

DUALITY VIA CONVOLUTION OF \mathcal{W} -ALGEBRAS

THOMAS CREUTZIG, ANDREW R. LINSHAW, SHIGENORI NAKATSUKA, AND RYO SATO

ABSTRACT. Feigin-Frenkel duality is the isomorphism between the principal \mathcal{W} -algebras of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its Langlands dual Lie algebra ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$. A generalization of this duality to a larger family of \mathcal{W} -algebras called *hook-type* was recently conjectured by Gaiotto and Rapčák and proved by the first two authors. It says that the affine cosets of two different hook-type \mathcal{W} -algebras are isomorphic. A natural question is whether the duality between affine cosets can be enhanced to a duality between the full \mathcal{W} -algebras. There is a convolution operation that maps a hook-type \mathcal{W} -algebra \mathcal{W} to a certain relative semi-infinite cohomology of \mathcal{W} tensored with a suitable kernel VOA. The first two authors conjectured previously that this cohomology is isomorphic to the Feigin-Frenkel dual hook-type \mathcal{W} -algebra. Our main result is a proof of this conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra or basic Lie superalgebra and let $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at the complex level k . We assume k to be generic. To any even nilpotent element f in \mathfrak{g} one constructs from $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ a corresponding \mathcal{W} -algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, via quantum Hamiltonian reduction [KRW, KW]. If f is a principal nilpotent then one often omits the symbol f . Principal \mathcal{W} -algebras enjoy Feigin-Frenkel duality [FF2], that is

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{W}^\ell({}^L\mathfrak{g}), \quad r^\vee(k + h^\vee)(\ell + {}^Lh^\vee) = 1.$$

Here \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra, ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ is its Langlands dual, r^\vee is the lacing number of \mathfrak{g} and $h^\vee, {}^Lh^\vee$ are the dual Coxeter numbers of \mathfrak{g} and ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$. In the Lie superalgebra case a similar duality holds for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ and replacing r^\vee by 4 [Ge, CL2].

Hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras [CL1, CL2] are \mathcal{W} -superalgebras such that $f = f_\mathfrak{a}$ is principal inside an subalgebra \mathfrak{a} whose centralizer $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies the following property: \mathfrak{g} decomposes as a $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ -module into

$$\mathfrak{g} \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}) \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b}^\dagger \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a}^\dagger \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n|m}, \\ (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}) \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{n|2m}. \end{cases}$$

This family contains the principal \mathcal{W} -algebras of type A , B , C , and D and the principal \mathcal{W} -superalgebras of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$, as well as other familiar examples including subregular and minimal \mathcal{W} -superalgebras. In order to present our results uniformly for all types, we introduce slightly different notation $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ for the \mathcal{W} -superalgebras $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_\mathfrak{a})$ from the notation in [CL1, CL2]; see Table 1. In all cases, they contain $V^{k_\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{b})$ as the maximal affine vertex subalgebra.

Davide Gaiotto and Miroslav Rapčák studied vertex algebras at two-dimensional corners in four dimensional quantum field theories [GR]. The associated corner vertex algebras are cosets of hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras and the S_3 -symmetry of the gauge theory led them to conjecture a remarkable family of *trialities* among these vertex algebras, i.e., isomorphisms of three such algebras. These isomorphisms fall into two types; one type generalizes Feigin-Frenkel duality, and the other type generalizes the coset realization of certain principal \mathcal{W} -algebras obtained in [ACL, CL2], namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) &\cong \text{Com}(V^\ell(\mathfrak{g}), V^{\ell-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})), & \frac{1}{k+h^\vee} + \frac{1}{\ell+h^\vee} &= 1, \\ \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) &\cong \text{Com}(V^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})), & \frac{1}{k+h^\vee} + \frac{1}{\ell+h^\vee} &= 2, \\ \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) &\cong \text{Com}(V^\ell(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), V^{\ell-1}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes F^{2n+1}), & \frac{1}{k+h^\vee} + \frac{2}{\ell+h^\vee} &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 1. Hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras

Name for $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_a)$	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{a}	\mathfrak{b}	k_b
$\mathcal{W}_{A^+}^k(n, m)$	\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m}	\mathfrak{sl}_n	\mathfrak{gl}_m	$k + n - 1$
$\mathcal{W}_{B^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m+1)}$	\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}	\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	$k + 2n$
$\mathcal{W}_{C^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}	\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}	$k + n - \frac{1}{2}$
$\mathcal{W}_{D^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$	\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}	\mathfrak{so}_{2m}	$k + 2n$
$\mathcal{W}_{O^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2(n+m)}$	\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$	$k + n - \frac{1}{2}$
$\mathcal{W}_{A^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m m}$	\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m}	\mathfrak{gl}_m	$-(k + n + m) + 1$
$\mathcal{W}_{B^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2m+1 2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	$-2(k + n + m) + 1$
$\mathcal{W}_{C^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2(n+m)+1 2m}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$	\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}	$-(\frac{1}{2}k + n + m)$
$\mathcal{W}_{D^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2m 2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	\mathfrak{so}_{2m}	$-2(k + n + m) + 1$
$\mathcal{W}_{O^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2(n+m)+2 2m}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$	$-(\frac{1}{2}k + n + m)$

Here \mathfrak{g} is of type A or D and $L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is the simple affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level one. (In fact the first isomorphism was proven for all simply-laced types in [ACL], but the type E case is not part of these trialities). Also, F^{2n+1} is the vertex superalgebra of $2n + 1$ free fermions. Note that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_2$ and f_4 , no coset realization of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is known at present.

The triality conjectures were recently proven by the first two authors in [CL1, CL2]. The four-dimensional quantum field theories allow for dualities, called S -duality, and these are the physics perspective on (quantum) geometric Langlands duality [KaW]. Vertex algebras play an important role [CG, FG] and in particular it is conjectured that a certain convolution operation against quantum geometric Langlands kernel VOAs realizes the S -duality operations on the level of associated vertex algebras [CG, Section 7.3]. The kernel VOAs bear similarity to Gaitsgory's master chiral algebras [G]; they are parametrized by an associated Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and an integer n . For $n = 0$, the kernel VOA is the algebra of chiral differential operators of the Lie group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} [MSV] and the convolution operation has been used by Arakawa in his proof of the Moore-Tachikawa conjecture [A].

1.1. Convolution with kernel VOAs. Let k be generic. The general kernel VOAs are extensions of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the form

$$\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\lambda^\dagger}^\ell,$$

where the inverses of the shifted levels add up to a multiple of n , \mathbb{V}_λ^k and $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda^\dagger}^\ell$ are Weyl-modules of weight λ and λ^\dagger of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V^\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$, R is a certain subset of dominant weights and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Lie algebra related to \mathfrak{g} and λ^\dagger is a dominant weight of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ naturally identified with λ , see subsection 2.3 for all the concrete details. We are interested in $\mathfrak{g} \in \{\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{so}_n, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}\}$. We have that the Lie algebra related to $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ is \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} and vice versa, while all others satisfy $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Yuto Moriwaki [M] recently proved the existence of the kernel VOAs when $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The case of the pair $\mathfrak{so}_3, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2}$ is just $A^1[\mathfrak{so}_3, k] = L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -2k))$ and $A^1[\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2}, k] = L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -\frac{1}{k+1}))$ [CG, (9.6)] together with [CGL2, Thm. 3.2]).

Let V be another vertex algebra that has an affine subalgebra $V^{-k-2h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the convolution operation is the relative semi-infinite Lie algebra cohomology on $V \otimes \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]$. We explain the relative semi-infinite Lie algebra cohomology in §3.1 and the Appendix and in particular prove that the results of [FGZ] also hold for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$.

Assume that V decomposes as

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathbb{V}_\lambda^{-k-2h^\vee} \otimes C_\lambda$$

with $C = C_0 = \text{Com}(V^{-k-2h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}), V)$ the coset and C_λ are modules of C . Then

$$H_{\text{rel}}^{\infty+m}(\mathfrak{g}, V \otimes \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]) = \delta_{m,0} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} C_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\lambda^\dagger}^\ell$$

as a $C \otimes V^\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module. Set $\tilde{V} := H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{g}, V \otimes \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k])$.

This type of convolution operation has been used to construct the large $N = 4$ superconformal algebra [CFL] and it motivated the conjecture that Feigin-Frenkel dual hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras are related via the convolution operation [CL2, Conjecture 1.2]. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_1$, then the kernel VOAs are just the lattice VOAs of the lattices $\sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z}$ times a rank one Heisenberg VOA. In this case the conjecture connects subregular \mathcal{W} -algebras of type A, B with principal \mathcal{W} -superalgebras of type $\mathfrak{sl}_{n|1}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2n}$. The correspondence in this case is often also called Feigin-Semikhatov duality due to their work [FS]. In this case, the conjecture is proven and exploited in [CGN, CGNS]. We have

Main Theorem. (Theorem 4.4) *For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ under $r_X(k + h_{X^+}^\vee)(\ell + h_{Y^-}^\vee) = 1$, there exist isomorphisms of vertex superalgebras*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) &\simeq H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes A^1[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_+]), \\ \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) &\simeq H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-]), \end{aligned}$$

(α_+, α_-) are given in Theorem 4.4, $(X, Y) \in \{(A, A), (B, O), (C, C), (D, D), (O, B)\}$. The statements for $X \in \{B, O\}$ with $m > 1$ are understood under the assumption of the existence of a simple vertex superalgebra structure on $A^{\pm 1}[\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, k] = A^{\pm 1}[\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}, \ell]$.

