

COHEN-MACAULAY WEIGHTED ORIENTED EDGE IDEALS AND ITS ALEXANDER DUAL

KAMALESH SAHA AND INDRANATH SENGUPTA

ABSTRACT. The study of the edge ideal $I(D_G)$ of a weighted oriented graph D_G with underlying graph G started in the context of Reed-Muller type codes. We generalize a Cohen-Macaulay construction for $I(D_G)$, which Villarreal gave for edge ideals of simple graphs. We use this construction to classify all the Cohen-Macaulay weighted oriented edge ideals, whose underlying graph is a cycle. We show that the conjecture on Cohen-Macaulayness of $I(D_G)$, proposed by Pitones et al. (2019), holds for $I(D_{C_n})$, where C_n denotes the cycle of length n . Miller generalized the concept of Alexander dual ideals of square-free monomial ideals to arbitrary monomial ideals, and in that direction, we study the Alexander dual of $I(D_G)$ and its conditions to be Cohen-Macaulay.

1. INTRODUCTION

Study of monomial ideals in terms of combinatorics is always an interesting topic in algebra. Many authors studied square-free monomial ideals, specially edge ideals of graphs extensively (see [6], [13], [14], [15], [16]). Nowadays special types of ideals are being studied for the development of research in other areas, edge ideals of weighted oriented graph, defined below, is one of those.

Let G be simple graph. A *weighted oriented graph* D_G , with the underlying graph G , is a directed graph with a weight function $w : V(D_G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ on the vertex set $V(D_G)$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of non negative integers. We write $w(j)$ instead of $w(x_j)$. An edge of D_G is denoted by the ordered pair (x_i, x_j) to describe the direction of the edge from x_i to x_j .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C22, 05C25, 13F20, 13H10.

Key words and phrases. Weighted oriented graphs, edge ideals, Alexander dual.

The second author is the corresponding author; supported by the MATRICS research grant MTR/2018/000420, sponsored by the SERB, Government of India.

Definition 1.1. Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph on the vertex set $V(D_G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then the **edge ideal** of D_G is denoted by $I(D_G)$ and defined as

$$I(D_G) = \langle \{x_i x_j^{w(j)} \mid (x_i, x_j) \in E(D_G)\} \rangle,$$

in the polynomial ring $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over the field K .

The main purpose of studying weighted oriented edge ideal $I(D_G)$ is the appearance of $I(D_G)$ as the initial ideal of a vanishing ideal $I(\mathcal{X})$ in the study of Reed-Muller typed codes of coding theory (see [1], [9] for details). Study of $I(D_G)$ helps to obtain some properties of Reed-Muller codes easily. For example, if $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $I(\mathcal{X})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. To know about edge ideals of weighted oriented graphs in details, see [5], [7], [11].

In this paper, we show some constructions of Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals of weighted oriented graphs and also prove a sufficient condition for the Cohen-Macaulay property to hold for the Alexander dual of $I(D_G)$. The paper is arranged in the following order: In section 2, we recall some definitions, notations and results from [3], [4], [7], [11], [12]. Villarreal has done some constructions of Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals of simple graphs (see [16] and [15]). We have generalised these results for weighted oriented edge ideals in section 3. In section 4, using the constructions of section 2, we classify all Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals of weighted oriented graphs whose underlying graphs are cycles. In [11], the authors have proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2 ([11], Conjecture 53). *Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph. Then $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $I(D_G)$ is unmixed and $I(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

In Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 (section 4), we prove that the above Conjecture 1.2 holds good for all weighted oriented

graphs D_G , where $G \simeq C_n$ is a cycle. The Alexander dual of an arbitrary monomial ideal was defined in [10]. It was proved by Lyubeznik in [8] that $I(G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if \overline{G} is chordal. We show in Theorem 5.7, in section 5, if $I(D_G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay then \overline{G} is chordal. However, the converse is not true. We prove Theorem 5.6, in section 5, to show that if \overline{G} is chordal and D_G satisfies a condition $(*)$ (see section 5) then the Alexander dual $I(D_G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some terminologies and concepts from [11] and [7], which have been used extensively in our work. Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph. Then a non-isolated vertex $v \in V(D_G)$ is called a *source* (respectively, a *sink*) if $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ implies $(v, u) \in E(D_G)$ (respectively, $(u, v) \in E(D_G)$). For a source vertex v , we always assume $w(v) = 1$ as it does not change the ideal $I(D_G)$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}(v)$, the set of vertices adjacent to a vertex v .

Definition 2.1 ([11] and [7]). Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph. Corresponding to a vertex cover C of G , define

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(C) &= \{x \in V(C) \mid \exists (x, y) \in E(D_G) \text{ such that } y \notin C\} \\ L_2(C) &= \{x \in C \mid \mathcal{N}(x) \subseteq C\} \\ L_3(C) &= C \setminus (L_1(C) \cup L_2(C)) \end{aligned}$$

A vertex cover C of G is called a strong vertex cover of D_G if C is either a minimal vertex cover of G or for all $x \in L_3(C)$, there is $(y, x) \in E(D_G)$ with $y \in L_2(C) \cup L_3(C)$ and $w(y) \neq 1$.

