

Based on the possible size of $m+l$, we split into two cases as follows.

- If $m+l \geq -M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$.

From the obtained estimates (2.103) and (2.104), we know that

$$(\|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)\|_{L^\infty})^{1/2} (\|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)\|_{L^2})^{1/2} \lesssim (2^{20\epsilon M_t} 2^{-m+k-l-j})^{1/2} (2^{-k+j+20\epsilon M_t})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{100\epsilon M_t + M_t/2}.$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (2.95), and the rough estimate in (2.103), our desired estimate (2.98) holds.

- If $m+l \leq -M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$.

From the obtained estimate (2.103), we have

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^{ess;m}(t, x)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t - 50\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.105)$$

Therefore, our desired estimate (2.99) holds after combining the above estimate, the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, and the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Lastly, we estimate the very high frequency case, i.e., $k \in [20M_t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.11. *For any $t \in [0, T)$, $k \in [20M_t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-(1-3\epsilon)k+4j+20\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.106)$$

Proof. Recall (2.29) and the decomposition of $B_{j,l}^m(t, x)$ in (2.12). After localizing the size of $t-s$ further with threshold $-k$, we have

$$\|B_{k;j,l}^m(t, x)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sup_{g \in L^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} |J_{m,k;j,l}^0(g)(t)| + \left[\sum_{m' \in [-k, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |J_{m,k;j,l}^{T;m'}(g)(t)| + |J_{m,k;j,l}^{S;m'}(g)(t)| \right], \quad (2.107)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k;j,l}^0(g)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{g(x)} B_{0;j,l}^m(t, x) dx, \quad J_{m,k;j,l}^{S;m'}(g)(t) := \int_0^t \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} e^{i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{F}(f(E + \hat{v} \times B))(s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{\hat{v} \times \omega \varphi_{j,l}(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{m';-k}(t-s) d\omega d\xi dv ds, \\ J_{m,k;j,l}^{T;m'}(g)(t) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} e^{i(t-s)\omega \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \omega_{j,l}^{m,b}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{m';-k}(t-s) d\omega d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.108)$$

Recall (2.15). We only need to consider the case $m = \epsilon M_t$ for the estimate of $J_{k;j,l}^0(g)(t)$. Similar to what we did in (2.92), from the stationary phase point of view, we know that the angle between ξ and ω is localized around the size of $c(m, k, l) := \max\{-m-k-l, -m/2-k/2\} + \epsilon^2(M_t + k_+)$. More precisely, for the error type term, we do integration by parts in ω many times, which gives us a similar estimate as in (2.95). For the main part, we use the volume of support of ω and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. As a result, we have

$$|J_{k;j,l}^0(g)(t)| \lesssim 2^{-k+2\epsilon k+2\epsilon M_t} 2^{-j-2l} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(0, x, v) \varphi_j(v) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} + 2^{-10M_t-10k} \lesssim 2^{-(1-2\epsilon)k+10\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.109)$$

Now, we estimate the T part and the S part. Note that, from the explicit formula of the coefficient $\omega_{j;a}^{m,b}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, in (2.18), we have

$$|\omega_{j;a}^{m,b}(t-s, v, \omega)| \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \lesssim 2^{-l}.$$

Following the same stationary phase type argument, from the volume of support of ω of the main part, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{m,k;j,l}^{S;m'}(g)(t)| + |J_{m,k;j,l}^{T;m'}(g)(t)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} 2^{m'-k+2\epsilon k+2\epsilon M_t} 2^{-j-2l} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U(s, x) f(s, x, v) \varphi_j(v) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} \\ &+ 2^{m'-l} 2^{-m'-k+2\epsilon k+2\epsilon M_t} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x, v) \varphi_j(v) dv \right\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim 2^{-(1-2\epsilon)k+4j+20\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.110)$$

To sum up, recall (2.107), our desired estimate (2.106) holds from the above estimates (2.109) and (2.110). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.2.

Recall the decomposition in (2.29) and (2.12). We first prove the desired estimate. Recall the index set (2.68) and the G-S decomposition (2.3). From the volume of support of v, ω , the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, and the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, the following estimate holds if $(m, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \mathcal{T}_0(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \mathcal{T}_0(t)} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-5j} \right) + 1 + 2^{20\epsilon M_t} (2^{2m+(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t)M_t+3j} \\ &+ 2^{m+3j}) \mathbf{1}_{m=-10M_t, j \leq (1+2\epsilon)M_t} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the desired estimate (2.69) holds from the above obtained estimate, the estimate (2.99) in Lemma 2.10 for the case $(m, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \mathcal{T}_1(t)$ and the estimate (2.71) in Proposition 2.8 for the case $(m, k, j, l) \in \mathcal{S}(t) \cap \mathcal{T}_2(t)$.

Now we focus on the proof of the desired estimate (2.70). Based on the possible size of k , we split into two cases as follows.

- If $k \leq 20M_t$.

From the obtained estimate (2.96) in Lemma 2.9 and the estimate (2.71) in Proposition 2.8, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2(1+2\epsilon)l + \epsilon M_t$, $m + j + 2l < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim (2^{m+j+2l+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{-100M_t})^{1/2} (2^{10\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}))^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (2.98) in Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\sum_{k \leq 20M_t, (m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{8\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}). \quad (2.111)$$

- If $k \geq 20M_t$.

From the estimate (2.106) in Lemma 2.11, the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, and the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t), k \geq 20M_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;j,l}^m(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 20M_t} 2^{-k/3+5M_t} \lesssim 1.$$

Hence finishing the desired estimate (2.69). $\square$

2.4. A singular weighted space-time estimate for the distribution function. The main goal of this subsection is to show the validity of the estimate (2.112) in Lemma 2.12, which will play an essential role in the later estimate of the elliptic part in section 7. Intuitively speaking, this estimate provides better control than the conservation law (1.3) if $|\not{x}|$ is small and $|\not{p}|/|v|$ is close to one.

This type of singular weighted space-time estimate of the distribution function and the idea of its proof is same as what we introduced in the study of the Vlasov-Poisson system in [22]. Due to the more complicated hyperbolic structure of the acceleration force, without trying too hard, the obtained estimate in (2.112) not as good as the one in [22][Proposition 3.1]. However, the rough estimate is good enough for our purpose. Interested readers are referred to [22] for more details.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\epsilon^* := \epsilon/100$. For any $t \in [0, T], n \in (-\infty, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following estimate holds,*

$$A_n(t) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\psi|^{2+2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{F}|^{1-2\epsilon^*} \langle v \rangle} f(s, x, v) \psi_n\left(\frac{|\psi|}{|v|}\right) dx dv ds \lesssim 2^{-4n+10\epsilon M_t}. \quad (2.112)$$

Proof. For the sake of readers, we record the construction of weighted function used in [22] in details as follows,

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 2 & x \in [2, \infty) \\ 2 + (x-2)^3 & x \in [1, 2] \\ x^3 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & x \in (-\infty, 0] \end{cases}, \quad \phi_l(x) := \phi(2^{-l}x). \quad (2.113)$$

From the above explicit formula of cutoff function, we have

$$\phi'(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty), \quad \left| \frac{x\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} \right| \lesssim 1, \quad \phi'_l(x) := 2^{-l}\phi'(2^{-l}x), \quad \left| \frac{x\phi'_l(x)}{\phi_l(x)} \right| \lesssim 1. \quad (2.114)$$

For $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, we choose a weight function as follows,

$$\omega_\mu(x, v) := (\mu|\psi||\mathcal{F}|\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) + (\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2)^{\epsilon^*} \phi(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|} + \frac{1}{2}). \quad (2.115)$$

As a result of direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{F}} \omega_\mu(x, v) &= \epsilon^* (\mu|\psi||\mathcal{F}|\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) + (\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2)^{\epsilon^*-1} \phi(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|} + \frac{1}{2}) [\mu|\mathcal{F}||\psi|^3 (1 + \frac{(\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi)^2}{|\mathcal{F}|^2 |\psi|^2}) \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) \\ &\quad + |\psi||\mathcal{F}|\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi \phi'_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) \frac{(\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2}{|\mathcal{F}|^3 |\psi|}] + (\mu|\psi||\mathcal{F}|\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) + (\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2)^{\epsilon^*} \phi'(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|} + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2}{|\mathcal{F}|^3 |\psi|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.116)$$

From the above equality, we have

$$\mu \psi \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{F}} \omega_\mu(x, v) \gtrsim \frac{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|^3 \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|})}{(\mu|\psi||\mathcal{F}|\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) + (\mathcal{F} \times \psi)^2)^{1-\epsilon^*}} \gtrsim \frac{|\psi|^{1+2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{F}|^{1-2\epsilon^*}} \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) \geq 0. \quad (2.117)$$

Define

$$I_n^\mu(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi| \omega_\mu(x, v) f(t, x, v) \psi_n\left(\frac{|\psi|}{|v|}\right) dx dv. \quad (2.118)$$

As a result of direct computation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_n^\mu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi| \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x (\omega_\mu(x, v)) \psi_n\left(\frac{|\psi|}{|v|}\right) f(t, x, v) + (E(t, x) + \hat{v} \times B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_v (|\psi| \omega_\mu(x, v) \psi_n\left(\frac{|\psi|}{|v|}\right)) f(t, x, v) dx dv.$$

From the above equality and the estimate (2.117), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\psi|^{2+2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{F}|^{1-2\epsilon^*} \langle v \rangle} \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) dx dv ds \right| \\ & \lesssim |I_n^\mu(t)| + |I_n^\mu(0)| + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (E(t, x) + \hat{v} \times B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_v (|\psi| \omega_\mu(x, v) \psi_n\left(\frac{|\psi|}{|v|}\right)) f(t, x, v) dx dv ds \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.119)$$

Note that, from the estimate (1.8), and the conversation law (1.3), we have

$$\forall s \in [0, t], \quad |I_n^\mu(t)| \lesssim 1 + \int_{|x| \leq 2M_t} \int_{|v| \leq 2^{2M_t}} |\mathcal{F}|^{2\epsilon^*} |\psi|^{1+2\epsilon^*} f(t, x, v) dx dv \lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t}, \quad (2.120)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\psi|^{2+2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{F}|^{1-2\epsilon^*} \langle v \rangle} (1 - \phi_{-10M_t}(\frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) - \phi_{-10M_t}(-\frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|})) f(s, x, v) dx dv ds \\ & \lesssim 1 + \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq 2M_t} \int_{|v| \leq 2^{2M_t}} \frac{|\psi|^{2+2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{F}|^{1-2\epsilon^*} \langle v \rangle} (1 - \phi_{-10M_t}(\frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|}) - \phi_{-10M_t}(-\frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \psi}{|\mathcal{F}||\psi|})) f(s, x, v) dx dv ds \\ & \lesssim 1 + 2^{-10M_t+8M_t+10\epsilon M_t} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.121)$$

Lastly, we estimate the contribution from the nonlinear effect. As a result of direct computation, recall (2.115), from the estimate (2.114), the following estimate holds for any $(x, v) \in \text{supp}(\phi(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{1}{2})) \cup \text{supp}(\phi'(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{1}{2}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu |\mathcal{P}| |\mathcal{F}| \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P} \phi_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|}) + (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{P})^2 &\sim |\mathcal{F}|^2 |\mathcal{P}|^2, \quad |\nabla_v \omega_\mu(x, v)| \lesssim \phi(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{1}{2}) (|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|)^{2\epsilon^* - 2} [|\mathcal{F}|^2 |\mathcal{P}| \\ &+ |\mathcal{F}| \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P} \phi'_{-10M_t}(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|})] \frac{\mathcal{F}}{|\mathcal{F}|} - \frac{(\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}) \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|^2} \|\cdot\| + \phi'(\mu \frac{\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{P}}{|\mathcal{F}| |\mathcal{P}|} + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{|\mathcal{F}|^{2\epsilon^*} |\mathcal{P}|^{2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{P}|} \lesssim \frac{|\mathcal{F}|^{2\epsilon^*}}{|\mathcal{P}|^{1-2\epsilon^*}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.122)$$

Recall (1.9). Note that

$$|x| - |X(x, v, 0, t)| \leq 2^{\epsilon M_t}, \implies |x| \sim |X(x, v, 0, t)|, \quad \text{if } |x| \gtrsim 2^{2\epsilon M_t}.$$

From the result of the above estimates, the following estimate holds after localizing the sizes of $|v|$ and using the estimate (1.8), the decay rate of initial data in (1.5), and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (E(t, x) + \hat{v} \times B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_v (|\mathcal{P}| \omega_\mu(x, v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|})) f(t, x, v) dx dv ds \right| \lesssim 1 + \sum_{j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{\epsilon M_t} H_j^n(t), \quad (2.123)$$

where

$$H_j^n(t) := \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq 2^{M_t/2}} \int_{|v| \leq 2^{(1+2\epsilon)M_t}} (|E(s, x)| + |B(s, x)|) f(s, x, v) \varphi_j(v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) dx dv ds. \quad (2.124)$$

For any fixed $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, we do dyadic decomposition for the frequency of the distribution function. As a result, after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$|H_j^n(t)| \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |H_{j,k}^n(t)|, \quad H_{j,k}^n(t) := \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_j(v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) \varphi_j(v) dv dy \right)^2 dx ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where the definition of kernel $K_k(y)$ and its point-wise estimate are given as follows,

$$K_k(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi, \implies |K_k(y)| \lesssim 2^{3k} (1 + 2^k |y|)^{-1/\epsilon^*}.$$

Note that, from the above estimate of kernel, the duality of L_x^2 and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{j,k}^n(t)| &\lesssim \sup_{g \in L_x^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} \left| \int_0^t \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{g(x)} K_k(y) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_j(v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) \varphi_j(v) dv dy dx ds \right| + 2^{-10M_t} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{g \in L_x^2, \|g\|_{L^2}=1} 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k+2\epsilon M_t} \left| \int_0^t \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{g(x)} K_k(y) \frac{f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_j(v)}{|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}|^{1-2\epsilon^*}} \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) \varphi_j(v) dv dy dx ds \right| \\ &+ 2^{-10M_t} \lesssim 2^{3k/2} 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k+2\epsilon M_t} 2^{-2(j+n)+j} A_n(t) + 2^{-10M_t} \lesssim 2^{k/2+2\epsilon^*k+2\epsilon M_t-j-2n} A_n(t) + 2^{-10M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.125)$$

Alternatively, from the volume of support of v and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{j,k}^n(t)| &\lesssim (2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_j(v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) \varphi_j(v) dv dy dx ds \right)^{1/2} + 2^{-10M_t} \\ &\lesssim (2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k/2+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_k(y) \frac{f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_j(v)}{|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}|^{1-2\epsilon^*}} \varphi_j(v) \psi_n(\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{|v|}) \varphi_j(v) dv dy dx ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ 2^{-10M_t} \lesssim (2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k/2+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2(j+n)+j} A_n(t))^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k/2+2\epsilon M_t+j} (A_n(t))^{1/2} + 2^{-10M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.126)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (2.125) and (2.126), the following estimate holds for any fixed $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_j^n(t)| &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |H_{j,k}^n(t)| \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \min\{2^{k/2+2\epsilon^*k+2\epsilon M_t-j-2n} A_n(t), 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k/2+2\epsilon M_t+j} (A_n(t))^{1/2}\} + 2^{-10M_t} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-10M_t} + \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq 2^{2j+2n} A_n(t)^{-1/2}} 2^{k/2+2\epsilon^*k+2\epsilon M_t-j-2n} A_n(t) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2^{2j+2n} A_n(t)^{-1/2}} 2^{-(1-2\epsilon^*)k/2+2\epsilon M_t+j} (A_n(t))^{1/2} \right] \\ &\lesssim 2^{-10M_t} + 2^{-n+2.1\epsilon M_t} (A_n(t))^{3/4-\epsilon^*/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.127)$$

From the above estimate and the obtained estimates (2.119), (2.120), (2.121), and (2.123), we have

$$A_n(t) \lesssim 2^{-4n+10\epsilon M_t}.$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (2.112). $\square$

3. ESTIMATE OF THE VELOCITY CHARACTERISTICS START FROM THE t -MAJORITY SET

By using a bootstrap argument, under the assumption of the validity of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we first estimate the projection of the velocity characteristics onto the horizontal plane, i.e., $|\dot{V}(x, v, s, 0)|$, which initially starts from the t -majority set and then we estimate the size of full characteristics by exploiting the gap between the upper bounds of α_t and β_t .

Proposition 3.1. *For any $t \in [0, T)$, s.t., $M_t \gg 1$, we have*

$$\alpha_t \leq \alpha^* := (2/3 + \iota), \quad \beta_t \leq (1 - 2\epsilon), \quad \iota := 10^{-4}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, T)$ be fixed. Recall (1.12) and (1.13). We define the first time that characteristics almost reaches the threshold as follows,

$$t^* := \inf\{s : s \in [0, t], \beta_t(s) \geq (1 - 3\epsilon)\} \quad (3.2)$$

Due to the continuity of characteristics, and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field in Proposition 2.1, we know that $t^* > 0$. Moreover, $\forall s \in [0, t^*]$, we have $|V(s)| \leq 2^{(1-3\epsilon)M_t}$. We make the following bootstrap assumption,

$$\tau := \sup\{\kappa : \kappa \in [0, t], \forall s \in [t^*, \kappa], |2^{\beta_t(s)M_t}| \in 2^{(1-3\epsilon)M_t} [99/100, 101/100]\}. \quad (3.3)$$

We aim to improve the above bootstrap assumption and prove that $\tau = t$.

We divide the bootstrap argument into two steps. Firstly, we use a bootstrap argument to estimate the size of the projection of the velocity characteristics $|\dot{V}(x, v, s, 0)|$, which will eventually give us the first estimate in (3.1). Lastly, based on the obtained estimate of the upper bound of $|\dot{V}(x, v, s, 0)|$ within the time interval $[0, \tau]$, we estimate the full characteristics. For convenience in notation, as x, v are fixed for most of time, **we suppress the dependence of characteristics with respect to $(x, v) \in R_t(0)$.**

Step 1 Estimate of the projection of the velocity characteristics within the time interval $[0, \tau]$.

Let $\gamma_1 := \alpha^* - 2\epsilon$. Define τ^1 and τ^2 be the first time and the second time that the projection of the velocity characteristics almost reaches a threshold as follows

$$\tau_* := \sup\{s : s \in [0, \tau], \forall \kappa \in [0, s], \alpha_t(\kappa) \leq \gamma_1\}, \quad \tau^* := \sup\{s : s \in [0, \tau], \forall \kappa \in [0, s], \alpha_t(\kappa) \leq \gamma_1 + 1/M_t\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Our goal is to show that $\tau^* = \tau$. If either τ_* or τ^* equals to τ , then there is nothing left to be proved. Due to the continuity of characteristics, and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field in Proposition 2.1, we focus on the case $0 < \tau_* < \tau^* < \tau$, in which we have $|\dot{V}(x, v, \tau_*, 0)| = 2^{\gamma_1 M_t}$. Moreover, we characterize the size of the full characteristics at the time τ_* as follows

$$\gamma_2 := \inf\{k : k \in \mathbb{R}_+, |V(x, v, \tau_*, 0)| \leq 2^{kM_t}\}.$$

We make the bootstrap assumption for the velocity characteristics $V(s)$ as follows,

$$\tau^* := \sup\{s : s \in [\tau_*, \tau^*], \forall \kappa \in [\tau_*, s], |\dot{V}(s)| \in 2^{\gamma_1 M_t} [99/100, 101/100], |V(s)| \in 2^{\gamma_2 M_t} [99/100, 101/100]\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now, our goal is to show that $\tau^* = \tau^*$ independent of $(x, v) \in R_t(0)$. Hence $2^{\alpha_t(\tau^*)M_t} < 2^{\gamma_1 M_t + 1}$, contradiction. Therefore τ^* equals to τ .

Note that $[\tau_*, \tau^*] \subset [\tau_*, \tau^*] \subset [0, \tau]$. Recall (1.13), (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5), for any $s \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$, we have

$$\alpha_s M_s \leq \alpha_t(s) M_t \leq \gamma_1 M_t + 1, \quad \gamma_2 M_t \leq (1 - 3\epsilon) M_t + 1.$$

Moreover, note that, from the equation satisfied by the space characteristics in (1.9), the following rough estimate holds,

$$\forall s \in [0, t], \quad |X(s)| \leq |X(0)| + \int_0^s |\dot{V}(s)| ds \leq 2^{M_t/2+1}.$$

Based on the possible size of the magnitude of space characteristic in the horizontal plane, we decompose the interval $[0, M_t/2 + 1]$ into a finite union of intervals, which overlap with at most with four other intervals. More precisely,

$$[0, 2^{M_t/2+1}] = \cup_{i=0}^K I_i, \quad I_0 = [0, 2^{\kappa_0}], \quad I_k = [2^{a_k}, 2^{a_k+10}], \quad a_0 = \kappa_0 - 6, \kappa_0 = -100M_t, \\ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, K-2\}, a_{k+1} = a_k + 6, \quad a_K = M_t/20 + 1 - 10, \quad K = \lceil (M_t/2 + 1 - \kappa_0)/6 \rceil. \quad (3.6)$$

Within the time interval $[\tau_*, \tau^*]$, we show two facts about the characteristics under the bootstrap assumption (3.5).

Fact (i) Given any $t_1, t_2 \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$ and any $i \in \{0, \dots, K-1\}$, if $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2], |\dot{X}(s)| \in I_i \cup I_{i+1}$, then the bounds of speed characteristics $|\dot{V}(s)|, |V(s)|$ can be improved for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$. More, precisely, the increment of $|\dot{V}(s)|$ is at most $2^{\gamma_1 M_t - \epsilon M_t}$. and the increment of $|V(s)|$ is at most $2^{2\gamma_2 M_t - \epsilon M_t}$.

Fact (ii) Let $I_{-1} := \emptyset$. For any $i \in \{0, \dots, K\}$ and any $s \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$, if $|\dot{X}(s)| \in I_i/I_{i-1}$ with $d|\dot{X}(t)|^2/dt|_{t=s} \geq 0$, then for any later time $l \in [s, \tau^*]$, $|\dot{X}(l)| \in \cup_{i \leq j \leq K} I_j$.

Based on the possible size of i , we divide the proof of **Fact (i)** into two cases as follows. The **Fact (ii)** will be obtained as a byproduct.

Case 1: Given any $t_1, t_2 \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$, if $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2], |\dot{X}(s)| \in I_0 \cup I_1$.

Recall (1.27). From the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field in (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^2}} - \frac{\dot{X}(t_1) \cdot \dot{V}(t_1)}{\sqrt{1+|V(t_1)|^2}} &\geq \frac{4}{5} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1) - 3 \int_{t_1}^s 2^{\kappa_0 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} (\|E(\kappa, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|B(\kappa, x)\|_{L_x^\infty}) d\kappa \\ \implies \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^2}} &\geq \frac{4}{5} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1) - 2^{\kappa_0 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t + (1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t + 6\epsilon)M_t - 100} (s - t_1) - \frac{11}{10} 2^{\kappa_0 M_t + \gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1) - \frac{11}{10} 2^{\kappa_0 M_t + \gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} \\ \implies |X(s)|^2 - |X(t_1)|^2 &\geq \frac{3}{4} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1)^2 - \frac{11}{5} 2^{\kappa_0 M_t + \gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $|\dot{X}(t_2)| \leq 2^{\kappa_0 + 10}$, from the above estimate, we know that

$$2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (t_2 - t_1)^2 \leq 2^{2\kappa_0 + 20}, \implies |t_2 - t_1| \leq 2^{\kappa_0 + \gamma_2 M_t - \gamma_1 M_t + 10}.$$

From the above estimates, we know that, if the lapse of time is greater than $2^{\kappa_0 + \gamma_2 M_t - \gamma_1 M_t + 10}$, then the space characteristics will leave $I_0 \cup I_1$, i.e., entering the region $\cup_{i=2}^K I_i \cup (I_2/I_1)$. Moreover, recall (1.29), from the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field in (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have

$$||\dot{V}(s)| - |\dot{V}(t_1)|| + ||V(s)| - |V(t_1)|| \lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\|E(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} + \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}) ds \lesssim 2^{\kappa_0 + 2\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{(1+2\tilde{\alpha}_t + 6\epsilon)M_t} \lesssim 1.$$

Case 2: Given any $t_1, t_2 \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$, if $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2], |\dot{X}(s)| \in I_i \cup I_{i+1}$.

Recall again (1.27). For any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, from the estimate (3.14) in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^2}} - \frac{\dot{X}(t_1) \cdot \dot{V}(t_1)}{\sqrt{1+|V(t_1)|^2}} &\geq \frac{9}{10} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1) - C \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{(1-b)a_i} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{a_i + 3\alpha^* M_t / 4 - \gamma_2 M_t + 50\epsilon M_t} \right] \\ \implies \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^2}} &\geq \frac{4}{5} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1) - \frac{11}{10} |\dot{X}(t_1)| 2^{\gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From the above estimate and the equation (1.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{X}(s)|^2 - |\dot{X}(t_1)|^2 &\geq \int_0^{s_2 - s_1} \frac{8}{5} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} \kappa - \frac{22}{10} |\dot{X}(t_1)| 2^{\gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} d\kappa \\ &\geq \frac{4}{5} 2^{2\gamma_1 M_t - 2\gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1)^2 - \frac{22}{10} |\dot{X}(t_1)| 2^{\gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} (s - t_1), \\ \implies |\dot{X}(s)| &\geq 2^{\gamma_1 M_t - \gamma_2 M_t - 5} (s - t_1) - 2 |\dot{X}(t_1)|, \implies |t_2 - t_1| \lesssim 2^{a_i + \gamma_2 M_t - \gamma_1 M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, since $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ and $t \leq 2^{\epsilon M_t / 100}$, we have

$$|t_2 - t_1| \lesssim \min\{2^{a_i + \gamma_2 M_t - \gamma_1 M_t}, 2^{\epsilon M_t / 50}\}.$$

Recall (1.29). From the above estimate of the time difference and the estimate (3.14) in Lemma 3.1, we know that the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\dot{V}(s)| - |\dot{V}(t_1)|| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_i} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\gamma_1 - 2\epsilon)M_t} \right) (s - t_1) + 2^{(3\alpha^* + 20\epsilon)M_t / 4} \leq 2^{\gamma_1 M_t - 3\epsilon M_t / 2}, \\ ||V(s)| - |V(t_1)|| &\lesssim 2^{(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)M_t} \left[\left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_i} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\gamma_1 - 2\epsilon)M_t} \right) (s - t_1) + 2^{(3\alpha^* + 20\epsilon)M_t / 4} \right] \leq 2^{\gamma_2 M_t - 3\epsilon M_t / 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\dot{X}(t_1) \cdot \dot{V}(t_1) \geq 0$, i.e., $d|X(t)|^2/dt|_{t=t_1} \geq 0$, and $|\dot{X}(t_1)| \in I_i/I_{i-1} = (2^{a_i+5}, 2^{a_i+10}]$, then we can improve the obtained estimate (3.8) as follows,

$$\text{If } \dot{X}(t_1) \cdot \dot{V}(t_1) \geq 0, \quad \frac{\dot{X}(s) \cdot \dot{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^2}} \geq \frac{4}{5} 2^{2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (s - t_1) - 2^{-10+a_i} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t},$$

$$\implies \forall s \in [t_1, t_2], \quad |\dot{X}(s)| \geq 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 1}(s - t_1) + 2^{-1}|\dot{X}(t_1)| \quad (3.10)$$

Recall the construction of overlapping intervals in (3.6). From the above estimate, we have $|\dot{X}(s)| \in [2^{a_k+3}, 2^{a_k+8}] \subsetneq (2^{a_k}, 2^{a_k+10}]$.

To sum up, from this fact and the estimate (3.9), we know that, if $|\dot{X}(t_1)| \in I_i/I_{i-1}$ and $d|X(t)|^2/dt|_{t=t_1} \geq 0$, then after a period of time at most $2^{a_i+\gamma_2 M_t - \gamma_1 M_t}$, the space characteristics will leave I_i and enter I_{i+1} instead of I_{i-1} .

To sum up, our desired **Fact (i)** and **Fact (ii)** hold from the above discussion. Now, we are ready to improve the bootstrap assumption (3.5).

Thanks to **Fact (i)**, we only have to show that the trajectory of $|\dot{X}(s)|, s \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$, visit intervals $I_i \cup I_{i+1}, i \in \{0, \dots, K-1\}$ for at most CM_t number of times, which is only logarithmic.

Since the $d|\dot{X}(s)|/ds$ is bounded, the trajectory of $|\dot{X}(s)|$ can only visit intervals $J_i := I_i/I_{i-1}, i \in \{0, \dots, K\}$ for finite times. We order the visited intervals within $[\tau, \tau^*]$ with respect to time as follows $(J_{i_1}, J_{i_2}, \dots, J_{i_X}), \forall p \in \{1, \dots, X\}, i_p \in \{0, \dots, K\}$. Due to the possible revisit scenario, e.g., $J_1 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow \dots$, the size of finite number X is not clear.

Due to the continuity of characteristics, we have $i_p - i_{p+1} \in \{1, -1\}$. Let i_{ι_0} be the first local minimum of the ordered set $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_X)$, then $\iota_0 \leq K+1, i_{\iota_0+1} = i_{\iota_0} + 1$. Let τ_{ι_0} be the time such that the $|\dot{X}(\tau_{\iota_0})|$ leaves $I_{i_{\iota_0}}$ and enters $I_{i_{\iota_0+1}}/I_{\iota_0}$. As $|\dot{X}(s)|$ increases at time τ_{ι_0} , we know that $d|\dot{X}(t)|^2/dt|_{t=\tau_{\iota_0}} \geq 0$. Therefore, from the obtained **Fact (ii)**, we know that $i_\kappa \geq i_{\iota_0}$ for any $\kappa \geq \iota_0$. Let $\iota_1 := \inf\{\kappa : i_\kappa \geq i_{\iota_0} + 2, \kappa \geq \iota_0\}$. We know that $J_{i_{\iota_1}} = I_{i_{\iota_0+2}}/I_{i_{\iota_0+1}}$ and $\cup_{\kappa \in [\iota_0, \iota_1-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}} J_{i_\kappa} \subset I_{i_{\iota_0+1}} \cup I_{\iota_0}$.

Similarly, let τ_1 be the time such that $|\dot{X}(\tau_{\iota_1})|$ leaves $I_{i_{\iota_1-1}} = I_{i_{\iota_0+1}}$ and enters $J_{i_{\iota_1}} = I_{i_{\iota_0+2}}/I_{i_{\iota_0+1}}$, from the obtained **Fact (ii)**, we know that $i_\kappa \geq i_{\iota_0} + 1$ for any $\kappa \geq \iota_1$. Therefore, inductively, we can define a sequence of $\{i_k\}_{k=0}^m$ such that the following property holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\kappa : i_\kappa \geq i_{\iota_m} + 1\} &= \emptyset, \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}, \quad i_{\iota_{k+1}} \geq i_{\iota_k} + 1, \quad X_{\iota_k} := \cup_{\kappa \in [\iota_k, \iota_{k+1}-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}} J_{i_\kappa} \subset I_{i_{\iota_k}+1} \cup I_{i_{\iota_k}}, \\ X_{\iota_m} &:= \cup_{\kappa \in [\iota_m, K] \cap \mathbb{Z}} J_{i_\kappa} \subset I_{i_{\iota_m}+1} \cup I_{i_{\iota_m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

If $i_{\iota_m} = K$, we use the convention that $I_{K+1} := \emptyset$.

Since $\{i_{\iota_k}\}_{k=0}^m$ is an increasing sequence with upper bound K , we know that $m \leq K+1$. From the above discussion, we regroup the ordered set $(J_{i_1}, J_{i_2}, \dots, J_{i_X})$, as follows,

$$(J_{i_1}, J_{i_2}, \dots, J_{i_{\iota_0-1}}, X_{\iota_0}, X_{\iota_1}, \dots, X_{\iota_m}), \quad (3.12)$$

in which the order is still consistent with the time order that the characteristic $|\dot{X}(s)|, s \in [\tau_*, \tau^*]$ travels.

Since the total number of sets in (3.12) is less than $2(K+1) \leq 100M_t$, see (3.6), which is only logarithmic, from the relation in (3.11) and **Fact (i)**, our bootstrap assumption is improved. Hence finishing the bootstrap argument, i.e., $\tau^* = \tau^*$, which further implies that $\tau^* = \tau^* = \tau$.

Step 2 Estimate of the full velocity characteristics within the time interval $[0, \tau]$.

As a result of the **Step 1** and (1.13), we know that $\alpha_\tau M_\tau \leq \alpha_t(\tau) M_t \leq (\alpha^* - 2\epsilon) M_t$. Now, our goal is to show that $\tau = t$. Recall (1.9). For any $(x, v) \in R_t(0)$, $t_1, t_2 \in [t^*, \tau]$, the following estimate holds from the estimate (3.15) in Lemma 3.2

$$||V(t_2)| - |V(t_1)|| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-5\epsilon)M_t} \leq 2^{\gamma M_t - 4\epsilon M_t}.$$

Therefore, our bootstrap assumption in (3.3) is improved. Hence finishing the bootstrap argument, i.e., $\tau = t$. Recall the definition of the majority set in (1.12). Since $\tau = t$, after rerunning the argument in **Step 1**, we have

$$\beta_t = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \beta_t(s) \leq 1 - 2\epsilon, \quad \alpha_t = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \alpha_t(s) \leq (2/3 + \iota) - \epsilon.$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (3.1). $\square$

The assumed two lemmas in the proof of the above proposition are stated as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $t \in [0, T), a_p \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha^* = 2/3 + \iota, \iota := 10^{-4}, t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ be fixed, s.t., $M_t \gg 1, \forall s \in [t_1, t_2], |\dot{X}(s)| \sim 2^{a_p}, \alpha_s M_s \leq \alpha^* M_t, |\dot{V}(s)| \sim 2^{\gamma_1 M_t}, |V(s)| \sim 2^{\gamma_2 M_t}$, where $\gamma_1 \in [\alpha^* - 4\epsilon, \alpha^*], \gamma_2 \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)$. Assume that $C(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth function s.t., the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |P_3(C(\not{x}, V(s)))| + |\dot{X}(s)| |\nabla_{\not{x}} P_3(C(\not{x}, V(s)))|_{\not{x}=\dot{X}(s)} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 10} |V(s)|^{|\alpha|} |\nabla_v^\alpha P_3(C(\not{x}, v))|_{v=V(s)} &\lesssim 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C), \\ |C(\not{x}, V(s))| + |\dot{X}(s)| |\nabla_{\not{x}} C(\not{x}, V(s))|_{\not{x}=\dot{X}(s)} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 10} |V(s)|^{|\alpha|} |\nabla_v^\alpha C(\not{x}, v)|_{v=V(s)} &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Then the following estimate holds,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\gamma_1 - 2\epsilon)M_t} \right) (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{(3\alpha^* + 20\epsilon)M_t/4} \right], \quad (3.14)$$

where the index set $\mathcal{T} := \{0, 1/8, 1/6, 1/4, 1/3, 3/8, 1/2\}$.

Proof. See section 6. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Let $t \in [0, T)$, $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha^* = 2/3 + \iota$, $\iota := 10^{-4}$, $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t]$ be fixed, s.t., $M_t \gg 1$, $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\mathcal{X}(s)| \sim 2^{a_p}$, $\alpha_s M_s \leq \alpha^* M_t$, $|V(s)| \sim 2^{\gamma M_t}$, where $\gamma \geq 1 - 4\epsilon$. Assume that $C(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth function s.t., the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$,

$$|C(\not{x}, V(s))| + |V(s)| |\nabla_v C(\not{x}, V(s))|_{v=V(s)} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C), \quad \nabla_{\not{x}} C(\not{x}, V(s)) = 0.$$

Then the following estimate holds,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma - 5\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. See section 7. $\square$

The rest of this paper and also the majority of this paper are devoted to prove the above two Lemmas.

4. L_x^∞ -TYPE ESTIMATES FOR THE LOCALIZED ACCELERATION FORCE

The main goal of this section is to obtain a L_x^∞ -type estimate for the frequency and the angle localized acceleration force, c.f., (4.3), which will be the basic tool in the iterative smoothing scheme used in section 6 and in section 7.

Recall (2.2), (2.4), and the decomposition of the electromagnetic field in (2.10). Note that, the following estimate holds from the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4 and the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t} \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} \|U_j(t, x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq (1+2\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-9j + (3+10\epsilon)M_t} \lesssim 2^{-5M_t}. \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, we only need to consider the nontrivial case $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$. For any fixed $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, and Fourier symbol $\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{S}^\infty$, we define the following frequency localized and the angle with respect to fixed direction localized electromagnetic field,

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, \quad T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_U^j(s, \xi) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{\zeta}) d\xi ds. \quad (4.2)$$

The main result of this section is summarized as follows.

Proposition 4.1. Let Fourier symbol $\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \in L_\zeta^\infty \mathcal{S}^\infty$ be fixed and $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $t^* \in [0, T)$, $\alpha^* := 2/3 + \iota$, $\iota := 10^{-4}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $t \in [0, t^*]$ be fixed s.t., $\alpha_t M_t \leq \alpha^* M_{t^*}$, $M_t \gg 1$. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \left[2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{121\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + (1+6\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^{128\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + (7/6 + 5\iota/2)M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2 + 3\iota/2 + 40\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition in (4.6) and the estimate (4.52). The desired estimate (4.3) holds from the estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2, the estimate (4.99) in Proposition 4.2 and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3. $\square$

4.1. Set-up. Recall (4.2), to better see the underlying structure of $T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$, the main goal of this subsection is to decompose $T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$ into different pieces such that we can exploit the underlying smoothing effect by doing normal form transformation in the region where the angle between v and the fixed direction of ζ is large and doing Glassey-Strauss type decomposition in the region where the angle between v and the fixed direction of ζ is small.

We remark that the benefit of exploiting the smoothing effect in two ways instead of one way is that it helps to identify correctly the smallness of $\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{v} + \omega$ in the worst scenarios. For example, the case $\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}$, $\tilde{v} - \omega$ is small however $\tilde{v} + \omega$ is of size 1 is bad (in the sense of the maximum loss) for doing normal form transformation but is good for using the G-S decomposition. In the mean time, the case $\tilde{v} + \omega$, $\tilde{v} + \tilde{\zeta}$ is small however $\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}$ is of size 1 is bad for using the G-S decomposition but is good for doing normal form transformation.

More precisely, recall the detailed formulas of $\mathcal{N}_U^j(t, x)$ in (2.2). Based on the possible size of v and the angle between the fixed variable ζ and v , we decompose $\mathcal{N}_U^j(t, x), U \in \{E, B\}$, into five parts as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_U^j(t, x) &= \sum_{i=0,1,2,3,4} \mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}(t, x, \zeta), \quad \mathcal{N}_{E;i}^{j,n}(t, x, \zeta) := -4\pi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{v} \partial_t f(t, x, v) + \nabla_x f(t, x, v)) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) dv \right], \\ \mathcal{N}_{B;i}^{j,n}(t, x, \zeta) &:= -4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(t, x, v) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) dv, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta), i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{j,n}^0(v, \zeta) &:= \varphi_j(v) \psi_{\geq \vartheta_0^*}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \psi_{\leq (1/2+3\iota+55\epsilon)M_{t^*}+2}(v), \quad \varphi_{j,n}^1(v, \zeta) := \varphi_j(v) \psi_{\geq \vartheta_0^*}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \psi_{> (1/2+3\iota+55\epsilon)M_{t^*}+2}(v), \\ \varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta) &:= \varphi_j(v) \psi_{[\vartheta_1^*, \vartheta_0^*]}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}), \quad \varphi_{j,n}^3(v, \zeta) := \varphi_j(v) \psi_{< \vartheta_2^*}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}), \quad \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta) := \varphi_j(v) \psi_{[\vartheta_2^*, \vartheta_1^*]}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}), \\ \sum_{i=0,1,2,3,4} \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) &= 1, \quad \vartheta_0^* := \max\{n + \epsilon M_{t^*}, -10^{-3} M_{t^*}\}, \quad \vartheta_1^* := n + \epsilon M_{t^*}, \quad \vartheta_2^* := n - \epsilon M_{t^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Correspondingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &:= \sum_{i \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}} T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \\ T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}}(s, \xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) d\xi ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We remark that we decompose the localized electromagnetic field into very fine pieces for the purpose of preliminary preparation for the proof of Lemma 3.1 in section 6 and the proof of Lemma 3.2 in section 7, in which the size of $\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}$ will play an essential role, such that the obtained estimates obtained in this section and the section 5 for each pieces can be used as black boxes.

