

A DUALITY THEOREM FOR THE IC-RESURGENCE OF EDGE IDEALS

RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is to use linear programming and polyhedral geometry to prove a duality formula for the ic-resurgence of edge ideals. We show that the ic-resurgence of the edge ideal I of a clutter \mathcal{C} and the ic-resurgence of the edge ideal I^\vee of the blocker \mathcal{C}^\vee of \mathcal{C} coincide. If \mathcal{C} is the clutter of bases of certain uniform matroids, we recover a formula for the resurgence of I , and if \mathcal{C} is a connected non-bipartite graph with a perfect matching, we show a formula for the Waldschmidt constant of I^\vee .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{C} be a *clutter* with vertex set $V(\mathcal{C}) = \{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$, that is, \mathcal{C} is a family of subsets $E(\mathcal{C})$ of $V(\mathcal{C})$, called edges, none of which is contained in another [11]. We assume that all edges of \mathcal{C} have at least two vertices. For example, a graph (no multiple edges or loops) is a clutter. Regarding each vertex t_i as a variable, we consider the polynomial ring $S = K[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ over a field K . The monomials of S are denoted by $t^a := t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s}$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ in \mathbb{N}^s , where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. The *edge ideal* of \mathcal{C} , denoted $I(\mathcal{C})$, is the ideal of S given by

$$I(\mathcal{C}) := (\{\prod_{t_i \in e} t_i \mid e \in E(\mathcal{C})\}).$$

The minimal set of generators of $I(\mathcal{C})$, denoted $G(I(\mathcal{C}))$, is the set of all squarefree monomials $t_e = \prod_{t_i \in e} t_i$ such that $e \in E(\mathcal{C})$. Any squarefree monomial ideal I of S is the edge ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ of a clutter \mathcal{C} with vertex set $V(\mathcal{C}) = \{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$. A set of vertices C of \mathcal{C} is called a *vertex cover* if every edge of \mathcal{C} contains at least one vertex of C . A *minimal vertex cover* of \mathcal{C} is a vertex cover which is minimal with respect to inclusion. The clutter of minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} , denoted \mathcal{C}^\vee , is called the *blocker* of \mathcal{C} , and the edge ideal $I(\mathcal{C}^\vee)$ of \mathcal{C}^\vee is called the *Alexander dual* of $I(\mathcal{C})$ and is denoted by $I(\mathcal{C})^\vee$ [40, p. 221]. We assume that $|C| \geq 2$ for all $C \in E(\mathcal{C}^\vee)$.

We denote the edge ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} by I and denote the minimal set of generators of I by $G(I) := \{t^{v_1}, \dots, t^{v_q}\}$. The *incidence matrix* of I , denoted by A , is the $s \times q$ matrix with column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q . This matrix is the *incidence matrix* of \mathcal{C} . The *covering polyhedron* of I , denoted by $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, is the rational polyhedron

$$\mathcal{Q}(I) := \{x \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq 1\},$$

where $1 = (1, \dots, 1)$. The map $E(\mathcal{C}^\vee) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^s$, $C \mapsto \sum_{t_i \in C} e_i$, induces a bijection between $E(\mathcal{C}^\vee)$ and the set $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ of integral vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ [40, Corollary 13.1.3]. Given an integer $n \geq 1$, the n -th *symbolic power* of I , denoted $I^{(n)}$, is given by [18, p. 78]:

$$(1.1) \quad I^{(n)} = (\{t^a \mid a/n \in \mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)\}) = (\{t^a \mid \langle a, u_i \rangle \geq n \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C70; Secondary 13F20, 13F55, 05E40, 13A30, 13B22.

Key words and phrases. Edge ideal, clutter, Alexander dual, integral closure, symbolic power, linear programming, resurgence, covering polyhedra, normal ideal, uniform matroid.

The author was supported by SNI, Mexico.

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the ordinary inner product. The *Newton polyhedron* of I , denoted $\text{NP}(I)$, is the integral polyhedron

$$\text{NP}(I) = \mathbb{R}_+^s + \text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_q),$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda \geq 0\}$. It is well known that $\text{NP}(I)$ is equal to

$$\mathcal{Q}(\overline{B}) := \{x \mid x \geq 0; x\overline{B} \geq 1\},$$

where \overline{B} is the rational matrix whose columns are precisely the vertices u_1, \dots, u_p of the covering polyhedron $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ of I [18, Proposition 3.5(b)]. Note that $m \leq p$, that is, not all vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ are integral. The *integral closure* of I^n , denoted $\overline{I^n}$, can be described as

$$(1.2) \quad \overline{I^n} = (\{t^a \mid a/n \in \text{NP}(I)\}) = (\{t^a \mid \langle a, u_i \rangle \geq n \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p\})$$

for every $n \geq 1$ [18, Proposition 3.5(a)]. If $I^n = \overline{I^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, I is said to be *normal*.

The resurgence and asymptotic resurgence of ideals were introduced in [5, 22]. The resurgence of an ideal relative to the integral closure was introduced in [13]. We define the *resurgence*, *asymptotic resurgence*, and *ic-resurgence* of I to be

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(I) &:= \sup \left\{ n/r \mid I^{(n)} \not\subset I^r \right\}, \\ \hat{\rho}(I) &:= \sup \left\{ n/r \mid I^{(nt)} \not\subset I^{rt} \text{ for all } t \gg 0 \right\}, \\ \rho_{ic}(I) &:= \sup \left\{ n/r \mid I^{(n)} \not\subset \overline{I^r} \right\}, \text{ respectively.} \end{aligned}$$

In general, $\rho(I) \geq \rho_{ic}(I)$ and $\hat{\rho}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I)$ [13, Corollary 4.14]. In particular $\hat{\rho}(I)$ is $\rho(I)$ if I is normal. A related well studied invariant is $\hat{\alpha}(I)$, the *Waldschmidt constant* of I [10, 13]:

$$\hat{\alpha}(I) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(I^{(n)})}{n},$$

where $\alpha(I^{(n)})$ is the least degree of a minimal generator of $I^{(n)}$. Let B be the incidence matrix of I^\vee . Note that the columns of B are u_1, \dots, u_m . The number $\hat{\alpha}(I)$ is the optimal value of the linear program

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize } y_1 + \dots + y_s \\ &\text{subject to} \\ &yB \geq 1 \text{ and } y \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is attained at a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ [4, Theorem 3.2]. If $\alpha(\mathcal{Q}(I))$ is the minimum of all $|a| = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i$ with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, then $\hat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = \alpha(\mathcal{Q}(I))$ [10, Corollary 6.3].

It is known that the ic-resurgence of the edge ideal $I(G)$ of a graph G can be expressed in terms of the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I(G)^\vee)$. The following formula was given in [13, Theorem 3.12]:

$$\rho_{ic}(I(G)) = \hat{\rho}(I(G)) = \frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}(I(G))} = \frac{2}{\alpha(\mathcal{Q}(I(G)^\vee))} \geq 1.$$

Our main result is a formula for the ic-resurgence $\rho_{ic}(I)$ of I in terms of the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ (Theorem 3.7).

As we now explain computing the ic-resurgence $\rho_{ic}(I)$ of the ideal I is a linear-fractional programming problem [7]. Let $V(\mathcal{Q}(I)) = \{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ be the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, we can write $u_i = \gamma_i/d_i$, $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}^s \setminus \{0\}$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ for all i (Section 3). Recall that we may assume that $d_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, i.e., u_1, \dots, u_m are the characteristic vectors of the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C}

and $m \leq p$. By Eqs. (1.1)-(1.2), a monomial t^a is in $I^{(n)} \setminus \overline{I^r}$ if and only if $a/n \in \mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ and $a/r \notin \text{NP}(I)$, that is, t^a is in $I^{(n)} \setminus \overline{I^r}$ if and only if $a = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \langle a, u_i \rangle \geq n \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } \langle a, \gamma_j \rangle \leq rd_j - 1 \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_s be variables that correspond to the entries of a and let x_{s+1}, x_{s+2} be two extra variables that correspond to n and r , respectively. By Eq. (1.3), t^a is in $I^{(n)} \setminus \overline{I^r}$ if and only if there exists $1 \leq j \leq p$ such that (a, n, r) is a feasible point for the linear-fractional program:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad h_j(x) = \frac{x_{s+1}}{x_{s+2}} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \langle (x_1, \dots, x_s), u_i \rangle - x_{s+1} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad x_{s+1} \geq 1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s \\ & \quad \quad \quad d_j x_{s+2} - \langle (x_1, \dots, x_s), \gamma_j \rangle \geq 1, \quad x_{s+2} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

This type of program can be solved using linear programming [7, Section 4.3.2, p. 151]. The linear-fractional program of Eq. (1.4) is equivalent to the linear program of Eq. (1.5) below [21, p. 18]. The next result solves the problem of computing the ic-resurgence of I . An algorithm to compute $\rho_{ic}(I)$ has been implemented in *Macaulay2* using its interface to *Normaliz* [8] (see [21, Procedure A.3, Algorithm A.4]). We use the next result to prove a duality formula for the ic-resurgence of I that implies the equality $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee)$.