Lemma 2.2 ([11], Theorem 31). $I(D_G)$ is unmixed if and only if $I(G)$ is unmixed and $L_3(C) = \emptyset$, for any strong vertex cover C of D_G .

Let C be a vertex cover of D_G . The *irreducible ideal associated to C* is defined in [11] as

$$I_C := \langle L_1(C) \cup \{x_j^{w(j)} \mid x_j \in L_2(C) \cup L_3(C)\} \rangle.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([11], Theorem 25). *Let \mathcal{C}_s denote the set of strong vertex covers of D_G . Then the irredundant primary decomposition of $I(D_G)$ is given by $I(D_G) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}_s} I_C$. Moreover,*


$$\text{Ass}(I(D_G)) = \{P_C \mid P_C = \langle C \rangle, C \in \mathcal{C}_s\}.$$

We say D_G or $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (respectively, unmixed) if the quotient ring $A/I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (respectively, if each associated prime of $I(D_G)$ has the same height).

Definition 2.4. A vertex v of a graph G is called a *simplicial* vertex if the subgraph of G induced by the vertex set $\{v\} \cup \mathcal{N}(v)$ is a complete graph.

A graph G on n vertices is said to have a *perfect elimination ordering* if there is a linear ordering (v_1, \dots, v_n) of vertices of G such that each v_i is the simplicial vertex of the subgraph induced by the vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$.

Example 2.5. Let us understand with an example.



In the above graph G , the vertices 1, 3, 4 are not simplicial but 2, 5 are simplicial. Moreover, $(1, 3, 4, 2, 5)$ is a perfect elimination ordering for G .

Definition 2.6. A graph G is said to be *chordal* if any induced cycle of G with length ≥ 4 has a chord. For example the above graph G is chordal.

The following theorem, proved by Fulkerson and Gross in [4], gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be chordal.

Theorem 2.7. *A graph G is chordal if and only if G has a perfect elimination ordering.*

In section 5, we use the technique of polarization to discuss the Cohen-Macaulay property of the Alexander dual of the weighted oriented edge ideals. Let us recall the notion of polarization from [12] first. We use our own notation for convenience. Let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; a monomial $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ in A is written as $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Definition 2.8 ([12], Construction 21.7). The *polarization* of the monomials of type $x_i^{a_i}$ is defined as $x_i^{a_i}(\text{pol}) = \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} x_{i,j}$ and the *polarization* of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is defined to be

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}(\text{pol}) = x_1^{a_1}(\text{pol}) \cdots x_n^{a_n}(\text{pol}).$$

For a monomial ideal $I = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_n} \rangle \subseteq A$, the *polarization* $I(\text{pol})$ is defined to be the square-free monomial ideal

$$I(\text{pol}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(\text{pol}), \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_n}(\text{pol}) \rangle$$

in the ring $A(\text{pol}) = K[x_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r_i]$, where r_i is the power of x_i in $\text{lcm}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_n})$.

Theorem 2.9 ([3]). *Let I be a monomial ideal of the polynomial ring A . Then A/I is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $A(\text{pol})/I(\text{pol})$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*


3. A CONSTRUCTION OF COHEN-MACAULAY WEIGHTED ORIENTED GRAPHS

Theorem 3.1 ([7], Theorem 3.1). *Let D be a weighted oriented graph and G be its underlying graph. Suppose that G has a perfect matching $\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \{x_r, y_r\}$, where y_i 's are leaf vertices. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *D is a Cohen-Macaulay weighted oriented graph;*
- (b) *$I(D)$ is unmixed; that is, all its associated primes have the same height;*
- (c) *$w(x_s) = 1$ for every edge (x_s, y_s) of D .*

Start with an arbitrary weighted oriented graph D_G , whose underlying graph is G on the vertex set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let G' be the new graph obtained from G by adding whiskers $\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \{x_n, y_n\}$ to G . We now construct a new Cohen-Macaulay weighted oriented graph $D_{G'}$, whose underlying graph is G' . If $w(x_i) = 1$, then take any orientation x_i to y_i or y_i to x_i in $D_{G'}$. If $w(x_i) \neq 1$, then take the orientation y_i to x_i in $D_{G'}$ and we may assign any weight to y_i . Then by theorem 3.1 $D_{G'}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay graph and D_G is a weighted oriented subgraph of $D_{G'}$.

First construction. Let D_H be a weighted oriented graph with the vertex set $V(D_H) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, z, y\}$ and the edge ideal $I(D_H)$. Assume that z is adjacent to y with $\deg(z) \geq 2$ and $\deg(y) = 1$. We label the vertices of D_H in such way that x_1, \dots, x_k, y are only adjacent to z , as shown in the figure below. We also assume that $(x_i, z) \in E(D_H)$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$.



The next two results describe how the Cohen-Macaulay property of D_H relates to that of the two weighted subgraphs $D_G = D_H \setminus \{z, y\}$ and $D_F = D_G \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. We have $\langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = J$ (say).