In latter context, we refer the region with velocity far away from the fixed direction determined by ζ , i.e., determined by the $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta), i \in \{0, 1\}$, as the large angle region and call the other region, i.e., determined by the $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta), i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, as the small angle region.

We first consider the large angle region case, i.e., $\mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}(t, x, \zeta), i \in \{0, 1\}, U \in \{E, B\}$. Recall (4.2) and (4.4). Note that, after using the Vlasov equation in (1.1) to substitute $\partial_t f$ and doing integration by parts in v once, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{E;i}^{j,n}(t, x, \zeta) := -4\pi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\hat{v} \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x f(t, x, v) + \nabla_x f(t, x, v)) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) + f(t, x, v) (E + \hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (\hat{v} \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)) dv \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

Let $g(t, x, v) := f(t, x + t\hat{v}, v)$ be the profile of the distribution function. In terms of the profile g , from the above equality and (4.4), the following equality holds on the Fourier side for any $i \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \\ &+ e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^1(\xi, v) \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v (\hat{v} \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$m_E^0(\xi, v) = -i4\pi(\hat{v} \hat{v} \cdot \xi - \xi), \quad m_B^0(\xi, v) = i4\pi \hat{v} \times \xi, \quad m_E^1(\xi, v) = 4\pi \hat{v}, \quad m_B^1(\xi, v) = (0, 0, 0). \quad (4.9)$$

Recall (4.5). Note that, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta), i \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $n \leq -\epsilon M_t + 10$ and $|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}| \gtrsim 2^{\vartheta_0^*} \gg 2^{n+\epsilon M_t/4}$, which implies further that

$$|\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{v}| \gtrsim 2^{\vartheta_0^*}, \quad |\xi| + \mu \hat{v} \cdot \xi \gtrsim 2^{k+2\vartheta_0^*}. \quad (4.10)$$

To exploit high oscillation in s when the frequency is very large, we do integration by parts in s once for the linear part, i.e., the first integral in (4.8). As a result, for any $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) d\xi dv ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{i\mu(t-s)|\nabla|} \frac{\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i|\xi|(\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \omega) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) d\xi dv \Big|_{s=0}^t \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\nabla|} \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left[\frac{\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i|\xi|(\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \omega) \right] d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

After combining the decomposition (4.8) and (4.11), we have

$$T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{ini, ell, bil\}} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{\star;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ini;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{i\mu t |\nabla|} \frac{\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i|\xi|(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \hat{f}(0, \xi, v) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) d\xi dv, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i|\xi|(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \hat{f}(t, \xi, v) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) d\xi dv, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} |\xi|^{-1} \mathcal{F}((E + \hat{v} \times B)f)(s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left[\left(\frac{m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + m_U^1(\xi, v) \right) \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \right] \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

In terms of kernel, we represent the elliptic part $\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$ as follows,

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{ell;U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta) f(t, x - y, v) dy dv, \quad (4.16)$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{ell;U,i}(y, v, \zeta)$ is defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{ell;U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \frac{\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i|\xi|(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi. \quad (4.17)$$

Moreover, from the Kirchhoff's formula in Lemma 2.1, we can represent the bilinear part $\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$ in terms of kernels as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (E(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v ((t-s) \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta)) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where the kernels $\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{U,i}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;U,i}(y, v, \zeta)$ are defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} i(\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} + \mu) \left[\left(\frac{m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} + m_U^1(\xi, v) \right) \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \right] \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{m_U^0(\xi, v)}{i(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} + m_U^1(\xi, v) \right) \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \right] \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi. \quad (4.20)$$

For the small angle region, as summarized in the following Lemma, we have two types of decompositions for $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, which will be used in the low frequency case and the high frequency case respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\bar{l}_2 = \lfloor n + \epsilon M_t / 2 \rfloor$, $\bar{l}_3 := \bar{l}_4 := -j$, $\mathcal{B}_2 := \{(l, r) : l \in [\bar{l}_2, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, r \in [\bar{l}_2, l] \cap \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathcal{B}_3 := \mathcal{B}_4 := \{(l, r) : l \in [\bar{l}_3, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, r = \bar{l}_3\}$. The following decompositions hold for $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &= \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i} T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \\ &\quad \forall (l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i, \quad T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{0, T, S\}} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, are defined in (4.31) and (4.32), and $\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$, $\star \in \{0, T, S\}$, are defined in (4.48), (4.50), and (4.49) respectively.

Proof. Note that, after first doing dyadic decompositions for the angle between ω and $-v$ and then using the partition of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ in (2.9), for any $U \in \{E, B\}$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, we have

$$\int_0^t |\nabla|^{-1} \sin((t-s)|\nabla|) \mathcal{N}_{U,i}^{j,n}(s, x, \zeta) ds = \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i} -4\pi [H_{j,n,l,r}^{U;\mu,i}(t, x, \zeta)], \quad (4.22)$$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{E;\mu,i}(t, x, \zeta) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) (\hat{v} \partial_s + \nabla_x) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.23)$$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{B;\mu,i}(t, x, \zeta) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) (\hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\varphi_{j,n}^{2;r}(v, \zeta) := \varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta) \varphi_{r;\bar{l}_2}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}), \quad \varphi_{j,n}^{3;r}(v, \zeta) := \varphi_{j,n}^3(v, \zeta), \quad \varphi_{j,n}^{4;r}(v, \zeta) := \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta). \quad (4.25)$$

Recall (4.22). After taking “ ∂_t ” derivative on both hand sides and then using the partition of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ in (2.9), we have

$$\int_0^t \cos((t-s)|\nabla|) \mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}(s, x, \zeta) ds = \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (l,r) \in \mathcal{B}_i} -4\pi [\tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta)]. \quad (4.26)$$

where, from (4.23) and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (1 + (t-s)\omega \cdot \nabla) (\hat{v} \partial_s f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) + \nabla_x f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{j,n,l}^{B;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (1 + (t-s)\omega \cdot \nabla) (\hat{v} \times \nabla_x f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds. \quad (4.28)$$

Recall (4.6). Note that, after combining (4.22) and (4.26), $\forall U \in \{E, B\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t T_{k,n}^{\mu}(|\nabla|^{-1} \cos((t-s)|\nabla|) \mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}(s, x, \zeta) + i\mu T_{k,n}^{\mu}(|\nabla|^{-1} \sin((t-s)|\nabla|) \mathcal{N}_{U;i}^{j,n}(s, x, \zeta) ds, \\ &= \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (l,r) \in \mathcal{B}_i} -4\pi [T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)], \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where the symbol of the Fourier multiplier operator $T_{k,n}^{\mu}$ is $\mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta})$ and

$$T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = T_{k,n}^{\mu} H_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) + |\nabla|^{-1} T_{k,n}^{\mu} \tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta). \quad (4.30)$$

From (4.23), (4.24), (4.27), and (4.28), in terms of kernels, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} ((t-s) \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,B}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,B}(y, v, \zeta)) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} ((t-s) \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,E}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,E}(y, v, \zeta)) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (E(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v ((t-s) \\ &\quad \times \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{err;\mu,E,i}(y, v, \zeta)) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

where the kernels $\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,U}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$, $\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,U}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$, $\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$, $\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{err;\mu,U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,U}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) m_U^0(\xi, v) (i\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} - \mu) d\xi, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,U}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) m_U^0(\xi, v) |\xi|^{-1} d\xi, \\ \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) m_U^1(\xi, v) (i\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} - \mu) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) d\xi, \\ \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{err;\mu,U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) m_U^1(\xi, v) |\xi|^{-1} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

The obtained formulas in (4.31) and (4.32) will be used in the low frequency regime, i.e., when k is relatively small. For the high frequency regime, as what we did in Lemma 2.3, we can also do Glassey-Strauss type decomposition for $T_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$.

Recall (4.30). We first do Glassey-Strauss type decomposition for $H_{j,n,l}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta)$. Recall the localized version of the Glassey-Strauss type decomposition in Lemma 2.3. Note that, the only difference is caused by the new introduced cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, which doesn't depend on the angular variable ω . With minor modifications in

the proof of Lemma 2.3, for any fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [\bar{l}_i, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following Glassey-Strauss decomposition holds for any $H_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta), U \in \{E, B\}, (l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = H_{j,n,l,r}^{0;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) + H_{j,n,l,r}^{T;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) + H_{j,n,l,r}^{S;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.34)$$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{S;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s) (E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_U(v, \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \\ \times f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.35)$$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{T;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{j' \in [j-2, j+2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega_{j,l,r}^{m;U}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{j',n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.36)$$

$$H_{j,n,l,r}^{0;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} t f(0, x + t\omega, v) \frac{m_U(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) d\omega dv & \text{if } t \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)), \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

where $m_U(v, \omega), U \in \{E, B\}$ are defined in (2.16) and $\omega_{j,l,r}^{m;U}(t-s, v, \omega)$ are defined as follows,

$$\omega_{j,l,r}^{m;U}(t-s, v, \omega) = \omega_{j,0}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) + \sum_{q=1,2,3} \omega_{j,q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega), \quad (4.38)$$

where $\omega_{j,q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega), q \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, are defined in (2.18) and (2.17).

Lastly, we consider $\tilde{H}_{j,n,l}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta)$ in (4.30). Recall (4.27) and (4.28). For this case, the main difference comes from the new introduced coefficient “ ω ”. With minor modification of what we did in (2.12) in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, (l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i, \quad \tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{0, T, S\}} \tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{\star;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.39)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{S;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (1 + (t-s)\omega \cdot \nabla) [(E(s, x + (t-s)\omega) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_U(v, \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \\ \times f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)] \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{T;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{j' \in [j-2, j+2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t-s)^{-1} [(t-s) c_{j,l,r;q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \partial_{x_q} f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v) \\ + c_{j,l,r;err}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) f(s, x + (t-s)\omega, v)] \varphi_{j',n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) d\omega dv ds, \quad (4.41)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{j,n,l,r}^{0;U;m,i}(t, x, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{m_U(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} (1 + t\omega \cdot \nabla) f(0, x + t\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) d\omega dv & t \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)) \\ 0 & t \notin \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)), \end{cases} \quad (4.42)$$

where the coefficients $m_U(v, \omega), U \in \{E, B\}$, are defined in (2.16) and the coefficients $c_{j,l,r;q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$ and $c_{j,l,r;err}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega), U \in \{E, B\}$, are given as follows,

$$c_{j,l,r;q}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) = \omega_q [\omega_{j,0}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) + \sum_{q=1,2,3} \omega_{j,q}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)] \\ - [(\delta_{1q}, \delta_{2q}, \delta_{3q}) - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v}) \hat{v}_q}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} - \omega_q (\omega - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v}) \hat{v} \cdot \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega})] \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s), \quad (4.43)$$

$$c_{j,l,r;q}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) = \omega_q [\omega_{j,0}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) + \sum_{q=1,2,3} \omega_{j,q}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)] \\ + (\hat{v} \times (\delta_{1q}, \delta_{2q}, \delta_{3q}) - \frac{(\hat{v} \times \omega)(\hat{v}_q + \omega_q)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega}) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s), \quad (4.44)$$

$$c_{j,l,r;err}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) := \left(\frac{\hat{v} + \omega}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} (1 - |\hat{v}|^2) + \omega - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v}) \hat{v} \cdot \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \\ - \sum_{q=1,2,3} \varphi_j(v) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) ((\delta_{1q}, \delta_{2q}, \delta_{3q}) + \omega_q \hat{v} - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v})(\omega_q + \hat{v}_q)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega}) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \\ - (t-s) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \left(\omega - \frac{(\omega + \hat{v}) \hat{v} \cdot \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right), \quad (4.45)$$

$$c_{j,l,r;err}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega) := \left[\left(\frac{\hat{v} \times \omega}{(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega)^2} (1 - |\hat{v}|^2) + \frac{\hat{v} \times \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) - \sum_{q=1,2,3} \varphi_j(v) \partial_{x_q} \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) (\hat{v} \times (\delta_{1q}, \delta_{2q}, \delta_{3q}) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\hat{v} \times \omega (\omega_q + \hat{v}_q)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right] \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) - (t-s) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \partial_x \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \frac{\hat{v} \times \omega}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega}, \quad (4.46)$$

where the coefficients $\omega_{j,l,i}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, are defined in (2.18) and (2.17).

To sum up, recall (4.30), from the decomposition in (4.34) and (4.39), we have

$$T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{0, T, S\}} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.47)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{0;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (t K_{k,j;n}^{\mu}(y, v, \zeta, \omega) + \tilde{K}_{k,j;n}^{\mu}(y, v, \zeta)) \frac{m_U(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \\ \times f(0, x - y + t\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) d\omega dv & \text{if } t \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin \text{supp}(\varphi_{m;-10M_t}(\cdot)), \end{cases} \quad (4.48)$$

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{S;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [(t-s) K_{k;n}^{\mu}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta, \omega) + \tilde{K}_{k;n}^{\mu}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)] f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) (E(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega) \\ + \hat{v} \times B(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega)) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_U(v, \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \right) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \quad (4.49)$$

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\tilde{j} \in [j-2, j+2]} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [i\mu \omega_{j,l,r}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) K_{k;n}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) + c_{j,l,r;q}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) K_{k;n}^q(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) \\ + (t-s)^{-1} c_{j,l,r;err}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega) \tilde{K}_{k;n}^{\mu}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)] f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) d\omega dy dv ds, \quad (4.50)$$

where the kernels are defined as follows,

$$K_{k;n}^{\mu}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta, \omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}_{k,n}(\xi, \zeta) (i\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} + i\mu) d\xi, \quad \tilde{K}_{k;n}^{\mu}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{k,n}(\xi, \zeta) d\xi, \\ K_{k;n}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}_{k,n}(\xi, \zeta) d\xi, \quad K_{k;n}^q(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}_{k,n}(\xi, \zeta) \frac{i\xi_q}{|\xi|} d\xi, \\ \mathbf{m}_{k,n}(\xi, \zeta) := \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}). \quad (4.51)$$

□

4.2. L_x^∞ -type estimates of the acceleration force in the large angle region. In this subsection, we mainly estimate the L_x^∞ -norm of the localized acceleration force $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Recall the decomposition of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta)$ in (4.12), the following estimate holds straightforwardly,

$$|T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 1 + |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ + |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)|. \quad (4.52)$$

For the elliptic part, we have

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds for any $i \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\|\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{50\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(3\alpha^*-1)M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_{t^*}-40\epsilon M_{t^*}}]. \quad (4.53)$$

Moreover, we have the following rough estimate for the elliptic part,

$$\|\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.54)$$

Proof. Recall (4.16) and the definition of the kernel in (4.17). As a result of direct computation, from (4.9), we have

$$m_E^0(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^0(\xi, v) = -i4\pi((\hat{v} - \hat{\zeta}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi) + \xi(1 - |\hat{v}|^2)). \quad (4.55)$$

From the above equality and the estimate (4.10), after doing integration by part in ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ , the following estimate holds for the kernel,

$$|\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{ell;E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{ell;B,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{2k+2n+\epsilon M_t} \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0}. \quad (4.56)$$

From the above estimate of kernel, the conservation law in (1.3), and the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_{k,j,n}^{ell;\mu,i}(m, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j,n}^{ell;\mu,i}(m, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}, 2^{2(k+n)-j}\} \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{2n/3 + 4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3 + M_t/3}\} \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

$$\lesssim \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + (3\alpha^* - 1)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t^* - 40\epsilon M_t^*}]. \quad (4.58)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (4.53). Moreover, the desired rough estimate (4.54) holds from the obtained estimate (4.57). $\square$

Now, we focus on the estimate of the bilinear part. Recall (4.18). After using the decomposition of the acceleration force in (2.24) and the partition of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ in (2.9) to localize the size of $t - s$ and doing dyadic decomposition for the size of $\omega + \tilde{v}$ and the size of $\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}$ and then localizing ω around $\tilde{\zeta}$, we have

$$\tilde{T}_{k,j,n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j,n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\substack{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, a \in \{1, 2\} \\ \star \in \{main, err\}, r \in [\vartheta_0^*, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} \tilde{K}_{k,j,n,l,r}^{\star;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{k,j,n,l,r}^{\star;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} EB^a(t, s, x - y, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v [(t - s)(\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{B,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta)) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;B,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \zeta)] f(s, x - y + (t - s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{r;n+\epsilon M_t/2}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t - s) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;k,n}^*(\omega, \zeta) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

where the kernels $\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;U,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, are defined in (4.19) and (4.20) and the cutoff functions $\varphi_{m;k,n}^*(\omega, \zeta)$, $\star \in \{main, err\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\varphi_{m;k,n}^{main}(\omega, \zeta) := \psi_{\leq \max\{n, -m/2 - k/2\} + \epsilon M_t/2}(\omega \times \tilde{\zeta}), \quad \varphi_{m;k,n}^{err}(\omega, \zeta) := \psi_{> \max\{n, -m/2 - k/2\} + \epsilon M_t/2}(\omega \times \tilde{\zeta}). \quad (4.61)$$

Recall (4.19) and (4.20). From (4.55) and the estimate (4.10), after doing integration by parts in ξ in ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ or $e_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ directions many times, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{E, B\}, i \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{r;n+\epsilon M_t/2}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}) \left[|\nabla_v (\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{E,i}(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{B,i}(y, v, \zeta))| + 2^k |\nabla_v (\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;E,i}(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;B,i}(y, v, \zeta))| \right] \\ &+ 2^{-\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\}} \left(|\nabla_v \mathbf{P}((\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{E,i}(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{B,i}(y, v, \zeta))| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathbf{P}((\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;E,i}(y, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{err;B,i}(y, v, \zeta))|) \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{3k+2n} 2^{-j-r} \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{(1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} (|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1 + 2^{k+n} |\psi|)^{-N_0^3} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k+n} (2^n + |\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1} |y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

Similar to formula (2.7), for any fixed ξ, v , by doing integration by parts in ω once, we gain at least $2^{-\epsilon M_t/40}$ once for the error type term. After doing integration by parts in ω many times, from the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field in (2.30) in Proposition 2.1 and the estimate of kernel in (4.62), we have

$$\forall i \in \{0, 1\}, a \in \{1, 2\}, \quad |\tilde{K}_{k,j,l,n}^{err;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.63)$$

Moreover, from the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, and the volume of support of v, ω , the following estimate holds if $m = -10M_t$,

$$\forall i \in \{0, 1\}, a \in \{1, 2\}, \quad |\tilde{K}_{k,j,l,n}^{main;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m+3j-\vartheta_0^*+3M_t+20\epsilon M_t} \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.64)$$

From now on, we focus on the estimate of the main parts $\tilde{K}_{k,j,n}^{main;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ in which we have $t - s \sim 2^m$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds,*

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j,n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|m(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*}. \quad (4.65)$$

Proof. Recall (4.60), and the estimate of kernels in (4.62). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the volume of support of ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{3k+2n} 2^{-j-\vartheta_0^*+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |E(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega) - \omega \times B(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega)| \\ &\times (2^{m+k}+1) f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) (1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}(|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|))^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{j,n}^0(v, \zeta) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v}+\omega) d\omega dy dv ds \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-j-\vartheta_0^*+2\epsilon M_t} (2^m + 2^{-k}) (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n}, 2^{-2m}\} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times (\min\{2^{m+3j+2l}, 2^{m+j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3k+2n-j}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+10\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m}, 2^{m/2+2\alpha^*M_t^*}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\alpha^*M_t^*/3-\vartheta_0^*+10\epsilon M_t^*} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (4.65). $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_t^*$, $j \leq (1/2+3\epsilon+55\epsilon)M_t^*$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-19.5\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ &+ 2^{120.5\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\epsilon)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.66)$$

Moreover, if $k+2j \leq (2-50\epsilon)M_t^*$, then we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(1-19.5\epsilon)M_t^*}. \quad (4.67)$$

Proof. Recall (4.60), (2.26), and the estimate of kernels in (4.62). Let $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. After localizing the sizes of θ, ϕ , the following estimate holds

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{p,q \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)|, \quad (4.68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{3k+2n} 2^{-j-r+2\epsilon M_t} |\hat{v}+\omega| |B(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega)| f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\times \min\{(1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}(|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|))^{-N_0^3}, (1+2^{k+n}|y|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}(2^n+|\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1}|y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\} \\ &\times \varphi_{r;\vartheta_0^*}(\tilde{v}-\tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v}+\omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{m;k,n}^{main}(\omega, \zeta) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv dy ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

From the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, and the volume of support of v, ω , we can rule out the case $p = -10M_t$ and the case $q = -10M_t$. Hence, it would be sufficient to consider the case $p, q \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, in which we have $\sin \theta \sim 2^p, \sin \phi \sim 2^q$.

Based on different sizes of parameters, e.g., $m+k, l, n, m+p, k+n, |\phi|$, we separate into four cases as follows.

- If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Recall (4.61). For this case, the volume of support of ω for the main part is bounded by $2^{-m-k+\epsilon M_t}$. Therefore, by using the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2 the volume of support of ω and v , and the estimate if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{2k+2n} 2^{3j+2 \min\{l, r\}})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\times (\min\{2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2 \min\{l, r\}}, 2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}\}, 2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} 2^{-r+l} \min\{2^{k+n+\min\{l, r\}-l}, 2^{m/2} \min\{2^{2j+2 \min\{l, r\}}, 2^{2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$ and $m+p \leq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$.

For this case, the volume of support of ω for the main part is bounded by $2^{2n+\epsilon M_t}$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of (ω, v) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2 \min\{p, n\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} (2^{m+3k+2n+2 \min\{p, n\}} 2^{3j+2 \min\{l, r\}})^{1/2} \\ &\times (\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2m+3k/2+n+2 \min\{p, n\}} 2^{2j+2l}, 2^{m/2+3k/2+n+\min\{p, n\}}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2j+2l} 2^{-k/2-n}, 2^{m/2+2j+2l}, 2^{k+n/2+\min\{p, n\}/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+n)/2+j}, 2^{4(j+l)/3-n/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\phi| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Recall (4.69). Note that we have $|\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n, p\}+\epsilon M_t}$ for the case we are considering. After using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v, ω , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, the estimate of Jacobian

$(y_1, y_2, \theta) \longrightarrow x - y + (t - s)\omega$, or changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \longrightarrow (z(y), w(y))$ c.f., the estimate of Jacobian in (2.54), where

$$z(y) := \sqrt{(\phi - \psi + (t - s) \sin \theta \cos \phi)^2 + (t - s)^2 (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2} \quad w(y) := x_3 - y_3 + (t - s) \cos \theta,$$

we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2}$$

$$\times (\min\{\frac{2^{-p-q}}{2^m|\phi|}, 2^{2k+n+\max\{n,p\}+q}\} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{m-j-r/2+l/2+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{-m/2+j+\min\{n,p\}})^{1/2} (2^{-m+k+\max\{n,p\}-p} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \quad (4.72)$$

$$\lesssim 2^{(k+n)/2+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \quad (4.73)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m + p \geq -k - n + 2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\phi| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\phi - \psi + (t - s)\omega| \sim 2^{m+p}$ for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$. After using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the cylindrical symmetry of solution, and changing coordinates $\theta \longrightarrow x_3 - y_3 + (t - s) \cos \theta$, the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{\alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t}$, from the estimate (4.69) and the estimates of kernels in (4.62), we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim (\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}) 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2\min\{p,n\}} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ \times (\frac{2^{k+\max\{n,p\}+\epsilon M_t}}{2^{m+p}} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-r/2+l/2+m/4+k/2+(\max\{n,p\}+\min\{n,p\}-p)/2+5\epsilon M_t} \\ \times \min\{2^{j+\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(k+n)/2+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t - r/2+l/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \quad (4.74)$$

Therefore, if $n \geq (1 - 2\alpha^* - 35\epsilon)M_{t^*}$, $j \leq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_{t^*}$, then the following estimate holds after combining the obtained estimates (4.70), (4.71), (4.73), and (4.74),

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ + 2^{120\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_{t^*}}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\epsilon)M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \quad (4.75)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (4.66).

Moreover, if $k + 2j \leq (2 - 50\epsilon)M_{t^*}$, then the desired estimate (4.67) holds from the obtained estimates (4.70), (4.71), (4.73), and (4.74). $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, s.t., $k + 2j > (2 - 50\epsilon)M_{t^*}$. Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $n < (1 - 2\alpha^* - 35\epsilon)M_{t^*}$ or $j \geq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_{t^*}$,*

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-19.5\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ + 2^{100.5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(2\alpha^*-1)M_{t^*}}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\epsilon)M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\epsilon+60\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \quad (4.76)$$

Proof. Recall (2.26), (4.60), and the decomposition of $\tilde{K}_{k,j;l,n}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)$ in (4.68). We localize $H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)$ further by using the decomposition of the magnetic field in (2.10) and the index sets defined in (2.68). More precisely, from the estimate of kernels in (4.62), we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.77)$$

where

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m+3k+2n-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi |B_{k,j;\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, x - y + (t - s)\omega)| \\ \times \min\{(1 + 2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n}(|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|))^{-N_0^3}, (1 + 2^{k+n}|y|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n}(2^n + |\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1}|y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\} \\ \times f(s, x - y + (t - s)\omega, v) \varphi_{r;v_0^*}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t - s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv dy ds. \quad (4.78)$$

Based on different sizes of parameters, e.g., $m + k, l, n, m + p, k + n, |\phi|$, we separate into four cases as follows.

- If $m + k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate in (4.70), from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v, ω , the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the conservation law (1.3), and the estimate (1.14) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds after putting $B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, -\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)$ in L^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} 2^{-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n+\min\{l,r\}-l}, 2^{m/2} \min\{2^{2j+2\min\{r,l\}}, 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-r/2+l/2+k/4+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{j+\min\{r,l\}}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.79)$$

Alternatively, if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ , then from the estimate (4.78), the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+l+3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k/2+r-l}, 2^{k-2j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.80)$$

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$, then from the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2 and the estimate (4.80), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min\{2^{-k/2}, 2^{-2j/3}\} 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+15\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-(k+2j)/5-\vartheta_0^*+15\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.81)$$

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$, after combining the estimates (4.79) and (4.80) and using the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} 2^{-r+5\epsilon M_t} ((2^{-k/2})^{5/6} (2^{k-2j})^{1/6} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, -\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{k/4} \min\{2^{j+\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+40\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

To sum up, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.83)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n+\epsilon M_t$ and $m+p \leq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate in (4.71), from the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{-n/3-r+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4(j+l)/3}, 2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.84)$$

Alternatively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+3j+2\min\{l,r\}+2\min\{p,n\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m/2+\min\{p,n\}}, 2^{-2j/3+2n/3-2r/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$, then from the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2 and the estimate (4.85), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min\{2^{m/2+n}, 2^{-2j/3}\} 2^{13\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.86)$$

where we used the fact that $r \geq \vartheta_0^* \geq n + \epsilon M_t$ and the assumption that either $n < (1 - 2\alpha^* - 35\epsilon)M_{t^*}$ or $j \geq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$, then after combining the estimates (4.84) and (4.85), and the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{-n/3} 2^{2(j+l)/3+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3}) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2})^{1/2}$$

$$\times \left(2^{-2j/3+2n/3} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}\right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+20\epsilon M_t+4\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.87)$$

Therefore, after combining the above two estimates, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.88)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$, and $|\sharp| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (4.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-\vartheta_0^*+l+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\frac{2^{-p-q}}{2^m|\sharp|} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}\right)^{1/2} (2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}\right) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+m/2+\max\{l,p\}+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.89)$$

Alternatively, if we put the localized electric field in L_x^∞ , then from the volume of support of v, ω and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2\min\{p,n\}} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m/2+\min\{p,n\}}, 2^{-2j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.90)$$

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$, then from the obtained estimate (4.90) and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min\{2^{m/2+n}, 2^{-2j/3}\} 2^{13\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.91)$$

where, again, we used the assumption that either $n < (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_{t^*}$ or $j \geq (1/2+3l+55\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$, then after combining the estimates (4.89) and (4.90), and the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+n/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}\right)^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-\vartheta_0^*+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+35\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.92)$$

To sum up, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.93)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$, and $|\sharp| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\sharp - \sharp + (t-s)\varphi| \sim 2^{m+p}$ for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\min\{p,n\}}$. Based on the possible size of n , we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $n \geq -(\alpha^*+3l+60\epsilon)M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (4.74), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\frac{2^{k+\max\{n,p\}} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}}{2^{m+p}}\right)^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-m/2+k/2+\max\{n,p\}/2-p/2+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.94)$$

Moreover, note that the obtained estimate (4.90) is also valid for the case we are considering. From the obtained estimate (4.90) and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, the following estimate holds if $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min\{2^{m+n}, 2^{-2j/3}\} 2^{13\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.95)$$

After combining the estimates (4.94) and (4.90), and the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, the following estimate holds if $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{m/2+\min\{n,p\}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(2^{-m/2+k/2+\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n,p\}/2-p/2} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{(k+2n)/4} 2^{\alpha^* M_t + 13\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.96)$$

⊕ If $n \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$.

Recall (4.69). From the estimate (2.72) in Lemma 2.8, and the volume of support of ω and v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+l+9\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}+3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\times (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2} + 2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} 2^{9\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{\min\{n,p\}/2} + 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4} 2^{3\min\{n,p\}/4}) \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.97)$$

Recall (4.77). To sum up, from (4.97), (4.96), and (4.95), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t} \\ &+ 2^{100\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{(2\alpha^*-1)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.98)$$

Recall (4.68) and (4.77). The desired estimate (4.76) holds from the estimates (4.83), (4.88), (4.93), and (4.98). $\square$

To sum up, the main results of this subsection can be summarized in the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Under the Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,1} |T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| + |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,0}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,0}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{121\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.99)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,1}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,1}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t} \\ &+ 2^{121\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(2\alpha^*-1)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.100)$$

Proof. Recall the estimate (4.59). Our desired estimate (4.99) holds after combining the estimate (4.52), the estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2, the estimate (4.63), the estimate (4.65) in Lemma 4.3, the estimates (4.66) and (4.67) in Lemma 4.4, and the estimate (4.76) in Lemma 4.5.

Moreover, recall the definition of the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^1(v, \zeta)$ in (4.5), we know that the size of j is greater than $(1/2 + 3\iota + 55\epsilon)M_t$. Hence, our desired estimate (4.100) holds from the estimate (4.63), the estimate (4.65) in Lemma 4.3, the estimate (4.67) in Lemma 4.4, and the estimate (4.76) in Lemma 4.5. $\square$

4.3. L^∞ -type estimates of the acceleration force in the small angle region. In this subsection, we estimate the case with velocity close to the fixed direction determined by $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3/\{0\}$, i.e., $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n+\epsilon M_t, -10^{-3}M_t\}}$. More precisely, we estimate the L^∞ -norm of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, see (4.6).

Recall the decomposition of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$ in (4.21) in Lemma 4.1. We first consider the case $m+k$ is relatively small, for which we use the formulas in (4.31) and (4.32).

Lemma 4.6. *Let $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimates hold if $m+k \leq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [2^{(1-19.5\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{127.5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-90\epsilon)M_t}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.101)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=3,4} \|T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [2^{(1-19.5\epsilon)M_t} \\ &+ 2^{127.5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(7/6+5\iota/2)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2+3\iota/2+40\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.102)$$

Proof. Recall (4.31) and (4.32). From the decomposition of the acceleration force in (2.24), for $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, we have

$$T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta) = H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta) + \sum_{a=1,2} H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.103)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) [(t-s)(\mathfrak{R}_{k;n}^{\mu,E}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathfrak{R}_{k;n}^{\mu,B}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)) + \mathfrak{R}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,E}(y, v, \zeta) \\ &\quad + \hat{\zeta} \times \mathfrak{R}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,B}(y, v, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v}+\omega) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) EB^a(t, s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v((t-s)\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{err;\mu,E,i}(y, v, \zeta)) f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) d\omega dy dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.105)$$

Similar to what we did in (2.92), we localize frequency around ω and do dyadic decompositions for φ for the nonlinear part as follows,

$$H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{ess, err\}} H_{k,j;n,l,r;\star}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta), \quad H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\substack{\star \in \{ess, err\} \\ p \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;\star}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.106)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r;\star}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [(t-s)\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta) + \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v}+\omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.107)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;\star}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} EB^i(t, s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v((t-s)\mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,E,i}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)) \\ &\quad \times f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\varphi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.108)$$

where the kernels $\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$, $\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$, $\mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,E,i}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)$ are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) (m_E^0(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^0(\xi, v)) (i\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} - \mu) \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^*(\omega, \xi) d\xi, \\ \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) (m_E^0(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^0(\xi, v)) |\xi|^{-1} \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^*(\omega, \xi) d\xi, \\ \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \hat{v} (i\omega \cdot \tilde{\xi} - \mu) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v}+\omega) \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^*(\omega, \xi) d\xi, \\ \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta) &:= i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \hat{v} |\xi|^{-1} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v}+\omega) \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^*(\omega, \xi) d\xi, \\ c(m, k, l) &:= \min\{\max\{-m/2 - k/2, -m - k - l\} + \epsilon M_t/20, 2\}, \\ \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^{ess}(\omega, \xi) &:= \psi_{\leq c(m,k,l)}(\omega \times \tilde{\xi}), \quad \varphi_{c(m,k,l)}^{err}(\omega, \xi) := \psi_{> c(m,k,l)}(\omega \times \tilde{\xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.109)$$

Since $m + k \leq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$, we have $c(m, k, l) \leq -m - k - l + 3\epsilon M_t$. Similar to the obtained estimate for the error type estimate in (2.95), by doing integration by parts in “ ω ” many times, we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r;err}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.110)$$

Moreover, recall the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1. By doing integration by parts in “ ω ” many times, similar to the obtained estimate for the error type estimate in (2.95), the following estimate holds,

$$\sum_{a=1,2} \left| \sum_{p \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;err}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta) \right| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.111)$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of essential parts $H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta)$ and $H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta)$. Moreover, again from the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1 and the volume of support of v and ω , we can rule out the case $p = -10M_t$. It would be sufficient to consider the case $p \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

- The estimate of $H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta)$, $i = 2$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), we only have to consider the case $n \leq -\epsilon M_t + 10$. Moreover, we have $2^{n+\epsilon M_t} \lesssim |\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{-\epsilon M_t/2}$, which implies that $\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\} \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4$, i.e., $m + k + l \leq -n + 3\epsilon M_t$.

Recall (4.109) and the result of computation of symbols in (4.55). After doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)| + 2^k |\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)| \\ & \lesssim 2^{4k+2n} (2^{2l} + 2^{2c(m,k,l)}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.112)$$

From the above estimate of kernels, the conservation law (1.3), the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t,x,\zeta)| \lesssim 2^m (2^{2l} + 2^{2c(m,k,l)}) (2^{m+k} + 1) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{2^{3k+2n} 2^{2l-j}, 2^{2l} 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t}\} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-k+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{8\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+j/3+2n/3}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{60\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\iota)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-50\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.113)$$

- The estimate of $H_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t,x,\zeta)$, $i = 3, 4$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), we have $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$. Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $m+k \leq \min\{-2l, -l-n\} + 4\epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this case, we have $c(m, k, l) \geq n - 4\epsilon M_t$. Recall (4.55). After doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, the following estimate holds,

$$|\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)| + 2^k |\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)| \lesssim 2^{4k+4n+8\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \quad (4.114)$$

From the above estimate of kernels, the conservation law (1.3), the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t,x,\zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m+2n+8\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+k} + 1) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{2^{3k+2n} 2^{2l-j}, 2^{2l} 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t}\} \\ & \lesssim \min\{2^{-2m-2l-j+24\epsilon M_t}, 2^{2k+2n-j+16\epsilon M_t}, 2^{m+l+n} 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t+14\epsilon M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+j/3+2n/3}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{80\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\iota)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-60\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.115)$$

- ⊕ If $\min\{-2l, -l-n\} + 4\epsilon M_t < m+k \leq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this sub-case, we have $l \leq n$ and $c(m, k, l) \leq n$. Recall (4.55) and the definition of kernels in (4.109). After doing integration by parts in ξ along v direction and directions perpendicular to v many times, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)| + 2^k |\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y,v,\omega,\zeta)|) \varphi_{l,-j}(\omega + \tilde{v}) \\ & \lesssim 2^{4k+n+3c(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+c(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.116)$$

From the above estimate of kernels, the conservation law (1.3), the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t,x,\zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m+n+c(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+k} + 1) \min\{2^{3k+2c(m,k,l)} 2^{2l-j}, 2^{2l} 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t+\epsilon)M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^n \min\{2^{-m+k-l-j+14\epsilon M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-3l+18\epsilon M_t}, 2^{m+l+7\epsilon M_t} 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{n+15\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{3\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2+j/2+\epsilon M_t}, 2^{k/2+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{15\epsilon M_t^*} 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1+3\iota+80\epsilon)M_t^*/2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.117)$$

To sum up, after combining the obtained estimates (4.110), (4.115), and (4.117), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t,x,\zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ & + 2^{60\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(7/6+5\iota/2)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1+3\iota+120\epsilon)M_t^*/2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.118)$$

- The estimate of $H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t,x,\zeta)$, $i = 2, 3, 4$.

Recall (4.109), (4.25), and the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$ in (4.5). As a result of direct computations, after doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla_v \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(y,\omega,v,\zeta)| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(y,\omega,v,\zeta)| \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{3k+2n-j+\epsilon M_t} (2^{-l} + \sum_{b \in \{\vartheta_0^*, \vartheta_1^*, \vartheta_2^*, r\}} 2^{-b} \mathbf{1}_{|\tilde{v}-\tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^b}) (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.119)$$

Recall (4.108). From the above estimate of kernels, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;ess}^{\mu,m;non,1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \{l, \vartheta_0^*, \vartheta_1^*, \vartheta_2^*, r\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-b+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2b})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{6\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3+2j/3+n/3}, 2^{k+n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{127\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-90\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.120)$$

- The estimate of $H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta)$, $i = 2$.

Again, due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), we have $m + k + l \leq -n + 2\epsilon M_t$ and $\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\} \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4$. Note that, the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\}}$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (4.119), the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;ess}^{\mu,m;non,2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \{l, \vartheta_0^*, \vartheta_1^*, r\}} 2^{-j-b+l+4\epsilon M_t} (2^m + 2^{-k}) (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{2l} 2^{3j+2b})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\}}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{16\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{-k/2+2j+n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{16\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4j/3+n}, 2^{k/2+n+2j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{60\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -M_t^*/3-40\epsilon M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.121)$$

- The estimate of $H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta)$, $i = 3, 4$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), we have $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$. As a result of direct computations, after doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_v \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{3k+2n-j+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-\min\{l, n\}} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{[l-1, l+1];-j}(\omega + \tilde{v}) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t+2}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \varphi_j(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.122)$$

Based on the possible size of $m + k$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m + k \leq \min\{-2l, -l - n\} + 4\epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate of kernels in (4.122), the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{m, -k\}} 2^{-j-\min\{l, n\}+l+4\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2n}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (2^{m+3k+2n+2l+3j+2n})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{12\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{-k/2+2j+n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{12\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4j/3+n}, 2^{k/2+n+2j/3}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -M_t^*/3-60\epsilon M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.123)$$

⊕ If $\min\{-2l, -l - n\} + 4\epsilon M_t < m + k \leq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$.

For this sub-case, we have $l \leq n$ and $c(m, k, l) \leq n - \epsilon M_t$. Recall (4.109). After doing integration by parts in ξ along v direction and directions perpendicular to v many times, for $i \in \{3, 4\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_v \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{3k+2c(m, k, l)-j-l} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k-4\epsilon M_t} |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+c(m, k, l)-4\epsilon M_t} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{[l-1, l+1];-j}(\omega + \tilde{v}) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t+2}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \varphi_j(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.124)$$

Moreover, an important observation here is that $\partial_{v_3}(\text{symbol})$ is better than $\nabla_{\varphi}(\text{symbol})$. More precisely, the following improved estimate holds for the third component of the kernels,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{v_3} \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| + 2^k |\partial_{v_3} \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(\mathbf{m})(y, \omega, v, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{3k+2c(m, k, l)+2\epsilon M_t-j} (2^{-l+\max\{l, p\}} + 2^{-n+\max\{n, p\}}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k-4\epsilon M_t} |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+c(m, k, l)-4\epsilon M_t} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{[l-1, l+1];-j}(\omega + \tilde{v}) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t+2}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \varphi_j(v) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.125)$$

Based on the possible size of p , we split further into two sub-cases as follows.