One main interest is that one can now take a module, say $M \otimes N$ of $V \otimes \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ and the cohomology then gives a module of the dual algebra. This means that for a fixed $\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ -module N , there is a functor from V -modules to modules of the dual algebra \tilde{V}

$$\mathcal{F}_N : V\text{-mod} \rightarrow \tilde{V}\text{-mod}, \quad M \mapsto H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(M \otimes N).$$

If V, \tilde{V} is as in our main theorem, that is $V \cong H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{V} \otimes \mathfrak{A}^{-n}[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \ell])$, then one immediately also gets functors in the other direction and one might hope to be able to use them to prove equivalences of blocks of categories of $V\text{-mod}$ and $\tilde{V}\text{-mod}$. In the instance of subregular \mathcal{W} -algebras of type A, B and principal \mathcal{W} -superalgebras of type $\mathfrak{sl}_{n|1}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2n}$, this family of functors gives indeed a block-wise equivalence of module categories together with isomorphisms of spaces of intertwining operators, see [CGNS]. In the spirit of the quantum geometric Langlands correspondence one also would like to get an equivalence of conformal blocks. A strong indication that such an equivalence might be true is that there is a conformal field theory approach using the path integral that indeed gives identifications of correlation functions [CH1, CH2, CHS, CH3]. We aim to pursue this direction further and are currently studying the behavior of characters under our convolution operation.

Acknowledgements We thank Naoki Genra and Yuto Moriwaki for very helpful discussions. The work of T.C. is supported by NSERC Grant Number RES0048511. A.L. is supported by Simons Foundation Grant 635650 and NSF Grant DMS-2001484. S.N. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20J10147. This work was supported by WorldPremier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan.

2. KERNEL VERTEX SUPERALGEBRAS

2.1. Affine vertex superalgebras. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra equipped with an even non-degenerate supersymmetric invariant bilinear form $\kappa = (\cdot|\cdot)$. The one-dimensional central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa = \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ of the loop superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$, whose commutator is $[X_n, Y_m] = [X, Y]_{n+m} + (X|Y)K$, ($X_n := Xt^n$), is called the affine Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then the induced representation $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa} \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ acts on \mathbb{C} by zero and K by identity has a structure of vertex superalgebra, called the universal affine vertex superalgebra associated with \mathfrak{g} at level κ . As a vertex superalgebra, $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by fields $Y(X_{-1}\mathbf{1}, z) := X(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n z^{-n-1}$, ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) which satisfy the OPEs

$$X(z)Y(w) \sim \frac{[X, Y]}{(z-w)} + \frac{(X|Y)}{(z-w)^2}, \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

The vertex superalgebra $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded by setting $\Delta(x_{1, n_1} \cdots x_{m, n_m} \mathbf{1}) = -\sum_{p=1}^m n_p$, ($x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$). We denote by $\mathbf{KL}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ the Kazhdan–Lusztig category of $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ at level κ , that is, the full subcategory of all the (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) positive-energy representations of $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of integrable \mathfrak{g} -modules.

2.2. Tensor representations. Here we recollect and fix notation of tensor representations of Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m \mathfrak{sl}_m, \mathfrak{so}_m, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m},$ and $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}$.

We first consider the case when \mathfrak{g} is simple. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ be the triangular decomposition, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the set of simple roots, and $\{\varpi_i\}_{i=1}^{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}}$ the set of fundamental weights. We set $P = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z} \varpi_i$ (resp. $Q = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$) the weight lattice (resp. the root lattice). Then we denote by $P_+ = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$ the set of dominant integral weights and by L_λ , ($\lambda \in P_+$), the simple \mathfrak{g} -module generated by highest weight vector of *even parity* and of highest weight λ . In particular, L_{ϖ_1} corresponds to the natural representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which is \mathbb{C}^m (resp. $\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^{2m}, \mathbb{C}^{1|2m}$) when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ (resp. $\mathfrak{so}_m, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}$). Given $\lambda \in P_+$, let λ^\dagger denote the highest weight for the dual representation $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_\lambda, \mathbb{C}^{1|0})$. By using the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} and its longest element w_0 , we have $\lambda^\dagger = -w_0 \lambda$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $\lambda \in P_+$, \mathfrak{g} -module isomorphisms $L_{\lambda^\dagger} \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_\lambda, \mathbb{C}^{1|0})$ are even.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}$. Decompose L_λ as a \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} -module: $L_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}} \simeq \bigoplus_\mu n_{\lambda, \mu} L_\mu(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$. Since the Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}$ and \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} coincide, $n_{\lambda, \mu}$ is non-zero only if λ dominates μ . Hence, the lowest weight of L_λ coincides with that of $L_\lambda(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$. \square

Tensor representations of \mathfrak{g} are, by definition, modules appearing as submodules in the tensor algebra $T^\bullet(L_{\varpi_1} \oplus L_{\varpi_1^\dagger})$. We denote by $R \subset P_+$ the set of their highest weights. The condition is given in Table 2 below. We make two remarks when $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_m$: $L_{\lambda^\dagger} \simeq L_\lambda$ holds for all $\lambda \in R$ since $\varpi_1^\dagger = \varpi_1$; by [RS], we have the one-to-one correspondence

$$R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R(\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}), \quad \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \varpi_i \mapsto {}^s \lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i \varpi_i + 2\lambda_m \varpi_m \quad (2.1)$$

under which the characters are identified and thus the branchings of tensor products coincide, see also [C]. By abuse of notation, we denote the inverse of (2.1) also by $\lambda \mapsto {}^s \lambda$.

Next, we consider the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m$. We decompose $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{sl}_m \oplus \mathbb{C} \text{Id}$ as usual and set the set of fundamental weights $\{\varpi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ so that under the above decomposition $\{\varpi_i\}_{i=1}^{m-1}$ corresponds to that of \mathfrak{sl}_m and ϖ_m to the dual of Id . Then we set $P_+ = \oplus_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i \oplus \mathbb{Z} \varpi_m$ and the remaining notation is the same as before. In particular, the natural representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{gl}_m} = \mathbb{C}^m$ and its dual representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{gl}_m}^\dagger = \overline{\mathbb{C}}^m$ corresponds to $L_{\varpi_1 + \varpi_m}$ and $L_{\varpi_{m-1} - \varpi_m}$ respectively. The condition for the set $R \subset P_+$ of highest weights of tensor representations is given in Table 2 below.

TABLE 2. Condition for R

\mathfrak{g}	Condition	<i>Cont'd</i>
\mathfrak{gl}_m	$\lambda_m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i \lambda_i \pmod{m}$	\mathfrak{sp}_{2m} \emptyset
\mathfrak{sl}_m	\emptyset	\mathfrak{so}_{2m} $\lambda_{m-1} + \lambda_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	$\lambda_m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$ \emptyset

In this paper, we will consider the pairs $(\mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{gl}_m), (\mathfrak{sl}_m, \mathfrak{sl}_m), (\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}), (\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}), (\mathfrak{so}_{2m}, \mathfrak{so}_{2m})$ and it will be useful to extend the notation $\lambda \leftrightarrow {}^s \lambda$ for $(\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m})$ to all pairs by setting ${}^s \lambda = \lambda$ and also to the Lie superalgebras e.g. ${}^s \mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}_m$.

2.3. Kernel vertex superalgebras. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m, \mathfrak{so}_m$ or \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} , and set $\kappa = k \kappa_0$ where κ_0 is the normalized invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} and write $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathbf{KL}_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{KL}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ and so on.

From now on, we assume $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{KL}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple and the complete set of representatives of simple objects is given by the Weyl modules $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k} L_\lambda$, ($\lambda \in P_+$). When \mathfrak{g} is clear, we also write it as \mathbb{V}_λ^k . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce the following ind-object of the Deligne product $\mathbf{KL}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes \mathbf{KL}_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\lambda^\dagger}^\ell \quad (2.2)$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by the relation

$$\frac{1}{r^\vee(k+h^\vee)} + \frac{1}{r^\vee(\ell+h^\vee)} = nN_{\mathfrak{g},R}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here r^\vee (resp. h^\vee) is the lacing number (resp. the dual Coxeter number) of \mathfrak{g} and $N_{\mathfrak{g},R}$ is the level of the lattice R , which is m (resp. 1) if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ (resp. $\mathfrak{so}_m, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$). In [CG, FG], $\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ is conjectured to have a structure of simple vertex superalgebra and called the geometric Langlands kernel (super)algebra. For even n , this conjecture was first proven in [CKM, Corollary 1.4] and, in particular, for $n = 0$, $A^0[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ is realized by the vertex algebra of chiral differential operators

$$\mathcal{D}_{G,\kappa}^{\text{ch}} := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k} \mathbb{C}[J_\infty G].$$

Here $J_\infty G$ is the infinite jet scheme of $G = SL_m$ (resp. SO_m, Sp_{2m}) for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ (resp. $\mathfrak{so}_m, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$) and $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[J_\infty G]$ through the left-invariant vector fields on G . This $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -action is induced from the \mathfrak{g} -action on $\mathbb{C}[G]$, which commutes with another \mathfrak{g} -action by the right-invariant vector fields. The Peter–Weyl theorem asserts that $\mathbb{C}[G] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} L_\lambda \otimes L_{\lambda^\dagger}$ as $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ -bimodules, which induces the decomposition of $\mathcal{D}_{G,k}^{\text{ch}}$ into (2.2) as $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^{-k-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules [AG, GMS1, GMS2]. The coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$ has a q -deformation $O_q(G)$, called the quantum coordinate ring. It is a Hopf algebra dual to the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and is a commutative algebra (ind-)object of the Deligne product $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_\rho)\text{-mod} \boxtimes (U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), R_{-\rho})\text{-mod}$. Here $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_\rho)\text{-mod}$ is the tensor category of finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules of type 1 equipped with a braiding defined by the R-matrix R_ρ depending on $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\rho) = q$, which gives a braiding on the category, see e.g. [KS].

