

GENUINE VS. NAÏVE SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL G -CATEGORIES

TOBIAS LENZ

ABSTRACT. We prove that through the eyes of equivariant *weak* equivalences the genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories of [GMMO20] are equivalent to just ordinary symmetric monoidal categories with G -action. Along the way, we give an operadic model of global infinite loop spaces and provide an equivalence between the equivariant category theory of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories and the G -parsummable categories studied in [Sch19, Len20b].

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 2 |
| 1. Preliminaries on equivariant homotopy theory | 4 |
| 1.1. Equivariant model structures | 4 |
| 1.2. Functoriality | 5 |
| 1.3. Equivariant operads | 6 |
| 2. Equivariant category theory | 10 |
| 2.1. Functoriality | 15 |
| 2.2. Geometric realization | 16 |
| 2.3. Equivariant categorical operads and algebras | 17 |
| 2.4. Genuine and naïve permutative G -categories | 19 |
| 3. G -global operads | 20 |
| 3.1. G -global homotopy theory and G -global category theory | 20 |
| 3.2. Internal G -global operads | 21 |
| 3.3. External G -global operads | 22 |
| 3.4. G -global vs. G -equivariant coherent commutativity | 26 |
| 4. Parsummability | 28 |
| 4.1. Tameness and the box product | 28 |
| 4.2. The box product as an operadic product | 32 |
| 4.3. \mathcal{I} -algebras vs. parsummability | 33 |
| 5. Equivariant algebraic K -theory and the K -theory of group rings | 39 |
| 6. Categorical vs. simplicial algebras | 43 |
| 7. Modelling genuine G - E_∞ -algebras by naïve ones | 44 |
| 8. Categories internal to G -spaces and their algebras | 48 |
| 8.1. Realization | 48 |
| 8.2. Topological vs. simplicial categories | 48 |
| 8.3. Simplicial vs. ordinary categories | 51 |
| References | 55 |

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55P91, 55P48, Secondary 19D23, 55U35.

Key words and phrases. Genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories, operads, parsummable categories, equivariant infinite loop spaces, G -global homotopy theory, equivariant algebraic K -theory.

INTRODUCTION

Commutative monoids up to coherent homotopy play an important role in algebraic topology, not least because of their close connection to stable homotopy theory: every coherently commutative monoid can be delooped to a connective spectrum, and this construction is an important tool to obtain stable homotopy types from data of a more algebraic nature, in particular featuring prominently in the modern construction of the algebraic K -theory of rings [May74]. Over the years, several equivalent approaches to the subject have been studied, in particular May’s operadic approach in terms of E_∞ -algebras [May72], Segal’s theory of *special Γ -spaces* [Seg74], and various ‘ultra-commutative’ models [SS12].

Equivariantly, i.e. with respect to the action of a finite group G , the theory becomes more subtle: namely, if one wants such *G -equivariantly coherently commutative monoids* to deloop to *genuine G -spectra* in the sense of equivariant stable homotopy theory, one has to encode additional algebraic structure in the form of additive norms, intuitively corresponding to certain ‘twisted’ sums. In the operadic approach, this leads to the notion of *genuine G - E_∞ -operads* and their algebras, the *genuine G - E_∞ -algebras*. However, in many practical contexts the latter are much harder to construct than their non-equivariant counterparts: in particular, if we equip an ordinary E_∞ -algebra with a G -action, even a trivial one, this does not yield a genuine G - E_∞ -algebra in any natural way, but only a so-called *naïve* one. Similarly, when one wants to consider equivariant generalizations of algebraic K -theory, one faces the obstacle that typically the inputs one wants to consider only come to us as naïve symmetric monoidal G -categories, which are (pseudo)algebras over a certain E_∞ -operad $E\Sigma_*$ with trivial G -action, as opposed to the desired *genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories*.

To circumvent this issue, Guillou and May [GM17] introduced a general procedure (following Shimakawa) that builds a genuine symmetric monoidal G -category from a naïve one via some sort of Borel construction. However, as remarked in [GM17] and reiterated in [Mer17], these are actually the only examples they were able to construct, which naturally leads to the question whether other genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories exist. While Guillou and May originally expected this to be the case, we prove in this paper:

Theorem A (see Theorem 7.5). *The Guillou-May-Shimakawa construction yields an equivalence between the quasi-category of naïve symmetric monoidal G -categories (with respect to a certain explicit notion of weak equivalence) and the quasi-category of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories (with respect to the G -equivariant weak homotopy equivalences).*

In fact, Guillou and May more generally work with (genuine and naïve) symmetric monoidal G -categories internal to the category of topological spaces, and we also prove the analogue of Theorem A in this context, see Theorem 8.17. Note however that both of these crucially rely on using the G -equivariant *weak* equivalences—for the G -equivariant equivalences there are both trivial and non-trivial examples of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories not arising via their construction, see Remarks 7.10 and 7.11. However, at least for applications to equivariant algebraic K -theory the G -weak equivalences are fine enough; in particular, Theorem A allows us to derive a version of the main result of [Len20a] for genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories, which generalizes a non-equivariant result due to Thomason [Tho95]:

Theorem B (see Theorem 7.12). *The Guillou-May construction of equivariant algebraic K -theory exhibits the quasi-category of connective genuine G -spectra as a quasi-localization of the category of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories.*

We also prove a ‘non-group completed’ version of this in the spirit of Mandell’s non-equivariant result [Man10], see Theorem 6.2.

Global and G -global E_∞ -algebras. Our proof of Theorem A uses the language of G -global homotopy theory in the sense of [Len20a]. Intuitively speaking, 1-global (typically referred to simply as *global*) homotopy theory [Sch18] studies equivariant phenomena that exist universally across all suitable groups, while G -global homotopy theory generalizes this to the presence of an additional twist in the form of a group action and can be viewed as a synthesis of ordinary global and G -equivariant homotopy theory.

The main portion of the present paper is then devoted to developing a G -global version of the theory of E_∞ -algebras and connecting this to the Γ -space- and ultra-commutative approaches studied in [Len20a], which we hope to be interesting in its own right, and which already gives new results for $G = 1$. In particular, we introduce a notion of G -global E_∞ -operads and we prove:

Theorem C (see Theorem 4.28). *There exists an equivalence between the quasi-category of special G -global Γ -spaces [Len20a] and the quasi-category of \mathcal{O} -algebras for any G -global E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} .*

In fact, we develop the whole theory both for algebras in simplicial sets as well as algebras in categories. As an upshot, this then allows us to give a new model for the *category theory* of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories—which unlike the respective homotopy theories differs from the one for naïve symmetric monoidal G -categories—in terms of the G -parsummable categories studied in [Sch19, Len20b]:

Theorem D (see Theorem 4.29). *There exists an equivalence between the quasi-categories of genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories and of G -parsummable categories, both formed with respect to the G -equivariant equivalences of categories.*

G -parsummable categories are better behaved with respect to change of groups than genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories and they are moreover arguably easier to construct, as we illustrate by an example related to the algebraic K -theory of groups rings (see Section 5).

Related work. Recently, Barrero [Bar21] studied a notion of global E_∞ -algebras in Schwede’s orthogonal space model of global homotopy theory [Sch18]. Our approach presented here differs from his treatment in basically two ways:

Firstly, we work in a different model based on the ‘universal finite group.’ While this requires us to restrict to finite groups (as opposed to all compact Lie groups), this model is necessary to develop the corresponding theory for categories, and moreover this is what allows us to construct genuine G -global E_∞ -algebras of a more combinatorial or algebraic nature.

Secondly, and more importantly, we consider all operads and algebras with respect to the Cartesian product as opposed to the so-called box product used by Barrero. While this comes at the cost of various ‘ Σ -freeness’ conditions on the operads in question, this is more in line with the usual (non-)equivariant approach, and it is moreover in some sense one step further removed from the ultra-commutative

model of global coherent commutativity originally studied by Schwede in [Sch18]: for example, the analogous non-equivariant comparison between E_∞ -algebras in the usual sense and a certain notion of ultra-commutative monoids due to Sagave and Schlichtkrull [SS12] proceeds through E_∞ -algebras with respect to the box product.

Both of these changes actually facilitate the comparison to the equivariant theory developed by Guillou and May and in particular are important for the proofs of Theorems A and B.

Outline. In Section 1 we set the stage by recalling the equivariant homotopy theory of simplicial sets equipped with the action of a simplicial monoid and by introducing model structures for operadic algebras in this setting. Section 2 is then devoted to developing the analogous theory in the world of categories.

In Section 3 we specialize these results to the context of G -global homotopy theory and G -global category theory, and we moreover explain the relation between the G -global and G -equivariant approach. Afterwards, we relate the operadic approach to the models we studied in [Len20a] in Section 4, in particular proving Theorems C and D. As an application of these results, we construct a genuine symmetric monoidal G -category with interesting equivariant algebraic K -theory related to the usual algebraic K -theory of group rings in Section 5.

The short Section 6 explains the relation between the categorical and simplicial models. Afterwards, we prove Theorems A and B in Section 7 building on our work in [Len20b, Len20a]. Finally, Section 8 generalizes both of these as well as the results of Section 6 to the setting of categories internal to spaces.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Branko Juran for suggesting a simplification of the example in Warning 2.6. I am moreover grateful to Bastiaan Cossen for helpful discussions.

Much of the work on this paper was done when I was at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn, and I would like to thank them for their hospitality and support. This article was completed while I was in residence at Institut Mittag-Leffler in Djursholm, Sweden in early 2022 as a participant of the research program ‘Higher algebraic structures in algebra, topology and geometry,’ supported by the Swedish Research Council under grant no. 2016-06596.

1. PRELIMINARIES ON EQUIVARIANT HOMOTOPY THEORY

1.1. Equivariant model structures. In order to set the stage for our study of equivariant categories and their operads, we begin by recalling the relevant theory in the simplicial world. In order for this to be applicable to global and G -global homotopy theory in later sections, we will do all of this in the generality of *simplicial monoids*, i.e. (strict) monoids in the category \mathbf{SSet} or, equivalently, simplicial objects in the category of monoids.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a simplicial monoid and let \mathcal{F} be a collection of finite subgroups of the ordinary monoid M_0 . Then there exists a unique model structure on the category $\mathbf{M}\text{-}\mathbf{SSet}$ of simplicial sets with M -action in which a map f is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if f^H is a weak homotopy equivalence or Kan fibration, respectively, for every $H \in \mathcal{F}$. We call this the \mathcal{F} -model structure and its weak equivalences the \mathcal{F} -weak equivalences. It is simplicial, proper, and*

combinatorial with generating cofibrations

$$\{M/H \times (\partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n) : H \in \mathcal{F}, n \geq 0\}$$

and generating acyclic cofibrations

$$\{M/H \times (\Lambda_k^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n) : H \in \mathcal{F}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Moreover, filtered colimits and finite products in this model structure are homotopical.

Proof. See [Len20a, Proposition 1.1.1]. \square

In the case that $M = G$ is a discrete group, there is a classical characterization of the cofibrations of the \mathcal{F} -model structure, see e.g. [Ste16, Proposition 2.16]:

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be a discrete group and let \mathcal{F} be a family of (finite) subgroups of G , i.e. \mathcal{F} is non-empty and closed under passing to subconjugates. Then a map f is a cofibration in the \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ if and only if f is an injective cofibration (i.e. a cofibration of underlying simplicial sets) and moreover any simplex not in the image of f has isotropy contained in \mathcal{F} . \square*

In particular, if G is finite and $\mathcal{F} = \mathit{All}$ is the collection of all subgroups, then the cofibrations are precisely the injective cofibrations, while for general \mathcal{F} (and in particular for general simplicial monoids) there are far fewer cofibrations. However, we can still combine the injective cofibrations and the \mathcal{F} -weak equivalences into an *injective* (or ‘mixed’) model structure:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a simplicial monoid and let \mathcal{F} be any collection of finite subgroups of M_0 . Then there exists a unique model structure on $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ whose weak equivalences are the \mathcal{F} -weak equivalences and whose cofibrations are the injective cofibrations. We call this the injective \mathcal{F} -model structure. It is combinatorial, simplicial and, proper.*

Proof. See [Len20a, Corollary 1.1.14]. \square

1.2. Functoriality. In the study of equivariant operads, we will at several places need to know how the above model structures relate to each other as the monoid varies. In order to formulate the corresponding results, we first have to recall the following notion:

Definition 1.4. Let M, N be simplicial monoids. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset M_0 \times N_0$ is called a *graph subgroup* of $M \times N$ if there exists a subgroup $H \subset M_0$ and a homomorphism $H \rightarrow N_0$ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_{H,\varphi} := \{(h, \varphi(h)) : h \in H\}$; note that H and φ are uniquely characterized by the subgroup Γ .

We write $\mathcal{G}_{M,N}$ for the collection of all graph subgroups of $M \times N$. More generally, if \mathcal{F} is a collection of subgroups of M_0 , then we write $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},N}$ for the collection of all graph subgroups $\Gamma_{H,\varphi}$ with $H \in \mathcal{F}$.

Throughout let M be a simplicial monoid and let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite subgroups of M_0 . All of the following results are well-known at least for groups:

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$ be any group homomorphism. Then*

$$\alpha_! : (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},H}} \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}} : \alpha^* = (M \times \alpha)^*$$

is a Quillen adjunction with homotopical right adjoint.

Proof. See [Len20a, Lemma 1.1.15 and Example 1.1.20]. \square

Lemma 1.6. *Let G be any discrete group and assume $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}$ -weak equivalence in $(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-SSet}$ such that G acts freely on both X and Y . Then $f/G: X/G \rightarrow Y/G$ is an \mathcal{F} -weak equivalence.*

Proof. See [Len20a, Proposition 1.1.21]. \square

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ be an injective homomorphism of discrete groups. Then*

$$\alpha^*: (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}} \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},H}} : \alpha_*$$

is a Quillen adjunction; moreover, if $\text{im}(\alpha)$ has finite index in G , then α_ is fully homotopical.*

Proof. See [Len20a, Proposition 1.1.18 and Example 1.1.20]. \square

Corollary 1.8. *Let G be any discrete group, let $n \geq 0$, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}$ -weak equivalence in $(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-SSet}$. Then $f^{\times n}: X^{\times n} \rightarrow Y^{\times n}$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G \times \Sigma_n}$ -weak equivalence in $(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G} \times \Sigma_n)\text{-SSet}$ with respect to the Σ_n -action permuting the factors.*

Proof. This is a formal consequence of the previous results, also cf. [Len20a, proof of Corollary 1.4.68]:

Replacing M by $M \times G$ and \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}$, it suffices to prove the corresponding statement for $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ (i.e. where $G = 1$). This is trivial for $n = 0$; if $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\Sigma_n^1 \subset \Sigma_n$ the subgroup of permutations fixing 1, and we write $i: \Sigma_n^1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_n$ for the inclusion and $p: \Sigma_n^1 \rightarrow 1$ for the unique homomorphism. It is then straight-forward to check that $(-)^{\times n}: \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet} \rightarrow (\mathbf{M} \times \Sigma_n)\text{-SSet}$ factors up to isomorphism as the composite

$$\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet} \xrightarrow{p^*} (\mathbf{M} \times \Sigma_n^1)\text{-SSet} \xrightarrow{i_*} (\mathbf{M} \times \Sigma_n)\text{-SSet},$$

so that the claim follows from Lemmas 1.5 and 1.7. \square

1.3. Equivariant operads. Next, we will study operads in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ with respect to the Cartesian symmetric monoidal structures (i.e. operads with an M -action) as well as their algebras. I actually expect the results in this subsection to be known to experts, at least for discrete groups; however, as I am not aware of a place where these results appear in the literature, I have decided to give full proofs. This will at the same time allow us to already see several of the arguments we will employ in the categorical setting later without some of the technical baggage necessary there.

Construction 1.9. Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. The category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ comes with a forgetful functor $\text{forget}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ and it is naturally enriched, tensored, and cotensored over \mathbf{SSet} so that this forgetful functor strictly preserves cotensors.

The forgetful functor has a left adjoint, which we denote by \mathbf{P} ; explicitly, $\mathbf{P}X = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^{\times n}$ with the evident functoriality in X and with \mathcal{O} -algebra structure induced by operad structure maps of \mathcal{O} . The unit of the adjunction is given by the composition $X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \times X \hookrightarrow \text{forget } \mathbf{P}X$ where the first map is induced by the inclusion of the identity element of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, while the second one is the inclusion of the summand indexed by 1.

By abstract nonsense, there is then a unique way to make \mathbf{P} into a simplicially enriched functor such that $\mathbf{P} \dashv \text{forget}$ is a simplicially enriched adjunction, and with respect to this enrichment \mathbf{P} preserves tensors.

Theorem 1.10. *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. Then $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ carries a unique model structure in which a map is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if its image under the forgetful functor $\text{forget}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ is a weak equivalence or fibration, respectively, in the \mathcal{F} -model structure.*

We call this model structure the \mathcal{F} -model structure again. It is combinatorial, simplicial, and right proper. Moreover, filtered colimits in it are homotopical.

Finally, we have a Quillen adjunction

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{P}: \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet} \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}) : \text{forget}.$$

For $M = G$ a discrete group, the corresponding statement for algebras in orthogonal G -spectra (and suitable operads \mathcal{O}) can be found as [BH15, Proposition A.1].

Proof. While we cannot quite apply the results of [BM03] (since $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ is typically not a monoidal model category), a similar strategy works in our case:

Namely, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ is clearly locally presentable; by Quillen's Path Object Argument [BM03, 2.6] it will therefore be enough to show that $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ admits a fibrant replacement functor (i.e. an endofunctor P together with a natural transformation $\iota: \text{id} \Rightarrow P$ such that $\text{forget } PX$ is fibrant and $\text{forget } \iota_X$ is a weak equivalence for every X) as well as functorial path objects for fibrant objects (i.e. for every fibrant X a factorization $X \rightarrow X^I \rightarrow X \times X$ of the diagonal into a weak equivalence followed by a fibration that is functorial in maps of fibrant objects).

For the first statement we fix a fibrant replacement functor $\text{id} \Rightarrow P$ on \mathbf{SSet} such that P preserves finite limits, for example Kan's Ex^{∞} -functor with the natural transformation $e: \text{id} \Rightarrow \text{Ex}^{\infty}$ [Kan57] or the unit of the geometric realization-singular set adjunction $\mathbf{SSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top}$. As P preserves products, it lifts to a functor $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{M})\text{-SSet}$ and then to an endofunctor of $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ by restricting the action along $M \rightarrow P(M)$. As P preserves finite limits (hence in particular fixed points for finite groups) this lift is then a fibrant replacement functor for the \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. Using again that P preserves products, this then lifts to the desired fibrant replacement on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$.

For the second statement, we simply observe that the standard path object in \mathbf{SSet}

$$X \xrightarrow{\text{const}} \text{maps}(\Delta^1, X) \xrightarrow{(\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)} X \times X$$

preserves all (finite) limits, so arguing precisely as before this lifts to provide functorial path objects for fibrant objects in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ and $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$.

This completes the proof that the \mathcal{F} -model structure exists and is cofibrantly generated, hence combinatorial. The remaining statements follow easily from the corresponding statements for $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ and the fact that (1.1) is a simplicial adjunction. \square

Example 1.11. Let G be a finite group. A *naïve G - E_{∞} -operad* is an operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ such

$$\mathcal{O}(n)^H \simeq \begin{cases} * & H \subset G \times 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $H \subset G \times \Sigma_n$; here we have turned the right Σ_n -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ coming from the operad structure into a left action as usual. In particular, any E_∞ -operad in the usual non-equivariant sense becomes a naïve G - E_∞ -operad when equipped with the trivial G -action. The corresponding algebras are called *naïve G - E_∞ -algebras*.

As the name suggests (and alluded to in the introduction), naïve G - E_∞ -algebras are usually not the objects one wants to study in equivariant homotopy theory (unless $G = 1$). For example, from the point of view of *equivariant infinite loop spaces* [GM17], they only come with deloopings against the ordinary spheres S^1, S^2, \dots as opposed to deloopings against all representation spheres. Instead, we are interested in *genuine G - E_∞ -algebras*, which are algebras over so-called *genuine G - E_∞ -operads*. Here a genuine G - E_∞ -operad is an operad \mathcal{P} in $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}(n)^H \simeq \begin{cases} * & \text{if } H \in \mathcal{G}_{G, \Sigma_n} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $H \subset G \times \Sigma_n$, also see [GM17, Definition 2.1].

If $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is any map of operads, then it is clear from the definitions that $f^*: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ preserves weak equivalences, fibrations, limits, and filtered colimits as these are all created in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. Appealing to the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem, we therefore get a Quillen adjunction $f_! \dashv f^*$. We are now interested in the question when this Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence, for which we first have to talk about a suitable notion of weak equivalence of operads. As Example 1.11 suggests, this will be finer than just the levelwise weak equivalences and take the Σ_n -actions into account:

Definition 1.12. A map $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of operads in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ is called an \mathcal{F} -*weak equivalence* if $\mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_n}$ -weak equivalence for every $n \geq 0$.

However, already non-equivariantly categories of algebras are typically not invariant under weak equivalences between general operads, or, put differently, strict pointset level algebras only turn out to be the correct thing to study for suitably nice operads. This leads to the notion of Σ -*cofibrancy* [BM03, Remark 3.4], demanding that each $\mathcal{O}(n)$ be cofibrant in the projective model structure on Σ_n -objects. However, the operads of interest in the equivariant setting are typically *not* Σ -cofibrant—for example no genuine G - E_∞ -operad is. Instead we will use the following condition:

Definition 1.13. An operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ is called Σ -*free* if Σ_n acts freely on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Example 1.14. Let G be a finite group again. An operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ is a genuine G - E_∞ -operad if and only if it is Σ -free and the unique map $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow *$ to the terminal operad is a G -weak equivalence (i.e. an \mathcal{F} -weak equivalence for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}ll$ the collection of all subgroups of G).