Assume H is unmixed with height of $I(D_H)$ equal to $g + 1$. Since z is not isolated, there is a minimal prime p over $I(D_G)$ containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and such that $\text{ht}(I(D_G)) = \text{ht}(p) = g$. Clearly, $k < n$ and $\deg(x_i) \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proposition 3.2. *If D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph, then D_F and D_G are Cohen-Macaulay graphs.*

Proof. Set $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $R = A[y, z]$. Let us take $\dim(A/I(D_G)) = d$. Then by ([16], Proposition 3.1.23) there exists a homogeneous system of parameters $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ for $A/I(D_G)$, where $f_i \in A_+$ for all i . Now $\dim(A/(I(D_G) + \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle)) = 0$ and $I(D_G) + \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. So $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y, z \rangle$ is a composition series of prime ideal in R containing $I(D_H) + \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$. Therefore

$$\dim(R/(I(D_H) + \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle)) = 1 = d + 1 - d$$

and so we have $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ as a part of system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$. Let $w(y) = r$ and $w(z) = t$. If $(y, z) \in E(D_H)$, then $yz^t \in I(D_H)$. In this case we have

$$y(y - z^t) + yz^t = y^2 \text{ and } z^t(z^t - y) + yz^t = z^{2t}.$$

Using the above equalities we can say $(f_1, \dots, f_d, y - z^t)$ is $M/I(D_H)$ -primary, where $M = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y, z)$. Thus we can say $\{f_1, \dots, f_d, y - z^t\}$ is a regular system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$. Hence f_1, \dots, f_d is a regular sequence on $A/I(D_G)$, that is, D_G is Cohen-Macaulay. Similarly if $(z, y) \in E(D_H)$, then $zy^r \in I(D_H)$. In this case $\{f_1, \dots, f_d, z - y^r\}$ is a regular system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$ and similarly D_G is Cohen-Macaulay. To show the Cohen-Macaulay property for D_F we consider two cases.

Case I. Let $(y, z) \in E(D_H)$. In this case consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(D_H) : z^t)[-t] \xrightarrow{z^t} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_H), z^t \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\langle J, y \rangle[-t] \xrightarrow{z^t} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z^t \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

where the first map is multiplication by z^t and ψ is induced by a projection. Now $\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = \dim(R/I(D_H)) = n - g + 1$ and $\text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z^t \rangle) = 1 + \dim(A/I(D_G)) = n - g + 1$, where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. Using the depth lemma we have

$$n - g + 1 \leq \text{depth}(R/\langle J, y \rangle).$$

Also we have

$$\dim(R/I(D_H)) = \max\{\dim(R/\langle J, y \rangle), \dim(R/\langle I(D_G), z^t \rangle)\},$$

which imply $\dim(R/\langle J, y \rangle) \leq n - g + 1$. Therefore

$$R/\langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_k, y \rangle = R/\langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_k, y \rangle$$

is Cohen-Macaulay and so D_F is Cohen-Macaulay.

Case II. Let $(z, y) \in E(D_H)$. In this case consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(D_H) : z^t)[-t] \xrightarrow{z^t} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_H), z^t \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\langle J, y^r \rangle[-t] \xrightarrow{z^t} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z^t, y^r z \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

where the first map is multiplication by z^t and ψ is induced by a projection. In this case we have

$$\text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z^t, y^r z \rangle) = 0 + \dim(A/I(D_G)) = n - g.$$

So using the depth lemma we get

$$n - g + 1 \leq \text{depth}(R/\langle J, y^r \rangle).$$

Similarly as in case-I, we have $\dim(R/\langle J, y^r \rangle) \leq n - g + 1$. Therefore $R/\langle J, y^r \rangle$ is Cohen-Macaulay and so D_F is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Proposition 3.3. *If D_F and D_G are Cohen-Macaulay graphs, $(y, z) \in E(D)$ and x_1, \dots, x_k are in some minimal vertex cover of G , then D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of Proposition 3.2,

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\langle J, y \rangle[-t] \xrightarrow{z^t} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z^t \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay,

$$\text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z^t \rangle) = 1 + \dim(A/I(D_G)) = 1 + n - g,$$

where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. As x_1, \dots, x_k are in some minimal vertex cover of G , $\text{height}(I(D_H)) = g + 1$, $\text{height}(I(D_F)) = g - k$ and so $\dim(R/I(D_H)) = 1 + n - g$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/\langle J, y \rangle) &= 1 + \text{depth}(K[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]/I(D_F)) \\ &= 1 + \dim(K[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]/I(D_F)) \\ &= 1 + (n - k) - (g - k) \\ &= 1 + n - g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by depth lemma we have


$$\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) \geq 1 + n - g,$$

which imply D_H is Cohen-Macaulay graph. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If D_G is Cohen-Macaulay, $(y, z) \in E(D_H)$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of G , then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Note that in this case D_F is Cohen-Macaulay because $I(D_F) = (0)$. Hence the proof follows from Proposition 3.3. \square

Special Case of First Construction: We are taking same configuration as before with $w(z) = 1$ but direction of edges between x_i and z may be anything for $i = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Without loss of generality we assume $(x_1, z), \dots, (x_s, z) \in E(D_H)$ and $(z, x_{s+1}), \dots, (z, x_k) \in E(D_H)$.