In [M], by using $O_q(G)$ and twists of the braided tensor category $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_\rho)\text{-mod}$ by abelian 3-cocycles, Moriwaki has constructed various kinds of simple vertex superalgebras which are extensions of affine vertex algebras and lattice vertex superalgebras including (2.2) via the Kazhdan–Lusztig correspondence

$$(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), R_{1/r^\vee(k+h^\vee)})\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{KL}_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{A}[\mathfrak{sl}_m, k]$ has a variant corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m$. More precisely, set

$$\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{gl}_m, k] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R(\mathfrak{sl}_m)} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_m}^k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{sl}_m}^\ell(\lambda^\dagger) \otimes V_{\frac{n\pi(\lambda)}{\sqrt{nm}} + \sqrt{nm}\mathbb{Z}} \quad (2.4)$$

with $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ defined through (2.3) and $r^\vee = 1$, $N_{\mathfrak{gl}_m, R} = 1$. Here $V_{\sqrt{nm}\mathbb{Z}}$ is the lattice vertex superalgebra associated with the lattice $\sqrt{nm}\mathbb{Z}$, whose simple modules are $\{V_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{nm}} + \sqrt{nm}\mathbb{Z}}\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{nm}}$, and π is the projection $P \rightarrow P/Q \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}_m, (\varpi_i \mapsto i)$. Note that (2.4) is compatible with the Peter–Weyl theorem for $G = GL_m$ since weights appearing in the lattice part are regarded as one-dimensional representations for $\mathbb{C}\text{Id} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_m$. Then [M, Main Theorem B] specializes to the following statement:

Theorem 2.2 ([M]). *For $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{so}_m$, or \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} has a structure of simple vertex algebra (resp. superalgebra) if n is even (resp. odd).*

For later use, we set

$$A^n[\mathfrak{gl}_m, k] = \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{gl}_m, k] \otimes \pi, \quad A^n[\mathfrak{g}, k] = \mathfrak{A}^n[\mathfrak{g}, k], \quad (\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}, \mathfrak{so}_{2m}),$$

where π is the rank one Heisenberg vertex algebra, and

$$A^n[\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, k] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}}^k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}}^\ell({}^s\lambda) = A^n[\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}, \ell] \quad (2.5)$$

where (k, ℓ) satisfies the relation

$$\frac{1}{a(k+h^\vee)} + \frac{1}{b(\ell+h^\vee)} = cn \quad (2.6)$$

with (a, b, c) given in Table 3 below. The existence of simple vertex superalgebra structure on (2.5) has been conjectured in [CL2]. Low rank cases are understood to be related to \mathcal{W} -algebras of the exceptional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)$

- (1) $A^1[\mathfrak{gl}_2, k] = L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -\frac{1}{k+1})) \otimes \pi$, the simple affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -\frac{1}{k+1})$ at level one times a rank one Heisenberg algebra, this follows from [CG, Thm. 9.1].

- (2) $A^1[\mathfrak{so}_3, k] = L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -2k))$, [CG, (9.6)] together with [CGL2, Thm. 3.2].
(3) $A^1[\mathfrak{sp}_2, k] = \mathcal{W}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -2k - 3), f_{\min})$, the simple minimal \mathcal{W} -superalgebra of $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -2k - 3)$ at level $1/2$ [CGL1, Cor. 2.6].
(4) $A^1[\mathfrak{so}_4, k] = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(A_1^2)}(L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -\frac{1}{k+1}))^{\otimes 2}, V_{Z_2})$, here $\mathcal{C}(L)$ is the category of modules of the lattice vertex algebra V_L of the lattice L . This statement follows easily from [CG, Thm. 9.1].
(5) $A^1[\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2}, k] = L_1(\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; -\frac{1}{k+1}))$, [CG, (9.6)] together with [CGL2, Thm. 3.2].

In §4, we will use $A^{\pm 1}[\mathfrak{g}, k]$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{so}_{2m}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ and also $\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}$ under the conjecture for $m > 1$. In Table 3, we summarize the values (a, b, c) in the relation (2.6), the parity and conformal weight Δ_K for the lowest conformal weights of the natural representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \rho_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g}}^{\dagger}$ and its dual $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\dagger} \otimes \rho_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g}}$ as $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g})$ -modules appearing in the decomposition

$$A^n[\mathfrak{g}, k] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g}}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{s}\lambda).$$

Here Π denotes the odd isomorphism for arbitrary vector superspaces which interchanges the parity.

TABLE 3.

\mathfrak{g}	(a, b, c)	Parity	Δ_K
\mathfrak{gl}_m	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\Pi^n(\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \overline{\mathbb{C}}^m), \Pi^n(\overline{\mathbb{C}}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$	$\frac{nm}{2}$
\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	$(1, 2, 1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2m+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{1 2m}$	nm
\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}	$(1, 1, 2)$	$\Pi^n(\mathbb{C}^{2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m})$	$n(m + \frac{1}{2})$
\mathfrak{so}_{2m}	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\Pi^n(\mathbb{C}^{2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m})$	$n(m - \frac{1}{2})$
$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$	$(2, 1, 1)$	$\mathbb{C}^{1 2m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m+1}$	nm

Lemma 2.3. For $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, the bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \left(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \rho_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g}}^{\dagger} \right) \otimes \left(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\dagger} \otimes \rho_{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x_{(2\Delta_K - 1)}y$$

is non-degenerate.

Proof. Since $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant bilinear form, it is non-degenerate if it is non-zero. The latter is an immediate consequence of the structure of the Hopf algebra $O_q(G)$ as a $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m, \mathfrak{so}_{2m}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ and of the construction in [CG] for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_3, \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2}$. \square

3. RELATIVE SEMI-INFINITE COHOMOLOGY

3.1. Relative semi-infinite cohomology. Let \mathfrak{g} be as in §2.2 and take a parity-homogeneous basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ with structure constants $c_{i,j}^k$, i.e. $[x_i, x_j] = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k x_k$.

Introduce a vertex superalgebra $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the fields

$$\varphi_i^*(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{i,n}^* z^{-n}, \quad \varphi_i(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{i,n} z^{-n-1}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g})$$

with parity opposite to $x_i(z)$ satisfying the OPEs

$$\varphi_i(z) \varphi_j^*(w) \sim \frac{\delta_{i,j}}{z-w}, \quad \varphi_i(z) \varphi_j(w) \sim 0 \sim \varphi_i^*(z) \varphi_j^*(w).$$

As a vector superspace, $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ carries a cohomological grading $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + n}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by $\deg \partial^n \varphi_i^* = -\deg \partial^n \varphi_i = 1$. On the other hand, $V^{\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$x_i(z) \mapsto \sum_{j,k=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} (-1)^{\bar{x}_j} c_{i,j}^k : \varphi_k(z) \varphi_j^*(z) :,$$

which preserves the cohomological grading. As a $V^{\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a positive energy representation $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\Delta > 0} \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})_{\Delta}$ by setting the compatible grading to be $\Delta(\varphi_i^*) = 0$ and $\Delta(\varphi_i) = 1$. Since the dimension of $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + n}(\mathfrak{g})_{\Delta} = \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + n}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})_{\Delta}$ is finite, $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an object in $\mathbf{KL}_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Given an object M in $\mathbf{KL}_{-\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, we associate a differential graded $V^0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$C^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M) := M \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$$

equipped with (odd) differential

$$d = \int dz \sum_i (-1)^{\bar{x}_i} :x_i(z)\varphi_i^*(z): - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k} c_{i,j}^k : \varphi_i^*(z)\varphi_j^*(z)\varphi_k(z): .$$

and grading given by that of $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$. The cohomology $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is called the semi-infinite cohomology of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with coefficients in M . In this paper, we consider a relative version, which assigns the cohomology of the following subcomplex:

$$C_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M) := \left(M \otimes \bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \right)^{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra generated by $\{\partial\varphi_i^*(z), \varphi_i(z)\}_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ and $(?)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the \mathfrak{g} -invariant subspace by the diagonal \mathfrak{g} -action. It is called the relative semi-infinite cohomology functor and denoted by

$$H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot): \mathbf{KL}_{-\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightarrow \text{SVect}^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\text{SVect}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the category of $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z})$ -graded vector spaces over \mathbb{C} .

Remark 3.1. *Since d is of conformal degree 0, $C^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ and $C_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ decompose into direct sums of subcomplexes consisting of finite dimensional objects.*

For a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module M , we have an even natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, \mathbb{C}^{1|0}) \otimes M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}(M), \quad f \otimes v \mapsto (w \mapsto f(w)v).$$

Let str_M denote the pull-back of id_M under this isomorphism. In particular, when $M = L_{\lambda}$, we write $\text{str}_M = \text{str}_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$, we have an even isomorphism of vector superspaces $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{C}^{1|0}, L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu}) \simeq \delta_{\lambda, \mu^{\dagger}} \mathbb{C} \text{str}_{\mu}$.*

Proof. Immediate from Schur's lemma:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{C}^{1|0}, L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_{\lambda^{\dagger}}, L_{\mu}) \simeq \delta_{\lambda^{\dagger}, \mu} \mathbb{C} \text{id}_{L_{\mu}}.$$

□

The following property, proven in [CFL, FGZ] for simple Lie algebras, is called the formality of the relative semi-infinite cohomology.

Theorem 3.3 ([CFL, FGZ]). *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$,*

$$H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^{\kappa_1} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\kappa_2}) \simeq \delta_{n,0} \delta_{\lambda, \mu^{\dagger}} \mathbb{C}[\text{str}_{\mu}]$$

holds for (κ_1, κ_2) such that $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = -\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the restriction of κ_i to the semisimple part of \mathfrak{g}_i is a irrational level and to the abelian part is nonzero.

See [CGNS, Proposition 6.1] for a short proof in the abelian case. In Appendix A, we give a detailed proof when \mathfrak{g} is simple, especially $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$, following [FGZ].

Remark 3.4. *By the restriction (3.1), Theorem 3.3 also holds for Wakimoto modules \mathbb{W}_{λ}^k [FF1, Fr]:*

$$H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{W}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\mu}^{\ell}) \simeq H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{W}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) \simeq \delta_{n,0} \delta_{\lambda, \mu^{\dagger}} \mathbb{C}[\text{str}_{\mu}]$$

since $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}^k \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k} M_{\lambda}^{\vee}$ for $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Here M_{λ}^{\vee} is the contravariant Verma module of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight λ .