Below we will show that \mathcal{F} -weak equivalences of Σ -free operads induce Quillen equivalences between their model categories of algebras. A standard way to prove this in purely model categorical language would proceed via a ‘cell induction’ argument to reduce the claim to free algebras. However, pushouts in categories of algebras are quite complicated, and while the appendix of [BM09] provides an explicit description, proving the comparison along these lines would become quite

involved. Instead, we will use ∞ -categorical language to recast this reduction argument into much simpler form using monadicity:

Proposition 1.15. *Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. Then the functor $\text{forget}^\infty : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty$ induced by the forgetful functor on associated quasi-categories is conservative and preserves Δ^{op} -shaped homotopy colimits. In particular, the adjunction $\mathbf{LP} \dashv \text{forget}^\infty$ induced by the Quillen adjunction (1.1) is monadic.*

The proof will rely on the following standard observation, also cf. [May72, Theorem 12.2] or [Sch18, Proposition 2.1.7] for similar results:

Proposition 1.16. *Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete category with finite products that is in addition enriched, tensored, and cotensored over \mathbf{SSet} . Assume further that the geometric realization functor $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves finite products. Then the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves geometric realizations for every operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. We write $\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ for the right adjoint of $|-|$ given by $(\mathbb{N}X)_n = X^{\Delta^n}$ with the evident functoriality in each variable, and we write \mathbb{N} for the right adjoint of geometric realization in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ defined analogously. The claim then amounts to saying that the canonical mate of the left hand square in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) & & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})) & \xleftarrow{\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{N})} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{forget}) \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & \text{id} & \text{forget} \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & \text{id} & \downarrow & \text{forget} \\ \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}} & \mathcal{C} & & \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism (here we used that the forgetful functor strictly preserves cotensors by construction). Using the canonical isomorphism $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})) \cong \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}))$ and the compatibility of mates with pasting, it is then enough to show this for the right hand square.

For this we then observe that $|-|$ lifts to a functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ as it preserves products, and so do the unit and counit of the adjunction $|-| \dashv \mathbb{N}$, inducing an adjunction $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(|-|) \dashv \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{N})$. However, with respect to these choices the canonical mate of the right hand square is even the identity (by the triangle identity for adjunctions), which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.15. By the ∞ -categorical Barr-Beck Theorem [Lur17, Theorem 4.7.3.5], it suffices to prove the first statement.

Conservativity is clear since weak equivalences in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ and $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})$ are saturated and since forget creates weak equivalences. For the remaining part, we observe that Δ^{op} -shaped homotopy colimits on both sides can be computed as geometric realizations of a Reedy cofibrant replacement (of a chosen strictification) by [Lur09, Corollary A.2.9.30]. On the other hand, geometric realization in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ is just given by taking diagonals (see e.g. [BF78, Proposition B.1]), hence fully homotopical by [Len20a, Lemma 1.1.52]. Thus, Proposition 1.16 shows that also geometric realization of \mathcal{O} -algebras is fully homotopical, i.e. Δ^{op} -shaped homotopy colimits can be computed by ordinary geometric realization in either category. The claim then follows from another application of Proposition 1.16. \square

We can now finally prove:

Theorem 1.17. *Let $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be an \mathcal{F} -weak equivalence of Σ -free operads in $\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$. Then the Quillen adjunction*

$$(1.2) \quad f_!: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})_{\mathcal{F}} \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})_{\mathcal{F}} : f^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

For $M = G$ a finite group, an alternative proof using the bar construction is mentioned in [GM17, discussion after Remark 4.11]. The corresponding statement for (suitable) algebras in genuine G -spectra also appears without proof as [BH15, Theorem A.3].

Proof. It is clear that (1.2) is a Quillen adjunction with homotopical right adjoint, so it suffices that $(f^*)^{\infty}$ is an equivalence of quasi-categories. For this we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} & \xrightarrow{(f^*)^{\infty}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M}\text{-SSet})_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} \\ \text{forget}^{\infty} \downarrow & \Rightarrow & \downarrow \text{forget}^{\infty} \\ \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} \end{array}$$

which commutes up to the natural isomorphism induced by the identity transformation $\text{forget} \Rightarrow \text{forget} \circ f^*$. As both vertical functors are monadic (Proposition 1.15), it suffices by [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.3.16] that the canonical mate $\mathbf{LP}_{\mathcal{O}} \Rightarrow (f^*)^{\infty} \circ \mathbf{LP}_{\mathcal{P}}$ of the above transformation is an equivalence. Unravelling definitions, this amounts to saying that for each (cofibrant) $X \in \mathbf{M}\text{-SSet}$ the map

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n$$

induced by f is an \mathcal{F} -weak equivalence. As Σ_n acts freely on both $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{P}(n)$, this is in turn immediate from Lemma 1.6. \square

Remark 1.18. A standard trick, see e.g. [GM17, discussion after Remark 4.11], shows that any two genuine $G\text{-}E_{\infty}$ -algebras \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} are weakly equivalent through Σ -free operads: namely, it suffices to consider the zig-zag $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ given by the projections. Thus, the previous theorem implies that the model categories of \mathcal{O} - and \mathcal{P} -algebras are Quillen equivalent.

Similarly one shows that any two naïve $G\text{-}E_{\infty}$ -operads have Quillen equivalent categories of algebras.

2. EQUIVARIANT CATEGORY THEORY

Remember that the category \mathbf{Cat} of small categories carries a *canonical model structure* [Rez96] whose weak equivalences are the equivalences of categories, whose cofibrations are those functors that are injective on objects, and whose fibrations are the *isofibrations*, i.e. those functors with the right lifting property against the inclusion $* \rightarrow E\{0, 1\}$ of either object, where E denotes the right adjoint of $\text{Ob}: \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, sending a set X to the indiscrete category with object set X . This model structure is proper, Cartesian, and combinatorial. Moreover, the functor $\text{grpdfy} \circ \text{h}: \mathbf{SSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ sending a simplicial set to its fundamental groupoid is easily seen to be left Quillen (with right adjoint given by taking the nerve of the maximal subgroupoid) and it preserves finite products. Thus, any \mathbf{Cat} -enriched

model category becomes a simplicial model category by transporting the enrichment, tensoring, and cotensoring along this adjunction; in particular, \mathbf{Cat} itself becomes a simplicial model category.

One of the key objects of study in this paper is the following equivariant generalization of the canonical model structure:

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a categorical monoid (i.e. a strict monoidal category) and let \mathcal{F} be a collection of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$. Then there is a unique model structure on the category $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ of small categories with strict M -action in which a map f is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if f^H is an equivalence of categories or isofibration, respectively, for each $H \in \mathcal{F}$. We call this the \mathcal{F} -model structure and its weak equivalences the \mathcal{F} -equivalences. It is right proper, \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence simplicial), and combinatorial with generating cofibrations*

$$\{M/H \times i : H \in \mathcal{F}, i \in I_{\mathbf{Cat}}\}$$

and generating acyclic cofibrations

$$\{M/H \times j : H \in \mathcal{F}, j \in J_{\mathbf{Cat}}\}$$

for arbitrary choices of generating (acyclic) cofibrations $I_{\mathbf{Cat}}, J_{\mathbf{Cat}}$ of \mathbf{Cat} .

Finally, the \mathcal{F} -equivalences are stable under filtered colimits and arbitrary products.

Proof. Let us first show that the model structure exists, which we will do by transferring along the functor $((-)^H)_{H \in \mathcal{F}}: \mathbf{M-Cat} \rightarrow \prod_{H \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{Cat}$ (whose left adjoint is given by sending $(C_H)_{H \in \mathcal{F}}$ to $\prod_{H \in \mathcal{F}} M/H \times C_H$).

It is clear that $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ is locally presentable and that every object in it is fibrant; by Quillen's Path Object Argument it will therefore suffice to construct functorial path objects. Just as in the simplicial setting, these can be obtained from the usual path objects

$$C \xrightarrow{\text{const}} \text{Fun}(E\{0, 1\}, C) \xrightarrow{(\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)} C \times C$$

in \mathbf{Cat} by pulling through the G -actions; here we used that the canonical model structure is Cartesian. This completes the proof of the existence of the model structure and shows that it is combinatorial with the above generating (acyclic) cofibrations.

The model structure is right proper and \mathbf{Cat} -enriched because it is transferred from a right proper \mathbf{Cat} -enriched model structure along a \mathbf{Cat} -enriched adjunction. Finally, filtered colimits and small limits in \mathbf{Cat} can be computed pointwise, so filtered colimits commute with finite limits in \mathbf{Cat} as they do so in \mathbf{Set} . We conclude that filtered colimits in the \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ are homotopical as they are obviously homotopical in \mathbf{Cat} , and likewise for products. \square

For discrete groups, we can give an easy description of the above cofibrations in analogy with the usual characterization for cofibrations of G -simplicial sets which we recalled in Lemma 1.2:

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $M = G$ is a discrete group and that $1 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then a map $i: A \rightarrow B$ is a cofibration in the \mathcal{F} -model structure if and only if it is an injective cofibration (i.e. injective on objects) and every object not contained in the image of i has isotropy in \mathcal{F} .*

In particular, if G is finite and $\mathcal{F} = \text{All}$, then every object is cofibrant.

Proof. We first observe that all generating cofibrations are injective cofibrations and satisfy the above isotropy condition. As the class of all such functors is closed under retracts, pushouts, and transfinite compositions (using that Ob preserves colimits), we conclude that every \mathcal{F} -cofibration satisfies the above properties.

To complete the proof it therefore suffices to show that any functor $i: A \rightarrow B$ that is injective on objects and satisfies the above isotropy condition has the left lifting property against each \mathcal{F} -acyclic fibration $p: C \rightarrow D$. For this we consider any lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & C \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow \sim p \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & D. \end{array}$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $\text{Ob}(A)$ is a subset of $\text{Ob}(B)$ and that i is given on objects by the inclusion. To define λ on objects, we first pick orbit representatives $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ for the G -action on $\text{Ob}(B)$. We now set $\lambda(g.b_i) = \alpha(g.b_i)$ whenever $b_i \in A$; otherwise, we write H for the stabilizer of b_i and observe that $H \in \mathcal{F}$ by assumption, so that $p^H: C^H \rightarrow D^H$ is an acyclic fibration in \mathbf{Cat} , hence in particular surjective on objects. Thus, we can pick $c_i \in C^H$ with $p(c_i) = \beta(b_i)$, and we set $p(g.b_i) = g.c_i$ for all $g \in G$. We omit the easy verification that this is well-defined and G -equivariant, that it extends α on objects, and that $p\lambda(b) = \beta(b)$ for all $b \in B$.

Next, we define λ on morphisms as follows: given any $f: b \rightarrow b'$ in B , we have $p\lambda(b) = \beta(b)$ and $p\lambda(b') = \beta(b')$ by the above, so there is a unique map $g: \lambda(b) \rightarrow \lambda(b')$ with $p(g) = \beta(f)$ as p is fully faithful. We then set $\lambda(f) := g$. We omit the easy verification that this is well-defined and G -equivariant. By construction, $p\lambda = \beta$, and moreover $\lambda i = \alpha$ on objects. To prove that λi and α also agree on morphisms, it is enough by full faithfulness to prove this after postcomposition with p , in which case this follows from the equalities $p\lambda i = \beta i = p\alpha$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *For $M = G$ a finite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{All}$, the \mathcal{F} -equivalences are precisely the equivalences in the 2-category of G -categories, G -equivariant functors, and G -equivariant natural transformations.*

We will refer to these simply as ‘ G -equivalences’ below.

Proof. By the previous lemma, all objects of $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ are cofibrant-fibrant. Thus, the Abstract Whitehead Theorem shows that a map is an \mathcal{All} -equivalence if and only if it is a homotopy equivalence. Picking the cylinder objects

$$C \amalg C \xrightarrow{(\text{incl}_0, \text{incl}_1)} C \times E\{0, 1\} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} C$$

coming from the \mathbf{Cat} -enrichment, the claim follows immediately. \square

Next, we want to introduce a variant of the above model structure which has more cofibrations. For this we will have to restrict to families of subgroups (as opposed to general collections):

Proposition 2.4. *Let M be any categorical monoid and let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$. Then the \mathcal{F} -equivalences are stable under pushout along injective cofibrations. In particular the \mathcal{F} -model structure is left proper.*

Proof. As \mathcal{F} is closed under passing to subgroups, a map in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ is an \mathcal{F} -weak equivalence if and only if it is an H -equivalence for each $H \in \mathcal{F}$. As moreover pushouts in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ and $\mathbf{H-Cat}$ are both created in \mathbf{Cat} , we are therefore reduced to the case that $M = H$ is a finite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{All}$ is the family of all subgroups.

But in this situation the cofibrations of the \mathcal{F} -model structure are precisely the injective cofibrations. In particular, every object is cofibrant and hence $\mathbf{H-Cat}$ is left proper by abstract nonsense, which immediately implies the claim. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be any categorical monoid and let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$. Then there exists a unique model structure on $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ whose weak equivalences are the \mathcal{F} -equivalences and whose cofibrations are the injective cofibrations. We call this the injective \mathcal{F} -model structure. It is combinatorial, proper, \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence simplicial), and Cartesian.*

Proof. To prove that the model structure exists and that it is combinatorial and proper, it suffices by [Len20a, Corollary A.2.18] and the existence of the *non-equivariant* injective model structure on $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ that pushouts of \mathcal{F} -equivalences along injective cofibrations are \mathcal{F} -equivalences, which is precisely the content of the previous proposition.

Next, let us show that the model structure is Cartesian. It is clear that the unit is cofibrant, so that it only remains to verify the Pushout Product Axiom. This is obvious for cofibrations as \mathbf{Cat} is Cartesian, so it only remains to show the induced map in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times C & \xrightarrow{i \times C} & B \times C \\
 A \times j \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow k \\
 A \times D & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \\
 & \searrow i \times D & \nearrow B \times j \\
 & & B \times D
 \end{array}$$

is an \mathcal{F} -equivalence for any cofibration $i: A \rightarrow B$ and any acyclic cofibration $j: C \rightarrow D$. For this we observe that $A \times j$ and $B \times j$ are \mathcal{F} -equivalences, and so is k by left properness. The claim now follows by 2-out-of-3.

The proof that this model structure is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched is analogous. \square

Warning 2.6. In the simplicial world, *Elmendorf's Theorem* [Elm83] provides an alternative description of the homotopy theory of G -simplicial sets in terms of fixed point systems. More precisely, we define for any discrete group G and any collection \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G the *orbit category* $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the full subcategory of $\mathbf{G-SSet}$ spanned by the transitive G -sets of the form G/H with $H \in \mathcal{F}$. We then obtain a functor $\Phi: \mathbf{G-SSet} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{SSet})$ given by $\Phi(X)(G/H) = \text{maps}^G(G/H, X)$ with the evident functoriality in both variables, and Elmendorf's Theorem says that this is an equivalence of homotopy theories with respect to the \mathcal{F} -weak equivalences on the source and the levelwise weak equivalences on the target.

We caution the reader that the corresponding statement is *not* true in our situation, even if $M = G$ is a finite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{All}$. Indeed, if Φ were to induce an equivalence of associated quasi-categories, it would have to preserve homotopy pushouts, and hence so would any of the fixed point functors $(-)^H \cong \text{ev}_{G/H} \circ \Phi$ for $H \in \mathcal{F}$. By the previous theorem this would imply that pushouts along injective

cofibrations commute with fixed points up to equivalence; this is however not the case as the following example for $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ shows:

We consider the ‘fork’ $x \rightrightarrows y \rightarrow z$ where the arrow $y \rightarrow z$ equalizes the two arrows $x \rightrightarrows y$. Comparing corepresented functors then shows that the pushout of

$$(2.1) \quad (x \rightrightarrows y \rightarrow z) \leftarrow (x \rightrightarrows y) \rightarrow *$$

is simply the category $y \rightarrow z$ (with the evident maps). We now make this square into a diagram in $\mathbb{Z}/2\text{-Cat}$ by letting the non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ exchange the two arrows $x \rightrightarrows y$ and act trivial otherwise; it follows formally that this is then a pushout square again.

However, the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -fixed points of (2.1) are given by

$$(2.2) \quad (x \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{y} \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} z) \leftarrow (x \quad y) \rightarrow *$$

and the pushout of this is the category $y \rightrightarrows z$.

Note that the same example shows that the injective \mathcal{F} -model structure does not exist in general. Namely, if the injective model structure for $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbb{Z}/2\}$ existed, then it would necessarily be left proper as all G -categories are injectively cofibrant. However, the inclusion of (2.2) into (2.1) is a levelwise \mathcal{F} -equivalence, while the induced map on pushouts isn’t.

Remark 2.7. Let $M = G$ be a finite discrete group and let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite subgroups of G ; we now want to construct some fibrant objects of the injective \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ in more concrete terms.

To this end, we let Q be a cofibrant replacement of the terminal category $*$ in the usual \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. Then $Q^H = \emptyset$ for any $H \notin \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 2.2, so $Q \times -$ sends \mathcal{F} -equivalences to G -equivalences by direct inspection. On the other hand, it clearly preserves injective cofibrations, so that we get a Quillen adjunction

$$Q \times - : \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{injective } \mathcal{F}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{All}} : \text{Fun}(Q, -);$$

in particular $\text{Fun}(Q, C)$ is injectively fibrant for any G -category C . While we will not do this here, it is in fact not hard to show by some abstract nonsense about Bousfield localizations of model categories that a G -category C is injectively fibrant if and only if the map $C \rightarrow \text{Fun}(Q, C)$ induced by the projection is an All -equivalence, also cf. [Hau17, discussion after Proposition 1.12] for the corresponding (classical) statement for simplicial sets.

As one particular instance of this, let us consider the case that $\mathcal{F} = \text{Triv}$ consists only of the trivial group. Then we have a standard choice of Q as the indiscrete category EG with G -action induced by the left regular action of G ; in particular, $\text{Fun}(EG, C)$ is injectively fibrant for any G -category C . G -categories of this form play a central role in Merling’s treatment of equivariant algebraic K -theory [Mer17], and several of the key properties established there actually become formal consequences of injective fibrancy: in particular, Ken Brown’s Lemma shows that $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ takes underlying equivalences to G -equivalences [Mer17, Proposition 2.16], while the Abstract Whitehead Theorem shows that any underlying equivalence between categories of the form $\text{Fun}(EG, C)$ is already a G -equivalence, which immediately implies Lemma 2.8 of *op. cit.*

Finally, we can use this to answer a question raised by Merling as [Mer17, Question 3.5]: namely, she shows in Proposition 3.3 of *op. cit.* how $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ lifts to a functor from the category of G -objects and *pseudoequivariant functors* (i.e. pseudo-natural transformations of functors $BG \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_2$ into the 2-category of categories)

to just G -objects and *strictly* equivariant functors, and she asks whether all equivariant functors $\text{Fun}(EG, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(EG, D)$ arise this way.

This is indeed the case: first we observe that the non-equivariant equivalences in $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$ are the just the usual level weak equivalences, so [Cis19, Theorem 7.9.8 and Remark 7.9.7] together with [Hin16, 1.4.3] implies that the canonical map $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{N}(BG), \mathbf{N}_\Delta(\mathbf{Cat}))$ is a quasi-localization at the non-equivariant equivalences, where we view \mathbf{Cat} as simplicially enriched in the same way as before. This map can be identified up to equivalence with the nerve of the inclusion of $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$ into the strict 2-category $\text{Fun}_2(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_2)$ of strict G -objects, pseudoequivariant functors, and pseudoequivariant natural isomorphisms (i.e. invertible modifications); alternatively, one can use a standard argument due to Dwyer and Kan recalled in [Len20a, Proposition A.1.10] to directly prove that the latter map is a quasi-localization. In any case we in particular see that we have an ordinary 1-categorical localization $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \text{hFun}_2(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_2)$.

On the other hand, $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$ is a model category in which every object is cofibrant, so the natural map of the 1-category of injectively fibrant G -objects into the category $\text{hFun}_{2,\text{strict}}(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_{\text{fibrant},2})$ of injectively fibrant (strict) G -objects and isomorphism classes of strictly G -equivariant maps is also a localization. By direct inspection, Merling's construction is compatible with invertible modifications, so that it descends to a map $\text{hFun}_2(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_2) \rightarrow \text{hFun}_{2,\text{strict}}(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_{\text{fibrant},2})$ yielding a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(EG, -)} & \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{fibrant}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hFun}_2(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(EG, -)} & \text{hFun}_{2,\text{strict}}(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_{\text{fibrant},2}). \end{array}$$

Thus, we can view her construction as the derived functor of $\text{Fun}(EG, -): \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{fibrant}}$. As the latter is homotopy inverse to the inclusion we see that Merling's construction is an equivalence, hence in particular fully faithful as desired.

Finally, we remark that a slightly more elaborate version of the above argument actually shows that her construction extends to induce for every $C, D \in \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$ an equivalence between the category of pseudoequivariant functors $C \rightarrow D$ and pseudoequivariant transformations between such to the category of strictly equivariant functors and strictly natural transformations between $\text{Fun}(EG, C)$ and $\text{Fun}(EG, D)$.

2.1. Functoriality. We now discuss some functoriality properties of the above model structures analogous to the situation for simplicial sets. Throughout, we let M be a categorical monoid and \mathcal{F} a family of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ be any group homomorphism. Then*

$$\alpha_!: (M \times H)\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}, H} \rightleftarrows (M \times G)\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}, G} : \alpha^*$$

is a Quillen adjunction with homotopical right adjoint.