† If $p \leq l + \epsilon M_t$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (4.124) and (4.125), the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r;p;non}^{\mu,m;p;non}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{l, p\}+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m, k, l)+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2n+12\epsilon M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (2^{m+3k+2c(m, k, l)} 2^{2n} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{l+16\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{m/2+2j+2n}, 2^{-(k+2l)/2+2j+2n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3+20\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -M_t^*/3-40\epsilon M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.126)$$

† If $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$. Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \tilde{\omega}) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\varphi)$, for this sub-case, we have $|\varphi|/|v| \sim 2^p$. Moreover, from the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$. Hence, it would be sufficient to consider

the case $p + j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (4.124) and (4.125), the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r;ess}^{\mu,m,i;p;non}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{l,p\}} \left(\min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2n+12\epsilon M_t}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)} 2^{2n} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{p+16\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{m/2+2j+2n}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{30\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+2\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+40\epsilon)M_t^*/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.127)$$

Recall the decomposition in (4.103). To sum up, our desired estimate (4.101) holds from the obtained estimates (4.113), (4.120), and (4.121). The desired estimate (4.102) holds from the obtained estimates (4.118), (4.120), (4.123), (4.126), and the estimate (4.127). $\square$

Now, we move on to consider the relatively high frequency case, i.e., $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$, for which we use the second decomposition in (4.21) in Lemma 4.1. In the following Lemma, we first estimate the “T-part”.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(3/7+30\epsilon)M_t^*} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.128)$$

Proof. Recall (4.50). Let $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. After doing dyadic decomposition for the sizes of $\sin \theta$, $\sin \phi$, localizing the frequency around the fixed direction of $\tilde{\zeta}$, and using the volume of support of v, ω and estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1 for the case $p = -10M_t$ or $q = -10M_t$, we have

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta) \right| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{p,q \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \star \in \{ess, err\}}} |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| + \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \quad (4.129)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left[i\mu(\omega_{j,l,r}^{m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l,r}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)) K_{k;n}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) + (c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)) K_{k;n}^a(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) + (t-s)^{-1} (c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)) \tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta) \right] \\ &\quad \times f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \psi_\star(\omega, \zeta) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.130)$$

where the kernels $K_{k;n}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)$, $K_{k;n}^a(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)$, and $\tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)$ are defined in (4.51) and the cutoff functions $\psi_\star(\omega, \zeta)$, $\star \in \{ess, err\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\psi_{ess}(\omega, \zeta) := \psi_{\leq \max\{n, -(m+k)/2\} + \epsilon M_t/2}(\omega \times \tilde{\zeta}), \quad \psi_{err}(\omega, \xi) := \psi_{> \max\{n, -(m+k)/2\} + \epsilon M_t/2}(\omega \times \tilde{\zeta}). \quad (4.131)$$

Recall (4.51). After doing integration by parts in ξ in the direction of ζ and directions perpendicular to ζ or doing integration by parts in ξ in e_1, e_2, e_3 directions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|K_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta, \omega)| + |K_{k;n}(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)| + |K_{k;n}^a(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)| + 2^k |\tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{3k+2n} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \min \left\{ (1+2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1+2^{k+n} |y|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n} (2^n + |\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1} |y_3|)^{-N_0^3} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.132)$$

We first rule out the error type terms. Due to the cutoff function $\psi_{err}(\omega, \xi)$, see (4.131), after representing $G_{k,j;l,n}^{err;\mu,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)$ on the Fourier side and doing integration by parts in ω once, c.f., (2.7), we gain at least $2^{-\epsilon M_t/40}$ for the error type term. Hence, after doing integration by parts in ω many times, we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{err;\mu,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.133)$$

Now, we focus on the estimate of the essential part $G_{k,j;l,n}^{ess;\mu,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)$. Based on the possible size of i , we split into two cases as follows.

- If $i = 2$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), we have $l \geq n+3\epsilon M_t/4$ and $|\tilde{v}-\tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^l$. Since $u \times (u \times v) = uu \cdot v - vu \cdot u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{1r}, \delta_{2r}, \delta_{3r}) + \omega_r \hat{v} &= -\hat{v} \times (\hat{v} \times (\delta_{1r}, \delta_{2r}, \delta_{3r})) + (\delta_{1r}, \delta_{2r}, \delta_{3r}) \cdot (\hat{v} + \omega) \hat{v} + (1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v})(\delta_{1r}, \delta_{2r}, \delta_{3r}), \\ \hat{v} + \omega &= -\hat{v} \hat{v} \cdot \omega + \omega \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v} + \hat{v}(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega) + \omega(1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v}) = -\hat{v} \times (\hat{v} \times \omega) + \hat{v}(1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega) + \omega(1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.134)$$

From the above equalities, the detailed formulas of coefficients $\omega_{j,l}^{m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{q;m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{err;m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, in (4.38) and (4.43–4.46). we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| + |c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| \\ & + |\omega_{j,l,r}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l,r}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| \lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t} \varphi_{[l-1, l+1]; -j}(v/|v| + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{[m-1, m+1]; -10M_t}(t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (4.135)$$

Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split further into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (4.135), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the volume of support of (v, ω) , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m+\epsilon M_t} (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k+\epsilon M_t}) \min\{2^{3k+2n-j}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{2^{2n/3+(4\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t + j)/3}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^* + 4\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^* - 40\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.136)$$

⊕ If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (4.135), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of (v, ω) , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+3j+2l+2n}, 2^{m+2n+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{2^{2j/3+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t+n}, 2^{k/2+n}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^* + 4\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^* - 40\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.137)$$

We remark that, in the above estimate, we used the fact that $-m-2l \leq k$.

• If $i = 3, 4$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \xi| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + \epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + \epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

From the equalities in (4.134), the following estimate holds for the case we are considering,

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| + |c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| \\ & + |\omega_{j,l,r}^{m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l,r}^{m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)| \lesssim 2^{-l+n+\epsilon M_t} \varphi_{[l-1, l+1]; -j}(v/|v| + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{[m-1, m+1]; -10M_t}(t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (4.138)$$

From the above estimate of coefficients, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the volume of support of (v, ω) , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-l+n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{2l} \min\{2^{m+3j+2p+q}, 2^{m+3j+2\max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}}, 2^{m+j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\}. \quad (4.139)$$

Moreover, by using the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2 for any fixed y , and the estimate of kernels in (4.132) we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-2m-j-3l+n+\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.140)$$

Based on the possible size of p , we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $p \leq \max\{n + \epsilon M_t, -j\} + \epsilon M_t$.

After combining the estimates (4.139) and (4.140), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{3\epsilon M_t} \min\{(2^{m+3j+l+2p+n})^{2/3} (2^{-2m-j-3l+n})^{1/3}, (\min\{2^{m+n+l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}, 2^{m+n+l}, 2^{3j+2p})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{-2m-j-3l+n})^{1/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{7\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{5j/3+n+4p/3-l/3}, 2^{k/2+n} \min\{2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{j+p}\}\} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{10\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -3M_t^*/7-30\epsilon M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.141)$$

We remark that, in the above estimate, we used the fact that $-m-2l \leq k$.

⊕ If $p \geq \max\{n + \epsilon M_t, -j\} + \epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\phi| \sim 2^{p+j}$. Moreover, we have $m+p \geq m+l+\epsilon M_t \geq -k-l+2\epsilon M_t$. From the estimate in (1.15), we can rule out the case $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, i.e., $p+j \geq \alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t$. Now, it would be sufficient to consider the case $p+j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$.

Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split further into two sub-cases as follows.

† $|\phi| \geq 2^{m+p-\epsilon M_t}$.

Note that, for the sub-case we are considering, we have

$$\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_t/2}), \quad |\phi - y| \sim |\phi|.$$

From the estimate of the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-l+n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-m-p-q} |\phi|^{-1} 2^{-j} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-2m-2p-q-j-l+n+2\epsilon M_t}.$$

After combing the above estimate and the estimate (4.139), we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-2m-2p-q-j-l+n}, 2^{m+3j+l+2p+q+n}\} \lesssim 2^{-m/2+j+n+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.142)$$

Moreover, after combining the above estimate, and the obtained estimate (4.139), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m/2+j+\epsilon M_t}, 2^m 2^{3j+2 \max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}+l}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} \min\{(2^{-m/2+j+\epsilon M_t})^{2/3} (2^{m+3j+2 \max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}+l})^{1/3}, 2^{(k+2l)/2+j+\epsilon M_t}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{10\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -M_t^*/3-30\epsilon M_t^*}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.143)$$

where we used the fact that $-m-2l \leq k$.

† If $|\not x| \leq 2^{m+p-\epsilon M_t}$.

Note that, for the sub-case we are considering, we have

$$\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_t/2}), \quad |\not x - \not y + (t-s)\not \phi| \sim |(t-s)\not \phi| \sim 2^{m+p}.$$

From the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate of coefficients in (4.138), and the volume of support of ω for fixed v , we have

$$|G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m+3k+3n-l+\epsilon M_t} 2^{2l} \frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}}{2^{m+p}} 2^{-j} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{2k+2n+l-p-j+2\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.144)$$

From the above estimate and the obtained estimates (4.139) and (4.140), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-2m-j-3l+n}, 2^{m+l+n+3j+2 \min\{\max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}, p\}}, 2^{2k+2n+l-p-j+\epsilon M_t}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{(2^{-2m-j-3l+n})^{1/4} (2^{m+l+n+3j+2 \min\{\max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}, p\}})^{3/4}, (2^{m+l+n+3j+2 \min\{\max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}, p\}})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{2k+2n+l-p-j+\epsilon M_t})^{1/4} (2^{-2m-j-3l+n})^{1/4}\} \lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k/2+5n/4+3 \min\{\max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}, p\}/4+j}, 2^{2j+n+3 \max\{l, n+\epsilon M_t\}/2}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{30\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(2/5+30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.145)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (4.128) holds from the obtained estimates (4.136), (4.137), (4.141), (4.143), and (4.145). $\square$

Lastly, we estimate the S -part of the decomposition in (4.21) in Lemma 4.1. Similar to the decomposition we did in (4.129), after using the decomposition of the acceleration force in (2.24), doing dyadic decomposition for $\sin \theta, \sin \phi$, using the volume of support of v, ω and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1 for the case $p = -10M_t$ or $q = -10M_t$, and ruling out the error type case, i.e., the case when $|\omega \times \tilde{\zeta}| \gtrsim 2^{\max\{n, -(m+k)/2\}+\epsilon M_t/2}$, we have

$$|\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{S;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{S;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{p,q \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, a \in \{1,2\}} \tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;a}(t, x, \zeta) + \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \quad (4.146)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;a}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [(t-s)K_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta, \omega) + \tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\times EB^a(t, s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \\ &\times \psi_{ess}(\omega, \zeta) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.147)$$

where the cutoff function $\psi_{ess}(\omega, \zeta)$ is defined in (4.131).

In the following Lemma, we first estimate $\tilde{K}_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $i = 2$. More precisely, we have

Lemma 4.8. *Let $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $m+k \geq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q;2;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{100\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\iota)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-75\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \quad (4.148)$$

Proof. Recall (4.147) and (4.25). Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), and the assumption that $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$, we have $l \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4 > \bar{l}_2$, and $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^l$, which implies that $2^l \sim 2^r$. Recall (4.134). As a result of direct computations, we have

$$|\nabla_v \left(\frac{m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-l}. \quad (4.149)$$

Based on the possible size of m, k, l, n , we separate into two cases as follows.

- If $m + k \leq -2n + 2\epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate (4.149), the estimate of kernel in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-l+\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n-j} (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) 2^{2\epsilon M_t}, 2^m \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2(\tilde{\alpha}_t + \epsilon)M_t}\} (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k})\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j/2+4\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k/4+n/2+j/2} \min\{2^{(j+l)/2}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2}\}, 2^{2j/3+n/3} \min\{2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3}, 2^{2j/3+2l/3}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.150)$$

$$\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{100\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-75\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \quad (4.151)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, i.e., $-m/2 - k/2 \leq n - \epsilon M_t/2$.

From the estimate of kernel in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l+4\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2n} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} (2^{-2m} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+4n)/4+j/2} \min\{2^{j/2}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/2-l/2}\}, 2^{j+2n/3+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_t^*/2-75\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\}] \end{aligned} \quad (4.152)$$

We remark that, in the above estimate, we used the fact that $-m \leq k + 2n$. To sum up, our desired estimate (4.148) holds from the obtained estimates (4.151) and (4.152). $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \\ \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+7M_t^*/6}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2+20\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.153)$$

Proof. Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + \epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + \epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, recall (4.134) and (4.25), as a result of direct computations, we have

$$|\nabla_v \left(\frac{m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l-\min\{l, n\}+n+\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.154)$$

Based on the possible sizes of p , we split into two cases as follows.

- If $p \leq l + \epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate (4.154), the estimate of kernels $K_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta, \omega)$ and $\tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m})(y, \zeta)$ in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,3;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l, n\}+n+\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2m+3j+2l})^{1/2} (\min\{2^{m+2l+3j+2p}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+j/2-\min\{l, n\}+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{(2^{m+2l+3j+2p})^{1/2}, (2^{m+4l+3j})^{1/3} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/6}, (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\min\{2^{n+4j/3}, 2^{k+n}\} \mathbf{1}_{l > -j} + \min\{1 + 2^{n+j}, 2^{k/2+n}\} \mathbf{1}_{l = -j}) \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{35\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -M_t^*/3-30\epsilon M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.155)$$

- If $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$.

Note that, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{l;-j}(\omega + \tilde{v}) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta)$, we have $|\not{p}| \sim 2^{p+j}$. From the estimate in (1.15), we can rule out the case $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, i.e., $p + j \geq \alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t$. Now, it would be sufficient to consider the case $p + j \leq \alpha_t M_t + \epsilon M_t$. Based on the possible size of $|\not{p}|$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

- If $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Similar to what we did in (2.54), after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the estimate of kernels in (4.132), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,3;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{|\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3j+2l} \min\{2^{2p+q}, 2^{2n+2\epsilon M_t}\}\} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times (2^{-2m} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{j/2-\min\{l,n\}+n+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{2^{-m/2+j+l}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3j+2l+2n}\} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} (1 + \min\{2^{k/4+j+n}, 2^{k+n}, 2^{m/2+2j+2n}\} \mathbf{1}_{l \leq n+\epsilon M_t}) \\
&\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{30\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2+40\epsilon)M_t^*/2}]. \quad (4.156)
\end{aligned}$$

⊕ If $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Let $\{\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2\}$ be a fixed orthonormal frame. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,3;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2m} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \quad (4.157)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{3k+2n} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} (|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1| + |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2|))^{-N_0^3} f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, \zeta) \\
&\times \varphi_j(v) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds. \quad (4.158)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, on the Fourier side, after localizing the frequency around the fixed direction of v , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q}(t, x, \zeta) &= \sum_{\star \in \{ess, err\}} J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q;\star}(t, x, \zeta), \\
J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q;\star}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{i(x+(t-s)\omega) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{k,n}(\xi) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_j(v) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \\
&\times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \psi_\star(v, \xi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\xi dv ds, \quad (4.159)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{ess}(v, \xi) := \psi_{\leq l+5}(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\xi})$, $\psi_{err}(v, \xi) := \psi_{>l+5}(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\xi})$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{k,n}(\xi)$ is defined as follows,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{k,n}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} 2^{3k+2n} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} (|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1| + |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2|))^{-N_0^3} dy = \hat{\mathfrak{R}}(2^{-k}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}), 2^{-k-n}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1), 2^{-k-n}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2)),$$

where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} (1 + |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + (|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1| + |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2|))^{-N_0^3} dy. \quad (4.160)$$

Note that $m+k \geq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$ and $p \geq l+\epsilon M_t$. By doing integration by parts in ω many times for the error type term, we have

$$\left| \sum_{q \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q;err}(t, x, \zeta) \right| \lesssim 2^{-100M_t}. \quad (4.161)$$

For the essential part $J_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p;ess}(t, x, V)$, in terms of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q;ess}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi K_{c(m,k,l),n}(y, \zeta, v) f(s, x - y + (t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_j(v) \psi_{<n+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \\
&\times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds, \quad (4.162)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{c(m,k,l),n}(y, \zeta, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \psi_{\leq l}(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\xi}) \hat{\mathfrak{R}}(2^{-k}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}), 2^{-k-n}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1), 2^{-k-n}(\xi \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2)) d\xi. \quad (4.163)$$

Note that $|\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$ and $|\not{x}|/|v| \sim 2^p$. By doing integration by parts in ξ along v direction and directions perpendicular to v or along $e_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ directions many times, the following estimate holds for the kernel $K_{c(m,k,l),n}(y, \zeta, \omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{c(m,k,l),n}(y, \zeta, \omega)| &\lesssim 2^{3k+\epsilon^2(k+M_t)+2\min\{l,n\}} \min\{(1 + 2^{k-\epsilon M_t} |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} \\
&\times (1 + 2^{k+\min\{l,n\}} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1 + 2^{k+\min\{l,n\}} |\not{y}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + (2^{-k-l+p} + 2^{-k-n+\max\{n+\epsilon M_t, p\}})^{-1} |y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\}. \quad (4.164)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, for the case we are considering, we have $m+p \geq -k-l+\epsilon M_t$ and $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\not{\phi}| \sim 2^{m+p}$ if $|\not{y}| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_t/2}$. Moreover, for any fixed v , the volume of support of ω and ϕ are bounded as follows,

$$|Vol_{ess,v}(supp(\omega))| \lesssim \min\{2^{2p+q}, 2^{2l}, 2^{p+q+l}\}, \quad |Vol_{ess,v,\theta}(\phi)| \lesssim \min\{2^{l-p}, 1\}.$$

From the above estimate of kernel, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t - s)\omega$, the volume of support of ω , and the cylindrical symmetry of solution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p,q;ess}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \frac{2^{3k+2\min\{l,n\}+\epsilon(k+2M_t)} 2^{-k-\min\{l,n\}} (2^{-k-l+p} + 2^{-k-n+\max\{n+\epsilon M_t, p\}}) 2^{l-p-2j}}{2^{m+p}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-m+k+l-p-j+\epsilon^2 k+3\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.165)$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (4.161), and the estimate (4.157) and using the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,3;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{2^{-m+k+l-p-j+\epsilon^2 k+3\epsilon M_t} + 2^{-100M_t}, 2^m 2^{3j+2l+2\min\{\max\{l,n+\epsilon M_t, p\}\}}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (2^{-2m} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^{10\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2j+2\max\{l,n\}}, 2^{-m/6+k/3-l/3+\max\{l,n\}+2j/3}\}) \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^{10\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2j+2\max\{l,n\}}, 2^{k/2+\max\{l,n\}+2j/3}\}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2M_t^*/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+7M_t^*/6}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2+20\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.166)$$

Recall (4.146). To sum up, after combining the obtained estimates (4.155), (4.156), and (4.166), our desired estimate (4.153) holds. $\square$

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1 and the assumption $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$, the following estimate holds if $n \geq (1 - 2\alpha^* - 35\epsilon)M_t^*$, $j \leq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_t^*$, or $k + 2j \leq (2 - 50\epsilon)M_t^*$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ &\quad + 2^{120\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.167)$$

Proof. Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), and the assumption that $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$, we have $l \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4 > \bar{l}_2$ and $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^l$, which implies that $2^l \sim 2^r$. Note that, besides the rough estimate of the gradient of coefficients in (4.149), the following improved estimate holds for the ∂_{v_3} derivative of coefficients,

$$|\partial_{v_3} \left(\frac{m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}+\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.168)$$

Recall (4.147) and (2.26). From the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the above estimate and the estimate of coefficients in (4.149), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m+3k+2n-j+\max\{p,l\}+2\epsilon M_t} f(s, x - y + (t - s)\omega, v) |B(s, x - y + (t - s)\omega)| \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{(1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1 + 2^{k+n} |\tilde{y}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} (2^n + |\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1} |y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\} \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t - s) \psi_{ess}(\omega, \zeta) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{[-l-1, l+1]; \bar{l}_2}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dv dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.169)$$

Based on different sizes of parameters, e.g., $m + k, l, n, m + p, k + n, |\not{p}|$, we separate into four cases as follows.

- If $m + k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Recall (4.131). For this case, the volume of support of ω for the main part is bounded by $2^{-m-k+\epsilon M_t}$. Therefore, after using the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω and v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{p,l\}+3\epsilon M_t} (2^{2k+2n} \min\{2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{-k} \min\{2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\}, 2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{m/2} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.170)$$

$$\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{120\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\epsilon)M_t^*}\}]. \quad (4.171)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$ and $m + p \leq -k - n + 2\epsilon M_t$.

For this case, the volume of support of ω for the main part is bounded by $2^{2n+2\epsilon M_t}$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω and v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{p}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^{m+3k+2n+2\min\{p,n\}} \min\{2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) 2^{m-j+\max\{p,l\}+3\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2\min\{p,n\}} \min\{2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k/2-n} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{m/2} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{k+n/2+\min\{p,n\}/2}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.172)$$

$$\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4.5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+n)/2+j}, 2^{4(j+l)/3} 2^{-n/3+4\epsilon M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.173)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\not x| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Recall (4.169). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.73), by using the same strategy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{p,l\}+4\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\min\left\{ \frac{2^{-p-q}}{2^m |\not x|}, 2^{2k+n+\max\{n,p\}+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \right\} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{m-j+4\epsilon M_t} \left(2^{-m/2+\min\{n,p\}} \min\{2^j, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t-l}\} \right)^{1/2} \left(2^{-m+k+\max\{n,p\}-p} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(k+n)/2+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.174)$$

$$\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{120\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t^*}\}]. \quad (4.175)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\not x| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Recall (4.171). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.74), by using the same strategy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{p,l\}+5\epsilon M_t} \left(\frac{2^{k+\max\{n,p\}}}{2^{m+p}} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\min\{2^{m+2\min\{p,n\}} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(k+n)/2+2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.176)$$

$$\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{120\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t^*}\}]. \quad (4.177)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (4.167) holds from the obtained estimates (4.171), (4.173), (4.175) and (4.177). $\square$

Lemma 4.11. *Let $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1 and the assumptions that $m+k \geq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$ and $k+2j \geq (2-50\epsilon)M_t^*$, the following estimate holds if $n \leq (1-2\alpha^*-35\epsilon)M_t^*$, or $j \geq (1/2+3\iota+55\epsilon)M_t^*$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ &\quad + 2^{100\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{(2\alpha^*-1)M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.178)$$

Proof. Again, recall (4.25), due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), and the assumption that $m+k \geq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$, we have we have $l \geq n+3\epsilon M_t/4 > \bar{l}_2$ $|\tilde{v}-\tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^l$, and $2^l \sim 2^r$.

Recall (4.147). Similar to what we did in (4.77), after using the decomposition in (2.10) for the magnetic field, the following estimate holds from the estimate of kernels in (4.132) and the estimates of coefficients in (4.149) and (4.168),

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)|, \quad (4.179)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi 2^{m+3k+2n-j+\max\{p,l\}+3\epsilon M_t} f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) |B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega)| \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{(1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1+2^{k+n}|\not y|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}(2^n+|\tilde{\zeta} \times e_3|)^{-1}|y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\} \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{[-1,l+1];\tilde{l}_2}(\tilde{v}+\omega) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \psi_{ess}(\omega, \zeta) \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dy dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.180)$$

Based on different sizes of parameters, e.g., $m+k, l, n, m+p, k+n, |\not x|$, we separate into four cases as follows.

- If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Recall (4.180). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.170), we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{m/2} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.181)$$

Alternatively, similar to the obtained estimate (4.80), if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k/2}, 2^{k-2j}, 2^{-2j/3}, 2^{4l/3+2n/3+2j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.182)$$

Similar to the obtained estimates (4.82) and (4.81), after combining the above two estimates (4.181) and (4.182) and the using the estimates (2.69) and (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.183)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$ and $m + p \leq -k - n + 2\epsilon M_t$.
Recall (4.180). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.172), we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k/2-n} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{k+n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.184)$$

Alternatively, similar to the obtained estimate (4.85), if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+3j+2l+2\min\{p,n\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m/2+n}, 2^{-2j/3+2n/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.185)$$

Similar to the obtained estimates (4.87) and (4.86), after combining the above two estimates (4.184) and (4.185) and the using the estimates (2.69) and (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.186)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m + p \geq -k - n + 2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.
Recall (4.180). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.174), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) 2^{m-j+4\epsilon M_t} \left(\min\{2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{2^{-p-q}}{2^m |\not{x}|} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{m/2+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2j+2l}, 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.187)$$

Alternatively, similar to the obtained estimate (4.90), if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{m-j+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m/2+\min\{n,p\}}, 2^{-2j/3}, 2^{-m/2+n/3-4(j+l)/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.188)$$

Similar to the obtained estimates (4.92) and (4.91), after combining the above two estimates (4.187) and (4.188) and using the estimates (2.69) and (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (4.189)$$

- If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m + p \geq -k - n + 2\epsilon M_t$ and $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\not{\phi}| \sim 2^{m+p}$ for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\min\{p,n\}}$. Based on the possible size of n , we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $\min\{p, n\} \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$.

Recall the estimate (4.180). Similar to the obtained estimate (4.97), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q,2;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j+9\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2} + 2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) \min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{8\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{\min\{n,p\}/2} + 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4} 2^{3\min\{n,p\}/4}) \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.190)$$

⊕ If $\min\{p, n\} \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (4.176), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right) 2^{m-j+\max\{p,l\}+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \left(\frac{2^{k+\max\{n,p\}}}{2^{m+p}} 2^{3j+2l} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-m/2+k/2+\max\{n,p\}/2-p/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.191)$$

Alternatively, note that the obtained estimate (4.188) is also valid if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ . Similar to the obtained estimates (4.96) and (4.95), after combining the above two estimates (4.191) and (4.188) and using the estimates (2.69) and (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ &+ 2^{100\epsilon M_t^*} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{(2\alpha^*-1)M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.192)$$

Recall (4.179). The desired estimate (4.178) holds from the above estimate, and the obtained estimates (4.183), (4.186), (4.189), and (4.190). $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\epsilon)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -((1+3\epsilon)/2+30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \quad (4.193)$$

Proof. Again, due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + 2\epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, note that, besides the rough estimate of the gradient of coefficients obtained in (4.154), the following improved estimate holds for the ∂_{v_3} derivative of coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{v_3}(\frac{m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega)}{1 + \tilde{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega))| \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\phi) &\lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t} (2^{-j-2l+n+\max\{l,p\}} + 2^{-j-l+\max\{p,n+\epsilon M_t\}}) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+2\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.194)$$

Recall (4.147) and (2.26). From the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate of coefficients in (4.154) and (4.194), and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (H_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,i;B}(t, x, \zeta))^{1/2} (H_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,i;f}(t, x, \zeta))^{1/2}, \quad (4.195)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,i;B}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{3k+2n} |B(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega)|^2 \min\{(1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}|y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, (1+2^{k+n}|y|)^{-N_0^3} \\ &\quad \times (1+2^{k+n-\max\{-j,p,n+\epsilon M_t\}}|y_3|)^{-N_0^3}\} \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.196)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,i;f}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{3k+2n} (1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+n}(|y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_1| + |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_2|))^{-N_0^3} f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.197)$$

where $\{\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\zeta}_1, \tilde{\zeta}_2\}$ is a fixed orthonormal frame.

By using a similar argument as in the estimate of $J_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p}(t, x, \zeta)$ in (4.159), after localizing the frequency around v , using the stationary phase type argument for the error type term, and using similar estimates as in (4.162–4.164) for the essential type term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,i;B}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} 2^{3k+\epsilon^2(k+M_t)+2\min\{l,n\}+3\epsilon M_t} (1+2^{k-\epsilon M_t}|y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} (1+2^{k+\min\{l,n\}-\epsilon M_t}(|y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-N_0^3} \\ &\quad \times |B(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega)|^2 \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) d\omega dy dv ds + 2^{-100M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.198)$$

Based on the possible sizes of $m + p, k + l$, and $|x|$ and the relative sizes of p and l , we split into three cases as follows.

- If $m + p \leq -k - \min\{l, n\} + \epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this case, we have $p + \min\{l, n\} \leq 2l$. From the obtained estimates (4.195) and (4.198), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the conservation law (1.3), and the volume of support of ω and v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{p,l\}+8\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+3k+\epsilon(k+M_t)+2\min\{l,n\}} 2^{2p} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3j+2\min\{l,n\}+2p}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{p,l\}+10\epsilon M_t+\epsilon^2 k} \min\{2^{-k/2+2j}, 2^k\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{p,l\}+12\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{4j/3}, 2^{k/2+2j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{15\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k+4n)/2+2M_t^*/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/3+40\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.199)$$

- If $m + p \geq -k - \min\{l, n\} + \epsilon M_t$, and $|x| \geq 2^{m+p-10}$.

Recall (4.147). Similar to what we did in (2.54), after changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$, where

$$z(y) := \sqrt{(|x - y| + (t-s)\sin \theta \cos \phi)^2 + (t-s)^2(\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2} \quad w(y) := x_3 - y_3 + (t-s)\cos \theta, \quad (4.200)$$

and using the estimate (4.195), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate of coefficients in (4.154) and (4.194), the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|y| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (2^{-2m-2p-q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{m/2+\max\{l,n\}+\max\{l,p\}+9\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2j+l}, 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t-l}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \min\{2^{n+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t+10\epsilon M_t}, 2^{l+\max\{l,n\}+2j+5\epsilon M_t}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.201)$$

We remark that in the above estimate, we used the fact that $|\phi| \sim 2^{p+j}$ if $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$.

Alternatively, from the estimate (4.195), the estimate (4.198), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+3k+\epsilon^2 k+2\min\{l,n\}+2p+q+3j+2l}, 2^{-2m-2p-q+3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-3j/2-\min\{l,n\}/2+n+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} (2^{-m/2+(3+\epsilon^2)k/2+3j})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-m/4-l/2+(3+\epsilon)k/4+n/2+\max\{l,n\}/2+8\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(4+\epsilon)k/4+n/2+\max\{l,n\}/2+8\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $m + 2l \geq -k$.

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimates (4.201), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ & + 2^{50\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+3\iota)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/3+2\iota+30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.202)$$

- If $m + p \geq -k - \min\{l, n\} + \epsilon M_t$, and $|\phi| \leq 2^{m+p-10}$.

For this case, we have $|\phi - \psi + (t-s)\phi| \sim 2^{m+p}$ if $|\psi| \leq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}$. Based on the possible size of n , we split further into two sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $n \leq -(1/2 + 3\iota/2 + 30\epsilon)M_t^*$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (4.97), from the estimate of coefficients in (4.154) and (4.194), the point-wise estimate of magnetic field (2.72) in Lemma 2.8, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω and v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ & \times (2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2}) \lesssim 2^{\max\{l,n\}+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^{m+2\min\{n,p\}+2j+l})^{3/4} \\ & \times (2^{-m-2j-3l})^{1/4} (2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2}) \lesssim 2^{\max\{l,n\}+\max\{l,p\}+j+3\min\{n,p\}/2+5m/4+8\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times (2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{5M_t/4+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4} + 2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} 2^{-(m+p)/2}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-20\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.203)$$

We remark that in the above estimate, we used the fact that $|\phi| \sim 2^{p+j}$ if $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$ and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$.

- ⊕ If $n \geq -(1/2 + 3\iota/2 + 30\epsilon)M_t^*$.

From the obtained estimates (4.195), (4.196), and (4.197), the cylindrical symmetry of the electromagnetic field, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{m+2\min\{p,n\}+3j+2l}, 2^{m+2\min\{l,p\}+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{3k+2n} 2^{-k-n-k-n+\max\{-j,p,n+\epsilon M_t\}} 2^{-(m+p)} \min\{2^{j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}, 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m/4+k/2+n+\max\{-j,p,n+\epsilon M_t\}/2-p/2+\max\{l,p\}+8\epsilon M_t} (2^{\min\{n,p\}+j} \mathbf{1}_{l=-j, l>n+\epsilon M_t} \\ & + 2^{\min\{n,p\}/2} \min\{2^j, 2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t-l}\} \mathbf{1}_{l \leq n+\epsilon M_t}) \lesssim 2^{(k+3n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*+10\epsilon M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{30\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+3n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+M_t^*}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.204)$$

In the above estimate, we used the fact that $|\phi| \sim 2^{p+j}$ if $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$ and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$.

To sum up, our desired estimate (4.193) holds after combining the obtained estimates (4.199), (4.202), (4.203), and (4.204). $\square$

To sum up, the main results of this subsection can be summarized in the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{128\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+50\epsilon)M_t^*}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.205)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=3,4} |T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{128\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(7/6+5\iota/2)M_t^*}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(1/2+3\iota/2+40\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.206)$$

Proof. Recall the G-S type decomposition in (4.21) and the decomposition of the S-part in (4.146). The desired estimate (4.205) holds from the estimate (4.101) in Lemma 4.6, the estimate (4.128) in Lemma 4.7, the estimate (4.148) in Lemma 4.8, the estimate (4.167) in Lemma 4.10, and the estimate (4.178) in Lemma 4.11.

The desired estimate (4.206) holds from the estimate (4.102) in Lemma 4.6, the estimate (4.128) in Lemma 4.7, the estimate (4.153) in Lemma 4.9, and the estimate (4.193) in Lemma 4.12. $\square$

4.4. Estimates of the projection of the magnetic field onto the z -axis. In this subsection, as byproducts of the previous subsections, due to the fact that $\mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \xi)$ is better than $\mathbf{P}(\hat{v} \times \xi)$ when $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are close to the north pole or the south pole, we show that the projection of the magnetic field onto the z -axis, $P_3(B(t, x))$, enjoy similar estimates as the acceleration force $T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$. These estimates will play important roles in later estimate of the elliptic part in section 6.

Recall (4.4). Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n, \tilde{n} \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed such that $|\zeta|/|\zeta| \leq 2^{\tilde{n}-10}$, we define

$${}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} \mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \xi) |\xi|^{-1} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{\zeta}) d\xi dv ds. \quad (4.207)$$

Based on the possible size of the angle between v and $\pm\zeta$, we split ${}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta)$ into two parts as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta) &:= {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;1}(B)(t, x, \zeta) + {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta), \\ {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;i}(B)(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu(t-s)|\xi|} \mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \xi) |\xi|^{-1} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{\tilde{n}}^i(v, \zeta) d\xi dv ds, \\ \varphi_{\tilde{n}}^1(v, \zeta) &:= \psi_{\geq \tilde{n}+5}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}), \quad \varphi_{\tilde{n}}^2(v, \zeta) := \psi_{< \tilde{n}+5}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.208)$$

For the second part ${}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)$, similar to the obtained decomposition in (4.29) for the case $i = 3$, we have

$${}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} {}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta), \quad (4.209)$$

where as in (4.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} ((t-s) \mathbf{P}_3(\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,B}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)) + \mathbf{P}_3(\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,B}(y, v, \zeta))) f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{\tilde{n}}^2(v, \zeta) \varphi_j(v) \varphi_{l;-j}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.210)$$

where the kernels $\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{\mu,B}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$ and $\mathfrak{K}_{k;n}^{err;\mu,B}(y, \omega, v, \zeta)$ are defined in (4.33).

To sum up, from (4.207), (4.208), and (4.209), for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3$, after doing dyadic decomposition for the size of frequency and the angle between ξ and $\pm\zeta$, we have the following decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_3(B(t, x)) &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu \in \{+, -\}, n \geq \tilde{n}}} {}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta) + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu \in \{+, -\}, n \leq \tilde{n}}} {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;1}(B)(t, x, \zeta) + {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta), \\ {}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta) &= \sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} {}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (4.211)$$

where the index sets $\mathcal{S}_i(t)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, are defined in (2.68).

For ${}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta)$ and ${}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;1}(B)(t, x, \zeta)$, we have the following L_x^∞ -type estimates.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n, \tilde{n} \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $t^* \in [0, T)$, $\alpha^* := 2/3 + \iota$, $\iota := 10^{-4}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $t \in [0, t^*]$ be fixed s.t., $\alpha_t M_t \leq \alpha^* M_{t^*}$, $M_t \gg 1$, and $|\zeta|/|\zeta| \leq 2^{\tilde{n}-10}$. If $n \leq \tilde{n}$, then the following estimate holds,*

$$\|{}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;1}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{130\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+(1+6\iota)M_t}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (4.212)$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds,

$$\|{}^zT_{k,n}^\mu(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-n} [2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{130\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+2n)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}]. \quad (4.213)$$

Proof. Recall that $|\zeta|/|\zeta| \leq 2^{\tilde{n}-10}$. For any $(v, \xi) \in \text{supp}(\psi_n(\tilde{\zeta} \times \tilde{\xi}) \psi_l(\tilde{v} \times \tilde{\zeta}))$, where $l \geq \tilde{n} + 5$, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \tilde{\xi})| \lesssim 2^{2l}.$$

Thanks to the above estimate, the upper bound of the symbol $\mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \tilde{\xi})$ is at least as good as the upper bound $2^{l+\max\{l, n\}+\epsilon M_t}$ we used for the symbol $(\hat{v} - \zeta) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi/|\xi|)$ of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,

after rerunning the arguments used in subsection 4.2 and subsection 4.3, our desired estimate (4.212) holds after combining the estimate (4.99) in Proposition 4.2 and the estimate (4.205) in Proposition 4.3.

Similarly, the symbol $2^n(\hat{v} \times \xi/|\xi|)$ is at least as good as the upper bound $2^{l+\max\{l,n\}+\epsilon M_t}$ we used for the symbol $(\hat{v} - \zeta) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi/|\xi|)$ of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu;2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu;2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, in subsection 4.2 and subsection 4.3. Recall the decomposition (4.208). After rerunning the arguments used in subsection 4.2 and subsection 4.3, the desired estimate (4.213) holds after combining the estimate (4.212) and the estimate (4.206) in Proposition 4.3. $\square$

For ${}^zT_{k,n}^{\mu;2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)$, comparing with the dichotomy obtained in Proposition 2.2, we have the following improved dichotomy, in which the corresponding estimates are improved by a factor of $2^{\tilde{n}}$.

Lemma 4.14. *For any fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $t \in [0, T)$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n, \tilde{n} \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in [-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $n \leq \tilde{n}$, $|\zeta|/|\xi| \leq 2^{\tilde{n}-10}$, we have*

$$\sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} \|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}), \quad (4.214)$$

$$\sum_{(m,k,j,l) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} (\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty})^{1/2} (\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^2_x})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}). \quad (4.215)$$

Proof. Recall (4.208). Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split into two cases as follows.

- If $m+k \leq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$.

Recall (4.210). Due to the fact that $|\mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \xi)|$ is of size $2^{\tilde{n}}|\hat{v} \times \xi|$, with minor modifications in obtaining the estimates in Lemma 2.10, the following estimate holds if $m+l \geq -M_t-100\epsilon M_t$ and $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty})^{1/2} (\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^2})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+M_t/2+10\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.216)$$

If $m+l \leq -M_t-100\epsilon M_t$, or $j \in [(1+2\epsilon)M_t, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t-50\epsilon M_t} (1+2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)M_t})^{-3}. \quad (4.217)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2l+4\epsilon M_t$.

Again, due to the fact that $|\mathbf{P}_3(\hat{v} \times \xi)|$ is of size $2^{\tilde{n}}|\hat{v} \times \xi|$, with minor modifications in obtaining the estimate (2.96) in Lemma 2.9 and the estimate (2.106) in Lemma 2.11, the following estimate holds

$$\|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \min\{2^{\tilde{n}+m+j+2l+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{-1000M_t}, 2^{-(1-3\epsilon)k+4j+20\epsilon M_t}\}. \quad (4.218)$$

As in (4.47), the following estimate holds for ${}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)$ after using the decomposition of the acceleration force in (2.24) and the error case in which angle between ω and $\pm\xi$ is less than $c(m, k, l) := \min\{\max\{-m-k-l, -m/2-k/2\} + 5\epsilon M_t, 10\}$,

$$|{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 1 + |{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{T;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| + |{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{S,1;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| + |{}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{S,2;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)|, \quad (4.219)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{T;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [i\mu \mathbf{P}_3(\omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)) K_{k;n}(1)(y, \zeta) + \mathbf{P}_3(c_{j,l}^{q;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)) K_{k;n}^q(1)(y, \zeta) \\ &+ (t-s)^{-1} \mathbf{P}_3(c_{j,l}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)) \tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_n^2(v, \zeta) \varphi_j(v) \psi_{\leq \tilde{n}+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} + \omega) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.220)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^zT_{k,j;n,l}^{S,i;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi_{m;-10M_t, c_t}(t-s) [(t-s) K_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta, \omega) + \tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\times EB^i(t, s, x-y, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}_3(m_B(v, \omega)) \varphi_{j,-l}(v, \omega)}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_n^2(v, \zeta) \varphi_j(v) \right) \psi_{\leq \tilde{n}+\epsilon M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} + \omega) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.221)$$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the coefficients $\omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{q;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)$, and $c_{j,l}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega)$ are defined in (2.18), (4.46) and (4.44), and the kernels $K_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta, \omega)$, $\tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta)$, $K_{k;n}(1)(y, \zeta)$, and $K_{k;n}^q(1)(y, \zeta)$ are defined in (4.51).

After doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, we have

$$|K_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta, \omega)| + |K_{k;n}(1)(y, \zeta)| + |K_{k;n}^q(1)(y, \zeta)| + 2^k |\tilde{K}_{k;n}^\mu(1)(y, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{3k+2n} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \quad (4.222)$$

Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_n^2(v, \zeta)$, the essential part vanishes if $l > \max\{-j, \tilde{n} + \epsilon M_t\}$. Hence, it would be sufficient to consider the case $l \leq \max\{-j, \tilde{n} + \epsilon M_t\}$. Based on the estimate (4.219), we split into three parts as follows.

- The estimate of T part.

Recall (4.220) and the definition of coefficients $m_B(v, \omega)$, $\omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{g;m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$, and $c_{j,l}^{err;m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$ in (2.16), (2.18), (4.46) and (4.44). From the estimate of kernels in (4.222), the estimate in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|z T_{k,j;n,l}^{T;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}-l+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+2l+j+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t}\} \lesssim 2^{-m+\tilde{n}+2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/3-j/3-5l/3+20\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.223)$$

- The estimate of S_1 part.

Recall (4.221) and the definition of coefficients $m_B(v, \omega)$ in (2.16). From the estimate of kernels in (4.222), the estimate in Lemma 2.2 and the volume of support, we have

$$|z T_{k,j;n,l}^{S,1;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m+\tilde{n}-j-l-\min\{l, \tilde{n}\}+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2m+3j+2\min\{l, \tilde{n}\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-m-2l+\tilde{n}+5\epsilon M_t}. \quad (4.224)$$

- The estimate of S_2 part.

Recall (4.221). For this case, with minor modification in obtaining the estimate (2.71) in Proposition 2.8, we have

$$|z T_{k,j;n,l}^{S,2;\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}). \quad (4.225)$$

Recall (4.219). After combining the obtained estimates (4.223–4.225), we have

$$\|z T_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu;m,2}(B)(t, x, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\tilde{n}+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/6+\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/4}) (1 + 2^{-m-j-2l}). \quad (4.226)$$

Therefore, the desired estimates (4.214) and (4.215) hold after combining the obtained estimates (4.216–4.218), the estimate (4.226), the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, and the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1. $\square$

5. POINT-WISE ESTIMATES OF THE PROJECTION OF THE ACCELERATION FORCE ONTO THE HORIZONTAL PLANE

The main goal of this section is devoted to prove the following Proposition, which will be used as a basic estimate together with the iterative scheme to prove the Lemma 3.1 in section 6.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $t^* \in [0, T]$, $\alpha^* := 2/3 + \iota$, $\iota := 10^{-4}$, $x, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, t^*]$, and Fourier symbol $m(\xi, \zeta) \in L_\xi^\infty \mathcal{S}^\infty$ be fixed s.t., $|\phi| \in (0, 2^{M_{t^*}/2}]$, $\alpha_t M_t \leq \alpha^* M_{t^*}$, $M_t \gg 1$, $|\zeta| \sim 2^{\gamma_1 M_{t^*}}$, $|\zeta| \sim 2^{\gamma_2 M_{t^*}}$, where $\gamma_1 \geq \alpha^* - 4\epsilon$, $\gamma_2 \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)$. Then the following estimate holds for any $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(T_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |T_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^\mu(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ & \lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \right. \\ & \quad + 2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \left[\min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}\} + \min\left\{ \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3} \} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2} \right] \Big], \quad (5.1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{0, 1/8, 1/6, 1/4, 1/3, 3/8, 1/2\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1 := \{n : n \in [(-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2 - 30\epsilon M_{t^*}, 2], n \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}]\} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2 := \{n : n \in [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}]\} \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Recall the decomposition in (4.6). The desired estimate (5.1) holds from the estimate (5.34) in Proposition 5.2 and the estimate (5.132) in Proposition 5.3. $\square$

5.1. Point-wise estimates of the projection of the acceleration force in the large angle region. For simplicity in notation, we still use the notation and terminology introduced in section 4. Recall the decomposition of $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, in (4.12). We first estimate the elliptic part.

Lemma 5.1. *Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds for any $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0,1} |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}}/2^{2(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ & \quad + |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}}/2 \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 24\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\}]. \quad (5.2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall (4.16) and (4.17). Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split it into two cases as follows.

- If $|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the estimate of the kernel in (4.56), the conservation law (1.3), and the volume of support of v , the estimate (1.15) in if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_{t^*}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_{t^*}+j}, 2^{-k+3j}, 2^{2k+2n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{3\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+4n/3+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

- If $|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the estimate of the kernel in (4.56), the conservation law (1.3), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, and the estimate (1.15) in if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{ell;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t+j}, 2^{-k+3j}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{2k+2n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim [|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{2n/3+j+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-24\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}-24\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Hence our desired estimate (5.2) holds after combining the above two estimates (5.3) and (5.4). $\square$

Now, we focus on the estimate of the bilinear part. Recall the obtained estimates in (4.59), (4.63), and (4.64). It would be sufficient to estimate the main parts $\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;a}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, in which we have $t-s \sim 2^m$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2\}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}+(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \right] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Recall (4.60). Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_r; \vartheta_0^*(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta})$ and $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$ in (4.5), we know that $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^r \gtrsim 2^{\vartheta_0^*}$ and $|\phi| \sim 2^{j+\max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}}$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2+\epsilon)M_{t^*}]$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $j + \max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} \leq (\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t + 10$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2+\epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

Based on the size of m, k, l , we split into two cases.

- If $m+k \leq -2n+4\epsilon M_{t^*}$.

Recall (4.60) and (4.61). Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If either $|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}$, or $|\phi| \leq 2^{m+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+\epsilon M_{t^*}} \left(\min\{2^{-m-k+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{m+3k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \right)^{1/2} (2^{-2m} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{k+n}, 2^{-m-\max\{l,r\}}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

- ⊕ If $|\phi| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_{t^*}} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

For this case, we have $|\phi - \psi + (t-s)\phi| \sim |\phi|$, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2})$. From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the cylindrical symmetry of solution, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) in if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}\}\} \right)^{1/2} (2^{-2m} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-r+\max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}-j}, 2^r\} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2+j/2+n/4}, |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(k+n)/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4+(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-60\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \\ &\quad \times |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

- If $m+k \geq -2n+4\epsilon M_{t^*}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the volume of support of the essential part of ω , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \\ &\times \left(\min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+2n} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \right)^{1/2} (2^{-2m} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-r+6\epsilon M_{t^*} + \max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{-m}, 2^{m/2+n} \min\{2^{2j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $|\phi| \leq 2^{m+\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the obtained estimate (5.8), we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.9)$$

⊕ If $|\phi| \geq 2^{m+\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the cylindrical symmetry of solution, the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{-2m} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (2^{m+2k+3n-j} |\phi|^{-1})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{m/2+k+3n/2+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)| \\ \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{2n/3+4\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{-m/2+n/3+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-\vartheta_0^*}, |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{m/2+k+3n/2}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \times [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2-3\vartheta_0^*}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.5) holds after combining the obtained estimates (5.6), (5.7), (5.9), and (5.10). $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m + 2j + l + r \leq \alpha^* M_{t^*} + 30\epsilon M_{t^*}$ or $n > -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon)M_{t^*}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &+ |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + 2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} + \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} (2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}\} \\ &+ |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*} - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. Again, due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_r; \vartheta_0^*(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta})$ and $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$ in (4.5), we know that $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^r \gtrsim 2^{\vartheta_0^*}$ and $|\phi| \sim 2^{j+\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}}$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $j + \max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t + 10$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (4.68) for $|\tilde{K}_{k,j;l,n}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)|$, from the estimate of kernels in (4.62), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{p,q \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)|, \quad (5.12)$$

where $H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)$ is defined in (4.69). Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split into three cases as follows.

• If $|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Based on the possible size of $m + k$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m + k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Recall the definition of the cutoff function $\varphi_{m;k,n}^{main}(\omega, \zeta)$ in (4.61). From the volume of support of ω , the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of (ω, v) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{-m-k} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+n} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{8\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + 2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

⊕ If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m + p \leq -k - n + 4\epsilon M_t$.

Recall again the definition of the cutoff function $\varphi_{m;k,n}^{main}(\omega, \zeta)$ in (4.61). Note that, the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\min\{n,p\}}$. Hence, from the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of (ω, v) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{2\min\{n,p\}} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_t^*} 2^{k+n} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{8\epsilon M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^* + 2\alpha^* M_t^* / 3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

⊕ If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+p \geq -k-n+4\epsilon M_t$.

For this subcase, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\phi| \sim 2^{m+p}$ for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$. Therefore, the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the cylindrical symmetry of solution, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of (ω, v) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} (2^{m+3k+2n} \frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{2\min\{n,p\}}}{2^{m+p}} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{6\epsilon M_t^*} 2^{k+n} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^* + 2\alpha^* M_t^* / 3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

• If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\not{x}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

For this sub-case, we only have to consider the case $m+p+k+n \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y}| \sim |\not{x}|$. Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+k \leq \alpha^* M_t^* + 62\epsilon M_t^*$.

Recall (4.69). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$, the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{y}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the volume of support of ω of the essential part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\}} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\}} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{-m} 2^{-p-q} |\not{x}|^{-1}, 2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{p+q} 2^{-m/2-k/2+\epsilon M_t^* / 2}\} \min\{2^{3j+2\min\{r,l\}}, 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t^*}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m-m-k+\epsilon M_t^* + j+2\alpha^* M_t^*}\})^{1/2} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + 7\epsilon M_t^*} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{-3m/4+3(m+k)/8}, 2^{3m/4-(m+k)/8} \min\{2^{2\alpha^* M_t^* - r}, 2^{j+\alpha^* M_t^*}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

$$\lesssim 2^{40\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_t^* / 8}, |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_t^* / 8}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.17)$$

⊕ If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+k \geq \alpha^* M_t^* + 62\epsilon M_t^*$.

Note that, for this case, we have $n \leq -(\alpha^*/2 + 31\epsilon)M_t^*$. Hence, by the assumption in the Lemma, we have $m+2j+r+l \leq \alpha^* M_t^* + 30\epsilon M_t^*$. From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$, and the volume of support of ω of the essential part, we have

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+p+q-(m+k)/2+3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (2^{-m} 2^{-p-q} |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} \quad (5.18)$$

$$\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2}) \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{-(m+k)/4 + \alpha^* M_t^* + 34\epsilon M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{3\alpha^* M_t^* / 4 + 34\epsilon M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^* / 2} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.19)$$

⊕ If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Recall again (4.61). Note that, the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{\min\{2n, 2p+q, p+q+n\}}$. From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$ and the volume of support of ϕ and the volume of support of the essential part of y_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-r+l+4\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} (\min\{2^{m+p+q+n+3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{-m-p-q} |\not{x}|^{-1}, 2^{3k+2n+q} 2^{-k-n+\max\{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*, n\} + \epsilon M_t^*}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{m+2j+r+l+n/2}, |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(2k+n+\max\{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*, n\})/4}\} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^* / 2} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t^*} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon)M_t^*} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 5\alpha^* M_t^* / 8}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t^* - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^* / 4}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

• If $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\not{\psi}| \sim |\not{x}|$. Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

From the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} 2^{m-j-r+l+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{-m-k} 2^{-k-n} |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} \\ &\times (\min\{2^m 2^{-m-k} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+n)/2}, 2^{m+2j+l+r+n/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

$$\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{30\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4} + 2^{(k+n)/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_t}]. \quad (5.23)$$

⊕ If $m+k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

From the estimate of kernels in (4.62), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$ (see e.g., (2.54)), and the volume of support of (ω, v) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-r+l+5\epsilon M_t} \\ &\times (|\not{x}|^{-1} \min\{2^{-m-p-q}, 2^{m+3k+2n+p+q+n} 2^{-k-n}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,r\}})^{1/2} (\min\{2^{m+p+q+n+3j+2\min\{l,r\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2j+r+l+n/2}, 2^{(k+n)/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

$$\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{30\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4} + 2^{(k+n)/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_t}]. \quad (5.25)$$

Recall (5.12). To sum up, the desired estimate (5.11) holds after combining the obtained estimates (5.13–5.15), (5.17), (5.19), (5.21), (5.23), and (5.25). $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m+2j+l+r \geq \alpha^* M_t + 30\epsilon M_t$ and $n \leq -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon)M_t$,*

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{main;m,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{a \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2\}} |\not{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (5.26)$$

Proof. For this case, we localize the magnetic field further by using the decomposition in (2.10) and use the decomposition obtained in (4.77).

Recall (4.78). From the estimate of kernels in (4.62) and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the following estimate holds,

$$|H_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \right) 2^{m-j-r+l+5\epsilon M_t} 2^{-2m-j-2l}. \quad (5.27)$$

From the above estimate and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, the following estimate holds if $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$,

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{15\epsilon M_t} 2^{-(m+2j+r+l)} 2^{2\alpha^* M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (5.28)$$

Therefore, from now on, we restrict ourselves to the case $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$. Based on the possible size of $|\not{x}|$, we split into three cases as follows.

- If $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Similar to the obtained estimates (5.13–5.15), regardless the size of $m+k$, we have

$$|H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right) |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{8\epsilon M_t}.$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (5.27), and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{8\epsilon M_t - (m+2j+r+l)/2} 2^{11\epsilon M_t} (2^{\tilde{\alpha}_t M_t} + 2^{7M_t/12 + \tilde{\alpha}_t M_t/8}) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t + 2 + (\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

- If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\not{x}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Based on the possible size of $m+k$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $m+k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m+k \leq \alpha^* M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (5.17), we have

$$|H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{40\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_t/8}, |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/8}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right)$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (5.27), and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{30\epsilon M_{t^*} + \alpha^* M_{t^*}} 2^{-(m+2j+2l)/2} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/16} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}/2 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon) M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

⊕ If $m + k \leq -2n + \epsilon M_t$, $m + k \geq \alpha^* M_{t^*}$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (5.18), we have

$$|H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{-(m+k)/4 + 34\epsilon M_{t^*} + (m+2j+r+l)} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right).$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (5.27), and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*} + 20\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{-(m+k)/8} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}/4 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon) M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

⊕ If $m + k \geq -2n + \epsilon M_t$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (5.20), we have

$$|H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{m+2j+r+l+n/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right).$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (5.27), and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*} + 20\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{n/4} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}/4 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon) M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

• If $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Similar to the obtained estimates (5.22) and (5.24), regardless the size of $m + k$, we have

$$|H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{m+2j+r+l+n/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right).$$

After combining the above estimate, the estimate (5.27), and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,j;l,n}^{m,i;p,q;\tilde{m},\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*} + 20\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{n/4} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}/4 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon) M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.26) holds from the obtained estimates (5.28–5.33). $\square$

To sum up, the main result of this subsection can be summarized as follows.

Proposition 5.2. *Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, for any $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following estimate holds for $i \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &|P(T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| + |{}^i P(\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| \\ &+ 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}} (|T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| + |\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{bil;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)|) \\ &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2\}} |\not{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*} + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon) M_{t^*}} + |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\not{x}| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\not{x}| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \left(\min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}\} + |\not{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon) M_{t^*}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^* M_t/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_{t^*}/3}\} \right) \right] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{S}^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition in (4.12) and the estimate (4.59). Our desired estimate (5.34) holds after combining the estimate (5.2) in Lemma 5.1, the obtained estimates (4.63) and (4.64), the estimate (5.5) in Lemma 5.2, the estimate (5.11) in Lemma 5.3, and the estimate (5.26) in Lemma 5.4. $\square$

5.2. Point-wise estimates of the projection of the acceleration force in the small angle region. In this sub-section, we mainly estimate $\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, the estimate of the localized acceleration force with a loss $2^{-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}}$ can be obtained as a byproduct, c.f., the LHS of (5.1), see also the proof of Proposition 5.3 at the end of this subsection.

We first consider the case $m + k$ is relatively small, i.e., the case $m + k \leq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}$. In this case, we mainly use the first formulation in Lemma 4.1.

Recall the decomposition we did in (4.103) and (4.106). Let $\omega = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$. After doing dyadic decomposition for the sizes of $\sin \theta, \sin \phi$ for the linear part and the nonlinear parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)) \\ &= \sum_{\star \in \{ess, err\}} \left[\sum_{p,q \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;\star}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta)) + \sum_{a=1,2} \sum_{p,q \in [-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;\star}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;\star}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} [(t-s)\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta) + \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)] f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;\star}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} EB^i(t, s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, \omega, v) \cdot \nabla_v ((t-s)\mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta) + \mathcal{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\star;err;\mu,E,i}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)) \\ &\quad \times f(s, x-y+(t-s)\omega, v) \varphi_{p;-10M_t}(\sin \theta) \varphi_{q;-10M_t}(\sin \phi) \varphi_{m;-10M_t}(t-s) d\omega dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

where the kernels appeared above are defined in (4.109).

Similar to the obtained estimates (4.110) and (4.111), it would be sufficient to focus on the estimate of essential parts $H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta)$ and $H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $p, q, r \in (-10M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(l, r) \in B_2$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \leq -2l + 4\epsilon M_t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \left[\sum_{a \in \{1/8, 1/4, 3/8, 1/2\}} |\hat{\zeta}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ & \quad + |\hat{\zeta}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{\zeta}| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 100\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{\zeta}| > 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} |\hat{\zeta}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \\ & \quad \left. \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \right] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Proof. Recall (5.36). Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)$, see (4.25) and (4.5), we have $n + 3\epsilon M_t/4 \leq r \leq l$, $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^r$. and $n \leq -M_t/100$.

Moreover, if $r \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$, then we have $|\hat{\zeta}|/|v| \sim 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + j}$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + j \geq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$. Hence, it would be sufficient to consider the case $\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $r \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

Recall (4.109), the result of computation of symbols in (4.55) and (4.9). For the case we are considering, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(m_E^0(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^0(\xi, v))| &\lesssim 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{2r}, 2^{2\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\}}\}, \\ |\mathbf{P}(m_E^1(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^1(\xi, v))| &\lesssim 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

- The estimate of $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))$.

Recall (4.107). From the estimate of the symbol in (5.39). The following estimate holds after doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))| + 2^k |\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4k+2n} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \\ & \quad \times \min\{2^{2r}, 2^{2\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\}}\} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

- ⊕ If $|\hat{\zeta}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.108). From the estimate of kernels in (5.40) and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{2\max\{l, c(m, k, l)\} + 4\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+k} + 1) \min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{3j+2r}\}\} \\ & \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-k} 2^{2j+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\hat{\zeta}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(5\alpha^*/6 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

- ⊕ If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\hat{\zeta}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (5.40), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{2\max\{l, c(m,k,l)\} + 4\epsilon M_t} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} (2^{m+k} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{m+2l+2r+3j}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 6\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2k+2n-j}, 2^{-m-2k} \min\{2^{3j+2r-2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t-2l}\}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 6\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^n \min\{2^j, 2^{\alpha_t M_t - r}\}, 2^{2k+2n-j}\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2 + (\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\not{x}|^{-3/8} 2^{3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3 - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 30\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

⊕ If $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\not{\omega}| \sim |\not{x}|$. From the estimate of kernels in (5.40), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{y}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{2\max\{l, c(m,k,l)\} + 4\epsilon M_t} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} (2^{m+k} + 1) \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2r} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 6\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2r}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 8\epsilon M_t} |\not{x}|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{n/2} 2^{\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{(k+n)/2 + n/3 + \alpha_t M_t/3}\} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_{t^*} - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 40\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

• The estimate of $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l;r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,a}(t, x, \zeta))$, $a \in \{1, 2\}$.

Recall the estimate of the symbol in (5.39). After doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_v \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))| \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{3k+2n-j+\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + \epsilon M_t} 2^{-r} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

⊕ If $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.108), (2.25), and (2.26). From the estimate of kernels in (5.45), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-r+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2r})^{1/2} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k+n+10\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-r+l+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l} 2^{3j+2r})^{1/2} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k+n+10\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

⊕ If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\not{x}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (5.45), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{y}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-r+\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} \min\{2^{3j+2r}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2r} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 10\epsilon M_t} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{k+n}, |\not{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{-k} \min\{2^{2j}, 2^{2\alpha_t M_t - 2r}\}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4 + (\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad + |\not{x}|^{-1/8} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, 2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 200\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-r+l+\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 2\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l} \min\{2^{3j+2r}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2r} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\not{x}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4 + (\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad + |\not{x}|^{-1/8} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, 2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 200\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

⊕ If $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\not{x} - \not{y} + (t-s)\not{\omega}| \sim |\not{x}|$. From the estimate of kernels in (5.45), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{y}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-r+\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + 2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} \min\{2^{3j+2r}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (\min\{|\not{x}|^{-1}2^{m+2k+n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2r} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\}^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+8\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{|\not{x}|^{-1/2}2^{(k+n)/2}, |\not{x}|^{-1/4}2^{n/4} \min\{2^{j+r/2}, 2^{\alpha_t M_t-r/2}\}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\not{x}|^{-1/4}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4+(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ & + |\not{x}|^{-1/2}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-100\epsilon)M_{t^*}}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k})2^{-j-r+l+\max\{r, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l} \min\{2^{3j+2r}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ & \times (\min\{|\not{x}|^{-1}2^{m+2k+n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2r} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\}^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim [|\not{x}|^{-1/4}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4+(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ & + |\not{x}|^{-1/2}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-100\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

Recall (5.35). To sum up, our desired estimate (5.38) holds after combining the obtained estimates (5.41–5.51). $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \leq \min\{-l - n, -2l\} + \epsilon M_{t^*}/4$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \left[\sum_{a \in \{1/4, 3/8, 1/2\}} |\not{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ & + |\not{x}|^{-1}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\not{x}| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} + |\not{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{|\not{x}| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \\ & \left. \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/24}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \right] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

Proof. Recall (5.36). Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta)\varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.25) and (4.5), we have $r = -j$, $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$. Recall (4.109), from the result of computation of symbols in (4.55) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(m_E^0(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^0(\xi, v))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+n+c(m,k,l)+2\epsilon M_t}, \\ & |\mathbf{P}(m_E^1(\xi, v) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B^1(\xi, v))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

Recall (4.109). From the above estimate, after doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ direction and directions perpendicular to ζ many times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{ess;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))| + 2^k |\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{4k+3n+c(m,k,l)+2\epsilon M_t} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}, \quad |\nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{non;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)| + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{non;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta)| \\ & \lesssim 2^{3k+2n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_t} 2^{-j-\min\{l, n\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

Moreover, if $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$, then we have $|\not{x}|/|v| \sim 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}+j}$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + j \geq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $r \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$. Hence, it would be sufficient to consider the case $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $r \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

Based on the possible size of $|\not{x}|$, we split into three cases as follows.

- If $|\not{x}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

Recall (5.36). From the estimate of kernels in (5.54), and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{n+c(m,k,l)+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{3j}\}\} \\ & \times (2^{m+k} + 1) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+8\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{2k+n-j}, 2^{-k-n} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{3j+2n}\}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(5\alpha^*/6-\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.55)$$

Now, we estimate the nonlinear part. Recall (5.37). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_t} (2^m + 2^{-k})2^{-j-\min\{l, n\}} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{m+3k+2n+l+c(m,k,l)-j})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k+n+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*/2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.56)$$

Now, we estimate the second part of the non-linearity. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the conservation law (1.3) for the electromagnetic field, and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^m + 2^{-k})2^{l-j-\min\{l, n\}} (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{2l} 2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{m+3k+2n} 2^{2l} 2^{-j})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim (2^m + 2^{-k})2^{l+n-\min\{l, n\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{2k+n+\min\{l, n\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k+n+14\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not{x}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*/2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

- If $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}}$ and $|\not{x}| \geq 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2}$.

For this case, we have $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2})$, $|\phi - y + (t-s)\phi| \sim |\phi|$. From the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the cylindrical symmetry of the solution, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{n+c(m,k,l)+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} (2^{m+k} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{m+2k+n+2l-j} |\phi|^{-1}, 2^{m+2l+j+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{m+2n+3j+2l}, 2^{m+2l} 2^{3k+2n-j}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+8\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k-j}, 2^{-k-n} 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{j/3+4\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-n/3}, 2^{5j/3+n}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/12} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

Now, we estimate the first part of the non-linearity. From the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-\min\{l,n\}} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l} 2^{3j+2n}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(k+n)/2}, |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{j+n/4}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim [|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-80\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.59)$$

Now, we estimate the second type nonlinearity $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$. From the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the estimate of kernels in (5.54) and the volume of support of v, ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{l-j-\min\{l,n\}} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+2p+q}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} (\min\{2^{m+2l} 2^{3j+2n}, 2^{m+2l} 2^{3k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{k/2+n/4}, 2^{j+n/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-80\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.60)$$

- If $|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}}$ and $|\phi| \leq 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2}$.

For this sub-case, we have $p \geq l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/4$ and $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^p$. From the estimate (1.15), we know that it would be sufficient to consider the case $j + p \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t + 10$. Moreover, $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2})$, $|\phi - y| \sim |\phi|$. From the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the volume of support of ω, v , and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} 2^{n+c(m,k,l)} \min\left\{\frac{2^{-m-p-q}}{|\phi|} 2^{-j}, 2^{m+3j+2l+2p+q}, 2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}\right\} \\ &\quad \times (2^{m+k} + 1) \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{j/2+\alpha_t M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{2k+n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-3/8} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8-\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-80\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/24}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.61)$$

Recall (5.37). From the estimate of kernels in (5.54), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{-j-\min\{l,n\}} (\min\{2^{-2m}, 2^{m+3k+2n}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q}, 2^{2k+n+q}\} 2^{-j}, 2^{m+2p+q+3j+2n})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{k/2+n/4}, |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{j+n/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-80\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.62)$$

By using similar strategy, for the second part of the nonlinearity $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^m + 2^{-k}) 2^{l-j-\min\{l,n\}} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q}, 2^{2k+n+q}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} (\min\{2^{m+2l} 2^{3j+2n}, 2^{m+2l} 2^{3k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{k/2+n/4}, 2^{j+n/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-80\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.63)$$

To sum up, the desired estimate (5.52) holds after combining the obtained estimates (5.55–5.63). $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *Let $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $\min\{-l-n, -2l\} + \epsilon M_{t^*}/4 < m+k \leq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n,l,r}^{\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/8, 1/4, 3/8, 1/2\}} |\hat{\zeta}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ & \times 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{\zeta}| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} |\hat{\zeta}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} + |\hat{\zeta}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{\zeta}| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \\ & \left. \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/24}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.64)$$

Proof. Note that, due to the constraint of $\min\{-l-n, -2l\} + \epsilon M_{t^*}/4 < m+k \leq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$, we only need to consider the case $l \leq n - M_{t^*}/20$. Moreover, we have $c(m, k, l) \leq \max\{-m/2 - k/2, -m - k - l\} + \epsilon M_t/20 \leq n - \epsilon M_{t^*}/20$. Hence, $|\tilde{\zeta} \times \tilde{v}| \sim |\tilde{\zeta} \times \omega| \sim |\tilde{\zeta} \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^n$ if $n > -M_t$. Moreover, due to the cutoff function $\varphi^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.4), we also have $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.109). After doing integration by parts in ξ along v (or ζ) direction and directions perpendicular to v (or ζ) many times, from the estimate of symbol in (5.53), the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-k-n-c(m,k,l)} (|\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))| + 2^k |\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,m}(y, v, \omega, \zeta))|) + 2^{j+l} (|\nabla_v \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))| \\ & + 2^k |\nabla_v \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))|) \lesssim 2^{3k+2c(m,k,l)+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \times \min\{(1+2^k |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-100} (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-100}, (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)-n-\epsilon M_t} |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100} (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

Moreover, the following improved estimate holds the ∂_{v_3} derivative of kernels,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_{v_3} \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))| + 2^k |\partial_{v_3} \mathbf{P}(\mathfrak{H}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;err;\mu,E,i}(y, \omega, v, \zeta))| \\ & \lesssim 2^{3k+2c(m,k,l,n)} 2^{-j-l+\max\{l,p\}+3\epsilon M_t} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{(1+2^k |y \cdot \tilde{v}|)^{-100} \\ & \times (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{v}|)^{-100}, (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)-n-\epsilon M_t} |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100} (1+2^{k+c(m,k,l)} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

Note that, $|\hat{\phi}|/|v| \sim 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}}$ if $n \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}]$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + j \geq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$. Therefore, it would be sufficient to consider the case $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $n \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

Based on the possible size of $|\hat{\phi}|$ and p , we split into four cases as follows.

- If $|\hat{\phi}| \geq 2^{m+l+4\epsilon M_t}$.

For this subcase, we have $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-c(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_t/10})$, $|\hat{\phi} - y| \sim |\hat{\phi}|$. From the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\hat{\phi}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m+k+n+c(m,k,l)} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ & \times \min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}, |\hat{\phi}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ & \times \min\{|\hat{\phi}|^{-1/2} 2^{j/2+\alpha_t M_t/2}, 2^{2k+n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\hat{\phi}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ & + |\hat{\phi}|^{-3/8} 2^{3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}/8} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 40\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/24}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.67)$$

We remark that we used the fact that $|\hat{\phi}|/|v| \sim 2^p$ if $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$ in the above estimate.

Now, we move on to the estimate of the nonlinear parts in (5.37). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the volume of support of ω, v , and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{-2m} 2^{n-c(m,k,l)} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ & \times (\min\{|\hat{\phi}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}\})^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(n-c(m,k,l))/2+j/2} 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{|\hat{\phi}|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{\alpha_t M_t+l/2}, 2^{j/2+\alpha_t M_t/2+l}\}, 2^{2k+l+c(m,k,l)-j}\})^{1/2} \\ & \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\hat{\phi}|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\hat{\phi}|^{-1/8} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8 - \epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ & \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/24}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 50\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.68)$$

Lastly, we estimate $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j} 2^{\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)} 2^{2\min\{n,p\}} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ & \times (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} 2^{k+\min\{n,p\}+\max\{l,p\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.69)$$

Alternatively, from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), the volume of support of ω, v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}}\} (2^m 2^{p+q+n})^{1/2} \\ \times (|\phi|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q})^{1/2} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2^{-k+\max\{l,p\}+n/2+12\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{2j}, 2^{2\alpha^*M_{t^*}-2l}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}}. \quad (5.70)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (5.69) and (5.70), and the fact that $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^p$ if $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$ we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+12\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{k+n+\max\{l,p\}}, |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{-k-\max\{l,p\}+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}+n/2}\} \\ \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/8} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/8-\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-50\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \quad (5.71)$$

- If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}} \leq |\phi| \leq 2^{m+l+4\epsilon M_{t^*}}$, $p \geq l + 10\epsilon M_{t^*}$.

Note that, for this case, we have, $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^p$ and $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-c(m,k,l)+\epsilon M_{t^*}})$, $|\phi - y + (t-s)\phi| \sim 2^{m+p}$. From the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate of kernels in (5.65), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{m+k+n+c(m,k,l)+2\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{m+3j+2l+2p}, 2^{2k+c(m,k,l)+2l-p-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim 2^{n+5\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{m+l+3j+2p}, 2^{-2m-2l-p-j}, 2^{-m-p+k-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim 2^{n+5\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} 2^{-(m+l)/2+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{3k/4+p/2}, 2^{j+p/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-50\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.72)$$

Now, we move on to the estimate of the nonlinear parts in (5.37). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{m-j-l} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\min\{2^{2k+c(m,k,l)+2l-p-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \\ \times (2^{-2m} 2^{-n-c(m,k,l,n)} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(n-c(m,k,l))/2} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+j/2+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ \times (\min\{2^{-2m-l-p-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{-(m+l)/2+n/2+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2j/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.73)$$

Lastly, we estimate $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), the volume of support of ω, v , and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function and the electromagnetic field, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j} 2^{\max\{l,p\}+2\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{2k+c(m,k,l)-p+2\min\{n,p\}+3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ \times (\min\{2^{2k+c(m,k,l)-p+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \\ \lesssim 2^{-l/2+j/2+3p/2} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{2^{-2m-l-p-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim 2^{-(m+l)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3+3\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.74)$$

- If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}} \leq |\phi| \leq 2^{m+l+4\epsilon M_{t^*}}$, $p \leq l + 10\epsilon M_{t^*}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m+k+n+c(m,k,l)+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}, 2^{m+2l} \\ \times \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}}, 2^{3j+2p}\}\} \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{m+l} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}}, 2^{3j+2p}\}, 2^{-2m-3l-j}\} \\ \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} \min\{2^{-m-l+j/3}, 2^{-m/2-l/2+j/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim 2^{n+12\epsilon M_{t^*}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}} |\phi|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{(k+n)/2+j/3}, 2^{j/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-50\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \quad (5.75)$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}, 2^{m+2p+3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \\ \times (2^{-2m} 2^{-n-c(m,k,l)} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{(n-l)/2} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+j/2+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{2^{-2m-2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{n/2+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+30\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{2j/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.76)$$

Lastly, we estimate $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\max\{l,p\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}, 2^{m+2p+3j+2l}\})^{1/2} (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2p+3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{l+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+j/2+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\min\{2^{-2m-2l-j}, 2^{m+2l+3j+2p}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+30\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{2j/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.77)$$

- If $|\not x| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_{t^*}}$.

From the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the volume of support of v, ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\not x| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;lin}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m+k+n+c(m,k,l)+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{m+2l} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{3j+2p}\}, 2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j}\} \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{m+l} 2^{2j+\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{-m+k-l-j}, 2^{2k+n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-1} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(5\alpha^*/6-\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.78)$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j})^{1/2} (2^{-2m} 2^{n-c(m,k,l,n)} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+(l+n)/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we estimate $\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), and the volume of support of ω, v , the following estimate holds if $p \leq l + 5\epsilon M_{t^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\max\{l,p\}+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2l-j})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (2^{m+3k+2c(m,k,l)+2p+3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{15\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+l} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if $p \geq l + 5\epsilon M_{t^*}$, then we have

$$\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-c(m,k,l,n)+\epsilon M_{t^*}}), \quad |\not x - \not y + (t-s)\not x| \sim 2^{m+p}.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (5.65) and (5.66), the volume of support of ω, v , the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(H_{k,j;n,l,r;p,q;ess}^{\mu,m,i;non,2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j} 2^{5\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{2k+c(m,k,l)+2l-j-p})^{1/2} (2^{2k+c(m,k,l)+p+3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+l} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.79)$$

Recall (5.35). To sum up, our desired estimate (5.64) holds after combining the obtained estimates (5.67–5.79). $\square$

Now, we proceed to consider the case $m+k$ is relatively big, i.e., the case $m+k > -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$. In this case, we mainly use the second formulation, i.e., the G-S type decomposition in Lemma 4.1. In the following Lemma, we first estimate the T -part.

Lemma 5.8. *For any $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \tilde{T}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{T;\mu,m,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/2\}} |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/6} + \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.80)$$

Proof. We still use the decomposition obtained in (4.129). From the obtained estimate (4.133), it would be sufficient to estimate the essential part $G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $p, q \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

- The case $i = 2$.

Due to fact that $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_t^*/5$, recall (4.25), (4.5), and (4.130), we know that $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^r \sim 2^l$, $r \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4$. Moreover, if $l \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t^*, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_t^*]$, then we have $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^{\max\{r, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + j}$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + j \geq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $l \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t^*, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_t^*]$.

From the detailed formulas of coefficients $\omega_{j,l}^{m;U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{q;m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $c_{j,l}^{err;m,U}(t-s, v, \omega)$, $U \in \{E, B\}$, in (2.18–2.17) and (4.45–4.44), the following improved estimate holds for the projection of $\omega_{j,l}^{m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(\omega_{j,l}^{m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega))| + |\mathbf{P}(c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{a;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega))| \\ & + |\mathbf{P}(c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{err;m,B}(t-s, v, \omega))| \lesssim 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.81)$$

Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.130). Note that, the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\epsilon M_t}(2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k})$. From the estimate of coefficients in (5.81), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{(2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) \min\{2^{m+j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{m+3j+2l}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) \\ & \times 2^{m+3k+2n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t^*} 2^{k+n+\alpha^* M_t^*/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t^*/6} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.82)$$

- ⊕ If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\phi| \leq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (5.81), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + 6\epsilon M_t^*} \\ & \times \min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) 2^{m+3k+2n-j}, (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) 2^m \min\{2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\}\} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + 6\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{2n/3} \min\{2^{4\alpha_t M_t/3+j/3}, 2^{4l/3+5j/3}\}, 2^{2k+2n-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*\} + 8\epsilon M_t^*} |\phi|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{n/2+(j+\alpha^* M_t^*)/2}, 2^{(k+n)/2+n/3+(j+\alpha_t M_t)/6}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t^*/2} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_t^*/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.83)$$

- ⊕ If $|\phi| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for this case, we have

$$\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}), \quad |\phi - y + (t-s)\phi| \sim |\phi|, \quad |\phi - y| \sim |\phi|.$$

From the above estimate, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the volume of support of ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds if $m+k \leq -2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{6\epsilon M_t^*} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{4\epsilon M_t^*} \\ & \times \min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \lesssim 2^{8\epsilon M_t^*} |\phi|^{-1/2} \min\{2^{n/2+\alpha^* M_t^*}, 2^{(k+n)/2+n/3+\alpha_t M_t/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t^*/2} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_t^*/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.84)$$

If $m+k \geq -2n$, in addition to the strategy used above, we also use the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$ in (2.54) and the fact that the volume of support of ω is bounded by $2^{2\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2p+q}, 2^{p+q+n}, 2^{2n}\}$ for the case $m+k \geq -2n$. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{6\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+p+q+n-j}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{m+n+p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\} \\ & \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{8\epsilon M_t^*} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} \min\{2^{j+l}, 2^{\alpha_t M_t}\}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}\} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_t^*/2} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t^*} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_t^*/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 30\epsilon)M_t^*}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.85)$$

- The case $i = 3, 4$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), and the fact that $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_t^*/5$, the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t/20}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + 2\epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, from the detailed formulas of coefficients $\omega_{j,l}^{m;U}(t-s, v, \omega), c_{j,l}^{q;m;U}(t-s, v, \omega), c_{j,l}^{err;m;U}(t-s, v, \omega), U \in \{E, B\}$, in (2.18–2.17) and (4.45–4.44), the following estimate holds for the projection of $\omega_{j,l}^{m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega)$ for the case we are considering,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(\omega_{j,l}^{m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times \omega_{j,l}^{m;B}(t-s, v, \omega))| + |\mathbf{P}(c_{j,l}^{a;m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l}^{a;m;B}(t-s, v, \omega))| \\ & + |\mathbf{P}(c_{j,l,r}^{err;m;E}(t-s, v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times c_{j,l,r}^{err;m;B}(t-s, v, \omega))| \lesssim 2^{-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.86)$$

We first rule out the case $\max\{-j, n+2\epsilon M_t\} = -j$, which implies that $l = -j$. From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+\epsilon M_t} 2^{m+2l} 2^{3j+2n+4\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{30\epsilon M_t}. \quad (5.87)$$

Therefore, for the rest of the proof, it would be sufficient to consider the case $\max\{-j, n+2\epsilon M_t\} > -j$, which implies that $l \leq n+2\epsilon M_t$.

Based on the possible size of p and $|\mathcal{J}|$, we split into six cases as follows.

