

The 2-adic valuation of the general degree 2 polynomial in 2 variables

Shubham

School of Physical Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, 110067, India
shubham01nitw@gmail.com

Abstract

The p -adic valuation of a polynomial can be given by its valuation tree. This work describes the 2-adic valuation tree of the general degree 2 polynomial in 2 variables.

1 Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the highest power of a prime p that divides n is called the p -adic valuation of the n . This is denoted by $v_p(n)$. Legendre establishes the following result about p -adic valuation of $n!$ in [1]

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \rfloor = \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p-1};$$

where $s_p(n)$ is the sum of digits of n in base p . It is observed in [2] that 2-adic valuation of central binomial coefficient is $s_2(n)$ i.e.

$$v_2(C_n) = s_2(n) \text{ where } C_n = \binom{2n}{n}.$$

It follows from here that C_n is always an even number and $C_n/2$ is odd when n is a power of 2. It is called a closed form in [2]. The definition of closed form depends on the context. This has been discussed in [3, 4].

The work presented in [2] forms part of a general project initiated by Victor H. Moll et al to analyse the set

$$V_x = \{v_p(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\};$$

for a given sequence $x = \{x_n\}$.

The 2-adic valuation of $n^2 - a$ is studied in [2]. It is shown that $n^2 - a, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a simple closed form when $a \not\equiv 4, 7 \pmod{8}$. For these two remaining cases the valuation is quite complicated. It is studied by the notion of the valuation tree.

Given a polynomial $f(x)$ with integer coefficients, the sequence $\{v_2(f(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is described by a


tree. This is called the valuation tree attached to the polynomial f . The vertices of this tree corresponds to some selected classes

$$C_{m,j} = \{2^m i + j : i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

starting with the root node $C_{0,0} = \mathbb{N}$. The procedure to select classes is explained below in the example (1.1). Some notation for vertices of tree are introduced as follows:

Definition 1.1. *A residue class $C_{m,j}$ is called terminal if $v_2(f(2^m i + j))$ is independent of i . Otherwise it is called non-terminal. The same terminology is given to vertices corresponding to the class $C_{m,j}$. In the tree, terminal vertices are labelled by their constant valuation and non-terminal vertices are labelled by a $*$.*

Example 1.1. *Construction of valuation tree of $n^2 + 5$ is as follows: note that $v_2(1 + 5) = 1$ and $v_2(2 + 5)$ is 0. So node v_0 is non terminating. Hence it splits into two vertices and forms the first level. These vertices correspond to the residue classes $C_{1,0}$ and $C_{1,1}$. We can check that both these nodes are terminating with valuation 0 and 1. So the valuation tree of $n^2 + 5$ is given as follows:*



The main theorem of [2] is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let v be a non-terminating node at the k -th level for the valuation tree of $n^2 + 7$. Then v splits into two vertices at the $(k + 1)$ -level. Exactly one of them terminates, with valuation k . The second one has valuation at least $k + 1$.*

The 2-adic valuation of the Stirling numbers is discussed in [5]. The numbers $S(n, k)$ are the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into exactly k non-empty subsets where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. These are explicitly given by

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} (k-i)^n;$$

or, by the recurrence

$$S(n, k) = S(n-1, k-1) + kS(n-1, k);$$

with initial condition $S(0, 0) = 1$ and $S(n, 0) = 0$ for $n > 0$.

The 2-adic valuation of $S(n, k)$ can be easily determined for $1 \leq k \leq 4$ and closed form expression is given as follows:

$$v_2(S(n, 1)) = 0 = v_2(S(n, 2));$$

$$v_2(S(n, 3)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$v_2(S(n, 4)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The important conjecture described there is that the partitions of \mathbb{N} in classes of the form

$$C_{m,j}^{(k)} = \{2^m i + j : i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and starts at the point where } 2^m i + j \geq k \}$$

leads to a clear pattern for $v_2(S(n, k))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. We recall that the parameter m is called the level of the class. The main conjecture of [5] is now stated:

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then we conjecture that*

- (a) *there exists a level $m_0(k)$ and an integer $\mu(k)$ such that for any $m \geq m_0(k)$, the number of non-terminal classes of level m is $\mu(k)$, independently of m ;*
- (b) *moreover, for each $m \geq m_0(k)$, each of the $\mu(k)$ non-terminal classes splits into one terminal and one non-terminal subclass. The latter generates the next level set.*

This conjecture is only established for the case $k = 5$. A similar conjecture is given in [6] for the p -adic valuation of the Stirling numbers.