Proof. One immediately checks from the definitions that α^* preserves weak equivalences as well as fibrations. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be any discrete group and assume $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}, G}$ -equivalence in $(M \times G)\text{-Cat}$ such that G acts freely on both C and D . Then $f/G: C/G \rightarrow D/G$ is an \mathcal{F} -equivalence.*

Proof. As before we reduce to the case that $M = H$ is a finite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}ll$. In this situation, both C and D are cofibrant (Lemma 2.2), so the claim follows by applying the previous lemma to the unique homomorphism $G \rightarrow 1$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\alpha: H \rightarrow G$ be an injective homomorphism of discrete groups. Then*

$$\alpha^*: (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}} \rightleftarrows (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H})\text{-Cat}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},H}} : \alpha_*$$

is a Quillen adjunction and α_ is fully homotopical.*

Proof. It suffices to show that α_* preserves fibrations and weak equivalences, for which we may again reduce to the case that $M = K$ is a finite discrete group and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}ll$. In this situation, Lemma 2.2 shows that α^* is left Quillen, so α_* is right Quillen and hence homotopical by Ken Brown's Lemma. \square

Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 1.8 we get:

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be any discrete group, let $n \geq 0$, and let $f: C \rightarrow D$ be a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G}$ -equivalence in $(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G})\text{-Cat}$. Then $f^{\times n}: C^{\times n} \rightarrow D^{\times n}$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F},G \times \Sigma_n}$ -equivalence in $(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{G} \times \Sigma_n)\text{-Cat}$ with respect to the Σ_n -action permuting the factors.* \square

2.2. Geometric realization. As \mathbf{Cat} is cocomplete and tensored over \mathbf{SSet} , we can talk about the *geometric realization* of a simplicial object $C_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$. Explicitly, this is given by the coend

$$\int^{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \Delta^n \otimes C_n = \int^{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{grpdy}(\mathbf{h}\Delta^n) \times C_n$$

with the evident \mathbf{Cat} -enriched functoriality. More generally, if M is any categorical monoid, then $\mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}$ likewise acquires a notion of geometric realization, and this can be explicitly computed as the geometric realization in \mathbf{Cat} together with the induced M -action.

Just like we did in the simplicial setting, we want to use geometric realization to compute Δ^{op} -homotopy colimits in $\mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}$ and in the corresponding categories of algebras. For this we will need:

Proposition 2.12. *The geometric realization functor*

$$(2.3) \quad |-|: \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}$$

sends levelwise \mathcal{F} -equivalences to \mathcal{F} -equivalences for every family \mathcal{F} of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$.

Proof. We equip $\mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}$ with the *injective \mathcal{F} -model structure*. As this is simplicial, (2.3) is left Quillen with respect to the Reedy model structure on the source. To complete the proof it is then enough by Ken Brown's Lemma that every object of the source is Reedy-cofibrant.

For this we observe that the functor $\text{discr} \circ \text{Ob}: \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{SSet}$ is cocontinuous and creates cofibrations. In particular, $X \in \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat})$ is Reedy cofibrant if and only if $\text{discr} \text{Ob} X$ is a Reedy cofibrant bisimplicial set. The claim follows immediately as every bisimplicial set is Reedy cofibrant. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let M be any categorical monoid. Then the geometric realization functor $|-|: \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\text{-Cat}$ preserves finite products.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the corresponding statement for \mathbf{Cat} . As the canonical natural transformation $|- \times |- \Rightarrow |-| \times |-|$ is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched and since both source and target preserve \mathbf{Cat} -tensors and colimits in each variable separately, it suffices to check this on pairs of represented functors, hence in particular on levelwise discrete simplicial categories. As the functor $\text{discr}: \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat})$ preserves products, it is therefore enough to prove the claim after restricting along discr .

Claim. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}) & \xrightarrow{\text{discr}} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow |-| \\ \mathbf{SSet} & \xrightarrow{\text{grpdfyoh}} & \mathbf{Cat} \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphisms.

Proof. It suffices to construct the natural isomorphism after restricting to Δ , where we just take the isomorphisms $\text{grpdfy}(\mathfrak{h}\Delta^m) \rightarrow \int^{[n]} \text{grpdfy}(\mathfrak{h}\Delta^n) \times \text{Hom}([n], [m])$ provided by the co-Yoneda Lemma. \triangle

The lemma follows as $\text{grpdfy} \circ \mathfrak{h}: \mathbf{SSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ preserves finite products. \square

2.3. Equivariant categorical operads and algebras. Fix a categorical monoid M and a family \mathcal{F} of finite subgroups of $\text{Ob}(M)$. In this section we want to study operads \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ and in particular the associated categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})$ of algebras.

Construction 2.14. Analogously to the simplicial situation, the forgetful functor $\text{forget}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M-Cat}$ has a left adjoint \mathbf{P} which we can explicitly describe by the formula $\mathbf{P}C = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} C^{\times n}$ with the evident functoriality in C and the \mathcal{O} -algebra structure induced by operad structure maps of \mathcal{O} .

Again, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})$ is enriched, tensored, and cotensored over \mathbf{Cat} (with cotensors created in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$), and the adjunction $\mathbf{P} \dashv \text{forget}$ is then naturally a \mathbf{Cat} -enriched adjunction.

Theorem 2.15. *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$. Then there exists a unique model structure on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})$ in which a map is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if it is so in the \mathcal{F} -model structure on $\mathbf{M-Cat}$. We call this the \mathcal{F} -model structure again. It is combinatorial, right proper, \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence simplicial), and filtered colimits in it are homotopical. Moreover, the free-forgetful adjunction*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{P}: \mathbf{M-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat}) : \text{forget}$$

is a Quillen adjunction with homotopical right adjoint.

Proof. It is clear that $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})$ is locally presentable, so to prove that the transferred model structure along $\mathbf{P} \dashv \text{forget}$ exists and is combinatorial, right proper, and \mathbf{Cat} -enriched, it is again enough to construct functorial path objects.

For this we observe that $\text{Fun}(E\{0, 1\}, C)$ inherits a natural \mathcal{O} -algebra structure from C as $\text{Fun}(E\{0, 1\}, -)$ is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched and product-preserving. With respect to this algebra structure, the maps

$$C \xrightarrow{\text{const}} \text{Fun}(E\{0, 1\}, C) \xrightarrow{(\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)} C \times C$$

are then \mathcal{O} -algebra maps, so this provides the desired path object by the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

We can now prove again that the model categories of algebras are invariant under suitable equivalences of Σ -free operads:

Definition 2.16. A map $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of operads in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ is called an \mathcal{F} -equivalence if $f(n): \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_n}$ -equivalence for every $n \geq 0$ (where we turn the right Σ_n -action into a left one as usual).

Theorem 2.17. Let $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be an \mathcal{F} -equivalence of Σ -free operads in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$. Then the Quillen adjunction

$$(2.5) \quad f_! : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})_{\mathcal{F}} \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})_{\mathcal{F}} : f^*$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

This will again rely on a monadicity argument:

Proposition 2.18. Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \text{forget}^{\infty} : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{M-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty}$$

is conservative and preserves Δ^{op} -shaped homotopy colimits. In particular, the adjunction $\mathbf{LP} \dashv \text{forget}^{\infty}$ is monadic.

Proof. Arguing as in Proposition 1.15, the only non-trivial statement is that (2.6) preserves Δ^{op} -homotopy colimits. However, these can again simply be computed via geometric realization as geometric realizations in $\mathbf{M-Cat}$ are fully homotopical by Proposition 2.12, and hence so are geometric realizations in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})$ by Proposition 1.16 together with Lemma 2.13. The claim then simply follows from another application of Proposition 1.16. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.17. It is again clear that (2.5) is a Quillen adjunction with homotopical right adjoint, so it suffices that $(f^*)^{\infty}$ is an equivalence of quasi-categories. For this we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} & \xrightarrow{(f^*)^{\infty}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{M-Cat})_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} \\ \text{forget}^{\infty} \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \text{forget}^{\infty} \\ \mathbf{M-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{M-Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\infty} \end{array}$$

which commutes up to the natural isomorphism induced by the identity transformation $\text{forget} \Rightarrow \text{forget} \circ f^*$. By monadicity, it will once more suffice that the canonical mate of the above transformation is an equivalence, which amounts to saying that for each (cofibrant) $C \in \mathbf{M-Cat}$ the map

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} C^n \rightarrow \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} C^n$$

induced by f is an \mathcal{F} -equivalence. This is in turn immediate from Lemma 2.9. \square

2.4. Genuine and naïve permutative G -categories. Let $M = G$ be a finite discrete group. We close this section by discussing some examples of operads and their algebras that will be of special interest to us throughout this article.

Example 2.19. Analogously to the simplicial situation, an operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ will be called a *naïve G - E_∞ -operad* if each $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is G -equivariantly equivalent to the terminal category and $\mathcal{O}(n)^H = \emptyset$ for any $H \subset G \times \Sigma_n$ not contained in G . Such an operad is in particular Σ -free, and as in the simplicial setting we get equivalences $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ for any two naïve G - E_∞ -operads \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} .

Again the prototypical example is an E_∞ -operad \mathcal{Q} in \mathbf{Cat} that we equip with the trivial G -action. A \mathcal{Q} -algebra in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ is then the same data as a G -object in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{Cat})$. A particularly important example of \mathcal{Q} for us is the (*categorical*) *Barratt-Eccles operad* $E\Sigma_*$ whose n -ary operators are given by the category $E\Sigma_n$ with the evident right Σ_n -action. There is then a *unique* way to make $E\Sigma_*$ into an operad; we refer the reader to [May74, Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.4] for details. Any permutative category gives rise to an algebra over $E\Sigma_*$ by [May74, Lemmas 4.3–4.5], and as observed e.g. in [GM17, Proposition 4.2] without proof this yields an isomorphism between the category $\mathbf{PermCat}$ of permutative categories and *strict* symmetric monoidal functors and $\text{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*}(\mathbf{Cat})$. As an upshot of all of this, we can identify $\text{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ with the category $\mathbf{G-PermCat}$ of G -objects in $\mathbf{PermCat}$. Following [GM17, 4.1], we will refer to the objects of $\mathbf{G-PermCat}$ as *naïve permutative G -categories*.

Example 2.20. Similarly, a Σ -free operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ will be called a *genuine G - E_∞ -operad* if the unique map $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow *$ is a G -equivalence, i.e. each $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is $\mathcal{G}_{G, \Sigma_n}$ -equivalent to the terminal category.

If \mathcal{P} is a Σ -free operad such that each $\mathcal{P}(n)$ is *non-equivariantly* equivalent to the terminal category, then we can build a genuine G - E_∞ -operad \mathcal{P}^{EG} from this as follows, also see [GM17, Definition 4.4]: we set $\mathcal{P}^{EG}(n) = \text{Fun}(EG, \mathcal{P}(n))$ with the induced Σ_n -action and G acting via the diagonal of its action on $\mathcal{P}(n)$ and the left action induced by its right regular action on EG ; the structure maps of \mathcal{P}^{EG} are induced from the ones of \mathcal{P} in the obvious way. In particular, we can apply this to the Barratt-Eccles operad (equipped with trivial G -action), yielding a genuine G - E_∞ -operad $E\Sigma_*^{EG}$ with n -ary operations given by $\text{Fun}(EG, E\Sigma_*)$. Following [GM17, Definition 4.5], we will refer to $E\Sigma_*^{EG}$ -algebras as *genuine permutative G -categories*.

As observed by Guillou and May in Proposition 4.6 of *op. cit.*, also see [Shi89, Remark after Theorem A'], we can apply the same construction to algebras: since $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ preserves products, $\text{Fun}(EG, C)$ carries a natural \mathcal{O}^{EG} -algebra structure for any \mathcal{O} -algebra C . In particular, applying $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ turns naïve permutative G -categories into genuine ones.

Remark 2.21. While permutative categories are rare in practice, MacLane's strictification theorem implies that any symmetric monoidal category is equivalent to a permutative category, and in fact the quasi-localizations of $\mathbf{PermCat}$ and $\mathbf{SymMonCat}$ at the underlying equivalences of categories are equivalent.

In the equivariant setting, Guillou, May, Merling, and Osorno [GMMO20] introduced genuine and naïve *symmetric monoidal G -categories* as pseudoalgebras over genuine and naïve G - E_∞ -operads, respectively. Generalizing the non-equivariant situation, they provide a strictification result showing that the homotopy theory

of genuine and naïve symmetric monoidal G -categories (with respect to the underlying G -equivalences) is equivalent to the homotopy theory of genuine and naïve permutative G -categories, respectively, as defined above. In particular, while we will for simplicity talk exclusively about the above categories of strict algebras in this paper, all of our results carry over to the pseudoalgebra setting immediately.

3. G -GLOBAL OPERADS

Let G be a discrete group. We will now apply the results of the previous sections to study operads and their algebras in the context of G -global homotopy theory as well as for a suitable notion of G -global category theory.

3.1. G -global homotopy theory and G -global category theory. We begin by giving a very brief reminder on (unstable) G -global homotopy theory in the sense of [Len20a, Chapter 1].

Definition 3.1. We set $\omega := \{0, 1, \dots\}$, and we write $\mathcal{M} = \text{Inj}(\omega, \omega)$ for the monoid of self-injections of ω (with monoid structure given by composition).

Using the indiscrete category functor E we get a category EM , and as E preserves products, this inherits a monoid structure. We will also write EM for the simplicial monoid $\mathbf{N}(EM)$.

Definition 3.2. A finite subgroup $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ is *universal* if the induced H -action on ω makes the latter into a *complete H -set universe*, i.e. every countable H -set embeds equivariantly into ω .

It is not hard to show that any finite group H admits an injective homomorphism $H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with universal image, and that any two such homomorphisms are conjugate [Len20a, Lemma 1.2.7]. Moreover, universal subgroups are evidently closed under conjugation and taking subgroups [Len20a, Corollary 1.2.6], so that the collection of all universal subgroups is a family of subgroups. As a special case of Theorem 1.1 we therefore obtain, also see [Len20a, Corollary 1.2.30]:

Corollary 3.3. *There is a unique model structure on the category $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-SSet}$ of simplicial sets with $(EM \times G)$ -action in which a map is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if f^φ is a weak homotopy equivalence or Kan fibration, respectively, for every universal subgroup $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ and every homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$; here we abbreviate $f^\varphi := f^{\Gamma_{H,\varphi}}$.*

We call this the G -global model structure and its weak equivalences the G -global weak equivalences. This model structure is proper, simplicial, and combinatorial with generating cofibrations

$$\{(EM \times_\varphi G) \times (\partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n) : H \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ universal, } \varphi: H \rightarrow G, n \geq 0\}$$

and generating acyclic cofibrations

$$\{(EM \times_\varphi G) \times (\Lambda_k^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n) : H \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ universal, } \varphi: H \rightarrow G, 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Moreover, filtered colimits and finite products in it are homotopical. □

Remark 3.4. Schwede originally studied unstable *global homotopy theory* using a model in terms of orthogonal spaces [Sch18, Chapter 1]. While his approach contains equivariant information for all compact Lie groups, the more combinatorial models we employ here (and in particular the use of categories) force us to restrict

to finite groups. Other than that, 1-global homotopy theory in our sense recovers usual global homotopy theory: for $G = 1$ the above is equivalent to Schwede's orthogonal spaces quasi-localized with respect to a certain natural notion of 'Fin-global weak equivalences,' see [Len20a, Section 1.5].

As an upshot of the results of Section 2 we now get analogous model structures in the realm of categories, leading to what we may call G -global category theory. Namely, Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 specialize to:

Corollary 3.5. *There is a unique model structure on $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-Cat}$ in which a map f is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if f^φ is an equivalence of categories or isofibration, respectively, for every universal subgroup $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ and every homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$. We call this the G -global model structure and its weak equivalences the G -global equivalences. This model structure is proper, \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence simplicial), and combinatorial with generating cofibrations*

$$\{(EM \times_\varphi G) \times i : H \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ universal}, \varphi: H \rightarrow G, i \in I_{\mathbf{Cat}}\}$$

and generating acyclic cofibrations

$$\{(EM \times_\varphi G) \times j : H \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ universal}, \varphi: H \rightarrow G, j \in J_{\mathbf{Cat}}\}.$$

Moreover, filtered colimits and all products in it are homotopical. \square

Corollary 3.6. *There is a unique model structure on $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-Cat}$ with cofibrations the injective cofibrations and weak equivalences the G -global equivalences. We call this the injective G -global model structure. It is proper, combinatorial, Cartesian, and \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence simplicial). \square*

Remark 3.7. We can also look at $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-Cat}$ through the eyes of the G -global weak equivalences, i.e. those functors f such that $N(f)$ is a G -global weak equivalence in the above sense, or equivalently such that f^φ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$. As we prove in [Len21a, Corollary 3.30], this then yields another model of unstable G -global homotopy theory with the nerve descending to an equivalence of the associated quasi-categories.

Remark 3.8. The above weak equivalences and fibrations on $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-Cat}$ and $\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-SSet}$ only depend on the action of the discrete group $\text{core } \mathcal{M} \times G \subset EM \times G$, i.e. they are transferred from analogously defined model structures on $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-Cat}$ and $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-SSet}$. These will become relevant again below, and we will refer to them as G -universal model structures. Beware however that these do *not* model G -global homotopy theory or G -global category theory.

3.2. Internal G -global operads. We will now specialize our results on (simplicial or categorical) operads to the G -global context. Throughout the rest of this section, let \mathcal{C} be one of \mathbf{Cat} (with the canonical model structure) or \mathbf{SSet} (with the Kan-Quillen model structure).

Definition 3.9. A G -global operad (or *internal G -global operad*) is an operad \mathcal{O} in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. We call \mathcal{O} a G -global E_∞ -operad if it is Σ -free (in the sense of Definition 1.13) and moreover each $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -globally contractible (for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$) or $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -globally weakly contractible ($\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$).

Example 3.10. Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$ that is an underlying E_∞ -operad. Analogously to Example 2.20 we obtain a G -global E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^{EM} via $\mathcal{O}^{EM}(n) =$

$\text{Fun}(E\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}(n))$ with the induced operad structure maps and right actions by the symmetric groups. In particular, we can apply this to the usual Barratt-Eccles operad (equipped with the trivial G -action). We call the resulting operad $E\Sigma_*^{E\mathcal{M}}$ the *G -global Barratt-Eccles operad*. Its nerve (denoted by the same symbol) is then a G -global E_∞ -operad of simplicial sets.

3.3. External G -global operads. In order to compare the above G -global algebras to G -equivariant ones, it will be useful to first introduce a variant of G -global operads that combines the operadic structure with the $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ -action used to define the G -global weak equivalences:

Definition 3.11. An *external G -global operad* is an operad \mathcal{O} in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ together with a monoid homomorphism $\text{core } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^G$.

As usual we will keep the homomorphism $\text{core } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^G$ implicit and just call \mathcal{O} itself an external G -global operad.

Construction 3.12. If \mathcal{O} is an external G -global operad, then we obtain for every $n \geq 0$ a left $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ by restricting the operad structure map $\mathcal{O}(1) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ along the given homomorphism $\text{core } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$. By construction, this commutes with the G -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$, making the latter into a $(\text{core } \mathcal{M} \times G)$ -object; we caution the reader however that \mathcal{O} is typically *not* an operad in $(\text{core } \mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ as the structure maps won't be $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ -equivariant.

Analogously, we get n commuting right $\text{core } \mathcal{M}$ -actions on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ via restriction of the structure map $\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(1)^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$. Together with the right Σ_n -action that is part of the operad structure, this assembles into an action of the wreath product $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ that again commutes with the G -action.

Construction 3.13. If \mathcal{O} is any external G -global operad and A is an \mathcal{O} -algebra in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$, then we can similarly restrict the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -action on A to $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ via the given homomorphism, thereby equipping A with the structure of a $(\text{core } \mathcal{M} \times G)$ -object in \mathcal{C} . The resulting forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint \mathbf{P} given on objects by

$$\mathbf{P}X = \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} X^{\times n}$$

(with respect to the above right $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and the natural action on $X^{\times n}$) and likewise on morphisms.

Proposition 3.14. *Let \mathcal{O} be an external G -global operad. Then there is a unique model structure on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ in which a map f is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if $\text{forget } f$ is a weak equivalence or fibration, respectively, in the G -universal model structure on $\text{core } \mathcal{M}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. We call this the G -global model structure. It is right proper, \mathcal{C} -enriched (hence simplicial), and filtered colimits in it are homotopical.*

Proof. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$ it suffices as before to show that the model structure transferred along the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}\mathbf{G-Cat}$ exists, for which one can take the same path objects as before.

The argument in the simplicial case is analogous, except that we additionally use Ex^∞ or $\text{Sing}|-|$ again to construct functorial fibrant replacements. \square

Definition 3.15. An external G -global operad \mathcal{O} is called Σ -free, if the above $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is free for all $n \geq 0$. A map of external G -global operads is a map f of G -operads such that $f(1)$ is compatible with the maps from $\text{core } \mathcal{M}$. We call f a G -global (weak) equivalence if $f(n)$ is a $G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -global (weak) equivalence for every $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.16. *Let $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a map of external G -global operads. Then the adjunction*

$$(3.1) \quad f_! : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) : f^*$$

is a Quillen adjunction with respect to the model structures from Proposition 3.14. If f is a G -global weak equivalence (for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$) or G -global equivalence ($\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$) and both \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} are Σ -free, then (3.1) is a Quillen equivalence.