⊕ If $p \leq l+5\epsilon M_t$, $|\mathcal{J}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{-l+n+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+2p+3j+2l}, 2^{m+2p+j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}\} \\ & \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_t} 2^{k+2n/3+\alpha^* M_t/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_t} |\mathcal{J}|^{-1} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.88)$$

⊕ If $p \geq l+5\epsilon M_t$, $|\mathcal{J}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\mathcal{J}|/|v| \sim 2^p$. Moreover, $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t})$, $|\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{Y} + (t-s)\varphi| \sim 2^{m+p}$. From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, and the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{-l+n+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{m+3j+2l+2\min\{n,p\}}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3k+2n+2l-k-n-m-p-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_t} 2^{k+n/2+l/3+p/6+j/3} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\mathcal{J}|^{-1} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/3+20\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.89)$$

⊕ If $p \leq l+5\epsilon M_t$, $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\mathcal{J}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+4\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{2^{m+2p+3j+2l}, 2^{m+2l+j+2\alpha_t M_t}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+4\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{(2^{m+p+2j+\alpha_t M_t+2l})^{1/2}, (2^{m+2p+3j+2l})^{1/3} (2^{m+3k+2n+2l-j})^{1/6}\} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{n+10\epsilon M_t+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}} \min\{2^{-(m+l)/2+(j+\alpha^* M_t)/2}, 2^{-m/2+k/2+j/3+n/3-1/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\mathcal{J}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_t/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+2\alpha^* M_t/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-30\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.90)$$

⊕ If $p \leq l+5\epsilon M_t$, $|\mathcal{J}| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for this case, we have

$$\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}), \quad |\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{Y} + (t-s)\varphi| \sim |\mathcal{J}|, \quad |\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{Y}| \sim |\mathcal{J}|.$$

From the above estimate, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z(y), w(y))$ in (2.54), the volume of support of ω , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\mathcal{J}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+4\epsilon M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \min\{|\mathcal{J}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, |\mathcal{J}|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+2p+q-j}, \\ & 2^{m+2p+q} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{-l+n} 2^{\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+4\epsilon M_t} \\ & \times \min\{|\mathcal{J}|^{-1/2} (2^{j+\alpha_t M_t})^{1/2+l/2+p/2}, |\mathcal{J}|^{-1} 2^{k+n/2+p/2-j}, 2^{4p/3+7j/6+\alpha_t M_t/2+l/6}\} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\mathcal{J}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_t/2} \\ & \times [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t} + \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_t/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_t/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-30\epsilon)M_t}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.91)$$

⊕ If $p \geq l+5\epsilon M_t$, $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\mathcal{J}| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\mathcal{J}|/|v| \sim 2^p$, i.e., $p+j \leq (\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t+2$, and $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{Y}| \sim |\mathcal{J}|$. Moreover, for any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have $\text{Vol}(\text{supp}(\phi)) \lesssim 2^{l-p}$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the volume of support of ω, v , and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;n,l,r}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+3j+2l+2p+q}, |\zeta|^{-1}2^{-m-p-q-j}\} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\zeta|^{-1/2}2^{j+p/2}, |\zeta|^{-1/2}2^{3k/2-j+p/2}\} \\ &\lesssim |\zeta|^{-1/2}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.92)$$

where we used the fact that $-m-2l \leq k$.

⊕ If $p \geq l + 5\epsilon M_{t^*}$, $|\zeta| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_{t^*}} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

As in the previous case, we have $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^p$, i.e., $p+j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t + 2$ and for any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have $\text{Vol}(\text{supp}(\phi)) \lesssim 2^{l-p}$. Moreover, $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}/2})$, we have $|\phi - y| \sim |\zeta|$, $|\phi - y + (t-s)\phi| \sim |\zeta|$.

From the estimate of coefficients in (5.86), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the volume of support of ω, v , the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(G_{k,j;l,n}^{ess;m,i;p,q}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\times \min\{2^{m+3j+2l+2p+q}, |\zeta|^{-1}2^{-m-p-q-j}, |\zeta|^{-1}2^{3k+2n-k-n-k-n+l-p-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\zeta|^{-1/2}2^{j+p/2}, 2^{2j+3p/2}, |\zeta|^{-1}2^{k-p-j}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\zeta|^{-1/2}2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &+ \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.93)$$

To sum up, the desired estimate holds (5.80) after combining the obtained estimates (5.82), (5.83), and (5.85) for the case $i = 2$ and the obtained estimates (5.87), (5.88), (5.90), (5.91), (5.92), and (5.93) for the case $i = 3$. $\square$

Lemma 5.9. *For any $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2\}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}+30\epsilon M_{t^*}} \right. \\ &\times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}}], \quad (5.94) \\ \sum_{i=3,4} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/2\}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} |\zeta|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2} + 2^{35\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\zeta| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \\ &\times \left[\min\left\{ \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}} \right\} \right] \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \\ &+ \min\left\{ \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+3n)/2+3\alpha^*M_{t^*}/4}, \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\zeta|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}} \right\} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2} \right], \quad (5.95) \end{aligned}$$

where the sets $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{t^*}^i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ are defined in Proposition 5.1.

Proof. We still use the decomposition obtained in (4.147). From the obtained estimate (4.133), it would be sufficient to estimate the essential part $\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $p, q \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

• If $i = 2$.

Again, due to fact that $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$, recall (4.25), (4.5), and (4.130), we know that $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \sim 2^r \sim 2^l, r \geq n + 3\epsilon M_t/4$. Moreover, if $l \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$, then we have $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}}$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + j \geq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $l \notin [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

Note that, comparing with the obtained estimate (4.149), the following improved estimate holds for the projection of the coefficients,

$$|\nabla_v \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}(m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega))}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l+\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\epsilon M_{t^*}}. \quad (5.96)$$

Based on the possible size of $|\zeta|$, we split into three sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $|\zeta| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.147). From the estimate (5.96), the estimate of kernel in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\epsilon M_{t^*}} \left(\min\{(2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k})2^{m+3k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\times (2^{-2m+3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{k+n+10\epsilon M_t} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*/2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.97)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\phi| \leq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{k+n}\}.$$

Recall (4.147). From the estimate (5.96), the estimate of kernel in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\epsilon M_t} (\min\{(2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k})2^{m+3k+2n-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}, (2^{2n} + 2^{-m-k}) \\ &\times 2^{m+3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^{-2m+3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-m-l}, 2^{k+n}, 2^{4j/3+n/3+2l/3}\} \\ &\lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}}/2 [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -lM_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.98)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } |\phi| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{k+n}\}.$$

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\phi - y + (t-s)\phi| \sim |\phi|$. From the estimate (5.96), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the estimate of kernel in (4.132), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\phi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds if $m+k \leq -2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_t} (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n-j}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{-2m} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(k+n)/2}, |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{n/4} \min\{2^{j+l/2}, 2^{\alpha_t M_t-l/2}\}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}}/2 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1-\gamma_2-90\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.99)$$

Similarly, if $m+k \geq -2n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l+\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_t} (2^{-2m} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times (\min\{|\phi|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+3n-j}, 2^{m+2n} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_t M_t}\}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{\max\{l, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/3} 2^{2k/3+n-l/3}, 2^{4j/3+2n/3+l/3}, 2^{4\alpha_t M_t/3+2n/3-l}\} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2-30\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.100)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (5.97–5.100), our desired estimate (5.94) holds.

• If $i = 3, 4$.

Due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), and the fact that $m+k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$, the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t/20}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + 2\epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, if $l \leq n - 2\epsilon M_t$ and $n > -M_t$, then we have $|\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}| \sim |\tilde{\zeta} \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^n$. Therefore, if $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} - 2\epsilon M_{t^*}$ or $l \leq n - 2\epsilon M_t$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + 2\epsilon M_{t^*}$, we have $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^{\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}}$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $\max\{l, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\} + j \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} - 2\epsilon M_{t^*}$ or $l \leq n - 2\epsilon M_t$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + 2\epsilon M_{t^*}$.

Recall (4.134). As a result of direct computations, the following improved estimate holds for the projection of the coefficients,

$$|\nabla_v \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}(m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega))}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l,r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l-\min\{l, n\}-n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+2\epsilon M_t}. \quad (5.101)$$

Based on the possible size of p and $|\phi|$, we split into four sub-cases as follows.

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } |\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}.$$

From the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;l,n}^{m;p,q,1}(t, x, \zeta)| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} (2^{-2m+3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{-m-2l} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{k+n+8\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.102)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\phi| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}, p \leq l + \epsilon M_t.$$

From the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+3\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} (\min\{2^{-2m-j-2l}, 2^{m+2p+3j+2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{-2m+3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{-m-2l}, 2^{4j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^k, |\phi|^{-2}, 2^{4j/3}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}}/2 [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/12}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 40\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.103)$$

⊕ If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\sharp| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$, $p \geq l + \epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\sharp - \psi| \sim |\sharp|$ and $|\psi|/|v| \sim 2^p$.

From the estimate (5.101), the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω, v ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{-2m+3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (\min\{2^{m+2p+q+3j+2l}, |\sharp|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j}, 2^{-2m-j-2l}\})^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\sharp|^{-1/4} 2^{j-l/2+p/4}, 2^k\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (5.104)$$

Based on the possible size of n , we split into three sub-cases as follows.

† If $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

From the obtained estimate (5.104), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\sharp|^{-1/2} 2^{3j/2+p/2}, |\sharp|^{-1/4} 2^{k/4+3j/4+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}, 2^k\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\sharp|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{12\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq (-\alpha^*+\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2-30\epsilon M_{t^*}}] \\ &\quad \times \min\{|\sharp|^{-1/6} 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, |\sharp|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(k+4n)/4+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.105)$$

† If $n \in [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}]$.

From the obtained estimate (5.104) and the fact that $|\psi| \sim 2^{p+j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{2n+12\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\sharp|^{-3/4} 2^{k/4+j/4+3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}, 2^k\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\sharp|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2+15\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+3n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2+M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.106)$$

† If $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

Again, from the obtained estimate (5.104), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{6\epsilon M_{t^*}} [2^{2n} \min\{|\sharp|^{-1/4} 2^{k/4+n/4+j}, 2^k\} \mathbf{1}_{l \leq n-\epsilon M_{t^*}} + \min\{|\sharp|^{-1/4} 2^{\alpha_t M_t+3n/4}, 2^{k+2n}\}] \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_{t^*}} [|\sharp|^{-1/6} 2^{k/2+7/6} \min\{2^{2\alpha^* M_{t^*}}, 2^{n+2M_{t^*}/3}\} + |\sharp|^{-1/8} 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{a \in \{1/8, 1/6\}} |\sharp|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}+20\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+3n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.107)$$

Therefore, after combining the above obtained estimates (5.105), (5.106), and (5.107), the final estimate in the case we are considering is stated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{1/4, 1/2\}} |\sharp|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{30\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \min\left\{ \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\sharp|^{-a} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\sharp|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}} \} \\ &\quad \left. + |\sharp|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2+15\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2} \min\{2^{(k+3n)/2+3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.108)$$

where $\mathcal{A} := \{1/8, 1/6, 1/4, 1/2\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{t^*}^i, i \in \{1, 2\}$, are defined in Proposition 5.1.

⊕ If $|\sharp| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^{m+p}, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Note that, for any $y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2})$, we have $|\sharp - \psi + (t-s)\psi| \sim |\sharp|$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_t+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{j/2-l+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} (J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p}(t, x, \zeta))^{1/2}. \quad (5.109)$$

where $J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p}(t, x, \zeta)$ is defined in (4.158). From the obtained estimates (4.159), (4.161), (4.162), (4.164), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, and the volume of support of ω , we have

$$|J_{k,j;n,l}^{m;p}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{-10M_{t^*}-10j} + |\sharp|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+l-m-k-l+\max\{l,p\}+(l-p)-j+\epsilon M_{t^*}} \lesssim 2^{-10M_{t^*}} + |\sharp|^{-1} 2^{k+l-j+\epsilon M_{t^*}}.$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (5.109), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+5\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\sharp|^{-1/2} 2^{k/2-l/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.110)$$

Alternatively, from the estimate (5.101), the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \longrightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-l-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+4\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{2^{m+2p+q+3j+2l}, |\phi|^{-1}2^{-m-p-q-j}\})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{-2m+3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+7\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{j-l/2+p/4} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.111)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (5.110) and (5.111), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [|\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/4} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2+30\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \\ &\times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} + \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2} \min\{2^{(k+3n)/2+3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/4}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\})]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.112)$$

Therefore, the desired estimate (5.95) holds after combining the estimates (5.102), (5.103), (5.108) and (5.112). $\square$

Lemma 5.10. *For $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1,2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0,1/4,1/2\}} |\phi|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} \right. \\ &\times |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} + \mathbf{1}_{|\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_t}} [2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}\} \\ &\left. + 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.113)$$

Proof. We still use the decomposition obtained in (4.146). From the obtained estimate (4.133), it would be sufficient to estimate the essential part $\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;1}(t, x, \zeta)$ for the case $p, q \in (-10M_t, \epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Based on the possible size of $m + 2j + 2l$ and n , we split into two cases as follows.

- If $m + 2j + 2l \leq \alpha^* M_{t^*} + 30\epsilon M_{t^*}$ or $n > -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

Based on the possible size of $|\phi|$, we split into three cases sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $|\phi| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$.

Recall (4.147). Similar to the obtained estimates (5.13–5.15), after splitting into three sub-cases based on the size of $m + k$ and $m + p$ and using the same strategy used in each sub-cases, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{k+n+10\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*/2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}}. \quad (5.114)$$

- ⊕ If $2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} \leq |\phi| < 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Similar to the obtained estimates (5.17–5.21), after splitting into three sub-cases based on the size of $m + k$ and $m + p$ and using the same strategy used in each sub-cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{|\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, |\phi|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}\} + |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \\ &\times (2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+5\alpha^* M_{t^*}/8}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.115)$$

- ⊕ If $|\phi| \geq 2^{\epsilon M_t} \max\{2^m, 2^{-k-n}\}$.

Similar to the obtained estimates (5.23–5.25), after splitting into two sub-cases based on the size of $m + k$ and using the same strategy used in each sub-cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} |\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} \\ &+ \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.116)$$

- If $m + 2j + 2l \geq \alpha^* M_{t^*} + 30\epsilon M_{t^*}$ and $n \leq -(\alpha^*/2 + 30\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

Same as what we did in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we localize the magnetic field further by using the decomposition in (2.10). As a result, we have

$$|\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)|, \quad (5.117)$$

where $\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)$ is defined in (4.180). Similar to the obtained estimate (5.28), after putting the localized magnetic field in L_x^∞ and using the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, the following estimate holds if $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$,

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim 2^{15\epsilon M_t} 2^{-(m+2j+2l)} 2^{2\alpha^* M_t} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (5.118)$$

Moreover, after splitting into three cases based on the possible size of $|\mathcal{F}|$ and rerunning the arguments used in obtaining the estimates (5.29–5.33), the following estimate holds $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$.

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l;\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{m;p,q;\tilde{m}}(t, x, \zeta)| \lesssim \sum_{a \in \{1/4, 1/2\}} |\mathcal{F}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}}. \quad (5.119)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.113) holds from the obtained estimates (5.114–5.119). $\square$

Lemma 5.11. *Let $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $(l, r) \in \mathcal{B}_i$, see (4.21). Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $m + k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1, 2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \{0, 1/4, 1/2\}} |\mathcal{F}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\mathcal{F}|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} + \alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \right. \\ &\times \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} + 2^{30\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^* M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} |\mathcal{F}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^* M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^* M_{t^*}}\} \Big]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.120)$$

Proof. Recall (4.147). Again, due to the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^i(v, \zeta)$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, see (4.5), and the fact that $m + k \geq -2l + \epsilon M_{t^*}/5$, the support of the v, ω, ξ , implies that $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \lesssim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t} + 2^{l-\epsilon M_t/20}$, $|\tilde{v} \times \omega| \sim 2^l$. Since $|\omega \times \tilde{\xi}| \sim 2^l$ if $l > -j$, we have $l \leq n + 2\epsilon M_t$ if $l > -j$, i.e., $l \in [-j, \max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, besides the rough estimate obtained in (5.101), the following improved estimate holds for the ∂_{v_3} derivative of the coefficient,

$$|\partial_{v_3} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}(m_E(v, \omega) + \hat{\zeta} \times m_B(v, \omega))}{1 + \hat{v} \cdot \omega} \varphi_{j,n}^{i;r}(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l;r}(\tilde{v} + \omega) \right)| \lesssim 2^{-j-l-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+\max\{l, p\}+2\epsilon M_t}. \quad (5.121)$$

Based on the sizes of $|\mathcal{F}|$ and p , we split into three cases as follows.

- If $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$ and $p \leq l + 5\epsilon M_t$.

From the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the volume of support of ω, v , and the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t - s)\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{l, p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} (2^{2k+n} 2^{3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\times \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim 2^{n+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} 2^{k+n/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\mathcal{F}|^{-1} 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.122)$$

- If $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{-k-n+2\epsilon M_t}$ and $p \geq l + 5\epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this case, we have $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}/2)$, $|\mathcal{F} - y + (t - s)\omega| \sim 2^{m+p}$. From the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t - s)\omega$, the cylindrical symmetry of the solution, and the volume of support of v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{l, p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{m+3k+2n} \frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}}}{2^{m+p}} 2^{-m-k-n-2j})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{m+3k+2n} \frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_{t^*}}}{2^{m+p}} 2^{-m-k-n} 2^{3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\mathcal{F}|^{-1} 2^{20\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.123)$$

- If $|\mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}$.

For this case, we have $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}/2)$, $|\mathcal{F} - y| \sim |\mathcal{F}|$. From the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, w)$ in (2.54), and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m-j-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{l, p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (2^{m+2p+q+3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\times (|\mathcal{F}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} 2^{m+n+\max\{l, p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+8\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\mathcal{F}|^{-1/2} 2^{2j+l+p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.124)$$

From the above estimate, we rule out the case $\max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\} = -j$ easily. Hence, it remains to consider the case $\max\{-j, n + 2\epsilon M_t\} > -j$, which implies that $l \leq n + 2\epsilon M_t$. Moreover, as $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \leq 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}$, we know that $|\mathcal{F}| \sim 2^{j+(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}}$ if $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $j + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*} \leq (\alpha_t + \epsilon)M_t$ if $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 2\epsilon)M_{t^*}$.

Alternatively, from the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t - s)\omega$, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l, n\}+n+\max\{l, p\}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} \\ &\times (\min\{|\mathcal{F}|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q}, 2^{2k+n+q}, 2^{m+3k+2n+2p+q}\} 2^{3j+2\min\{l, n\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-l+n+\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+8\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-1/4} 2^{-(m+p)/4} 2^{(2k+n)/4} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.125)$$

Based on the size of p and $|\not x|$, we split further into four sub-cases as follows.

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } p \leq l + 2\epsilon M_t, |\not x| \leq 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_t}.$$

After combining the obtained estimates (5.124) and (5.125), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.126)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } p \leq l + 2\epsilon M_t, |\not x| \geq 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_t}.$$

Note that, $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/10})$, $|\not x - y + (t-s)\not y| \sim |\not x|$. From the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the estimate (2.8) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} (\min\{|\not x|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q}, |\not x|^{-1} 2^{m+2k+n+2p+q}\} \times 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} (2^{-2m-j-2l})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(2k+n+p)/4+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+16\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (5.124), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2-20\epsilon M_{t^*}}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.127)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } p \geq l + 2\epsilon M_t, |\not x| \in [2^{m+p-\epsilon M_t}, 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_t}].$$

Note that, for this sub-case, we have $|\not y|/|v| \sim 2^p$. After combining the obtained estimates (5.124) and (5.125), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_{t^*}} 2^{n+p+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \times \min\{(2^{m+2j+l+p/2})^{1/3} (2^{-l/2} 2^{(m+k)/4} 2^{(2k+n)/4})^{2/3}, 2^{m/2+j+p/4+(2k+n)/8}\}] \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} [2^{(\alpha^*-11\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{30\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}}\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.128)$$

$$\oplus \quad \text{If } p \geq l + 2\epsilon M_t, |\not x| \notin [2^{m+p-\epsilon M_t}, 2^{m+p+\epsilon M_t}].$$

Note that, for this sub-case, we have $|\not y|/|v| \sim 2^p$ and $\forall y \in B(0, 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/10})$, $|\not x - y + (t-s)\not y| \sim \max\{2^{m+p}, |\not x|\}$. From the estimates (5.101) and (5.121), the estimate of kernels in (4.132), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the Jacobian of changing coordinates $(y_1, y_2, \theta) \rightarrow x - y + (t-s)\omega$, and the volume of support of ω, v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| &\lesssim 2^{m-j-\min\{l,n\}+n+\max\{l,p\}+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+6\epsilon M_{t^*}} ((\max\{2^{m+p}, |\not x|\})^{-1} 2^{k+q} 2^{3j+2\min\{l,n\}})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times (|\not x|^{-1} 2^{-m-p-q-j})^{1/2} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{k/2+n+\max\{n,(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}\}+10\epsilon M_{t^*}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.129)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (5.124) and (5.129), we have

$$|\mathbf{P}(\tilde{K}_{k,j;n,l,r}^{m;p,q,i;2}(t, x, \zeta))| \lesssim |\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\epsilon)M_{t^*}/2} [2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}/4} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_{t^*}/2}] \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty}. \quad (5.130)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.120) holds from the obtained estimates (5.122), (5.123), (5.126), (5.128), and (5.130). $\square$

To sum up, the main result of this subsection can be summarized in the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Under the assumption of the Proposition 5.1, for any $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1,2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^*M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \right. \\ &\quad + 2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} (\min\{|\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^*M_{t^*}/8}, |\not x|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^*M_{t^*}/8}\} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^*/2+30\epsilon)M_{t^*}} |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, 2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3}\})], \quad (5.131) \\ &\sum_{i=3,4} |\mathbf{P}(T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))| + 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)| \\ &\lesssim \min_{b \in \{1,2\}} \|\mathbf{m}(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{S^\infty} \left[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_{t^*}} + |\not x|^{-1} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{5\alpha^*M_{t^*}/6} \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \leq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} \right. \\ &\quad + 2^{40\epsilon M_{t^*}} \mathbf{1}_{|\not x| \geq 2^{-k-n+b\epsilon M_{t^*}}} [\min\{|\not x|^{-1/2} 2^{7\alpha^*M_{t^*}/8}, |\not x|^{-1} 2^{3\alpha^*M_{t^*}/8}\} + \min\{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\not x|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times 2^{(k+2n)/2+2\alpha^*M_{t^*}/3-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/6}, \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} |\hat{x}|^{-a} 2^{a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3} \} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \\ & + |\hat{x}|^{-1/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/2} \min \{ 2^{(k+3n)/2+3\alpha^*M_{t^*}/4}, 2^{(k+4n)/2+\alpha^*M_{t^*}-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}/3} \} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^2} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.132)$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{0, 1/8, 1/6, 1/4, 3/8, 1/2\}$.

Proof. Recall the estimate of symbols in (5.39), (5.53), (5.81), and (5.86). The main difference between the estimate of the projection onto the horizontal plane of the localized acceleration force $\mathbf{P}(T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))$ and the localized acceleration force $T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)$ lies in the extra gain of factor of size $(2^{\max\{l,n\}} + 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}})$. Therefore, the estimate of $2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_{t^*}} |T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta)|$ follows the same argument of the estimate of $|\mathbf{P}(T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, E)(t, x, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times T_{k;n}^{\mu,2}(\mathbf{m}, B)(t, x, \zeta))|$.

Recall the decomposition in (4.21) and the estimate of the S-part in (4.146). The desired estimate (5.131) holds from the estimate (5.38) in Lemma 5.5, the estimate (5.80) in Lemma 5.8, the estimate (5.94) in Lemma 5.9 and the estimate (5.113) in Lemma 5.10. The desired estimate (5.132) holds from the estimate (5.52) in Lemma 5.6, the estimate (5.64) in Lemma 5.7, the estimate (5.80) in Lemma 5.8, the estimate (5.95) in Lemma 5.9, and the estimate (5.120) in Lemma 5.11. $\square$

6. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1

In the first subsection, we first give a proof of Lemma 3.1 by assuming the validities of the estimates of elliptic parts, which comes from the endpoint terms of doing integration by parts in time after exploiting an iterative smoothing scheme over time. Here, we call the endpoint terms as “elliptic parts” mainly because they resemble the structure of the electric field in the Vlasov-Poisson system, c.f. [22], in which the electric field solves an elliptic equation. See also [23] for the relation and the difference between the 3D RVP system and the 3D RVM system. For the remaining terms, which solve half-wave equations, we refer them as hyperbolic parts. For the non-essential parts, which can be estimated without much effort, we refer them as the error parts.

In the second subsection, after appropriate localization on Fourier side, we prove the estimates of elliptic parts by using again the iterative smoothing scheme. Hence finishing the proof of Lemma 3.1.

6.1. Proof of Lemma 3.1 under the assumption of the estimate of the elliptic part. Recall the Duhamel’s formula in (2.4). For any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, t] \subset [0, T]$, s.t., $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\hat{X}(s)| \in I_p \subset [2^{a_p}, 2^{a_p+10}]$, where $a_p, p \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, are defined in (3.6). After localizing the size of frequency and the angle between the frequency variable and the velocity characteristics $V(s)$, and using the decomposition in (4.6), from the estimate (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot K(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \{+, -\} \\ i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+}} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \\ & + \mathcal{M}(C), \quad T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) := T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(1, E)(s, X(s), V(s)) + \hat{V}(t) \times T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(1, B)(s, X(s), V(s)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where the bilinear operator $T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\cdot, \cdot), i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are defined in (4.6). Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2 &:= \{(n, k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-\alpha^*M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, k + 4n \geq 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 60\epsilon M_t, \\ k + 2n &\geq 2\alpha^*M_t/3 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 80\epsilon M_t\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_3 = \mathcal{E}_4 := \{(n, k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [(-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 80\epsilon M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \\ k + 4n &\geq 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 80\epsilon M_t, k + 2n \geq 2\alpha^*M_t/3 - \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} \alpha^*M_t/6 + \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{t^*}^1} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 80\epsilon M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Recall the assumptions in Lemma 3.1. From the estimate (5.34) in Proposition 5.2 and the estimates (5.131) and (5.132) in Proposition 5.3, the following estimate holds if $(n, k) \notin \mathcal{E}_i, i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, or $a_p \leq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\gamma_1-3\epsilon)M_t} \right) (t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.3)$$

Now, we let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, (n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$ be fixed. Recall (4.6). Similar to what we did in (4.12), we also do integration by parts in “ s ” for the part determined by $\varphi_{j,n}^2(v, \zeta)$ and $\varphi_{j,n}^3(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), in which we either have $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \gtrsim 2^{n+\epsilon M_t/2}$ or $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \lesssim 2^{n-\epsilon M_t}$. As a result, as in (4.12), we also have

$$\forall U \in \{E, B\}, i \in \{2, 3\}, \quad T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta) = \sum_{\star \in \{\text{ini}, \text{ell}, \text{bil}\}} \tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{\star;\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta), \quad (6.4)$$

where, the formulas of $\tilde{T}_{k,j;n}^{*,\mu,i}(\mathbf{m}, U)(t, x, \zeta)$, $i \in \{2, 3\}$, are almost same as the formulas in (4.13–4.15) except the index i now allows to be 2 or 3. For simplicity of notation, we don't repeat the detailed formulas here.

To sum up, in terms of the profile $g(t, x, v) := f(t, x + t\hat{v}, v)$, we have

$$T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) = In i_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) + \sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i,l}(s, X(s), V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{i \in \{0,1,2,3\}} + K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)), \quad (6.5)$$

where $In i_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s))$ depends only on initial data, for simplicity, we omit its detailed formula here, the elliptic parts and the hyperbolic parts are defined as follows,

$$Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, x, V(s)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} m_a(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, V(s)) \psi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_{l;-M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) d\xi dv, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, x, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi, \quad \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta) := \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi - i\mu \tau |\xi|} \\ &\times \mathcal{F}((E + \hat{v} \times B)f)(\tau, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v [m_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta, \xi)] \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi dv d\tau, \quad a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \\ \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4}(s, \xi, \zeta) &:= \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi - i\mu \tau |\xi|} \frac{\varphi_k(\xi)}{|\xi|} \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \mathbf{m}(\xi, \zeta) (\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{E;4}^{j,n}}(\tau, \xi, \zeta) + \hat{\zeta} \times \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{B;4}^{j,n}}(\tau, \xi, \zeta)) d\xi d\tau, \\ \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad m_a(\xi, v, \zeta) &:= \frac{(\hat{\zeta} - \hat{v}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi)}{i|\xi|(\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)}, \quad m_{j,n}^a(v, \xi, \zeta) := \left(\frac{(\hat{\zeta} - \hat{v}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi)}{i|\xi|(\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} + \frac{\hat{v}}{|\xi|} \right) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

With minor modifications in the obtained estimate (5.2) in Lemma 5.1 for the case $i = 2$, the following estimate holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\hat{x}| \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, (n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_a, \quad |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, x, V(s))| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} + \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^*M_t/3}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^*M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -\alpha^*M_t/2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Moreover, from the equality (6.4), the above estimate of the elliptic part, the estimate (5.34) in Proposition 5.2, and the estimates (5.131) and (5.132) in Proposition 5.3, the following estimate holds for the case $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2\}, (n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_a, \quad |K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, X(s), V(s))| &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/4} \\ &+ 2^{40\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^*M_t/3 - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^*M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \geq -(\alpha^* + 60\epsilon)M_t/2}], \\ \forall a \in \{3, 4\}, (n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_a, \quad |K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, X(s), V(s))| &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} [2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/4} \\ &+ 2^{40\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 2\alpha^*M_t/3 - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/6}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^*M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} \\ &+ 2^{40\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + 3\alpha^*M_t/4}, 2^{(k+4n)/2 + \alpha^*M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3}\} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Moreover, similar to the obtained estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2 for the elliptic part, with minor modifications, we have

$$\forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad \|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, \cdot, V(s))\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* + 130\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (6.10)$$

Recall (6.5). After combining the above estimate of the elliptic parts, the estimate (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\forall a \in \{0, \dots, 4\}, \quad \|K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \cdot, V(s))\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 2^{(1-19\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 130\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (6.11)$$

Recall (6.7). Note that, on the Fourier side, for the hyperbolic part, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, V(t)) d\xi ds. \quad (6.12)$$

Moreover, recall the equations satisfied by characteristics in (1.9), we have

$$e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} = (i\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|)^{-1} \partial_s e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|}.$$

By using the above equality, we do integration by parts in “s” once in (6.12). As a result of direct computation, after combining the result of doing integration by parts in “s” with the equality (6.5), we have

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\lesssim 1 + \sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{a \in \{0,1\}} |{}_a Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| + |{}_a Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)|, \quad (6.13)$$

where $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t, X(t), V(t))$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds, \\ Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot m_4(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(s)) \varphi_{l;-M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) d\xi dv ds, \quad m_4(\xi, v, V(s)) := \frac{(\hat{V}(s) - \hat{v}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi)}{|\xi| (i \hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i \mu |\xi|)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

where $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, X(s), V(s))$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, are defined in (6.6), ${}_a Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$ and ${}_a Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, in (6.13) are defined as follows,

$${}_0 Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_i) \cdot \xi + i\mu t_i |\xi|} (i \hat{V}(t_i) \cdot \xi + i\mu |\xi|)^{-1} C(\mathcal{X}(t_i), V(t_i)) \cdot \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_i, \xi, V(t_i)) d\xi, \quad (6.15)$$

$${}_1 Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \frac{(\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{X}} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s))) \cdot \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, V(s))}{\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + \mu |\xi|} d\xi ds. \quad (6.16)$$

$${}_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} [(i \hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu |\xi|)^{-1} K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^1 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s))] d\xi ds, \quad (6.17)$$

$${}_1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \frac{\mathcal{F}((E + \hat{v} \times B)f)(s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v (C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j;n}^i(v, \xi, V(s)))}{|\xi| (i \hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu |\xi|)} d\xi dv ds, \quad (6.18)$$

where for convenience in notation, we suppress the dependence of above terms with respect to k, k_1, k_2 etc,

$${}^1 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) = \nabla_{\zeta} [(i \hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi + i\mu |\xi|)^{-1} C(\mathcal{X}(s), \zeta) \cdot \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, \zeta)]|_{\zeta=V(s)}. \quad (6.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j;n}^a(v, \xi, V(s)) &= \left(\frac{(\hat{V}(s) - \hat{v}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi)}{i|\xi|(|\mu|\xi| + \hat{v} \cdot \xi)} + \hat{v} \right) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, V(s)) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_k(\xi), \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j;n}^4(v, \xi, V(s)) &= \hat{v} \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(s)) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_k(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

The estimates of the elliptic type terms $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, in (6.13) are deferred to the next subsection, see the estimate (6.106) in Proposition 6.1. We mainly estimate the hyperbolic type terms ${}_a Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$ and the error type terms ${}_a Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, in this subsection.

From the estimate (6.63) in Lemma 6.3 and the estimate (6.83) in Lemma 6.4, ${}_a Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, and ${}_1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$ are well controlled. Hence, it would be sufficient to focus on the estimate of ${}_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, see (6.18).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds,*

$$|{}_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\gamma_1 - 3\epsilon)M_t} \right) (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{(3a^* + 20\epsilon)M_t/4} \right]. \quad (6.21)$$

Proof. Recall (6.17), and the decomposition of the localized acceleration force in (6.5). We first rule out the case k is very large, e.g., $k \geq 50M_t$, and the contribution comes from the elliptic part of the new introduced acceleration force in ${}_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$. Recall (6.20). From the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\hat{x}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} {}^1 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} d\xi \right| &\lesssim \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-aa_p + a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - (k+2n)/2 + 40\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\quad \times \left(2^{2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} + 2^{-\alpha^* M_t/4} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

From the above estimate and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we can rule out the case $k \geq 50M_t$ as follows,

$$\sum_{k \in [50M_t, \infty] \mathbb{Z}_+} |{}_0^1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-aa_p + a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.23)$$

Now, as there are at most M_t^2 cases left, which only cause logarithmic loss, it would be sufficient to consider the fixed $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$. Recall (6.6), and the fact that $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have $|\dot{X}(s)| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}$. After estimating the size of kernel, from the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function and the estimate (1.15) if $|\dot{\gamma}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \{0,1,2,3\}, k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(s, X(s), V(s))| &\lesssim \sum_{k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \min\{2^{-k+j+2(\alpha_s+2\epsilon)M_s}, 2^{k+n+\epsilon M_t-j}(1+2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)M_s})^{-100}|\dot{X}(s)|^{-1}\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p/2+(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

From the above estimate and the obtained estimate (6.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k_1, j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ a_1 \in \{0,1,2,3\}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, l_1 \in [-j_1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Ell_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, a_1; l_1}(K)(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} d\xi ds \right| \\ \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + (b+1/2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{-(k+2n)/2} 2^{-a_p/2+(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \\ \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p + d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{2\alpha^* M_t/3 + 100\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

Therefore, recall again the decomposition in (6.5), from the above obtained estimate, the estimate (4.1), we have

$$|{}_0^1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_1 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\} \\ i_1 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-aa_p + a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{M}(C), \quad (6.26)$$

$$Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2) = \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) d\xi ds \right|, \quad (6.27)$$

where, for convenience, we suppress the dependence of $Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)$ with respect to $k, j, n, k_1, j_1, n_1, \mu, \mu_1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n} &:= \{(k_1, n_1) : k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n_1 \geq (-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t, \\ &\quad (k_1 + 2n_1) - (k + 2n) \geq \alpha^* M_t/2 + \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} \alpha^* M_t/2 - \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} 4(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 200\epsilon M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

We first rule out the case when $(k_1, n_1) \notin {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$. More precisely, by using the obtained estimate (6.22) and (6.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - (k+2n)/2 + 40\epsilon M_t} (2^{2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} + 2^{-\alpha^* M_t/4} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^2}) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/4} + 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 + 3\alpha^* M_t/4 + 40\epsilon M_t} \right] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p + d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

Now, we focus on the case $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$. Note that, on the Fourier side, we have

$$Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta ds.$$

Thanks to the fact that, $\forall (k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$, $(k_1 + 2n_1) - (k + 2n) \geq \alpha^* M_t/2 - 100\epsilon M_t$. As a result, we have

$$|\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta| \sim |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \eta + \mu_1 |\eta|| \sim 2^{k_1 + 2n_1}. \quad (6.30)$$

To take the advantage of high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts in “s” one more time. As a result, after using the decomposition for the acceleration force in (6.5), the estimate (4.1), we have

$$|Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{a=0,1,2} |Err_{i, i_1}^a(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_2 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_2 \in \{+, -\} \\ i_2 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} |Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2}(t_1, t_2)| + \mathcal{M}(C), \quad (6.31)$$

where

$$Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta ds, \quad (6.32)$$

where

$${}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) := \nabla_\zeta \left[(\Phi_2(\xi, \eta, \zeta))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, \zeta) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), \zeta) \right] \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} \quad (6.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2) &:= \sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_i) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu t_i |\xi| + i\mu_1 t_i |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(t_i, \eta, V(t_i)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(t_i, \xi, X(t_i), V(t_i)) \\ &\quad \times (\Phi_2(\xi, \eta, V(t_i)))^{-1} d\xi d\eta + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} (\Phi_2(\xi, \eta, V(s)))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot [\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_\# {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s))] d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} [\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) \\ &\quad + \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s))] (\Phi_2(\xi, \eta, V(s)))^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_2 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \\ n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_2 \in \{+, -\}, l_2 \in [-j_2, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, a_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \\ &\quad \times (Ell_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, a_2; l_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) + Ini_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2}(s, X(s), V(s))) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

where

$$\Phi_2(\xi, \eta, \zeta) := \hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta) + |\mu| |\xi| + |\mu_1| |\eta|.$$

From the estimate of error type terms (6.86) in Lemma 6.5, recall (6.31), to estimate $Hyp_{i,i_1}(t_1, t_2)$, it would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2}(t_1, t_2)$. Similar to the obtained estimate (6.23), we can first rule out the case $k_1 \geq 50M_t$. Now, it would be sufficient to consider the fixed $(n_1, k_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ s.t., $k_1 \leq 50M_t$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1} &:= \{(n_2, k_2) : k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, (k_2 + 2n_2) - (k_1 + 2n_1) \geq \alpha^* M_t / 6 - 6\epsilon M_t - 560\epsilon M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t, \\ &\quad n_2 \in [(-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t / 2 - 30\epsilon M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.37)$$

Recall (6.33). Similar to the obtained estimate (6.22), from the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, the following estimate holds if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}|, |\not{y}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} {}^2\clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1, \mu_1}^{k_1, j_1, n_1, \mu_1}(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) e^{ix \cdot \xi + iy \cdot \eta + i\mu_1 s |\eta| + i\mu s |\xi|} d\xi d\eta \right| \lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t} 2^{40\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2} \right. \\ & \times (2^{2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t / 3} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} + 2^{-\alpha^* M_t / 4} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^2}) \left((2^{(1-\epsilon) M_t} + 2^{130\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 + (\alpha^* + 3\iota) M_t}) \right) 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{-k_1 - 2n_1} (2^{-n} + 2^{-n_1}) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+5/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t / 6 + 220\epsilon M_t + 3\iota M_t - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

From the above obtained estimates (6.38) and (6.9), the following estimate holds if $(k_2, n_2) \notin {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1, \mu_1}^{k_1, j_1, n_1, \mu_1}(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+5/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t / 6 + 220\epsilon M_t + 3\iota M_t - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \right) \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t} \right. \\ & \times (2^{\alpha^* M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t / 4} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k_2 + 2n_2)/2 + 3\alpha^* M_t / 4}) \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 20\epsilon) M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

It remains to consider the case $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$. Note that, on the Fourier side, we have

$$Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta ds. \quad (6.40)$$

Thanks to the fact that, $(k_2 + 2n_2) - (k_1 + 2n_1) \geq \alpha^* M_t / 12$, we have

$$|\hat{V}(t) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| + |\mu| |\xi| + |\mu_1| |\eta| \sim |\hat{V}(t) \cdot \sigma + \mu_2 |\sigma|| \sim 2^{k_2 + 2n_2}.$$

To exploit the high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts in “ s ” one more time. As a result, after using the decomposition for the acceleration force in (6.5) for the new introduced acceleration force, the estimate (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2}(t_1,t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{a=0,1,2,3} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^a(t_1,t_2)| + \sum_{\substack{k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_3 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, i_3 \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_3 \in \{+, -\}}} |Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}(t_1,t_2)| + \mathcal{M}(C), \\ Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}(t_1,t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \\ &\quad \times K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

where ${}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s))$ is defined as follows,

$${}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s)) := \nabla_\zeta [(\Phi_3(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \zeta))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, \zeta) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), \zeta)]|_{\zeta=V(s)}, \quad (6.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^0(t_1,t_2) &= \sum_{i=0,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_i) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 t_i |\sigma| + i\mu t_i |\xi| + i\mu_1 t_i |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(t_i, \sigma, V(t_i)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(t_i, \xi, \eta, X(t_i), V(t_i)) \\ &\quad \times (\Phi_3(\xi, \eta, \sigma, V(t_i)))^{-1} d\xi d\eta + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} (\Phi_3(\xi, \eta, \sigma, V(s)))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot (\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_\zeta {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s))) d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1,t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times ({}^3\clubsuit K(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) + \partial_t {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s))) d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1,t_2) &= \sum_{\substack{k_3, j_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i_3 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, a_3 \in \{0,1,2,3\} \\ n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_3 \in \{+, -\}, l_3 \in [-j_3, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \\ &\quad \times (Ell_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, a_3; l_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) + Ini_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3}(s, X(s), V(s))) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

where

$$\Phi_3(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \zeta) := \hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|.$$

From the estimate (6.91) in Lemma 6.6, recall (6.41), to estimate $Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2}(t_1, t_2)$, it would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}(t_1, t_2)$. As in the obtained estimate (6.23), we can rule out further the case $k_2 \geq 50M_t$. It would be sufficient to let $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$ be fixed. Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2} &:= \{(n_3, k_3) : k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n_3 \geq (-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t, \\ &\quad (k_3 + 2n_3) - (k_2 + 2n_2) \geq \alpha^* M_t/3 - 12\iota M_t - 1100\epsilon M_t - 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

Recall (6.42). Similar to the obtained estimate (6.38), from the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, the following estimate holds if $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}|, |\not{y}|, |\not{z}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s)) e^{i(x \cdot \xi + y \cdot \eta + z \cdot \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu_1 s |\xi| + i\mu s |\eta|} d\xi d\eta d\sigma| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+11/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/6 + 350\epsilon M_t + 6\iota M_t - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2 - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-\min\{n, n_1, n_2\}} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+4/3)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t^*/12 + 490\epsilon M_t + 6\iota M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

From the above estimate and the estimate (6.9), in Proposition 5.1, the following estimate holds if $(k_3, n_3) \notin {}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+4/3)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t^*/12 + 490\epsilon M_t + 6\iota M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \right] \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (2^{\alpha^* M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*/4} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k_3 + 2n_3)/2 + 3\alpha^* M_t/4}) \right) \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the case $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$. Note that, on the Fourier side, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \check{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma ds$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + is(\mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa ds$$

Thanks to the fact that, $(k_3 + 2n_3)/2 \gg (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 \gg (k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \gg (k + 2n)/2$, we have

$$|\hat{V}(t) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|| \sim |\hat{V}(t) \cdot \kappa + \mu_3|\kappa|| \sim 2^{k_3 + 2n_3}.$$

To exploit the high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts in “ s ” one more time. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{a=0,1} Err_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^a(t_1, t_2) + \widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2), \quad \widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa)} \\ &\times e^{is(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \mathbb{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.48)$$

where, for convenience in notation, we suppress the dependence of above terms with respect to k, k_1, k_2, k_3 etc,

$${}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \mathbb{X}(s), V(s)) := \nabla_\zeta [(\Phi_4(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \zeta))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, \kappa, \zeta) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), \zeta)]|_{\zeta=V(s)} \quad (6.49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^0(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{b=0,1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{it_b(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(t_b, \kappa, V(t_b)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(t_b, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(t_b), V(t_b)) \\ &\times e^{iX(t_b) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa)} (\Phi_4(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, V(t_b)))^{-1} d\xi d\eta d\eta d\kappa + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + is(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \\ &\times (\Phi_4(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, V(s)))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot (\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_\zeta {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), V(s))) d\xi d\eta d\eta d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^1(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa)} [\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), V(s)) \\ &+ \clubsuit K_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^3\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), V(s))] \frac{e^{is(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)}}{\Phi_4(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, V(s))} d\xi d\eta d\eta d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.51)$$

where

$$\Phi_4(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \zeta) := \hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|.$$

The estimate of error type terms $Err_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^a(t_1, t_2)$ are deferred to Lemma 6.7, see (6.98). Hence, to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)$, it would be sufficient to estimate $\widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)$. As in the obtained estimate (6.23), we can rule out further the case $k_3 \geq 50M_t$. It would be sufficient to let $(k_3, n_3) \in {}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$ be fixed.