3.2. Bilinear form. Let V be an arbitrary vertex superalgebra and suppose that we have parity-homogeneous elements a_i, ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2$) of V satisfying the OPEs

$$a_1(z)a_2(w) \sim \frac{\alpha}{(z-w)^N} + \cdots, \quad b_1(z)b_2(w) \sim \frac{\beta}{(z-w)^M} + \cdots,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N, M \geq 0$. Here we mean by “ \cdots ” the terms of lower order poles with respect to $(z-w)$. Then by using e.g. the λ -bracket formalism [DK], it is straightforward to show the OPE of the fields $Y(a_{i(-1)}b_i, z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) has the following shape.

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$Y(a_{1(-1)}b_1, z)Y(a_{2(-1)}b_2, w) \sim \frac{(-1)^{\bar{a}_2\bar{b}_1}\alpha\beta}{(z-w)^{N+M}} + \cdots. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, let \mathfrak{g} and κ_i be as in Theorem 3.3 and suppose that we have $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex superalgebras

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathcal{C}_\lambda^i \otimes \mathbb{V}_\lambda^{\kappa_i}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

such that $\mathcal{C}_0^i = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{C}_{0,\Delta}^i$ are $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded vertex superalgebras with $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}^i = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and \mathcal{C}_λ^i are all positive energy representations of \mathcal{C}_0^i with lowest weight subspaces \mathbb{C}_λ^i . Then Lemma 3.5 implies that we have bilinear forms

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda^i: (\mathbb{C}_\lambda^i \otimes L_\lambda) \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^i \otimes L_{\lambda^\dagger}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

which are \mathfrak{g} -invariant and a bilinear form on the relative semi-infinite cohomology $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathcal{C}_\lambda^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^2$:

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda: (\mathbb{C}_\lambda^1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^2) \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Corollary 3.6. *If $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda^i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are non-degenerate, then so is $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, the bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ is the restriction to

$$(\mathbb{C}_\lambda^1 \otimes [\text{str}_\lambda] \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^2) \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^1 \otimes [\text{str}_{\lambda^\dagger}] \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (3.3)$$

of the bilinear form on the complex

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\lambda^1 \otimes \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\lambda^\dagger}^2: (\mathbb{C}_\lambda^1 \otimes L_\lambda \otimes L_{\lambda^\dagger} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^2) \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda^\dagger}^1 \otimes L_{\lambda^\dagger} \otimes L_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (3.4)$$

by Lemma 3.5. Note that (3.4) is a $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ -invariant bilinear form and that the restriction (3.3) is exactly to the diagonal \mathfrak{g} -invariant subspace. Since \mathfrak{g} -invariant bilinear forms $L_\mu \otimes L_\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is zero unless $\mu = \nu^\dagger$, the non-degeneracy of (3.4) implies that of (3.3). \square

4. DUALITY IN HOOK-TYPE \mathcal{W} -SUPERALGEBRAS

4.1. Hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras. Let \mathfrak{g} and κ be as in §2.1, $f \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ a nilpotent element, and $\Gamma : \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on \mathfrak{g} good for f . Then one can define the \mathcal{W} -superalgebra $\mathcal{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g}, f; \Gamma)$ associated with \mathfrak{g}, f, κ and Γ via the (generalized) Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction from $V^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$, [FF2, KRW].

Hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras [CL1, CL2] are \mathcal{W} -superalgebras such that f is principal inside an subalgebra \mathfrak{a} whose centralizer $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies the following property: \mathfrak{g} decomposes as a $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ -module into

$$\mathfrak{g} \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}) \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b}^\dagger \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a}^\dagger \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n|m}, \\ (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}) \oplus \rho_\mathfrak{a} \otimes \rho_\mathfrak{b} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{n|2m}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we consider hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras listed in Table 4 below. The \mathcal{W} -superalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ contains $V^{k_\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{b})$ as the maximal affine vertex subalgebra. We denote its coset algebra by

$$C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) = \text{Com}(V^{k_\mathfrak{b}}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)).$$

TABLE 4. Hook-type \mathcal{W} -superalgebras

Label	$\mathcal{W}_{A^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{B^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{C^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{D^+}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{O^+}^k(n, m)$
\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m}	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m+1)}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2(n+m)}$
κ	tr	$\frac{1}{2}$ tr	tr	$\frac{1}{2}$ tr	− str
h^\vee	$n + m$	$2(n + m)$	$n + m + 1$	$2(n + m) - 1$	$n + m + \frac{1}{2}$
\mathfrak{a}	\mathfrak{sl}_n	\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}	\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}	\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}	\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}
\mathfrak{b}	\mathfrak{gl}_m	\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}	\mathfrak{so}_{2m}	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$
$k_{\mathfrak{b}}^+$	$k + n - 1$	$k + 2n$	$k + n - \frac{1}{2}$	$k + 2n$	$k + n - \frac{1}{2}$
Label	$\mathcal{W}_{A^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{B^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{C^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{D^-}^k(n, m)$	$\mathcal{W}_{O^-}^k(n, m)$
\mathfrak{g}	$\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m m}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2m+1 2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2(n+m)+1 2m}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2m 2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{osp}_{2(n+m)+2 2m}$
κ	str	− str	$\frac{1}{2}$ str	− str	$\frac{1}{2}$ str
h^\vee	n	$n + \frac{1}{2}$	$2n - 1$	$n + 1$	$2n$
\mathfrak{a}	\mathfrak{sl}_{n+m}	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2(n+m)}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2(n+m)+1}$
\mathfrak{b}	\mathfrak{gl}_m	\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}	\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}	\mathfrak{so}_{2m}	$\mathfrak{osp}_{1 2m}$
$k_{\mathfrak{b}}^-$	$-(k + n + m) + 1$	$-2(k + n + m) + 1$	$-(\frac{1}{2}k + n + m)$	$-2(k + n + m) + 1$	$-(\frac{1}{2}k + n + m)$

For $X = B$ (resp. D, O), the \mathcal{W} -superalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ has an automorphism group O_{2m+1} (resp. O_{2m} , $OSp_{1|2m}$), which is induced by their adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} . They give rise to an automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq O_{2m+1}/SO_{2m+1}$ (resp. O_{2m}/SO_{2m} , $OSp_{1|2m}/SOSp_{1|2m}$) on $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$. We set

$$D_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) = \begin{cases} C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m), & \text{if } X = A, C, \\ C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, & \text{if } X = B, D, O. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ satisfies the following properties:

(P1) It has a free strong generating set of type

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{W} \left(1^{\dim \mathfrak{b}}, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}, \left(\frac{\dim \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} + 1}{2} \right)^{2 \dim \rho_{\mathfrak{b}}} \right) & \text{if } X = A, \\ \mathcal{W} \left(1^{\dim \mathfrak{b}}, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}, \left(\frac{\dim \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} + 1}{2} \right)^{\dim \rho_{\mathfrak{b}}} \right) & \text{if } X = B, C, D, O. \end{cases}$$

The first $\dim \mathfrak{b}$ elements of conformal dimension $\Delta = 1$ correspond to a basis of \mathfrak{b} and generate $V_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}}^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$. The second set which we denote by $S_{\text{coset}} = \{W_{X^\pm}^i \mid i = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}\}$ are all even and have conformal weights $\Delta = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}$, which are exponents of \mathfrak{a} shifted by one. They form a strong generating set of $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ and $W_{X^\pm}^2$ is the conformal vector of $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$. The third set which we denote $S_{\text{nat}} \sqcup S_{\text{nat}}^\dagger$ (resp. S_{nat}) if $X = A$ (resp. if $X = B, C, D, O$), corresponds to a standard basis of $\rho_{\mathfrak{b}} \oplus \rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger$ (resp. $\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}$). They span a vector subspace $V_{\text{nat}} \oplus V_{\text{nat}}^\dagger = \mathbb{C}S_{\text{nat}} \oplus \mathbb{C}S_{\text{nat}}^\dagger$ (resp. $V_{\text{nat}} = \mathbb{C}S_{\text{nat}}$) whose parity and conformal weight Δ_ρ are as in Table 5 and generate Weyl modules $\mathbb{V}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}}^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}^\pm}(\mathfrak{b}) \oplus \mathbb{V}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger}^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $\mathbb{V}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}}^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$).

(P2) For $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, we may normalize the elements of $S_{\text{nat}} \sqcup S_{\text{nat}}^\dagger$ (resp. S_{nat}) so that the bilinear form

$$V_{\text{nat}} \otimes V_{\text{nat}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (a, b) \mapsto a_{(2\Delta_\rho - 1)} b$$

coincides with the natural \mathfrak{b} -invariant bilinear form

$$\begin{cases} \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : (\rho_{\mathfrak{b}} \oplus \rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger) \otimes (\rho_{\mathfrak{b}} \oplus \rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} & \text{if } X = A, \\ \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \rho_{\mathfrak{b}} \otimes \rho_{\mathfrak{b}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} & \text{if } X = B, C, D, O. \end{cases}$$

Then the elements in $S_{\text{nat}} \sqcup S_{\text{nat}}^\dagger$ (resp. S_{nat}) satisfy the OPEs of the form

$$A(z)B(w) \sim \frac{\langle A|B \rangle}{(z-w)^{2\Delta_\rho}} + \dots$$

TABLE 5. Primary fields

Label	A^+	B^+	C^+	D^+	O^+
Parity	$\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \overline{\mathbb{C}}^m$	\mathbb{C}^{2m+1}	\mathbb{C}^{2m}	\mathbb{C}^{2m}	$\Pi\mathbb{C}^{1 2m}$
Δ_ρ	$\frac{n+1}{2}$	$n+1$	$n+\frac{1}{2}$	$n+1$	$n+\frac{1}{2}$
Label	A^-	B^-	C^-	D^-	O^-
Parity	$\Pi\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \Pi\overline{\mathbb{C}}^m$	$\Pi\mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$\Pi\mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$\Pi\mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$\mathbb{C}^{1 2m}$
Δ_ρ	$\frac{n+m+1}{2}$	$n+m+\frac{1}{2}$	$n+m+1$	$n+m+\frac{1}{2}$	$n+m+1$

Theorem 4.1 ([CL1, CL2]). *If $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then the vertex superalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ is the unique vertex superalgebra extension of $D_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ satisfying the properties (P1) and (P2).*

The proof in *loc. cit* ([CL1, Theorem 9.1] for $X = A$ and [CL2, Theorem 6.3] for $X = B, C, D, O$) only uses (P2) together with the following property which is implied by (P1):

$\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ is an extension of $D_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ by modules

$$\begin{cases} M_{A^\pm}^+ \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\rho_\flat}^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b}) \oplus M_{A^\pm}^- \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\rho_\flat}^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b}) & \text{if } X = A, \\ M_{X^\pm} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\rho_\flat}^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b}) & \text{if } X = B, C, D, O, \end{cases}$$

whose lowest conformal weight subspace coincides with V_{nat} of the same parity and conformal weight as in Table 5. Moreover, the lower conformal weight subspaces

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}} M_{A^\pm, \Delta_\rho+i}^\pm, \quad \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}} M_{X^\pm, \Delta_\rho+i}$$

($X = B, C, D, O$) has a PBW basis in terms of $W_{X^\pm}^2(-n)$, $W_{X^\pm}^i(-n-1)$, for $n \geq 0$ and $i = e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}}$.