In this work, we discuss the set

$$V_f = \{v_p(f(m, n)) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\};$$

where $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$, by the generalized notion of the *valuation tree*. We believe that the p -adic valuation of two variable polynomials has not been studied before. We define the p -adic valuation tree as follows:


Definition 1.2. *Let p be a prime number. Consider the integers $f(x, y)$ for every (x, y) in \mathbb{Z}^2 . The p -adic valuation tree of f is a rooted, labelled p^2 -ary tree defined recursively as follows:*

*Suppose that v_0 be a root vertex at level 0. There are p^2 edges from this root vertex to its p^2 children vertices at level 1. These vertices correspond to all possible residue classes $(i_0, j_0) \pmod{p}$. Label the vertex corresponding to the class (i_0, j_0) with 0 if $f(i_0, j_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and with * if $f(i_0, j_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If the label of a vertex is 0, it does not have any children.*

*If the label of a vertex is *, then it has p^2 children at level 2. These vertices correspond to the residue classes $(i_0 + i_1 p, j_0 + j_1 p) \pmod{p^2}$ where $i_1, j_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ and $(i_0, j_0) \pmod{p}$ is the class of the parent vertex.*

This process continues recursively so that at the l^{th} level, there are p^2 children of any non-terminating vertex in the previous level $(l - 1)$, each child of which corresponds to the residue classes $(i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{l-1}p^{l-1}, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{l-1}p^{l-1}) \pmod{p^l}$. Here $i_{l-1}, j_{l-1} \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ and $(i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{l-2}p^{l-2}, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{l-2}p^{l-2}) \pmod{p^{l-1}}$ is the class of the parent vertex. Label the vertex corresponding to the class (i, j) with $l - 1$ if $f(i, j) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$ and $*$ if $f(i, j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$. Thus $i = i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{l-1}p^{l-1}, j = j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{l-1}p^{l-1}$.

Example 1.2. Valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y + 1$



So


$$v_2(x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y + 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if both } x, y \text{ are odd.} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence the 2-adic valuation of $x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y + 1$ admits a closed form.

2 Some examples of valuation tree

We will achieve our goal of finding the 2-adic valuation tree of general two degree polynomial by studying the 2-adic valuation tree of some polynomials.

Example 2.1. The 2-adic valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2$ is as follows:



By investigating the nature of above valuation tree, we find an interesting pattern. Its study leads to a striking result. We need some notation to state the result: Consider the binary representation of b_k and c_k ,

$$b_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2$$

$$c_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2 \text{ where } i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let v be a node at k -th level of the valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2$. Let the pair (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) is associated to the vertex v . If we have $i_0 = i_1 = \dots = i_{k-2} = j_0 = j_1 = \dots = j_{k-2} = 0$, Then*

1. *If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (0, 0)$ then all four children of node v will be labelled by $*$.*
2. *If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 1)$ then nodes descending from v at $k + 1, k + 2, \dots, (2k - 1)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $2k$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k-1$.*
3. *If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ then all nodes descending from v at $k + 1, k + 2, \dots, (2k - 2)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $(2k-1)$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k-2$.*

Proof. We are given that

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= b_{k-1} + 2^k i_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2 \\ c_k &= c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2 \text{ where} \\ & i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \{0, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

When $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (0, 0)$ then consider

$$\begin{aligned} & b_k^2 + c_k^2 \pmod{2^{k+1}} \\ & \equiv b_{k-1}^2 + c_{k-1}^2 \pmod{2^{k+1}}, \text{ since } i_{k-1} = j_{k-1} = 0 \\ & \text{but } b_{k-1} = c_{k-1} = 0 \text{ so all four children of node } v \text{ will be labelled by } *. \end{aligned}$$


Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{k+l-1}^2 + c_{k+l-1}^2 \pmod{2^{k+l}}, 1 \leq l \leq k \\ & = (2^{k-1} i_{k-1} + \dots + 2^{k+l-1} i_{k+l-1})^2 + (2^{k-1} j_{k-1} + \dots + 2^{k+l-1} j_{k+l-1})^2 \pmod{2^{k+l}} \\ & = 2^{2k-2} (i_{k-1} + 2i_k + \dots + 2^{l+1} i_{k+l-1})^2 + 2^{2k-2} (j_{k-1} + 2j_k + \dots + 2^{l+1} j_{k+l-1})^2 \pmod{2^{k+l}} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 1)$ then the least power of 2 in (1) is 2^{2k-1} . so nodes descending from v at $k + 1, k + 2, \dots, (2k - 1)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $2k$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k-1$.