Recall (6.48) and (6.49). Similar to the obtained estimate (6.47), from the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + is(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \mathbb{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa \right| \\ & \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+7/3)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t^* / 12 + 540\epsilon M_t + 9\iota M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-(k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{-\min\{n, n_1, n_2, n_3\}} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 12\iota M_t + 1600\epsilon M_t - \alpha^* M_t^* / 12} 2^{-(k_3 + 2n_3)/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

Recall (6.48). After using the decomposition for the acceleration force in (6.5) for the acceleration force, the obtained estimate (6.24) for the elliptic terms, and the estimate (4.1), we have

$$|\widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_4 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, i_4 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \\ n_4 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_4 \in \{+, -\}}} Hyp^4(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C), \quad (6.53)$$

where for convenience in notation, we suppress the dependence with respect to $k, k_1, \dots, k_4$ etc for $Hyp^4(t_1, t_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} Hyp^4(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa) + is(\mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \\ &\times K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \mathbb{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.54)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3} &:= \{(n_4, k_4) : k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_4 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n_4 \geq (-\alpha^* + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t, \\ &\quad (k_4 + 2n_4) - (k_3 + 2n_3) \geq 2\alpha^* M_t/3 - 24\iota M_t - 4000\epsilon M_t - 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.55)$$

From the estimate (6.52 and the estimate (6.9), the following estimate holds if $(k_4, n_4) \notin {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp^4(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 12\epsilon M_t + 1600\epsilon M_t - \alpha^* M_t^*/12} 2^{-(k_3 + 2n_3)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \right) \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(2^{\alpha^* M_t - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t^*/4} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k_4 + 2n_4)/2 + 3\alpha^* M_t/4} \right) \right) \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

Therefore, it would be sufficient to consider the case $(k_4, n_4) \in {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}$. For this case, thanks to the high oscillation in time of the phase, we do integration by parts in “ s ” one more time. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hyp^4(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{a=0,1} Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^a(t_1, t_2) + Hyp^5(t_1, t_2), \quad Hyp^5(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^5} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi)} \\ &\quad \times e^{is(\mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^5\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, \check{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.57)$$

where, for convenience in notation, we suppress the dependence of above terms with respect to $k, k_1, \dots, k_4$ etc,

$${}^5\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, \check{X}(s), V(s)) := \nabla_\zeta \left[\left(\Phi_5(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, \zeta) \right)^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(s, \chi, \zeta) \cdot {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, X(s), \zeta) \right] \Big|_{\zeta = V(s)}, \quad (6.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^0(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{b=0,1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^5} e^{iX(t_b) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi) + it_b(\mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \left(\Phi_5(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, V(t_b)) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(t_b, \kappa, V(t_b)) \cdot {}^4\clubsuit K(t_b, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, X(t_b), V(t_b)) d\chi d\xi d\eta d\kappa \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^5} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi)} e^{is(\mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \left(\Phi_5(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, V(s)) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot \left(\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\check{X}} {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, X(s), V(s)) \right) d\chi d\xi d\eta d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^1(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^5} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi)} \left[\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(s, \kappa, V(s)) (s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, X(s), V(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \clubsuit K_{k_4, j_4; n_4}^{\mu_4, i_4}(s, \kappa, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^4\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, X(s), V(s)) \right] \frac{e^{is(\mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)}}{\Phi_5(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, V(s))} d\chi d\xi d\eta d\kappa ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

where

$$\Phi_5(\xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, \zeta) := \hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi) + \mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu_1|\eta| + \mu|\xi|.$$

The estimate of error type terms $Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^a(t_1, t_2)$ are deferred to Lemma 6.8, see (6.104). We focus on the estimate of $Hyp^5(t_1, t_2)$. Similar to the obtained estimate (6.52), from the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k_4, n_4) \in {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma + \kappa + \chi) + is(\mu_4|\chi| + \mu_3|\kappa| + \mu_2|\sigma| + \mu|\xi| + \mu_1|\eta|)} \right. \\ \left. \times {}^5\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \chi, \check{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa \right| \lesssim \sum_{(k_4, n_4) \in {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 15\epsilon M_t + 1600\epsilon M_t - \alpha^* M_t^*/12} \\ \times 2^{-(k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{-(k_4 + 2n_4)/2} 2^{-\min\{n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4\}} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 3\alpha^* M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.61)$$

From the above estimate and the estimate of the electromagnetic field in (2.72) in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k_4, n_4) \in {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}} |Hyp^5(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 3\alpha^* M_t/2} \right] \left(2^{-a_p/4} 2^{5M_t/4 + \alpha^* M_t/4 + \epsilon M_t} + 2^{2(\alpha^* + 4\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-a_p/2} \right) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 5\alpha^* M_t/8 + 300\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

Hence, recall (6.48), our desired estimate (6.21) holds after combining the above estimate and the estimate (6.104) in Lemma 6.8. $\square$

Now, we estimate the deferred second part of the hyperbolic type terms ${}^1_1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.13), see also (6.18). More precisely, we have

Lemma 6.2. *under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$|{}_1^1 \text{Hyp}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t - 20\epsilon M_t} (t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.63)$$

Proof. Recall (6.18) and (6.20). Note that, in terms of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1^1 \text{Hyp}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{l \in [n + \epsilon M_t/2, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (E(s, X(s) - y) + \hat{v} \times B(s, X(s) - y)) \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(y, v, X(s), V(s)) \\ &\quad \times f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.64)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,j;l}^{\mu,i}(y, v, V(s)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} (i\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|)^{-1} C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, V(s)) \varphi_{l;n+\epsilon M_t/2}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) d\xi.$$

Recall the assumption of the coefficient $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (3.13). Moreover, recall (6.20) and (4.5), note that $\mathbf{P}(\tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, V(t)))$ is smaller than $(\tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, V(t)))_3, i \in \{3, 4\}$. With these observations, the following estimate holds for the kernels after doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ directions and directions perpendicular to ζ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,1,2} |\nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu,i}(y, v, V(s))| + \sum_{a=3,4} 2^{-\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\} - \epsilon M_t} |\nabla_v \mathcal{K}_{k,j;n,l}^{\mu,a}(y, v, V(s))| \\ \lesssim 2^k 2^{-j-l+2\epsilon M_t} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.65)$$

Recall the definition of the index sets $\mathcal{E}_i$ in (6.2). From the above estimate of kernels, the cylindrical symmetry of solution, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v , and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,1,2} |{}_1^1 \text{Hyp}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{l \in [n + \epsilon M_t/2, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k-j-l+2\epsilon M_t} (2^{-a_p} 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{-a_p} 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-j})^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p - n + 5\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p + \alpha^* M_t/2 + 40\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.66)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{a=3,4} |{}_1^1 \text{Hyp}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-a_p - n + \max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\} + 6\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.67)$$

Hence the desired estimate (6.63) holds from the obtained estimates (6.66) and (6.67). $\square$

As byproducts of the proof of the previous Lemma, we can obtain the estimates of $\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ similarly. More precisely, we have

Lemma 6.3. *For any $s \in [0, t]$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3/\{0\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\zeta|^{-1} 2^{k+n}, |\zeta|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}\}, \quad (6.68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi \right| &\lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\zeta|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} \min\{2^{2M_s+n}, 2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s}\}, |\zeta|^{-1} 2^{k+n}\} \\ &\quad + 2^{2n+3\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\zeta|^{-1/2} 2^{k+n/2+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{k/2+n/3+2j/3+5\alpha_s M_s/3}, |\zeta|^{-1/4} 2^{k/2+n/4+j/2+3\alpha_s M_s/2}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0,1,2,3,4} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \mathbf{P}(\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta)) d\xi \right| &\lesssim 2^{(k+2n)/2+4\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\alpha_s M_s} + (2^n + |\zeta| |\zeta|^{-1}) 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}) \\ &\quad + 2^{(k+2n)/2+3\epsilon M_s} (2^{7\alpha_s M_s/3} + |\zeta| |\zeta|^{-1} (2^{2j/3+5\alpha_s M_s/3} + 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s})). \end{aligned} \quad (6.70)$$

Proof. Recall (6.7) and (4.4). As a result of direct computation, in terms of kernel, $\forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi = \sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} (E(s, x - y) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x - y)) \cdot \nabla_v K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta) f(s, x - y, v) dy dv, \quad (6.71)$$

where

$$K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} m_{j,n}^a(v, \xi, \zeta) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{l;n+\epsilon M_t/4}(\tilde{\zeta} - \tilde{v}) d\xi, \quad (6.72)$$

For the case $a = 4$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi = Ell_{k,j,n}^a(s, x, \zeta) + Err_{k,j,n}^a(s, x, \zeta), \quad (6.73)$$

$$Ell_{k,j,n}^a(s, x, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) |\xi|^{-1} ((\hat{\zeta} - \hat{v}) \times (\hat{v} \times \xi)) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta) d\xi dv, \quad (6.74)$$

$$Err_{k,j,n}^a(s, x, \zeta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} |\xi|^{-1} \mathcal{F}((E + \hat{v} \times B)f)(s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v (\hat{v} \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta)) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi dv. \quad (6.75)$$

- The case $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Recall (6.72). After doing integration by parts in ξ along ζ directions and directions perpendicular to ζ , we have

$$|K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta)| + (\max\{2^l, |\xi|/|\zeta|\})^{-1} |\mathbf{P}(K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta))| \lesssim 2^{2k+2n} 2^{-j-l} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-100}. \quad (6.76)$$

Note that, after using the above estimate of kernel, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} (E(s, x-y) + \hat{v} \times B(s, x-y)) \cdot \nabla_v K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta) f(s, x-y, v) dy dv \right| \lesssim 2^{2k+2n} 2^{-j-l+2\epsilon M_t} (\min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\})^{1/2} \\ & \times (\min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-2n} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\}\})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2k+2n}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} \min\{2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{2\alpha_s M_s-l}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.77)$$

Recall (6.72). From the above estimate, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{2k+2n}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}\}.$$

Similarly, from the improved estimate of kernels $\mathbf{P}(K_{k,j}^{a;l,n}(y, v, \zeta))$ in (6.76), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|} \mathbf{P}(\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, \zeta)) d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{(k+2n)/2+5\epsilon M_t} (2^{2\alpha_s M_s} + |\xi| |\zeta|^{-1} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}). \quad (6.78)$$

Moreover, if $|\not\phi| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t/2}$, from cylindrical symmetry of the solution, the volume of support of v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi \right| \lesssim \sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2k+2n} 2^{-j-l+2\epsilon M_t} \left(\frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}}{|\not\phi|} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \times (\min\{ \frac{2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t-j}}{|\not\phi|^{-1}}, 2^{-3k-2n} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\} \})^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n}, |\not\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.79)$$

After combining the above two estimates (6.77) and (6.79), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + is\mu|\xi|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, \xi, \zeta) d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n}, |\not\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}\}. \quad (6.80)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (6.68).

- The case $a = 4$.

Recall (6.75) and the definition of cutoff function in $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta)$, in (4.5). We have $|\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}| \in [2^{n-\epsilon M_t}, 2^{n+\epsilon M_t}]$. As a result, if $2^n \notin [2^{-2\epsilon M_t} |\xi|/|\zeta|, 2^{2\epsilon M_t} |\xi|/|\zeta|]$, then we have $|\not\phi|/|v| \sim |\xi|/|\zeta|$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $\max\{2^n, |\xi|/|\zeta|\} 2^j \leq 2^{(\alpha_s + 2\epsilon)M_s}$ if $2^n \notin [2^{-2\epsilon M_t} |\xi|/|\zeta|, 2^{2\epsilon M_t} |\xi|/|\zeta|]$.

With minor modifications in the estimate of the case $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{k,j,n}^2(s, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not\phi|^{-1} 2^{k+n}, |\not\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{n/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} \min\{2^{2M_t+\vartheta_0^*}, 2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s}\}\}, \\ |\mathbf{P}(Err_{k,j,n}^2(s, x, \zeta))| & \lesssim 2^{(k+2n)/2+4\epsilon M_t} 2^{2\alpha_s M_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.81)$$

Recall (6.74). Note that, in terms of kernel, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ell_{k,j,n}^a(s, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim \sum_{l \in [-M_t, n+\epsilon M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |Ell_{k,j,n}^{a;l}(s, x, \zeta)|, \quad Ell_{k,j,n}^{a;l}(s, x, \zeta) := 2^{3k+4n+3\epsilon M_t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, x-y, v) \\ & \times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{\zeta}|)^{-N_0^3} \varphi_{l;-M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta) dy dv \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimate, the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Ell_{k,j,n}^{a;l}(s, x, \zeta)| & \lesssim 2^{2n+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{3k+2n-j}, |\not\phi|^{-1} 2^{2k+n-j}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\} \\ & \lesssim 2^{2n+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{|\not\phi|^{-1/2} 2^{k+n/2+\alpha_s M_s}, 2^{k/2+n/3+2j/3+5\alpha_s M_s/3}, |\not\phi|^{-1/4} 2^{k/2+n/4+j/2+3\alpha_s M_s/2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.82)$$

Recall (6.73). After combining the above estimate, and the obtained estimate (6.81), our desired estimate (6.69) holds. Moreover, the desired estimate (6.70) holds from the obtained estimates (6.78), (6.81), and (6.82). $\square$

Now, we estimate the error type terms in (6.13).

Lemma 6.4. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0, 1\}} |{}_a Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4 + 100\epsilon M_t} \right]. \quad (6.83)$$

Proof. Recall (6.15) and the definition of the index sets $\mathcal{E}_i$ in (6.2). From the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, $\forall i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have

$$|{}_0 Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-(k+2n)} (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t + 30\epsilon M_t}) \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4 + 100\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (6.84)$$

Recall (6.16) and the assumption of the coefficient $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (3.13). From the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1 Err_{k,j;n}^i(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{-(k+2n) + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{-a_p} [2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{40\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t}\} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1)] \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 3\alpha^* M_t/4 + 200\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.85)$$

Hence the desired estimate (6.83) holds from the obtained estimate (6.84) and (6.85). $\square$

Now, we estimate the error terms produced in the normal form transformation process. For the error terms in (6.31), we have

Lemma 6.5. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $(n_1, k_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$, and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0, 1, 2\}} |Err_{i,i_1}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4} \right] \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (6.86)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{E}_i, i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in (6.2) and the definition of ${}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ in (6.28).

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.34), (6.19), and the assumptions of C in Lemma 3.1. From the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} + 1) (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* M_t + 3\epsilon M_t + 130\epsilon M_t - 4\vartheta_0^*)}) 2^{(\alpha^* M_t + 3\epsilon M_t + 130\epsilon M_t - 4\vartheta_0^*) - \gamma_2 M_t} \\ &\quad \times 2^{-k-2n} 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 - n} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} + 1) 2^{4\alpha^* M_t/5} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.87)$$

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.35) and (6.19). With minor modifications in obtaining estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} \partial_t {}^1 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) d\xi \right| &\lesssim [\min\{|\xi|^{-1} 2^{k+n}, 2^{(k+2n)/2} 2^{j+\alpha_s M_s}\} + 2^{2n} |\xi|^{-1/2} 2^{k+n/2 + \alpha_s M_s}] \\ &\quad \times 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t - k - 3n + 5\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 - \gamma_2 M_t + 10\epsilon M_t} (2^{(j+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k/4 - 2n} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s - n/2}) \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.88)$$

From the above estimate, the estimate (6.22), and estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - (k+2n)/2 + 20\epsilon M_t} (2^{2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^1} + 2^{-\alpha^* M_t/4} \mathbf{1}_{n \in \mathcal{N}_t^2}) \mathcal{M}(C) \right] \\ &\quad \times [2^{-a_p/2 + 10\epsilon M_t} (2^{(j+\alpha^*)M_t/2 - k_1/4 - n_1} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_1/2})] (t_2 - t_1) + \left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-aa_p + a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 + 3\alpha^* M_t/4} \right) \\ &\quad \times [2^{-a_p/2 - \gamma_2 M_t + 10\epsilon M_t} (2^{(j+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k/4 - 2n} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s - n/2}) \mathcal{M}(C)] (t_2 - t_1) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + (b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 5\alpha^* M_t/6 + 200\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \end{aligned} \quad (6.89)$$

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.36). From the estimate (6.38) and the estimate of $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(t, x, V(t))$ in (6.24), we have

$$|Err_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+5/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/6 + 220\epsilon M_t + 3\epsilon M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} \right) 2^{-a_p/2 + (\alpha^* + 4\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + (b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 2\alpha^* M_t/3} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.90)$$

Therefore, the desired estimate (6.86) holds from the above estimate and the obtained estimates (6.87), and (6.89). $\square$

For the error terms in (6.41), we have

Lemma 6.6. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $(n_1, k_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$, $(n_2, k_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$, and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \gamma_1 M_t - 20\epsilon M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{\alpha^* M_t/2} \right] \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (6.91)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{E}_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in (6.2), the definition of ${}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ in (6.28), and the definition of ${}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$ in (6.37).

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^0(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.43), (6.33), the assumption of the coefficient C in Lemma 3.1. From the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} + 1) (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* M_t + 3\iota M_t + 130\epsilon M_t - 4\vartheta_0^*)}) 2^{2(\alpha^* M_t + 3\iota M_t + 130\epsilon M_t - 4\vartheta_0^*) - 2\gamma_2 M_t} \\ &\quad \times 2^{-k-2n} 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 - (k_2+2n_2)/2 - 2\min\{n, n_1\}} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} + 1) 2^{\alpha^* M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.92)$$

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.44). From the obtained estimate (6.38) and the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \partial_t \clubsuit K(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+5/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/6 + 220\epsilon M_t + 3\iota M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} \right) \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \\ &\quad \times [2^{-a_p/2 + 10\epsilon M_t} (2^{(j+\alpha^*)M_t/2 - k_2/4 - n_2} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_2/2})] \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1/2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.93)$$

Moreover, from the obtained estimates (6.22), (6.88), the estimates (6.9), the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|x|, |y| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{iy \cdot \eta} e^{i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \partial_t^2 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) \right| \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-da_p + a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - \alpha^* M_t - \min\{n, n_1\}} \\ &\quad \times \left[(2^{(j+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k/4 - 2n} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s - n/2}) 2^{-\alpha^* M_t/4 - (k_1+2n_1)/2} + (2^{(j+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k_1/4 - n_1} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_1/2}) 2^{-(k+2n)/2} \right] \\ &\quad \lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/12} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.94)$$

From the above estimate, and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \clubsuit K(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t^2 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), V(s)) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \lesssim \left(\sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/12} \right) 2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k_2+2n_2)/2} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.95)$$

After combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (6.93), we have

$$|Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1/2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{4\alpha^* M_t/5} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.96)$$

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^3(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.45). From the obtained estimate (6.47), and the estimate of $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a}(t, x, V(t))$ in (6.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^3(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+4/3)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/12 + 490\epsilon M_t + 6\iota - (k_2+2n_2)/2} \right) (2^{-a_p/2 + (\alpha^* + 4\epsilon)M_t}) (t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.97)$$

To sum up, the desired estimate (6.91) holds after combining the obtained estimates (6.92), (6.96), and (6.97). $\square$

For the error terms in (6.48), we have

Lemma 6.7. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $(n_1, k_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$, $(n_2, k_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$, $(n_3, k_3) \in {}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$, and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/8} (t_2 - t_1) + 1 \right]. \quad (6.98)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{E}_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in (6.2), the definition of ${}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ in (6.28), the definition of ${}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$ in (6.37), and the definition of ${}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$ in (6.46).

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^0(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.50), (6.42), and the assumption of the coefficient C in Lemma 3.1. From the obtained estimate (6.47), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + \alpha^* M_t + 30\epsilon M_t}) 2^{-k-2n} 2^{3\alpha^* M_t - 3\gamma_2 M_t} \\ &\times 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 - (k_2+2n_2)/2 - (k_3+2n_3)/2 - 3 \min\{n, n_1\} + 200\epsilon M_t} \lesssim (2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.99)$$

- The estimate of $Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^1(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.51) and (6.42). From the obtained estimates (6.94) and the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, the following estimate holds if $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}|, |\not{y}|, |\not{z}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + iy \cdot \eta + iz \cdot \sigma + i\mu_3 s |\kappa| + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \nabla_{\zeta} [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} \right. \\ &\times \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, \zeta) \cdot \partial_t^2 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), \zeta)] \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi d\eta d\sigma \lesssim \left(\sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{d(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/12} \mathcal{M}(C) \right) \\ &\times 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + 100\epsilon M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-\min\{n, n_1, n_2\}} \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/4 + 1000\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.100)$$

Moreover, from the obtained estimate (6.38), estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, the following estimate holds if $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}|, |\not{y}|, |\not{z}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + iy \cdot \eta + iz \cdot \sigma + i\mu_3 s |\kappa| + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \nabla_{\zeta} [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta + \sigma) + \mu_2 |\sigma| + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} \right. \\ &\times \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, \zeta) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, X(s), \zeta)] \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi d\eta d\sigma \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+5/6)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{-a_p/2 - \gamma_2 M_t - \min\{n, n_2\}} \\ &\times 2^{\alpha^* M_t/6 + 220\epsilon M_t + 3\iota M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} (2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k_2/4 - n_2} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_2/2}) \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/4} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.101)$$

Therefore, after combining the above two estimates, the following estimate holds if $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}|, |\not{y}|, |\not{z}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + iy \cdot \eta + iz \cdot \sigma + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \partial_t^3 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/4 + 1000\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.102)$$

From the above estimate (6.102), the estimate of ${}^3\clubsuit K(t, \xi, \eta, \sigma, X(t), V(t))$ in (6.47), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, and the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/4 + 1000\epsilon M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k_3+2n_3)/2} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+4/3)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t^*/12 + 490\epsilon M_t + 6\iota - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-a_p/2} (2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k_3/4 - n_3} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_3/2}) \Big] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \end{aligned} \quad (6.103)$$

To sum up, after combining the obtained estimates (6.99) and (6.103), our desired estimate (6.98) holds. $\square$

For the error terms in (6.57), we have

Lemma 6.8. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $(n_1, k_1) \in {}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$, $(n_2, k_2) \in {}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$, $(n_3, k_3) \in {}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$, $(n_4, k_4) \in {}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}$, and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a=0,1} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/3} (t_2 - t_1) + 1 \right]. \quad (6.104)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{E}_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in (6.2), the definition of ${}^1\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ in (6.28), the definition of ${}^2\mathcal{E}_{k_1, n_1}$ in (6.37), the definition of ${}^3\mathcal{E}_{k_2, n_2}$ in (6.46), and the definition of ${}^4\mathcal{E}_{k_3, n_3}$ in (6.55). Moreover, recall (6.59) and the assumption of the coefficient C in Lemma 3.1, from the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+2n)/2 + (\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 130\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{4(\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 130\epsilon)M_t - 4\gamma_2 M_t} \\ &\quad \times 2^{-k-2n} 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 - (k_2+2n_2)/2 - (k_3+2n_3)/2 - (k_4+2n_4)/2 - 4 \min\{n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4\} + 200\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim (2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.105)$$

Lastly, we estimate $Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^1(t_1, t_2)$. Recall (6.49). Similar to the obtained estimate (6.102), after using the estimates (6.102) and (6.47), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + iy \cdot \eta + iz \cdot \sigma + iw \cdot \kappa + i\mu_3 s |\kappa| + i\mu_2 s |\sigma| + i\mu_1 s |\eta| + i\mu s |\xi|} \partial_t^4 \clubsuit K(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, \kappa, \mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) d\xi d\eta d\sigma d\kappa \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-ba_p} 2^{(b+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - \gamma_2 M_t + 100\epsilon M_t - \min\{n, n_1, n_2, n_3\}} [2^{\alpha^* M_t/4 + 1000\epsilon M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2} \\ &\quad + 2^{\alpha^* M_t^* / 12 + 490\epsilon M_t + 6\epsilon - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} (2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k_3/4 - n_3} + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_3/2})] \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall (6.60). From the above estimate, the estimate (6.52), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} [2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k_4 + 2n_4)/2} + (2^{(1+\alpha_s)M_s/2 - k_4/4 - n_4} \\ &\quad + 2^{\alpha_s M_s + n_4/2}) 2^{12\epsilon + 1600\epsilon M_t - \alpha^* M_t^* / 12 - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2}] \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) 2^{-da_p} 2^{(d+1)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t^* / 3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the desired estimate (6.104) holds from the above estimate and the estimate (6.105). $\square$

6.2. The estimates of elliptic parts. As summarized in the following Proposition, the goal of this subsection is devoted to the estimate of elliptic part $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.13)

Proposition 6.1. *Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds,*

$$\sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{2\alpha^* M_t/3} \right]. \quad (6.106)$$

Proof. Recall (6.14). From the estimate (6.118) in Lemma 6.9, it would be sufficient to focus on the case $a_p \geq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq \alpha^* M_t + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 15\epsilon M_t$, $k + 2n \geq 2\alpha^* M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t$, for the estimate of elliptic part $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Recall (6.6) and (6.14). We define the profile of the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ as $g(t, x, v) = f(t, x + t\hat{v}, v)$. In terms of the profile, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} C_0(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot m_i(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu\tilde{V}(s)) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{l;-M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{j;n}^i(v, V(s)) \psi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n;-M_t} d\xi dv ds, \quad i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}. \end{aligned}$$

where $m_i(\xi, v, V(s))$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are defined in (6.7) and (6.14).

Recall (4.5). For $v \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{j,n}^a(\cdot, V(s)) \psi_l(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)))$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have $\angle(v, V(s)) \sim 2^l \geq 2^{n+\epsilon M_t/2}$. For $v \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{j,n}^3(\cdot, V(s)) \psi_l(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)))$, we have $\angle(v, V(s)) \sim 2^l \leq 2^{n-\epsilon M_t/2}$. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2\}, v \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{j,n}^a(\cdot, V(s)) \psi_l(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s))), \quad |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \xi| &= |\hat{V} \cdot \xi + \mu|\xi| - \mu|\xi| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi| \sim 2^{k+2l}, \\ v \in \text{supp}(\varphi_{j,n}^3(\cdot, V(s)) \psi_l(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s))), \quad |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \xi| &= |\hat{V} \cdot \xi + \mu|\xi| - \mu|\xi| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi| \sim 2^{k+2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.107)$$

However, the case $a = 4$ is slightly different. Note that, it's possible that $\hat{V}(t) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \xi$ vanishes inside the support of $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(t))$, which happens when $\angle(v, \mu\xi)$ is comparable to $\angle(V(t), \mu\xi)$. Based on the size of $\angle(v, V(t))$ and the

size of $(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(t)) \cdot \xi$, after choosing the threshold $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t - n$, we split $Ell_{k,j;n}^{4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ into two parts as follows,

$$Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{\kappa \in [\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2), \quad (6.108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\kappa \in [\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2), \quad \kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - i\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{\kappa; \bar{\kappa}} \left(\frac{\xi \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))}{|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)| |\xi|} \right) \\ &\quad \times C_0(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot m_4(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{l; -M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(s)) d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.109)$$

From the result of the Lemma 6.10, we know that the threshold case $\frac{1}{\bar{\kappa}} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ is well controlled. Hence, the estimate of $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ is reduced to the estimate of $\kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, for which $\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \xi$ has a good lower bound. To take advantage of oscillation in time, we do integration by parts in time for $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $\kappa \in (-\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. As a result, after combining the decomposition in (6.108), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) &= Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{b=0,1} {}^b_1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2), \quad Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{\kappa \in [\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2), \\ \forall \kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \quad \kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \kappa Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{b=0,1} {}^b_\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.110)$$

where $Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, ${}_b^1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, and ${}^b_\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \quad Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{b=1,2} (-1)^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_b) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(t_b, \xi, v) \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\mathcal{X}(t_b), \xi, v, V(t_b)) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\#} (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \big|_{\mathcal{X}(s)} d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.111)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa Err_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{b=1,2} (-1)^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_b) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(t_b, \xi, v) \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(t_b), \xi, v, V(t_b)) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\#} (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s))) \big|_{\mathcal{X}(s)} d\xi ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.112)$$

$${}_0^1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_{\zeta} (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv ds, \quad (6.113)$$

$${}_1^1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v [\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)] d\xi ds, \quad (6.114)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0^\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_{\zeta} (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv ds, \\ &\quad (6.115) \end{aligned}$$

$${}_1^\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v [\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)] d\xi ds, \quad (6.116)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta) &:= (i(\hat{\zeta} - \hat{v}) \cdot \xi)^{-1} C_0(\mathcal{X}(s), \zeta) \cdot m_a(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_{j,n}^a(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l; -M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}), \\ \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta) &:= (i(\hat{\zeta} - \hat{v}) \cdot \xi)^{-1} C_0(\mathcal{X}(s), \zeta) \cdot m_4(\xi, v, V(s)) \varphi_{\kappa; \bar{\kappa}} \left(\frac{\xi \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{\zeta})}{|\hat{v} - \hat{\zeta}| |\xi|} \right) \varphi_k(\xi) \varphi_{n; -M_t}(\tilde{\xi} + \mu \tilde{V}(s)) \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta) \varphi_{l; -M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}) \varphi_k(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (6.117)$$

We remark that we used the fact that $\partial_t g(t, x - t\hat{v}, v) = (\partial_t + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x) f = -\nabla_v \cdot ((E + \hat{v} \times B)f)$ and did integration by parts in v in obtaining the above equality.

Recall (6.110). Our desired estimate (6.106) holds from the estimate (6.121) in Lemma 6.10, the estimate (6.125) in Lemma 6.11, (6.133) in Lemma 6.12, and the estimate (6.136) in Lemma 6.13. $\square$

Now, we provide detailed proof for the assumed lemmas.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds if either $a_p \leq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, or $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $j \leq \alpha^* M_t + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 15\epsilon M_t$, or $k + 2n \leq 2\alpha^* M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t$,*

$$\sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.118)$$

Proof. Recall (6.14). If $l \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_t$, then we have $|\psi| \sim 2^{j+l}$. From the estimate (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $j + l \leq (\alpha_t + 2\epsilon)M_t$ if $l \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \epsilon)M_t$.

From the assumption of the coefficient C in Lemma 3.1, after representing $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, in terms of kernel, and doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$, we have

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 2^{2k+2n+\epsilon M_t} (2^{\max\{l,n\}} + 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t}) (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} \\ \times f(s, X(s) - y, v) \varphi_{[j-2, j+2]}(v) dy dv ds.$$

From the cylindrical symmetry, the conservation law (1.3), the volume of support of v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, we have

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{\max\{l,n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\} + 3\epsilon M_t} \min\left\{\frac{2^{k+n+\epsilon M_t/2-j}}{|\tilde{X}(t)|}, 2^{-k} \min\{2^{3j+2n}, 2^{j+2\alpha_s M_s}\}\right\} ds \quad (6.119) \\ \lesssim 2^{\max\{l,n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\} + 3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-a_p/2} \min\{2^{j+3n/2}, 2^{n/2+\alpha^* M_t}\}, 2^{-2a_p/3} 2^{k/3+2n/3} 2^{\alpha^* M_t/3+n/3}\} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1).$$

From the above estimate, the following estimate always holds if either $a_p \leq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, or $n \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $j \leq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t$,

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p} 2^{b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1).$$

Moreover, from the obtained estimate (6.119), the following estimate holds if $k + 2n \leq 2(\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2$,

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^i(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-3a_p/4+3\epsilon M_t} 2^{(k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-3a_p/4} 2^{3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/4 + (\alpha^* - 11\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.120)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (6.118). $\square$

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t - n$. Assume that $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\tilde{X}(s)| \in I_p$, $(p, n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_2$, the following estimate holds if $a_p \geq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t$, and $k + 2n \geq 2(\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |\bar{\kappa} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2} 2^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.121)$$

Proof. Recall (6.109) and the definition of $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta)$ in (4.5). Let $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s)\} \subset \text{span}\{v, V(s)\}$ be an orthonormal frame of the linear space $\text{span}\{v, V(s)\}$. Let $\theta_2(v, s)$ be a unit vector in the linear space $(\text{span}\{v, V(s)\})^\perp$. Hence $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\mathbb{R}^3$. From the assumption of the coefficient C in Lemma 3.1, after representing $\bar{\kappa} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2;l}(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, and doing integration by parts in ξ with respect to $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$, we have

$$|\bar{\kappa} Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2k+n+\bar{\kappa}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t\} + \epsilon M_t} \varphi_{[j-2, j+2]}(v) (1 + 2^{k+\bar{\kappa}} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-100} \\ \times (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100} f(s, X(s) - y, v) \psi_{[n-\epsilon M_t, n+\epsilon M_t]}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) dy dv ds.$$

Note that, for any fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $a \neq b$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, if $|(a - c) \times b| \leq \epsilon$, then we have $|a - c| \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2}$. This claim follows from the following argument. Let $\mathbf{e}_1 := b$, $\mathbf{e}_2 := (a - (b \cdot a)b)/|a - (b \cdot a)b|$, $\mathbf{e}_3 = \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2$. Then $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ is an orthonormal frame. In terms of the orthonormal frame, we have

$$a = a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad c = \cos \theta \cos \phi \mathbf{e}_1 + \cos \theta \sin \phi \mathbf{e}_2 + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_3, \quad (a - c) \times b = -(a_2 - \cos \theta \sin \phi) \mathbf{e}_3 + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_2 \\ |(a - c) \times b| \leq \epsilon \implies |\sin \theta| \leq \epsilon, \quad |a_2 - \sin \phi| \lesssim \epsilon, \quad |a - c| \lesssim |a_1 - \cos \phi| + |a_2 - \sin \phi| + \epsilon \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2}. \quad (6.122)$$

From the above estimate, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s) \times \frac{(-X_2(s), X_1(s))}{|\tilde{X}(s)|})| &\gtrsim 2^{2n-2\epsilon M_t}, \implies \left| \frac{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))}{|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|} \times \frac{(-X_2(s), X_1(s), 0)}{|\tilde{X}(s)|} \right| \gtrsim 2^{n-\epsilon M_t}, \\ \implies |\theta_1(v, s) \cdot \frac{(-X_2(s), X_1(s), 0)}{|\tilde{X}(s)|}| &+ |\theta_2(v, s) \cdot \frac{(-X_2(s), X_1(s), 0)}{|\tilde{X}(s)|}| \gtrsim 2^{n-\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.123)$$

Note that $|\tilde{X}(s)| \sim 2^{a_p} \geq 2^{-k-\bar{\kappa}+\epsilon M_t}$. From the above estimate, the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function, the volume of support of v , and the estimate (1.15) if $|\tilde{v}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s+\epsilon)M_s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\kappa} Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{2k+n+\bar{\kappa}+\max\{n, (\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t\}+3\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \min \left\{ \frac{2^{-k-2n-j}}{|\tilde{X}(t)|}, 2^{-3k-n-\bar{\kappa}} 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t} \right\} ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p/2} 2^{2k+2n+\bar{\kappa}/2-2k-3n/2+33\epsilon M_t} 2^{\alpha^* M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2} 2^{(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/2+(\alpha^*-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.124)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (6.121). $\square$

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t - n$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds if $a_p \geq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t$, and $k + 2n \geq 2(\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2$,*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2,3} |Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |\kappa Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{2\alpha^* M_t/3}. \quad (6.125)$$

Proof. • The case $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Recall (6.111). Note that, in terms of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_i, X(t_i) - y, v) |K_{k,n}^a(y, v, V(t_i))| dy dv \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, X(s) - y, v) |\tilde{K}_{k,n}^a(y, v, V(s))| dy dv ds \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in [t_1, t_2], \quad K_{k,j,n}^{a,l}(y, v, V(s)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \clubsuit Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\tilde{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s)) d\xi, \\ \tilde{K}_{k,j,n}^{a,l}(y, v, V(s)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\tilde{X}} (\clubsuit Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(\tilde{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s))) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (6.126)$$

Recall (6.117) and (6.107). Recall (6.111). After doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{k,j,n}^{a,l}(y, v, V(s))| + 2^{a_p-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t} |\tilde{K}_{k,j,n}^{a,l}(y, v, V(s))| &\lesssim 2^{k+2n-2\max\{l,n\}+2\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.127)$$

From the above estimate of kernels, the volume of support of v , and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{k+2n-2\max\{l,n\}+3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-2n} 2^{3j+2l}\} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{\alpha^* M_t/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.128)$$

• The case $a = 4$.