The proof will again rely on a monadicity argument:

Lemma 3.17. *Let \mathcal{O} be any external G -global operad. Then the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^{\infty} \rightarrow \text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ is monadic.*

Proof. It is clear that the forgetful functor is conservative. To prove that it is monadic it is then enough to show as before that the forgetful functor of ordinary categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ preserves geometric realizations. This is in turn immediate from Proposition 1.16 as $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is conservative (as a functor of 1-categories) and preserves both tensors and small colimits. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.16. It is clear that f^* is compatible with the forgetful functors, so that it preserves (and reflects) weak equivalences as well as fibrations; in particular, it is right Quillen.

Now assume that f is a G -global (weak) equivalence and \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} are Σ -free. As in the proof of Theorem 1.17, it suffices that for every $X \in \text{core}(\mathcal{M})\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ the map

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} f(n) \times_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} X^{\times n} : \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} X^{\times n} \rightarrow \coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} X^{\times n}$$

is a G -global (weak) equivalence. However, each $f(n) \times X^{\times n}$ is a $G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -global (weak) equivalence by assumption and $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ acts freely on both source and target, so the claim follows from Lemma 1.6/2.9. \square

Definition 3.18. An external G -global operad \mathcal{O} is called an *external G -global E_{∞} -operad* if it is Σ -free and the unique map $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow *$ to the terminal object is a G -global (weak) equivalence.

Example 3.19. We define the (categorical or simplicial) *injection operad* \mathcal{I} as follows, also cf. [SS20, Construction A.1] where the underlying operad of sets is denoted \mathcal{M} :

For any $n \geq 0$, the n -ary operations are given by $\mathcal{I}(n) = E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)$ where as usual $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the tautological Σ_n -action. The structure maps on \mathcal{I} are given by juxtaposition and precomposition, i.e. they are induced under E by the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inj}(\mathbf{r} \times \omega, \omega) \times \text{Inj}(\mathbf{n}_1 \times \omega, \omega) \times \cdots \times \text{Inj}(\mathbf{n}_r \times \omega, \omega) &\rightarrow \text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega) \\ (u; v_1, \dots, v_r) &\mapsto u \circ (v_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg v_r) \end{aligned}$$

where $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ and we have identified $\coprod_{k=1}^r (\mathbf{n}_k \times \omega)$ with $\mathbf{n} \times \omega$ in the obvious way.

We can make \mathcal{I} (equipped with the trivial G -action) into an external G -global operad via the inclusion $\text{core } \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow EM \cong \mathcal{I}(1)$. This is an external G -global E_∞ -operad: for the freeness it suffices to observe that the natural $(\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -action on $\mathbf{n} \times \omega$ is faithful so that $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ acts freely on $\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)$, whence on $\mathcal{I}(n)$. To show that $\mathcal{I}(n)$ is $G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -globally contractible it suffices to observe that for every universal $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ and every $\varphi: H \rightarrow G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)^\varphi = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)^{\text{pr}_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} \circ \varphi} = E(\text{Inj}((\text{pr}_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} \circ \varphi)^*(\mathbf{n} \times \omega), \omega)^H)$$

which is non-empty and hence contractible as there exists an equivariant injection $(\text{pr}_{\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}} \circ \varphi)^*(\mathbf{n} \times \omega) \rightarrow \omega$ by universality of H .

Corollary 3.20. *Let \mathcal{O} be any external G -global E_∞ -operad. Then there exists an explicit zig-zag of Quillen equivalences $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.16, it suffices to observe that also $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{I}$ is an external G -global E_∞ -operad and that the projections $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ are G -global (weak) equivalences. \square

Theorem 3.21. *Let \mathcal{O} be any G -global E_∞ -operad in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ and let \mathcal{P} be an external G -global E_∞ -operad in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. Then there is an explicit zig-zag of Quillen equivalences $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ with respect to the G -global model structures on both sides.*

For the proof of the theorem, we will first relate $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ to the algebras over a suitable external G -global E_∞ -operad built from \mathcal{O} and then appeal to the previous result. This relies on the following construction:

Construction 3.22. Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. We define an operad $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ as follows: the n -ary operations are given by $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(n) = \mathcal{O}(n) \times EM^{\times n}$ with the diagonal right Σ_n -action and the induced G -action. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$, the operad structure maps $\gamma^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}$ of $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}((o, u_\bullet); (f^{(1)}, v_\bullet^{(1)}), \dots, (f^{(r)}, v_\bullet^{(r)})) \\ &= (\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(o, u_1.f^{(1)}, \dots, u_r.f^{(r)}); \gamma^{EM^\bullet}(u_\bullet; v_\bullet^{(1)}, \dots, v_\bullet^{(r)})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \geq 0$ and $r, n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0$, $u_\bullet \in (EM)_m^r$, $v_\bullet^{(i)} \in (EM)_m^{n_i}$, $o \in \mathcal{O}(r)_m$, $p_i \in \mathcal{O}(n_i)_m$, and similarly for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$. Here $\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the operad structure map of \mathcal{O} and γ^{EM^\bullet} is given by juxtaposition and precomposition analogously to the definition of \mathcal{I} . We omit the straightforward but lengthy verification that $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ is indeed an operad in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$, and that we have a map of G -operads $i: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ induced in degree n by the inclusion $\{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\} \hookrightarrow EM^n$. Similarly, we obtain a monoid homomorphism $k: EM \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(1)$ and restricting this to $\text{core } \mathcal{M}$ then makes $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ into an external G -global operad.

Remark 3.23. The above construction works more generally for every monoid M in \mathcal{C} , also see [SW03, Definition 2.1] or [Mar99, 3.5], where this appears for groups acting on topological spaces.

Remark 3.24. Plugging in the definitions, we see that the left $(\text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -action on $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(n)$ in the sense of Construction 3.12 is the diagonal of the natural $(\text{core } \mathcal{M})$ -action on EM^n and the restriction of the given EM -action on $\mathcal{O}(n)$. On the other hand, the right $(\text{core } \mathcal{M})^n$ -action is given by acting in the evident way on EM^n and trivially on $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Proposition 3.25. *Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ and let A be an $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ -algebra in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. Then A defines an \mathcal{O} -algebra in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ by restricting the $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ -algebra structure along the above inclusion $i: \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ and equipping A with the EM -action obtained by restricting along the above homomorphism $k: EM \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(1)$. This construction extends to an equivalence of ordinary categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ by sending a map $f: C \rightarrow D$ of $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)$ -algebras to the same map viewed as a morphism of \mathcal{O} -algebras.*

The corresponding statement for groups acting on topological spaces also appears without proof as [SW03, Proposition 2.3].

Proof. Let us first show that this is well-defined, for which it only remains to show that the above \mathcal{O} -action on A is EM -equivariant. We will show this for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$, the proof for categories being analogous. To this end we note that by definition for each $u \in (EM)_m$, $o \in \mathcal{O}(n)_m$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A_m$ the action maps satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n^{\mathcal{O}}(u.o; u.a_1, \dots, u.a_n) \\ = \alpha_n^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}((u.o, \mathbf{1}); \alpha_1^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}((1, u); a_1), \dots, \alpha_1^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}((1, u); a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in EM_m^n$. Using that A was an $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)$ -algebra together with the definition of the operad structure on $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ this then equals

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}(\gamma((u.o, \mathbf{1}); (1, u), \dots, (1, u)); a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ = \alpha_n^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}((u.o, (u, \dots, u)); a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ = \alpha_n^{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}(\gamma((1, u); (o, (1, \dots, 1))); a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

and again using that A is an $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)$ -algebra and plugging in the definitions this then agrees with $u.\alpha^{\mathcal{O}}(o; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ as desired.

To finish the proof, we now observe that the above functor Ψ fits into a commutative diagram of 1-categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \\ \text{forget} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{forget} \\ G\text{-}\mathcal{C} & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & G\text{-}\mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

in which the vertical functors are monadic. By the (1-categorical) Barr-Beck Monadicity Theorem it is then again enough that the canonical mate of the identity transformation is an isomorphism $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O}}X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}X$ for every $X \in G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. However, plugging in the definitions this is indeed just the canonical isomorphism $\coprod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} (EM \times X)^{\times n} \rightarrow \coprod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}(n) \times EM^n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^{\times n}$. \square

Remark 3.26. One immediately checks from the definitions that with respect to the G -global model structures on both sides, the above equivalence preserves and reflects fibrations and weak equivalences; thus, it also preserves and reflects cofibrations.

Proposition 3.27. *Let \mathcal{O} be an internal G -global operad.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{O} is Σ -free, then so is $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{O} is a G -global E_{∞} -operad, then $\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ is an external G -global E_{∞} -operad.*

Proof. For the first statement we restrict to the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$; the proof for categories is analogous or alternatively follows by passing to the nerve. For this, let $(o; u_0, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{O}(n)_m \times EM_m^n$ and $(\sigma; v_0, \dots, v_n) \in \Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ such that $(o; u_0, \dots, u_n) \cdot (\sigma; v_0, \dots, v_n) = (o; u_0, \dots, u_n)$, i.e.

$$(o, \sigma; u_{\sigma(0)}v_0, \dots, u_{\sigma(n)}v_n) = (o; u_0, \dots, u_n).$$

But then $\sigma = 1$ as Σ_n acts freely on $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Thus, $u_i v_i = u_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$ and hence also $v_i = 1$ as desired since $\text{core } \mathcal{M}$ acts freely on \mathcal{M} from the right. This completes the proof of the first statement.

For the second statement, it remains to show that $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(n) \rightarrow *$ is a $\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ -global (weak) equivalence if \mathcal{O} is a G -global E_∞ -operad. For this we let $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ be universal and $\varphi: H \rightarrow G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M})$ be any homomorphism. If we write $p: G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow G \times \Sigma_n$ and $q: G \times (\Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Sigma_n \wr \text{core } \mathcal{M}$ for the projections, then

$$(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)(n)^\varphi = \mathcal{O}(n)^{p \circ \varphi} \times E((\mathcal{M}^n)^{q \circ \varphi}).$$

But $\mathcal{O}(n)^{p \circ \varphi}$ is (weakly) contractible as \mathcal{O} was assumed to be a G -global E_∞ -operad, while $(\mathcal{M}^n)^{q \circ \varphi} \supset \text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega)^{q \circ \varphi}$ is non-empty as seen in Example 3.19, so that also the second factor is contractible as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.21. The zig-zag is given by

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM) \times \mathcal{P}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$$

where the equivalence on the left is the one from Proposition 3.25 and the remaining two Quillen equivalences are induced by the projections as in Corollary 3.20. \square

3.4. G -global vs. G -equivariant coherent commutativity. Throughout, let G be a finite group and fix an injective homomorphism $j: G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with universal image. We write $\delta: G \rightarrow EM \times G$ for the homomorphism sending g to $(j(g), g)$.

If \mathcal{O} is any G -global operad, then we can restrict the EM - G -action along δ to yield a G -operad $\delta^* \mathcal{O}$, and likewise for algebras. In this subsection we will prove:

Theorem 3.28. *Let \mathcal{O} be a Σ -free G -global operad. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \delta^*: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\delta^* \mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$$

induces a left and right Bousfield localization at the G -equivariant equivalences (for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$) or G -equivariant weak equivalences (for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$).

Remark 3.29. If \mathcal{O} is a G -global E_∞ -operad, then $\delta^* \mathcal{O}$ is evidently a genuine G - E_∞ -operad. Thus the above theorem in particular allows us to express the homotopy theory of (categorical or simplicial) genuine G - E_∞ -algebras as a Bousfield localization of the homotopy theory of G -global E_∞ -algebras.

The proof of the theorem will occupy the rest of this subsection. For this, we will first prove an analogous result for *external* G -global operads, from which we will then in a second step deduce the above comparison for ordinary operads.

Construction 3.30. If \mathcal{O} is an external G -global operad, then we get induced left and right $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ -actions on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for every $n \geq 0$ that commute with each other and the G -action. While the structure maps of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ are usually not equivariant with respect to either of these $\text{core}(\mathcal{M})$ -actions, it is easy to check that they are

equivariant with respect to the *conjugate* action, making \mathcal{O} into a $(\text{core } \mathcal{M} \times G)$ -operad. We write \mathcal{O}_G for the operad in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ obtained via restricting this action along the homomorphism $\delta = (j, \text{id}): G \rightarrow \text{core } \mathcal{M} \times G$.

Example 3.31. Let \mathcal{I} be the categorical/simplicial injection operad from Example 3.19. Then the above G -action on \mathcal{I}_G is given by $g.u = j(g) \circ u \circ (\mathbf{n} \times j(g^{-1}))$. Put differently, $\mathcal{I}_G(n) = E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \mathcal{U}_G, \mathcal{U}_G)$ where $\mathcal{U}_G := j^*\omega$ is a (specific) complete G -set universe. Operads of this form were considered for example by Guillou and May in [GM17, Definition 7.4], and they are examples of genuine G - E_∞ -operads. In fact, it is easy to check in general that if \mathcal{O} is any external G -global E_∞ -operad, then \mathcal{O}_G is a genuine G - E_∞ -operad.

Construction 3.32. Let $j: G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be as above and let \mathcal{O} be an external G -global operad. Then any \mathcal{O} -algebra A in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ carries an ‘external’ G -action by restricting the action of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ along

$$G \xrightarrow{j} \text{core } \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{k} \mathcal{O}(1)^G,$$

and this commutes with the ‘internal’ G -action coming from the fact that we started with an algebra in G -categories. Equipping A with the diagonal of these two actions, we therefore obtain a functor $\delta: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. One easily checks that the original action maps $\mathcal{O}(n) \times A^{\times n} \rightarrow A$ are G -equivariant when viewed as maps $\mathcal{O}_G(n) \times (\delta A)^n \rightarrow \delta A$, so that this construction lifts to a functor

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_G}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}).$$

Lemma 3.33. *Let \mathcal{O} be an external G -global operad. Then (3.3) induces a right Bousfield localization*

$$\Delta^\infty: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})_{G\text{-global}}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_G}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})_{G\text{-equivariant}}^\infty.$$

Proof. It is clear that (3.3) precisely inverts the G -equivariant (weak) equivalences; it remains to show that Δ^∞ is a quasi-localization and that it admits a left adjoint.

For the first statement it suffices to observe that Δ is an isomorphism of 1-categories: an inverse is given by equipping an \mathcal{O}_G -algebra C with the G -action in which $g \in G$ acts via the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{g \cdot -} A \xrightarrow{\alpha(kj(g^{-1}), -)} A$$

for k as in Construction 3.22, where $- \cdot -$ denotes the internal G -action and α denotes the operadic action; note that this is indeed a G -action as $g.(kj(h)) = kj(ghg^{-1})$ for all $g, h \in G$ with respect to the G -action on \mathcal{O}_G .

Finally, to construct the left adjoint it suffices to observe that Δ is right Quillen with respect to the G -global model structure on the source and the G -equivariant one on the target. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.28. It is clear that δ^* inverts precisely the G -equivariant equivalences. It remains to show that $(\delta^*)^\infty$ is a right Bousfield localization and that it in addition admits a right adjoint.

Claim. The map $i: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rtimes EM$ defines a G -equivariant (weak) equivalence $\delta^*\mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)_G$ of operads.

Proof. Plugging in the definitions, one immediately checks that $(\mathcal{O} \rtimes EM)_G(n) = (\delta^*\mathcal{O})(n) \times E(\mathcal{M}^{\text{conj}})^n$ as $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -objects in \mathcal{C} , where $\mathcal{M}^{\text{conj}}$ denotes \mathcal{M} with G -action given by $g.u = j(g)uj(g^{-1})$ and Σ_n acts in the evident way on each factor. In

particular, i indeed defines a map $\delta^* \mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} \times EM)_G$ and it only remains to show that $E(\mathcal{M}^{\text{conj}})^n$ has contractible φ -fixed points for every $\varphi: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$. However, these are just the fixed points for

$$j(G) \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} G \xrightarrow{(\varphi; \text{id}, \dots, \text{id})} \Sigma_n \wr G,$$

and as $j(G)$ is universal, the claim then follows from the proof of Proposition 3.27-(2). \triangle

We now have a strictly commutative diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM-G-\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & \text{Alg}_{\delta^* \mathcal{O}}(G-\mathcal{C}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \uparrow i^* \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times EM}(G-\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \text{Alg}_{(\mathcal{O} \times EM)_G}(G-\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

where the unlabelled isomorphism is the one from Proposition 3.25. Upon passing to associated quasi-categories, the lower horizontal arrow induces a right Bousfield localization by Lemma 3.33, while the right hand arrow induces an equivalence by Theorem 1.17/2.17 together with Proposition 3.27-(2). Thus, also $(\delta^*)^\infty$ is a right Bousfield localization.

Finally, to see that $(\delta^*)^\infty$ admits a right adjoint, we observe that we have a Quillen adjunction

$$\delta^*: EM-G-\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows G-\mathcal{C} : \delta_*;$$

while this is not quite an instance of Lemma 1.7/2.10, the left adjoint is homotopical and it moreover preserves cofibrations as the ones on the right hand side are simply the injective cofibrations. Since both adjoints preserve products, this lifts to an adjunction

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(EM-G-\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\delta^* \mathcal{O}}(G-\mathcal{C}),$$

which is again a Quillen adjunction as fibrations and weak equivalences on both sides are created in the underlying categories. The claim follows immediately. \square

Remark 3.34. Conversely, we can now conclude from (3.4) that also Δ^∞ admits a right adjoint, exhibiting it as *left* Bousfield localization as well.

4. PARSUMMABILITY

Let G be any discrete group. In this section we will compare the above categories of G -global E_∞ -algebras to the models of ‘ G -globally coherently commutative monoids’ studied in [Len20a, Chapter 2] and in particular to so-called *G -parsummable categories* and *G -parsummable simplicial sets*.

4.1. Tameness and the box product. In order to talk about parsummability we first have to introduce a technical condition on EM -actions that is called *tameness*:

Definition 4.1. Let X be an \mathcal{M} -set. An element $x \in X$ is *supported* on a finite set $A \subset \omega$ if $u.x = x$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}$ fixing A pointwise; we write $X_{[A]} \subset X$ for the subset of all elements supported on A . Moreover, we say that x is *finitely supported* if it is supported on some finite set $A \subset \omega$, and we call X *tame* if all its elements are finitely supported.

If X is an EM -simplicial set, then we say that an n -simplex $x \in X_n$ is supported on the finite set $A \subset \omega$ if it is supported on A as an element of X_n equipped with the

diagonal \mathcal{M} -action. Analogously, we define the support of a finitely supported n -simplex, and the notion of tameness of EM -simplicial sets. We write $EM\text{-SSet}^\tau \subset EM\text{-SSet}$ for the full subcategory spanned by the tame EM -simplicial sets.

Finally, a small EM -category is *tame* if its set of objects is so, and we write $EM\text{-Cat}^\tau \subset EM\text{-Cat}$ for the full subcategory spanned by the tame EM -categories.

For a detailed treatment of the combinatorics of tame actions we refer the reader to [SS20, Section 2] and [Len20a, Section 1.3].

Remark 4.2. While the above definition of support for EM -simplicial sets might look too weak at first, one can actually show that if X is an EM -simplicial set and $x \in X_n$ is supported on A in the above sense, then $(u_0, \dots, u_m).f^*x = f^*x$ for all $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ and $(u_0, \dots, u_m) \in (EM)_m$ such that each u_i fixes A pointwise, see [Len20a, Theorem 1.3.17]. A similar statement for EM -categories can be found as [Sch19, Proposition 2.13-(ii)].

Remark 4.3. The inclusions $EM\text{-Cat}^\tau \hookrightarrow EM\text{-Cat}$, $EM\text{-SSet}^\tau \hookrightarrow EM\text{-SSet}$ both admit right adjoints $(-)^{\tau}$ given by passing to the full subcategory of finitely supported objects or the subcomplex of finitely supported simplices, respectively, see [Sch19, Example 2.15] and [Len20a, proof of Corollary 1.3.23]. It follows formally, that these subcategories are closed under small colimits and that they possess small limits, which can be computed by forming limits in the ambient category and then applying $(-)^{\tau}$. Moreover, one easily checks that both $EM\text{-Cat}^\tau$ and $EM\text{-SSet}^\tau$ are closed under all *finite* limits.

Theorem 4.4. *The category $EM\text{-G-SSet}^\tau$ of G -objects in $EM\text{-SSet}^\tau$ admits a unique cofibrantly generated model structure with weak equivalences the G -global weak equivalences and generating cofibrations given by*

$$I = \left\{ (E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_{\varphi} G) \times (\partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n) : \begin{array}{l} H \text{ finite group,} \\ A \neq \emptyset \text{ finite faithful } H\text{-set,} \\ \varphi: H \rightarrow G, n \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We call this the positive G -global model structure. It is combinatorial, simplicial, proper, and filtered colimits in it are homotopical. Moreover, the adjunction

$$\text{incl}: EM\text{-G-SSet}^\tau \rightleftarrows EM\text{-G-SSet}_{\text{injective } G\text{-global}} : (-)^{\tau}$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Strictly speaking, we of course have to restrict to *sets* of finite sets A and finite groups H (covering all isomorphism classes) in the definition of the generating cofibrations to get an honest set, but we will ignore this technicality below.

We moreover remark that there is also an *absolute G -global model structure* with the same weak equivalences as above but where we additionally allow $A = \emptyset$ in the definition of the generating cofibrations. While this absolute model structure is more natural in most contexts, the positive one will be necessary for our applications below.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. See [Len20a, Theorem 1.4.57]. □

Our next goal now is to construct an analogous model structure on $EM\text{-G-Cat}^\tau$ and to show that the inclusion $EM\text{-G-Cat}^\tau \hookrightarrow EM\text{-G-Cat}$ is similarly part of a Quillen equivalence.