Theorem 1.1. [21, Theorem 5.3] *For each $1 \leq j \leq p$, let ρ_j be the optimal value of the following linear program with variables y_1, \dots, y_{s+3} . Then, $\rho_{ic}(I) = \max\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^p$ and ρ_j is attained at a rational vertex of the polyhedron \mathcal{P}_j of feasible points of Eq. (1.5).*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad g_j(y) = y_{s+1} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), u_i \rangle - y_{s+1} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad y_{s+1} \geq y_{s+3} \\ & \quad \quad \quad y_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad y_{s+3} \geq 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad d_j y_{s+2} - \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), \gamma_j \rangle \geq y_{s+3}, \quad y_{s+2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

First, we determine all vertices y of \mathcal{P}_j with $y_{s+1} > 0$ and relate them with the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$. To introduce our result, let $V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{p_1}\}$ be the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, we can write $v_i = \delta_i/f_i$, $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}^s \setminus \{0\}$, $f_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$ for all i (Section 3). Recall that we may assume that $f_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$, i.e., v_1, \dots, v_q are the characteristic vectors of the edges of \mathcal{C} and $q \leq p_1$. We introduce the Rees cones of I and I^\vee as a device to compute the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, and the linear constraints that define the Newton polyhedron of I (Theorems (3.1)-(3.4)). Then, we collect some basic properties of \mathcal{P}_j showing that \mathcal{P}_j could be unbounded, and proving that $\rho_j \leq d_j$ and $\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq d_i \geq 1$ for all i, ℓ (Lemma 3.5).

We come to our main auxiliary result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^{s+3} with $y_{s+1} > 0$ and let $1 \leq j \leq p$, then y is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j if and only if y has one of the following two forms:*

- (a) $y = (y_{s+1}(\delta_k/f_k), y_{s+1}, 1, 0)$, where $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle$ and $1 \leq k \leq p_1$, or
- (b) $y = (y_{s+1}(\delta_k/f_k), y_{s+1}, 1, y_{s+1})$, where $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / (\langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle + f_k)$ and $1 \leq k \leq p_1$.

The first evidence that the equality $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee)$ could be true came from [13] and [21, 26] where it is shown that for the edge ideal $I(G)$ of a perfect graph G , one has

$$\rho_{ic}(I(G)) = \frac{2(\omega(G) - 1)}{\omega(G)} = \rho_{ic}(I(G)^\vee),$$

respectively, where $\omega(G)$ is the clique number of G , that is, $\omega(G)$ is the number of vertices in a maximum complete subgraph of G . If G is a perfect graph, then $\rho(I(G)^\vee)$ is equal to $\rho_{ic}(I(G)^\vee)$ because $I(G)^\vee$ is normal [38, Theorem 2.10].

We come to our main result.

Theorem 3.7. (Duality formula) *If I is the edge ideal of a clutter \mathcal{C} , then*

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{ic}(I)} = \min \{ \langle u, v \rangle \mid u \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I)), v \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) \},$$

where $V(\mathcal{Q}(I))$ is the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. In particular, $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee)$.

A covering polyhedron is *integral* if and only if it has only integral vertices [30, p. 232]. The ic-resurgence of I classifies the integrality of the covering polyhedron $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ because $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral (Proposition 3.8). As a consequence of the duality formula for the ic-resurgence, we recover the fact that $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is integral [11, Theorem 1.17] (Corollary 3.9), and recover two results of Jayanthan, Kumar and Mukundan [26, Theorems 4.8 and 5.3] showing that when $I(G)$ is the edge ideal of a graph G the following conditions are equivalent

$$(a) \rho(I(G)) = 1; \quad (b) \rho_{ic}(I(G)) = 1; \quad (c) G \text{ is bipartite}; \quad (d) \rho(I(G)^\vee) = 1;$$

see Corollary 3.10. Then, we show a formula for the ic-resurgence of the sum of two edge ideals of clutters generated by monomials in disjoint sets of variables (Corollary 3.11). A similar formula is known for the Waldschmidt constant (Remark 3.12). If G is a connected non-bipartite graph with a perfect matching, we show that $\hat{\alpha}(I(G)^\vee) = |V(G)|/2$ (Proposition 3.17).

To compute the vertices of the covering polyhedron $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ of the edge ideal I of a clutter \mathcal{C} , we have used Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, see Procedure A.1. Another way to compute the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is to find the extreme rays, i.e., the 1-dimensional faces of the following polyhedral cone

$$\text{SC}(I^\vee) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{s+1} \mid x \geq 0; \langle x, (v_i, -1) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q\},$$

see [21, Proposition 3.15]. The cone $\text{SC}(I^\vee)$ is called the *Simis cone* of I^\vee [15]. For a discussion on how to find all vertices of a general polyhedron we refer to [2, 3].

The algebraic properties and invariants of the ideal $I = I_{d,s}$, generated by all squarefree monomials of S of degree d in s variables, were studied in [1, 4, 16, 37, 39]. This ideal is normal [37] and its Alexander dual I^\vee is equal to $I_{s-d+1,s}$. The minimal generators of I correspond to the bases of a matroid of rank d . The statement about resurgence in Theorem 3.19 is due originally to Lampa-Baczyńska and Malara [28, Theorem C]. In a more general form, it appears in a paper by Geramita, Harbourne, Migliore and Nagel [16, Theorem 4.8]. The Waldschmidt constant of I was computed in [4, Theorem 7.5]. Using the Rees cones of I and I^\vee , we compute the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ and use Theorem 3.7 to recover the formulas for $\rho(I)$ and $\hat{\alpha}(I)$.

Theorem 3.19. [4, 28] *The resurgence and ic-resurgence of $I_{s,d}$ and $I_{s,d}^\vee$ are given by*

$$\rho(I_{d,s}) = \rho_{ic}(I_{d,s}) = \rho_{ic}((I_{d,s})^\vee) = \frac{d(s-d+1)}{s} = \frac{d}{\hat{\alpha}(I_{d,s})} = \frac{s-d+1}{\hat{\alpha}((I_{d,s})^\vee)}.$$

In Section 4 we present examples illustrating our results. Then in Appendix A we give the procedures for *Macaulay2* [20], that are used in the examples.

For unexplained terminology and additional information, we refer to [12, 25, 34, 35] for the theory of integral closure, [23, 40] for the theory of edge ideals and monomial ideals, and [27, 30, 31] for combinatorial optimization and integer programming.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce a few results from polyhedral geometry and commutative algebra. We continue to employ the notations and definitions used in Section 1.

Given $a \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus \{0\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the *affine hyperplane* $H(a, c)$ and the *positive closed halfspace* $H^+(a, c)$ bounded by $H(a, c)$ are defined as

$$H(a, c) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^s \mid \langle x, a \rangle = c\} \quad \text{and} \quad H^+(a, c) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^s \mid \langle x, a \rangle \geq c\}.$$

If $c = 0$, H_a will denote $H(a, c)$ and H_a^+ will denote $H^+(a, c)$. If a and c are rational, $H^+(a, c)$ is called a *rational closed halfspace*.