Proposition 3.5. *If D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph, then D_G is Cohen-Macaulay graphs. Moreover, if for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s + 1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$, then D_F is also Cohen-Macaulay graphs.*

Proof. By same argument as in proof of Proposition 3.2, we get $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ as a part of system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$, where $f_i \in A_+$ for all i . Again following the proof of Proposition 3.2, it is easy to see that $\{f_1, \dots, f_d, y - z\}$ is a regular system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$ when $(y, z) \in E(D_H)$ and $\{f_1, \dots, f_d, z - y^r\}$ as a regular system of parameters for $R/I(D_H)$ when $(z, y) \in E(D_H)$. In either cases $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ is a regular sequence on $A/I(D_G)$ and hence D_G is Cohen-Macaulay.

To show the Cohen-Macaulay property for D_F we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(D_H) : z)[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_H), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$J = \begin{cases} \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y \rangle, & \text{if } (y, z) \in E(D_H) \\ \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y^r \rangle, & \text{if } (z, y) \in E(D_H) \end{cases}.$$

Since D_G and D_H are Cohen-Macaulay,

$$\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = n - g + 1 = \text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle),$$

where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. Using the depth lemma we have

$$n - g + 1 \leq \text{depth}(R/J).$$

Also we have

$$\dim(R/I(D_H)) = \max\{\dim(R/J), \dim(R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle)\},$$

which imply $\dim(R/J) \leq n - g + 1$. Therefore R/J is Cohen-Macaulay. Now by the given condition on the vertices of D_F we have

$$J = \begin{cases} \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y \rangle, & \text{if } (y, z) \in E(D_H) \\ \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y^r \rangle, & \text{if } (z, y) \in E(D_H) \end{cases}$$

and so D_F is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Proposition 3.6. *If D_F and D_G are Cohen-Macaulay graphs, x_1, \dots, x_k are in some minimal vertex cover of G and for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s + 1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$, then D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.*

Proof. Similar as in Proposition 3.5, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$J = \begin{cases} \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y \rangle, & \text{if } (y, z) \in E(D_H) \\ \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y^r \rangle, & \text{if } (z, y) \in E(D_H) \end{cases}.$$

By the given condition on the vertices of D_F we have

$$J = \begin{cases} \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y \rangle, & \text{if } (y, z) \in E(D_H) \\ \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)}, y^r \rangle, & \text{if } (z, y) \in E(D_H) \end{cases}.$$

Since $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay,

$$\text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle) = 1 + n - g,$$

where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. As x_1, \dots, x_k are in some minimal vertex cover of G , $\text{height}(I(D_H)) = g + 1$, $\text{height}(I(D_F)) = g - k$ and so $\dim(R/I(D_H)) = 1 + n - g$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/J) &= 1 + \text{depth}(K[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]/I(D_F)) \\ &= 1 + \dim(K[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]/I(D_F)) \\ &= 1 + (n - k) - (g - k) \\ &= 1 + n - g. \end{aligned}$$


Hence by depth lemma we have $\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) \geq 1 + n - g$, which imply D_H is Cohen-Macaulay graph. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If D_G is Cohen-Macaulay, $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of G and for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s+1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$, then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Note that in this case D_F is Cohen-Macaulay because $I(D_F) = (0)$. Hence the proof follows from Proposition 3.6. \square

Second construction: For the second construction we change our notation. Let D_H be a weighted oriented graph on the vertex set $V(D_H) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, z\}$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be the vertices of D_H adjacent to z and $(x_i, z) \in E(D_H)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, as shown in the figure below. Remembering the first construction we may assume $\deg(x_i) \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\deg(z) \geq 2$. We set $D_G = D_H \setminus \{z\}$ and $D_F = D_G \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. Note that

$$\langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = J \text{ (say).}$$



Proposition 3.8. *If D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph, then D_F is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.*

Proof. We set $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, z]$, $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\text{ht}(I(D_H)) = g + 1$. Since D_H is unmixed, from Lemma 2.2 we have $L_3(C) = \phi$ for any strong vertex cover C of D_H . So $\{z, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ can not be in any strong vertex cover of D_H . Hence the polynomial $f = z - x_1 - \dots - x_k$ is regular on $R/I(D_H)$ as it is clearly not contained in any associated prime of $I(D_H)$. Therefore there is a sequence $\{f, f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ regular on $R/I(D_H)$ so that $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset A_+$, where $m = n - g - 1$. Observe that $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ is in fact a regular sequence on $A/I(D_G)$, which gives

$$\text{depth}(A/I(D_G)) = \text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z^d \rangle) \geq n - g - 1,$$

where $d = w(z)$. Next, we use the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(D_H) : z^d)[-d] \xrightarrow{z^d} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_H), z^d \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-d] \xrightarrow{z^d} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z^d \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now $\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = \dim(R/I(D_H)) = n - g$. By depth lemma we get $\text{depth}(R/J) \geq n - g$. Since there is a minimal vertex cover of D_H containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$, we have $\text{height}(J) = g + 1$. Therefore R/J is Cohen-Macaulay and hence D_F is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is not contained in any minimal vertex cover of D_G and*

$$\text{height}(\langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle) = \text{height}(I(D_G)) + 1.$$