If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ then the least power of 2 in equation (1) is 2^{2k-2} so nodes descending from v at $k + 1, k + 2, \dots, (2k - 2)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $(2k - 1)$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k-2$. \square

Example 2.2. *The 2-adic valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y$ has also a specific pattern:*



We can formulate the following result for the 2-adic valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y$:

Theorem 2.2. *Let v be a node labelled with $*$ at level k of the valuation tree of $x^2 + y^2 + xy + x + y$ for $k \geq 1$. Then v splits into four vertices at level $k + 1$. Exactly two of them are labelled with $*$ and two are labelled with k . The root vertex splits into three vertices with label $*$ and one vertex with label 0 .*

Proof. Let the pair (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) is associated to the vertex v . So

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= 2^k i_k + b_{k-1} \\ c_k &= 2^k j_k + c_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(i_0, j_0) \neq (1, 1)$

We want to find (i_k, j_k) such that

$$b_k^2 + c_k^2 + b_k c_k + b_k + c_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$

On putting the expression of b_k and c_k in above equation, we got

$$b_{k-1}^2 + c_{k-1}^2 + b_{k-1} c_{k-1} + b_{k-1} + c_{k-1} + 2^k (b_{k-1} j_k + c_{k-1} i_k + i_{k-1} + j_{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$

We know that $b_{k-1}^2 + c_{k-1}^2 + b_{k-1} c_{k-1} + b_{k-1} + c_{k-1} = a 2^k$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$ so above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} a 2^k + 2^k (b_{k-1} j_k + c_{k-1} i_k + i_k + j_k) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}} \\ &= a + b_{k-1} j_k + c_{k-1} i_k + i_k + j_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ &= i_k (j_0 + 1) + j_k (i_0 + 1) \equiv a \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now if $(i_0, j_0) = (0, 0)$ then (2) becomes $i_k + j_k \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $i_k + j_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are not terminating labelled with $*$. If $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 0)$ then equation(2) becomes $i_k \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $i_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are not terminating labelled with $*$. Similarly for $(i_0, j_0) = (0, 1)$, equation(2) becomes $j_k \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $j_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are not terminating labelled with $*$. \square

Example 2.3. *The 2-adic valuation tree of $xy + x + y + 1$ is as follows:*

If $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 0)$ then equation(3) becomes $i_k \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $i_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are not terminating labelled with $*$. Similarly for $(i_0, j_0) = (0, 1)$, equation(3) becomes $j_k \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $j_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are not terminating labelled with $*$.

If $(i_0, j_0) = (1, 1)$ then equation(3) becomes $0 \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Hence v splits into four nodes labelled by $*$ or k depending upon whether $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. \square

3 The algebraic meaning of an infinite branch of the valuation tree

In the last section, we have seen examples with infinite branches. But what is the algebraic meaning of a such a phenomena? From the definition of valuation tree we can deduce the following results:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $i_0, j_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $f(i_0, j_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then*

$$v_p(f(i, j)) = 0 \text{ for } i \equiv i_0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } j \equiv j_0 \pmod{p}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $i_0, j_0, i_1, j_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $f(i_0, j_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $f(i_0 + i_1p, j_0 + j_1p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ then*

$$v_p(f(i, j)) = 1 \text{ for } i \equiv i_0 + i_1p \pmod{p^2} \text{ and } j \equiv j_0 + j_1p \pmod{p^2}.$$

Continuing this process produces the next lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $i_0, j_0, i_1, j_1, \dots, i_n, j_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} f(i_0, j_0) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ f(i_0 + i_1p, j_0 + j_1p) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \\ f(i_0 + i_1p, j_0 + j_1p) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ f(i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{n-1}p^{n-1}, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{n-1}p^{n-1}) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}} \text{ and} \\ f(i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_n p^n, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_n p^n) &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then any $(i, j) = (i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_n p^n, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_n p^n) \pmod{p^{n+1}}$, satisfies

$$v_p(f(i, j)) = n.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Any infinite branch in the tree associated to polynomial $f(x, y)$ corresponds to a root of $f(x, y) = 0$ in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 .*