A *rational polyhedron* is a subset of \mathbb{R}^s which is the intersection of a finite number of rational closed halfspaces of \mathbb{R}^s . Let Γ be a subset of \mathbb{R}^s . The cone generated by Γ , denoted $\mathbb{R}_+\Gamma$, is the set of all linear combinations of Γ with coefficients in the set \mathbb{R}_+ of nonnegative real numbers. The *finite basis theorem* asserts that a subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{R}^s is a rational polyhedron if and only if

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}_+\Gamma + \mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+\Gamma$ is a cone generated by a finite set Γ of rational points and \mathcal{P} is the convex hull $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ of a finite set \mathcal{A} of rational points [30, Corollary 7.1b]. The computer programs *Normaliz* [8] and *PORTA* [9] can be used to switch between these two representations.

Theorem 2.1. [6, Theorem 4.3] *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal. If $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral, then $\hat{\alpha}(I) = \alpha(I)$ and $\hat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = \alpha(I^\vee)$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal. The following hold.*

- (a) [19] $I^n = I^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral and I is normal.
- (b) [19, 33] $\overline{I^n} = I^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral.

3. DUALITY FORMULA FOR THE IC-RESURGENCE

In this part we give a duality formula for the ic-resurgence of edge ideals of clutters. To avoid repetitions, we continue to employ the notations and definitions used in Sections 1 and 2.

Let $S = K[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K , let $I \subset S$ be the edge ideal of a clutter \mathcal{C} , and let $G(I) = \{t^{v_1}, \dots, t^{v_q}\}$ be the minimal set of generators of I . To study $\rho_{ic}(I)$, we need a convenient way to determine the polyhedron \mathcal{P}_j of feasible points of the linear program of Theorem 1.1 for $1 \leq j \leq p$. Our approach is based on the computation of the supporting hyperplanes of the *Rees cone* of I which is the finitely generated rational cone defined as [15]:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{RC}(I) := \mathbb{R}_+\{e_1, \dots, e_s, (v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. [40, Proposition 1.1.51, Theorem 14.1.1] *The Rees cone of I has a unique irreducible representation*

$$(3.2) \quad \text{RC}(I) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s+1} H_{e_i}^+ \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m H_{(\gamma_i, -d_i)}^+ \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=m}^p H_{(\gamma_i, -d_i)}^+ \right),$$

where none of the closed halfspaces can be omitted from the intersection, $d_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $t^{\gamma_1}, \dots, t^{\gamma_m}$ are the minimal generators of I^\vee , $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}^s \setminus \{0\}$ for $i > m$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ for $i > m$, and the non-zero entries of $(\gamma_i, -d_i)$ are relatively prime for all i .

The hyperplanes defining the closed halfspaces of Eq. (3.2) are the *supporting hyperplanes* of the Rees cone of I , and the γ_i 's and d_i 's can be computed using *Normaliz* [8]. Thus, for each $1 \leq j \leq p$, we can determine the polyhedron \mathcal{P}_j .

The following theorem justifies the a priori naming coincidence between the constants appearing in Theorem 3.1 and the description given for the u_i in the introduction before Eq. (1.3).

Theorem 3.2. [18, Theorem 3.1] *The vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is $V(\mathcal{Q}(I)) = \{\gamma_1/d_1, \dots, \gamma_p/d_p\}$.*

The last two results say that finding the supporting hyperplanes of $\text{RC}(I)$ is equivalent to finding the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$.

For use below we set $u_i = \gamma_i/d_i = \gamma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. To study the vertices of \mathcal{P}_j we need the following dual versions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. The Rees cone of I^\vee is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \text{RC}(I^\vee) = \mathbb{R}_+\{e_1, \dots, e_s, (u_1, 1), \dots, (u_m, 1)\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *The Rees cone of I^\vee has a unique irreducible representation*

$$(3.4) \quad \text{RC}(I^\vee) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s+1} H_{e_i}^+ \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^q H_{(\delta_i, -f_i)}^+ \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=q+1}^{p_1} H_{(\delta_i, -f_i)}^+ \right),$$

where $f_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$, $t^{\delta_1}, \dots, t^{\delta_q}$ are the minimal generators of I , $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}^s \setminus \{0\}$ for $i > q$, $f_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ for $i > q$, and the non-zero entries of $(\delta_i, -f_i)$ are relatively prime for all i .

Theorem 3.4. *The vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is $V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) = \{\delta_1/f_1, \dots, \delta_{p_1}/f_{p_1}\}$.*

In what follows we set $v_i = \delta_i/f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p_1$ and $u_i = \gamma_i/d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Recall that $\{t^{v_1}, \dots, t^{v_q}\}$ is the minimal generating set of the edge ideal $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} . The minimal generating set for I^\vee is $\{t^{u_1}, \dots, t^{u_m}\}$ and $I^\vee = I(\mathcal{C}^\vee)$ is the edge ideal of the blocker \mathcal{C}^\vee of \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 3.5. *Let I be the edge ideal of a clutter \mathcal{C} . The following hold.*

- (a) *Given γ_i and δ_ℓ , there are $u \in \{u_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $v \in \{v_i\}_{i=1}^q$ such that $\gamma_i \geq u$ and $\delta_\ell \geq v$ componentwise.*
- (b) *$\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq d_i \geq 1$ and $\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq f_\ell \geq 1$ for all i, ℓ .*
- (c) *If ρ_j is the optimal value of the linear program of Eq. (1.5), then $\rho_j \leq d_j$.*
- (d) *If $\gamma_j = (\gamma_{j,1}, \dots, \gamma_{j,s})$ and $\gamma_{j,k} = 0$ for some k , then \mathcal{P}_j is an unbounded polyhedron.*
- (e) *If $\gamma_j = (\gamma_{j,1}, \dots, \gamma_{j,s})$ and $\gamma_{j,k} > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$, then \mathcal{P}_j is a bounded polyhedron.*

Proof. (a)-(b) Given $a \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$, we set $F_a = \text{supp}(t^a) = \{t_k \mid a_k > 0\}$. From Eq. (3.2), $\langle v_n, \gamma_i \rangle \geq d_i$ for $n = 1, \dots, q$. Then, $F_{v_n} \cap F_{\gamma_i} \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, \dots, q$. As F_{v_1}, \dots, F_{v_q} are the edges of \mathcal{C} , F_{γ_i} is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , and consequently F_{γ_i} contains a minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} . Similarly, from Eq. (3.4), $\langle u_n, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq f_\ell$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$. Then, $F_{u_n} \cap F_{\delta_\ell} \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$. As F_{u_1}, \dots, F_{u_m} are the edges of \mathcal{C}^\vee , F_{δ_ℓ} is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C}^\vee , and consequently F_{δ_ℓ} contains a minimal vertex cover D of \mathcal{C}^\vee . Note that D is an edge of \mathcal{C} because $(\mathcal{C}^\vee)^\vee = \mathcal{C}$. Let $u = \sum_{t_i \in C} e_i$ and $v = \sum_{t_i \in D} e_i$ be the characteristic vectors of C and D , respectively. Then, $\delta_\ell \geq v$ and $\gamma_i \geq u$. Hence, using Eq. (3.2) and Eq. (3.4), we obtain

$$\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq \langle \gamma_i, v \rangle \geq d_i \geq 1 \text{ and } \langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq \langle u, \delta_\ell \rangle \geq f_\ell \geq 1.$$

(c) Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ be any point in \mathcal{P}_j , that is, y is feasible for Eq. (1.5). By part (a), one can pick $u \in \{u_i\}_{i=1}^m$ such that $\gamma_j \geq u$. Then, using the constraints that define \mathcal{P}_j , we get

$$y_{s+1} \leq \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), u \rangle \leq \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), \gamma_j \rangle \leq d_j - y_{s+3} \leq d_j.$$

Thus, $y_{s+1} \leq d_j$, and consequently $\rho_j \leq d_j$.

(d) For simplicity, we may assume that $k = 1$. Setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{s+3}) = \ell e_1 + e_{s+2}$ for $\ell \geq 0$ and using that $\gamma_{j,1} = 0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x_1, \dots, x_s), u_i \rangle &\geq x_{s+1} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad x_{s+1} \geq x_{s+3} \\ x_i &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad x_{s+3} \geq 0 \\ d_j x_{s+2} - \langle (x_1, \dots, x_s), \gamma_j \rangle &= d_j - x_1 \gamma_{j,1} = d_j \geq x_{s+3} = 0, \quad x_{s+2} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $x \in \mathcal{P}_j$ for all $\ell \geq 0$. This proves that \mathcal{P}_j is unbounded.