If D_F and D_G are Cohen-Macaulay, then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. The assumption on $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ forces

$$\text{height}(I(D_H)) = \text{height}(I(D_G)) + 1.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-d] \xrightarrow{z^d} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z^d \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now $\text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z^d \rangle) = \dim(R/\langle I(D_G), z^d \rangle) = n - g$, where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. Since D_F is Cohen-Macaulay, $R/\langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = R/J$ is Cohen-Macaulay. So we have

$$\text{depth}(R/J) = \dim(R/J) = n - g.$$

Using depth lemma we get from the above exact sequence


$$\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = n - g = \dim(R/I(D_H)).$$

Hence D_H is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Corollary 3.10. *If D_G is Cohen-Macaulay and $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ is a minimal vertex cover for D_G , then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Note that $I(D_F) = 0$ in this case and hence the proof follows. \square

Special Case of Second Construction: We are taking same configuration as in second construction with $w(z) = 1$ but direction of edges between x_i and z may be anything for $i = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We may assume $(x_1, z), \dots, (x_s, z) \in E(D_H)$ and $(z, x_{s+1}), \dots, (z, x_k) \in E(D_H)$.



Proposition 3.11. *If D_H is a Cohen-Macaulay graph and for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s + 1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$, then D_F is a Cohen-Macaulay graph.*

Proof. Following the same arguments and notations as in proof of Proposition 3.8 we get $f = z - x_1 - \cdots - x_k$ is regular on $R/I(D_H)$. Therefore there is a sequence $\{f, f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ regular on $R/I(D_H)$ so that $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset A_+$, where $m = n - g - 1$. Observe that $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ is in fact a regular sequence on $A/I(D_G)$, which gives

$$\text{depth}(A/I(D_G)) = \text{depth}(R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle) \geq n - g - 1.$$

Next, we use the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(D_H) : z)[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_H), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $J = \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)} \rangle$.

Now $\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = \dim(R/I(D_H)) = n - g$. By depth lemma we have $\text{depth}(R/J) \geq n - g$. Again by the given condition on the vertices of D_F we have

$$J = \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)} \rangle.$$

There is a minimal vertex cover of D_H containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and so $\text{height}(J) = g + 1$. Therefore R/J is Cohen-Macaulay and thus D_F is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Assume that $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ are not contained in any minimal vertex cover of D_G and*

$$\text{height}(J) = \text{height}(I(D_G)) + 1,$$

where $J = \langle I(D_G), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)} \rangle$. Also assume for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s+1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$. If D_F and D_G are Cohen-Macaulay, then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. The assumption on $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ forces

$$\text{ht}(I(D_H)) = \text{ht}(I(D_G)) + 1.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/J[-1] \xrightarrow{z} R/I(D_H) \xrightarrow{\psi} R/\langle I(D_G), z \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the given condition on the vertices of D_F we also have

$$J = \langle I(D_F), x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}^{w(x_{s+1})}, \dots, x_k^{w(x_k)} \rangle.$$

Since D_G is cohen-macaulay,

$$\text{depth}(R/(I(D_G), z)) = \dim(R/(I(D_G), z)) = n - g,$$

where $g = \text{height}(I(D_G))$. Again D_F is Cohen-Macaulay implies R/J is Cohen-Macaulay. So we have $\text{depth}(R/J) = n - g$. Using depth lemma we get from the above exact sequence

$$\text{depth}(R/I(D_H)) = n - g = \dim(R/I(D_H)).$$

Hence D_H is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Corollary 3.13. *If D_G is Cohen-Macaulay, $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ is a minimal vertex cover for D_G and for any vertex v of D_F adjacent to x_j where $s+1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $(v, x_j) \in E(D_G)$, then D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Note that $I(D_F) = 0$ in this case and hence the proof follows by Proposition 3.12. \square

4. COHEN-MACAULAY EDGE IDEALS OF WEIGHTED ORIENTED CYCLES

Proposition 4.1. ([11], Proposition 54) *If G is a path, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $R/I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (2) $I(D_G)$ is unmixed.
- (3) $|V(G)| = 2$ or $|V(G)| = 4$. In the second case, if $(x_2, x_1) \in E(D_G)$ or $(x_3, x_4) \in E(D_G)$, then $w(x_2) = 1$ or $w(x_3) = 1$ respectively.


Proposition 4.2. *Let D_G be a Cohen-Macaulay weighted oriented graph whose underlying graph G is a cycle. Then G is either C_3 or C_5 .*

Proof. $I(D_G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay implies $I(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay by ([11], Proposition 51). Since G is a cycle, by ([16], Corollary 7.3.19) we have $I(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is C_3 or C_5 . Hence the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.3. *$I(D_{C_3})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there exists $x \in V(D_{C_3})$ such that $w(x) = 1$.*


Proof. " \implies " $I(D_{C_3})$ is Cohen-Macaulay implies $I(D_{C_3})$ is unmixed. Then by ([11], Theorem 49) there exists $x \in V(D_{C_3})$ such that $w(x) = 1$.

” \Leftarrow ” Compare D_{C_3} with the special case of second construction and consider $z = x$. Now $D_{C_3} \setminus \{x\}$ is a weighted oriented path of two vertices and so it is Cohen-Macaulay by Proposition 4.1. Hence from Corollary 3.13 we have $I(D_{C_3})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. \square



Theorem 4.4. ([11], Theorem 49) *If $G \simeq C_n$, then $I(D_G)$ is unmixed if and only if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $n = 3$ and there is $x \in V(D_G)$ such that $w(x) = 1$.
- (2) $n \in \{4, 7\}$ and the vertices of $V(D_G)^+$ are sinks.
- (3) $n = 5$, there is $(x, y) \in E(D_G)$ with $w(x) = w(y) = 1$ and $D_G \notin \{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$.
- (4) $D_G \simeq D_4$.