Theorem 4.5. *The category $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ admits a unique cofibrantly generated model structure with weak equivalences the G -global equivalences and generating cofibrations given by*

$$I = \left\{ (E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_\varphi G) \times i : H \text{ finite group, } A \neq \emptyset \text{ finite faithful } H\text{-set,} \right. \\ \left. \varphi : H \rightarrow G, i \in I_{\mathbf{Cat}} \right\}.$$

We call this the positive G -global model structure. It is left proper, \mathbf{Cat} -enriched (hence in particular simplicial), and combinatorial.

Before we can prove the theorem, we need the following technical lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *The adjunction $h : \mathbf{SSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat} : N$ lifts to an adjunction*

$$(4.1) \quad h : \mathbf{EM-G-SSet}^\tau \rightleftarrows \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau : N.$$

Proof. As both h and N preserve products, they naturally lift to an adjunction $\mathbf{EM-G-SSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}$, and it only remains to show that they preserve tameness. For the nerve this appears in [Len20b, Example 2.7], while the claim for h follows immediately from the definitions. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.5. The category $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ is locally presentable as the adjunction (4.1) exhibits it as an accessible Bousfield localization of the category $\mathbf{EM-G-SSet}^\tau$ which in turn is locally presentable by [Len20a, Theorem 1.4.57].

We will now verify the assumptions of [Lur09, Proposition A.2.6.13]: first, we have to show that the G -global equivalences on $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ are perfect in the sense of [Lur09, Definition A.2.6.10]. However, the G -global equivalences *on the whole category $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}$* are part of a combinatorial model structure and closed under filtered colimits (Corollary 3.5), hence perfect by [Lur09, Remark A.2.6.14]. Thus, the claim follows from [Lur09, Corollary A.2.6.12] applied to the inclusion $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}$.

Next, we observe that the G -global equivalences are stable under pushouts of pushouts of maps in I by Proposition 2.4.

Finally, we have to show that every map f with the right lifting property against I is a G -global equivalence. But indeed, an easy corepresentability argument shows that in this case $f_{[A]}^\varphi$ is an acyclic fibration in \mathbf{Cat} for each universal subgroup H , each finite non-empty H -subset $A \subset \omega$, and each homomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$. Passing to the filtered colimit over A (and using that f is a map of tame $\mathbf{EM-G}$ -categories), then shows that f^φ is an equivalence of categories (as a filtered colimit of equivalences) as desired.

Thus, [Lur09, Proposition A.2.6.13] shows that the model structure exists and that it is combinatorial and left proper. It only remains to show that it is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched as a model category, i.e. to verify the Pushout Product Axiom. For the statement about cofibrations, we may restrict to generating cofibrations, where this follows easily from the fact that \mathbf{Cat} itself is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched. The part about acyclic cofibrations then follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

Theorem 4.7. *The adjunction*

$$\text{incl} : \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau \rightleftarrows \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}_{\text{injective } G\text{-global}} : (-)^\tau$$

is a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. It is clear that the left adjoint preserves cofibrations and creates weak equivalences. It therefore only remains to show that the counit $C^\tau \hookrightarrow C$ is a G -global equivalence for each injectively fibrant EM - G -category C .

This will be analogous to the argument we gave in the simplicial situation as part of [Len20a, Corollary 1.3.28]. Namely, we will show that $C_{[A]}^\varphi \hookrightarrow C^\varphi$ is an equivalence of categories for each universal $H \subset \mathcal{M}$, non-empty finite faithful H -set $A \subset \omega$, and homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$; the claim will then again follow by passing to the filtered colimit over all such A .

To prove the claim we consider for each such C the map

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Fun}^{EM \times G}(E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_\varphi G, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{EM \times G}(EM \times_\varphi G, C)$$

induced by the restriction $EM \rightarrow E\text{Inj}(A, \omega)$. It is clear that (4.2) is conjugate to $C_{[A]}^\varphi \hookrightarrow C^\varphi$. As C is injectively fibrant and since the injective G -global model structure is \mathbf{Cat} -enriched, it is therefore enough to show that $EM \times_\varphi G \rightarrow E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_\varphi G$ is a G -global equivalence.

Clearly, the H -actions via precomposition on EM and $E\text{Inj}(A, \omega)$ are free. Unravelling the definition of $- \times_\varphi G$ and appealing to Lemma 2.9 it is then enough to show that $EM \rightarrow E\text{Inj}(A, \omega)$ is an H -global equivalence, for which it in turn suffices that $(E\text{Inj}(B, \omega))^\psi$ is equivalent to the terminal category for every universal $K \subset \mathcal{M}$, every $\psi: K \rightarrow H$, and each countable H -set B . As before this just amounts to saying that $\text{Inj}(B, \omega)^\psi$ is non-empty, i.e. that there exists an K -equivariant injection $\psi^*B \hookrightarrow \omega$. This is in turn immediate from universality of K (also see [Len20a, Example 1.2.31]). \square

Now we are ready to define *parsummable categories* as first introduced in [Sch19] as well as their simplicial counterpart, the *parsummable simplicial sets* [Len20b].

Definition 4.8. Let $C, D \in \mathbf{EM-Cat}^\tau$. Their *box product* is the full subcategory $C \boxtimes D \subset C \times D$ of the Cartesian product spanned by all those $(c, d) \in C \times D$ such that c and d are *disjointly supported*, i.e. $\text{supp}(c) \cap \text{supp}(d) = \emptyset$.

It is not hard to check that $C \boxtimes D$ is again tame and that \boxtimes defines a subfunctor of the Cartesian product. The usual coherence isomorphisms of the Cartesian symmetric monoidal structure then restrict to make \boxtimes the monoidal product of a preferred symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathbf{EM-Cat}^\tau$ [Sch19, Proposition 2.35]. Taking diagonal G -actions this then more generally provides a symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ for every (discrete) group G .

Definition 4.9. We write

$$\mathbf{G-ParSumCat} := \text{CMon}(\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau, \boxtimes)$$

for the category with objects the commutative monoids (with respect to the box product) in $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ and morphisms the monoid homomorphisms. We call its objects *G -parsummable categories*.

Similarly, there is a box product of tame EM -simplicial sets which is however slightly more intricate to define:

Definition 4.10. Let X, Y be tame EM -simplicial sets. We call $x \in X_n, y \in Y_n$ *disjointly supported* if they are disjointly supported as elements of the \mathcal{M} -sets $i_k^*X_n$ and $i_k^*Y_n$, respectively, for every $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $i_k: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{n+1}$ denotes the inclusion of the $(k+1)$ -th factor; note that these are indeed tame \mathcal{M} -sets by

Remark 4.2. The *box product* $X \boxtimes Y$ is the subsimplicial set of $X \times Y$ given by all pairs of disjointly supported simplices.

One can show that this is indeed a subsimplicial set, that it is preserved by the diagonal EM -action [Len20b, Proposition 2.17], and that this yields a symmetric monoidal structure analogous to the above [Len20b, Proposition 2.18].

Lemma 4.11. *The usual strong symmetric monoidal structure for the Cartesian products restricts to a strong symmetric monoidal structure on $N: \mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{EM-G-SSet}^\tau$ with respect to the box products. In particular, the nerve lifts to a functor $\mathbf{G-ParSumCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{G-ParSumSSet}$.*

Proof. See [Len20b, Proposition 2.20]. \square

Example 4.12. Let A, B be finite sets. Then the restriction maps $E\text{Inj}(A \amalg B, \omega) \rightarrow E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times E\text{Inj}(B, \omega)$ restrict to an isomorphism onto $E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \boxtimes E\text{Inj}(B, \omega)$ in $\mathbf{EM-Cat}^\tau$ (and hence also in $\mathbf{EM-SSet}^\tau$): namely, both $E\text{Inj}(A \amalg B, \omega)$ and the box product are indiscrete, so it suffices to show this on the level of objects, where this follows from [SS20, Example 2.15].

Remark 4.13. The iterated box products on $\mathbf{EM-Cat}^\tau$ on $\mathbf{EM-SSet}^\tau$ each admit a natural *unbiased* description that we will tacitly use below. Namely, if C_1, \dots, C_n are any tame EM -categories, then it is easy to check that for any bracketing of the left hand side $C_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes C_n \rightarrow C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ induces an isomorphism onto the full subcategory spanned by the tuples $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ with pairwise disjoint support, and analogously in the simplicial case.

4.2. The box product as an operadic product. In order to compare G -parsummable categories and G -parsummable simplicial sets to algebras over external and internal G -global operads, we will first devise an alternative description of the (iterated) box products.

Throughout the remainder of this section, let $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathbf{Cat}, \mathbf{SSet}\}$ again; we will write $\text{ParSum-}\mathcal{C}$ for $\text{CMon}(EM\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau, \boxtimes)$ and refer to its objects as *parsummable \mathcal{C} -objects*.

Construction 4.14. Let $n \geq 0$. Then \mathcal{M}^n acts from the right on $\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega)$ via $f \cdot (u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(n)}) = f \circ (u^{(1)} \amalg \dots \amalg u^{(n)})$, which as usual induces a right action of the categorical monoid EM^n on $E\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega)$, and likewise for the simplicial sets of the same name.

Now let X_1, \dots, X_n be EM -objects, which then yields a left EM^n -action on $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$. We write $E\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^n} (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$ for the EM -object obtained from $E\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega) \times (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$ by coequalizing the right EM^n -action on the first factor with the left EM^n -action on the second one. Acting with $E\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega) \subset EM^n$ then induces a natural map

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi: E\text{Inj}(n \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^n} (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_n.$$

Theorem 4.15. *For all $X_1, \dots, X_n \in EM\text{-G-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ the natural map (4.3) restricts to an isomorphism onto $X_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes X_n$.*

Proof. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$ this appears as [Len20a, Theorem 2.1.19]; as the nerve is fully faithful and strong symmetric monoidal (Lemma 4.11), the corresponding statement for categories then follows formally by applying this to $N(X_1), \dots, N(X_n)$ and passing to homotopy categories. \square

Let us draw some immediate consequences from this description:

Corollary 4.16. *The box product on $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ is cocontinuous in each variable. Thus, the symmetric monoidal structure on $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ described above is closed.*

Proof. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$ this is [Len20a, Corollary 2.1.21], and the same proof works more generally: namely, the first claim follows from the previous theorem because $E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{2} \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^2} (- \times -)$ is clearly cocontinuous in each variable. The second claim is then immediate as $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ is locally presentable (Theorem 4.4/4.5). \square

Proposition 4.17. (1) *Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. Then the natural map $\Phi: E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^n} (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ is a G -global (weak) equivalence.*
(2) *Let $n \geq 0$ and let $X \in EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. We equip $E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^n} X^{\times n}$ with the Σ_n -action via $\sigma.[u_0, \dots, u_m; x_1, \dots, x_n] = [u_0 \circ (\sigma^{-1} \times \text{id}), \dots, u_m \circ (\sigma^{-1} \times \text{id}); x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}]$. Then the natural map $E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^n} X^{\times n} \rightarrow X^{\times n}$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -global (weak) equivalence.*

Proof. For the first statement, it suffices to show that $E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow EM^n$ is a right- EM^n -left- H -equivariant equivalence of categories for every universal $H \subset \mathcal{M}$, for which suffices to give a left- H -right- \mathcal{M}^n -equivariant map $\mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)$. For this we simply pick an H -equivariant injection $u: \mathbf{n} \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ (which exists by universality of the target) and consider the map $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto u \circ (v_1 \amalg \dots \amalg v_n)$.

For the second statement it suffices similarly to construct for each given H -action on \mathbf{n} a left- H -right- \mathcal{M}^n -equivariant map $\mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times \omega, \omega)$, which can be done in the same way. \square

We immediately conclude the following comparisons between the box product and the Cartesian product, which for $G = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$ also appear in [Sch19, proof of Theorem 2.33] and [Sch19, proof of Theorem 4.13], respectively, while the corresponding simplicial statements can be found in [Len20a, 2.1.2.1]:

Corollary 4.18. (1) *Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$. Then the inclusion $X_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes X_n \hookrightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ is a G -global (weak) equivalence.*
(2) *Let $X \in EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$. Then the inclusion $X^{\boxtimes n} \hookrightarrow X^{\times n}$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -global (weak) equivalence for every $n \geq 0$.* \square

Corollary 4.19. (1) *The box product is homotopical in each variable.*
(2) *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -global (weak) equivalence in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$, then $f^{\boxtimes n}$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -global (weak) equivalence.*

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from the first part of the previous corollary as the Cartesian product is homotopical. The second statement follows similarly from Corollary 1.8/2.11. \square

4.3. \mathcal{I} -algebras vs. parsummability. As mentioned without proof in [Sch19, Remark 4.20], parsummable categories can be identified with tame \mathcal{I} -algebras, also see [SS20, Theorem A.13] for the corresponding \mathbf{Set} -level statement. Using Theorem 4.15 we can now easily give a full proof of this as well as of its simplicial counterpart, for which we begin with the following observation:

Lemma 4.20. *The free-forgetful adjunction $\mathbf{P}: EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$: forget restricts to an adjunction*

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{P}: EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau : \text{forget}$$

Proof. We have to show that $\mathbf{P}X$ is tame for every $X \in EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$, for which we note that as $EM\text{-}G$ -objects $\mathbf{P}X = \coprod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{I}(n) \times_{EM^n} X^{\times n}) / \Sigma_n$, which is isomorphic to $\coprod_{n \geq 0} X^{\boxtimes n} / \Sigma_n$ by Theorem 4.15; the claim follows immediately as $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ is closed under colimits and the box product. \square

Construction 4.21. Let A be a tame \mathcal{I} -algebra. We define $+$: $A \boxtimes A \rightarrow A$ via

$$A \boxtimes A \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{2} \times \omega, \omega) \times_{EM^2} A^{\times 2} \rightarrow A$$

where the right hand map is induced by the action map. Moreover, we define $0 \in A$ as the image of the unique 0-ary operation.

Proposition 4.22. *For any tame \mathcal{I} -algebra A , the above defines the structure of a parsummable \mathcal{C} -object. Moreover, this construction extends to an equivalence of ordinary categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \rightarrow G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ by sending an \mathcal{I} -algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ to the monoid homomorphism with the same underlying map.*

Proof. For clarity, let us write \mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes} for the left adjoint of the forgetful functor $G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{I}}$ for the left adjoint in (4.4).

The forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ preserves reflective coequalizers, hence so does $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \rightarrow EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ as colimits on the right hand side are created in $G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$. As it is moreover clearly conservative, we see that (4.4) is monadic, i.e. the canonical functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ is an equivalence.

On the other hand, we have an equivalence of categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}} \rightarrow G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ that sends an algebra $(A, \mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}A \rightarrow A)$ to A equipped with the sum induced by the restriction of $\mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}A \rightarrow A$ to the summand $A^{\boxtimes 2} / \Sigma_2$ and 0-object the image of the zeroth summand, and that sends a map f of \mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes} -algebras to the monoid homomorphism with the same underlying map.

Finally, Theorem 4.15 shows that the natural transformation $\coprod_{n \geq 0} \Phi: \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, this is a map of monads either by direct inspection, or alternatively using that both sides are naturally submonads of the monad $\mathbf{P}_{\times}: X \mapsto \coprod_{n \geq 0} X^{\times n} / \Sigma_n$ for strictly commutative monoids (viewing \mathcal{I} as a suboperad of $* \rtimes EM$). Thus, pulling back along the inverse isomorphism yields an equivalence $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{I}}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}}$. The resulting equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{I}}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{P}_{\boxtimes}} \rightarrow G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$$

then clearly admits the above description. \square

In order to achieve the desired comparison between $G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ and all of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ we will introduce suitable model structures next.

Theorem 4.23. *There is a unique model structure on $G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ in which a map is weak equivalence or fibration if and only if it is so in the positive G -global model structure on $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$. We call this the positive G -global model structure. It is proper, \mathcal{C} -enriched (hence in particular simplicial), and combinatorial. Moreover, the adjunction*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{P}: EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau \rightleftarrows G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C} : \text{forget}$$

is a Quillen adjunction.

Proof. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$ this appears as [Len20a, Theorems 2.1.35 and 2.1.36]; the proof for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$ is analogous, so we will be somewhat terse here.

We will verify the assumptions of [Whi17, Theorem 3.2], whose terminology we follow. The smallness assumptions are automatically satisfied as $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ is locally presentable.

Next, let us show that $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ is a symmetric monoidal model category with respect to the box product. Indeed, the box product provides a closed symmetric monoidal structure by Corollary 4.16; moreover, the Unit Axiom is satisfied as the box product is fully homotopical (Corollary 4.19). In order to verify the Pushout Product Axiom for cofibrations we may restrict to the generating cofibrations, in which case we note that we can identify the pushout product of standard generating cofibrations $E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_\varphi G \times i$ and $E\text{Inj}(B, \omega) \times_\psi G \times i'$ (where A is a finite non-empty faithful H -set and B is a finite non-empty faithful K -set for some finite groups H, K) up to isomorphism with

$$(4.6) \quad (E\text{Inj}(A \amalg B, \omega) \times G^2 \times (i \square i')) / (H \times K)$$

where $H \times K$ acts on $A \amalg B$ in the obvious way (which is then clearly faithful) and on G^2 via φ and ψ , and where the pushout product of i and i' is formed with respect to the Cartesian product on \mathbf{Cat} . As \mathbf{Cat} is Cartesian, $i \square i'$ is a cofibration, and as G acts freely from the left on G^2 , we conclude from the following claim that (4.6) is a cofibration as desired:

Claim. Let L be a finite group, let C be a finite faithful L -set, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map in $(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{L})\text{-Cat}$ such that f is injective on objects and G acts freely on $\text{Ob}(Y) \setminus f(\text{Ob } X)$. Then $E\text{Inj}(C, \omega) \times_L f$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$.

Proof. The functor $E\text{Inj}(C, \omega) \times_L -$ is a left adjoint and it clearly sends generating cofibrations of the $\mathcal{G}_{G,K}$ -model structure to generating cofibrations in the G -global model structure. Thus the claim follows from Lemma 2.2. \triangle

The Pushout Product Axiom for acyclic cofibrations then follows again as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Thus, $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ is symmetric monoidal.

For the Monoid Axiom we observe that for any acyclic cofibration j and any tame $\mathbf{EM-G}$ -category C the map $C \boxtimes j$ is a G -global equivalence and an injective cofibration. Thus, also any pushout of $C \boxtimes j$ is a G -global equivalence by Proposition 2.4, and as the G -global equivalences are stable under filtered colimits, so is any transfinite composition of such.

Next, we verify the Strong Commutative Monoid Axiom, for which we again may restrict to generating (acyclic) cofibrations by [Whi17, Lemma A.1]. For the part about cofibrations, we therefore have to show that the map $i^{\square n} / \Sigma_n$ is a cofibration for each of the standard generating cofibrations $(E\text{Inj}(A, \omega) \times_\varphi G) \times i'$ ($i' \in I_{\mathbf{Cat}}$, H finite, $A \neq \emptyset$ a finite faithful H -set, $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$), where $i^{\square n}$ denotes the iterated pushout product and Σ_n acts by permuting the factors. However, using Example 4.12, this map agrees up to conjugation by isomorphisms with

$$(4.7) \quad (E\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times A, \omega) \times G^n \times (i')^{\square n}) / (\Sigma_n \wr H)$$

where the wreath product acts on $\text{Inj}(\mathbf{n} \times A, \omega)$ via the action on $\mathbf{n} \times A$ given by $(\sigma; h_1, \dots, h_n).(k, a) = (\sigma(k), h_k.a)$ and similarly on $G^n \times (i')^{\square n}$. One easily checks that the $(\Sigma_n \wr H)$ -action on $\mathbf{n} \times A$ is faithful (which uses $A \neq \emptyset$). Thus, we again conclude from the above claim that (4.7) is a cofibration.

The sources of the standard generating cofibrations of $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}^\tau$ are cofibrant, so we can pick a set of generating *acyclic* cofibrations with cofibrant sources

by [Bar10, Corollary 2.7]. Thus, to verify the Strong Commutative Monoid Axiom for acyclic cofibrations, it is enough by [GG16, Corollaries 10 and 23] to show that $j^{\boxtimes n}/\Sigma_n$ is a G -global equivalence for any G -global equivalence $j: C \rightarrow D$ between cofibrant objects. As $j^{\boxtimes n}$ is a $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -global equivalence by Corollary 4.19, it suffices by Lemma 2.9 to show that Σ_n acts freely on $A^{\boxtimes n}$ for every cofibrant A . But indeed, one easily checks inductively that such an A has no \mathcal{M} -fixed objects (hence no \mathcal{M} -fixed points at all), so the claim follows by applying the argument from [Len20a, proof of Corollary 2.1.15] to the nerve of A .

Altogether, this shows that we may apply [Whi17, Theorem 3.2] to conclude that the transferred model structure along (4.5) exists, which easily implies all of the above claims except for the left properness, which is instead an instance of [Whi17, Theorem 4.17]. \square

Corollary 4.24. *There is a unique model structure on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau$ in which a map is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if it so in the positive G -global model structure on $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$. We call this the positive G -global model structure again. It is combinatorial, right proper, and simplicial. Moreover, the adjunction (4.4) is a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. As the forgetful functors are compatible with the equivalence (of ordinary categories) from Proposition 4.22, Theorem 4.23 implies that the model structure transferred along (4.4) exists. The claims follow as before. \square

Proposition 4.25. (1) *Geometric realization in $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ and $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is homotopical.*
 (2) *The forgetful functors $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \rightarrow EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ preserve geometric realizations.*

Proof. The first statement for $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is an instance of Proposition 2.12 (or its classical simplicial analogue), and this immediately yields the corresponding statement for $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau$ as the inclusion preserves tensors and colimits.