Proof. Let the sequence of indices generated to come an infinite branch of the tree at n -th level is $(a_n, b_n) = (i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{n-1}p^{n-1}, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{n-1}p^{n-1})$ where i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} and $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n-1} \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(i_0, j_0) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ f(i_0 + i_1p, j_0 + j_1p) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \\ f(i_0 + i_1p + i_2p^2, j_0 + j_1p + j_2p^2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$f(i_0 + i_1p + \dots + i_{n-1}p^{n-1}, j_0 + j_1p + \dots + j_{n-1}p^{n-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n} \text{ and}$$

$$f(i_0 + i_1p + i_2p^2 + \dots + i_np^n, j_0 + j_1p + j_2p^2 + \dots + j_np^n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

Now a_n and b_n satisfy: $0 \leq a_n, b_n \leq p^n$ and $a_n \equiv a_{n+1} \pmod{p^n}$, $b_n \equiv b_{n+1} \pmod{p^n}$. Hence sequences a_n and b_n are convergent to some element in the field \mathbb{Q}_p . Let (a_n, b_n) converges to (x, y) for $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since the polynomial $f(x, y)$ is continuous so $f(a_n, b_n)$ converges to $f(x, y)$. Now by lemma (3.3), we know that $v_p(f(a_n, b_n))$ tends to ∞ as n tends to ∞ so $f(a_n, b_n)$ tends to 0 when n tends to ∞ . Hence $f(x, y) = 0$. \square

Corollary 1. *The p -adic valuation $v_p(f(x, y))$ admits a closed form formula (there exist a natural number M such that $v_p(f(x, y)) < M \forall x, y$) if the equation $f(x, y) = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{Q}_p^2 .*

Since the polynomials in Examples (3.1-3.3) have zeros in \mathbb{Q}_2^2 so they do not admit closed form formula for 2-adic valuation.

4 The 2-adic valuation tree of $ax^2 + by^2$

We are slowly inching towards our goal of finding the 2-adic valuation tree of the general two degree polynomial $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. We can generalise Example (3.1). Consider the binary representation of b_k and c_k :

$$b_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2, \quad c_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2$$

where $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let v be a vertex at k -th level of the valuation tree of $ax^2 + by^2$ where $a = 2^n \alpha$, $b = 2^m \beta$, α and β are odd. Let $\gamma = \min(m, n)$. Suppose that the pair (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) , in the above notation is associated to vertex v . Further suppose that $i_0 = i_1 = \dots = i_{k-2} = j_0 = j_1 = \dots = j_{k-2} = 0$. Then*

1. *the pair $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (0, 0)$ implies all four children of vertex v are labelled by $*$.*
2. *If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 1)$ and if w is a vertex descending from v at l -th level then w is labelled by $*$ whenever $l \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, (2k+\gamma-1)\}$ and by $(2k+\gamma-1)$ for $l = 2k+\gamma$.*
3. *If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ and if w is a vertex descending from v at l -th levels then w is labelled by $*$ whenever $l \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, (2k+\gamma-2)\}$ and by $2k+\gamma-2$ when $l = 2k+\gamma-1$.*

Proof: We are given that

$$\begin{aligned}
b_k &= b_{k-1} + 2^k i_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2 \\
c_k &= c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2 \text{ where} \\
& i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \in \{0,1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (0,0)$ then consider

$$\begin{aligned}
ab_k^2 + bc_k^2 \pmod{2^{k+1}} &\equiv ab_{k-1}^2 + bc_{k-1}^2 \pmod{2^{k+1}}, k > 0 \\
\text{But } b_{k-1} = c_{k-1} = 0 &\text{ and so, all four children of node } v \text{ will be labelled by } *.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& ab_{k+l-1}^2 + bc_{k+l-1}^2 \pmod{2^{k+l}}, 1 \leq l \leq k \\
&= a(2^{k-1}i_{k-1} + \dots + 2^{k+l-1}i_{k+l-1})^2 + b(2^{k-1}j_{k-1} + \dots + 2^{k+l-1}j_{k+l-1})^2 \pmod{2^{k+l}} \\
&= 2^{2k+\gamma-2}(\alpha(i_{k-1} + 2i_k + \dots + 2^{l+1}i_{k+l-1})^2 + \beta(j_{k-1} + 2j_k + \dots + 2^{l+1}j_{k+l-1})^2) \pmod{2^{k+l}} \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1,1)$ then the least power of 2 in equation (4) is $2^{2k+\gamma-1}$. so nodes descending from v at $k+1, k+2, \dots, (2k+\gamma-1)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $(2k+\gamma)$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k+\gamma-1$.

