

On geometry of p -adic polynomials

Evgeny Zelenov

February, 2022

Abstract

An analogue of the Gauss-Lucas theorem for polynomials over the algebraic closure \mathbb{C}_p of the field of p -adic numbers is considered.

1 Introduction

The pioneering works of I. V. Volovich [1, 2, 3] gave impetus to the rapid development of applications of non-Archimedean analysis to models and problems of mathematical physics. The current state and bibliography can be found, for example, in the review [4].

In this paper, we consider the geometry of non-Archimedean polynomials. The result can be helpful in the study of polynomial dynamical systems over the field of p -adic numbers [5, 6].

The Gauss-Lucas theorem states the following. Let $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is a polynomial over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers, and $P'(z)$ is its derivative. Then, all the roots of the polynomial $P'(z)$ (that is, the critical points of the polynomial $P(z)$) lie in the convex hull of the set of roots of the polynomial $P(z)$. This statement admits the following equivalent formulation. Any disk in the complex plane containing zeros of the polynomial $P(z)$ also contains all zeros of the derivative $P'(z)$ [7].

The purpose of this paper is to formulate and prove an analogue of this result for an algebraically closed non-Archimedean field. As such a field, we will consider the field \mathbb{C}_p — completion of the algebraic closure of the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers. The norm in \mathbb{C}_p will be denoted by $|\cdot|$.

The geometry of polynomials over non-Archimedean fields is a little-studied area. The author knows only one work on this topic [8], dedicated to the non-Archimedean analogue of the Sendov conjecture.

2 The main theorem

Consider the polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}_p[z]$ of degree n over the field \mathbb{C}_p ,

$$P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}_p, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Using $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ we denote its roots,

$$P(z) = a_n(z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_n).$$

Using $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ we denote the roots of the derivative $P'(z)$,

$$P'(z) = n a_n(z - \omega_1)(z - \omega_2) \cdots (z - \omega_{n-1}).$$

A disk of radius r centered at $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$ is denoted by $D(a, r)$,

$$D(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z - a| \leq r\}.$$

The following theorem is valid.

Theorem 1. *Let the roots $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ of the polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{C}_p[z]$ lie within the disk $D(a, r)$. Then each disk $D(a, r_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, where*

$$r_k = \max \left\{ r \left| \frac{j}{n} \right|^{\frac{1}{n-j}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k \right\}$$

contains at least k roots of the derivative $P'(z)$.

Corollary 1. *The disk $D(a, r|n|^{-1/(n-1)})$ contains at least one critical point of the polynomial $P(z)$.*

Remark 1. *This statement was proved by D. Choi and S. Lee [8]. Thus, the Theorem 1 significantly strengthens their result.*

Corollary 2. *The disk $D(a, r|n|^{-1})$ contains all critical points of the polynomial $P(z)$.*

The statement follows directly from the statement of the Theorem 1 and the apparent inequality $|j| \leq 1$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following simple example shows that the estimate from Corollary 2 cannot be improved. Let $p = 3$, consider the polynomial $P(z) = z^2(z - 1)$. Its roots $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 1$ lie within the circle $D(0, 1)$. The derivative $P'(z) = 3z(z - 2/3)$ has roots $\omega_1 = 0, \omega_2 = 2/3$ and, since $|2/3| = 3$, then the minimal circle containing the roots of the derivative of the polynomial $P(z)$ is $D(0, 3)$.

Corollary 3. *In the case when the order n of the polynomial is not divisible by p (that is, $|n| = 1$), the critical points of the polynomial $P(z)$ lie within any disk containing zeros of this polynomial.*

In other words, for polynomials of the order n , $p \nmid n$, the exact analogue of the Gauss-Lucas theorem for the field of complex numbers is valid.

3 Proof of the Theorem

Before proceeding to the proof of the Theorem 1, we will make a few remarks. First, without limiting the generality, we can put $a_n = 1$ since multiplication by a nonzero constant does not change the roots of the polynomial and its derivative. We can put $a = 0$ since the shift of the roots by a leads to a shift of the derivative's roots by a . Everywhere further, we will number the roots of the polynomial $P(z)$ and the roots of its derivative $P'(z)$ in the order of non-decreasing norm:

$$|\lambda_1| \leq |\lambda_2| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_n|, \quad |\omega_1| \leq |\omega_2| \leq \dots \leq |\omega_{n-1}|. \quad (1)$$