(e) Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ be any point in \mathcal{P}_j . It suffices to show that $y_i \leq d_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, s+3$. By part (a), one can pick $u \in \{u_i\}_{i=1}^m$ such that $\gamma_j \geq u$. As γ_j is integral, $\gamma_{j,k} \geq 1$ for all k . Then, using the constraints that define \mathcal{P}_j , we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &\leq \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), \gamma_j \rangle \leq d_j - y_{s+3} \leq d_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, s \\ y_{s+3} &\leq y_{s+1} \leq \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), u \rangle \leq \langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), \gamma_j \rangle \leq d_j - y_{s+3} \leq d_j, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathcal{P}_j be the polyhedron of feasible points of Eq. (1.5), $1 \leq j \leq p$, and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^{s+3} with $y_{s+1} > 0$, then y is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j if and only if y has one of the following two forms:*

- (a) $y = (y_{s+1}(\delta_k/f_k), y_{s+1}, 1, 0)$, where $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle$ and $1 \leq k \leq p_1$, or
- (b) $y = (y_{s+1}(\delta_k/f_k), y_{s+1}, 1, y_{s+1})$, where $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / (\langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle + f_k)$ and $1 \leq k \leq p_1$.

Proof. \Rightarrow) Assume that $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j with $y_{s+1} > 0$. The constraints that define \mathcal{P}_j can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, (-u_i, 1, 0, 0) \rangle &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \langle y, -e_{s+1} + e_{s+3} \rangle \leq 0, \\ \langle y, -e_i \rangle &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad \langle y, -e_{s+3} \rangle \leq 0, \\ \langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3} \rangle &\leq 0, \quad \langle y, e_{s+2} \rangle \leq 1, \quad \langle y, -e_{s+2} \rangle \leq -1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

As y is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j , by [40, Corollary 1.1.47], there are $s+3$ linearly independent constraints that are satisfied with equality. The hyperplane $H_{(y_1, \dots, y_{s+1})}$ of \mathbb{R}^{s+1} contains at most s linearly independent vectors because $y_{s+1} > 0$. Therefore, there are exactly s constraints of the form

$$\langle y, (-u_i, 1, 0, 0) \rangle \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\langle y, -e_i \rangle \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \tag{3.7}$$

that are satisfied with equality because the remaining constraints that define \mathcal{P}_j are

$$\langle y, -e_{s+1} + e_{s+3} \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\langle y, -e_{s+3} \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3} \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\langle y, e_{s+2} \rangle \leq 1, \quad \langle y, -e_{s+2} \rangle \leq -1, \tag{3.11}$$

and the constraints of Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9) cannot hold simultaneously with equality. Then, recalling that $y_{s+2} = 1$ in \mathcal{P}_j , one has

$$\langle (y_1, \dots, y_s), \gamma_j \rangle = d_j - y_{s+3} \tag{3.12}$$

because the constraint of Eq. (3.10) must hold with equality, and either $y_{s+1} = y_{s+3}$ if Eq.(3.8) holds with equality or $y_{s+3} = 0$ if Eq.(3.9) holds with equality. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(-w_i, 1)\}_{i=1}^{r-1} \cup \{(-w_i, 0)\}_{i=r}^s$$

be the set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^{s+1} that correspond to the s linearly independent constraints of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) that are satisfied with equality. Note that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent if and only if $\mathcal{B}' = \{(w_i, 1)\}_{i=1}^{r-1} \cup \{(w_i, 0)\}_{i=r}^s$ is linearly independent. Consider the hyperplane

$$H = H_{(y_1, \dots, y_s, -y_{s+1})}.$$

The set \mathcal{B}' is contained in H , and \mathcal{B}' is also contained in the Rees cone $\text{RC}(I^\vee)$ of I^\vee because $w_i \in \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $w_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ for $r \leq i \leq s$. Then, $F = H \cap \text{RC}(I^\vee)$ is a facet of the Rees cone $\text{RC}(I^\vee)$ of I^\vee . Hence, by Theorem 3.3 and [41, Theorem 3.2.1], we obtain that $F = H_{(\delta_k, -f_k)} \cap \text{RC}(I^\vee)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq p_1$, and consequently

$$(\delta_k, -f_k) = \lambda(y_1, \dots, y_s, -y_{s+1})$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $(y_1, \dots, y_s)/y_{s+1} = \delta_k/f_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq p_1$.

Case (I) $y_{s+3} = 0$. Then, dividing Eq. (3.12) by y_{s+1} , we get

$$\frac{\langle \delta_k, \gamma_j \rangle}{f_k} = \frac{d_j}{y_{s+1}},$$

and solving for y_{s+1} gives $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle$. Thus, y is as in (a).

Case (II) $y_{s+1} = y_{s+3}$. Then, dividing Eq. (3.12) by y_{s+1} , we get

$$\frac{\langle \delta_k, \gamma_j \rangle + f_k}{f_k} = \frac{\langle \delta_k, \gamma_j \rangle}{f_k} + 1 = \frac{d_j}{y_{s+1}},$$

and solving for y_{s+1} gives $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / (\langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle + f_k)$. Thus, y is as in (b).

\Leftarrow) Assume that y is as in (a). As $(y_1, \dots, y_s)/y_{s+1} = \delta_k/f_k$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, it follows that y satisfies the constraints of Eqs. (3.6)-(3.7) and that s of them occur with equality and are linearly independent. Let Γ be the set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^{s+3} that correspond to these independent constraints. Then, $\langle \alpha, y \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. The following three constraints are also satisfied with equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, -e_{s+3} \rangle &\leq 0, \\ \langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3} \rangle &\leq 0, \\ \langle y, e_{s+2} \rangle &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

As $y \in \mathcal{P}_j$, to prove that y is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j we need only show that the set

$$\Gamma \cup \{-e_{s+3}, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3}, e_{s+2}\}$$

is linearly independent or equivalently that $\Gamma \cup \{e_{s+3}, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0), e_{s+2}\}$ is linearly independent. This follows noticing that $\Gamma \cup \{e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}\}$ is linearly independent and observing that $(\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0)$ cannot be in $\mathbb{R}(\Gamma \cup \{e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}\})$, the linear span of $\Gamma \cup \{e_{s+2}, e_{s+3}\}$, because $\langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) \rangle = d_j$ and $\langle \alpha, y \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Assume that y is as in (b). As $(y_1, \dots, y_s)/y_{s+1} = \delta_k/f_k$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, it follows that y satisfies the constraints of Eqs. (3.6)-(3.7) and that s of them occur with equality and are linearly independent. Let Γ be the set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^{s+3} that correspond to these independent constraints. Then, $\langle \alpha, y \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. The following constraints are satisfied with equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, -e_{s+1} + e_{s+3} \rangle &\leq 0, \\ \langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3} \rangle &\leq 0, \\ \langle y, e_{s+2} \rangle &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

As $y \in \mathcal{P}_j$, to prove that y is a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j we need only show that the set

$$\Gamma \cup \{-e_{s+1} + e_{s+3}, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) - d_j e_{s+2} + e_{s+3}, e_{s+2}\}$$

is linearly independent or equivalently that the set

$$\Gamma \cup \{-e_{s+1} + e_{s+3}, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) + e_{s+3}, e_{s+2}\}$$

is linearly independent. Clearly the set $\Gamma \cup \{-e_{s+1} + e_{s+3}, e_{s+2}\}$ is linearly independent. Hence, it suffices to notice that $(\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0)$ cannot be in $\mathbb{R}(\Gamma \cup \{-e_{s+1} + e_{s+3}, e_{s+2}\})$ because $\langle y, (\gamma_j, 0, 0, 0) \rangle$ is equal to $d_j - y_{s+1}$, $\langle \alpha, y \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and $d_j - y_{s+1} \neq 0$ (Lemma 3.5). \square

Theorem 3.7. (Duality formula) *If $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ is the edge ideal of a clutter \mathcal{C} and $\rho_{ic}(I)$ is the ic-resurgence of I , then*

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{ic}(I)} = \min \{ \langle u, v \rangle \mid u \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I)), v \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) \},$$

where $V(\mathcal{Q}(I))$ is the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. In particular, $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee)$.