Note that, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), for this case we have $l \in [n - 3\epsilon M_t/2, n + 3\epsilon M_t/2]$. Recall (6.112). In terms of kernels, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{a=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t_a, X(t_a) - y, v) \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(t_a)) dy dv \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, X(s) - y, v) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(s)) dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.129)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in [t_1, t_2], \quad \mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(s)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,2;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta) \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(s)) d\xi, \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(s)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \check{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\check{s}} (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,2;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \varphi_{j,n}^4(v, V(s)) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (6.130)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.10, after doing integration by parts in ξ with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ for the kernel,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \in [t_1, t_2], \quad &|\mathcal{K}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(s))| + 2^{a_p - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} |\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k,j,n}^{l,\kappa}(y, v, V(s))| \\ &\lesssim 2^k \mathcal{M}(C) (1 + 2^{k+\kappa} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|)) (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.131)$$

Recall that $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - n - 30\epsilon M_t$. From the above estimate of kernels, the obtained estimate (6.129), the volume of support of v , and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{k+\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-n-\kappa} 2^{3j+2n+2\epsilon M_t}\} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{\alpha^* M_t / 2 - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t / 3 + 30\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 1) 2^{2\alpha^* M_t / 3}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.132)$$

Recall (6.110). Our desired estimate (6.125) holds after combining the above estimate and the obtained estimate (6.128). $\square$

Lemma 6.12. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t - n$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t / 2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds if $a_p \geq -k + \alpha^* M_t / 2$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t / 3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t / 2 + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t$, and $k + 2n \geq 2(\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t / 2$,*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2,3} |{}_1^1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |{}_1^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.133)$$

Proof. • The estimate of ${}_1^1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Recall (6.114). From the estimate (6.107), after doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$ many times for the kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 2^{k+2n-2\max\{l,n\}-j-\min\{l,n\}+2\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (|E(s, X(s) - y)| + |B(s, X(s) - y)|) \\ &\quad \times f(s, X(s) - y, v) \psi_{[l-2, l+2]}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} dy dv ds. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\mathcal{X}(s)| \geq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t}$. From the above estimate, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function and the electromagnetic field, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{k+n-l-j-\max\{l,n\}+4\epsilon M_t} (2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{-k-n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{-j})^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p + 10\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.134)$$

• The estimate of ${}_1^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\bar{\kappa} = (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - n - 100\epsilon M_t$.

Recall (6.116). As in the proof of Lemma 6.11, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \zeta)$, see (4.5), for this case we have $l \in [n - 3\epsilon M_t / 2, n + 3\epsilon M_t / 2]$. After doing integration by parts in ξ with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ many times for the kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 2^{k-j-\min\{n,\kappa\}+4\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) f(s, X(s) - y, v) (|E(s, X(s) - y)| + |B(s, X(s) - y)|) \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k+\kappa} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|)) (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100} \psi_{[n-2\epsilon M_t, n+2\epsilon M_t]}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{V}(s)) \varphi_{[j-2, j+2]}(v) dy dv ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\forall s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\mathcal{X}(s)| \geq 2^{-k+\alpha^* M_t / 2} \geq 2^{-k-n-\kappa+\epsilon M_t}$. From the above estimate, the estimate (6.123), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the conservation law (1.3), and the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function and the electromagnetic field, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{k-j-\min\{n,\kappa\}+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{-k-2n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} (2^{-k-2n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{-j})^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-a_p - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 200\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1) \lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.135)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (6.133) holds from the obtained estimate (6.134) and (6.135). $\square$

Lemma 6.13. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t - n$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and $a_p \geq -k - n + 3\epsilon M_t/2$, under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, the following estimate holds if $a_p \geq -k + \alpha^* M_t/2$, $n \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 + (\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t$, and $k + 2n \geq 2(\alpha^* - 15\epsilon)M_t + 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0,1,2,3} |{}_0^1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |{}_0^\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) \left[\sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + (\alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t} (t_2 - t_1) + 2^{\alpha^* M_t/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.136)$$

Proof. Recall (6.113) and (6.115). From the estimate (2.31) in Lemma 2.4, the estimate (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, and the decomposition of the localized acceleration force in (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0,1} |{}_0^1 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| + \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |{}_0^\kappa EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,2;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j_1 \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\} \\ n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}}} [HypEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) \\ & + EllEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)] + \mathcal{M}(C), \end{aligned}$$

where $\forall a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} HypEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{a, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} \\ &\times \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) d\xi dv ds, \quad HypEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{4, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \\ &\times K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.137)$$

$$\begin{aligned} EllEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{a, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) &:= \sum_{l_1 \in [-j_1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} Ell_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1; l_1}(s, x, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} \\ &\times \mathbf{1}_{i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) d\xi dv ds, \quad EllEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{4, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l_1 \in [-j_1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \\ &\times \mathbf{1}_{i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} Ell_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1; l_1}(s, x, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.138)$$

After doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$ for the kernel and using the cylindrical symmetry, the volume of support of v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, and the conservation law (1.3), the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\phi| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv \right| \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + k - n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}(C) (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} \\ & \times (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + 3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-a_p - 2n - j}, 2^{-2k - 3n} \\ & \times \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{3j}\}, 2^{k-n-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-a_p/2 - k - 5n/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t}, 2^{-5n/3 - \gamma_2 M_t + \alpha^* M_t/3}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ & \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - k}, 2^{-2\gamma_2 M_t/3}\} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.139)$$

Recall (6.116). Similarly, after doing integration by parts in ξ with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ (see the proof of Lemma 6.12) for the kernel and using the estimate (6.123), the cylindrical symmetry, the volume of support of v , the estimate (1.15) if $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, and the conservation law (1.3), the following estimate holds for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\phi| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(s, \xi, v) \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi dv \right| \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + k - \min\{n, \kappa\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}(C) f(s, X(s) - y, v) \\ & \times (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+\kappa} |y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|||)^{-100} dy dv \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + 3\epsilon M_t - \min\{n, \kappa\}} \min\{2^{-a_p - 2n - j}, 2^{-2k - n - \kappa} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{3j+2n}\}, 2^{k-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ & \lesssim 2^{20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - (k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})}, 2^{-\alpha^* M_t/2}\} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (6.140)$$

From the estimate (6.24) and the obtained estimates (6.139) and (6.140), the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$, $\mu_1 \in \{+, -\}$,

$$|EllEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - (k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\}) + 20\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p/2 + (\alpha^* + 4\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) (t_2 - t_1)$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t+\alpha^*M_t/2}\mathcal{M}(C)(t_2-t_1). \quad (6.141)$$

Now, we focus on the contribution from the hyperbolic part. From the obtained estimates (6.139) and (6.140), the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1, and the estimate (5.2) in Lemma 5.1, if $(k_1+2n_1)/2 \leq (k-n+\kappa)/2+5\alpha^*M_t/8-300\epsilon M_t$ or $n_1 < -\alpha^*M_t/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t$, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |HypEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{-a_p/2+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/2-(k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})+50\epsilon M_t} \left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{T}} 2^{-aa_p+a(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t} \right. \\ &\quad \times (2^{(\alpha^*-9\epsilon)M_t-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/4} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2+3\alpha^*M_t/4} \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq (-\alpha^*+\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t/2-30\epsilon M_t}) \Big) \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2-t_1) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T}+\mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p+b(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t+(\alpha^*-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2-t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it remains to consider the case $(k_1+2n_1)/2 \geq (k-n+\kappa)/2+5\alpha^*M_t/8-300\epsilon M_t$ and $n_1 \geq -\alpha^*M_t/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 30\epsilon M_t$. Recall (6.137). On the Fourier side, we have

$$LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} {}^1\clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \mathbb{X}(s), \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + is\mu_1|\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} d\xi d\eta dv ds, \quad (6.142)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\clubsuit K_{a, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \not{x}, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) &:= \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v), \\ {}^1\clubsuit K_{4, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \not{x}, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) &:= \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v). \end{aligned} \quad (6.143)$$

Note that, due to the fact that $(k_1+2n_1)/2 \geq (k-n+\kappa)/2+5\alpha^*M_t/8-300\epsilon M_t$, we have

$$|\hat{V}(t) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi| \sim |\hat{V}(t) \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\eta|| \sim 2^{k_1+2n_1}.$$

To exploit high oscillation of phase in s , we do integration by parts in “ s ” once. As a result, we have

$$\forall i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, \quad |HypEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)| \leq \sum_{a=1, 2, 3} |{}_a^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{a=1, 2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_a) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + it_a\mu_1|\eta| - it_a\hat{v} \cdot \xi} (\hat{V}(t_a) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times {}^1\clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(t_a, \mathbb{X}(t_a), \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) \Big|_{\zeta=V(t_a)} d\xi d\eta dv \Big| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{\not{x}} {}^1\clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \not{x}, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) \Big|_{\not{x}=\mathbb{X}(s), \zeta=V(s)} \right. \\ &\quad \times e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + is\mu_1|\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} i(\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi)^{-1} d\xi d\eta dv ds \Big|, \end{aligned} \quad (6.144)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + is\mu_1|\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \partial_s {}^1\clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \not{x}, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) \Big|_{\not{x}=\mathbb{X}(s), \zeta=V(s)} \\ &\quad \times (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi)^{-1} d\xi d\eta dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.145)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^i(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + is\mu_1|\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta [i(\hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times {}^1\clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \mathbb{X}(s), \xi, \eta, v, \zeta)] \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi d\eta dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.146)$$

- The estimate of ${}_1^1 LastEll^i(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.144), (6.143) and the assumption of the coefficient “ C ” in Lemma 3.1. From the obtained estimates (6.139) and (6.140), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, the estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2, after representing ${}_1^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)$ on the physical space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^1 LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) [2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2-t_1) + 1] 2^{-\alpha^*M_t/2+100\epsilon M_t} \\ &\quad \times (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon+40\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) [2^{-a_p+(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)M_t}(t_2-t_1) + 1] 2^{\alpha^*M_t/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.147)$$

- The estimate of ${}_2^1 LastEll^i(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.145) and (6.143). From the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3 and the obtained estimates (6.139) and (6.140), we have

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi+\eta) + is\mu_1|\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \frac{\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v)}{\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1|\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi} d\xi d\eta dv ds \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + is\mu_1 |\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \frac{\partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \hat{g}(s, \xi, v)}{\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1 |\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi} d\xi d\eta dv ds \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 + 10\epsilon M_t} (2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t/2 - k_1/4 - n_1} + 2^{\alpha^* M_t + n_1/2}) 2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - (k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \\
& \lesssim 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \tag{6.148}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (1.1). As a result of direct computations, after doing integration by parts in v and then using the estimate of kernel by doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$, the following estimate holds for any $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \partial_s \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) dv d\xi \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta)) \right. \\
& \quad \times \partial_s \hat{g}(s, \xi, v) dv d\xi \left| \lesssim \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v [\psi_k(\xi) \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, a; l}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta))|_{\zeta=V(s)}] d\xi dv \right| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v [\psi_k(\xi) \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\not{x}, \xi, v, \zeta))|_{\zeta=V(s)}] d\xi dv \right|. \right. \\
& \lesssim \sum_{U \in \{E, B\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + k - j + 3\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) |U(s, x - y)| f(s, x - y, v) [2^{-2n} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} \\
& \quad + 2^{-2\min\{n, \kappa\}} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+\kappa} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-100}] dy dv \tag{6.149}
\end{aligned}$$

After using the localizing of kernel, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the cylindrical symmetry of the solution, from the obtained estimate (6.123), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.149) & \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + k - j - 2\min\{n, \kappa\} + 3\epsilon M_t} (2^{-k-2n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{3j+2n})^{1/2} (2^{-k-2n+\epsilon M_t} 2^{-a_p} 2^{-j})^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \\
& \lesssim 2^{-a_p - n - 2\min\{n, \kappa\} + 5\epsilon M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \tag{6.150}
\end{aligned}$$

From the above estimate (6.150), the estimate (6.148), the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, and the estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2} LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) [2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/2} + 2^{-a_p - n - 2\min\{n, \bar{\kappa}\} + 50\epsilon M_t - \gamma_2 M_t} \\
& \quad \times (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2 + \alpha^* M_t}) 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)}] \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) 2^{-a_p + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + \alpha^* M_t/2}. \tag{6.151}
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $\frac{1}{3} LastEll^i(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.146). With minor modifications in obtaining the estimates (6.139) and (6.140), from the estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, and the estimate (4.53) in Lemma 4.2, the following estimate holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, s.t., $|\not{x}| \in [2^{a_p-5}, 2^{a_p+5}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta) + is\mu_1 |\eta| - is\hat{v} \cdot \xi} \nabla_\zeta [\frac{1}{3} \clubsuit K_{i, i_1}^{\mu_1}(s, \not{x}(s), \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) (\hat{\zeta} \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu_1 |\eta| - \hat{v} \cdot \xi)^{-1}]|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi d\eta dv \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - (k+n+\min\{n, \bar{\kappa}\}) + 50\epsilon M_t} (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2 + (\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 40\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{-(k_1+2n_1) - \gamma_2 M_t - \min\{n, n_1\}} \mathcal{M}(C). \\
& \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 - 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 5\alpha^* M_t/2 + 3\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \tag{6.152}
\end{aligned}$$

From the above estimate and the estimate (2.72) in Proposition 2.1, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\mu_1 \in \{+, -\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{3} LastEll_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{i, i_1, \mu_1}(t_1, t_2) \right| \lesssim 2^{-a_p/2 - 3(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t/2 - 5\alpha^* M_t/2 + 3\epsilon M_t} (2^{-a_p/2 + 2\alpha^* M_t} + 2^{-a_p/4 + 5M_t/4 + \alpha^* M_t/4}) \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{b \in \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{T}} 2^{-ba_p + b(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M_t + 7\alpha^* M_t/8 + 3\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C)(t_2 - t_1). \tag{6.153}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (6.136). $\square$

7. THE PROOF OF THE LEMMA 3.2

For convenience in notation, we still use the notations used in the subsection 6.1. In particular, recall the assumption state in Lemma 3.2, we have $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}} C(\mathcal{F}, V(t)) = 0$ throughout this section. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 &:= \{(k, n) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, k + 2n \geq 2(1/3 - 4\iota - 130\epsilon)M_t, \\ k + 4n &\geq -2(6\iota + 130\epsilon)M_t\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 := \{(k, n) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, k + 2n \geq 2(2/3 - 2\iota - 130\epsilon)M_t, \\ k + 4n &\geq -2(6\iota + 130\epsilon)M_t\}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_3 = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4 := \{(k, n) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in [-(1 + 3\iota)/2 + 50\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \\ k + 2n &\geq 2(1/3 - \iota - 130\epsilon)M_t, k + 4n \geq -(1/3 + 5\iota/2 + 130\epsilon)M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Recall the decomposition (6.1). From the estimate (4.99) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i)^c$, or $i = 1$, $(n, k) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1)^c$,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot T_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}.$$

Now, it would be sufficient to estimate the case $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, or $i = 1$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1$. For this case, we use the decomposition of the localized acceleration force in (6.5). Note that, from the improved (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, the following estimate holds if $i = 1$, $(n_1, k_1) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1)^c$,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} C(\mathcal{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot K_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(s, X(s), V(s)) ds \right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.2)$$

We would like to remind readers that despite the range of (n, k) for the case $i = 1$ is improved for the hyperbolic parts, the range of (n, k) remains same, i.e., $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1$ for the case $i = 1$, for the elliptic parts.

As in (6.12), for the case $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, we do integration by parts in “ s ” once for the hyperbolic part. As a result, the obtained equality in (6.13) is still valid. As stated in the following Lemma, we can rule out the error terms in (6.13).

Lemma 7.1. *Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$. Under the assumption of Lemma 3.2, we have*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{a=0,1} |{}_1^a \text{Err}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. Recall (6.15) and (6.16). For this case, as $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}} C_0(\mathcal{X}(t), V(t)) = 0$, we have ${}_1^a \text{Err}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) = 0$. From the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds,

$$\sum_{i=0,1,2,3,4} |{}_0^a \text{Err}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 140\epsilon)M_t - (k+2n)/2} \lesssim 2^{M_t/2} \mathcal{M}(C).$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (7.3). $\square$

For the remaining elliptic type terms and hyperbolic type terms in (6.13), we first estimate them one by one in this section by assuming the validity of technical lemmas, which will be proved in the next subsection. Hence finishing the proof of the Lemma 3.2.

- The estimate of ${}_0^a \text{Hyp}_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.17). From the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, thanks to the smoothing effect from the normal form transformation and the L_x^∞ -type estimate (4.3) in Proposition 4.1, we can rule out the case $k \geq 50M_t$. Therefore, it would be sufficient to consider fixed $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$. We will also use this observation in later argument. Hence, without further explanation, by paying the price of at most $2^{\epsilon M_t/1000}$, we don't worry about the summability issue with respect to frequency and it would be sufficient to let the frequency scale variables, e.g., k, k_1, k_2 , etc., be fixed.

Recall the decomposition of the acceleration force in (6.5). We first estimate the contribution of the elliptic part of the new introduced acceleration force in (6.17). Recall (6.6). Note that we have $|\mathcal{F}|/|v| \sim 2^{j+l}$ if $l \geq (\alpha^* - \gamma + 10)\epsilon M_t$. From the estimates (1.8) and (1.15), it would be sufficient to consider the case $j+l \leq (\alpha^* + 2\epsilon)M_t$ and $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, from the detailed formulas of symbols in (6.7), the volume of support of v , the conservation law (1.3), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [-j_1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |El_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(s, X(s), V(s))| &\lesssim \sum_{k,j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, j+l \leq (\alpha^* + 2\epsilon)M_t} 2^{2\epsilon M_t} 2^{\min\{l, n\}} \\ &\times \min\{2^{2k+n-j}, 2^{-k-n+j+2\alpha^* M_t}\} + 1 \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+10\epsilon M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

From the above obtained estimate (7.4), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the contribution from the elliptic part can be controlled as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_1, j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, a_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \\ \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, l_1 \in [-j_1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu s |\xi|} Ell_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, a_1; l_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) d\xi ds \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3 + 10\epsilon M_t} 2^{-\gamma M_t - n} 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 130\epsilon)M_t - (k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

It remains to consider the contribution from the hyperbolic part, i.e., $Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.27). Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^0 &:= {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^1 := {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^1 := \{(k_1, n_1) : k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (k_1 + 2n_1)/2 - (k + 2n)/2 \geq (1/3 \\ & - 10\iota - 300\epsilon)M_t\}, \quad {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^3 := {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^4 := \{(k_1, n_1) : k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-(1 + 3\iota)/2 + 50\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \\ & (k_1 + 2n_1)/2 - (k + 2n)/2 \geq (1/6 - 7\iota - 200\epsilon)M_t\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

From the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k_1, n_1) \notin {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^i$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim (2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2 + (2/3+4\iota)M_t} (\mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t, i_1 \in \{0,1,2\}} + \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -((1+3\iota)/2+30\epsilon)M_t, i_1 \in \{3,4\}})) \\ & \times 2^{-\gamma M_t - k - 3n + 170\epsilon M_t} (2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k+4n)/2 + (1+6\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{i \in \{0,1,2\}} + 2^{(k+4n)/2 + (7/6+5\iota/2)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{i \in \{3,4\}}) \mathcal{M}(C) \\ & \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Hence, it would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1}(t_1, t_2)$ for the case $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^i$. For this case, we do integration by parts in time once. As a result, the equality in (6.31) is still valid. From the estimate (7.104) in Lemma 7.10, which says that the error type terms are well controlled, hence it would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.32). Let

$${}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1, n_1} := \{(k_2, n_2) : k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_2 \in [-(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \geq (1/3 - 19\iota)M_t\}. \quad (7.8)$$

From the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $i, i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^i$, $(k_2, n_2) \notin {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1, n_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{500\epsilon M_t} (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_2+2n_2)/2 + (2/3+4\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_2 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{-2\gamma_2 M_t} \\ & \times [2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1+2n_1)/2 + 7M_t/6 - (k+2n)/2 + 5\iota M_t} + 2^{(2/3+4\iota)M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{7M_t/6 + 5\iota M_t/2 - (k+4n)/2}] \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Hence, it would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2}(t_1, t_2)$ for the case $i, i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^i$, $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1, n_1}$. For this case, we do integration by parts in time once. As a result, the equality in (6.41) is still valid. From the estimate (7.111) in Lemma 7.11, we know that the error type terms in (6.41) are well controlled. It would be sufficient to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)$. Let

$${}^3\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_2, n_2} := \{(k_3, n_3) : k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_3 \in [-(\alpha^* + 50\epsilon)M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, (k_3 + 2n_3)/2 - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 \geq (1/2 - 50\iota)M_t\}. \quad (7.10)$$

From the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds $(k_3, n_3) \notin {}^3\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_2, n_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{700\epsilon M_t} (2^{(1-\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_3+2n_3)/2 + (2/3+4\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_3 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) \\ & \times 2^{-3\gamma M_t} 2^{2(2/3+4\iota)M_t - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{(7/3+12\iota)M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2 - (k+2n)/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $Hyp_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)$ for any fixed $i, i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k, n}^i$, $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1, n_1}$, $(k_3, n_3) \in {}^3\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_2, n_2}$. For this case, we do integration by parts in time once. As a result, the equality in (6.48) is still valid. From the estimate (7.115) in Lemma 7.12, we know that the error type terms in (6.48) are well controlled. It remains to estimate $\widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.48). From the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{Hyp}_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(1+2\alpha^*)M_t} 2^{-4\gamma M_t} 2^{2(2/3+4\iota)M_t - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{2(2/3+4\iota)M_t - (k_3+2n_3)/2} \\ & \times 2^{(7/3+15\iota)M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2 - (k+2n)/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{M_t/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence finishing the estimate of ${}_0Hyp_{k, j; n}^{\mu, i}(t_1, t_2)$.

- The estimate of ${}_1Hyp_{k, j; n}^{\mu, i}(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall (6.18). We split ${}_1Hyp_{k, j; n}^{\mu, i}(t_1, t_2)$ into two parts as follows,

$${}^1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) = {}^2 Err Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) + {}^0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2), \quad (7.11)$$

where

$${}^2 Err Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \frac{((\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s) \times B) \cdot \nabla_v (C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, V(s)))}{|\xi|(i\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|)} d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.12)$$

$${}^0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \frac{\mathcal{F}(K(s, \cdot, V(s))f)(s, \xi, v) \cdot \nabla_v (C(\hat{X}(s), V(s)) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, V(s)))}{|\xi|(i\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|)} d\xi dv ds. \quad (7.13)$$

Hence, from the estimate (7.32) in Lemma 7.6 and the estimate (7.56) in Lemma 7.9, the following estimate holds for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$,

$$|{}^1 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.14)$$

- The estimate of $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Recall (6.14). As stated in the following Lemma, we first rule out some trivial cases.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ or $i = 1$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1$. The following estimate holds for any $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, if $k+2n \leq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $k+4n/3 \leq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $\min\{l, n\} \leq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $j \leq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$,*

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.15)$$

Proof. Recall (6.14). Note that, after representing $Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$ for the kernel, and using the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s+\epsilon)M_s}$, the following estimate holds if $k+2n \leq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, or $k+4n/3 \leq (1-\alpha^*/3)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$ or $\min\{l, n\} \leq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2k+\min\{l,n\}+n+3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}(1+2^{j-(1+\epsilon)M_t})^{-100}, 2^{-3k-2n} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^*M_t}, 2^{3j}\}\} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{3\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{(k+2n)/2+\alpha^*M_t}, 2^{2\min\{l,n\}/3} \min\{2^{M_t/3+4\alpha^*M_t/3}, 2^{5j/3}\}, 2^{k+4n/3+\alpha^*M_t/3}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desire estimate (7.15). $\square$

Now, by using the singular weighted spacetime space in Lemma 2.12, we rule out the case l is very large. More precisely, we have

Lemma 7.3. *Let $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ or $i = 1$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k+2n \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k+4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, and $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$. If $l \geq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, then the following estimate holds,*

$$|Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.17)$$

Proof. Recall (6.14). Note that, for the case $l \geq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have $|\phi|/|v| \sim 2^l$. From the cylindrical symmetry, the volume of support of v , the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s+\epsilon)M_s}$, and the singular weighted space-time estimate (2.112) in Lemma 2.12, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2k+n+\min\{l,n\}+\epsilon M_t} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+2^k|y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1+2^{k+n}|y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} \psi_j(v) \\ &\quad \times \psi_{[j+l-5, j+l+5]}(\phi) f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{\min\{l,n\}-n+5\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-k+j+2\alpha^*M_t}, 2^{k+n-2(j+l)+j} A_l(t_2)\} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-l/2+\alpha^*M_t-2l+30\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (7.17). $\square$

Now, it would be sufficient to consider the case $k+2n \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k+4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$. If $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then the good lower bounds of the phase in (6.107) is still valid. For the case $i = 4$, based on the size of $\angle(v, V(s))$ and the size of $(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \cdot \xi$, we use the same decomposition in (6.108) with the threshold $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$. As a result, the associated phase of ${}^\kappa Ell_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ for the case $\kappa > \bar{\kappa}$, has a good lower bound.

As stated in the following Lemma, we rule out further the case $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$ and the case $k+l+\kappa \leq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, for any $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k + 2n \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k + 4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1 - 2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have*

$$|\bar{\kappa} Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.19)$$

Moreover, for any $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the following estimate holds if $k + l + \kappa \leq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 50\epsilon M_t$,

$$|\kappa Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.20)$$

Proof. Recall (6.109) and (4.5). Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \xi)$, we have $l \in [n - 2\epsilon M_t, n + 2\epsilon M_t]$. Note that, after doing integration by parts with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ for the kernel, which is defined in the proof of Lemma 6.10, from the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|\hat{v}| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_t}$, the following estimate holds for any $\kappa \in [\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{2k+l-n+\kappa+\epsilon M_t} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{M}(C) f(s, X(s) - y, v) (1 + 2^{k+\kappa} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-100} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-100} dy dv ds \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2k+l+\kappa+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-n-\kappa+j+2\alpha^* M_t}, \\ &\quad 2^{-3k-n-\kappa} (2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t} \mathbf{1}_{l \leq (\alpha^* - \gamma + \epsilon)M_t} + \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{3\alpha^* M_t - l}\} \mathbf{1}_{l \geq (\alpha^* - \gamma + \epsilon)M_t})\} \\ &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \min\{2^{\kappa/3+5\alpha^* M_t/3}, 2^{(k+l+\kappa)/2+\alpha^* M_t}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimates (7.19) and (7.20). We remark that we used the fact that $|\hat{V}(s)|/|V(s)| \leq 2^{(\alpha^* - \gamma)M_t}$ and $|\hat{v}|/|v| \sim 2^l$ if $l \geq (\alpha^* - \gamma + \epsilon)M_t$. $\square$

For the remaining cases, we exploit the benefit of high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts in “ s ” once for $Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\kappa Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$. As a result, the equality (6.110) is still valid. For the error type terms $Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, as stated in the following Lemma, they are well controlled.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ or $i = 1$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^l$. For any $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ s.t., $k + 2n \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k + l + \kappa \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 50\epsilon M_t$, $k + 4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1 - 2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have*

$$|Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| \mathbf{1}_{i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} + \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |\kappa Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \mathbf{1}_{i=4} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.22)$$

Proof. Recall (6.111). Note that $\nabla_{\hat{x}} C_0(\hat{X}(t), V(t)) = 0$. After representing $Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, in terms of kernel, doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$ for the kernel, and using the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, the following estimate holds for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$|Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{k+20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-2n+j+2\alpha^* M_t}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\alpha^* + 20\epsilon)M_t - (k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{3\alpha^* M_t/4} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.23)$$

Now, we consider the case $i = 4$. Recall (6.112). For this case, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \xi)$, see (4.5), we have $l \in [n - 2\epsilon M_t, n + 2\epsilon M_t]$. Note that, after doing integration by parts with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(t))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(t)|, \theta_1(v, t), \theta_2(v, t)\}$, which is defined in the proof of Lemma 6.10, the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} |\kappa Err_{k,j,n}^{\mu,2;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k+20\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-n-\bar{\kappa}+j+2\alpha^* M_t}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\alpha^* + 20\epsilon)M_t - (k+n+\kappa)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (7.22). $\square$

Now, it remains to estimate ${}_b EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$, and ${}_b EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Recall (6.114). For the case $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we split ${}_1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$ further into two parts as follows,

$${}_1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) = {}_0 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) + {}_1 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2), \quad (7.25)$$

where

$${}_0 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[K(s, \cdot, V(s)f(s, \cdot, v))](\xi) \cdot \nabla_v [\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(\hat{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)] d\xi ds, \quad (7.26)$$

$${}^2_{1}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times B(s, \cdot)] f(s, \cdot, v)(\xi) \cdot \nabla_v [\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)] d\xi ds. \quad (7.27)$$

For the case $i = 4$, recall (6.116), we not only use the decomposition of the acceleration force used in the case $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ but also use the decomposition of the third component of the magnetic field in (4.211). As a result, we have

$${}^{\kappa}_{1}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) := {}^{\kappa}_{1;0}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) + {}^{\kappa}_{1;1}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) + {}^{\kappa}_{1;2}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\kappa}_{1;0}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \left[\left(\sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, n_1 \in [-2M_t/15, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}({}^z T_{k_1, n_1}^{\mu_1}(B)(s, \cdot, V(s)) f(s, \cdot, v))(\xi) \right. \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, n_1 \in [-M_t, -2M_t/15] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}({}^z T_{k_1, n_1}^{\mu_1, 1}(B)(s, \cdot, V(s)) f(s, \cdot, v))(\xi) \left. \left. ((\hat{v}_2 - \hat{V}_2(s)) \partial_{v_1} - (\hat{v}_1 - \hat{V}_1(s)) \partial_{v_2}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \mathcal{F}(f(s, \cdot, v) K(s, \cdot, V(s))) (\xi) \cdot \nabla_v \right] \clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta) d\xi ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\kappa}_{1;1}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} [\mathbf{P}_3((\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times \mathcal{F}[Bf](s, \xi, v)) \partial_{v_3} - (\hat{v}_3 - \hat{V}_3(s)) \mathbf{P}_2(\mathcal{F}[Bf](s, \xi, v)) \partial_{v_1} \\ &\quad + (\hat{v}_3 - \hat{V}_3(s)) \mathbf{P}_1(\mathcal{F}[Bf](s, \xi, v)) \partial_{v_2}] (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s))) d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\kappa}_{1;2}EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2) &:= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\} \\ n_1 \in [-M_t, -2M_t/15] \cap \mathbb{Z}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}({}^z T_{k_1, n_1}^{\mu_1, 2}(B)(s, \cdot, V(s)) f(s, \cdot, v))(\xi) \\ &\quad \times ((\hat{v}_2 - \hat{V}_2(s)) \partial_{v_1} - (\hat{v}_1 - \hat{V}_1(s)) \partial_{v_2})] (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s))) d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

Recall again the decomposition of $Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)$ in (6.110). From the estimate (7.19) and (7.20) in Lemma 7.4, the estimate (7.22) in Lemma 7.5, the estimate (7.44) in Lemma 7.6, the estimate (7.49) in Lemma 7.8, and the estimates (7.55) and (7.56) in Lemma 7.9, the following estimate holds,

$$|Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.31)$$

Hence finishing the estimate of the elliptic part, which implies that the desired estimate (3.15) in Lemma 3.2 holds. $\square$

7.1. Proof of the assumed Lemmas.

Lemma 7.6. *For any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, the following estimate holds,*

$$|{}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.32)$$

Proof. Recall (7.12). After using the decomposition of the magnetic field in (2.10) and the definition of index sets in (2.68), we have

$${}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{l \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} {}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2), \quad {}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in S_1(t) \cup S_2(t)} H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,i;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{K}_{k,n,j}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) \cdot [(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times B(s, X(s) - y)] f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds \\ H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,i;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{K}_{k,n,j}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) \cdot [(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, X(s) - y)] f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{k,n,j}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), y, v, V(s))$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$, are defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,n,j}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \clubsuit Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, V(s)) d\xi, \quad (7.34)$$

$$\clubsuit Ell_{k,j,n}^{\mu,i;l}(\mathcal{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta) := |\xi|^{-1} (i\hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi + i\mu|\xi|)^{-1} \varphi_{n;-M_t}(\tilde{\zeta} \times \tilde{\xi}) \psi_k(\xi) \nabla_v (C(\mathcal{X}(s), \zeta) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{k,j,n}^i(v, \xi, \zeta)) \varphi_{l;-M_t}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{\zeta}). \quad (7.35)$$

- The estimate of ${}^2ErrHyp_{k,j,n}^{\mu,1}(t_1, t_2)$.

Recall the definition of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ in (7.1) and the index sets defined in (2.68). After representing $H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,1;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel and doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(s)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(s)$ many

times for the kernel, the following estimate holds after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,1;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} 2^k 2^{-j-l+4\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \min\{2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{3j+2l}\} |B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(t, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\min\{2^{-j}, 2^{-3k-2n}\} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_s}\} \right)^{1/2} ds \lesssim \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-(k+2n)/2}, \min\{2^{2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{2j+2l}\}, 2^{k+l}\} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.36)$$

Alternatively, if we put the localized magnetic field in L^∞ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,1;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} 2^{k-j+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-2n}, \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}\}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} 2^{-(k+2n)/2+4\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.37)$$

From the above estimate and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,1;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 20\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2+l} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{2M_t/3+4\epsilon M_t + 200\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.38)$$

From the obtained estimates (7.36) and (7.37) and the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,1;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} \left(\sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B_{\tilde{k};\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times 2^{\alpha^* M_t - (k+2n)/2+20\epsilon M_t + l/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 200\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

- The estimate of ${}^2ErrHyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Recall the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j,n}^\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (4.5). For the case we are considering, we either have $l \leq \vartheta_0^* := \max\{n + \epsilon M_t, -10^{-3}M_t\} + 10$ or $j \leq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_t + 10$. Based on the possible size of j , l , and n , we split into three sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $j \leq (1/2 + 3\epsilon + 55\epsilon)M_t + 10$, i.e., the case $i = 0$.

Similar to the obtained estimate (7.36), from the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$|{}^2ErrHyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} 2^{4\epsilon M_t} 2^{-(k+2n)/2+2j+2l} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{3M_t/4} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.40)$$

- ⊕ If $l \leq \vartheta_0^* := \max\{n + \epsilon M_t, -10^{-3}M_t\} + 10$ and $n \leq -10^{-3}M_t - \epsilon M_t$.

For this case, we have $l \leq -10^{-3}M_t = -10\epsilon M_t$. Recall (7.1). Similar to the obtained estimates (7.38) and (7.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^2ErrHyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;a;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 30\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2+l} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\quad + 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 40\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2+l/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{M_t - \epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

- ⊕ If $l \leq \vartheta_0^* := \max\{n + \epsilon M_t, -10^{-3}M_t\} + 10$ and $n \geq -10^{-3}M_t - \epsilon M_t$.

For this case, we have $l \leq n + \epsilon M_t$. Similar to the obtained estimate (7.36), the following estimate holds if $k + l \leq (1 - 20\epsilon)M_t$,

$$|{}^2ErrHyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \sup_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \|B(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} 2^{k+l+4\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.42)$$

If $k + l \geq (1 - 20\epsilon)M_t$, then similar to the obtained estimates (7.38) and (7.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^2ErrHyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |H_{k,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;a;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 30\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2+l} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\quad + 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 40\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2+l/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t - M_t/2+10\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

To sum up, in whichever case, our desired estimate (7.32) holds from the obtained estimates (7.38–7.43). $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *Let $i \in \{0, 2, 3\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ or $i = 1$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1'$. For any $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k + 2n \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k + 4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1 - 2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have*

$$|{}_1EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.44)$$

Proof. Recall (7.27) and (6.117). Similar to what we did in (7.33), after using the decomposition of the magnetic field in (2.10), and doing integration by parts in ξ along $V(t)$ direction and directions perpendicular to $V(t)$ for the kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,i;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| ds, \quad |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{k-j-l+4\epsilon M_t} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \times \tilde{V}(s)|)^{-100} f(s, X(s) - y) |B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, X(s) - y)| dy dv, \end{aligned} \quad (7.45)$$

where the index sets $\mathcal{S}_i(t), i \in \{1, 2\}$ are defined in (2.68).

Based on the range of $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l})$, we split into two sub-cases as follows.

⊕ If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$.

From the obtained estimate (7.45), the conservation law (1.3), the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, we have

$$|{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{k-j+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-2n} \min\{2^{3j+2l}, 2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t}\}, 2^{-j}\} \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \quad (7.46)$$

Therefore, from the above estimate and the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)} |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 40\epsilon M_t + l - (k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.47)$$

⊕ If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$.

Note that, by using the same argument, the obtained estimate (7.46) is still valid if we put $\|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty}$ in L_x^∞ . Alternatively, from (7.45), if we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|v| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s + \epsilon)M_s}$, the conservation law (1.3), the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} 2^{k-j+10\epsilon M_t} (2^{j+2\alpha^* M_t})^{1/2} (2^{-3k-2n+j+2\alpha^* M_t})^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 10\epsilon M_t - (k+2n)/2} \|B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \mathcal{M}(C) \end{aligned} \quad (7.48)$$

After combining the obtained estimates (7.46) and (7.48), the following estimate holds from the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2,

$$\sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |{}_1^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,a;l;\tilde{m}}(s)| \lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 50\epsilon M_t + l/2 - (k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}.$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (7.44). $\square$

Lemma 7.8. Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ s.t., $k + 2n \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k + l + \kappa \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 50\epsilon M_t$, $k + 4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1 - 2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have

$$|{}_{1;1}^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| + |{}_{1;2}^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.49)$$

Proof. Recall (7.29), (7.30), and (6.117). Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \xi)$, see (4.5), we have $l \in [n - 2\epsilon M_t, n + 2\epsilon M_t]$. After doing integration by parts in ξ with respect to $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ many times, which is defined in the Proof of Lemma 6.10, for the kernel and using the decomposition of the magnetic field in (2.10) and (4.211), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad |{}_{1;i}^{\kappa} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{S}_2(t)} |{}_i EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l;\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| ds, \\ |{}_1 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l;\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_{k,n,\kappa}(y, v, V(s)) (2^l + 2^{(\alpha^* - \gamma)M_t}) |B_{k;\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, X(s) - y)| f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv, \\ |{}_2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l;\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_{k,n,\kappa}(y, v, V(s)) |{}^z T_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{n},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;\tilde{m},2}(B)(s, x, \zeta)| \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{n} \geq -2M_t/15 + 10\epsilon M_t} |f(s, X(s) - y, v)| dy dv, \\ |K_{k,n,\kappa}(y, v, V(s))| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{k+l-n-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+l+4\epsilon M_t} (1 + 2^{k+\min\{n,\kappa\}} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-N_0^3} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.50)$$

From the obtained estimate (7.50), the volume of support of v , and the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |^1 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{k+l-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} (2^l + 2^{(\alpha^*-\gamma)M_t}) \mathcal{M}(C) \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \\ |^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{k+l-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+4\epsilon M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \|z T_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{n},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;\tilde{m},2}(B)(s, \cdot, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{n} \leq -2M_t/15}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.51)$$

Alternatively, from the estimates (6.123) and (7.50), the cylindrical symmetry of the distribution function and the estimate (2.12) in Lemma 2.12, the following estimate holds if $l \geq (\alpha^* - \gamma)M_t + 100\epsilon M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |^1 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{k+l-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+4\epsilon M_t} 2^{-k-2n+4\epsilon M_t} 2^{-2(j+l)+j-4l} (2^l + 2^{(\alpha^*-\gamma)M_t}) \mathcal{M}(C) \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty}, \\ |^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{-2n-2j-5l-\min\{l,\kappa\}+10\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \|z T_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{n},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;\tilde{m},2}(B)(s, \cdot, \zeta)\|_{L_x^\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{n} \leq -2M_t/15}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.52)$$

We remark that, in the above estimate, we used the fact that $|\psi|/|v| \sim 2^l$ if $l \geq (\alpha^* - \gamma)M_t + 100\epsilon M_t$.

Based on the range of $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l})$, we split into two subcases as follows.

- If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t)$.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{S}_1(t)$ in (2.68). From the obtained estimate (7.51), the estimate (2.69) in Proposition 2.2, and the estimate (4.214) in Lemma 4.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_1(t), i \in \{1,2\}} |^i EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 50\epsilon M_t} 2^{2l-\min\{l,\kappa\}-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} 2^{-2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5+30\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.53)$$

- If $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t)$.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{S}_2(t)$ in (2.68). Note that, from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the obtained estimate (7.50), and the volume of support of v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |^1 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{k+l-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+4\epsilon M_t} (2^l + 2^{(\alpha^*-\gamma)M_t}) (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\times \mathcal{M}(C) \|B_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\tilde{m}}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}, \quad |^2 EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,2;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| \lesssim 2^{k-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}+l+4\epsilon M_t} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l})^{1/2} \\ &\times \mathcal{M}(C) \|z T_{\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{n},\tilde{l}}^{\mu;\tilde{m},2}(B)(s, x, \zeta)\|_{L^2} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{n} \leq -2M_t/15}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.54)$$

Based on the possible size of j and l , we split into two sub-cases as follows.

- ⊕ If $l \leq -2(2\alpha^* - 1)M_t/5 - 100\epsilon M_t$ or $j + l \leq \alpha^* M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$.