If $(i_{k-1}, j_{k-1}) = (1,0)$ or $(0,1)$ then the least power of 2 in equation (4) is $2^{2k+\gamma-2}$ so nodes descending from v at $k+1, k+2, \dots, (2k+\gamma-2)$ -th levels will be labelled by $*$ and at $(2k+\gamma-1)$ -th level all nodes descending from v will be labelled by $2k+\gamma-2$.

5 The 2-adic valuation tree of the general polynomial $ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + g$

Let $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + g$, for $a, b, c, d, g \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following theorem describes the valuation tree of $f(x, y)$:

Theorem 5.1. *Let v be a vertex at k -th level of the valuation tree of $f(x, y)$ labelled by $*$ for $k > 1$. Then v splits into four vertices such that either all are non-terminating or two of them are non-terminating. For $k=1$, the labelling of vertices depends upon the coefficients of $f(x, y)$.*

Proof. We are given that $f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey$. Let (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) is associated to the vertex v at k -th level of the valuation tree. so we have

$$f(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}, \text{ where}$$

$$b_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2, c_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2,$$

Here $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $(b_0, c_0) = (i_0, j_0)$.

We want to find (i_k, j_k) such that

$$f(b_k, c_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

On putting the expression for (b_k, c_k) in the above equation we get

$$a(b_{k-1} + 2^k i_k)^2 + b(c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k)^2 + c(b_{k-1} + 2^k i_k)(c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k) + d(b_{k-1} + 2^k i_k) + e(c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k) + g \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}, k > 0.$$

But we know that $f(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ so $f(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) = \alpha 2^k, \alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence we want to find (i_k, j_k) such that

$$\alpha 2^k + 2^k [i_k (c c_{k-1} + d) + j_k (c b_{k-1} + e)] \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}},$$

That is

$$\alpha + i_k (c j_0 + d) + j_k (c i_0 + e) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

The following Table 5.1 gives the all possible cases for (i_k, j_k) when $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

| Serial no. | (i_k, j_k) | c | d | e | (i_0, j_0) | Label |
|------------|--------------|------|------|------|--------------|-------|
| 1 | (0,0) | - | - | - | - | * |
| 2 | (1,0) | odd | odd | - | (0,0),(1,0) | k |
| 3 | (1,0) | odd | odd | - | (0,1),(1,1) | * |
| 4 | (1,0) | odd | even | - | (0,0),(1,0) | * |
| 5 | (1,0) | odd | even | - | (1,1),(0,1) | k |
| 6 | (1,0) | even | odd | - | - | k |
| 7 | (1,0) | even | even | - | - | * |
| 8 | (0,1) | odd | - | odd | (0,0),(0,1) | k |
| 9 | (0,1) | odd | - | odd | (1,0),(1,1) | * |
| 10 | (0,1) | odd | - | even | (0,0),(1,0) | * |
| 11 | (0,1) | odd | - | even | (1,1),(0,1) | k |
| 12 | (0,1) | even | - | odd | - | k |
| 13 | (0,1) | even | - | even | - | * |
| 14 | (1,1) | odd | odd | even | (0,0),(1,1) | k |
| 15 | (1,1) | odd | odd | even | (1,0),(0,1) | * |
| 16 | (1,1) | odd | odd | odd | (0,0),(1,1) | * |
| 17 | (1,1) | odd | odd | odd | (1,0),(0,1) | k |
| 18 | (1,1) | odd | even | even | (0,0),(1,1) | * |
| 19 | (1,1) | odd | even | even | (1,0),(0,1) | k |
| 20 | (1,1) | odd | even | odd | (0,0),(1,1) | k |
| 21 | (1,1) | odd | even | odd | (1,0),(0,1) | * |
| 22 | (1,1) | even | odd | even | - | k |
| 23 | (1,1) | even | odd | odd | - | * |
| 24 | (1,1) | even | even | even | - | * |
| 25 | (1,1) | even | even | odd | - | k |


Table 5.1

Hence the theorem is proved for $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. For the case $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, we can find the appropriate label by interchanging the $*$ and k in the last column of Table 5.1. Hence the theorem is proved in this case as well. \square