Proof. The sets of vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ are $\{\gamma_i/d_i\}_{i=1}^p$ and $\{\delta_\ell/f_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{p_1}$, respectively. This follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.4. Then, noticing the equalities

$$1/\min\{\langle \gamma_i/d_i, \delta_\ell/f_\ell \rangle\}_{i,\ell} = \max\{1/\langle \gamma_i/d_i, \delta_\ell/f_\ell \rangle\}_{i,\ell} = \max\{(d_i f_\ell)/\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle\}_{i,\ell},$$

it suffices to prove the following equality

$$(3.13) \quad \rho_{ic}(I) = \max\{(d_i f_\ell)/\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle\}_{i,\ell}.$$

Given integers $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq p_1$, by Theorem 3.6, there is a vertex $y_{i,\ell}$ of \mathcal{P}_i such that the $(s+1)$ -th entry $(y_{i,\ell})_{s+1}$ of $y_{i,\ell}$ is equal to $(d_i f_\ell)/\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle$. As $y_{i,\ell}$ is in \mathcal{P}_i , we obtain that $\rho_i \geq (d_i f_\ell)/\langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle$, and consequently one has

$$(3.14) \quad \rho_{ic}(I) \geq \rho_i \geq d_i f_\ell / \langle \gamma_i, \delta_\ell \rangle \quad \forall i, \ell.$$

By Theorems 1.1 and 3.6, $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq p$ and there is $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{s+3})$ a vertex of \mathcal{P}_j such that $y_{s+1} = \rho_{ic}(I)$, and either

$$y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle \text{ or } y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / (\langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle + f_k)$$

for some k . The second equality cannot occur because

$$d_j f_k / (\langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle + f_k) < d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle \leq \rho_{ic}(I).$$

Thus, one has $y_{s+1} = d_j f_k / \langle \gamma_j, \delta_k \rangle$ and, by Eq. (3.14), we get equality in Eq. (3.13). \square

Proposition 3.8. *If I is a squarefree monomial ideal, then $\rho_{ic}(I) \geq 1$ with equality if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral.*

Proof. By [13, Corollaries 4.14 and 4.16], $\rho_{ic}(I) \geq 1$ with equality if and only if $I^{(n)} = \overline{I^n}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral. \square

Corollary 3.9. [11, Theorem 1.17] *$\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is integral.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral, by Proposition 3.8, $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$. Then, by Theorem 3.7, $\rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 1$. Thus, by Proposition 3.8, $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is integral. The converse follows by replacing I with I^\vee , in the first part of the proof, and recalling that $(I^\vee)^\vee$ is equal to I . \square

Corollary 3.10. [26, Theorems 4.8 and 5.3] *Let $I = I(G)$ be the edge ideal of a graph. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\rho(I) = 1$; (b) $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$; (c) G is bipartite; (d) $\rho(I^\vee) = 1$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Assume that $\rho(I) = 1$. Then, $\rho(I) \geq \rho_{ic}(I) \geq 1$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Assume that $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$. Then, by Proposition 3.8, we get that $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral. Hence, by [40, Proposition 14.3.39], G is bipartite.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Assume that G is bipartite. Then, by [17, Corollary 2.6] and [40, Proposition 14.3.6], I^\vee is normal and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ integral. Hence, by Proposition 3.8, we get $\rho(I^\vee) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 1$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Assume that $\rho(I^\vee) = 1$. Then, $\rho(I^\vee) \geq \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) \geq 1$, and $\rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 1$. Then, by the duality formula and Proposition 3.8, we get that $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is integral and $\rho_{ic}(I) = 1$. Then, by [40, Proposition 14.3.39] and [32, Theorem 5.9], G is bipartite and I is normal. Hence, $\rho(I) = \rho_{ic}(I) = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *If I_1 and I_2 are squarefree monomial ideals of S generated by monomials in disjoint sets of variables, then*

$$\rho_{ic}(I_1 + I_2) = \max\{\rho_{ic}(I_1), \rho_{ic}(I_2)\}.$$

Proof. We may assume that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are clutters with vertex sets $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ and $\{t_{r+1}, \dots, t_s\}$ such that I_i is the edge ideal of \mathcal{C}_i for $i = 1, 2$. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ and $I = I_1 + I_2$, then I is the edge ideal of \mathcal{C} and C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} if and only if $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ with C_i a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_i for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, $I^\vee = I_1^\vee I_2^\vee$ and, by [26, Proposition 3.5], $\rho_{ic}(I^\vee)$ is equal to $\max\{\rho_{ic}(I_1^\vee), \rho_{ic}(I_2^\vee)\}$. Therefore, using Theorem 3.7, we get

$$\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = \rho_{ic}(I_1^\vee I_2^\vee) = \max\{\rho_{ic}(I_1^\vee), \rho_{ic}(I_2^\vee)\} = \max\{\rho_{ic}(I_1), \rho_{ic}(I_2)\}.$$

Thus, $\rho_{ic}(I) = \max\{\rho_{ic}(I_1), \rho_{ic}(I_2)\}$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.12. There is a similar formula for $\widehat{\alpha}(I_1 + I_2)$ [4, Corollary 7.10]:

$$\widehat{\alpha}(I_1 + I_2) = \min\{\widehat{\alpha}(I_1), \widehat{\alpha}(I_2)\}.$$

Definition 3.13. [40, p. 541] Let $a = (a_i) \neq 0$ be a vector in \mathbb{N}^s and let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. If a, b satisfy $\langle a, v_i \rangle \geq b$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$, we say that a is a b -cover of \mathcal{C} .

The notion of a b -cover occurs in combinatorial optimization [31, Chapter 77, p. 1378] and algebraic combinatorics [15, 24].

Definition 3.14. A b -cover a of \mathcal{C} is called *reducible* if there exists an i -cover c and a j -cover d of \mathcal{C} such that $a = c + d$ and $b = i + j$. If a is not reducible, we call a *irreducible*.

Lemma 3.15. [14, Lemma 1.8] *If $(\gamma_k, -d_k)$ is any of the vectors of Eq. (3.2), then γ_k is an irreducible d_k -cover of \mathcal{C} .*

Definition 3.16. Let G be a graph. A set P of pairwise disjoint edges of G is a *perfect matching* of G if $V(G) = \bigcup_{e \in P} e$. A set of vertices of G is *stable* if no two of them are adjacent.

Proposition 3.17. *Let G be a connected non-bipartite graph with a perfect matching and let $I(G)$ be its edge ideal. Then, $\widehat{\alpha}(I(G)^\vee) = |V(G)|/2$.*

Proof. Let $u_i = \gamma_i/d_i$ be any vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. By Theorem 3.2, $(\gamma_i, -d_i)$ occurs in Eq. (3.2). Hence, by Lemma 3.15, γ_i is an irreducible d_i -cover of G . Therefore, using the classification of the irreducible covers of a graph [14, Theorem 1.7], γ_i and d_i have one of the following forms

- (a) $d_i = 1$ and $\gamma_i = \sum_{t_j \in C} e_j$ for some $C \in E(G^\vee)$, that is, $1 \leq i \leq m$,
- (b) $d_i = 2$ and $\gamma_i = (1, \dots, 1)$,

(c) $d_i = 2$ and up to permutation of vertices

$$(3.15) \quad \gamma_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{|\mathfrak{A}|}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{|N_G(\mathfrak{A})|}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

for some stable set of vertices $\mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$ of G , where $N_G(\mathfrak{A})$ is the neighbor set of \mathfrak{A} .