Likewise, the second statement for $EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ is an instance of Proposition 1.16 (using that geometric realization is created in \mathcal{C} and $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{C})$, respectively), and for the tame statement it suffices to show that $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau$ is closed under geometric realizations. However, as geometric realizations in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ can be computed in $EM\text{-}\mathcal{C}$, this follows as for the first statement. \square

The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.15 now shows:

Proposition 4.26. *The adjunctions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LP}: EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\infty &\rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\infty : \text{forget}^\infty \\ \mathbf{LP}: (EM\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau)^\infty &\rightleftarrows (\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau)^\infty : \text{forget}^\infty \end{aligned}$$

are monadic. \square

Theorem 4.27. *The inclusion $G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$ descends to an equivalence of associated quasi-categories. In particular, if \mathcal{O} is any external G -global E_∞ -operad, then $G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\infty \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\infty$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.20 it suffices to prove the first statement. For this we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\tau)^\infty & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}^\infty} & \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})^\infty \\
\mathrm{forget}^\infty \downarrow & \Rightarrow & \downarrow \mathrm{forget}^\infty \\
(\mathrm{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\tau)^\infty & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}^\infty} & \mathrm{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\infty \\
\mathrm{incl}^\infty \downarrow & \Rightarrow & \parallel \\
\mathrm{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathrm{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathcal{C}^\infty
\end{array}$$

where the two squares commute up to the natural equivalences induced by the respective identity transformations. The vertical composites are monadic by the previous proposition together with Theorem 4.4/4.7. As in the proof of Theorem 1.17 it then suffices to show that the canonical mate of the total rectangle is an equivalence. As the functors in the bottom square are equivalences, it is enough to prove this for the top square, where this is immediate from the construction of the adjunctions (cf. Lemma 4.20). \square

For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{SSet}$ this implies the following result which in particular subsumes Theorem C from the introduction:

Theorem 4.28. *The following quasi-categories are equivalent:*

- the quasi-category $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathbf{SSet})^\infty$ for any G -global E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} ,
- the quasi-category $(\mathbf{\Gamma}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathbf{EM}\text{-}\mathbf{SSet}_*^{\mathrm{special}})^\infty$ of special G -global Γ -spaces in the sense of [Len20a, Definition 2.2.47], and
- the quasi-category $\mathbf{G}\text{-}\mathbf{UCom}^\infty$ of G -ultra-commutative monoids [Len20a, Definition 2.1.25].

Proof. Let \mathcal{O} be a G -global E_∞ -operad; the previous theorem together with Theorem 3.21 implies that $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathbf{SSet})^\infty$ is equivalent to the quasi-category of G -parsummable simplicial sets. These are in turn equivalent to special G -global Γ -spaces by [Len20a, Theorem 2.3.1 and Corollary 2.2.50] and to G -ultra-commutative monoids by Corollary 2.1.38 of *op. cit.* \square

Assume now that G is finite. As a consequence of the above, we get the following result which for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$ generalizes Theorem D from the introduction:

Theorem 4.29. *The composition*

$$(G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})$$

induces a quasi-localization at the G -equivariant (weak) equivalences. In particular, if \mathcal{O} is any genuine G - E_∞ -operad, then we have an equivalence

$$(G\text{-ParSum}\text{-}\mathcal{C})_{G\text{-equivariant}}^\infty \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(G\text{-}\mathcal{C})_{G\text{-equivariant}}^\infty$$

Proof. The first statement is immediate from the Theorem 4.27 together with Lemma 3.33. With this established, the second statement then follows from Theorem 2.17. \square

Remark 4.30. For $G = 1$ and $\mathcal{O} = E\Sigma_*$ the above in particular yields an equivalence between permutative categories and parsummable categories, both viewed with respect to the underlying equivalences of categories. On the other hand, we previously proved in [Len21b] that a specific functor Φ constructed by Schwede

[Sch19, Construction 11.1] from permutative to parsummable categories descends to an equivalence of associated quasi-categories. This induced functor is in fact inverse to the above equivalence for abstract reasons: namely, both Φ and the above equivalence preserve underlying categories in the sense that they come with an equivalence $\text{forget} \circ \Phi \simeq \text{forget}$ and similarly for the above composition. It then follows formally from [GGN15, Corollary 2.5-(iii)] that there are essentially unique equivalences between the two composites and the respective identities that are compatible with the chosen equivalences for the underlying categories.

On the other hand, I do not know of an explicit description of the above equivalence for general G , or even any interesting direct functor from genuine permutative G -categories to G -parsummable categories or vice-versa. However, we can at least describe the underlying naïve symmetric monoidal G -category of the genuine permutative G -category associated to a G -parsummable category C under the above equivalence: namely, by the same trick as in the case $G = 1$ (using that $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat}^\infty$ is semi-additive by Corollary 4.18) it will suffice to give a functor $\Psi: \mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-SymMonCat}$ that on underlying categories agrees with the above equivalence, i.e. that is on underlying categories given by the functor $\delta^*: \mathbf{EM}\text{-}G\text{-Cat}^\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$.

This is a straightforward adaption of the construction for $G = 1$ presented in [Sch19, Section 5]: for any $n \geq 0$ and any injection $\varphi: \mathbf{n} \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ we define $\varphi_*: C^{\times n} \rightarrow C$ on objects via $\varphi_*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(i, -).x_i$ and analogously on morphisms; note that the sum is indeed well-defined as $\varphi(i, -).x_i$ and $\varphi(j, -).x_j$ are disjointly supported for $i \neq j$ by [Sch19, Proposition 2.13-(iii)]. For any other such injection ψ we then get a natural transformation $[\psi, \varphi]: \varphi_* \Rightarrow \psi_*$ given on (x_1, \dots, x_n) by $\sum_{i=1}^n (\psi(i, -), \varphi(i, -)).x_i$. One immediately checks from the definitions that if $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ is any subgroup such that φ is H -equivariant with respect to the tautological H -action on ω , then φ_* is H -equivariant, and similarly for $[\psi, \varphi]$. Moreover, φ_* , ψ_* and $[\psi, \varphi]$ are clearly G -equivariant (without any assumptions on φ and ψ) because the \mathbf{EM} -action on C commutes with the G -action. In particular, we see that if φ and ψ are $j(G)$ -equivariant (for our chosen embedding $j: G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$), then φ_* and ψ_* define G -equivariant functors $(\delta^*C)^{\times n} \rightarrow \delta^*C$ and $[\psi, \varphi]$ is a G -equivariant natural transformation between them.

Now [Sch19, Construction 5.6] associates to any choice of an injection $\mu: \mathbf{2} \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ a symmetric monoidal category with underlying category C and tensor product $C^{\times 2} \rightarrow C$ given by μ_* . The tensor unit is the object 0 and the structure isomorphisms are given by the canonical isomorphisms provided by the \mathbf{EM} -action: for example, the left unitality isomorphism $\mu_*(0, x) = \mu(2, -)_*.x \rightarrow x$ is simply given by $[\mu(2, -), 1]_x$; we refer the reader to *loc.cit.* for further details. As an upshot of the above discussion, we see that if μ is $j(G)$ -equivariant, then this equips δ^*C with the structure of a symmetric monoidal G -category C . Moreover, for any map $f: C \rightarrow D$ of G -parsummable categories the induced G -equivariant functor $\delta^*C \rightarrow \delta^*D$ is actually strict symmetric monoidal with respect to these symmetric monoidal structures. Thus, any choice of a $j(G)$ -equivariant μ (which is possible as $j(G)$ is universal) provides a lift of δ^* to a functor $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-SymMonCat}$ as desired.

Finally, we remark that the same construction allows us to work out the fixed points of the genuine permutative G -category associated to a G -parsummable category: if \mathcal{O} is any operad in $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$, then the H -fixed points ($H \subset G$) of an

\mathcal{O} -algebra A naturally carry the structure of an \mathcal{O}^H -algebra. For $\mathcal{O} = E\Sigma_*^{EG}$ the genuine G -equivariant Barratt-Eccles operad, the natural map $E\Sigma_* \rightarrow E\Sigma_*^{EG}$ lands in the G -fixed points, so this altogether gives the H -fixed points of a genuine permutative G -category the structure of a permutative category in the usual non-equivariant sense. To describe this structure for the genuine permutative G -category associated to a G -parsummable category C , it suffices once again to give a functor $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{SymMonCat}$ that is given on underlying categories by $C \mapsto (\delta^*C)^H$. However, the above symmetric monoidal structure obviously restricts accordingly.

Finally, Theorem 4.29 implies together with [Len20a, Theorem 2.3.18]:

Corollary 4.31. *Let \mathcal{O} be any genuine G - E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$. Then there is an equivalence of quasi-categories $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})^\infty \simeq \mathbf{\Gamma}\text{-G}\text{-SSet}_*^{\text{special}}$ between \mathcal{O} -algebras and Shimakawa's special Γ - G -spaces [Shi89].* \square

5. EQUIVARIANT ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY AND THE K -THEORY OF GROUP RINGS

As alluded to in the introduction, G -parsummable categories are arguably easier to construct than genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories. To demonstrate this, we will use Theorem 4.29 to produce certain genuine symmetric monoidal G -categories with interesting equivariant algebraic K -theory of which I do not know any direct construction avoiding the use of G -parsummable categories.

To put this into context, we recall that Guillou and May defined the *equivariant algebraic K -theory* $\mathbf{K}_G(C)$ of any genuine permutative G -category C in [GM17, Definition 4.12], which is a genuine G -spectrum in the sense of equivariant stable homotopy theory; we will not need any specifics of their construction. This was then used by Merling to define the equivariant algebraic K -theory of a G -ring R [Mer17, Definition 5.23]. We will be only interested in the case that G acts trivially on R , where her construction can be described concisely as follows: we first consider the usual symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{P}(R)$ of finitely generated projective left R -modules and R -linear *isomorphisms* with symmetric monoidal structure given by direct sum. After strictification, we can view this as a naïve permutative G -category (with trivial G -action), which we then turn into a genuine one by applying $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ as in Example 2.20. The global algebraic K -theory $\mathbf{K}_G(R)$ of R is then simply defined by feeding this resulting genuine permutative G -category into the Guillou-May machinery.

For her construction, Merling provided the following description of the categorical fixed points in terms of the K -theory of group rings:

Theorem 5.1 (Merling). *If $H \subset G$ is a subgroup such that $|H| \in R^\times$, then we have a preferred equivalence*

$$F^H \mathbf{K}_G(R) \simeq \mathbf{K}(RH).$$

Proof. See [Mer17, Theorem 5.28]. \square

The assumptions of the theorem are in particular satisfied if R is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. However, in absence of the above invertibility condition the H -fixed points only recover the K -theory of finitely generated RH -modules which are projective *over* R (as opposed to RH), which yields the wrong result already for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $H = G$ the cyclic group of order 2.

We will now define a genuine permutative G -category $\mathbb{P}_G(R)$ whose equivariant algebraic K -theory upon taking fixed points recovers the algebraic K -theory of group rings over R without any such invertibility assumption. To this end, we first begin with a concrete construction of a G -parsummable category $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ associated to a ring R , which is a variant of the parsummable category $\mathcal{P}(R)$ [Sch19, Construction 10.1] used in Schwede's construction of the global algebraic K -theory of the ring R .

Construction 5.2. Let $R[\omega \times G]$ be the free left R -module with basis $\omega \times G$; we let $\mathcal{M} \times G$ act on $R[\omega \times G]$ by R -linear extension of its natural action on $\omega \times G$.

We will now write $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ for the following category: an object of $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ is a pair (M, r) of a finitely generated R -submodule $M \subset R[\omega \times G]$ together with an R -linear retraction $r: R[\omega \times G] \rightarrow M$ of the inclusion such that r sends almost all of the standard basis vectors to zero. A map φ from (M, r) to (N, s) is an abstract R -linear isomorphism $M \cong N$; no compatibility of φ with the chosen retractions (or the embeddings) is required.

If $u \in R$ is any injection, then acting with u on $R[\omega \times G]$ sends any finitely generated R -submodule M to a finitely generated submodule $u.M$. Moreover, if $r: R[\omega \times G] \rightarrow M$ is any R -linear map, then we get a map r^u defined by R -linearly extending the map $\omega \times G \rightarrow M$ sending $(u(x), g)$ to $u.(r(x, g))$ and (y, g) to 0 for any $y \notin \text{im}(u)$. Clearly $r^u \circ (u.-) = (u.-) \circ r$, and in particular r^u is a retraction to the inclusion $u.M \hookrightarrow R[\omega \times G]$. As moreover r^u again sends almost all basis vectors to zero by direct inspection, we may now define $u.(M, r) = (u.M, r^u)$ for every $(M, r) \in \mathcal{P}_G$. One easily checks that this defines an \mathcal{M} -action on $\text{Ob } \mathcal{P}_G(R)$. Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism $u_\circ^{(M, r)}: (M, r) \rightarrow (u.M, r^u) = u.(M, r)$ given by acting with u ; these maps clearly satisfy the relations

$$v_\circ^{u.(M, r)} u_\circ^{(M, r)} = (vu)_\circ^{(M, r)}$$

for all $v, u \in \mathcal{M}$, so there is by [Sch19, Proposition 2.6] a unique way to define an EM -action on $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ such that the underlying \mathcal{M} -action on objects is as above and such that in addition the natural isomorphism $\text{id} \Rightarrow (u.-)$ induced by the map $(u, 1)$ in EM is given for each $u \in \mathcal{M}$ by the maps u_\circ .

In addition, we define a G -action on \mathcal{P}_G as follows: for any $g \in G$, an object (M, r) is sent to $(g.M, r^g)$ where $g.M$ is again given as the image of M under the G -action on $R[\omega \times G]$, while $r^g = (g.-) \circ r \circ (g^{-1}.-)$. If now $\varphi: (M, r) \rightarrow (N, s)$ is any map in $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$, then we define $g.\varphi$ as the map $(g.-) \circ \varphi \circ (g^{-1}.-)$; one easily checks that this defines a G -action on $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$. Moreover, the G -action on objects clearly commutes with the \mathcal{M} -action, and moreover $g.(u_\circ^{(M, r)}) = u_\circ^{g.(M, r)}$ as both sides are given as maps of R -modules simply by $(g.-) \circ (u.-) \circ (g^{-1}.-) = u.-$. Thus, [Len21b, Corollary 1.3] shows that $g.-$ is a map of EM -categories, i.e. we altogether get an $(EM \times G)$ -action.

Lemma 5.3. *The EM - G -category $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ is tame. The support of an element (M, r) is given by $\text{supp}(M) \cup \text{supp}(r)$ where we define*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(M) &:= \{i \in \omega : \text{pr}_{(i, g)}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } g \in G\} \\ \text{supp}(r) &:= \{i \in \omega : r(i, g) \neq 0 \text{ for some } g \in G\}; \end{aligned}$$

here $\text{pr}_{(i, g)}: R[\omega \times G] \rightarrow R$ denotes the projection onto the basis vector (i, g) .

Proof. First observe that $\text{supp}(M)$ is finite as M is finitely generated, while $\text{supp}(r)$ is finite by assumption on r .

If u fixes $\text{supp}(M)$ pointwise, then clearly $u.M = M$. Moreover, if u fixes $\text{supp}(r)$ pointwise, then $r(u(i), g) = r(i, g)$ for all $(i, g) \in \omega \times G$: namely, if $i \in \text{supp}(r)$, then already $(u(i), g) = (i, g)$, while otherwise also $u(i) \notin \text{supp}(r)$ by injectivity, so that $r(u(i), g) = 0 = r(i, g)$. Thus, if u also fixes $\text{supp}(M)$ pointwise, then $r^u(u(i), g) = u.r(i, g) = r(i, g) = r(u(i), g)$ for all $(i, g) \in \omega \times G$, while $r^u(i, g) = 0 = r(i, g)$ when $i \notin \text{im}(u)$ as $\text{im}(u) \supset \text{supp}(r)$.

Conversely, let $A \subset \omega$ be a finite set such that (M, r) is supported on A . We will show that $A \supset \text{supp}(r)$; the argument that $A \supset \text{supp}(M)$ is similar. To this end, assume for contradiction that $A \not\supset \text{supp}(r)$ and pick $i \in \text{supp}(r) \setminus A$ as well as $u \in \mathcal{M}$ with $u(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$, but $i \notin \text{im}(u)$. Since $i \in \text{supp}(r)$, there exists a $g \in G$ with $r(i, g) \neq 0$. On the other hand $r^u(i, g) = 0$ as $i \notin \text{im}(u)$ so $r^u \neq r$ contradicting the assumption that (M, r) be supported on A . \square

Construction 5.4. We make the tame EM - G -category $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ into a G -parsummable category as follows: the neutral element is given by the pair $(0, 0)$ of the zero submodule and the zero map $R[\omega \times G] \rightarrow 0$; this has empty support by the previous lemma. If now (M, r) and (N, s) are disjointly supported, then we define $(M, r) + (N, s)$ as $(M + N, r + s)$ where $M + N$ is the internal sum as submodules and $(r + s)(x) = r(x) + s(x)$ as usual. Note that the sum $M + N$ is actually direct as $\text{supp}(M) \cap \text{supp}(N) = \emptyset$; moreover, $r + s$ is indeed a retraction: we will show that $s(x) = 0$ for every $x \in M$; analogously one shows that $r(x) = 0$ for every $x \in N$ which then easily yields the claim. To this end, we observe that if $x \in M$ and $\text{pr}_{(i, g)}(x) \neq 0$, then $i \in \text{supp}(M)$ and hence $i \notin \text{supp}(s)$ by the previous lemma, which shows $s(i, g) = 0$. As we can express x as an R -linear combination of (i, g) 's with $\text{pr}_{(i, g)}(x) \neq 0$, the claim follows. Finally, $M + N$ is clearly finitely generated while $r + s$ still sends almost all standard basis vectors to 0, so that $(M + N, r + s)$ is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$. If $\varphi: (M, r) \rightarrow (N, s)$ and $\varphi': (M', r') \rightarrow (N', s')$ are maps in $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ such that $\text{supp}(M, r) \cap \text{supp}(M', r') = \emptyset = \text{supp}(N, s) \cap \text{supp}(N', s')$, then we define $(\varphi + \varphi')(m + m') = \varphi(m) + \varphi'(m')$; this is well-defined as the internal sum $M + M'$ is direct, and it is again bijective as also the internal sum $N + N'$ is direct by assumption. Altogether, we have defined a map $\mathcal{P}_G(R) \boxtimes \mathcal{P}_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G(R)$, and one trivially verifies that this is strictly unital, associative, and commutative.

Finally, the sum is clearly \mathcal{M} -equivariant on objects and it satisfies the relation $u_{\circ}^{(M, r)} + u_{\circ}^{(N, s)} = u_{\circ}^{(M + N, r + s)}$ whenever the sum is defined as both sides are simply given by restricting the action of u on $R[\omega \times G]$. We conclude from another application of [Len21b, Corollary 1.3] that the sum is EM -equivariant; as it is moreover G -equivariant by an similar computation, we have altogether defined a G -parsummable category $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$.

Applying our equivalence $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat}^{\infty} \simeq \text{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*^{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^{\infty}$ from Theorem 4.29 now produces a genuine permutative G -category $\mathbb{P}_G(R)$ from this, which we can feed into the Guillou-May machinery:

Theorem 5.5. *For every subgroup $H \subset G$ there is a preferred equivalence*

$$(5.1) \quad F^H \mathbf{K}_G(\mathbb{P}_G(R)) \simeq \mathbf{K}(RH).$$

We emphasize again that unlike for Merling's construction (Theorem 5.1) there is no invertibility condition on $|H|$ here; in particular, we can apply this to $R = \mathbb{Z}$

and any finite G to get a genuine G -spectrum whose H -fixed points for $H \subset G$ recover the K -theory of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}H$.

Proof. By [GM17, Theorem 4.14] we can identify the left hand side of (5.1) with the K -theory of the H -fixed points $\mathbb{P}_G(R)^H$ viewed as a permutative category in the usual way. On the other hand, Remark 4.30 gives an explicit description of an equivalent symmetric monoidal structure on $(\delta^*\mathcal{P}_G(R))^H$. We will now show that this is symmetric monoidally equivalent to $\mathcal{P}(RH)$, which will then complete the proof of the theorem.

To this end, we view $R[\omega \times G]$ as an RH -module by letting H act on $\omega \times G$ via δ . By definition, an object (M, r) of $\delta^*\mathcal{P}_G(R)$ is now fixed by $h \in H$ if and only if acting with h on $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)]$ sends M to itself (not necessarily identically) and r commutes with h .; thus, (M, r) is H -fixed if and only if M is an RH -submodule of $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)]$ and r is H -equivariant, i.e. RH -linear. Similarly, a morphism $\varphi: (M, r) \rightarrow (N, s)$ of H -fixed objects is H -fixed if and only if it is RH -linear. Thus, we get a well-defined functor from $\mathcal{P}_G(R)^H$ into the category of RH -modules and RH -linear isomorphisms by sending an H -fixed object (M, r) to M with the above H -action and an H -fixed morphism φ simply to φ . This actually factors through $\mathcal{P}(RH)$: namely, M is finitely generated as an R -module by assumption, and hence also as an RH -module, and the RH -linear map $r: R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)] \rightarrow M$ exhibits M as an RH -linear summand of $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)]$; the latter is a free RH -module as $\delta^*(\omega \times G)$ is a free H -set, so M is projective over RH as desired.