Suppose $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathbf{KL}_{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ is semisimple, $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ decomposes into

$$\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathcal{C}_{X^\pm}^k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_\lambda^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b}) \quad (4.1)$$

as $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules. Here $\mathcal{C}_{X^\pm}^k(\lambda)$ is the $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ -module consisting of highest weight vectors of $V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{b})$ of highest weight λ appearing in $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$. It follows from (P1) and the character computation that

$$\{\lambda \in P_+ \mid \mathcal{C}_{X^+}^\lambda \neq 0\} = \{\lambda \in P_+ \mid \mathcal{C}_{X^-}^\lambda \neq 0\} = R, \quad (4.2)$$

see [CL1, Theorem 4.11, Remark 4.1] for a proof in the case $X = A$ which holds also for $X = B, C, D, O$. In the case $X = A$, we use the decomposition

$$V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{gl}_m) \simeq V^{k_\flat^\pm}(\mathfrak{sl}_m) \otimes \pi^\pm$$

where π^\pm is the Heisenberg vertex algebra generated by a field $h^\pm(z)$ which corresponds to Id in the decomposition $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{sl}_m \oplus \mathbb{C}\text{Id}$. It satisfies the OPE

$$h^+(z)h^+(w) \sim \frac{-m + \frac{mn}{n+m}(k + h_{A^+}^\vee)}{(z-w)^2}, \quad h^-(z)h^-(w) \sim \frac{m - \frac{m(n+m)}{n}(k + h_{A^-}^\vee)}{(z-w)^2},$$

respectively.

Proposition 4.2. *If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra), then*

- (1) ([CL1, Theorem 3.6]) $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ is simple for all (resp. generic) $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.
- (2) The coset $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ is simple for all (resp. generic) $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.
- (3) ([CL1, Theorem 4.11]) The multiplicity space $\mathcal{C}_{X^\pm}^k(\lambda)$, ($\lambda \in R$), is simple as a $C_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$ -module for generic $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

We note that the second statement (2) follows from (1) by [CGN, Proposition 5.4].

4.2. **Duality in $\mathcal{W}_{X^\pm}^k(n, m)$.** Let (X, Y) denote one of the pairs

$$(A, A), \quad (B, O), \quad (C, C), \quad (D, D), \quad (O, B).$$

The following statement, which naturally generalizes Feigin–Frenkel duality in the above types, was conjectured by Gaiotto–Rapčák [GR] in the physics literature and proven by two of the authors for generic levels.

Theorem 4.3 ([CL1, CL2]).

For generic $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, there is an isomorphism of vertex algebras

$$D_{X^+}^k(n, m) \simeq D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m), \quad (4.3)$$

if (k, ℓ) satisfies the relation

$$r_X(k + h_{X^+}^\vee)(\ell + h_{Y^-}^\vee) = 1 \quad (4.4)$$

with r_X given in Table 6. Moreover, if $X^+ = A^+, B^+, C^+, D^+$, then (4.3) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Since the second statement is essentially obtained in the proof of [CL1, CL2] for generic case, we only sketch the proof. By the same proof in *loc. cit.*, $D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ and $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ are quotients of the two-parameter \mathcal{W}_∞ -algebra $\mathcal{W}(c, \lambda)$ constructed in [L] (resp. even spin \mathcal{W}_∞ -algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)$ in [KL]) if $X = A$ (resp. $X = B, C, D, O$) with the same specialization for (c, λ) . Then it follows that the simple quotients of $D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ and $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ are isomorphic as vertex algebras. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2 (2), $C_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ is simple as a vertex algebra and thus so is $D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ by [DLM]. Therefore, we have a surjective homomorphism $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \twoheadrightarrow D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ of vertex algebras, which is an isomorphism for generic $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Since their q -characters are the same for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. \square

TABLE 6.

X	A	B	C	D	O
r_X	1	1	2	2	4

In the remainder of this subsection, the statements for type $X = B, O$ with $m > 1$ are understood under the assumption of the existence of $A^{\pm 1}[\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}, k] = A^{\pm 1}[\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2m}, \ell]$. The main results of this paper is the following theorem conjectured by two of the authors [CL1, CL2]:

Theorem 4.4. For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ under (4.4), there exist isomorphisms of vertex superalgebras

$$\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \simeq H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes A^1[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_+]), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \simeq H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-]), \quad (4.6)$$

where (α_+, α_-) are given by

$$\alpha_+ = -p_+(k + h_{X^+}^\vee) + q_+ - h_{\mathfrak{b}}^\vee, \quad \alpha_- = p_-(\ell + h_{Y^-}^\vee) - q_- - h_{\mathfrak{b}}^\vee$$

with (p_\pm, q_\pm) as in Table 7 below.

TABLE 7.

X	A	B	C	D	O
(p_+, q_+)	(1, 1)	(1, 1)	$(1, \frac{1}{2})$	(1, 1)	$(1, \frac{1}{2})$
(p_-, q_-)	(1, 1)	(2, 1)	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	(2, 1)	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$

We will give a proof of Theorem 4.4 in §4.3. By taking the affine cosets of both hand sides (4.5), we obtain the following improvement of Theorem 4.3:

Corollary 4.5. For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ under (4.4), there exists an isomorphism of vertex algebras

$$C_{X^+}^k(n, m) \simeq C_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m).$$

Actually, this corollary will be obtained in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *For $Y = A, C, D, O$, $\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ is simple for all $\ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Take a nonzero ideal \mathcal{I} of $\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$. Since $\mathbf{KL}_{\ell_{\mathfrak{b}}}(\mathfrak{b})$ is semisimple, \mathcal{I} decomposes into

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathcal{I}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{V}_\lambda^{\ell_{\mathfrak{b}}}(\mathfrak{b})$$

under (4.1) for some $C_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ -submodules $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \subset C_{Y^-}^\ell(\lambda)$. Applying the functor $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, ? \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-])$, we obtain a nonzero ideal

$$\mathcal{I} = H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{I} \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-]) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathcal{I}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{V}_\lambda^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}^+}(\mathfrak{b})$$

of $\mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ by Theorem 4.4. It follows from Proposition 4.2 (1) that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ and thus $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.4. It is immediate from the equality

$$k_{\mathfrak{b}}^+ + \alpha_+ = -2h_{\mathfrak{b}}^\vee = \ell_{\mathfrak{b}}^- + \alpha_-$$

that we may apply $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \cdot)$ in the right-hand side of (4.5) and (4.6) except for $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{gl}_1, \cdot)$ appearing in $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{gl}_m, \cdot)$. The case $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{gl}_1, \cdot)$ follows from the isomorphism of Heisenberg vertex algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\sqrt{-1}h^\pm} \otimes \pi^{h^\mp} &\simeq \pi^{\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}} \otimes \pi^{\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}} \\ \sqrt{-1}h^\pm(z) \otimes 1 &\mapsto \alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z) \otimes 1 \mp u^\pm \otimes \alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z) \\ 1 \otimes h^\mp(z) &\mapsto -\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z) \otimes 1 \mp u^\mp \otimes \alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \sqrt{\frac{-n}{m+n}(k + h_{A^+}^\vee)}$ and thus $u^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{-n}(\ell + h_{A^-}^\vee)}$. Here $\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z)$ is the Heisenberg field satisfying the OPE $\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(z)\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}(w) \sim \pm m/(z-w)^2$. It induces the isomorphisms of their Fock modules

$$\pi_a^{\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}} \otimes \pi^{\alpha\sqrt{\pm m}} \simeq \pi_a^{\sqrt{-1}h^\pm} \otimes \pi_{-a}^{h^\mp}, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By using Theorem 3.3, we obtain, case by case, isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes A^1[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_+]) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{s_\lambda}^{\ell_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}^-}}(s_{\mathfrak{b}}), \\ H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-]) &\simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} \mathcal{C}_{Y^-}^\ell(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{V}_{s_\lambda}^{k_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}^+}}(s_{\mathfrak{b}}), \end{aligned}$$

as modules of $C_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{\ell_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}^-}}(s_{\mathfrak{b}})$ (resp. $C_{Y^-}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{k_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}^+}}(s_{\mathfrak{b}})$) and thus as modules of the subalgebra $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes V^{\ell_{\mathfrak{b}}}(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ (resp. $D_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes V^{k_{\mathfrak{b}}}(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m)$) by Theorem 4.3.