Taking into account the comments made, the statement of the Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following estimate for the roots of the derivative $P'(z)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$:

$$|\omega_k| \leq r_k = r \max \left\{ \left| \frac{j}{n} \right|^{\frac{1}{n-j}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k \right\}. \quad (2)$$

In the proof, we will use the following relations between the roots of the polynomial $P(z)$ and its coefficients (Vieta's formulas):

$$(-1)^{n-k} a_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-k} \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_{n-k}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (3)$$

and similar formulas for the derivative $P'(z)$:

$$(-1)^{n-k} \frac{k}{n} a_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-k} \leq n-1} \omega_{i_1} \omega_{i_2} \dots \omega_{i_{n-k}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (4)$$

The proof will be carried out by induction. First, we prove the statement of the Theorem 1 for $k = 1$. Indeed, a chain of relations follows from the formulas (1), (4), (3):

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_1|^{n-1} &\leq |\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_{n-1}| = \\
&= \frac{1}{|n|} |a_1| = \frac{1}{|n|} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-1} \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_{n-1}} \right| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|n|} \max_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-1} \leq n} |\lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_{n-1}}| \leq \frac{1}{|n|} r^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second last inequality in the chain, we used the strong triangle inequality for the norm $|\cdot|$. Thus, for the case of $k = 1$, the Theorem 1 is proved.

Now let's assume that the theorem's statement holds for $k = m-1 \leq n-2$ and prove in this assumption that the theorem holds for $k = m$ as well. Similarly to the reasoning for the case $k = 1$, using the relations (3), (4) and the strong triangle inequality, we obtain the following estimate for the sum of all products of $n - m$ of the roots of the polynomial $P'(z)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-m} \leq n-1} \omega_{i_1} \omega_{i_2} \cdots \omega_{i_{n-m}} \right| &= \left| \frac{m}{n} \right| |a_m| = \\
&= \left| \frac{m}{n} \right| \left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-m} \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_{n-m}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{m}{n} \right| r^{n-m}. \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Further proof will be carried out by contradiction. That is, suppose that the statement of the Theorem 1 is not true for $k = m$. This means that the inequality (2) holds for all $k \leq m-1$, but does not hold for $k = m$. Therefore, the inequality is valid:

$$|\omega_m| > r_m = r \max \left\{ \left| \frac{j}{n} \right|^{\frac{1}{n-j}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Under this assumption, the following chain of inequalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_1| &\leq |\omega_2| \leq \cdots \leq |\omega_{m-1}| \leq r_{m-1} \leq \\
&\leq r_m < |\omega_m| \leq |\omega_{m+1}| \leq \cdots \leq |\omega_{n-1}|. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, among all products of $n - m$ roots of the polynomial $P'(z)$, the product $\omega_m \omega_{m+1} \cdots \omega_{n-1}$ has a strictly maximal norm.

Further, using the following property of the non-Archimedean norm: $|a + b| = |b|$ if $|a| < |b|$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_p$, we get the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{n-m} \leq n-1} \omega_{i_1} \omega_{i_2} \cdots \omega_{i_{n-m}} \right| = |\omega_m \omega_{m+1} \cdots \omega_{n-1}| \geq |\omega_m|^{n-m}. \quad (8)$$

The inequalities (5) and (8) directly imply the validity of the following estimate:

$$|\omega_m| \leq r \left| \frac{m}{n} \right|^{\frac{1}{n-m}}.$$

The last inequality contradicts assumption (2). The resulting contradiction completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

References

- [1] I. V. Volovich, Number theory as the ultimate physical theory, *Preprint CERN-TH.4781-87*, (Cern, Geneva, 1987).
- [2] I. V. Volovich, p -Adic string, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **4:4**, 83 (1987).
- [3] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, *p -Adic analysis and mathematical physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [4] B. Dragovich, A. Yu. Khrennikov, S. V. Kozyrev, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, p -Adic mathematical physics: the first 30 years, *p -Adic numbers, Ultram. Anal. Appl.* **9**, 87 (2017).
- [5] V. S. Anashin, A. Yu. Khrennikov *Applied Algebraic Dynamics*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics (Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2009).
- [6] A. Fan and L. Lingmin, On minimal decomposition of p -adic polynomial dynamical system, *Advances in Mathematics* **228**, 2116 (2010).
- [7] M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 3 (American Mathematical Society, 1966).

- [8] D. Choi and S. Lee, Non-Archimedean Sendov's conjecture, *p-Adic numbers, Ultram. Anal. Appl.* **14**, 77 (2022).