Theorem 4.5. *If $H \simeq C_5$, then $I(D_H)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $D_H \simeq D_4$ or there is $(x, y) \in E(D_H)$ with $w(x) = w(y) = 1$ and $D_H \notin \{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$.*

Proof. " \implies " $I(D_H)$ is Cohen-Macaulay implies $I(D_H)$ is unmixed. Therefore by Theorem 4.4 the "only if part" follows.

" \Leftarrow " To prove the "if part" we will consider some cases and we will not consider the trivial case $D_H \simeq C_5$.

Case-1: $D_H \simeq D_4$. Now compare D_H with the second construction of previous section taking $z = x_3$. Then $D_G = D_H \setminus \{x_3\}$ is a weighted oriented path on four vertices and so D_G is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 4.1. Again $D_F = D_G \setminus \{x_2, x_4\}$ being a weighted oriented path on two vertices is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 4.1. Note that $\{x_2, x_4\}$ can not be contained in any minimal vertex cover of D_G and

$$\text{height}(\langle I(D_G), x_2, x_4 \rangle) = 3 = \text{height}(I(D_G)) + 1.$$

Hence by Proposition 3.9 D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

If there is $(x, y) \in E(D_H)$ with $w(x) = w(y) = 1$ and $D_H \notin \{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$, then D_H is one of $\{D_5, D_6, D_7, D_8\}$.

Case-2: $D_H \simeq D_5$. Take $x_3 = z$ and proceed similar like case-1. Then by Proposition 3.9 we get D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

Case-3: $D_H \simeq D_6$. Similarly in this case taking $x_3 = z$ and using Proposition 3.9 we get D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

Case-4: $D_H \simeq D_7$. In this case consider $x_4 = z$ and use Proposition 3.9 to we get D_H is Cohen-Macaulay.

Case-5: $D_H \simeq D_8$. We consider some sub-cases and based on that we will choose z . For $(x_4, x_3) \in E(D_H)$ take $x_3 = z$, for $(x_4, x_5) \in E(D_H)$ take $x_5 = z$, for $(x_3, x_4), (x_5, x_4) \in E(D_H)$ take $x_4 = z$ and use Proposition 3.9 to we get D_H is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Now we know the Conjecture 1.2 mentioned in [11] is true for weighted oriented bipartite graph from ([7], Corollary 5.3), holds for some graphs with perfect matching by Theorem 3.1 and ([5], Theorem 5) assures it is true for weighted oriented forest also. By Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.5 we can see that the Conjecture is true for weighted oriented cycles also.

5. COHEN-MACAULAY ALEXANDER DUAL OF WEIGHTED ORIENTED EDGE IDEALS

We are familiar to the concept of Alexander dual of square-free monomial ideals (see [16], Definition 6.3.38). The notion of Alexander duality for arbitrary monomial ideals was introduced in [10]. In this section we will study Alexander dual of edge ideals of weighted oriented graphs. First we shall recall some definitions, notations and results from [10]:

Let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over the field K . Now any monomial of A can be determined by an unique vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and an irreducible monomial ideal is uniquely determined by a vector $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We will use the following notation to represent them:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}} = \langle x_i^{b_i} \mid b_i \geq 1 \rangle.$$

For a monomial ideal I we will denote the exponent of the least common multiple of the minimal generators by the vector \mathbf{a}_I . For two vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we write $\mathbf{b} \preceq \mathbf{a}$ if each $b_i \leq a_i$. If $\mathbf{0} \preceq \mathbf{b} \preceq \mathbf{a}$, a vector $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is defined as it's i^{th} coordinate is $a_i + 1 - b_i$ for $b_i \geq 1$ and 0 else. By ([10], Corollary 1.3) we have $\mathbf{b} \preceq \mathbf{a}_I$ for any $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}} \in \text{Irr}(I)$, where $\text{Irr}(I)$ denote the set of irredundant irreducible components of I and so the following definition make sense.

Definition 5.1 ([10]). *Given a monomial ideal I and $\mathbf{a} \succeq \mathbf{a}_I$, the **Alexander dual** ideal $I^{\mathbf{a}}$ with respect to \mathbf{a} is defined by*


$$I^{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}} \in \text{Irr}(I) \rangle.$$

For the special case when $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_I$, we write $I^{\vee} = I^{\mathbf{a}_I}$.

Proposition 5.2 ([10], Corollary 2.14). $(I^{\mathbf{a}})^{\mathbf{a}} = I$, $(\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{a}})^{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{b}$ and

$$I^{\mathbf{a}} = \bigcap \{ \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \text{ is a minimal generator of } I \}.$$

Example 5.3.