Finally, we apply Theorem 4.1 to complete the proof. By Theorem 3.3, we may associate with $A \in \rho_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}}$ or $\rho_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}}^\dagger$ the following (nonzero) cohomology class

$$\left[\text{str}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}} \otimes A \right], \quad \left[\text{str}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger} \otimes A \right],$$

respectively. Let \widehat{A} denote the above cohomology class. By using Table 3 and 5, we find case by case that the parity and conformal dimension of \widehat{A} agree with those of S_{nat} and S_{nat}^\dagger for $\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m)$, respectively. Then it follows from Corollary 3.6 that the pairing for the cohomology classes \widehat{A} , ($A \in \rho_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}}$, $\rho_{s_{\mathfrak{b}}}^\dagger$), is non-degenerate by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.3.

We first complete the proof of (4.6). Since $m \geq 0$, the PBW basis property implied by (P1) for $\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ implies that of $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-])$. Then by Theorem 4.1, we have a nonzero homomorphism of vertex superalgebras

$$\mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \rightarrow H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes A^{-1}[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_-]). \quad (4.7)$$

By Proposition 4.2 (1), it is injective and thus $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Y^-}^\ell({}^s\lambda)$ as $D_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ -submodules for all $\lambda \in R$. In particular, we have $C_{X^+}^k(n, m) \hookrightarrow C_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$. In the case $X = A, C$, this is indeed an isomorphism by Theorem 4.3. In the case $X = B, C, O$, these coset algebras are second order extensions of the same algebra $D_{X^+}^k(n, m) \simeq D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ by Theorem 4.3 and therefore $C_{X^+}^k(n, m) \simeq C_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ as well. Now, if $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is generic, then it follows from Proposition 4.2 (3) that $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Y^-}^\ell({}^s\lambda)$ is indeed an isomorphism of $C_{X^+}^k(n, m)$ -modules. Hence, the q -characters of $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Y^-}^\ell({}^s\lambda)$ coincide. Since the q -characters are all equal for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\lambda) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Y^-}^\ell({}^s\lambda)$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, (4.7) is an isomorphism of vertex algebras for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof of (4.6). In particular, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\rho_{\mathfrak{b}})$ (and $\mathcal{C}_{X^+}^k(\rho_{\mathfrak{b}}^\dagger)$ for $X = A$) has the PBW basis property implied by (P1) as a $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m)$ -module. Then we may apply Theorem 4.1 and obtain a (nonzero) homomorphism of

$$\mathcal{W}_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \rightarrow H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{b}, \mathcal{W}_{X^+}^k(n, m) \otimes A^1[\mathfrak{b}, \alpha_+]),$$

vertex superalgebras. It follows from (4.6) and Theorem 3.3 that it is an isomorphism as $D_{Y^-}^\ell(n, m) \otimes V^{\ell^-}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules and thus as vertex superalgebras. This completes the proof of (4.5).

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

In this appendix, we prove Theorem 3.3 when \mathfrak{g} is simple. We set $\kappa_1 = k\kappa_0$, $\kappa_2 = \ell\kappa_0$ by using the normalized invariant bilinear form κ_0 and thus $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We will use the decompositions of the loop algebra $L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] = L^+\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}] = \mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus L^-\mathfrak{g}$ with $L^\pm\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}]t^{\pm 1}$.

A.1. Spectral sequences. In order to prove Theorem 3.3, we consider spectral sequences in general setting. Given an object $M = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\Delta$ in \mathbf{KL}_{-2h^\vee} , let us write $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = C^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M) = C_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$) and introduce on it a filtration $F_\bullet\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ in the following way: we define a grading $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus_{\Delta_F \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta_F}^\bullet(M)$ by setting

$$\Delta_F(X_n) = n, \quad \Delta_F(\varphi_{i,n}) = |n|, \quad \Delta_F(\varphi_{i,n}^*) = -|n|, \quad \Delta_F|_{M_\Delta} = -\Delta \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and then

$$F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus_{\Delta_F \leq -p} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta_F}^\bullet(M), \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The filtration $F_\bullet\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ is decreasing, exhaustive and separated, i.e. satisfies $F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) \supset F_{p+1}\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$, $\cup_p F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ and $\cap_p F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = 0$, respectively. Since the differential d preserves the filtration $d: F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$, we have the associated spectral sequence

$$E_1^F = H^\bullet(\text{gr}_F \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_F d) \Rightarrow E_\infty^F = \text{gr}_F H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Note that by Remark 3.1, the spectral sequence converges. The induced differential decomposes into $\text{gr}_F d = d_1^F + d_2^F$ with

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^F &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i} x_{i(n)} \varphi_{i,-n}^* - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q \geq 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k} c_{i,j}^k \varphi_{i,-p}^* \varphi_{j,-q}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{k,-p-q}^*}, \\ d_2^F &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q > 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k + \bar{x}_i + \bar{x}_j} c_{i,j}^k \varphi_{k,-p-q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i,-p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{j,-q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then it follows that the complex $(\text{gr}_F \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_F d)$ decomposes into the tensor product

$$(\text{gr}_F \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_F d) \simeq \left(M \otimes \bigwedge \partial^n \varphi_i^*, d_1^F \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge \partial^n \varphi_i, d_2^F \right).$$

and that the first component in the right-hand side is nothing but the Chevalley–Eilenberg cochain complex of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ with coefficients in M and the second component the chain complex of $L^-\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . Therefore, $E_1^F \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}[t], M) \otimes H_\bullet(L^-\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$.

By restricting $F_\bullet\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M)$, namely, $F_p\mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M) \cap F_p\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$, we obtain a similar spectral sequence

$$E_{1,\text{rel}}^F = H^\bullet(\text{gr}_F \mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_F d) \Rightarrow E_{\infty,\text{rel}}^F = \text{gr}_F H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$$

with $\text{gr}_F d = d_{1,\text{rel}}^F + d_2^F$ where

$$d_{1,\text{rel}}^F = \sum_{n>0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i} x_{i,n} \varphi_{i,-n}^* - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q>0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k} c_{i,j}^k \varphi_{i,-p}^* \varphi_{j,-q}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{k,-p-q}^*}.$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,\text{rel}}^F &= H^\bullet \left(\left(M \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^{n+1} \varphi_i^*}, d_{1,\text{rel}}^F \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^n \varphi_i}, d_2^F \right) \right)^\mathfrak{g} \\ &\simeq H^\bullet \left(\left(M \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^{n+1} \varphi_i^*}, d_{1,\text{rel}}^F \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^n \varphi_i}, d_2^F \right) \right)^\mathfrak{g} \\ &= \left(H^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^+ \mathfrak{g}, M) \otimes H_\bullet(\mathbb{L}^- \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \right)^\mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the second line, we have used the commutativity of taking cohomology and \mathfrak{g} -invariant subspace, which follows from the complete reducibility of integrable \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Next, we introduce another filtration $G_\bullet \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ in the following way: we define a grading Δ_G in the following way: we replace (A.1) with

$$\Delta_G(X_n) = n, \quad \Delta_G(\varphi_{i,n}) = -|n|, \quad \Delta_G(\varphi_{i,n}^*) = |n|, \quad \Delta_G|_{M_\Delta} = -\Delta$$

and set $G_\bullet \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ by

$$G_p \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus_{\Delta_G \geq p} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta_G}^\bullet(M), \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Again, the filtration $G_\bullet \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M)$ is decreasing, exhaustive and separated. Since d preserves the filtration, we have the associated spectral sequence

$$E_1^G = H^\bullet(\text{gr}_G \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_G d) \Rightarrow E_\infty^G = \text{gr}_G H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$$

with $\text{gr}_G d = d_1^G + d_2^G$ where

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^G &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i} x_{i,-n} \varphi_{i,n}^* - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q \geq 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k} c_{i,j}^k : \varphi_{i,p}^* \varphi_{j,q}^* \varphi_{k,-p-q} : \\ d_2^G &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q > 0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k} c_{i,j}^k \varphi_{i,-p}^* \varphi_{j,-q}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{k,-p-q}^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

In this case, the complex $(\text{gr}_G \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_G d)$ decomposes into

$$(\text{gr}_G \mathcal{C}^\bullet(M), \text{gr}_G d) \simeq \left(M \otimes \bigwedge_{\varphi_i^*, \partial^n \varphi_i}, d_1^G \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^{n+1} \varphi_i^*}, d_2^G \right)$$

and the second component in the right-hand side is the cochain complex calculating $H^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^+ \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$. Although the first component lacks a clear meaning, by restricting to

$$\text{gr}_G \mathcal{C}_{\text{rel}}^\bullet(M) = \left(\left(M \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^{n+1} \varphi_i^*} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge_{\partial^n \varphi_i} \right) \right)^\mathfrak{g}$$

we find that the induced differential d_1^G acts on the first component by

$$d_{1,\text{rel}}^G = \sum_{n>0} x_{i,-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i,-n}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q>0} (-1)^{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_k + \bar{x}_i + \bar{x}_j} c_{i,j}^k \varphi_{k,-p-q} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i,-p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{j,-q}}.$$

Thus the first component in the right-hand side is the chain complex calculating $H_\bullet(\mathbb{L}^- \mathfrak{g}, M)$. Therefore, we have obtained a convergent spectral sequence with

$$E_{1,\text{rel}}^G \simeq \left(H_\bullet(\mathbb{L}^- \mathfrak{g}, M) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^+ \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \right)^\mathfrak{g}.$$

To summarize, we have obtained the following proposition.

Proposition A.1. *For an object M in \mathbf{KL}_{-2h^\vee} , we have convergent spectral sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,\text{rel}}^F &\simeq \left(H^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^+ \mathfrak{g}, M) \otimes H_\bullet(\mathbb{L}^- \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \right)^\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow E_\infty^F = \text{gr}_F H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M), \\ E_{1,\text{rel}}^G &\simeq \left(H_\bullet(\mathbb{L}^- \mathfrak{g}, M) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathbb{L}^+ \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) \right)^\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow E_\infty^G = \text{gr}_G H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, M). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $H^n(\mathbb{L}^+\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0$, $H_n(\mathbb{L}^-\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0$, ($n > 0$), then $H_{\text{rel}}^{\infty+n}(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0$ holds for $n \neq 0$.