The incidence matrix of I has rank $s = |V(G)|$ because G is connected and non-bipartite [36, Lemma 2.1]. Hence, $\beta = (1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. Indeed, note that $\beta A \geq 1$, where A is the incidence matrix of I . There are s linearly independent columns of A , say v_1, \dots, v_s , such that $\langle \beta, v_i \rangle = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Thus, β is a basic feasible solution of the system $xA \geq 1; x \geq 0$ and, by [40, Corollary 1.1.49], β is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. Then, by [4, Theorem 3.2], one has

$$\hat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = \min\{|u_k| : u_k \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I))\} \leq |\beta| = s/2.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $|u_i| \geq s/2$. If $u_i = \gamma_i/d_i$ is as in (a), then $|u_i| \geq s/2$ because G has a perfect matching and any minimal vertex cover C of G has at least $s/2$ vertices of G . If u_i is as in (c), by Eq. (3.15), we obtain

$$|u_i| = |\gamma_i/2| = |N_G(\mathfrak{A})| + (1/2)(s - |\mathfrak{A}| - |N_G(\mathfrak{A})|) = (1/2)|N_G(\mathfrak{A})| + (s/2) - (1/2)|\mathfrak{A}|.$$

As the graph G has a perfect matching and the set \mathfrak{A} contains no edges of G since \mathfrak{A} is stable, one has $|N_G(\mathfrak{A})| \geq |\mathfrak{A}|$. Thus, $|u_i| \geq s/2$. \square

Lemma 3.18. *Let d, k, ℓ, s be integers such that $1 \leq d \leq \ell \leq s$ and $s - d + 1 \leq k \leq s$. If A and B are subsets of $\{1, \dots, s\}$, $k = |A|$, $\ell = |B|$, then*

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{|A \cap B|}{(k - s + d)(\ell - d + 1)} \geq \frac{s}{d(s - d + 1)}$$

with equality if $A = B = \{1, \dots, s\}$.

Proof. We can write $\ell = d + i$ and $|A \cup B| = s - \epsilon$, where $0 \leq i \leq s - d$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$. As $|A \cap B|$ is equal to $k + \ell - |A \cup B|$, we obtain that Eq. (3.16) is equivalent to

$$(k + d + i - s + \epsilon)d(s - d + 1) \geq s(k - s + d)(i + 1).$$

By factoring out i , this inequality is equivalent to

$$(3.17) \quad \epsilon d(s - d + 1) + (k + d - s)(d - 1)(s - d) \geq i[s(k - s + d) - d(s - d + 1)].$$

We set $f = s(k - s + d) - d(s - d + 1)$. If $f \leq 0$, the inequality of Eq. (3.17) holds because the left-hand side is non-negative and the right-hand side is if . Thus, we may assume that $f \geq 1$. Since $s - d \geq i$, one has $(s - d)f \geq if$, and we need only prove the inequality

$$(3.18) \quad \epsilon d(s - d + 1) + (k + d - s)(d - 1)(s - d) \geq (s - d)[s(k - s + d) - d(s - d + 1)].$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$d(s - d + 1)(\epsilon + (s - d)) \geq (s - d)(s - (d - 1))(k - s + d).$$

By cancelling out $s - d + 1$, the proof reduces to showing that

$$d(\epsilon + (s - d)) \geq (s - d)(k - s + d).$$

As $d(s - d)$ appears as a summand on both sides of this inequality it suffices to notice that $d\epsilon \geq (s - d)(k - s)$ because $k \leq s$. \square

Theorem 3.19. [4, 28] *If $I_{d,s}$ is the d -th squarefree Veronese ideal of S generated by all squarefree monomials of S of degree d in s variables, then*

$$(3.19) \quad \rho(I_{d,s}) = \rho_{ic}(I_{d,s}) = \rho_{ic}((I_{d,s})^\vee) = \frac{d(s-d+1)}{s} = \frac{d}{\widehat{\alpha}(I_{d,s})} = \frac{s-d+1}{\widehat{\alpha}((I_{d,s})^\vee)}.$$

Proof. We set $I = I_{d,s}$ and $J = I_{s-d+1,s}$. The primary decomposition of I is

$$(3.20) \quad I = \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{s-d+1} \leq s} (t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_{s-d+1}}).$$

Then, $(I_{d,s})^\vee = I_{s-d+1,s}$ and $J = (I_{d,s})^\vee$. If $d = s$, then $I = (t_1 \cdots t_s)$, $I^\vee = (t_1, \dots, t_s)$, the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$, and the vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is $\{e_1 + \dots + e_s\}$. Then, by [4, Theorem 3.2] and Theorem 3.7, we get $\widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = 1$, $\widehat{\alpha}(I) = s$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 1$. Then, Eq. (3.19) holds. For similar reasons Eq. (3.19) holds if $d = 1$.

Thus, we may assume that $2 \leq d < s$. We claim that the vertex sets of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ are

$$(3.21) \quad V(\mathcal{Q}(I)) = \left\{ \frac{e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}}{k-s+d} \mid s-d+1 \leq k \leq s, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq s \right\},$$

$$(3.22) \quad V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) = \left\{ \frac{e_{j_1} + \dots + e_{j_\ell}}{\ell-d+1} \mid d \leq \ell \leq s, 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\ell \leq s \right\}.$$

To prove the first equality, we use the Rees cone $\text{RC}(I)$ of I defined in Eq. (3.1). The second equality will follow by using the Rees cone $\text{RC}(J)$ of J or by replacing $I_{d,s}$ with $I_{s-d+1,s}$. Next we prove that the irreducible representation of $\text{RC}(I)$ is given by

$$(3.23) \quad \text{RC}(I) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s+1} H_{e_i}^+ \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq s \\ s-d+1 \leq k \leq s}} H_{(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}, -(k-s+d))}^+ \right).$$

First we show that the non-trivial closed halfspaces on the right-hand side of this equality occur in the irreducible representation of $\text{RC}(I)$. Consider the hyperplane

$$H = H_{(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}, -(k-s+d))},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq s$ and $s-d+1 \leq k \leq s$. To show that H^+ occurs in the irreducible representation of $\text{RC}(I)$ we need only show that the set $F = H \cap \text{RC}(I)$ is a facet of $\text{RC}(I)$ [41, Theorem 3.2.1]. Recall that $\text{RC}(I)$ is the cone $\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}'$ generated by the set

$$\mathcal{A}' = \{e_1, \dots, e_s\} \cup \{e_{j_1} + \dots + e_{j_d} + e_{s+1} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_d \leq s\}.$$

That H is a supporting hyperplane of the Rees cone, that is, $\text{RC}(I) \subset H^+$, follows using that for any sequence of integers $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_d \leq s$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}, e_{j_1} + \dots + e_{j_d} \rangle &= |\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_d\}| = \\ k + d - |\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_d\}| &\geq k + d - s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove that F is a facet of $\text{RC}(I)$, it suffices to prove that F contains s linearly independent vectors in \mathcal{A}' . Recall that $d-s+k < k$ because $d < s$. Take any subset A_1 of $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $d-s+k$ elements and any subset A_2 of $\{1, \dots, s\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $s-k$ elements. Then

$$(3.24) \quad \left\langle \sum_{i \in A_1 \cup A_2} e_i, e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k} \right\rangle = |(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}| = d-s+k, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.25) \quad e_i \in H \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, s\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}.$$

Let $I_{d-s+k,k}$ be the $(d-s+k)$ -th squarefree Veronese ideal in the k variables $\{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k}\}$. The incidence matrix of $I_{d-s+k,k}$ has rank k because $d-s+k < k$ [40, Remarks 12.4.1, 12.4.11]. Hence, using Eqs. (3.24)-(3.25), it follows that F contains s linearly independent vectors in \mathcal{A}' , and F is a facet of $\text{RC}(I)$.

Conversely, let $H_{(\gamma_p, -d_p)}^+$ be any of the non-trivial closed halfspaces that occur in the irreducible representation of $\text{RC}(I)$ in Eq. (3.2) of Theorem 3.1. Then, by [39, Theorem 3.5],

$$(\gamma_p, -d_p) = (e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}, -f_p)$$

for some $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq s$. Let \mathcal{C} be the clutter with edge ideal I and let \mathcal{C}^\vee be its blocker. Consider the induced subclutter $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^\vee[\{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k}\}]$ of \mathcal{C}^\vee consisting of all minimal vertex covers $\{t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_{s-d+1}}\}$ of \mathcal{C} contained in $\{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k}\}$. Then, $I(\mathcal{D}) = I_{s-d+1,k}$. Using Lemma 3.15 and [29, Theorem 2.6], it follows that γ_p is an irreducible d_p -cover of \mathcal{C} and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{D})$ is equal to d_p , where $\alpha_0(\mathcal{D})$ is the covering number of \mathcal{D} , i.e., the size of the smallest minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{D} . In particular $\mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$ because $d_p \geq 1$, that is, $k \geq s-d+1$. Using the primary decomposition of $I_{s-d+1,k}$ (cf. Eq (3.20)), we get

$$\alpha_0(\mathcal{D}) = k - (s-d+1) + 1 = k - s + d.$$

Thus, $d_p = k - s + d$ and $H_{(\gamma_p, -d_p)}^+$ occurs in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.23).