6 One step towards the general polynomial

One step in the direction to find the valuation tree of general 2 variable polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} is the following theorem about the 2-adic valuation tree of degree 3 polynomial $x^2y + 5$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let v be a node labelled with $*$ at level k of the valuation tree of $x^2y + 5$ for $k \geq 1$. Then v splits into four vertices at level $k + 1$. Exactly two of them are labelled with $*$ and two are labelled with k . The root vertex splits into three vertices with label 0 and one vertex with label $*$.*



Proof. We are given that $f(x, y) = x^2y + 5$. Let (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) is associated to the vertex v at k -th level of the valuation tree. so we have

$$f(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}, \text{ where}$$

$$b_k = (i_k i_{k-1} \dots i_1 i_0)_2, \quad c_k = (j_k j_{k-1} \dots j_1 j_0)_2,$$

Here $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(b_0, c_0) = (i_0, j_0) = (1, 1)$.

We want to find (i_k, j_k) such that

$$f(b_k, c_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

On putting the expression for (b_k, c_k) in the above equation we get

$$b_{k-1}^2 c_{k-1} + 2^k j_k b_{k-1}^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}. \quad (5)$$

We are given that $f(b_{k-2}, c_{k-2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k-1}}$ so

$$b_{k-2}^2 c_{k-2} + 5 = 2^{k-1} a,$$

where $a \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence (5) becomes

$$2^k(b_{k-1}^2 j_k + a) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}} \quad (6)$$

Now observe that $b_{k-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k(j_k + a) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}} \text{ or} \\ j_k &\equiv a \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there are two vertices labelled with k descending from v with $j_k \not\equiv a \pmod{2}$ and other two vertices are non terminating labelled with $*$. \square

We can prove the theorem 6.1 by using the generalized Hensel Lemma [7].

Proof. Let $f(x, y) = (x^2 y + 5, x + 1)$ and $a = (1, 1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, 1) &= (6, 6) \text{ and } J_f(x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} 2xy & x^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -x^2 \\ \|f(1, 1)\|_2 &= \frac{1}{2}, |J_f(1, 1)|_2 = 1. \text{ Also } \|f(1, 1)\|_2^2 < |J_f(1, 1)|_2 \end{aligned}$$

So by generalized Hensel Lemma [7] there is a unique solution to $f(x, y) = (0, 0)$ in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 such that $\|(x, y) - (1, 1)\|_2 < 1$. The vector $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is the limit of sequence $\alpha_n = \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$ where $\alpha_1 = a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2x_n y_n & x_n^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_n^2 y_n + 5 \\ x_n + 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Let the pair (b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) is associated to vertex v . So

$$f(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k},$$

Also from above equation we will get $(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}) = (-1, -5) \pmod{2^k}$

Now using the above expression in (7) we get

$$\alpha_{k+1} = (b_k, c_k) = (-1, -5) \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$

Hence $f(b_k, c_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$.

Similarly, on replacing $x+1$ by $y+5$ in $f(x, y)$ we will get

$$(b_k, c_k) = (1, -5) \pmod{2^{k+1}} \text{ and}$$

$$f(b_k, c_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$

Hence by definition we found two nodes of the valuation tree of $x^2 y + 5$ labelled by $*$. \square

References

- [1] A. M. Legendre. Th'ories des Nombres. Firmin Didot Fr'eres, Paris, 1830.

- [2] A. Byrnes J. Fink, G. Lavigne, I. Nogue, S. Rajasekaran, A. Yuan, L. Almodovar, X. Guan, A. Kesarwani, L. Medina, E. Rowland, V. H. Moll. A closed-form solution might be given by a tree. the valuation of quadratic polynomials.
- [3] Borwein, M. Jonathan. Crandall, E.Richard. Closed forms: what they are and why we care. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (2013), no. 1, 50–65. 33F05
- [4] Chow, Y. Timothy. What is a closed-form number? Amer. Math. Monthly 106 (1999), no. 5, 440–448. 11U09 (03C40 11J81 68W30)
- [5] Amdeberhan, Tewodros; Manna, Dante; Victor H Moll. The 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers. Experiment. Math. 17 (2008), no. 1, 69–82.
- [6] A. Berribeztia, L. Medina, A. Moll, V. Moll, and L. Noble. The p-adic valuation of Stirling numbers. Journal for Algebra and Number Theory Academia, 1:1–30, 2010.
- [7] K. Conrad. https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs_gradnumthy/multivarhensel.pdf