A.2. Pairings between cohomology and homology. We make a digression for pairings between Lie superalgebra cohomology and homology

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}_1, M_1) \otimes H_n(\mathfrak{g}_2, M_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for (different) Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g}_i and their modules M_i ($i = 1, 2$) under suitable conditions, which we will use Proposition A.9 below. Let \mathfrak{g} be an arbitrary Lie superalgebra and M a \mathfrak{g} -module. The cochain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M is defined as

$$C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C^n(\mathfrak{g}, M), \quad C^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Sym}^n(\Pi\mathfrak{g}), M),$$

equipped with $d_n: C^n(\mathfrak{g}, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_n f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} (-1)^{A_i} x_i f(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{A_{i,j}} f([x_i, x_j] x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots \hat{x}_j \cdots x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \bar{x}_i + (\bar{f} + k_{i-1})(\bar{x}_i + 1), \\ A_{i,j} &= \bar{f} + (k_{i-1} + 1)(\bar{x}_i + 1) + (k_{j-1} + \bar{x}_i + 1)(\bar{x}_j + 1), \end{aligned}$$

with $k_i = \bar{x}_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_i + i$. Similarly, the chain complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M is defined as

$$C_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C_n(\mathfrak{g}, M), \quad C_n(\mathfrak{g}, M) = M \otimes \text{Sym}(\Pi\mathfrak{g}),$$

equipped with $\partial_n: C_n(\mathfrak{g}; M) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}; M)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n(\alpha \otimes x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^{B_i} (x_i \alpha) \otimes x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{B_{i,j}} \alpha \otimes [x_i, x_j] x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots \hat{x}_j \cdots x_n \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= (\bar{\alpha} + k_{i-1})(\bar{x}_i + 1), \\ B_{i,j} &= 1 + \bar{\alpha} + (k_{i-1} + 1)(\bar{x}_i + 1) + (k_{j-1} + \bar{x}_i + 1)(\bar{x}_j + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Remark A.2. The identification of the cochain (resp. chain) complex given above and the one in the vertex algebraic formulation appearing in §A.1 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes \wedge_{\varphi_i} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Sym}(\Pi\mathfrak{g}), M) \\ m \otimes \varphi_{i_1} \cdots \varphi_{i_n} &\mapsto [x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n} \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\bullet} \delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)} m], \\ M \otimes \wedge_{\varphi_i} &\xrightarrow{\cong} M \otimes \text{Sym}(\Pi\mathfrak{g}) \\ m \otimes \varphi_{i_1} \cdots \varphi_{i_n} &\mapsto m \otimes x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here S_n is the n -th symmetric group and the sign $(-1)^{\bullet}$ is assigned as

$$\varphi_{i_1} \varphi_{i_2} : x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \mapsto \delta_{i_2, j_1} \delta_{i_1, j_2} + (-1)^{(\bar{x}_{i_2} + 1)(\bar{x}_{j_1} + 1)} \delta_{i_1, j_1} \delta_{i_2, j_2}.$$

Given even linear maps

$${}^t: \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \Psi: M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

we may extend the pairing Ψ to the even pairing Ψ_\bullet on the whole complex by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n: C^n(\mathfrak{g}_1, M_1) \otimes C_n(\mathfrak{g}_2, M_2) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f \otimes (\alpha \otimes x_1 \cdots x_n) &\mapsto (-1)^{\bar{x}_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_n} \Psi(f({}^t x_n \cdots {}^t x_1) \otimes \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.3. *If Ψ_\bullet satisfies*

$$\Psi_{n+1}(d_n f \otimes P) = \Psi_n(f \otimes \partial_{n+1} P), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

then Ψ induces an even pairing $[\Psi_\bullet]: H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_1, M_1) \otimes H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}_2, M_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, if Ψ_\bullet is non-degenerate and ι is an isomorphism, then so is $[\Psi_\bullet]$.

This is immediate from the following statement in linear algebra:

Lemma A.4. *Let S_i and T_i ($i = 1, 2$) be vector superspaces (over \mathbb{C}) equipped with odd homomorphisms $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, $g: T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ and even pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i: S_i \otimes T_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $(f\alpha, \beta)_2 = (\alpha, g\beta)_1$ for $\alpha \in S_1$, $\beta \in T_2$. Then the pairings induce even pairings*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1: \text{Ker } f \otimes \text{Coker } g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2: \text{Coker } f \otimes \text{Ker } g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ are non-degenerate, then so are $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition A.5. *Let \mathfrak{g}_i ($i = 1, 2$) be Lie superalgebras and M_i ($i = 1, 2$) \mathfrak{g}_i -modules. Suppose that we have an even linear isomorphism $\iota: \mathfrak{g}_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}_1$ and a non-degenerate even pairing $\Psi: M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (as vector superspaces). Then the condition (A.6) holds if and only if*

- (P1) ι is an anti-isomorphism of Lie superalgebras,
- (P2) Ψ satisfies $\Psi(m_1 \otimes x.m_2) = \Psi({}^t x.m_1 \otimes m_2)$ ($x \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, $m_i \in M_i$).

Proof. It is straightforward to see that (A.6) for $n = 0$ is (P2) and then that (A.6) for $n = 1$ is (P1). We prove that (P1) and (P2) are sufficient. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and take $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Sym}^n(\Pi\mathfrak{g}_1), M_1)$ and $P = \alpha \otimes x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} \in M_2 \otimes \text{Sym}^{n+1}(\Pi\mathfrak{g}_2)$. Firstly, we have

$$\Psi_{n+1}(d_n f, P) = (-1)^{\star} \Psi(d_n f({}^t x_1 \cdots {}^t x_{n+1}), \alpha)$$

with $\star = \sum_p \bar{x}_p + \sum_p (\bar{x}_p + 1)k_{p-1}$. Then the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\star + A_i} \Psi({}^t x_i f({}^t x_1 \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_i \cdots {}^t x_{n+1}), \alpha) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{\star + A_{i,j}} \Psi(f([{}^t x_i, {}^t x_j] {}^t x_1 \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_i \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_j \cdots {}^t x_{n+1}), \alpha) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\star + A_i} \Psi(f({}^t x_1 \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_i \cdots {}^t x_{n+1}), x_i \alpha) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{\star + A_{i,j} + \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j + 1} \Psi(f({}^t [x_i, x_j] {}^t x_1 \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_i \cdots {}^t \hat{x}_j \cdots {}^t x_{n+1}), \alpha) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{A_i + \bar{x}_i + \sum_p (\bar{x}_p + 1)k_{p-1}} \Psi(f, x_i \alpha \otimes x_{n+1} \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_1) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{\star + A_{i,j} + \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j + 1} \Psi(f, \alpha \otimes x_{n+1} \cdots \hat{x}_j \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_1 [x_i, x_j]) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{B_i} \Psi(f, x_i \alpha \otimes x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{B_{i,j}} \Psi(f, \alpha \otimes [x_i, x_j] x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots \hat{x}_j \cdots x_{n+1}) \\ & = \Psi_n(f, \partial_{n+1} P). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

A.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3. The following is well-known.

Proposition A.6 (e.g. [Fu]). *For an arbitrary Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , and a free $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M , we have $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, M) \simeq \delta_{n,0}M/\mathfrak{g}M$.*

Proof. We include a sketch of proof for the completeness of the paper. Since free modules are inductive limit of direct sums of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and inductive limit commutes with taking cohomology, we may assume $M = U(\mathfrak{g})$ from the beginning. Then it is straightforward to see $H_0(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{g}U(\mathfrak{g}) (\simeq \mathbb{C})$ and thus it suffices to show $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$. By using a PBW filtration $U_{\leq n}(\mathfrak{g})$, we define the following decreasing filtration on the complex

$$F_p C_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus_{n+m=p} U_{\leq n}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Sym}^m(\Pi\mathfrak{g}).$$

The filtration $F_\bullet C_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is exhaustive, separated and preserved by the differential. Thus we have the associated spectral sequence

$$E_1 = H_\bullet(\text{gr}_F C_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})), \text{gr}_F \partial) \Rightarrow E_\infty = \text{gr}_F H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

which converges since each $C_n(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is finitely filtered. Now, $\text{gr}_F C_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, U(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\Pi\mathfrak{g})$ with

$$\text{gr}_F \partial: \alpha \otimes x_1 \cdots x_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{B_i} x_i \alpha \otimes x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_n.$$

Then it is straightforward to show that the spectral sequence collapses at the $r = 1$: $E_1^{p,q} \simeq \delta_{(p,q),(0,0)} \mathbb{C}$. This completes the proof. \square

Applying it to the tensor products of Weyl modules as $L^- \mathfrak{g}$ -modules, we obtain:

Corollary A.7. *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

- (1) $H_n(L^- \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k) \simeq \delta_{n,0} L_\lambda$,
- (2) $H_n(L^- \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_\mu^\ell) = 0$ ($n > 0$).

Next, we will show the analogous statement for the cohomology.