Using Eq. (3.23) and Theorem 3.2 we obtain that Eq. (3.21) holds. Let u and v be any vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, respectively. Then, by Eqs. (3.21)-(3.22) and Lemma 3.18, we get

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \left\langle \frac{e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_k}}{k-s+d}, \frac{e_{j_1} + \dots + e_{j_\ell}}{\ell-d+1} \right\rangle = \frac{|A \cap B|}{(k-s+d)(\ell-d+1)} \geq \frac{s}{d(s-d+1)},$$

where $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ and $B = \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\}$, with equality if $A = B = \{1, \dots, s\}$. Hence

$$\min \{ \langle u, v \rangle \mid u \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I)), v \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) \} = s/d(s-d+1)$$

and by Theorem 3.7, we get $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = d(s-d+1)/s$. Furthermore, by Eqs. (3.21)-(3.22) and [4, Theorem 3.2], one has

$$\widehat{\alpha}(I) = \min\{|v| : v \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee))\} = \frac{s}{s-d+1} \text{ and } \widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = \min\{|u| : u \in V(\mathcal{Q}(I))\} = \frac{s}{d},$$

where equality is attained at $(1/(s-d+1))(1, \dots, 1)$ and $(1/d)(1, \dots, 1)$, respectively. As I is normal [37, Proposition 2.9], one has $\rho(I) = \rho_{ic}(I)$. \square

Remark 3.20. If $I = I_{d,s}$ and $2 \leq d < s$, then the number of vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ are

$$|V(\mathcal{Q}(I))| = \sum_{k=s-d+1}^s \binom{s}{k} \text{ and } |V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee))| = \sum_{\ell=d}^s \binom{s}{\ell}.$$


This follows from Eqs. (3.21)-(3.22).

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_7]$ be a polynomial ring and let

$$I = I(G) = (t_1 t_3, t_1 t_4, t_2 t_4, t_1 t_5, t_2 t_5, t_3 t_5, t_1 t_6, t_2 t_6, t_3 t_6, t_4 t_6, t_2 t_7, t_3 t_7, t_4 t_7, t_5 t_7)$$

be the edge ideal of the graph G of Figure. 1. This graph is the complement of a cycle of length 7 and is called an *odd antihole* in the theory of perfect graphs.

FIGURE 1. Graph G is the complement of a cycle of length 7.

From the minimal generating set of I , we get that the incidence matrix A of I is given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using Procedure A.1, *Macaulay2* [20] and Theorem 3.7, we obtain the following information. The vertex set $V(\mathcal{Q}(I))$ of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{Q}(I)) = & \{(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1), \\ & (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0), (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = 7/2$. The incidence matrix B of I^\vee is

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

the vertex set $V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee))$ of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)) = \{ & (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), \\ & (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\ & (0, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2), (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), \\ & (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ & (0, 1/2, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2), (0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 1/2), \\ & (0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ & (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ & (1/2, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0), (1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 1/2, 0), \\ & (1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 0, 0), (1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\widehat{\alpha}(I) = 7/5$. The ic-resurgences of I and I^\vee are equal to $10/7$.

Example 4.2. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_4]$ be a polynomial ring and let $I = (t_1t_2t_3, t_1t_4, t_2t_4, t_3t_4)$ be the edge ideal of the clutter \mathcal{C} (cf. [13, Example 2.26]). Using Procedure A.1, we obtain the following information. The vertex set of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ is

$$V(\mathcal{Q}(I)) = \{(0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 2/3)\},$$

$\widehat{\alpha}(I) = 5/3$, $I = I^\vee$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = 9/7$.

Example 4.3. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_7]$ be a polynomial ring and let

$$I = (t_1t_3t_4, t_1t_3t_5, t_1t_4t_6, t_2t_4t_6, t_5t_6, t_1t_3t_7, t_2t_3t_7, t_3t_5t_7, t_2t_6t_7)$$

be the edge ideal of the clutter \mathcal{C} . Using Procedure A.1 and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$I^\vee = (t_1t_2t_5, t_2t_3t_4t_5, t_3t_6, t_4t_5t_7, t_1t_6t_7),$$

$\widehat{\alpha}(I) = \widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = 2$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 4/3$.

Example 4.4. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_7]$ be a polynomial ring and let

$$I = (t_1t_2, t_2t_3, t_3t_4, t_1t_5, t_4t_5, t_1t_6, t_2t_6, t_3t_6, t_4t_6, t_5t_6, t_1t_7, t_2t_7, t_3t_7, t_4t_7, t_5t_7, t_6t_7)$$

be the edge ideal of the clutter \mathcal{C} . Using Procedure A.1 and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$I^\vee = (t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5t_6, t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5t_7, t_1t_2t_4t_6t_7, t_1t_3t_4t_6t_7, t_1t_3t_5t_6t_7, t_2t_3t_5t_6t_7, t_2t_4t_5t_6t_7),$$

$\alpha = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, $\widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = |\alpha| = 7/2$,

$$\beta = (1/7, 1/7, 1/7, 1/7, 1/7, 2/7, 2/7)$$

is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, $\widehat{\alpha}(I) = |\beta| = 9/7$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 14/9$.

Example 4.5. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_6]$ be a polynomial ring and let $I = I_{d,s}$ be the d -th squarefree Veronese ideal of S with $d = 3$ and $s = 6$. The ideal I^\vee is $I_{s-d+1,s} = I_{4,6}$, that is, I^\vee is generated in degree 4, $\alpha = (1/4)(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, $\widehat{\alpha}(I) = |\alpha| = 3/2$,

$$\beta = (1/3)(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, $\widehat{\alpha}(I^\vee) = |\beta| = 6/3 = 2$, and $\rho_{ic}(I) = \rho_{ic}(I^\vee) = 2$. The number of vertices of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$ are 22 and 42, respectively.

APPENDIX A. PROCEDURES

In this appendix we give procedures for *Macaulay2* [20] to compute the vertices of covering polyhedra and the ic-resurgence of any squarefree monomial ideal.

Procedure A.1. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal. We implement a procedure—that uses the interface of *Macaulay2* [20] to *Normaliz* [8]—to compute the vertices of the covering polyhedra $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(I^\vee)$, using the Rees cones of I and I^\vee defined in Eqs. (3.1) and (3.3). Then, using Theorem 3.7, we compute the ic-resurgence $\rho_{ic}(I)$ of I . For convenience, in this procedure we also include the function “rhoichypes” that was given in [21, Algorithm A.4]. This procedure corresponds to Example 4.1. To compute other examples, in the next procedure simply change the polynomial rings R and S , and the generators of I .

```

restart
loadPackage("Normaliz",Reload=>true)
loadPackage("Polyhedra", Reload => true)
load "SymbolicPowers.m2"
R =QQ[x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7];
--C7-antihole
I=monomialIdeal(x1*x3,x1*x4,x1*x5,x1*x6,x2*x4,x2*x5,
x2*x6,x2*x7,x3*x5,x3*x6,x3*x7,x4*x6,x4*x7,x5*x7)
waldschmidt(I)
--Alexander dual of I
J=dual(I)
waldschmidt(J)
--transpose incidence matrix of I
A=matrix flatten apply(flatten entries gens I,
exponents)
--transpose incidence matrix of J
AJ=matrix flatten apply(flatten entries gens J,
exponents)
--generators of the Rees cone of I
M = id_(ZZ^(numcols(A)+1))^{0..numcols(A)-1}||
(A|transpose matrix {for i to numrows A-1 list 1})
--generators of the Rees cone of J
MJ=id_(ZZ^(numcols(AJ)+1))^{0..numcols(AJ)-1}||
(AJ|transpose matrix{for i to numrows AJ-1 list 1})
--rows of M
l= entries M
--rows of MJ
lJ= entries MJ
S=QQ[x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8]
L=for i in l list S_i
LJ=for i in lJ list S_i
nmzFilename="rproj1"
--Rees algebra of I
intclToricRing L
--supporting hyperplanes of the Rees cone of I
hypes=readNmzData("sup")