For the above weighted oriented graph D_G we have

$$\begin{aligned} I = I(D_G) &= \langle x_1x_2^3, x_5x_1^2, x_1x_6, x_2x_4^4, x_6x_3^2, x_4x_6, x_6x_5^3 \rangle \\ &= \langle x_2, x_5, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_1, x_4^4, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_1^2, x_2, x_6 \rangle \cap \\ &\quad \langle x_1, x_3^2, x_4, x_5^3 \rangle \cap \langle x_2^3, x_4^4, x_5, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_1^2, x_2^3, x_4^4, x_6 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{a}_I = (2, 3, 2, 4, 3, 1)$ and so we get

$$\begin{aligned} I^\vee &= \langle x_2^3x_5^3x_6, x_1^2x_4x_6, x_1x_2^3x_6, x_1^2x_3x_4^4x_5, x_2x_4x_5^3x_6, x_1x_2x_4x_6 \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1^2, x_2 \rangle \cap \langle x_5^3, x_1 \rangle \cap \langle x_1^2, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_2^3, x_4 \rangle \cap \\ &\quad \langle x_6, x_3 \rangle \cap \langle x_4^4, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_6, x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4 ([8] and [16]). *Let G be a graph and let \overline{G} be its complement. Then $I(G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if \overline{G} is a chordal graph.*

Note that generalized Alexander dual for any monomial ideal in definition 5.1 matches with the Alexander dual of square-free monomial ideal defined in [16].

Lemma 5.5. *Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph on the vertex set $V(D_G) = \{x_1 \dots, x_n\}$. We construct a simple graph G^D such that $V(G^D) = \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,1}\} \cup \{x_{k,2}, \dots, x_{k,w(k)} \mid x_k \text{ is not sink and } w(k) \neq 1\}$ and*

$$E(G^D) = \bigcup_{(x_i, x_j) \in E(D_G)} \{\{x_{i,1}, x_{j,1}\}, \dots, \{x_{i,w(i)}, x_{j,1}\}\}.$$

Then $(I^\vee(\text{pol}))^\vee = I(G^D)$, where $I = I(D_G)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_s be the set of strong vertex covers of D_G . Then by Theorem 2.3 we have $I = I(D_G) = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}_s} I_C$ is a primary decomposition of I , where

$$I_C = \langle L_1(C) \cup \{x_j^{w(j)} \mid x_j \in L_2(C) \cup L_3(C)\} \rangle.$$

Now let $I_C = \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}_C}$ and take $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_I = (w(1), \dots, w(n))$. Then we can write

$$I^\vee = I^{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_C} \mid \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}_C} = I_C \text{ for some } C \in \mathcal{C}_s \rangle.$$

From Proposition 5.2 we have

$$I^\vee = \bigcap \{ \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}^a} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \text{ is a minimal generator of } I \}.$$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} = x_i x_j^{w(j)}$ implies $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{b}^a} = \langle x_i^{w(i)}, x_j \rangle$ and so $I^\vee = \bigcap_{(x_i, x_j) \in E(D_G)} \langle x_i^{w(i)}, x_j \rangle$. Now, by ([2], Proposition 2.5) we have

$$I^\vee(\text{pol}) = \bigcap_{(x_i, x_j) \in E(D_G)} (\langle x_{i,1}, x_{j,1} \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle x_{i,w(i)}, x_{j,1} \rangle)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} I^\vee(\text{pol})^\vee &= \langle \{x_{i,1}x_{j,1}, \dots, x_{i,w(i)}x_{j,1} \mid (x_i, x_j) \in E(D_G)\} \rangle \\ &= I(G^D) \end{aligned}$$

□

Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph such that \overline{G} is chordal. Without loss of generality we can suppose (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a perfect elimination ordering for \overline{G} . We say D_G satisfies property (*) if the following conditions (*) holds:

- If x_j appears before x_i in the ordering and $\{x_j, x_i\} \notin E(G)$, then for any non-sink x_k with $(x_k, x_i) \notin E(D_G)$ and $w(k) \neq 1$ we should have $(x_k, x_j) \notin E(D_G)$.

Our next two results Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 give some conditions for Cohen-Macaulay property of the Alexander dual of the ideal $I(D_G)$, which can be seen as a generalization of the Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 5.6. *Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph. If \overline{G} is chordal and D_G satisfies the property $(*)$, then I^\vee is Cohen-Macaulay, where $I = I(D_G)$.*

Proof. Given \overline{G} is chordal and so \overline{G} satisfies a perfect elimination ordering, say (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Let $S = \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}$ be the set of non-sink vertices with $w(i_j) \neq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $T = \{x_{i_1,2}, \dots, x_{i_1,w(i_1)}, \dots, x_{i_s,2}, \dots, x_{i_s,w(i_s)}\}$.

Claim: $(x_{i_1,2}, \dots, x_{i_1,w(i_1)}, \dots, x_{i_s,2}, \dots, x_{i_s,w(i_s)}, x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,1})$ is a perfect elimination ordering for $\overline{G^D}$.