We now claim that this functor $\mathcal{P}_G(R)^H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(RH)$ is an equivalence of categories. Indeed, it is fully faithful by the above discussion, so it only remains to show essential surjectivity. For this we let M be any finitely generated projective RH -module, and we pick generators m_1, \dots, m_r . We now choose r distinct H -orbits O_1, \dots, O_r of $\delta^*(\omega \times G)$, which yields an RH -linear surjection $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)] \rightarrow R[O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_r] \cong (RH)^r$ which we can postcompose with the map $(RH)^r \rightarrow M$ sending the i -th standard basic vector to m_i to yield an epimorphism $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)] \rightarrow M$, which then admits a section s by projectivity. As $M \cong s(M)$ in $\mathcal{P}(RH)$ via s , it will then be enough to show that $(s(M), sp)$ defines an element of $\mathcal{P}_G(R)^H$. Indeed, $s(M)$ is finitely generated as an RH -module and hence also as an R -module as H is finite; moreover, $O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_r \subset \omega \times G$ is finite, so $sp(i, g) = 0$ for almost all (i, g) and hence $(s(M), sp)$ is an object of $\mathcal{P}_G(R)$. However, $s(M)$ is an RH -submodule as s is RH -linear, and since also p is RH -linear, so is sp , whence $(s(M), sp)$ is indeed H -fixed by the above description of the fixed points.

To complete the proof of the theorem it now only remains to show that the forgetful functor $(\delta^*\mathcal{P}_G(R))^H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(RH)$ is naturally a strong symmetric monoidal functor for the symmetric monoidal structure from Remark 4.30. For this we will make a clever choice of coproducts of RH -modules: namely, if $\mu: \mathbf{2} \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is our chosen injection and $M, N \subset R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)]$ are any submodules, then a coproduct of M and N in the category of R -modules is given by $\mu_*(M, N)$ with structure maps

$$(5.2) \quad M \xrightarrow{[\mu(1, -), 1]} \mu_*(M, 0) \xrightarrow{\mu_*(\text{id}_M, 0)} \mu_*(M, N) \xleftarrow{\mu_*(0, \text{id}_N)} \mu_*(0, N) \xleftarrow{[\mu(2, -), 1]} N.$$

If we now simply agree to take the coproducts on the category of RH -modules defining the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{P}(RH)$ to be given by (5.2) whenever M and N are literally RH -submodules of $R[\delta^*(\omega \times G)]$, then our above functor is even strict symmetric monoidal by direct inspection, also cf. [Len20a, Remark 4.1.37]. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 5.6. While the fixed points of a genuine G -spectrum X tell us quite a lot about it, they are not quite enough to completely determine its homotopy type. Instead, one has to take the so-called restrictions $\text{res}_H^K: F^K X \rightarrow F^H X$ and transfers $\text{tr}_K^H: F^H X \rightarrow F^K X$ along subgroup inclusions $H \subset K$ into account as well as some higher structure relating these to each other, see e.g. [GM11, Theorem 1.13] or [CMNN20, Theorem A.1] for different ways to make this precise.

In our situation there are natural candidates for the restrictions and transfers along $H \subset K$: namely, they ought to correspond under the equivalence (5.1) to restriction or extension of scalars along $RH \rightarrow RK$, respectively. However, while it is plausible that one would be able to prove this by a combination of the methods from [Len22] and [Bra21], such a comparison is far from being trivial, and we will not pursue this any further here.

6. CATEGORICAL VS. SIMPLICIAL ALGEBRAS

A classical result of Quillen (appearing for example in [Ill72, VI.3]) says that the nerve provides an equivalence between the homotopy theory of small categories (with respect to weak equivalences) and the usual homotopy theory of spaces. This comparison was later lifted to a comparison between the corresponding notions of E_∞ -algebras by Mandell [Man10, Theorem 1.9]. In [Len20a, Section 4.3] we proved analogous comparisons between permutative G -categories (i.e. *naïve* categorical G - E_∞ -algebras) and various models of genuine G -equivariantly or G -globally ‘coherently commutative monoids.’ As an upshot of the above results we now also get a corresponding statement for *genuine* categorical algebras:

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathcal{O} be a G -global E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{EM-G-Cat}$. Then the nerve*

$$\mathbf{N}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{EM-G-Cat})_{G\text{-global w.e.}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{EM-G-SSet})_{G\text{-global w.e.}}$$

descends to an equivalence of quasi-localizations; here we again call a functor of $\mathbf{EM-G}$ -categories a G -global weak equivalence if its nerve is a G -global weak equivalence in the usual sense.

Proof. Appealing to Propositions 3.25 and 3.27-(2), it will be enough to prove that $\mathbf{N}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-SSet})$ induces an equivalence for every external G -global E_∞ -operad \mathcal{P} .

To this end, let \mathfrak{P} be the class of all external G -global E_∞ -operads \mathcal{P} for which this holds. We will show that \mathfrak{P} is not empty and that it is closed under G -global equivalences; as any two external G -global E_∞ -operads can be connected by a zig-zag of equivalences, this will then imply that \mathfrak{P} indeed consists of all external G -global operads.

The closure under G -global equivalences is immediate from Proposition 3.16. For the remaining statement we will show that \mathfrak{P} contains the categorified injection operad \mathcal{I} . For this we observe that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G-ParSumCat} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbf{G-ParSumSSet} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-SSet}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical inclusions come from Proposition 4.22. By Theorem 4.27 we are therefore reduced to showing that the top horizontal arrow induces an equivalence

after localizing at the G -global weak equivalences, which we proved as [Len20b, Theorem 5.8]. \square

For finite G , we also get a version for G -equivariant algebras:

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be a genuine G - E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. Then the nerve $\mathbf{N}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-SSet})$ descends to an equivalence of the quasi-localizations at the G -weak equivalences.*

Proof. We pick a homomorphism $j: G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with universal image, and we consider the homomorphism $\delta: G \rightarrow \mathcal{EM} \times G, \delta(g) = (j(g), g)$. If now \mathcal{P} is any G -global E_∞ -operad, then $\delta^*\mathcal{P}$ is a genuine G - E_∞ -operad, and arguing as before it suffices to prove the theorem for $\mathcal{O} = \delta^*\mathcal{P}$. But in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{EM-G-Cat})_{G\text{-global w.e.}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{EM-G-SSet})_{G\text{-global w.e.}} \\ \delta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta^* \\ \text{Alg}_{\delta^*\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})_{G\text{-equivariant w.e.}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}(\delta^*\mathcal{P})}(\mathbf{G-SSet})_{G\text{-equivariant w.e.}} \end{array}$$

the vertical arrows induce quasi-localizations by Theorem 3.28, while the top horizontal arrow is an equivalence by the previous theorem. The claim follows immediately as the lower horizontal arrow preserves and reflects weak equivalences by definition and as the weak equivalences on the target are saturated, being part of a model structure. \square

7. MODELLING GENUINE G - E_∞ -ALGEBRAS BY NAÏVE ONES

Let G be a finite group. In this section we will finally prove that the homotopy theory of genuine permutative G -categories with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences can already be modelled by *naïve* G - E_∞ -algebras. In order to formulate this comparison precisely, we introduce the following terminology:

Definition 7.1. A map $f: C \rightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ is called a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence if $\text{Fun}(EH, f)^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every $H \subset G$.

Example 7.2. Every underlying equivalence of categories is a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence, see Remark 2.7.

Warning 7.3. A G -equivariant weak equivalence of G -categories is not necessarily a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence.

Remark 7.4. The G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences are part of a model structure on $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ modelling ordinary G -equivariant unstable homotopy theory, see [Len21a, Theorem 4.13].

Moreover, we could also impose the stronger condition that $\text{Fun}(EH, \varphi^*f)^H$ be a weak homotopy equivalence for every finite group H and every homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$. This yields the so-called G -global weak equivalences (or maybe more systematically G -global ‘homotopy’ weak equivalences), and $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ with respect to the G -global weak equivalences is another model of unstable G -global homotopy theory, see [Len21a, Theorem 4.3].

Recall the Guillou-May-Shimakawa construction from Example 2.20 producing from an \mathcal{O} -algebra C an \mathcal{O}^{EG} -algebra with underlying G -category $\text{Fun}(EG, C)$. Below we will prove the following comparison, which for $\mathcal{O} = E\Sigma_*$ gives a precise version of Theorem A from the introduction:

Theorem 7.5. *Let \mathcal{O} be an underlying E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. Then the homotopical functor*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(EG, -): \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})_{G\text{-homotopy' w. e.}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})_{G\text{-w. e.}}$$

induces an equivalence of associated quasi-categories.

The proof requires some preparations.

Definition 7.6. A G -category is called G -equivariantly saturated if the natural map $C^H \rightarrow C^{h^H} = \mathrm{Fun}(EH, C)^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every $H \subset G$.

Lemma 7.7. *Let \mathcal{O} be any underlying E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. Then the inclusion $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\mathrm{sat}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ of saturated \mathcal{O} -algebras is a homotopy equivalence with respect to the underlying equivalences of categories on both sides.*

Proof. A homotopy inverse is given by applying the functor $\mathrm{Fun}(EG, -)$ and restricting the operad action along the natural map $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{EG}$. \square

The key ingredient to the proof of Theorem 7.5 will be the following complementary result:

Theorem 7.8. *Let \mathcal{P} be any genuine G - E_∞ -operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. Then the inclusion*

$$(7.1) \quad \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\mathrm{sat}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$$

induces an equivalence after quasi-localizing at the G -equivariant weak equivalences on both sides.

Proof. Let us consider the class \mathfrak{P} of all genuine G - E_∞ -operads \mathcal{P} for which the statement of the theorem hold. It again suffices to show that \mathfrak{P} is non-empty and closed under G -equivariant equivalences.

For the first statement, we will show that \mathfrak{P} contains the operad \mathcal{I}_G where we have identified G with a universal subgroup of \mathcal{M} . For this we apply the isomorphism of 1-categories $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \cong \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ from Lemma 3.33. Under this identification, the saturated \mathcal{I}_G -algebras correspond precisely to those \mathcal{I} -algebras C for which the natural map

$$(7.2) \quad C^\iota \rightarrow C^{h^\iota} = \mathrm{Fun}(EH, C)^\iota$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for every universal $H \subset \mathcal{M}$ and every injective homomorphism ι . We call such \mathcal{I} -algebras G -equivariantly saturated again, and it will then be enough to show that the inclusion $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\mathrm{sat}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ is an equivalence with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences. For this we will prove more generally:

Claim. Consider the commutative diagram

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\tau, \mathrm{sat}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^\tau \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\mathrm{sat}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \end{array}$$

of inclusions, where $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})^{\tau, \mathrm{sat}}$ denotes the subcategory of those \mathcal{I} -algebras that are both tame and saturated. Then all of the above functors induce equivalences (a) after quasi-localizing at the underlying equivalences of categories, as well as (b) after quasi-localizing at the G -equivariant weak equivalences.

Proof. For the first statement we observe that the right hand arrow induces an equivalence with respect to the G -global equivalences by Theorem 4.27, hence in particular also with respect to the underlying equivalences. Moreover, the lower horizontal arrow has a homotopy inverse induced by $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ according to Lemma 7.7, and one immediately checks that this also provides a homotopy inverse to the top horizontal arrow. Thus, also the left hand vertical arrow induces an equivalence by 2-out-of-3.

For the second statement, we conclude in the same way as before that the right hand vertical arrow descends to an equivalence, and so does the left hand vertical arrow by the first statement since the G -equivariant weak equivalences *between saturated G -categories* are coarser than the underlying equivalences of categories. By another application of 2-out-of-3 it will then be enough to show that the top horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence.

For this we observe that the equivalence $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \simeq \mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat}$ from Proposition 4.22 does not change the underlying category, so that it suffices to show that the inclusion $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat}^{\text{sat}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat}$ of the G -equivariantly saturated G -parsummable categories is a homotopy equivalence. This is however immediate from [Len20b, Theorem 5.9]. \triangle

It remains to show that \mathfrak{P} is closed under G -equivariant equivalences. For this we consider any G -equivariant equivalence $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, which induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^{\text{sat}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^{\text{sat}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}). \end{array}$$

The right hand vertical arrow induces an equivalence after quasi-localizing at the G -equivariant equivalences by Theorem 2.17, hence in particular with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences or with respect to the underlying equivalences of categories. By 2-out-of-3 it will then suffice to show that also the left hand vertical arrow induces an equivalence with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences, for which it is as before enough to prove this for the underlying equivalences of categories. This is however in turn immediate from Lemma 7.7 and 2-out-of-3. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.5. It is clear that $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ factors through the full subcategory $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ of saturated \mathcal{O}^{EG} -algebras. As \mathcal{O}^{EG} is a genuine $G\text{-}E_{\infty}$ -operad, it is therefore enough to show by the previous theorem that

$$(7.4) \quad \text{Fun}(EG, -): \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^{\text{sat}}$$

induces an equivalence with respect to the G -weak equivalences on the target and the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences on the source. For this we observe that $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$ preserves and reflects weak equivalences and that the weak equivalences on both source and target are coarser than the underlying equivalences of categories; this again critically uses that we restricted to the *saturated* algebras in the target. It is therefore once more enough to show that (7.4) induces an equivalence when we equip source and target with the underlying equivalences of categories. By another application of Lemma 7.7 we are then altogether reduced to showing that $\text{Fun}(EG, -): \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ descends to an equivalence when we equip both sides with the *underlying equivalences of categories*. For this we

observe that the functor ρ given by restricting along the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{EG}$ is a left homotopy inverse; on the other hand, $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{EG}$ is a *Triv*-equivalence of Σ -free operads, so Theorem 2.17 shows that ρ induces an equivalence. The claim follows by 2-out-of-3. \square

In fact, similar arguments also show (for not necessarily finite G):

Theorem 7.9. *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ that forgets to an E_∞ -operad in \mathbf{Cat} . Then*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(EM, -): \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EM}}(\mathbf{EM-G-Cat})$$

descends to an equivalence with respect to the G -global weak equivalences on both sides. \square

Remark 7.10. We can also equip $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ with the G -equivariant equivalences of categories; however, in this case the resulting functor $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{EG}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ is not even essentially surjective on homotopy categories unless $G = 1$ as we will show now:

Since the class of saturated G -categories is closed under G -equivalences, it suffices to construct a non-saturated \mathcal{O}^{EG} -algebra. To this end, we pick $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and write $H := \langle g \rangle$ for the subgroup generated by g . This is cyclic, hence in particular abelian. Thus, the category C with one object and endomorphism monoid given by H can be equipped (in a unique way) with the structure of a commutative monoid in \mathbf{Cat} . Equipping C with the trivial G -action therefore yields an algebra in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$ over the terminal operad, and restricting along the unique operad map $\mathcal{O}^{EG} \rightarrow *$ then gives C the structure of an \mathcal{O}^{EG} -algebra. To finish the proof it suffices now to show that the composition $C \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^H(EH, C) \cong \mathrm{Fun}(EH/H, C) \cong \mathrm{Fun}(C, C)$ is not an equivalence. But clearly the identity of C is not contained in the essential image.

Remark 7.11. For G the cyclic group of order 2 (and many other groups), the genuine permutative G -category $\mathbb{P}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ from Section 5 provides another example of a non-saturated permutative G -category. Note that while the above theorem asserts that this is G -weakly equivalent to $\mathrm{Fun}(EG, C)$ for some naïve permutative G -category C , this C is far from admitting any nice tractible description. In particular, unlike $\mathbb{P}_G(\mathbb{Z})$, it will have to contain non-invertible morphisms in order to elicit the correct equivariant behaviour, and these have no evident algebraic interpretation.

With this established, we can deduce Theorem B from our results in [Len20a]:

Theorem 7.12. *The Guillou-May construction*

$$\mathbf{K}_G: \mathrm{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*^{EG}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})_{G\text{-w.e.}}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{G-Spectra}^\infty$$

of equivariant algebraic K -theory [GM17, Definition 4.12] exhibits the quasi-category of connective genuine G -spectra as a Bousfield localization of the category of genuine permutative G -categories.

Proof. By Theorem 7.5 it is enough to show this after restricting along the above functor $\mathrm{Fun}(EG, -): \mathbf{G-PermCat} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*^{EG}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$. This is in turn immediate from [Len20a, Theorem 4.3.9] together with the comparison of the operadic and Γ -space approach to equivariant algebraic K -theory given in [MMO17]. \square

8. CATEGORIES INTERNAL TO G -SPACES AND THEIR ALGEBRAS

Let G be a finite group again. Guillou and May [GM17] more generally consider genuine and naïve permutative (or symmetric monoidal) G -categories in the context of categories internal to $\mathbf{G}\text{-Top}$, or, equivalently, categories internal to \mathbf{Top} that are equipped with an additional G -action. In this final section, we want to extend the above comparisons to this context.

8.1. Realization. For this we first need to recall some basics about simplicial spaces and bisimplicial sets (i.e. ‘simplicial simplicial sets’), and more generally about G -simplicial spaces and G -bisimplicial sets.

Construction 8.1. The categories $\mathbf{G}\text{-STop} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G}\text{-Top})$ and $\mathbf{G}\text{-BiSSet} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})$ come with Reedy model structures, and as both $\mathbf{G}\text{-Top}$ and $\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ are simplicial model categories, we get left Quillen realization functors $\|-|\|: \mathbf{G}\text{-STop} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-Top}$ and $\|-|\|: \mathbf{G}\text{-BiSSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$.

Definition 8.2. We say that a map of G -simplicial spaces or G -bisimplicial sets is a *realization G -weak equivalence* if it is sent to a weak equivalence under the respective left derived realization functor.

As every bisimplicial set is Reedy cofibrant, the realization functor is actually fully homotopical for \mathbf{BiSSet} . Moreover, we see that applying the usual adjunction $|-|: \mathbf{SSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : \text{Sing}$ levelwise yields a Reedy cofibrant replacement in $\mathbf{G}\text{-STop}$. As in addition the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, |-|)} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G}\text{-Top}) \\ \|-|\| \downarrow & & \downarrow \|-|\| \\ \mathbf{G}\text{-SSet} & \xrightarrow{\|-|\|} & \mathbf{G}\text{-Top} \end{array}$$

commutes up to isomorphism (since the usual geometric realization functor is a *simplicial* left adjoint), we conclude:

Lemma 8.3. *The functor $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Sing}): \mathbf{G}\text{-STop} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-BiSSet}$ creates realization G -weak equivalences. \square*

8.2. Topological vs. simplicial categories. We write $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ for the category of categories internal to simplicial sets and topological spaces, respectively. Just like every ordinary category gives rise to a simplicial set via the usual nerve construction, we have:

Construction 8.4. Let $C \in \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ or $C \in \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. The *nerve* $\mathbf{N}C$ of C is the bisimplicial set or simplicial space, respectively, given in degree n by

$$(\mathbf{N}C)_n = \underbrace{\text{Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \cdots \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \text{Mor}(C)}_{n \text{ factors}}$$

together with the evident structure maps. Defining \mathbf{N} analogously on morphisms we then get functors $\mathbf{N}: \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-BiSSet}$ and $\mathbf{N}: \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-STop}$.

Definition 8.5. A functor $f: C \rightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ or $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ is a *G -weak equivalence* if $\mathbf{N}f$ is a realization G -weak equivalence.

As both geometric realization as well as the singular set functor preserve finite products, they lift to an adjunction

$$(8.1) \quad |-|: \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} : \text{Sing}$$

for every (finite) group G .

Proposition 8.6. *The adjunction (8.1) is a homotopy equivalence with respect to the G -weak equivalences on both sides.*

Proof. As Sing preserves limits, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Sing}} & \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}} \\ \mathbf{N} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{G-STop} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Sing})} & \mathbf{G-BiSSet} \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphism; more precisely, the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sing Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Sing Ob}(C)} \cdots \times_{\text{Sing Ob}(C)} \text{Sing Mor}(C) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Sing}(\text{Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \cdots \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \text{Mor}(C)) \end{aligned}$$

assemble into an isomorphism $\mathbf{N}(\text{Sing } C) \cong \text{Sing } \mathbf{N}(C)$ for every $C \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. Together with Lemma 8.3 we conclude that $\text{Sing}: \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ creates G -weak equivalences. It therefore only remains to show that the unit $C \rightarrow \text{Sing}|C|$ is a G -weak equivalence for every simplicial G -category C . However, using that also $||-$ preserves finite limits, the induced map $\mathbf{N}(C)_n \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\text{Sing}|C|)_n$ agrees up to isomorphism with the unit

$$\text{Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \cdots \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \text{Mor}(C) \rightarrow \text{Sing}|\text{Mor}(C) \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \cdots \times_{\text{Ob}(C)} \text{Mor}(C)|,$$

so it is a G -weak equivalence. Thus, $\mathbf{N}(\eta): \mathbf{N}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}(\text{Sing}|C|)$ is a levelwise G -weak equivalence in $\mathbf{G-BiSSet}$ and hence in particular a realization G -weak equivalence as desired. \square

Construction 8.7. Both $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ and $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ are Cartesian closed, so we get internal function categories that we denote by Fun again. We will make these explicit in the case that I is an ordinary G -category, which is the only case we will need below:

For $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$, we observe that we can identify categories internal to simplicial sets with simplicial objects in \mathbf{Cat} as products in functor categories can be computed levelwise. Using this, we can now describe $\text{Fun}(I, C)$ for any $C \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{SSet}}$ very easily: namely, it is the simplicial G -category whose G -category of n -simplices is the ordinary functor category $\text{Fun}(I, C_n)$; the simplicial structure maps are given in the obvious way. Put differently, $\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, C)$ is the simplicial subset of $\text{Ob}(C)^{\text{Ob}(I)} \times \text{Mor}(C)^{\text{Mor}(I)}$ whose n -simplices are the functors $I \rightarrow C_n$, and similarly $\text{Mor } \text{Fun}(I, C)$ is defined as a subcomplex of $\text{Ob}(C)^{\text{Ob}(I \times [1])} \times \text{Mor}(C)^{\text{Mor}(I \times [1])}$. The unit and counit are given by applying the usual unit and counit of $I \times -: \mathbf{Cat} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat}: \text{Fun}(I, -)$ levelwise.