```



```

nmzFilename="rproj1"
--Rees algebra of J
intclToricRing LJ
--supporting hyperplanes of the Rees cone of J
hypesJ=readNmzData("sup")
--nontrivial supporting hyperplanes of RC(I)
choices = select (entries hypes, l->
not isSubset({last l}, {0,1}))
--nontrivial supporting hyperplanes of RC(J)
choicesJ = select (entries hypesJ, lJ->
not isSubset({last lJ}, {0,1}))
A1=set choices, A2=set choicesJ
V1=apply(toList A1,toList),V2=apply(toList A2,toList)
H1=apply(V1,x->{x/-last x}),H2=apply(V2,x->{x/-last x})
--vertices of Q(I)
F1=set flatten apply(H1,
x->entries submatrix'(matrix x, ,{x#0-1}))
--Vertices of Q(J)
F2=set flatten apply(H2,
x->entries submatrix'(matrix x, ,{x#0-1}))
--Cartesian product Q(I) x Q(J)
E=apply(toList (F1**F2),toList)
f=(x)->matrix{x#0}*transpose(matrix{x#1})
--This is the ic-resurgence of I
rhoic=1/min toList set flatten flatten apply(apply(E,f),
entries)
--Now we compute the ic-resurgence of I using
--the function 'rhoichypes'
rhoichypes = hypes -> (
choices = select (entries hypes, l-> not
isSubset({last l}, {0,1}));
possibilities = for i to #choices-1 list
(
l = choices_i;
l' = apply( drop(l,-1)|{0, last(l), 1}, a-> a_ZZ);
b = {0};
s = select (entries hypes, l-> isSubset({last l},
set {0, -1}));
s' = apply(s, l -> -1 |{0,0});
s' = apply(s', a-> apply(a, c-> c_ZZ));
b = b | for i to #s'-1 list 0;
v = for i to #l-2 list 0;
t = { v | {-1, 0, 1}, v | {0, 0, -1} };
t' = { v | {0, 1, 0} };
t = apply(t, a-> apply(a, c-> c_ZZ));
b = b | { 0, 0 };
b = apply(b, c-> c_ZZ);
A = matrix({l'} | s' | t);

```

```

b = transpose matrix{b};
C = matrix t';
d = matrix{{1}};
P = polyhedronFromHData( A, b, C, d);
vert = vertices P;
max flatten entries vert^{numrows vert -3});
return max possibilities
)
--This is the ic-resurgence of I
time rhoichypes hypes

```

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We used *Normaliz* [8] and *Macaulay2* [20] to compute the vertices of covering polyhedra and the ic-resurgence of edge ideals. We thank the referees for a careful reading of the paper, for pointing out [16, Theorem 4.8] and [28, Theorem C], and for the improvements suggested.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alcántar, Rees algebras of square-free Veronese ideals and their a -invariants, *Discrete Math.* **302** (2005), 7–21.
- [2] D. Avis and K. Fukuda, A pivoting algorithm for convex hulls and vertex enumeration of arrangements and polyhedra, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **8** (1992), no. 3, 295–313.
- [3] D. Avis and K. Fukuda, Reverse search for enumeration, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **65** (1996), no. 1-3, 21–46.
- [4] C. Bocci, S. Cooper, E. Guardo, B. Harbourne, M. Janssen, U. Nagel, A. Seceleanu, A. Van Tuyl and T. Vu, The Waldschmidt constant for squarefree monomial ideals, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **44** (2016), no. 4, 875–904.
- [5] C. Bocci and B. Harbourne, Comparing powers and symbolic powers of ideals, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **19** (2010), no. 3, 399–417.
- [6] H. Bodas, B. Drabkin, C. Fong, S. Jin, J. Kim, W. Li, A. Seceleanu, T. Tang and B. Williams, Consequences of the packing problem, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **54** (2021), no. 4, 1095–1117.
- [7] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [8] W. Bruns, B. Ichim, T. Römer, R. Sieg and C. Söger: *Normaliz*. Algorithms for rational cones and affine monoids. Available at <https://normaliz.uos.de>.
- [9] T. Christof, revised by A. Löbel and M. Stoer, *PORTA: A Polyhedron Representation Transformation Algorithm*, 1997. <https://porta.zib.de/>.
- [10] S. M. Cooper, R. J. D. Embree, H. T. Hà and A. H. Hoefel, Symbolic powers of monomial ideals. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **60** (2017), no. 1, 39–55.
- [11] G. Cornuéjols, *Combinatorial optimization: Packing and covering*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **74**, SIAM (2001).
- [12] D. Delfino, A. Taylor, W. V. Vasconcelos, N. Weininger and R. H. Villarreal, Monomial ideals and the computation of multiplicities, *Commutative ring theory and applications* (Fez, 2001), *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* **231** (2003), 87–106, Dekker, New York, 2003.
- [13] M. DiPasquale, C. A. Francisco, J. Mermin and J. Schweig, Asymptotic resurgence via integral closures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), no. 9, 6655–6676.
- [14] L. A. Dupont and R. H. Villarreal, Symbolic Rees algebras, vertex covers and irreducible representations of Rees cones, *Algebra Discrete Math.* **10** (2010), no. 2, 64–86.
- [15] C. Escobar, R. H. Villarreal and Y. Yoshino, Torsion freeness and normality of blowup rings of monomial ideals, *Commutative Algebra*, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* **244**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 69–84.
- [16] A. V. Geramita, B. Harbourne, J. Migliore and U. Nagel, Matroid configurations and symbolic powers of their ideals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), no. 10, 7049–7066.
- [17] I. Gitler, E. Reyes, and R. H. Villarreal, Blowup algebras of ideals of vertex covers of bipartite graphs, *Contemp. Math.* **376** (2005), 273–279.

- [18] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R. H. Villarreal, Blowup algebras of square-free monomial ideals and some links to combinatorial optimization problems, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **39** (2009), no. 1, 71–102.
- [19] I. Gitler, C. Valencia and R. H. Villarreal, A note on Rees algebras and the MFMC property, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **48** (2007), no. 1, 141–150.
- [20] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay2*, 1996. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [21] G. Grisalde, A. Seceleanu and R. H. Villarreal, Rees algebras of filtrations of covering polyhedra and integral closure of powers of monomial ideals, *Res. Math. Sci.* **9** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 13.
- [22] E. Guardo, B. Harbourne and A. Van Tuyl, Asymptotic resurgences for ideals of positive dimensional subschemes of projective space, *Adv. Math.* **246** (2013), 114–127.
- [23] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [24] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and N. V. Trung, Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras, *Adv. Math.* **210** (2007), 304–322.
- [25] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series **336**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [26] A. V. Jayanthan, A. Kumar and V. Mukundan, On the resurgence and asymptotic resurgence of homogeneous ideals, *Math. Z.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-022-03138-w>.
- [27] B. Korte and J. Vygen, *Combinatorial optimization Theory and algorithms*, Third edition, Algorithms and Combinatorics **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [28] M. Lampa-Baczyńska and G. Malara, On the containment hierarchy for simplicial ideals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **219** (2015), no. 12, 5402–5412.
- [29] J. Martínez-Bernal, C. Rentería, and R. H. Villarreal, Combinatorics of symbolic Rees algebras of edge ideals of clutters, *Contemp. Math.* **555** (2011), 151–164.
- [30] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [31] A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization*, Algorithms and Combinatorics **24**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [32] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos, and R. H. Villarreal, On the ideal theory of graphs, *J. Algebra* **167** (1994), 389–416.
- [33] N. V. Trung, Integral closures of monomial ideals and Fulkersonian hypergraphs, *Vietnam J. Math.* **34** (2006), no. 4, 489–494.
- [34] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [35] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [36] R. H. Villarreal, On the equations of the edge cone of a graph and some applications, *Manuscripta Math.* **97** (1998), 309–317.
- [37] R. H. Villarreal, Normality of subrings generated by square free monomials, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **113** (1996), 91–106.
- [38] R. H. Villarreal, Rees algebras and polyhedral cones of ideals of vertex covers of perfect graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **27** (2008), 293–305.
- [39] R. H. Villarreal, Rees cones and monomial rings of matroids, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), 2933–2940.
- [40] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Second edition, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [41] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL IPN,
APARTADO POSTAL 14-740, 07000 MEXICO CITY, MEXICO

Email address: vila@math.cinvestav.mx