From the obtained estimates (7.51) and (7.54), the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, and the estimate (4.215) in Lemma 4.14, for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t), i \in \{1,2\}} |^i EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{j+l+3l/2+50\epsilon M_t+\alpha^* M_t-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} 2^{-2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5+30\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned}$$

- ⊕ If $l \geq -2(2\alpha^* - 1)M_t/5 - 100\epsilon M_t$ and $j + l \geq \alpha^* M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$.

Note that, for this case, we have $|\psi|/|v| \sim 2^l$. From the estimate (1.15), we can rule out the case $|\psi| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s+\epsilon)M_s}$. Therefore, it would be sufficient to consider the case $l \in [-2(2\alpha^* - 1)M_t/5 - 100\epsilon M_t, -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t]$ and $j + l \in [\alpha^* M_t - 100\epsilon M_t, \alpha^* M_t + 5\epsilon M_t]$. From the obtained estimates (7.52) and (7.54), the estimate (2.70) in Proposition 2.2, and the estimate (4.215) in Lemma 4.14, for any $s \in [t_1, t_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\tilde{m}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{l}) \in \mathcal{S}_2(t), i \in \{1,2\}} |^i EllH_{k,j,n;\tilde{k},\tilde{j},\tilde{l}}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa;\tilde{m}}(s)| &\lesssim 2^{\alpha^* M_t - \min\{l,\kappa\}} (2^{2j+3l+4\epsilon M_t} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2})^{1/2} (2^{-2n-2j-5l+10\epsilon M_t})^{1/2} \\ &\times 2^{-2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5+30\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{\alpha^* M_t+2(5\alpha^*-3)M_t/5+50\epsilon M_t-\min\{l,\kappa\}-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/4} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, recall the estimate (7.50), our desired estimate (7.49) holds from the above obtained estimates. $\square$

Lemma 7.9. Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4$, $j \in [0, (1 + 2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ s.t., $k + 2n \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k + l + \kappa \geq 2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $k + 4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1 - 2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, and $l \leq -2(1 - \alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, we have

$$|\kappa EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| + |\kappa_{1,0} EllH_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.55)$$

Moreover, for any $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ or $i = 1, (n, k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_1$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, the following estimate holds,

$$|{}^2_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)| + (|{}^1_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)| + |{}^2_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i;l}(t_1, t_2)|) \mathbf{1}_{i \in \{0,1,2,3\}} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.56)$$

Proof. Recall (6.113), (7.28), (7.13) and (7.26). Due to similar structures presented inside these terms, the estimate of ${}^2_0 Hyp_{k,j;n}^{\mu,i}(t_1, t_2)$, ${}^1_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, and ${}^2_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,a;l}(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are similar and also **easier** than the estimate of ${}^\kappa_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ and ${}^\kappa_{1;0} EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)$ because it's possible that κ is smaller than $\min\{l, n\}$. For simplicity, we only give detailed proof of the harder case here, i.e., the desired estimate (7.55). With minor modifications in the proof of estimate (7.55), the desired estimate (7.56) follows similarly.

Due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{j,n}^4(v, \xi)$, see (4.5), we have $l \in [n - 2\epsilon M_t, n + 2\epsilon M_t]$. Recall (6.115) and (7.28). As in (6.5), after localizing the frequency of new introduced acceleration force and the decomposition of $T_{k_1, n_1, j_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \cdot, V(s))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |{}^\kappa_0 EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| + |{}^\kappa_{1;0} EllH_{k,j;n}^{\mu,4;l}(t_1, t_2)| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_1 \in \{+, -\}, i_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}} |H_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i,i_1}(t_1, t_2)| + |M_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i,i_1}(t_1, t_2)| + |Err_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i,i_1}(t_1, t_2)|, \end{aligned} \quad (7.57)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, X(s) - y, V(s)) \cdot \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds, \\ Err_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(s, X(s) - y, v) \left(\sum_{l_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} Ell_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1;l_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{i_1 \in \{0,1,2,3\}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + In_{k_1,j_1,n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, X(s), V(s)) \right) \cdot [\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) + \mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s))] dy dv ds, \\ M_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i,i_1}(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{T}_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, X(s) - y, V(s)) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) f(s, X(s) - y, v) dy dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.58)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, \cdot, V(s))$ and the kernels $\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), \cdot, v, V(s))$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), \cdot, v, V(s))$ are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \tilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\check{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta))|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\xi, \quad \mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iy \cdot \xi} \\ &\quad \times \nabla_v [\clubsuit \tilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\check{X}(s), \xi, v, \zeta)]|_{\zeta=V(s)} dy, \quad \tilde{T}_{k_1,n_1,j_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, x, V(s)) := K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, x, V(s)) \\ &\quad + [{}^z T_{k_1,n_1}^{\mu_1}(B)(s, x, V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -2M_t/15} + {}^z T_{k_1,n_1}^{\mu_1;1}(B)(s, x, V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \leq -2M_t/15}] (\hat{v}_2 - \hat{V}_2(s), -\hat{v}_1 + \hat{V}_1(s), 0). \end{aligned} \quad (7.59)$$

Recall (6.117). After doing integration by parts in “ ζ ” with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|, \theta_1(v, s), \theta_2(v, s)\}$ many times, which is defined in the proof of Lemma 6.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^j |\mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s))| + 2^{\gamma M_t} |\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s))| &\lesssim 2^{k-\min\{l,\kappa\}+5\epsilon M_t} (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3} \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^{k+\min\{n,\kappa\}} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.60)$$

Moreover, the following improved estimate holds for the projection of the kernels onto the z -axis,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^j |\mathbf{P}_3(\mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)))| + 2^{\gamma M_t} |\mathbf{P}_3(\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s)))| &\lesssim 2^{k-\min\{l,\kappa\}+5\epsilon M_t} (2^l + 2^{(\alpha^*-\gamma)M_t}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + 2^k |y \cdot \theta_2(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+\min\{n,\kappa\}} (y \cdot (\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s))/|\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)|))^{-N_0^3} (1 + 2^{k+n} |y \cdot \theta_1(v, s)|)^{-N_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.61)$$

We first estimate the error type term $Err_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2)$. From the above estimates of kernel and the obtained estimate (7.4) for the elliptic part, as $l \leq -2M_t/15 + 10\epsilon M_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3} 2^{-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}} \min\{2^{k-j}, 2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim 2^{10\epsilon M_t} 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3} 2^{l-\min\{l,\kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.62)$$

Now, we focus on the other terms in (7.57). From the estimate of kernel $\mathcal{K}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\check{X}(s), y, v, V(s))$ in (7.60), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the volume of support of v and the estimate (1.15) if $|\emptyset| \geq 2^{(\alpha_s+\epsilon)M_s}$, the following estimate holds if either $(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \leq (k + n + \min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + (1 - \alpha^* - 10\epsilon)M_t - 200\epsilon M_t$ or $n_1 \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 60\epsilon)M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{(1-10\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2+(\alpha^*+3\epsilon+130\epsilon)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\epsilon+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{10\epsilon M_t - \min\{l,\kappa\}} 2^{k-\gamma M_t} \\ &\quad \times \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.63)$$

Recall (7.58) and (7.59). By letting $\tilde{n} = -2M_t/15$, from the estimates (4.212) and (4.213) in Lemma 4.13, the estimate of kernel $\mathcal{M}_{k,n,l}^{\mu;\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), y, v, V(s))$ in (7.60), and the volume of support of v , the following estimate holds if $(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \leq (k + n + \min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + 2M_t/15 - 10\iota M_t$, or $n_1 \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$,

$$|M_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i,i_1}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim (2^{(1-10\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(k_1+2n_1)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota+130\epsilon)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{10\epsilon M_t - \min\{l, \kappa\}} 2^{k-j} \\ \times (1 + 2^{2M_t/15} 2^l) \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.64)$$

Now, it remains to consider the case $(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \geq (k + n + \min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + 2M_t/15 - 10\iota M_t$ and $n_1 \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$. Recall (7.58). Note that, on the Fourier side, we have

$$H_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} \\ \times \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad M_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) \\ \times \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi - \eta, v, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_v (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.65)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi, v, V(s)) := \clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, V(s)) + [\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[{}^z T_{k_1,n_1}^{\mu_1}(B)](s, \xi, V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \geq -2M_t/15} \\ + \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[{}^z T_{k_1,n_1}^{\mu_1,1}(B)](s, \xi, V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \leq -2M_t/15}] (\hat{v}_2 - \hat{V}_2(s), -\hat{v}_1 + \hat{V}_1(s), 0). \quad (7.66)$$

Recall the equations satisfied by characteristics in (1.9). After doing integration by parts in time, using the Vlasov equation and doing integration by parts in v if ∂_t hits $\widehat{g}(t, \eta, v)$, and using the decomposition in (6.5) for the new introduced acceleration force, the following decomposition holds $\forall U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$U_{k,n;k_1,n_1}^{\mu,\mu_1;i}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=0,1,2,3,4} Err U_{i,i_1}^a(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu_2 \in \{+, -\}, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, b \in \{1, 2\}}} U_{i,i_1,i_2}^b(t_1, t_2), \quad (7.67)$$

where, for convenience in notation, we suppressed the dependence of $U_{i,i_1,i_2}^b(t_1, t_2)$ with respect to k, k_1, k_2 etc.,

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) K_{k_2,j_2,n_2}^{\mu_2,i_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^1 \clubsuit Ell U^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.68)$$

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \mathcal{F}[K_{k_2,j_2,n_2}^{\mu_2,i_2}(s, \cdot, V(s)) f](s, \eta, v) \cdot {}^1 \clubsuit Ell U^2(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.69)$$

$${}^1 \clubsuit Ell H^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) := \nabla_\zeta [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta))], \quad (7.70)$$

$${}^1 \clubsuit Ell H^2(s, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) := \nabla_v [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta))], \quad (7.71)$$

$${}^1 \clubsuit Ell M^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) := \nabla_\zeta [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi - \eta, v, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_v (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta))], \quad (7.72)$$

$${}^1 \clubsuit Ell M^2(s, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta) := \nabla_v [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi - \eta, v, \zeta) \cdot \nabla_v (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta))], \quad (7.73)$$

$$Err H_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=1,2} (-1)^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_a) \cdot \xi - it_a \hat{v} \cdot \eta + it_a \mu_1|\xi-\eta|} (\hat{V}(t_a) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \hat{g}(t_a, \eta, v) \\ \times \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(t_a, \xi - \eta, V(t_a)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(t_a), \eta, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(t_a)} d\eta d\xi dv, \quad (7.74)$$

$$Err M_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=1,2} (-1)^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(t_a) \cdot \xi - it_a \hat{v} \cdot \eta + it_a \mu_1|\xi-\eta|} (\hat{V}(t_a) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} \hat{g}(t_a, \eta, v) \\ \times \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(t_a, \xi - \eta, v, V(t_a)) \cdot \nabla_v (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(t_a), \eta, v, V(t_a))) d\eta d\xi dv, \quad (7.75)$$

$$Err H_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \partial_s \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi - \eta, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta)) \Big|_{\zeta=V(s)} \\ \times \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.76)$$

$$Err M_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi-\eta|} \partial_s \widetilde{\clubsuit K_{k_1,j_1;n_1}^{\mu_1,i_1}}(s, \xi - \eta, v, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_v (\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k,j,n}^{\mu,4;l,\kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, V(s))) \\ \times \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.77)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ErrH_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + is\mu_1|\xi - \eta|} \mathcal{F}[(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times Bf] \cdot \nabla_v[(\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1}] \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_\zeta(\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, \zeta))|_{\zeta=V(s)}] d\eta d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.78)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ErrM_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi - \eta|} \mathcal{F}[(\hat{v} - \hat{V}(s)) \times Bf] \cdot \nabla_v[(\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|)^{-1}] \\ &\quad \times \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \xi - \eta, v, V(s)) \cdot \nabla_v(\clubsuit \widetilde{Ell}_{k, j, n}^{\mu, 4; l, \kappa}(\mathcal{X}(s), \eta, v, V(s)))] d\eta d\xi dv ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.79)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ErrU_{i,i_1}^3(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu_2 \in \{+, -\}, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi - is\hat{v} \cdot \eta + is\mu_1|\xi - \eta|} \left(\sum_{l_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} Ini_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Ell_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2; l_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} \right) \cdot \clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) d\eta d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.80)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ErrU_{i,i_1}^4(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu_2 \in \{+, -\}, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot \xi + is\mu_1|\xi - \eta|} \mathcal{F}\left[\left(\sum_{l_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} Ini_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + Ell_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2; l_2}(s, X(s), V(s)) \mathbf{1}_{i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}}\right) f\right](s, \eta, v) \cdot \clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) d\eta d\xi dv ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.81)$$

From the estimate (7.118) in Lemma 7.13, we know that the error type terms $ErrU_{i,i_1}^a(t_1, t_2)$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$ in (7.67) are well controlled and it would be sufficient to estimate $U_{i,i_1,i_2}^b(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$.

Recall (7.68), (7.70), (7.72), and (6.117). After representing $U_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds if either $k_2 + 2n_2 \leq k_1 + 2n_1 + 3M_t/5 - 30\iota M_t$ or $n_2 \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \{H, M\}} |U_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t + 470\epsilon M_t} (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_2+2n_2)/2 + (\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_2 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) \\ &\quad \times (2^{7M_t/6 + 5\iota M_t/2 - (k_1+2n_1)/2} + 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2 - n}) 2^{l - \min\{l, \kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})/2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) [2^{(k_2+2n_2)/2 - (k_1+2n_1)/2 + 7M_t/10 + 14\iota M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_2 \geq (\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t} + 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}] \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned}$$

Recall (7.69), (7.71), (7.73), and (6.117). After representing $U_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$ if either $k_2 + 2n_2 \leq k_1 + 2n_1 + 8M_t/15 - 40\iota M_t$ or $n_2 \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_2+2n_2)/2 + (\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_2 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{\alpha^* M_t + 180\epsilon M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} (1 + 2^{2M_t/15} 2^l) \\ &\quad \times 2^{k-2\min\{l, \kappa\} - 2j} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_2+2n_2)/2 + (\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_2 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) \\ &\quad \times 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t - (k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4 + 2l - 2\min\{l, \kappa\} + (5\kappa - 3\min\{n, \kappa\})/4 + 200\epsilon M_t} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it remains to consider the case $k_2 + 2n_2 \geq k_1 + 2n_1 + 8M_t/15 - 40\iota M_t$ and $n_2 \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$. Note that, on the Fourier side, $\forall U \in \{H, M\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i,i_1,i_2}^1(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds, \\ U_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1, t_2) &:= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \widehat{g}(s, \eta, v) \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, V(s)) d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds, \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v) &:= X(s) \cdot (\xi + \sigma) + s(\mu_2|\sigma| - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|), \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v) &:= X(s) \cdot \xi + s(\mu_2|\sigma| - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta - \sigma|). \end{aligned} \quad (7.82)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v) &:= \hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \sigma) + (\mu_2|\sigma| - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta|), \\ \Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v) &:= \hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi + (\mu_2|\sigma| - \hat{v} \cdot \eta + \mu_1|\xi - \eta - \sigma|). \end{aligned} \quad (7.83)$$

For the case we are considering, the following estimates hold for the associated phases of $U_{i,i_1,i_2}^b(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\forall s \in [t_1, t_2], \quad |\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v)| \sim |\sigma| + \mu_2 \hat{V}(s) \cdot \sigma, \quad |\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v)| \sim |\mu_2|\sigma| + \hat{v} \cdot \sigma|, \quad (7.84)$$

Therefore, to exploit high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts one more time. As a result, for $U \in \{H, M\}$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2}^b(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=0,1,2,3} ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;a}(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \mu_3 \in \{+, -\}, i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}} U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2), \quad (7.85)$$

where

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^b(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) K_{k_3, j_3, n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, v, V(t)) d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.86)$$

$${}^2\clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, v, \zeta) := \nabla_\zeta [(\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, \zeta)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, \zeta)], \quad (7.87)$$

$${}^2\clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, v, \zeta) := \nabla_\zeta [(\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2; n_2}^{\mu_2, i_2}(s, \sigma, \zeta)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, \zeta)], \quad (7.88)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;0}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=1,2} (-1)^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; t_a, X(t_a), v)} (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(t_a), v))^{-1} \\ \times \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(t_a, \sigma, V(t_a)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^1(t_a, \xi, \eta, v, V(t_a)) \hat{g}(t_a, \eta, v) d\eta d\xi dv, \quad (7.89)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;0}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=1,2} (-1)^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; t_a, X(t_a), v)} (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(t_a), v))^{-1} \\ \times \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(t_a, \sigma, V(t_a)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^2(t_a, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, V(t_a)) \hat{g}(t_a, \eta, v) d\eta d\xi dv, \quad (7.90)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;1}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) [\partial_s \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) \\ + \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \partial_s {}^1\clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s))] (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.91)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;1}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) [\partial_s \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, V(s)) \\ + \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot \partial_s {}^1\clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, V(s))] (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.92)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;2}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) \\ \times \partial_t \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.93)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;2}(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit EllU^2(s, \xi, \eta + \sigma, v, V(s)) \\ \times \partial_s \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) (\Phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^2(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.94)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;3}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{\substack{k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu_3 \in \{+, -\}, i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^b(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v)} \left(\sum_{l_3 \in [-j_3, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} Ell_{k_3, j_3; n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3; l_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) \right. \\ \left. \times \mathbf{1}_{i_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}} + In i_{k_3, j_3, n_3}^{\mu_3, i_3}(s, X(s), V(s)) \right) \hat{g}(s, \eta, v) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s, \xi, \eta, \sigma, v, V(s)) d\eta d\xi dv ds. \quad (7.95)$$

From the estimate (7.124) in Lemma 7.14, we know that the error terms in (7.85) are well controlled. Hence, it would be sufficient to estimate $U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.86). After representing $U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$, if either $k_3 + 2n_3 \leq k_2 + 2n_2 + 13M_t/15 - 50\iota M_t$ or $n_3 \leq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_3+2n_3)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_3 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{-2\gamma M_t+800\epsilon M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} \\ &\quad \times 2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\}-j} \min \{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \\ |U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^2(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_3+2n_3)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_3 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\iota+60\epsilon)M_t}) 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t+800\epsilon M_t} \\ &\quad \times 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2+7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2\min\{l, \kappa\}-2j} \min \{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{M_t} + 2^{(k_3+2n_3)/2+(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t} \mathbf{1}_{n_3 \geq -\alpha^* M_t-50\epsilon M_t}) 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2+M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ &\quad \times 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4+2l-2\min\{l, \kappa\}+(5\kappa-3\min\{n, \kappa\})/4+800\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it remains to consider the case $k_3 + 2n_3 \geq k_2 + 2n_2 + 13M_t/15 - 50\iota M_t$ and $n_3 \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$. Recall (7.86). For this case, note that, on the Fourier side, $\forall U \in \{H, M\}, b \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;s,X(s),v)} \clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(s,\kappa,V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(s)) \\ \times \widehat{g}(s,\eta,v) d\kappa d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;s,x,v) := \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma;s,x,v) + x \cdot \kappa + s\mu_3|\kappa| \quad (7.96)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma;s,x,v)$ is defined in (7.82). Note that, for the case we are considering, we have

$$\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;V(s),v) := \Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma;V(s),v) + \hat{V}(s) \cdot \kappa + \mu_3|\kappa|, \quad |\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;V(s),v)| \sim |\hat{V}(s) \cdot \kappa + \mu_3|\kappa|. \quad (7.97)$$

To exploit high oscillation in time, we do integration by parts one more time. As a result, for $U \in \{H, M\}, b \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$U_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{a=0,1,2} ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^{b;a}(t_1, t_2) + LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2), \quad (7.98)$$

where

$$LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;s,X(s),v)} K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot {}^3\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(s)) \\ \times \widehat{g}(s,\eta,v) d\kappa d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.99)$$

$${}^3\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,\zeta) := \nabla_\zeta [\clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(s,\kappa,\zeta) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,\zeta) (\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;\zeta,v))^{-1}], \quad (7.100)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^{b;0}(t_1, t_2) := \sum_{a=1,2} (-1)^{a-1} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;t_a,X(t_a))} (\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;V(t_a),v))^{-1} \\ \times \widehat{g}(t_a,\eta,v) \clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(t_a,\kappa,V(t_a)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(t_a,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(t_a)) d\kappa d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv, \quad (7.101)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^{b;1}(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;s,X(s),v)} (\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;V(s),v))^{-1} \partial_t \widehat{g}(s,\eta,v) \\ \times \clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(s,\kappa,V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(s)) d\kappa d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds, \quad (7.102)$$

$$ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^2(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^4} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;s,X(s),v)} \widehat{g}(s,\eta,v) [\partial_s \clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(s,\kappa,V(s)) \cdot {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(s)) \\ + \clubsuit K_{k_3,j_3,n_3}^{\mu_3,i_3}(s,\kappa,V(s)) \cdot \partial_s {}^2\clubsuit EllU^b(s,\xi,\eta,\sigma,v,V(s))] (\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}^b(\xi,\eta,\sigma,\kappa;V(s),v))^{-1} d\kappa d\sigma d\eta d\xi dv ds. \quad (7.103)$$

From the estimate (7.133) in Lemma 7.15, we know that the error terms in (7.98) are well controlled. It would be sufficient to estimate the last part $LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2), b \in \{1, 2\}, U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.99). After representing $LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^b(t_1, t_2), b \in \{1, 2\}$, in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (2.30) in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$|LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^1(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{(1+2\alpha^*+\iota)M_t} 2^{-3\gamma M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ \times 2^{k-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{19M_t/6+22\iota M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ \times 2^{l-\min\{l,\kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} \lesssim 2^{11M_t/15+100\iota M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C), \\ |LastU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^2(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{(1+2\alpha^*+400\epsilon)M_t} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ \times 2^{k-2j-2\min\{l,\kappa\}} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{21M_t/6+17\iota M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ \times 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4+2l-2\min\{l,\kappa\}+(5\kappa-3\min\{n,\kappa\})/4} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C).$$

Hence, finishing the proof of our desired estimate (7.56). $\square$

For error terms in (6.31), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.10. *For any fixed $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k,n}^i$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0,1,2\}} \sum_{i_1 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}} |Err_{i,i_1}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.104)$$

Proof. Recall (6.34) and the assumptions of Lemma 3.2. After representing $Err_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$|Err_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{(7/6+3\iota)M_t-(k+2n)/2} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.105)$$

Recall (6.35) and (6.19). We have an observation that the third component of ${}^1\clubsuit K(t, \xi, X(t), V(t))$ is better than $\mathbf{P}({}^1\clubsuit K(t, \xi, X(t), V(t)))$ and $\mathbf{P}(\clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(t, \eta, V(t)))$ is better than $(\clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(t, \eta, V(t)))$, see estimate (6.70) in Lemma 6.3.

Thanks to this observation, from the point-wise estimates (6.68), (6.69) and (6.70) in Lemma 6.3, the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \partial_t \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{7\alpha^* M_t/3-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{(7M_t/6+3\iota)M_t-(k+2n)/2} + 2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k+2n)/2}) \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.106)$$

Recall (7.1) and (7.6). From the point-wise estimate (6.68) in Lemma 6.3, the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds if $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t+200\epsilon M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t-n} 2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t-(k+2n)/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.107)$$

Similarly, from the estimate (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds if $i = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + i\mu s |\xi| + i\mu_1 s |\eta|} \clubsuit K_{k_1, j_1; n_1}^{\mu_1, i_1}(s, \eta, V(s)) \cdot \partial_t {}^1\clubsuit K(s, \xi, X(s), V(s)) (\hat{V}(s) \cdot (\xi + \eta) + \mu |\xi| + \mu_1 |\eta|)^{-1} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t+200\epsilon M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{2M_t-(k+2n)/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5M_t/6+20\iota M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.108)$$

Recall (6.35). After combining the above two estimates (7.107) and (7.108), we have

$$|Err_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.109)$$

Recall (6.36) and (6.33). Similar to the obtained estimate (7.9), from the rough L_x^∞ -type estimate of the elliptic part in (7.4), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+300\epsilon M_t} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} \left[2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2+7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k+2n)/2} + 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times 2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k+4n)/2} \right] \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3-7M_t/15+20\iota M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.110)$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (7.104). $\square$

For error terms in (6.41), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.11. *For any fixed $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k,n}^i$, $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1, n_1}$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0, 1, 2\}} \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}} |Err_{i, i_1, i_2}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.111)$$

Proof. Recall (6.43) and (6.37). After representing $Err_{i, i_1, i_2}^0(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i, i_1, i_2}^0(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t+200\epsilon M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} \left[2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2+7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k+2n)/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^{(2/3+4\iota)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{7M_t/6+5\iota M_t/2-(k+4n)/2} \right] \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.112)$$

Recall (6.44). From the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i, i_1, i_2}^2(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim 2^{6\iota} \mathcal{M}(C) \left[2^{(2/3+5\alpha^*/3)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{7M_t/6-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{7M_t/6-(k+2n)/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{-\min\{n, n_1\}} 2^{(2/3+5\alpha^*/3)M_t+7M_t/6-(k_1+2n_1)/2-(k+2n)/2} \right] \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{M_t/2+16M_t/9-M_t/3-M_t/2-5M_t/6+100\iota M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.113)$$

Recall (6.45) and (6.33). From the rough L_x^∞ -type estimate of the elliptic part in (7.4), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^3(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+6\epsilon M_t} 2^{-3\gamma M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{(7/6+10\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ &\times 2^{(7/6+10\epsilon)M_t-(k+2n)/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{16M_t/9-M_t/3-M_t/2-5M_t/6+100\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.114)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (7.111). $\square$

For error terms in (6.48), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.12. *For any fixed $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $(k_1, n_1) \in {}^1\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k,n}^i$, $(k_2, n_2) \in {}^2\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1,n_1}$, $(k_3, n_3) \in {}^3\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k_2,n_2}$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.115)$$

Proof. Recall (6.50). After representing $Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^0(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t+6\epsilon M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{-3\gamma M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t+7M_t/3-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2-(k+2n)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.116)$$

Recall (6.51). From the estimates (6.68) and (6.69) in Lemma 6.3, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Err_{i,i_1,i_2}^2(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{30\epsilon M_t} \mathcal{M}(C) [2^{(2/3+5\alpha^*/3)M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{-3\gamma M_t} 2^{6\alpha^* M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2-(k+2n)/2} \\ &+ 2^{\alpha^* M_t-(k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{-3\gamma M_t} (2^{-n_2} + 2^{-n_1} + 2^{-n}) 2^{(2/3+5\alpha^*/3)M_t+4\alpha^* M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2-(k+2n)/2}] \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5M_t/3+5\alpha^* M_t/3-M_t/3-M_t/2-5M_t/6-4M_t/3+200\epsilon M_t} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-20\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.117)$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired estimate (7.115). $\square$

For error terms in (7.67), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.13. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n_1 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k+2n \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k+l+\kappa \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $k+4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, $l \leq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, $(k_1+2n_1)/2 \geq (k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + 2M_t/15 - 10\epsilon M_t$ and $n_1 \geq -(\alpha^*+3\epsilon+60\epsilon)M_t$, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}} \sum_{U \in \{H, M\}} |ErrU_{i,i_1}^a(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.118)$$

Proof. • The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.74) and (7.75). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$, in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \{H, M\}} |ErrU_{i,i_1}^0(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} [2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\}-\gamma M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{-j}\} \\ &+ (1+2^{l+2M_t/15}) 2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\}-j} \min\{2^{-3k-l-\min\{l, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\}] \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.119)$$

• The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.76) and (7.77). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$, in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the estimates (6.68–6.70) in Lemma 6.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |ErrU_{i,i_1}^1(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim (2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t+l} + 2^{7\alpha^* M_t/3}) 2^{\epsilon M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} [2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\}-\gamma M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+j+2\alpha^* M_t}, 2^{-j}\} \\ &+ (1+2^{l+2M_t/15}) 2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\}-j} \min\{2^{-3k-l-\min\{l, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\}] \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.120)$$

• The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.78) and (7.79). Similar to the obtained estimates (7.60) and (7.61) for the kernels, after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the estimate (1.15) if $|\varphi| \geq 2^{(\alpha^*+\epsilon)M_t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \{H, M\}} |ErrU_{i,i_1}^2(t_1, t_2)| &\lesssim 2^{(\alpha^*+5\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-j-\min\{l, \kappa\}} (2^{-j} + 2^{-\gamma M_t}) (2^{-3k-l-\min\{l, \kappa\}+j+2(\alpha^*+\epsilon)M_t})^{1/2} \\ &\times (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2\alpha^* M_t+10\epsilon M_t+l-(k_1+2n_1)/2-(k+l+\min\{l, \kappa\})/2-2\min\{l, \kappa\}} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (7.121)$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1}^3(t_1, t_2), U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.80) and (7.70–7.73). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1}^3(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the rough estimate of the elliptic part in (7.4), from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1}^3(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t+7M_t/6-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{l-\min\{l,\kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.122)$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1}^4(t_1, t_2), U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.81) and (7.70–7.73). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1}^4(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the rough estimate of the elliptic part in (7.4), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1}^4(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t} 2^{(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} 2^{k-2\min\{l,\kappa\}-2j} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ \lesssim 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3+5\epsilon M_t} 2^{(\alpha^*+3\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4+2l-2\min\{l,\kappa\}+(5\kappa-3\min\{n,\kappa\})/4} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.123)$$

Hence, our desired estimate (7.118) holds from the obtained estimates (7.119–7.123). $\square$

For error terms in (7.85), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.14. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n_1, n_2 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k+2n \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k+l+\kappa \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $k+4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, $l \leq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, $(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \geq (k + n + \min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + 2M_t/15 - 10\epsilon M_t$, $k_2 + 2n_2 \geq k_1 + 2n_1 + 8M_t/15 - 40\epsilon M_t$ and $n_1, n_2 \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\epsilon + 60\epsilon)M_t$, we have*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2,3} \sum_{b=1,2} |ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;a}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.124)$$

Proof. • The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;0}(t_1, t_2), b \in \{1, 2\}, U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.89) and (7.90). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;0}(t_1, t_2), b \in \{0, 1\}, U \in \{H, M\}$ in terms of kernels, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;0}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t} (2^{7M_t/6-(k_1+2n_1)/2} + 2^{\alpha^* M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2-n}) \\ \times 2^{k-\min\{l,\kappa\}-\gamma M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.125)$$

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;0}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim 2^{2(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2\min\{l,\kappa\}-2j} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ \lesssim 2^{-5(k+l+\min\{n,\kappa\})/4+2l-2\min\{l,\kappa\}+(5\kappa-3\min\{n,\kappa\})/4} 2^{(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t-(k_2+2n_2)/2-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \mathcal{M}(C) \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.126)$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;1}(t_1, t_2), b \in \{1, 2\}, U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.91) and (7.92). After representing $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;0}(t_1, t_2), U \in \{H, M\}$, in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the estimates (6.69–6.70) in Lemma 6.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;1}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t+l} + 2^{7\alpha^* M_t/3}) 2^{-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{7M_t/6+3\epsilon M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ \times 2^{l-\min\{l,\kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.127)$$

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;1}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) (2^{(1+\alpha^*)M_t+l} + 2^{7\alpha^* M_t/3}) 2^{-(k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{(\alpha^*+4\epsilon)M_t-(k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2\min\{l,\kappa\}-2j} \\ \times \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.128)$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{b;2}(t_1, t_2), b \in \{1, 2\}, U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.93) and (7.94). We first use the equation satisfied by the distribution function in Vlasov equation (1.1) and then do integration by parts in v for $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;1}(t_1, t_2)$ and $ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{2;2}(t_1, t_2)$. As a result, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the estimate (1.15) if $|\not{v}| \geq 2(\alpha^* + \epsilon)M_t$, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2}^{1;2}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; s, X(s), v) + is\hat{v} \cdot \eta} \mathcal{F}[(E + \hat{v} \times B)f](s, \eta, v) \cdot \nabla_v [(\Phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^1(\xi, \eta, \sigma; V(s), v))^{-1} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \clubsuit K_{k_2, j_2, n_2}^{\mu, i_2}(s, \sigma, V(s)) \cdot {}^1 \clubsuit EllU^1(s, \xi, \eta, v, V(s)) \Big] d\eta d\xi dv ds \Big| \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 6\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2j-2\min\{l, \kappa\}} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}} 2^{j+2(\alpha^* + \epsilon)M_t})^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 7\iota M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{l-2\min\{l, \kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.129)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2}^{2;2}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 4\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{k-3j-3\min\{l, \kappa\}} (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2(\alpha^* + 4\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{2l-3\min\{l, \kappa\}} 2^{-(k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.130)
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2}^{b;3}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.95), (7.87), and (7.88). From the rough L_x^∞ -type estimate of the elliptic part in (7.4), the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2}^{1;3}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3 + 4\iota M_t} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \\
& \times 2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\} - \gamma M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.131)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2}^{1;3}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{5\alpha^* M_t/3 + 4\iota M_t} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 + 7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2\min\{l, \kappa\} - 2j} \\
& \times \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t} \mathcal{M}(C). \quad (7.132)
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (7.124) holds from the obtained estimates (7.125–7.132). $\square$

For error terms in (7.98), the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.15. *Let $\bar{\kappa} := -(5\alpha^* - 3)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $\mu \in \{+, -\}$, $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in [-M_t, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $(k, n) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2$, $j \in [0, (1+2\epsilon)M_t] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in [-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k+2n \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $k+l+\kappa \geq 2(1-\alpha^*)M_t - 100\epsilon M_t$, $k+4n/3 \geq M_t - \alpha^* M_t/3 - 30\epsilon M_t$, $\min\{l, n\} \geq (1-2\alpha^*)M_t - 30\epsilon M_t$, $j \geq 3M_t/5 - 20\epsilon M_t$, $l \leq -2(1-\alpha^*)M_t/5 + 30\epsilon M_t$, $(k_1 + 2n_1)/2 \geq (k+n+\min\{n, \kappa\})/2 + 2M_t/15 - 10\iota M_t$, $k_2 + 2n_2 \geq k_1 + 2n_1 + 8M_t/15 - 40\iota M_t$, $k_3 + 2n_3 \geq k_2 + 2n_2 + 13M_t/15 - 50\iota M_t$, and $n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq -(\alpha^* + 3\iota + 60\epsilon)M_t$, we have*

$$\sum_{a=0,1,2} \sum_{b=0,1} |ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;a}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.133)$$

Proof. • The estimate of $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;0}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.101). After representing $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;0}(t_1, t_2)$ in terms of kernel, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{1;0}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{-2\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{2(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{7M_t/6 + 3\iota M_t - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \\
& \times 2^{k-\min\{l, \kappa\} - \gamma M_t} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.134)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{2;0}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{-\gamma_2 M_t} 2^{(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 + 7M_t/6 + 3\iota M_t - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \\
& \times 2^{k-2\min\{l, \kappa\} - 2j} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}+3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(2\alpha^* + 8\iota)M_t + M_t/6 - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2 - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} \\
& \times 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4 + 2l - 2\min\{l, \kappa\} + (5\kappa - 3\min\{n, \kappa\})/4} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.135)
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;1}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.102). We first use the equation satisfied by the distribution function in Vlasov equation (1.1) and then do integration by parts in v for $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;1}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$. As a result, from the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{1;1}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\alpha^* + 7\iota)M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{2(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2j-2\min\{l, \kappa\}} \\
& \times (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}, \quad (7.136)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{2;1}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t + 5\iota M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2 - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2} 2^{k-3j-3\min\{l, \kappa\}} \\
& \times (2^{-3k-n-\min\{n, \kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} (2^{3j+2l})^{1/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \quad (7.137)
\end{aligned}$$

- The estimate of $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;2}(t_1, t_2)$, $b \in \{1, 2\}$, $U \in \{H, M\}$.

Recall (7.103). After representing $ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{b;2}(t_1, t_2)$, $U \in \{H, M\}$, in terms of kernel, the estimates (4.99) and (4.100) in Proposition 4.2, and the estimates (4.205) and (4.206) in Proposition 4.3, the estimates (6.68–6.69) in Lemma 6.3, the following estimate holds for any $U \in \{H, M\}$,

$$|ErrU_{i, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{1;2}(t_1, t_2)| \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(1+\alpha^* + 4\iota)M_t - (k_3 + 2n_3)/2} 2^{2(\alpha^* + 3\iota)M_t - (k_2 + 2n_2)/2} 2^{-2\gamma M_t} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1 + 2n_1)/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times 2^{k-j-\min\{l,\kappa\}} \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{3\alpha^* M_t + M_t/6 + 10\iota M_t - (k_3+2n_3)/2 - (k_2+2n_2)/2} \\ & \times 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2 + l - \min\{l,\kappa\} - (k+n+\min\{n,\kappa\})/2} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.138)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |ErrU_{i,i_1,i_2,i_3}^{2;2}(t_1, t_2)| & \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(1+\alpha^*+4\iota)M_t - (k_3+2n_3)/2} 2^{(\alpha^*+3\iota)M_t - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-\gamma M_t} 2^{7M_t/6 - (k_1+2n_1)/2} 2^{k-2j-2\min\{l,\kappa\}} \\ & \times \min\{2^{-3k-n-\min\{n,\kappa\}} 2^{3j+2l}, 2^{-j}\} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{2\alpha^* M_t + 7M_t/6 + 10\iota M_t - (k_3+2n_3)/2 - (k_2+2n_2)/2} 2^{-(k_1+2n_1)/2} \\ & \times 2^{-5(k+l+\kappa)/4 + 2l - 2\min\{l,\kappa\} + (5\kappa - 3\min\{n,\kappa\})/4} \lesssim \mathcal{M}(C) 2^{(\gamma-10\epsilon)M_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.139)$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (7.133) holds after combining the obtained estimates (7.134–7.139). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bouchut, F. Golse, and C. Pallard. Nonresonant smoothing for coupled wave + transport equations and the Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Dispersive transport equations and multiscale models (Minneapolis, MN, 2000)*, 37–50, *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, 136, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [2] P. Diperna, P.L. Lions. Global solutions of Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(1989), 729–757.
- [3] R. Glassey, J. Schaeffer. Global existence for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system with nearly neutral initial data, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 119(1988), 353–384.
- [4] R. Glassey, J. Schaeffer. The two and one half dimensional relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 185(1997), 257–284.
- [5] R. Glassey, W. Strauss. Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could only occur at large velocities, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 92(1986), 59–90.
- [6] R. Glassey, W. Strauss. Absence of shocks in an initially dilute collisionless plasma, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 113(1987), 191–208.
- [7] R. Glassey, W. Strauss. Large velocities in the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 36(1989), no. 3, 615–627.
- [8] E. Horst. Global strong solutions of Vlasov’s Equation. Necessary and sufficient conditions for their existence. *Partial differential equations. Banach Cent. Publ.* 19, 143–153 (1987).
- [9] S. Klainerman, G. Staffilani. A new approach to study the Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 1(2002), no. 1, 103–125.
- [10] M. Kunze. Yet another criterion for global existence in the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *J. Differential Equations*, 259(2015), no. 9, 4413–4442.
- [11] P.-L. Lions and B. Perthame. Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson system, *Invent. Math.*, 105(1991), 415–430.
- [12] J. Luk, R. Strain. A new continuation criterion for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 331(2014), no. 3, 1005–1027.
- [13] J. Luk, R. Strain. Strichartz estimates and moment bounds for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 219(2016), no. 1, 1–120.
- [14] C. Pallard. On the boundedness of the momentum support of solutions to the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 54(2005), no. 5, 1395–1409.
- [15] C. Pallard. A refined existence criterion for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 13(2015), no. 2, 347–354.
- [16] N. Patel. Three new results on continuation criteria for the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, *J. Differential Equations*, 264(2018), no. 3, 1841–1885.
- [17] G. Rein, A.D. Rendall. Global existence of solutions of the spherically symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system with small initial data, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 150 (1992), no. 3, 561–583.
- [18] J. Schaffer. Global existence of smooth solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson system in three dimensions, *Comm. P.D.E.*, 16(1991), 1313–1335.
- [19] J. Schaeffer. A small data theorem for collisionless plasma that includes high velocity particles, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53(2004), no.1, 1–34.
- [20] R. Sospedra-Alfonso, R. Illner. Classical solvability of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system with bounded spatial density, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 33(2010), no. 6, 751–757.
- [21] X. Wang. Propagation of regularity and long time behavior of 3D massive relativistic transport equation II: Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Vol 389 (2022), no.2, pp 715–812.
- [22] X. Wang. Global solution of the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Poisson system for a class of large data, *preprint*.
- [23] X. Wang. Remarks on the Large data global solutions of 3D RVP system and 3D RVM system, *preprint*.

YMSC, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA, 100084

Email address: xuecheng@tsinghua.edu.cn, xuechengthu@outlook.com