On the other hand, if $D \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$, then $\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, D)$ is the set of all (ordinary) functors $I \rightarrow D$, topologized as a subspace of $\text{Ob}(D)^{\text{Ob}(I)} \times \text{Mor}(D)^{\text{Mor}(I)}$, and similarly for $\text{Mor } \text{Fun}(I, D)$. The unit and counit are given by the usual unit and counit of $I \times -: \mathbf{Cat} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat}: \text{Fun}(I, -)$.

Definition 8.8. A functor f in $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$ or $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ is called a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence if $\text{Fun}(EG, f)$ is a G -weak equivalence.

We now want to prove the following comparison complementing Proposition 8.6:

Proposition 8.9. *The adjunction (8.1) is also a homotopy equivalence with respect to the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences on both sides.*

Before we can do this, we have to establish a certain compatibility property of the above adjunction with respect to internal function categories:

Construction 8.10. As $|-|: \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ is product preserving, the calculus of mates provides us with a natural map

$$\alpha_{I,C}: |\text{Fun}(I, C)| \rightarrow \text{Fun}(|I|, |C|)$$

for every $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$, and passing to mates again then yields a natural map

$$\beta_{I,D}: \text{Fun}(I, \text{Sing } D) \rightarrow \text{Sing } \text{Fun}(|I|, D)$$

for every $D \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. By abstract nonsense, β is actually an isomorphism (as the total mate of the isomorphism $|I \times -| \cong |I \times |-|$), and α, β are compatible with the unit of the adjunction $|-| \dashv \text{Sing}$ in the sense that the diagram

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(I, C) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Sing } |\text{Fun}(I, C)| \\ \text{Fun}(I, \eta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Sing } \alpha_{I,C} \\ \text{Fun}(I, \text{Sing } |C|) & \xrightarrow[\beta_{I,|C|}]{\cong} & \text{Sing } \text{Fun}(|I|, |C|) \end{array}$$

commutes for all $I \in \mathbf{G-Cat}$ and $C \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$.

Lemma 8.11. *Let I be a finite G -category and let $C \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$. Then the above map $\alpha: |\text{Fun}(I, C)| \rightarrow \text{Fun}(|I|, |C|)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We will show that α induces a homeomorphism on objects; the corresponding claim for morphisms will then follow by replacing I by $I \times [1]$ everywhere.

An n -simplex $I \rightarrow C_n$ of $\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, C)$ corresponds to a functor $I \times \Delta^n \rightarrow C$, which gives us a map $|\Delta^n| \times I \cong |\Delta^n \times I| \rightarrow |C|$, and unravelling definitions, we see that $\alpha: |\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, C)| \rightarrow \text{Ob } \text{Fun}(|I|, |C|)$ is given by gluing together all these maps. In particular, the diagram

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} |\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, C)| & \hookrightarrow & |\text{Ob } C^{\text{Ob } I} \times \text{Mor } C^{\text{Mor } I}| \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \Phi \\ \text{Ob } \text{Fun}(|I|, |C|) & \hookrightarrow & |\text{Ob } |C|^{\text{Ob } |I|} \times |\text{Mor } |C|^{\text{Mor } |I|}| \end{array}$$

commutes, where the map Φ on the right is the canonical homeomorphism induced by the projections, i.e. it sends $[F_0, F_1; \alpha]$ to the family that sends $i \in \text{Ob } I$ to $[F_0(i), \sigma]$ and $f \in \text{Mor}(I)$ to $[F_1(f); \sigma]$.

The top horizontal arrow in (8.3) is an embedding as the geometric realization of an inclusion of simplicial sets, and so is the lower horizontal arrow by definition. To complete the proof it suffices now to show that any $\mathfrak{F} \in |\text{Ob } C^{\text{Ob } I} \times \text{Mor } C^{\text{Mor } I}|$ for which $\Phi \mathfrak{F}$ is a functor $I \rightarrow |C|$, is already contained in $|\text{Ob } \text{Fun}(I, C)|$.

To this end, we write $\mathfrak{F} = [F_0, F_1; \sigma]$ with $\sigma \in (\Delta^n)^\circ$ for some $n \geq 0$, and it will be enough to prove that (F_0, F_1) defines a functor $I \rightarrow C_n$. For this we will only show that $\text{src} \circ F_1 = F_0 \circ \text{src}$, the argument for the other functoriality properties

being similar. Indeed, if $f: i \rightarrow j$ is any morphism in I , then $[F_0(i), \sigma] = (\Phi\mathfrak{F})(i) = \text{src}(\Phi\mathfrak{F})(f) = [\text{src } F_1(f), \sigma]$; as σ lies in the interior of Δ^n by construction, this already implies that $F_0(i) = \text{src } F_1(f)$ by the basic combinatorics of simplicial sets as desired. \square

Proof of Proposition 8.9. As Sing creates G -weak equivalences, the isomorphism $\beta_{EG,-}$ shows that Sing also creates G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences. It therefore only remains to show that the unit $\eta: C \rightarrow \text{Sing}|C|$ is a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence for every $C \in \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}$.

For this, we specialize the commutative diagram (8.2) to the finite G -category $I = EG$. Then the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism, and so is the right hand vertical map by the previous lemma. As moreover the top horizontal arrow is a G -weak equivalence by Proposition 8.6, 2-out-of-3 shows that $\text{Fun}(EG, \eta)$ is a G -weak equivalence, i.e. η is a G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalence as desired. \square

Now let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}$. As $|-|$ preserves products, it lifts to a functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{|\mathcal{O}|}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{Top}})$, and likewise Sing induces $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{Top}}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Sing}(\mathcal{P})}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}})$ for any operad \mathcal{P} in $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{Top}}$. If $\mathcal{P} = |\mathcal{O}|$, then we can compose this with the restriction along $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Sing}|\mathcal{O}|$, yielding an adjunction

$$(8.4) \quad \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{|\mathcal{O}|}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{Top}}).$$

Propositions 8.6 and 8.9 now immediately imply:

Corollary 8.12. *Let \mathcal{O} be any operad in $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}$. Then (8.4) is a homotopy equivalence with respect to the G -weak equivalences as well as with respect to the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences. \square*

8.3. Simplicial vs. ordinary categories. It remains to compare algebras of simplicial G -categories to algebras of ordinary G -categories. Here the key idea will be to again exploit the identification between simplicial G -categories and simplicial objects in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$. We begin by describing the G -weak equivalences from this point of view:

Lemma 8.13. *A map in $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}$ is a G -weak equivalence if and only if it is sent to a realization G -weak equivalence under the composition*

$$\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}} \cong \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G-Cat}) \xrightarrow{\text{No-}} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G-SSet}),$$

and analogously for maps in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat})$ for any operad \mathcal{O} in $\mathbf{G-Cat}$.

Proof. One checks by direct inspection that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G-Cat}_{\text{SSet}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G-Cat}) \\ \text{N} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{No-} \\ \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G-SSet}) & \xrightarrow{\text{twist}^*} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{G-SSet}) \\ & \searrow \text{diag}^* & \swarrow \text{diag}^* \\ & \mathbf{G-SSet} & \end{array}$$

commutes, where twist denotes the functor exchanging the two factors of $\Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$, and $\text{diag}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$ is the diagonal embedding. The first statement now follows as diag^* is isomorphic to $\|-\|$, and the second statement is a formal

consequence of the first one as the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-SSet}$ preserves geometric realization (Proposition 1.16). \square

For suitably nice *model categories* \mathcal{C} , [RSS01, Theorem 3.6] shows that the homotopy theory of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} with respect to the realization weak equivalences is again equivalent to \mathcal{C} . However, in our situation, we do not have model structures available, so we will argue ∞ -categorically instead:

Lemma 8.14. *Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete quasi-category. Then*

$$\text{hocolim}: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \text{const}$$

is a Bousfield localization.

Proof. We have to show that for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the counit $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})} \text{const } X \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence. However $\mathcal{N}(\Delta^{\text{op}})$ is weakly contractible as Δ^{op} has an initial object, so the claim is simply an instance of [Lur09, Corollary 4.4.4.10]. \square

Before we can apply this, however, there is a technical hurdle to overcome—namely, we have to compare simplicial objects in the underlying 1-categories with simplicial objects in the associated quasi-categories:

Proposition 8.15. *Let I be any small category.*

(1) *Let \mathcal{O} be a genuine G - E_∞ -operad. Then the natural map*

$$\text{Fun}(I, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}))_{\text{levelwise } G\text{-w.e.}}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Fun}(NI, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})_{G\text{-w.e.}}^\infty)$$

is an equivalence.

(2) *Let \mathcal{P} be an underlying E_∞ -operad. Then the natural map*

$$\text{Fun}(I, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}))_{\text{lev. } G\text{-'homotopy' w.e.}}^\infty \rightarrow \text{Fun}(NI, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})_{G\text{-'homotopy' w.e.}}^\infty)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. First some terminology: we call a relative category \mathcal{C} *hereditary* if the natural map $\text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})^\infty \rightarrow \text{Fun}(NI, \mathcal{C}^\infty)$ is an equivalence for every $I \in \mathbf{Cat}$. Moreover, a relative equivalence f of relative categories (i.e. a homotopical functor inducing equivalences of associated quasi-categories) will be called *hereditary* if also f^I is a relative equivalence (with respect to the levelwise weak equivalences) for every I ; for example, every homotopy equivalence is hereditary.

Clearly all relative equivalences of hereditary relative categories are hereditary, and conversely the hereditary relative categories are closed under hereditary relative equivalences. Moreover, [Cis19, Theorem 7.9.8 and Remark 7.9.7] shows that the underlying relative category of any model category is hereditary. It will therefore suffice to connect $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})_{G\text{-w.e.}}$ and $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})_{G\text{-'homotopy' w.e.}}$ by zig-zags of hereditary relative equivalences to suitable model categories.

For this we will unravel parts of the proof of Theorem 6.2. We first recall we have a zig-zag of relative equivalences

$$(8.5) \quad \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \xleftarrow{p_2^*} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$$

with respect to the G -equivariant equivalences. Since these are maps between model categories, they are hereditary, i.e. for every $I \in \mathbf{Cat}$ also the induced functors

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^I \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^I \xleftarrow{\quad} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^I$$

are relative equivalences with respect to the G -equivalences of categories, hence also with respect to the G -equivariant *weak* equivalences everywhere (as the latter are saturated and each of the above functors creates G -weak equivalences). Put differently, the zig-zag (8.5) also consists of hereditary relative equivalences with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences everywhere.

Similarly, Theorem 4.27 implies that $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ is a hereditary relative equivalence with respect to the G -global equivalences and hence also for the G -equivariant weak equivalences. On the other hand, the functor $\Delta: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ is right Quillen (for the G -global model structure on the source) and induces a quasi-localization at the G -equivariant equivalences by Lemma 3.33 and its proof. Precomposing its left adjoint ∇ with a functorial cofibrant replacement then provides a homotopy inverse to Δ with respect to the G -equivariant equivalences on both sides, hence also for the G -equivariant weak equivalences. In particular, also Δ is a hereditary relative equivalence for the G -equivariant weak equivalences on both sides.

Moreover, the nerve $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumSSet}$ is again a homotopy equivalence (with respect to the G -global and hence also with respect to the G -equivariant weak equivalences) by [Len20b, Theorem 5.8], hence hereditary. Arguing just like above, we then finally get a zig-zag of hereditary relative equivalences between $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumSSet}$ and the model category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{I}_G}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})$, which completes the proof of the first statement.

The proof of the second statement is similar: namely, as above we get a zig-zag of hereditary relative equivalences between $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ and $\text{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ with respect to the *underlying equivalences* of categories, hence also with respect to the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences. However, $\text{Alg}_{E\Sigma_*}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})$ is even isomorphic as a 1-category to $\mathbf{G}\text{-PermCat}$ (and this isomorphism respects underlying G -categories, hence also the weak equivalences in question), which is in turn homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{G}\text{-ParSumSSet}$ with respect to the G -global weak equivalences [Len20b, Theorems 5.8 and 6.9], hence in particular with respect to the G -equivariant (‘homotopy’) weak equivalences. The claim follows as before. \square

Proposition 8.16. *For every genuine G - E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} the inclusion*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})_{G\text{-w.e.}} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{SSet}})_{G\text{-w.e.}}$$

induces an equivalence of associated quasi-categories.

Proof. We first observe that the composition

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}) \cong \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}))$$

is just the functor sending an \mathcal{O} -algebra to the constant simplicial object. By Proposition 8.15 together with Lemma 8.14 it will therefore be enough to show that a map in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}))$ is a G -weak equivalence if and only if its image under the composition

$$\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^\infty) \xrightarrow{\text{hocolim}} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})^\infty$$

is an equivalence. However, $\mathbb{N}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})$ descends to an equivalence by Theorem 6.2, so a map is inverted by the above if and only if it is

inverted by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat})) &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{N}\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})^{\infty}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{hocolim}} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, as $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})$ is a simplicial model category, the composition of the final two arrows is induced by geometric realization. The claim therefore follows from Lemma 8.13. \square

Now we can prove:

Theorem 8.17. *Let \mathcal{O} be an underlying E_{∞} -operad in $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}$. Then all the maps in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(EG, -)} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(EG, -)} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{SSet}}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \lrcorner \\ \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{Top}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(EG, -)} & \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{EG}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{Top}}) \end{array}$$

descend to equivalences of quasi-categories when we equip the categories in the right hand column with the G -weak equivalences and the categories in the left hand column with the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences.

Proof. The right hand vertical inclusion in the upper square induces an equivalence of associated quasi-categories by the previous proposition, and so do the vertical functors in the lower square by Corollary 8.12. Moreover, the top horizontal arrow induces an equivalence by Theorem 7.5, and together with Proposition 8.15 we see that the middle horizontal arrow becomes an equivalence when we define a map f in the target to be a weak equivalence if applying the nerve levelwise turns it into a levelwise weak equivalence of G -bisimplicial sets and a map f' in the source if $\text{Fun}(EG, f')$ has the same property. Thus, it also becomes an equivalence when we quasi-localize the target at the G -weak equivalences and the source at those maps inverted by $\text{Fun}(EG, -)$, i.e. the G -‘homotopy’ weak equivalences. By 2-out-of-3 we then see that also the top left vertical map and the bottom horizontal map descend to equivalences, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

As an immediate consequence we now get the following version of Theorem 6.2 for simplicial G -categories:

Corollary 8.18. *In the above situation,*

$$\|\!-\!\| \circ \mathbf{N}: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{SSet}})_{G\text{-w.e.}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{N}\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G}\text{-SSet})_{G\text{-w.e.}}$$

induces an equivalence of associated quasi-categories. \square

Next, we come to a version of this statement for $\mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{Top}}$. Here a slight subtlety arises: namely, $\|\!-\!\| \circ \mathbf{N}: \mathbf{G}\text{-Cat}_{\text{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\text{-Top}$ is not homotopical, while the usual ‘fat’ realizations do not preserve products up to *isomorphism*. However, we can solve this issue by composing $\|\!-\!\|$ with the product-preserving cofibrant replacement given by the usual geometric realization-singular set adjunction:

Corollary 8.19. *The functor $\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G-Top}$ given on objects by $C \mapsto ||-| \circ \text{Sing} \circ \text{NC}||$ and likewise on morphisms induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{G-Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}})_{G\text{-w.e.}}^{\infty} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{|\mathcal{O}|}(\mathbf{G-Top})^{\infty}. \quad \square$$

Finally, we also get a version of Theorem 7.12 in the internal context:

Corollary 8.20. *The Guillou-May construction of equivariant algebraic K -theory exhibits the quasi-category of connective genuine G -spectra as a quasi-localization of the category of genuine permutative G -categories internal to \mathbf{Top} .* \square

REFERENCES

- [Bar10] Clark Barwick, *On left and right model categories and left and right Bousfield localizations*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **12** (2010), no. 2, 245–320.
- [Bar21] Miguel Barrero, *Operads in unstable global homotopy theory*, preprint, available as 2110.01674, 2021.
- [BF78] Aldridge K. Bousfield and Eric M. Friedlander, *Homotopy theory of Γ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets*, Geom. Appl. Homotopy Theory, II, Proc. Conf., Evanston 1977, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 658, 1978, pp. 80–130.
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill, *Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 658–708.
- [BM03] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 805–831.
- [BM09] ———, *On the derived category of an algebra over an operad*, Georgian Math. J. **16** (2009), no. 1, 13–28.
- [Bra21] Thomas Brazelton, *Homotopy Mackey functors of equivariant algebraic K -theory*, preprint, available as arXiv:2102.06724, 2021.
- [Cis19] Denis-Charles Cisinski, *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*, Camb. Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 180, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [CMNN20] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel, *Descent and vanishing in chromatic algebraic K -theory via group actions*, preprint, arXiv:2011.08233, 2020.
- [Elm83] Anthony D. Elmendorf, *Systems of fixed point sets*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 275–284.
- [GG16] Sergey Gorchinskiy and Vladimir Guletskiĭ, *Symmetric powers in abstract homotopy categories*, Adv. Math. **292** (2016), 707–754.
- [GGN15] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus, *Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **15** (2015), no. 6, 3107–3153.
- [GM11] Bertrand Guillou and J. Peter May, *Models of G -spectra as presheaves of spectra*, preprint, arXiv:1110.3571, 2011.
- [GM17] Bertrand J. Guillou and J. Peter May, *Equivariant iterated loop space theory and permutative G -categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **17** (2017), no. 6, 3259–3339.
- [GMMO20] Bertrand J. Guillou, J. Peter May, Mona Merling, and Angélica M. Osorno, *Symmetric monoidal G -categories and their strictification*, Q. J. Math. **71** (2020), no. 1, 207–246.
- [Hau17] Markus Hausmann, *G -symmetric spectra, semistability and the multiplicative norm*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), no. 10, 2582–2632.
- [Hin16] Vladimir Hinich, *Dwyer-Kan localization revisited*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **18** (2016), no. 1, 27–48.
- [Ill72] Luc Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et déformations. II.*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 283, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Kan57] Daniel M. Kan, *On c.s.s. complexes*, Am. J. Math. **79** (1957), no. 3, 449–476.
- [Len20a] Tobias Lenz, *G -Global Homotopy Theory and Algebraic K -Theory*, preprint, available as arXiv:2012.12676, 2020.
- [Len20b] ———, *On the global homotopy theory of symmetric monoidal categories*, preprint, available as arXiv:2009.07004, 2020.
- [Len21a] ———, *Categorical models of unstable G -global homotopy theory*, preprint, available as arXiv:2109.02067, 2021.

- [Len21b] ———, *Parsummable categories as a strictification of symmetric monoidal categories*, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **37** (2021), no. 17, 482–529.
- [Len22] ———, *Global algebraic K-theory is Swan K-theory*, preprint, available as [arXiv:2202.07272](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.07272), 2022.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009, updated version available at www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf.
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher Algebra*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Man10] Michael A. Mandell, *An inverse K-theory functor*, *Doc. Math.* **15** (2010), 765–791.
- [Mar99] Martin Markl, *A compactification of the real configuration space as an operadic completion*, *J. Algebra* **215** (1999), no. 1, 185–204.
- [May72] J. Peter May, *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. 271, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1972.
- [May74] ———, *E_∞ spaces, group completions, and permutative categories*, *New Developments in Topology*. The edited and revised proceedings of the symposium on algebraic topology, Oxford, June 1972 (Graeme B. Segal, ed.), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, 1974, pp. 61–94.
- [Mer17] Mona Merling, *Equivariant algebraic K-theory of G-rings*, *Math. Z.* **285** (2017), no. 3–4, 1205–1248.
- [MMO17] J. Peter May, Mona Merling, and Angélica M. Osorno, *Equivariant Infinite Loop Space Theory, I. The Space Level Story*, preprint, available as [arXiv:1704.03413](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03413), 2017.
- [Rez96] Charles Rezk, *A model category for categories*, preprint, available from <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~rezk/cat-ho.dvi>, 1996.
- [RSS01] Charles Rezk, Stefan Schwede, and Brooke Shipley, *Simplicial structures on model categories and functors*, *Am. J. Math.* **123** (2001), no. 3, 551–575.
- [Sch18] Stefan Schwede, *Global Homotopy Theory*, *New Math. Monogr.*, vol. 34, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [Sch19] ———, *Global algebraic K-theory*, preprint, available as [arxiv:1912.08872](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.08872), 2019.
- [Seg74] Graeme B. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, *Topology* **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [Shi89] Kazuhisa Shimakawa, *Infinite loop G-spaces associated to monoidal G-graded categories*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **25** (1989), no. 2, 239–262.
- [SS12] Steffen Sagave and Christian Schlichtkrull, *Diagram spaces and symmetric spectra*, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), no. 3–4, 2116–2193.
- [SS20] Steffen Sagave and Stefan Schwede, *Homotopy invariance of convolution products*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2021** (2020), no. 8, 6246–6292, published online first.
- [Ste16] Marc Stephan, *On equivariant homotopy theory for model categories*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **18** (2016), no. 2, 183–208.
- [SW03] Paolo Salvatore and Nathalie Wahl, *Framed discs operads and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, *Q. J. Math.* **54** (2003), no. 2, 213–231.
- [Tho95] Robert W. Thomason, *Symmetric monoidal categories model all connective spectra*, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **1** (1995), 78–118.
- [Whi17] David White, *Model structures on commutative monoids in general model categories*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **221** (2017), no. 12, 3124–3168.