Since $\{x_{i_p,l}, x_{i_q,k}\} \notin E(G^D)$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq s$ and $2 \leq l \leq w(i_p)$, $2 \leq k \leq w(i_q)$, the subgraph induced by $(\{x_{i_r,j}\} \cup \mathcal{N}(x_{i_r,j})) \cap T$ in $\overline{G^D}$ is complete. Therefore every $x_{i_r,j} \in T$ is simplicial in the subgraph induced by the vertices

$$\{x_{i_1,2}, \dots, x_{i_1,w(i_1)}, \dots, x_{i_r,2}, \dots, x_{i_r,j}\}.$$

Again (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a perfect elimination ordering for \overline{G} implies $(\{x_{j,1}\} \cup \mathcal{N}(x_{j,1})) \cap \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{j,1}\}$ induced a complete subgraph of $\overline{G^D}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}(x_{j,1}) \cap \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{j,1}\} = J$$

in $\overline{G^D}$. Suppose $\{x_{i_p,l}, x_{j,1}\} \in E(\overline{G^D})$ for some $x_{i_p,l} \in T$. So we have $\{x_{i_p,l}, x_{j,1}\} \notin E(G^D)$ i.e., $(x_{i_p}, x_j) \notin E(D_G)$. By property $(*)$, we have for every $x_{m,1} \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_{i_p}, x_{m,1}) \notin E(D_G) \\ \Rightarrow & \{x_{i_p,l}, x_{m,1}\} \notin E(G^D), \text{ for } 2 \leq l \leq w(i_p) \\ \Rightarrow & \{x_{i_p,l}, x_{m,1}\} \in E(\overline{G^D}) \end{aligned}$$


Therefore $x_{j,1}$ is simplicial in the subgraph induced by $T \cup \{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{j,1}\}$ in $\overline{G^D}$. This is true for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and so the claim is proved. Hence $\overline{G^D}$ is chordal and by Theorem 5.4 $I(G^D)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Now Lemma 5.5 gives $I^\vee(\text{pol})$ is

Cohen-Macaulay and hence from Theorem 2.9 we have I^\vee is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

Theorem 5.7. *Let D_G be a weighted oriented graph. If $I(D_G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then \overline{G} is chordal.*

Proof. Since $I(D_G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by Theorem 2.9 we have $I(D_G)^\vee(\text{pol})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By Lemma 5.5 $I(G^D)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay and hence from Theorem 5.4 we have $\overline{G^D}$ is chordal. Therefore \overline{G} is chordal as G is an induced subgraph of G^D . \square

Example 5.8.



In the above graph D_G , it is clear that \overline{G} is chordal and D_G satisfies the property $(*)$ with respect to the perfect elimination ordering $(x_1, x_3, x_6, x_2, x_4, x_5)$. Therefore by Theorem 5.6 $I(D_G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Carvalho, C., Lopez Neumann, G. G., López, H. H., *Projective nested Cartesian, codes*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 48(2): 283–302 (2017).
- [2] Faridi, S., *Monomial ideals via square-free monomial ideals*, in Commutative Algebra, Lecture Notes Pure Applied Mathematics, Vol. 244 (Chapman and Hall CRC, Boca Raton, FL), 85–114 (2006).
- [3] Fröberg, R., *A study of graded extremal rings and of monomial rings*, Math. Scand., 51: 22–34 (1982).
- [4] Fulkerson, D. R., Gross, O. A., *Incidence matrices and interval graphs*, Pacific J. Math., 15: 835–855 (1965).

- [5] Gimenez, P., Martínez-Bernal, J., Simis, A., Villarreal, R. H., and Vivares, C. E., *Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and Cohen–Macaulay vertex-weighted digraphs*, in Singularities, Algebraic Geometry, Commutative Algebra, and Related Topics (G. M. Greuel et al. Eds.), Springer, Cham, 491–510 (2018).
- [6] Herzog, J. and Hibi, T., *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 260, Springer (2011).
- [7] Hà, H. T., Lin, K., Morey, S., Reyes, E., Villarreal, R. H., *Edge ideals of oriented graphs*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 29: no. 3, 535–559 (2019).
- [8] Lyubeznik, G., *The minimal non-Cohen–Macaulay monomial ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 51: 261–266 (1988).
- [9] Martínez-Bernal, J., Pitones, Y., and Villarreal, R. H., *Minimum distance functions of graded ideals and Reed-Muller-type codes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 221: 251–275 (2017).
- [10] Miller, E., *Alexander duality for monomial ideals and their resolutions*, Preprint, arXiv:math/9812095 (1998).
- [11] Pitones, Y., Reyes, E., Toledo, J., *Monomial ideals of weighted oriented graphs*, Electron. J. Combin., 26: no. 3, Paper No. 3.44, 18 pp (2019).
- [12] Peeva I., *Graded syzygies, in Algebra and Applications*, Vol. 14 (Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London) (2011).
- [13] Simis, A., Vasconcelos, W.V., and Villarreal, R. H., *On the ideal theory of graphs*, J. Algebra, 167: 389–416 (1994).
- [14] Van Tuyl, A., *A Beginner’s Guide to Edge and Cover Ideals*, in Monomial Ideals, Computations and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2083, Springer, 63–94 (2013).
- [15] Villarreal, R. H., *Cohen-Macaulay graphs*, Manuscripta Math., 66: 277–293 (1990).
- [16] Villarreal, R. H., *Monomial Algebras, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 238, Marcel Dekker, New York, (2001).

Discipline of Mathematics, IIT Gandhinagar, Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, INDIA.

Email address: kamalesh.saha@iitgn.ac.in

Discipline of Mathematics, IIT Gandhinagar, Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, INDIA.

Email address: indranathsg@iitgn.ac.in