Lemma A.8. *For $\lambda \in P_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, \mathbb{V}_λ^k is simple as a $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the purely even case and thus we only sketch it. Note that $L_0 = \int T(z)zdz$ acts on \mathbb{V}_λ^k semisimply and the L_0 -eigenspace decomposition is $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^k = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda, \Delta_\lambda + n}^k$ with $\Delta_\lambda = \frac{(\lambda|\lambda + 2\rho)}{2(k+h\nu)}$. Each $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda, \Delta_\lambda + n}^k$ is finite dimensional and $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda, \Delta_\lambda}^k = L_\lambda$. Suppose that \mathbb{V}_λ^k has a proper submodule N . Then N must have a highest weight vector v and gives rise to a non-zero homomorphism $\mathbb{V}_\mu^k \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k$ for some $\mu \in P_+$. By considering the L_0 -eigenvalue of v , we have $\Delta_\mu = \Delta_\lambda + n$ for some $n \geq 1$, which contradicts $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof. \square

To apply Proposition A.5, we use the anti-isomorphism of Lie superalgebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^t: & \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \\ & X_n & \mapsto & (\iota X)_{-n} \\ & K & \mapsto & K \end{array}$$

where ι is the Chevalley anti-involution of \mathfrak{g} . It is obvious that t restricts to ${}^t: L^- \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\simeq} L^+ \mathfrak{g}$. Let \mathbb{M}_λ^k denote the Verma module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by a highest weight vector $v_{\lambda,k}$ of highest weight $\lambda + k\Lambda_0$ and $\mathbb{M}_\lambda^k \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k$ the natural projection. Recall that \mathbb{M}_λ^k has a unique bilinear form

$$\Psi_{\lambda,k}: \mathbb{M}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{M}_\lambda^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad v_{\lambda,k} \otimes v_{\lambda,k} \rightarrow 1$$

which satisfies (P2) in Proposition A.5. It induces a non-degenerate bilinear form on the simple quotient $\Psi_{\lambda,k}: \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition A.9. *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, we have*

- (1) $H^n(L^+ \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k) \simeq \delta_{n,0} L_\lambda$,
- (2) $H^n(L^+ \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_\lambda^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_\mu^\ell) = 0$ ($n > 0$).

Proof. (1) is a direct consequence of Proposition A.5 and Corollary A.7. (2) is also immediate by using the non-degenerate bilinear form

$$\Psi_{\lambda,k} \otimes \Psi_{\mu,\ell}: (\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) \otimes (\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. It follows from Proposition A.1, Corollary A.7 (2) and Proposition A.9 (2) that $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) = 0$ ($n \neq 0$). Then, the character of $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell})$ is calculated by the Euler–Poincaré principle [CFL]. Let $\chi_{L_{\lambda}}$ denote the character of L_{λ} as a \mathfrak{g} -module, namely,

$$\chi_{L_{\lambda}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} e^{-\alpha})^{\bar{\alpha}}}$$

and set

$$\Pi(z, q) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{\alpha} q^n)^{\bar{\alpha}} (1 - (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} z^{-\alpha} q^n)^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{ch}[\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k](z, q) = \frac{q^{\frac{(\lambda|\lambda+2\rho)}{2(k+h^{\vee})}} \chi_{L_{\lambda}}(z)}{\Pi(z, q)}, \quad \text{sch} \left[\bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet} \right] (z, q) = \Pi(z, q)^2$$

and thus

$$\text{sch} \left[\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell} \otimes \bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet} \right] (z, q) = q^{\frac{|\lambda+\rho|^2 - |\mu+\rho|^2}{2(k+h^{\vee})}} \chi_{L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu}}(z). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Here we have used the relation $k + h^{\vee} = -(\ell + h^{\vee})$. Since $C_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) = (\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell} \otimes \bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have

$$\text{ch} \left[H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell}) \right] (z, q) = \text{sch} \left[(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell} \otimes \bigwedge_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet})^{\mathfrak{g}} \right] (z, q).$$

By (A.7), it follows from the complete reducibility of integrable \mathfrak{g} -modules that the right-hand side is

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_0, L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu}) q^{\frac{|\lambda+\rho|^2 - |\mu+\rho|^2}{2(k+h^{\vee})}} = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} q^{\frac{|\lambda+\rho|^2 - |\mu+\rho|^2}{2(k+h^{\vee})}}.$$

Therefore, $H_{\text{rel}}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+0}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^k \otimes \mathbb{V}_{\mu}^{\ell})$ is of one dimension and of the lowest conformal weight of the complex. The corresponding subcomplex is $(L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ with trivial differential and thus the cohomology is $(L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\mu})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ itself. Therefore, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] T. Arakawa, Chiral algebras of class S and Moore–Tachikawa symplectic varieties. [arXiv:1811.01577 [math.RT]].
- [ACL] T. Arakawa, T. Creutzig and A. R. Linshaw, W-algebras as coset vertex algebras. *Invent. Math.* **218** (2019), 145–195.
- [AG] S. Arkhipov, and D. Gaitsgory, Differential operators on the loop group via chiral algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **4** (2002), 165–210.
- [C] K. Coulembier, Homological algebra for $\text{osp}(1/2n)$, *Advances in Lie superalgebras*, Springer INdAM Ser., **7**, 19–34, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [CFL] T. Creutzig, B. Feigin, A. Linshaw, $N = 4$ superconformal algebras and diagonal cosets. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2021, no. 24, 18768–18811.
- [CG] T. Creutzig and D. Gaiotto, Vertex Algebras for S-duality, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **379** (2020) no. 3, 785–845.
- [CGL1] T. Creutzig, D. Gaiotto and A. R. Linshaw, S-duality for the Large $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **374** (2020) no. 3, 1787–1808.
- [CGL2] T. Creutzig, N. Genra and A. R. Linshaw, Category \mathcal{O} for vertex algebras of $\text{osp}_{1|2n}$, in preparation
- [CGN] T. Creutzig, N. Genra, S. Nakatsuka, Duality of subregular W-algebras and principal W-superalgebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, **383** (2021) 107685.
- [CGNS] T. Creutzig, N. Genra, S. Nakatsuka, R. Sato, Correspondences of categories for subregular W-algebras and principal W-superalgebras, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.* arXiv: 2014.00942 [math.RT].
- [CHS] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida and D. Stockall, Correlator correspondences for subregular W-algebras and principal W-superalgebras. *JHEP* **10** (2021), 032.
- [CH1] T. Creutzig and Y. Hikida, Correlator correspondences for Gaiotto–Rapčák dualities and first order formulation of coset models. *JHEP* **12** (2021), 144.
- [CH2] T. Creutzig and Y. Hikida, FZZ-triality and large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Liouville theory. [arXiv:2111.12845 [hep-th]].
- [CH3] T. Creutzig and Y. Hikida, Higher rank FZZ-dualities, *JHEP* **02** (2021), 140.
- [CKM] T. Creutzig, and S. Kanade, and R. McRae, Gluing vertex algebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, **396** (2022) 108174.

- [CL1] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, Trialities of W -algebras, to appear in Cambridge Journal of Mathematics, arXiv:2005.10234 [math.RT].
- [CL2] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, Trialities of orthosymplectic W -algebras, arXiv:2102.10224 [math.RT].
- [DK] A. De Sole, and V. Kac, Finite vs affine W -algebras, *Jpn. J. Math.*, **1**(1), 2006, 137–261.
- [DLM] C. Dong, and H. Li, and G. Mason, Compact automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **18**, 1996, 913–921.
- [Fe] B. Feigin, Semi-infinite homology of Lie, Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **39**, 1984, (2), 236, 195–196.
- [FF1] B. Feigin, and E. Frenkel, A family of representations of affine Lie algebras, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **43**, 1988, 5(263), 227–228.
- [FF2] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, Duality in W -algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not., IMRN*, (6):75–82, 1991.
- [Fr] E. Frenkel, Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level, *Adv. Math.* **195**, 2005, (2), 297–404.
- [FG] E. Frenkel and D. Gaiotto, Quantum Langlands dualities of boundary conditions, D -modules, and conformal blocks, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.*, **14** (2020) no.2, 199–313.
- [FGZ] I. Frenkel, H. Garland, G. Zuckerman. Semi-infinite cohomology and string theory. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **83**(22):8442–8446, 1986.
- [Fu] D. Fuks, Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Contemporary Soviet Mathematics*, New York, 1986.
- [FS] B. L. Feigin and A. M. Semikhatov. $W_n^{(2)}$ -algebras. *Nucl. Phys. B* **698** (2004), 409–449.
- [G] D. Gaiotto. The master chiral algebra. Gauge theory, geometric Langlands and vertex operator algebras, Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. March 2018, <https://www.perimeterinstitute.ca/videos/master-chiral-algebra>.
- [Ge] N. Genra, Screening operators for W -algebras. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **23** (2017), no. 3, 2157–2202.
- [GMS1] V. Gorbounov, and F. Malikov, and V. Schechtman, Gerbes of chiral differential operators, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), 1, 55–66.
- [GMS2] V. Gorbounov, and F. Malikov, and V. Schechtman, On chiral differential operators over homogeneous spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **26** (2001), 2, 83–106.
- [GR] D. Gaiotto and M. Rapčák, Vertex Algebras at the Corner, *JHEP* **01** (2019), 160.
- [KaW] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **1** (2007), 1–236.
- [KRW] V. Kac, S. Roan, and M. Wakimoto, Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **241**, 2003, 2–3, 307–342.
- [KW] V. Kac, and M. Wakimoto, Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras. *Adv. Math.* **185** (2004), no. 2, 400–458.
- [KL] S. Kanade, and A. Linshaw, Universal two-parameter even spin W_∞ -algebra, *Adv. Math.*, **355**, 2019, 106774.
- [KS] A. Klimyk, and K. Schmüdgen, Quantum groups and their representations, *Texts and Monographs in Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, xx+552.
- [L] A. Linshaw, Universal two-parameter W_∞ -algebra and vertex algebras of type $W(2, 3, \dots, N)$, *Compos. Math.*, **157**, 2021, 1, 12–82.
- [MSV] F. Malikov, V. Schechtman, and A. Vaintrob, Chiral de Rham complex, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **204**(2):439–473, 1999.
- [RS] V. Rittenberg and M. Scheunert, A remarkable connection between the representations of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n)$ and the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}(2n + 1)$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **83**, 1982, (1), 1–9.
- [M] Y. Moriwaki, Quantum coordinate ring in WZW model and affine vertex algebra extensions, arXiv:2111.11357 [math.QA]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, 632 CAB, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

Email address: creutzig@ualberta.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER

Email address: andrew.linshaw@du.edu

KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO INSTITUTES FOR ADVANCED STUDY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN

Email address: shigenori.nakatsuka@ipmu.jp

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: rsato@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp