

# BATALIN-VILKOVISKY STRUCTURE ON HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY OF ZIGZAG ALGEBRA OF TYPE $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$

BO HOU, JIN GAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure on the Hochschild cohomology of quantum zigzag algebras  $A_q$  of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ . We first calculate the dimensions of Hochschild homology groups and Hochschild cohomology groups of  $A_q$ . Based on these computations, we determine the Hochschild cohomology ring of  $A_q$ , and give the Batalin-Vilkovisky operator and the Gerstenhaber bracket on Hochschild cohomology ring of  $A_q$  explicitly.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra (associative with unity) over a field  $\mathbb{k}$ . Denote by  $\Lambda^e := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \Lambda^{op}$  the enveloping algebra of  $\Lambda$ . Then the  $i$ -th Hochschild homology and Hochschild cohomology of  $\Lambda$  are identified with the  $\mathbb{k}$ -spaces (see [6])

$$HH_i(\Lambda) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda), \quad HH^i(\Lambda) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^i(\Lambda, \Lambda),$$

respectively. The Hochschild homology and Hochschild cohomology of an algebra are subtle variants of associative algebras and have played a fundamental role in representation theory of artin algebras. Hochschild homology is closely related to the oriented cycle and the global dimension of algebras; Hochschild cohomology is closely related to simple connectedness, separability and deformation theory. The cohomology ring  $HH^*(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} HH^i(\Lambda)$  is a graded commutative algebra under the cup product, and is a graded Lie algebra under the Gerstenhaber bracket (see [10]).

During several decades, a new structure in Hochschild theory has been extensively studied in topology and mathematical physics, and recently this was introduced into algebra, the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure (BV structure for short). A BV structure exists only on Hochschild cohomology of certain special classes of algebras. For example, Tradler has founded that the Hochschild cohomology algebra of a finite-dimensional symmetric algebra is a BV algebra [35]; Lambre, Zhou Zimmermann, and independently Volkov have showed that the Hochschild cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism is a BV algebra by different methods, and generalized Tradler's result (see [26] and [36]). On the other hand, Ginzburg has proved that there is a BV algebraic structure on the Hochschild cohomology of a Calabi-Yau algebra [12]; Kowalzig and Krähmer have generalized Ginzburg's conclusion to twisted Calabi-Yau algebras [25].

For some special algebra classes, we already know that there is a BV algebraic structure on its Hochschild cohomology ring, but it is very difficult to describe this structure concretely. Up to now, there has been little research in this field, mainly focusing on group algebras and local algebras. The BV algebraic structure on the Hochschild cohomology of a class of truncated polynomial algebras was calculated by Yang [39]; In [21] and [37], the BV algebraic structure on the Hochschild cohomology of some class of local algebras of generalized quaternion type is described over a field of characteristic two. In [27], the authors have given a description of the BV structure on the Hochschild cohomology ring for symmetric group of degree 3 over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ; Ivanov, Ivanov, Volkov, and Zhou have computed the BV structure on the Hochschild cohomology ring of the group algebra

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E40, 16E10, 16G10.

Keywords: Batalin-Vilkovisky structure, Hochschild (co)homology, Zigzag algebras, Gerstenhaber bracket product.

The authors are supported by NSFC(Nos.11771122, 11801141 and 11961007).

$\mathbb{k}Q_8$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic two [22]; Volkov has calculated the BV structure on the Hochschild cohomology ring of a family of self-injective algebra of tree type  $D_n$  [?]. Recently, Angel and Duarte have studied the BV structure on the Hochschild cohomology ring of finitely generated abelian groups [1]. In this and a subsequent paper, we consider a class of non-group non-local algebras, that is, zigzag algebras. More precisely, in this paper, we shall deal with (quantum) zigzag algebras.

Zigzag algebras were introduced by Huerfano and Khovanov in their categorification of the adjoint representation of simply-laced quantum groups [20]. Such algebras appear in various places in modern mathematics, especially in categorification (see [34], [38], [20], [24], [23], [9] etc). In [7], the authors have studied some algebraic properties of zigzag algebras and certain generalization of them. The Hochschild cohomology and the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence of zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  have been studied in [32], [33] and [29]. In this paper, we consider a broader class of algebras, the quantum zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ . These algebras are Koszul self-injective special biserial algebras and play an important role in representation theory. Here, the dimensions of Hochschild (co)homology groups, the cup product and the Gerstenhaber bracket product on the quantum zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$  are clearly described. Moreover, the BV structure on the Hochschild cohomology ring of zigzag algebra of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$  is given.

This article is organized as follows. In the second section, we review the definitions of Hochschild homology and cohomology, cup product, Gerstenhaber bracket product and BV algebra. In the third section, we provide a minimal projective bimodule resolution of quantum zigzag algebras  $A_q$  of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ , and by using the language of closed paths, we calculate the  $\mathbb{k}$ -dimensions of Hochschild homology groups and cyclic homology groups of  $A_q$ . Here, we give a positive answer to Han's conjecture for  $A_q$ . In the fourth section, we give an explicit basis of each degree of Hochschild cohomology groups of  $A_q$  by the parallel paths. In the fifth section, we prove that the product of cohomology rings of  $A_q$  is essentially the connection of parallel paths. By using previous calculations, the structure of Hochschild cohomology ring of algebras  $A_q$  and Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence are clearly depicted. An positive answer to Snashall-Solberg conjecture is given for  $A_q$ . In the final section, we construct two comparison morphisms between the minimal projective bimodule resolution given in Section 3 and the reduced bar resolution of  $A_q$ . Using these comparison morphisms, and applying Tradler's construction to the zigzag algebra  $A_{-1}$ , we get the BV operator and Gerstenhaber bracket on Hochschild cohomology ring of  $A_{-1}$ . If  $q \neq -1$ ,  $A_q$  is not a symmetric algebra, but a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism. Using the bilinear form constructed by Volkov in [36], we give an exact description of the BV operator and Gerstenhaber bracket on Hochschild cohomology ring of  $A_q$  case by case.

Throughout this paper, we fix  $\mathbb{k}$  a field and often write  $\otimes$  in place of  $\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$  for brevity.

## 2. HOCHSCHILD (CO)HOMOLOGY OF ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA

The cohomology theory of associative algebras was introduced by Hochschild (see [18]). Let  $\Lambda$  be an associative algebra over a field  $\mathbb{k}$ . The Hochschild cohomology  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  has a very rich structure. In this section, we recall the cup product, the Gerstenhaber bracket and Batalin-Vilkovisky structure in Hochschild cohomology.

For an associative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , there is a projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{B} = (B_m, d_m)$  of  $\Lambda$  as following:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\otimes(m+2)} \xrightarrow{d_m} \Lambda^{\otimes(m+1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\otimes(3)} \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^{\otimes(2)} \xrightarrow{d_0} \Lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $d_0$  is the multiplication map,  $B_m = \Lambda^{\otimes(m+2)}$  for  $m \geq 0$ , and  $d_m$  is defined by

$$d_m(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes a_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1},$$

for any  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m+1} \in \Lambda$ . This is called the bar resolution of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}$  be a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of  $\Lambda$ ,  $E$  the subalgebra of  $\Lambda$  generated by  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}$ . Denote by  $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda/E$ , the quotient  $\mathbb{k}$ -module, and  $\bar{B}_m = \Lambda \otimes_E \bar{\Lambda}^{\otimes_E m} \otimes_E \Lambda$ . Then the quotients  $\bar{B}_m$  constitute a complex  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$ , where the differential  $\bar{d}_m$  induced from  $d_m$ , for all  $m \geq 0$ . The complex  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$  is also a projective bimodule resolution of  $\Lambda$ , which is called the reduced bar resolution of  $\Lambda$ .

Applying functor  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(-, \Lambda)$  to the complex  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ , we get a complex  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\bar{\mathbb{B}}, \Lambda)$ . Note that for each  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(B_m, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes m}, \Lambda)$ , we can use the complex  $\mathbb{C} = (C^m, \delta^m)$  to calculate the Hochschild cohomology of  $\Lambda$ , where  $C^m = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes m}, \Lambda)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^m(f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1}) &= a_1 f(a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes a_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{m+1} f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) a_{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes m}, \Lambda)$  and  $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+1} \in \Lambda^{\otimes m+1}$ .

The cup product  $\alpha \sqcup \beta \in C^{m+l}(\Lambda) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes(m+l)}, \Lambda)$  for  $\alpha \in C^m(\Lambda)$  and  $\beta \in C^l(\Lambda)$  is given by

$$(\alpha \sqcup \beta)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+l}) = \alpha(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) \beta(a_{m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+l}).$$

This cup product induces a well-defined product in Hochschild cohomology

$$\sqcup: HH^m(\Lambda) \times HH^l(\Lambda) \longrightarrow HH^{m+l}(\Lambda),$$

which turns the graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space  $HH^*(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} HH^i(\Lambda)$  into a graded commutative algebra.

Besides addition and multiplication, there is another binary operation on  $HH^*(\Lambda)$ , which is called Gerstenhaber bracket. Let  $\alpha \in C^m(\Lambda)$  and  $\beta \in C^l(\Lambda)$ . If  $m, l \geq 1$ , then for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , define  $\alpha \hat{\circ}_i \beta \in C^{l+m-1}(\Lambda)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \hat{\circ}_i \beta)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+l-1}) \\ = \alpha(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes \beta(a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+l-1}) \otimes a_{i+l} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m+l-1}); \end{aligned}$$

if  $m \geq 1$  and  $l = 0$ , then  $\beta \in \Lambda$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , define

$$(\alpha \hat{\circ}_i \beta)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-1}) = \alpha(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes \beta \otimes a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-1});$$

for any other case,  $\alpha \hat{\circ}_i \beta = 0$ . Now we can define the Gerstenhaber bracket. Let

$$\alpha \hat{\circ} \beta = \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{(l-1)(i-1)} \alpha \hat{\circ}_i \beta,$$

and

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha \hat{\circ} \beta - (-1)^{(m-1)(l-1)} \beta \hat{\circ} \alpha.$$

The above  $[\ , \ ]$  induces a well-defined graded Lie bracket in Hochschild cohomology

$$[\ , \ ]: HH^m(\Lambda) \times HH^l(\Lambda) \longrightarrow HH^{m+l-1}(\Lambda)$$

This graded Lie bracket is usually called the Gerstenhaber bracket in  $HH^{*+1}(\Lambda)$ . It is well-known that  $(HH^*(\Lambda), \sqcup, [\ , \ ])$  is a Gerstenhaber algebra (see [10]). That is, the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $(HH^*(\Lambda), \sqcup)$  is an associative algebra;
- (2)  $(HH^{*+1}(\Lambda), [\ , \ ])$  is a graded Lie algebra with bracket  $[\ , \ ]$  of degree  $-1$ ;
- (3)  $[f \sqcup g, h] = [f, h] \sqcup g + (-1)^{|f|(|h|-1)} f \sqcup [g, h]$ , where  $|f|$  denotes the degree of  $f$ .

What we want to explain here is that if we use the reduced bar resolution to replace the bar resolution, we can also get the Gerstenhaber algebraic structure on  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  by using the same formula to define the cup product and the Gerstenhaber bracket.

If there is an operator on Hochschild cohomology which squares to zero and together with the cup product can express the Lie bracket, then it is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. Let us review the definition of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra (see, for example [35]).

**Definition 2.1.** A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra is a Gerstenhaber algebra  $(\Lambda^\bullet, \sqcup, [ , ])$  together with an operator  $\Delta : \Lambda^\bullet \rightarrow \Lambda^{\bullet-1}$  of degree  $-1$  such that  $\Delta \circ \Delta = 0$  and

$$[a, b] = -(-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|} \left( \Delta(a \sqcup b) - \Delta(a) \sqcup b - (-1)^{|a|} a \sqcup \Delta(b) \right)$$


for homogeneous elements  $a, b \in \Lambda^\bullet$ .

It seems that the definition of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra is different from the conventional one, see [11], but they are isomorphic. This definition is chosen here to facilitate the calculation of the BV operator. For any associative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with unity, In [10], the author proved that  $(HH^*(\Lambda), \sqcup, [ , ])$  is always a Gerstenhaber algebra. However, for a given algebra, obtain this structure concretely, that is, detailed describe the cup product and Gerstenhaber bracket product is very difficult. The BV operator  $\Delta$  does not always exist for the Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  of an algebra  $\Lambda$ . So far, we only know that there is a BV operator on Hochschild cohomology ring of a few kinds of algebras. Fortunately, for the zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  all of these algebraic structures on Hochschild cohomology can be clearly depicted in this and a future paper [19].

### 3. HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY GROUPS OF $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$

In this section, we construct a minimal projective bimodule resolution of the quantum zigzag algebras  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , and give a equivalent description of the homology complex obtained by this minimal projective bimodule resolution. Furthermore, the dimensions of Hochschild homology groups of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  are given explicitly.

Recall that the zigzag algebra of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$  is given by the quiver  $Q$ :



with relations  $\{\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_2\alpha_1, \beta_1\beta_2, \beta_2\beta_1, \alpha_1\beta_1 - \beta_2\alpha_2, \alpha_2\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_1\}$ , where the composition of paths are from left to right. Here we consider a broader class of algebras, the quantum zigzag algebras. The quantum zigzag algebras  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ , are given by the quotient algebras  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ , where the ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{k}Q$  are generated by

$$R := \{\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_2\alpha_1, \beta_1\beta_2, \beta_2\beta_1, \alpha_1\beta_1 + \mathfrak{q}\beta_2\alpha_2, \alpha_2\beta_2 + \mathfrak{q}\beta_1\alpha_1\}.$$

Here we always assume  $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$ . It is easy to see that the set  $R$  is just a (noncommutative) quadratic Gröbner basis of  $I$ . Therefore,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a Koszul algebra for each  $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$  (see [13]). Note that  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is just the zigzag algebra whenever  $\mathfrak{q} = -1$ , we often record the zigzag algebra of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$  as  $A_{-1}$ . Denote by  $e_1, e_2$  the primitive orthogonal idempotents corresponding to the vertices 1 and 2, respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{B} := \{e_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i\beta_i \mid i = 1, 2\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , and so that  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} A_{\mathfrak{q}} = 8$ .

We now construct a minimal projective bimodule resolution for algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  using the approach of [14]. Firstly, setting

$$\begin{aligned} F^0 &:= \left\{ f_{(1,0)}^0 = e_1, f_{(2,0)}^0 = e_2 \right\}; \\ F^1 &:= \left\{ f_{(1,1)}^1 = \alpha_1, f_{(2,1)}^1 = \alpha_2, f_{(2,0)}^1 = \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^1 = \beta_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $m \geq 2$ , we define inductively the set  $F^m = \left\{ f_{(i,j)}^m \mid 0 \leq j \leq m, i = 1, 2 \right\}$  by

$$f_{(i,j)}^m = \alpha_i f_{(i+1,j-1)}^{m-1} + \mathfrak{q}^j \beta_{i-1} f_{(i-1,j)}^{m-1}.$$

Then  $|F^m| = 2(m+1)$ , and  $f_{(i,j)}^m = \mathfrak{q}^{m-j} f_{(i,j-1)}^{m-1} \alpha_{i-m-1} + f_{(i,j)}^{m-1} \beta_{i-m}$ , where  $f_{(i,j)}^{m-1} = f_{(i',j)}^{m-1}$  if  $i \equiv i' \pmod{2}$ ,  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i'}$  if  $i \equiv i' \pmod{2}$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta_{j'}$  if  $j \equiv j' \pmod{2}$ , and  $f_{(i,j)}^{m-1} = 0$  if  $j < 0$  or  $j > m-1$ .

For any path  $p \in Q$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{o}(p)$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(p)$  the originals and terminus of  $p$ . Recall that a non-zero element  $x = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i p_i \in \mathbb{k}Q$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{k}$  and  $p_i$  is a path in  $Q$ , is said to be uniform if there exist vertices  $u, v \in Q_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{o}(p_i) = u$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(p_i) = v$  for all paths  $p_i$ . It is easy to see that elements  $f_{(i,j)}^m$  are uniform. Thus for each  $f \in F^m$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{o}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(f)$  the common originals and terminus of all the paths occurring in  $f$ , and always identify  $\mathfrak{o}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(f)$  with their corresponding idempotents.

Let

$$P_m := \bigoplus_{f \in F^m} A_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{o}(f) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f) A_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Define  $d_1 : P_1 \rightarrow P_0$  by

$$d_1(\mathfrak{o}(f) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f)) = f \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f) - \mathfrak{o}(f) \otimes f,$$

for  $f \in F^1$ . Whenever  $m \geq 2$ , the differential  $d_m : P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1}$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} d_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) &= \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,j-1)}^{m-1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{m-j} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j-1)}^{m-1}) \otimes \alpha_{i-m-1} \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}^j \beta_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-1,j)}^{m-1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^{m-1}) \otimes \beta_{i-m}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *The complex  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$ :*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_{m+1} \xrightarrow{d_{m+1}} P_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a minimal projective bimodule resolution of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , where  $d_0$  is the multiplication map.

*Proof.* Now we consider the minimal projective bimodule resolution of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  constructed in [5, section 9]. Let  $X = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ . Since  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a Koszul algebra for each  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we only need to prove that  $F^m$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of the  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space  $K_m := \bigcap_{s+r=m-2} X^s R X^r$ .

Note that  $XK_{m-1} \cap K_{m-1}X \subset K_m$ , for all  $m$ , and for all  $0 \leq j \leq m, i = 1, 2$ , we have  $f_{(i,j)}^m \in K_m$  by induction on  $m$ . Denote by  $I^\perp$  the ideal of  $\mathbb{k}(Q^{op})$  generated by

$$R^\perp = \{\mathfrak{q}\beta_1^{op}\alpha_1^{op} - \alpha_2^{op}\beta_2^{op}, \mathfrak{q}\beta_2^{op}\alpha_2^{op} - \alpha_1^{op}\beta_1^{op}\}.$$

Then, the algebra  $\mathbb{k}(Q)^{op}/I^\perp$  is isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra  $E(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , since  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is Koszul (cf. [2, Theorem 2.10.1]). Therefore, the Betti number of a minimal projective resolution of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  over  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e$  is  $\{b_m = 2(m+1)\}_{m \geq 0}$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} K_m = 2(m+1)$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . Note that the elements in  $F^m$  is  $\mathbb{k}$ -linearly independent, we get the elements in  $F^m$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of  $K_m$ .

Finally, by [5, section 9] and [14], we get the differential  $d_m$  is given as above.  $\square$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two sets of uniform elements in  $\mathbb{k}Q$ . Then one can define

$$X \odot Y = \{(p, q) \in X \times Y \mid \mathfrak{t}(p) = \mathfrak{o}(q) \text{ and } \mathfrak{t}(q) = \mathfrak{o}(p)\},$$

and denote by  $\mathbb{k}(X \odot Y)$  the vector space spanned by the elements in  $X \odot Y$ . A pair of uniform elements  $(p, q)$  in  $\mathbb{k}Q$  is called closed if  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{k}Q \odot \mathbb{k}Q$ .

Consider the set  $\mathcal{B} \odot F^m$ , then we have

$$\mathcal{B} \odot F^m = \begin{cases} \left\{ (\alpha_i, f_{(i+1,j)}^m), (\beta_i, f_{(i,j)}^m) \mid i = 1, 2, 0 \leq j \leq m \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ \left\{ (e_i, f_{(i,j)}^m), (\alpha_i \beta_i, f_{(i,j)}^m) \mid i = 1, 2, 0 \leq j \leq m \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

That is  $|\mathcal{B} \odot F^m| = 4(m+1)$ .

Applying functor  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} -$  to the minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P} = (P_n, d_n)$ , we get a Hochschild homology complex of the algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Now, we use vector spaces  $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} \odot F^n)$  to give a presentation of this Hochschild homology complex.

**Lemma 3.2.** *As complexes,  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} \mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{N}$ , where the complex  $\mathbb{N} = (N_m, \tau_m)$ ,  $N_m = \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} \odot F^m)$  and differential  $\tau_m : N_m \rightarrow N_{m-1}$  is given by: for any  $(b, f_{(i,j)}^m)$  in  $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} \odot F^m)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_m(b, f_{(i,j)}^m) &= (b\alpha_i, f_{(i+1,j-1)}^{m-1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{m-j} (\alpha_{i-m-1} b, f_{(i,j-1)}^{m-1}) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}^j (b\beta_{i-1}, f_{(i-1,j)}^{m-1}) + (-1)^m (\beta_{i-m} b, f_{(i,j)}^{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the maximal semisimple subalgebra of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then one can check that

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} P_m = A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{E^e} \bigoplus_{f \in F^n} (\mathfrak{o}(f) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f)) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \{e_1, e_2\}} \alpha A_{\mathfrak{q}} \beta \otimes \beta F^m \alpha.$$

Thus  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} P_m \cong N_m$  as  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector spaces. Moreover, from the isomorphisms above, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} P_m & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d_m} & A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}^e} P_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \varphi^m & & \downarrow \varphi^{m+1} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} \odot F^m) & \xrightarrow{\tau_m} & \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} \odot F^{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

So differential  $\tau_m$  can be induced by  $d_m$  in the minimal projective resolution  $\mathbb{P}$ .  $\square$

By the definition of the Hochschild homology,  $HH_m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{Ker} \tau_m / \text{Im} \tau_{m+1}$  and the isomorphism above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ker} \tau_m - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_{m+1} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} N_m - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_m - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, to calculate the dimensions of Hochschild homology groups of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we only need to determine  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , since  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} N_m = |\mathcal{B} \odot F^m|$ . For  $m = 1, 2$ , by the descriptions of the differentials  $\tau_m$  in Lemma 3.2, direct calculation shows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} = \pm 1; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_2 = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} = \pm 1; \\ 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_0(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} = \pm 1; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_1(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} = \pm 1; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $\text{Im} \tau_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , we first express it as a matrix, and make a detailed analysis of it. Define an order on  $\mathcal{B}$  by setting  $e_1 \prec e_2 \prec \alpha_1 \prec \alpha_2 \prec \beta_1 \prec \beta_2 \prec \alpha_1 \beta_1 \prec \alpha_2 \beta_2$ , and denote  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_4$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_4 = \{\alpha_1 \beta_1, \alpha_2 \beta_2\}$ . Then we can define an order on  $\mathcal{B} \odot F^m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (b, f_{(i,j)}^m) \prec (b', f_{(i',j')}^m) &\quad \text{if } l < k, \text{ or } l = k \text{ but } j < j', \\ &\quad \text{or } l = k, j = j' \text{ but } b < b', \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(b, f_{(i,j)}^m), (b', f_{(i',j')}^m) \in \mathcal{B} \odot F^m$  with  $b \in \mathcal{B}_l$  and  $b' \in \mathcal{B}_k$ . We still denote by  $\tau_m$  the matrix of the differentials  $\tau_m$  under the ordered bases above. Through the detailed analysis of matrix  $\tau_m$ , the dimension of each degree homology groups of algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  will be given explicitly.

Denote by  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q}^i & \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{q}^{-1} & \mathfrak{q}^i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{q}^i \\ \mathfrak{q}^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. For any positive integer  $l, k$  and  $l \times k$  matrix  $A$ , we denote  $\bar{A}$  the  $2l \times k$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , denote  $\hat{A}$  the  $2l \times k$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, following from the descriptions of the differentials  $\tau_m$  in Lemma 3.2, we obtain

- (1) if  $m$  is odd,  $\tau_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_m \\ 0 & D_m \end{pmatrix}_{4(m+1) \times 4m}$ , where  $C_m = \text{diag} \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{m-2}, \bar{A}_{m-1}\}$   
and  $D_m = \text{diag} \{-\hat{A}_{m-1}, -A_{m-2}, \dots, -A_1, -A_0\}$  are  $2(m+1) \times 2m$  matrices;
- (2) if  $m$  is even,  $\tau_m = \begin{pmatrix} G_m & H_m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4(m+1) \times 4m}$ , where  $G_m = \text{diag} \{\hat{B}_{m-1}, B_{m-2}, \dots, B_1, B_0\}$   
and  $H_m = \text{diag} \{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{m-2}, \bar{B}_{m-1}\}$  are  $2(m+1) \times 2m$  matrices.
- Therefore, we get the following results.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For the differential  $\tau_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

- (1) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = 2m$ ;
- (2) if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ ,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ m+1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$
- (3) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,

$$\text{rank}\tau_m = \begin{cases} 2m - 2l + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 1; \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 1; \\ 2m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $m$  is odd, then

$$\text{rank}\tau_m = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_{m-2} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{m-1} \\ A_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_i \\ A_{m-1-i} \end{pmatrix} = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ , or  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,  $s|m+1$  and  $s|2i+2$ , we have

- (1) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = 2m$ ;
- (2) if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ ,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = m$ ;
- (3) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,

$$\text{rank}\tau_m = \begin{cases} 2m - 2l + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 1, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 1; \\ 2m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m$  is even, then

$$\text{rank}\tau_m = 2\text{rank}B_0 + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-1} & B_1 \end{pmatrix} + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-2} & B_2 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\text{rank}B_0 = 1$  and  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-i} & B_i \end{pmatrix} = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ , or  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,  $s|m$  and  $s|2i$ , we have

- (1) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = 2m$ ;
- (2) if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ ,  $\text{rank}\tau_m = m+1$ ;
- (3) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,

$$\text{rank}\tau_m = \begin{cases} 2m - 2l + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 1, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 1; \\ 2m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain this lemma. □

Now we can give the main results of this section.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the quantum zigzag algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ . Then for  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

- (1) if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 2$ ;
- (2) if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 2m + 2$ ;

(3) if  $q$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_q) = \begin{cases} 2l + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd, and } m = 2ls - 2 \text{ or } m = 2ls, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even, and } m = ls - 2 \text{ or } m = ls; \\ 4l, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 1, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 1; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Thank to the formula

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_q) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} N_m - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_m - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im} \tau_{m+1}$$

and results given in Lemma 3.3, by direct calculation, we obtain the proposition.  $\square$

Denote by  $HC_m(A_q)$  the  $m$ -th cyclic homology group of  $A_q$ . Whenever  $\text{Char} \mathbb{k} = 0$ , using the close relationship between  $HC_m(A_q)$  and  $HH_m(A_q)$  given in [28], we can give the dimensions of cyclic homology groups of algebras  $A_q$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $A_q$  be the quantum zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$  and  $\text{Char} \mathbb{k} = 0$ . Then we have*

(1) if  $q$  is not a root of unity,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(A_q) = 2$ ;

(2) if  $q = \pm 1$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(A_q) = \begin{cases} m + 2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ m + 3, & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$

(3) if  $q$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(A_q) = \begin{cases} 4l - 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 2, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 2; \\ 3, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls - 1, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls - 1; \\ 2l, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } m = 2ls, \\ & \text{or } s \text{ is even and } m = ls; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By [28, Theorem 4.1.13], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(A_q) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(\mathbb{k}^2) &= -(\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_{m-1}(A_q) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_{m-1}(\mathbb{k}^2)) \\ &\quad + (\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_q) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(\mathbb{k}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(A_q) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_m(\mathbb{k}^2) = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} (\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_i(A_q) - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_i(\mathbb{k}^2))$ . Moreover, it is well-known that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_i(\mathbb{k}^2) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HC_i(\mathbb{k}^2) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.4, we obtain this corollary.  $\square$

For any finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , we denote by

$$hh.\dim \Lambda := \inf\{l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(\Lambda) = 0 \text{ for all } m > l\}$$

and  $gl.\dim \Lambda$  the Hochschild homology dimension and global dimension of  $\Lambda$ , respectively. Then, by the results of Proposition 3.4, we have

**Corollary 3.6.**  $gl.\dim A_q = \infty = hh.\dim A_q$

Dieter Happel in [16] asked the following question: if the Hochschild cohomology groups  $HH^n(\Lambda)$  of a finite-dimensional algebra  $\Lambda$  over a field  $k$  vanish for all sufficiently large  $n$ , is the global dimension of  $\Lambda$  finite? The paper [4] have given a negative answer by a class of four dimensional algebras  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}} := \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2, xy - \mathbf{q}yx, y^2)$ , where  $\mathbf{q}$  is not a root of unity.

In [15], Han conjectured that the homology of Happel's question would always hold, namely that a finite-dimensional algebra whose higher Hochschild homology groups vanish must be of finite global dimension. It is known that Han's conjecture holds for many types of algebra. Our results show that the algebra  $A_q$  also provide a positive answer to Han's conjecture.



4. HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY GROUPS OF  $A_q$ 

In this section, using the language of parallel paths, we give a equivalent description of the cohomology complex obtained by the minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P}$ . Further, we give a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of Hochschild cohomology groups of  $A_q$  on each degree.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two sets of uniform elements in  $\mathbb{k}Q$ , we define

$$X//Y := \{(p, q) \in X \times Y \mid \mathfrak{o}(p) = \mathfrak{o}(q) \text{ and } \mathfrak{t}(p) = \mathfrak{t}(q)\},$$

and denote by  $\mathbb{k}(X//Y)$  the vector space spanned by the elements in  $X//Y$ , and call a pair of uniform elements  $(p, q)$  in  $\mathbb{k}Q$  is parallel if  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{k}Q//\mathbb{k}Q$ .

Consider the sets  $\mathcal{B}//F^m$ , then we have

$$\mathcal{B}//F^m = \begin{cases} \left\{ (\alpha_i, f_{(i,j)}^m), (\beta_i, f_{(i+1,j)}^m) \mid i = 1, 2, 0 \leq j \leq m \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ \left\{ (e_i, f_{(i,j)}^m), (\alpha_i \beta_i, f_{(i,j)}^m) \mid i = 1, 2, 0 \leq j \leq m \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $|\mathcal{B}//F^m| = 4(m+1)$ .

We now define the complex  $\mathbb{L} = (L^m, \sigma^m)$  by the set  $\mathcal{B}//F^m$  as following: firstly, let

$$L^m = \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B}//F^m)$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ ; secondly, define the differential  $\sigma^m : L^{m-1} \rightarrow L^m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^m(b, f_{(i,j)}^{m-1}) &= (\alpha_{i-1} b, f_{(i-1,j+1)}^m) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{m-j-1} (b \alpha_{i-m+1}, f_{(i,j+1)}^m) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}^j (\beta_i b, f_{(i+1,j)}^m) + (-1)^m (b \beta_{i-m}, f_{(i,j)}^m). \end{aligned}$$

Applying functor  $\text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(-, A_q)$  to the minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P}$ , we get a Hochschild cohomology complex of  $A_q$ . Next lemma, we show that the complex  $\mathbb{L}$  give a presentation of this Hochschild cohomology complex.

**Lemma 4.1.**  $\text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(\mathbb{P}, A_q) \cong \mathbb{L}$  as complexes.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$\text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(P_m, A_q) \cong \bigoplus_{f \in F^m} \text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(A_q \mathfrak{o}(f) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f) A_q, A_q) \cong \bigoplus_{f \in F^m} \mathfrak{o}(f) A_q \mathfrak{t}(f) \cong L^m$$

as  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector spaces. The corresponding isomorphism  $\varphi_m : L^m \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(P_m, A_q)$  is given by  $(a, f) \mapsto \xi_{(a,f)}$ , where  $\xi_{(a,f)}(\mathfrak{o}(g) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(g))$  is  $a$  if  $f = g$  and is 0 otherwise. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B}//F^m) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{m+1}} & \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B}//F^{m+1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \varphi^m & & \downarrow \varphi^{m+1} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(P_m, A_q) & \xrightarrow{d_{m+1}^*} & \text{Hom}_{A_q^e}(P_{m+1}, A_q) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Therefore, the isomorphism of complexes is obtained.  $\square$

We now give a basis of Hochschild cohomology groups of  $A_q$  by the complex  $\mathbb{L}$ . By the definition,  $HH^m(A_q) = \text{Ker} \sigma^{m+1} / \text{Im} \sigma^m$ , we need to determine  $\text{Im} \sigma^m$  and  $\text{Ker} \sigma^m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Now, we will determine  $\text{Im} \sigma^m$  by considering the corresponding matrix of  $\sigma^m$  over an ordered basis of  $L^{m-1}$ . Let

$$(b, f_{(i,j)}^m) \prec (b', f_{(i',j')}^m) \quad \text{if } j < j', \text{ or } j = j' \text{ but } b \prec b',$$

for any  $(b, f_{(i,j)}^m), (b', f_{(i',j')}^m) \in \mathcal{B}//F^m$ . We still denote by  $\sigma^m$  the matrix of  $\sigma^m$  under the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}//F^m$ . Then we have

$$\sigma^m = \begin{cases} \text{diag} \left\{ \widehat{C}_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{m-2}, \bar{C}_{m-1} \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ \text{diag} \left\{ \widehat{D}_{-1}, D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{m-2}, \bar{D}_{m-1} \right\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $C_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i & -A_{m-i-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $D_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{m-i-2} \\ 0 & B_i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $2 \times 4$  matrices  $\widehat{D}_{-1} = \bar{D}_{m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $4 \times 6$  matrices  $\widehat{C}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\bar{C}_{m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{m-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{q}^i & -1 \\ -1 & \mathfrak{q}^i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\mathfrak{q}^i \\ -\mathfrak{q}^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Thus, direct computations show that

$$HH^0(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0), \\ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) - \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0), \\ (e_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (e_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \end{array} \right\};$$

$$HH^1(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1, f_{(1,0)}^1) + \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,0)}^1), \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1), \\ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1), \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,1)}^1) + \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\beta_2, f_{(1,1)}^1) \end{array} \right\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} = \pm 1; \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1), \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1) \end{array} \right\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, we use the same notation for the corresponding cohomology classes. For the higher degree Hochschild cohomology groups of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , We will discuss the value of  $\mathfrak{q}$  case-by-case. Note that  $1 \leq \text{rank}C_i \leq 2$ ,  $1 \leq \text{rank}D_i \leq 2$ ,  $\text{rank}C_i = 1$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ , or  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity and satisfying  $s|m-1$  and  $s|2i$ ; and  $\text{rank}D_i = 1$  if and only if one of  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ , or  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity and satisfying  $s|m-2$  and  $s|2i$ . Hence, we have the following propositions.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity and  $m \geq 2$ . Then*

$$HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,1)}^2) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,1)}^2) \right\}, & \text{if } m = 2; \\ 0, & \text{if } m > 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let us discuss the parity of  $m$  as follows. If  $m > 2$  is odd, then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = \text{rank}C_0 + \text{rank}C_1 + \cdots + \text{rank}C_{m-1}$ . Note that  $\text{rank}C_i = 2$  since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity, we get  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m$ . If  $m > 2$  is even, then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = \text{rank}\widehat{D}_{-1} + \text{rank}D_0 + \cdots + \text{rank}D_{m-2} + \text{rank}\bar{D}_{m-1}$ . Note that  $\text{rank}\widehat{D}_{-1} = \text{rank}D_i = \text{rank}\bar{D}_{m-1} = 2$  since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity, we get  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m + 2$ . By the definition,  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \text{Ker}\sigma^{m+1}/\text{Im}\sigma^m$ , and so that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ker}\sigma^{m+1} - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Im}\sigma^m \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} L^m - \text{rank}\sigma^{m+1} - \text{rank}\sigma^m. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} L^m = 4(m+1)$ , we get  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 0$  for  $m > 2$ . Finally, when  $m = 2$ , it is easy to see that  $\text{rank}\sigma^2 = 5$ , and  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH^2(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 1$ . By the definition of the differential  $\sigma^2$ , it is not hard to get that  $(\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,1)}^2) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,1)}^2)$  is basis of  $HH^2(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ .  $\square$

Notably, if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity, the algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  also give a negative answer for Happel's question. By [40],  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is just the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Galois covering of the four dimension algebra  $\Lambda_4$  given in [4], if  $\text{Char}\mathbb{k} \nmid 2$ . Next, we consider the basis elements of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  whenever  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *If  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Then*

$$HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m), \\ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^{j-1}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \end{array} \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^{j-1}(\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m), \\ (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j(e_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \end{array} \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $m \geq 2$  is odd, then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = m$ , since  $\text{rank}C_i = 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Consider the action of the differential  $\sigma^m$  on  $L^{m-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) - (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^j(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}; \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}), (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}) + \mathfrak{q}^j(e_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}), (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m \geq 2$  is even, then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = m+1$ , Since  $\text{rank}\widehat{D}_{-1} = \text{rank}D_i = \text{rank}\bar{D}_{m-1} = 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ . By the definition of the differential  $\sigma^m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{j-1}(\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}; \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) + \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}), \right. \\ \left. (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}) + \mathfrak{q}^{j-1}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}, \\ \left. (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^{m-1}) - (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-2} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, Using the definition of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ , that is,  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \text{Ker}\sigma^{m+1}/\text{Im}\sigma^m$ , we can get a basis of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ .  $\square$

Similar to the discussion of Proposition 4.3, we can get a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  when  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity as following.

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity and  $m \geq 2$ .*

(1) *Whenever  $s$  is odd,*

$$HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \left\{ (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (e_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{j \in \{ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, & \text{if } m = 2ls; \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m)\}_{j \in \{ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ \{(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^m)\}_{j \in \{ts+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}} \end{array} \right\}, & \text{if } m = 2ls + 1; \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{j \in \{ts+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, & \text{if } m = 2ls + 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) *Whenever  $s$  is even,*

$$HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \left\{ (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j(e_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{j \in \{\frac{ts}{2}\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, & \text{if } m = ls; \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m)\}_{j \in \{\frac{ts}{2}\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ \{(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^m)\}_{j \in \{\frac{ts}{2}+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}} \end{array} \right\}, & \text{if } m = ls + 1; \\ \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{j \in \{\frac{ts}{2}+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, & \text{if } m = ls + 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (1) In this case,  $s$  is odd. If  $m = 2ls$ , we get  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m+2$ , and  $\text{rank}\widehat{D}_{-1} = \text{rank}D_i = \text{rank}\bar{D}_{m-1} = 2$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ . By the definition of the differential  $\sigma^m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m), (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}; \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m), \\ (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) \end{array} \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2ls + 1$ , then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m - 2l - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}C_i = 2$ ,  $\text{rank}C_{ts} = 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2l$  and  $i \neq ts$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) - (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, j \neq ts}, \\ &\left\{ -(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, j \neq ts}, \\ &\left\{ (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) - (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) - (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{\{j=ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}} \end{aligned} \right\}; \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}), (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}, \\ &\left\{ (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}) + (e_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{\{j=ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2ls + 2$ , then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m + 2 - 2l - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}\widehat{D}_{-1} = \text{rank}D_i = \text{rank}\overline{D}_{m-1} = 2$ ,  $\text{rank}D_{ts} = 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m - 2$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2l$  and  $i \neq ts$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) - (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{\{j=ts+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ &\left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m), (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) \right\}_{\{0 \leq j \leq m, j \neq ts\}} \end{aligned} \right\}; \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{\{j=ts+1\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ &\left\{ (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{\{j=ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ &\left\{ (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) - (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{\{j=ts\}_{t=0}^{2l}}, \\ &\left\{ (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m), \right. \\ &\left. \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-2, j \neq ts} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 2ls + 3$ , then  $\text{rank}\sigma^m = 2m$ , and  $\text{rank}C_i = 2$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) - (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}, \\ &\left\{ -(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j(\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{m-1-j}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \end{aligned} \right\}, \\ \text{Ker}\sigma^m &= \mathbb{k} \left\{ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-1}), (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-1}) \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \text{Ker}\sigma^{m+1}/\text{Im}\sigma^m$ , we obtain a basis of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  in the proposition. The proof of (2) is similar, so we won't repeat it here.  $\square$

At the end of this section, by comparing the dimensions of Hochschild homology groups and Hochschild cohomology groups of algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we can get that if  $\mathfrak{q} = \pm 1$ , then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} HH_m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 2m + 2,$$

for any  $m \geq 0$ .

## 5. HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY RING OF $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$

In this section, the cup product of the cohomology ring  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is described by the parallel paths, and so that the ring structure of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  and  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})/\mathcal{N}$  are given explicitly.

Recall that, for an arbitrary finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , the Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  is defined to be  $HH^*(\Lambda) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} HH^m(\Lambda)$ , whose multiplication is given by the multiplication induced by the Yoneda product. It is well known that the Yoneda product of  $HH^*(\Lambda)$

coincides with the cup product defined on the cohomology of  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\mathbb{B}, \Lambda)$ , where  $\mathbb{B}$  is the standard projective  $\Lambda^e$ -resolution of  $\Lambda$ . Gerstenhaber showed that  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  under the cup product

$$\sqcup : HH^m(\Lambda) \times HH^l(\Lambda) \longrightarrow HH^{m+l}(\Lambda)$$

is graded commutative (see [10]).

In [30], Siegel and Witherspoon proved that any projective  $\Lambda^e$ -resolution  $\mathbb{X}$  of  $\Lambda$  gives rise to the cup product. They showed that there exists a chain map  $\Delta : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{X}$  lifting the identity, which is unique up to homotopy, and the cup product of two elements  $\eta$  in  $HH^m(\Lambda)$  and  $\xi$  in  $HH^n(\Lambda)$  can be defined by the composition of the maps

$$\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \xi} \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\nu} \Lambda,$$

where  $\nu$  is the natural isomorphism.

Here, we will use the minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  which is constructed in Section 3, to give the cup product of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ . First recall that the tensor complex  $\mathbb{P} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} \mathbb{P} := (\mathcal{P}_m, b_m)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_m := \bigoplus_{i+j=m} P_i \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} P_j,$$

and the differential  $b_m : \mathcal{P}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{m-1}$  is given by

$$b_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} ((-1)^i \text{id} \otimes d_{m-i} + d_{i+1} \otimes \text{id}),$$

for all  $m \geq 1$ . It is well known that  $\mathbb{P} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} \mathbb{P}$  is also a projective bimodule resolution of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

Now we define a family of  $(A_{\mathfrak{q}})^e$ -morphisms  $\{\Delta_m : P_m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{j'=0}^N \mathfrak{q}^{(s-j')(j-j')} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s,j-j')}^{m-s}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-s,j-j')}^{m-s}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $N = \min\{s, j\}$ , and  $\widehat{\otimes} := \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The morphism  $\Delta := (\Delta_m)_{m \geq 0}$  satisfies the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_m & \xrightarrow{d_m} & P_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & P_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & A_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \Delta_m & & \downarrow \Delta_{m-1} & & & & \downarrow \Delta_1 & & \downarrow \Delta_0 & & \parallel & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_m & \xrightarrow{b_m} & \mathcal{P}_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_1 & \xrightarrow{b_1} & \mathcal{P}_0 & \xrightarrow{b_0} & A_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where  $b_0 = \nu \circ (d_0 \otimes d_0)$ ,  $d_0$  is the multiplication map,  $\nu : A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} A_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the natural isomorphism.

*Proof.* Firstly, it is easy to see that  $d_0 = b_0 \circ \Delta_0$ . Secondly, for  $n = 1$ , we have  $\Delta_0 \circ d_1 = b_1 \circ \Delta_1$ . Indeed, for each  $\alpha_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \circ \Delta_1 (\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) &= b_1 ((e_i \otimes e_i) \widehat{\otimes} (e_i \otimes e_{i+1}) + (e_i \otimes e_{i+1}) \widehat{\otimes} (e_{i+1} \otimes e_{i+1})) \\ &= (e_i \otimes e_i) \widehat{\otimes} (\alpha_i \otimes e_{i+1}) - (e_i \otimes e_i) \widehat{\otimes} (e_i \otimes \alpha_i) \\ &\quad + (\alpha_i \otimes e_{i+1}) \widehat{\otimes} (e_{i+1} \otimes e_{i+1}) - (e_i \otimes \alpha_i) \widehat{\otimes} (e_{i+1} \otimes e_{i+1}) \\ &= \Delta_0 (\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i) - \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \alpha_i) \\ &= \Delta_0 \circ d_1 (\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can check that  $\Delta_0 \circ d_1 (\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = b_1 \circ \Delta_1 (\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i))$  for all  $\beta_i \in F^1$ . Thus  $\Delta_0 \circ d_1 = b_1 \circ \Delta_1$ .

Finally, let  $m \geq 2$ . For any  $a \in F^m$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq m$ , we denote by  $\Delta_{m-1} \circ d_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))_s$ ,  $b_m \circ \Delta_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))_s$  the  $s$ -th direct summand of  $\Delta_{m-1} \circ d_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))$  and  $b_m \circ \Delta_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))$  respectively, that is,  $\Delta_{m-1} \circ d_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))_s \subseteq P_s \widehat{\otimes} P_{m-s-1}$  and  $b_m \circ \Delta_m(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a))_s \subseteq P_s \widehat{\otimes} P_{m-s-1}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_m \circ \Delta_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right)_s \\
&= \sum_{j'=0}^N \mathfrak{q}^{(s-j')(j-j'-1)} \left( \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,j')}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s+1,j-j'-1)}^{m-s-1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-s+1,j-j'-1)}^{m-s-1}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{j'=0}^N \mathfrak{q}^{(s-j')(j-j')+j} \left( \beta_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-1,j')}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s-1,j-j')}^{m-s-1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-s-1,j-j')}^{m-s-1}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{j'=0}^N (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{(s-j')(j-j'-1)+m-j} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s,j-j'-1)}^{m-s-1}) \otimes \alpha_{i+m-1} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{j'=0}^N (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{(s-j')(j-j')} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j')}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s,j-j')}^{m-s-1}) \otimes \beta_{i-m} \right) \\
&= \Delta_{m-1} \circ d_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right)_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $N := \min\{j, s\}$ . Therefore, we obtain the commutative diagram.  $\square$

Now, for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $\eta := (a, f) \in L^m = \mathbb{k}(\mathcal{B} // F^m)$ , we identify it with its image  $\varphi_m(\eta)$  under the isomorphism  $\varphi_m : L^m \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}^m(P_m, A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  which is given in Section 4. By the morphism  $\Delta := (\Delta_m)_{m \geq 0}$ , the following theorem will give a description of the cup product using the parallel paths. In fact, it shows that the cup product is essentially given by concatenation of paths.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose  $\eta := (a, f) \in HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  and  $\xi := (a', f') \in HH^l(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ , where  $a, a' \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $f \in F^m$  and  $f' \in F^l$ . Then*

$$\eta \sqcup \xi = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{q}^{(m-j)j'} \left( aa', f_{(i,j+j')}^{m+l} \right), & \text{if } f = f_{(i,j)}^m \text{ and } f' = f_{(i-m,j')}^l; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f = f_{(i,j)}^m$  and  $f' = f_{(i',j')}^l$ . Since the cup product of  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  is given by the composition of the maps  $\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \xi} \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\nu} \Lambda$ , we have  $\eta \sqcup \xi = 0$  if  $i' \neq i - m$ , and if  $i' = i - m$ , then

- (1)  $(\eta \sqcup \xi) \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i'',j'')}^{m+l}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i'',j'')}^{m+l}) \right) = 0$ , if  $i'' \neq i$ , or  $j'' \neq j + j'$ ;
- (2) if  $i'' = i$  and  $j'' = j + j'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\eta \sqcup \xi) \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j'')}^{m+l}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j'')}^{m+l}) \right) \\
&= \nu \left( \sum_{s=0}^{m+l} \sum_{t=0}^T \mathfrak{q}^{(s-t)(j''-t)} \eta \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,t)}^s) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,t)}^s) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \xi \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-s,j''-t)}^{m+l-s}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-s,j''-t)}^{m+l-s}) \right) \right) \\
&= \mathfrak{q}^{(m-j)j'} aa',
\end{aligned}$$

where  $T := \min\{s, j''\}$ . The proof is finished.  $\square$

Now using the basis of  $HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  in the pervious section and the description of cup product in Proposition 5.2, we can give the ring structure of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ . For convenience, we denote  $\wedge^*(y_1, y_2)$  the exterior algebra generated by  $y_1, y_2$ , and for any ring homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  and  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ , denote the pullback by  $R \times_{\mathbb{k}} S$ . Let's first consider the case where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity. In this case, the ring structure of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is relatively simple.

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $q$  is not a root of unity. Then, as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, we have the following isomorphism*

$$\theta : HH^*(A_q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2),$$

which is given by  $\widehat{1} := (e_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (e_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto 1$ ,  $\widehat{z}_1 := (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto z_1$ ,  $\widehat{z}_2 := (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) - (\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto z_2$ ,  $\widehat{u}_1 := (\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1) \mapsto u_1$ ,  $\widehat{u}_2 := (\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1) \mapsto u_2$ , where  $z_1, z_2$  are in degree 0, and  $u_1, u_2$  are in degree 1.

*Proof.* By using the formula given in Proposition 5.2, we can directly calculate that  $\widehat{1}$  is the unit under the cup product,  $\widehat{z}_i \sqcup \widehat{z}_j = 0$ ,  $\widehat{z}_i \sqcup \widehat{u}_j = 0$ ,  $\widehat{u}_i \sqcup \widehat{u}_i = 0$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , and  $\widehat{u}_2 \sqcup \widehat{u}_1 = (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,1)}^2) + (\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,1)}^2) = -\widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{u}_2$ . Hence the correspondence in the theorem gives an isomorphism between graded algebras.  $\square$

Next, let's consider the case of  $q = \pm 1$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *If  $q = \pm 1$ . Then as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, we have the following isomorphism*

$$\theta : HH^*(A_q) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)) [w_0, w_1, w_2]/I,$$

which is given by  $\widehat{1} := (e_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (e_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto 1$ ,  $\widehat{z}_1 := (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + q(\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto z_1$ ,  $\widehat{z}_2 := (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) - q(\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto z_2$ ,  $\widehat{u}_1 := q(\beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,0)}^1) \mapsto u_1$ ,  $\widehat{u}_2 := (\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1) \mapsto u_2$ ,  $\widehat{u}_3 := (\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1) \mapsto u_3$ ,  $\widehat{u}_4 := (\beta_1, f_{(2,1)}^1) + q(\beta_2, f_{(1,1)}^1) \mapsto u_4$ ,  $\widehat{w}_j := (e_1, f_{(1,j)}^2) + q^j(e_2, f_{(2,j)}^2) \mapsto w_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , where the ideal  $I$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_1 u_3, u_2 u_4, z_2 w_0, z_2 w_1, u_1 u_2 - q z_1 w_0, u_1 u_4 - z_1 w_1, u_1 u_4 - u_3 u_2, u_3 u_4 - z_1 w_2, \\ & z_2 w_2, u_1 w_1 - q u_3 w_0, u_1 w_2 - u_3 w_1, u_2 w_1 - q u_4 w_0, u_2 w_2 - u_4 w_1, w_1^2 - q w_0 w_2), \end{aligned}$$

and  $z_1, z_2$  are in degree 0,  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$  are in degree 1,  $w_0, w_1, w_2$  are in degree 2.

*Proof.* Firstly, using the same analysis as in Theorem 5.3, we get that  $\widehat{z}_1, \widehat{z}_2, \widehat{u}_1, \widehat{u}_2, \widehat{u}_3, \widehat{u}_4$  generate a subalgebra  $\Lambda$  of  $HH^*(A_q)$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ .

Secondly, in order to prove that  $HH^*(A_q)$  is generated by  $\widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2, \widehat{w}_3$  over  $\Lambda$ , we need show

$$HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\},$$

for any  $m \geq 2$ . Here we shall to discuss on the parity of  $m$ . If  $m$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} q(\alpha_1, f_{(1,0)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,0)}^m) &= [q(\alpha_1, f_{(1,0)}^{m-2}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,0)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_0, \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,m)}^m) + q(\beta_2, f_{(1,m)}^m) &= [(\beta_1, f_{(2,m)}^{m-2}) + q(\beta_2, f_{(1,m)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_2, \\ q^{m-j-1}[(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + q^j(\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m)] &= [(\beta_1, f_{(2,j-1)}^{m-2}) + q^{j-1}(\beta_2, f_{(1,j-1)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\ q^{m-j-2}[q^j(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m)] &= [q^{j-1}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-2}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ . Hence in this case,  $HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\}$ . If  $m$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{m-j-1}[(\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + q^{j-1}(\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m)] \\ &= [(\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,j-1)}^{m-2}) + q^{j-2}(\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,j-1)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\ q^{m-j-1}[(e_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + q^j(e_2, f_{(2,j)}^m)] &= [(e_1, f_{(1,j-1)}^{m-2}) + q^{j-1}(e_2, f_{(2,j-1)}^{m-2})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . That is,  $HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \widehat{w}_1$ .

Finally, one can check that the generators satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{z}_2 \sqcup \widehat{w}_0 &= \widehat{z}_2 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1 = \widehat{z}_2 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2 = \widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{u}_3 = \widehat{u}_2 \sqcup \widehat{u}_4 = 0, \\
\widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{u}_2 &= \mathfrak{q}(\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,0)}^2) + (\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,0)}^2) = \mathfrak{q}(\widehat{z}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_0), \\
\widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{u}_4 &= (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,1)}^2) + (\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,1)}^2) = -(\widehat{u}_2 \sqcup \widehat{u}_3) = (\widehat{z}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1), \\
\widehat{u}_3 \sqcup \widehat{u}_4 &= (\alpha_1 \beta_1, f_{(1,2)}^2) + \mathfrak{q}(\alpha_2 \beta_2, f_{(2,2)}^2) = \widehat{z}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2, \\
\widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1 &= \mathfrak{q}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^3) + \mathfrak{q}(\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^3) = \mathfrak{q}(\widehat{u}_3 \sqcup \widehat{w}_0), \\
\widehat{u}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2 &= \mathfrak{q}(\alpha_1, f_{(1,2)}^3) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,2)}^3) = \widehat{u}_3 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\
\widehat{u}_2 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1 &= \mathfrak{q}(\beta_1, f_{(2,1)}^3) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,1)}^3) = \mathfrak{q}(\widehat{u}_4 \sqcup \widehat{w}_0), \\
\widehat{u}_2 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2 &= (\beta_1, f_{(2,2)}^3) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,2)}^3) = \widehat{u}_4 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\
\widehat{w}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2 &= \mathfrak{q}(e_1, f_{(1,2)}^4) + \mathfrak{q}(e_2, f_{(2,2)}^4) = \mathfrak{q}(\widehat{w}_0 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the correspondence in the theorem gives an isomorphism between graded algebras.  $\square$

Similar to the discussion of Theorem 5.4, we can get the ring structure of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  when  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity.

**Theorem 5.5.** *If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity. Then, as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, we have the following isomorphisms.*

(1) *Whenever  $s$  is odd,*

$$\theta_1 : HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} (\wedge^*(u_1, u_2)[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - w_0 w_2)),$$

which is given by  $(e_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (e_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto 1$ ,  $(\alpha_i \beta_i, f_{(i,0)}^0) \mapsto z_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $(\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1) \mapsto u_1$ ,  $(\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1) \mapsto u_2$ ,  $(e_1, f_{(1,j_s)}^{2s}) + (e_2, f_{(2,j_s)}^{2s}) \mapsto w_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

(2) *Whenever  $s$  is even,*

$$\theta_2 : HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} (\wedge^*(u_1, u_2)[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{s}{4}} w_0 w_2)),$$

which is given by  $(e_1, f_{(1,0)}^0) + (e_2, f_{(2,0)}^0) \mapsto 1$ ,  $(\alpha_i \beta_i, f_{(i,0)}^0) \mapsto z_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $(\beta_1, f_{(2,0)}^1) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,0)}^1) \mapsto u_1$ ,  $(\alpha_1, f_{(1,1)}^1) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,1)}^1) \mapsto u_2$ ,  $(e_1, f_{(1, \frac{js}{2})}^s) + \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{js}{2}}(e_2, f_{(2, \frac{js}{2})}^s) \mapsto w_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Here we only give the proof of (1). First, it is easy to see that  $\widehat{z}_1, \widehat{z}_2, \widehat{u}_1, \widehat{u}_2$  generate a subalgebra  $\Lambda$  of  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2)$ . Second, in order to prove that  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is generated by  $\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2$  over  $\Lambda$ , we need show

$$HH^m(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = HH^{m-2}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\},$$

for any  $m \geq 2$ . Here, we shall to discuss it in terms of the values of  $m$ . If  $m = 2ls$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (e_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) &= [(e_1, f_{(1,j)}^{m-2s}) + (e_2, f_{(2,j)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_0, \\
(e_1, f_{(1,2ls-s)}^m) + (e_2, f_{(2,2ls-s)}^m) &= [(e_1, f_{(1,2ls-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (e_2, f_{(2,2ls-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\
(e_1, f_{(1,2ls)}^m) + (e_2, f_{(2,2ls)}^m) &= [(e_1, f_{(1,2ls-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (e_2, f_{(2,2ls-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_2,
\end{aligned}$$



for any  $j = ts$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2l - 1$ . Hence, in this case,  $HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\}$ . If  $m = 2ls + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^m) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^m) &= [(\beta_1, f_{(2,j)}^{m-2s}) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_0, \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,m-s)}^m) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,m-s)}^m) &= [(\beta_1, f_{(2,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\ (\beta_1, f_{(2,m)}^m) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,m)}^m) &= [(\beta_1, f_{(2,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\beta_2, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_2, \\ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,j+1)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_0, \\ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,m-s)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,m-s)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\ (\alpha_1, f_{(1,m)}^m) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,m)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2, f_{(2,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_2, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $j = ts$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2l - 1$ . Hence, in this case,  $HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\}$ . If  $m = 2ls + 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j)}^m) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,j)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,j+1)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(1,j)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_0, \\ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,m-s)}^m) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,m-s)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_1, \\ (\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,m)}^m) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(2,m)}^m) &= [(\alpha_1\beta_1, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s}) + (\alpha_2\beta_2, f_{(1,m-2s)}^{m-2s})] \sqcup \widehat{w}_2, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $j = ts$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2l - 1$ . Hence, in this case,  $HH^m(A_q) = HH^{m-2}(A_q) \sqcup \{\widehat{w}_0, \widehat{w}_1, \widehat{w}_2\}$ .

Finally, one can check that the generators satisfy the following relations:

$$z_1 \sqcup z_1 = z_1 \sqcup z_2 = z_2 \sqcup z_2, \quad \widehat{w}_1 \sqcup \widehat{w}_1 = (e_1, f_{(1,2s)}^{4s}) + (e_2, f_{(2,2s)}^{4s}) = (\widehat{w}_0 \sqcup \widehat{w}_2).$$

Therefore, the correspondence in the theorem gives an isomorphism between graded algebras.  $\square$

The support variety of a module over a group algebra is an affine variety that encodes many of the homological properties of the module. For any finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the ideal of  $HH^*(\Lambda)$  generated by all the homogeneous nilpotent elements. If  $HH^*(\Lambda)/\mathcal{N}$  is a finite-dimensional commutative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, then it is used to define the support varieties for  $\Lambda$ -modules [31]. Moreover, Snashall and Solberg in [31] conjectured that  $HH^*(\Lambda)/\mathcal{N}$  is finitely generated for any finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ . At the end of this section, let us consider the quotient ring  $HH^*(\Lambda)/\mathcal{N}$ . The ring structure of  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N}$  is given in [33] by considering the graded center of the Koszul dual of  $A_q$ . Here, using the generators of  $HH^*(A_q)$  given in the theorem above, note that  $\widehat{z}_i, \widehat{w}_i$  are nilpotence, for all  $i = 1, 2$ , we can give the ring structure of  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N}$  directly.

**Corollary 5.6** ([33] Theorem 2.6). *For the quotient algebra  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N}$ , we have*

- (1) if  $q$  is not a root of unity, then  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{k}$ ;
- (2) if  $q = \pm 1$ , then as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra,  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{k}[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - qw_0w_2)$ ;
- (3) if  $q$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity and  $s$  is odd, then as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra,  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{k}[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - w_0w_2)$ ;
- (4) if  $q$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity and  $s$  is even, then as graded  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra,  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{k}[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - q^{\frac{s}{4}}w_0w_2)$ .

Hence the Snashall-Solberg conjecture is true for the quantum zigzag algebras  $A_q$  of type  $\widetilde{A}_1$ . This conclusion is very useful for us to understand the representation theory of this kind of algebra. In fact, we can use the support varieties to give the complexity of  $A_q$ -modules. See literature [8] for details. In [17], the notion of Gerstenhaber ideal of Gerstenhaber algebra is introduced. Let  $(\Lambda^\bullet, \sqcup, [ \ , \ ])$  be a Gerstenhaber algebra,  $S \subseteq \Lambda^\bullet$  be a subset of homogeneous elements. Recall that the Gerstenhaber ideal  $\mathcal{G}(S)$  of  $(\Lambda^\bullet, \sqcup, [ \ , \ ])$  generated by  $S$  is the small subset of  $\Lambda^\bullet$  containing  $S$  and being both an ideal with respect to  $\sqcup$  and  $[ \ , \ ]$ . For the Gerstenhaber algebras of quantum zigzag algebras, we have given a detailed characterization, so it is easy to obtain its Gerstenhaber ideal which generated by all nilpotent homogeneous elements.

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $A_q$  be the quantum zigzag algebra. Denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the Gerstenhaber ideal of  $(HH^*(A_q), \sqcup, [ \ , \ ])$  generated by all nilpotent homogeneous elements. Then  $HH^*(A_q)/\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{k}$ .*

6. BATALIN-VILKOVISKY ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE ON  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ 

In this section, we construct two comparison morphisms between the minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P} = (P_n, d_n)$  and the reduced bar resolution  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  by using the weak self-homotopy. By these comparison morphisms, and applying the bilinear form constructed by Tradler and Volkov, we give the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebraic structure on  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  for all  $\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be an algebra over field  $\mathbb{k}$ . Given two left  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , let  $\mathbb{C}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{D}$ ) be a projective resolution of  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ). Then, for each morphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , there exists a chain map  $\bar{f} = (f_i)_{i \geq 0} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  lifting  $f$ . Where  $\bar{f}$  is called comparison morphism. Here, we will use the method in [22] to construct the comparison morphisms between the minimal projective bimodule resolution and the reduced bar resolution of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

For any  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ , let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Q_m \xrightarrow{d_m^Q} Q_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Q_2 \xrightarrow{d_2^Q} Q_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^Q} Q_0 \xrightarrow{d_0^Q} N \longrightarrow 0$$

be a complex of left  $\Lambda$ -modules. Recall that a weak self-homotopy of this complex is a collection of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps  $t_m : Q_m \rightarrow Q_{m+1}$  for each  $m \geq 0$  and  $t_{-1} : N \rightarrow Q_0$  such that  $d_0^Q \circ t_{-1} = \text{Id}_N$ , and  $t_{m-1} \circ d_m^Q + d_{m+1}^Q \circ t_m = \text{Id}_{Q_m}$  for  $m \geq 0$  (see [3]). It is shown in [22] that, each exact complex of left  $\Lambda$ -modules has a weak self-homotopy  $\{t_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  such that  $t_{i+1} \circ t_i = 0$  for any  $i \geq -1$ .

For that  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , in section 3, we have constructed a minimal projective bimodule resolution  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Since this resolution splits as complexes of one-sided modules, one can even choose a weak self-homotopy  $\{t_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  which are right module homomorphisms. Define  $t_{-1} : A_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow P_0$ ,  $t_{-1}(e_i) = e_i \otimes e_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ ; and if  $m \geq 0$ ,  $t_m : P_m \rightarrow P_{m+1}$  is given as following: for any  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & t_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m; \\ (2) \quad & t_m \left( \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) = \mathfrak{q}^{-j} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,j)}^{m+1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,j)}^{m+1}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq m; \\ (3) \quad & t_m \left( \alpha_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \\ \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i-1,j+1)}^{m+1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-1,j+1)}^{m+1}), & \text{if } j = m, \text{ or } m = 0; \end{cases} \\ (4) \quad & t_m \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\ & = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{q}^{-j} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,j)}^{m+1}), & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \\ \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,m)}^{m+1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m+1)}^{m+1}) \otimes \beta_{i+m}, & \text{if } j = m, \text{ or } m = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $\{t_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  satisfying  $t_{i+1} \circ t_i = 0$  for any  $i \geq -1$ . Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The defined maps  $\{t_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  above form a weak self-homotopy over the minimal projective resolution  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, we have  $d_0 \circ t_{-1}(e_i) = d_0(e_i \otimes e_i) = e_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . That is  $d_0 \circ t_{-1} = \text{Id}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ . Secondly, for any  $m > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{m+1} \circ t_m \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \right) \\ & = d_{m+1} \left( \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,m)}^{m+1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m+1)}^{m+1}) \otimes \beta_{i+m} \right) \\ & = \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \alpha_i \left( \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2,m-1)}^m) + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,m-1)}^m) \otimes \alpha_{i+m-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathfrak{q}^m \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m) + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,m)}^m) \otimes \beta_{i+m} \right) \\ & \quad + (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \left( \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,m)}^m) + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \otimes \alpha_{i+m} \right) \beta_{i+m} \\ & = (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{q}^{1-m} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_{i+m-1} + \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m) - \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \otimes \alpha_{i+m} \beta_{i+m}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& t_{m-1} \circ d_m \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \right) \\
&= t_{m-1} \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \left( \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,m-1)}^{m-1}) + (-1)^m \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m-1)}^{m-1}) \otimes \alpha_{i+m-1} \right) \right) \\
&= (-1)^m t_{m-1} \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m-1)}^{m-1}) \right) \alpha_{i+m-1} \\
&= (-1)^m \mathfrak{q}^{1-m} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_{i+m-1} - \mathfrak{q}^{-m} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \otimes \beta_{i+m-1} \alpha_{i+m-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to see  $[d_{m+1} \circ t_m + t_{m-1} \circ d_m] \left( \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m) \right) = \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,m)}^m)$ . Similarly, one can check that the mapping  $d_{m+1} \circ t_m + t_{m-1} \circ d_m$  remain unchanged on other generators of  $P_m$  as right  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module. That is to say,  $d_{m+1} \circ t_m + t_{m-1} \circ d_m = \text{Id}_{P_m}$ .

In conclusion,  $\{t_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  form a weak self-homotopy.  $\square$

Consider the reduced bar resolution  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Recall that  $\bar{B}_m = A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_E \bar{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\otimes_E m} \otimes_E A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , where  $E$  is the subalgebra of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  generated by  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . For convenience, we write a element in  $\bar{B}_m$  as  $a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_m \otimes a_{m+1}$ , where  $\otimes := \otimes_E$ . Then  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  has a family of right module homomorphisms  $\{s_i\}_{i \geq -1}$  as a weak self-homotopy, which is defined by the formula

$$s_m(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_m \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a_m)) = \mathfrak{o}(a_0) \otimes a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_m \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a_m).$$

And it is easy to see that  $s_{m+1} \circ s_m = 0$  for  $m \geq -1$ .

Now, let us consider the comparison morphisms between  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  and  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$ . Firstly, we shall construct a family of morphisms  $\Phi = (\Phi_m)_{m \geq 0}$  from  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  as follows:

- (1)  $\Phi_0 : P_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}} e_i \otimes e_i A_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \bar{B}_0 = A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_E A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the bimodule isomorphism  $(e_i \otimes e_i) \mapsto e_i \otimes e_i$ ;
- (2) for  $m \geq 1$ , the bimodule morphism  $\Phi_m$  is defined inductively by the map  $s_{m-1} \circ \Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m$  acting on the free basis elements of  $P_m$  as bimodule.

We now define  $g_{(i+1,0)}^1 = \beta_i$ , and  $g_{(i,1)}^1 = \alpha_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ ; for  $m \geq 2$ , define inductively  $g_{(i,j)}^m$  by setting

$$g_{(i,j)}^m = \alpha_i \otimes g_{(i+1,j-1)}^{m-1} + \mathfrak{q}^j \beta_{i-1} \otimes g_{(i-1,j)}^{m-1},$$

for any  $i = 1, 2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , where  $g_{(i,j)}^{m-1} = g_{(i',j)}^{m-1}$  if  $i \equiv i' \pmod{2}$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta_{j'}$  if  $j \equiv j' \pmod{2}$ , and  $g_{(i,j)}^{m-1} = 0$  if  $j < 0$  or  $j > m-1$ . Then we have

**Lemma 6.2.** *The morphism  $\Phi = (\Phi_m)_{m \geq 0} : \mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  is a chain map, and for  $m \geq 1$ ,*

$$\Phi_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) = \mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes g_{(i,j)}^m \otimes \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i,j)}^m),$$

for any  $i = 1, 2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j)}^m) = \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m)$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}(g_{(i,j)}^m) = \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m)$ .

*Proof.* For  $m = 0$ , it is easy to see that  $d_0(e_i \otimes e_i) = e_i = \bar{d}_0 \circ \Phi_0(e_i \otimes e_i)$ . Suppose that  $\bar{d}_i \circ \Phi_i = \Phi_{i-1} \circ d_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{d}_m \circ \Phi_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\
&= \bar{d}_m \circ s_{m-1} \circ \Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\
&= [\Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m - s_{m-2} \circ \bar{d}_{m-1} \circ \Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m] \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\
&= [\Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m - s_{m-2} \circ \Phi_{m-2} \circ d_{m-1} \circ d_m] \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right) \\
&= \Phi_{m-1} \circ d_m \left( \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $f_{(i,j)}^m \in F^m$ . That is,  $\Phi = (\Phi_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is a chain map.

For the morphism  $\Phi = (\Phi_m)_{m \geq 0}$ , one can check that  $\Phi_1(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = s_0 \circ \Phi_0 \circ d_1(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)$ , and  $\Phi_1(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = \mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \bar{\otimes} \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Now suppose that  $\Phi_m(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^m)) = \mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j)}^m) \bar{\otimes} g_{(i,j)}^m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i,j)}^m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{m+1}(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^{m+1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^{m+1})) \\
&= s_m \circ \Phi_m \circ d_{m+1}(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^{m+1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j)}^{m+1})) \\
&= s_m \circ \Phi_m(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,j-1)}^m) + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{q}^{m+1-j} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j-1)}^m) \otimes \alpha_{i+2j-m-2} \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{q}^j \beta_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i-1,j)}^m) + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j)}^m) \otimes \beta_{i+2j-m-1}) \\
&= s_m(\alpha_i \bar{\otimes} g_{(i+1,j-1)}^m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i+1,j-1)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} g_{(i-1,j)}^m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i-1,j)}^m) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{q}^{m+1-j} \mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j-1)}^m) \bar{\otimes} g_{(i,j-1)}^m \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i+2j-m-2} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{m+1} \mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j)}^m) \bar{\otimes} g_{(i,j)}^m \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i+2j-m-1}) \\
&= \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} g_{(i+1,j-1)}^m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i+1,j-1)}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^j \mathfrak{o}(\beta_{i-1}) \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} g_{(i-1,j)}^m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i-1,j)}^m) \\
&= \mathfrak{o}(g_{(i,j)}^{m+1}) \bar{\otimes} g_{(i,j)}^{m+1} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(g_{(i,j)}^{m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Secondly, using similar methods, we construct another family of morphisms  $\Psi = (\Psi_m)_{m \geq 0}$  from  $\bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m)$  to  $\mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  as follows:

- (1)  $\Psi_0 : \bar{B}_0 = A_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_E A_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow P_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} A_{\mathfrak{q}} e_i \otimes e_i A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the bimodule isomorphism  $e_i \bar{\otimes} e_i \mapsto (e_i \otimes e_i)$ ;
- (2) for  $m \geq 1$ , the bimodule morphism  $\Psi_m$  is defined inductively by the map  $t_{m-1} \circ \Psi_{m-1} \circ \bar{d}_m$  acting on the free basis elements of  $\bar{B}_m$ .

Then, similar to the proof of Lemma 6.5, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.3.** *The morphism  $\Psi = (\Psi_m)_{m \geq 0} : \bar{\mathbb{B}} = (\bar{B}_m, \bar{d}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = (P_m, d_m)$  is a chain map.*

For the morphism  $\Psi = (\Psi_m)_{m \geq 0}$ , we can't get a unified formula. But we can give a concrete description of the mapping  $\Psi$  on each basis element as following: if  $m = 1, 2$ ,

- $\Psi_1(\mathfrak{o}(a) \bar{\otimes} a \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a)) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a), & \text{if } a = \alpha_i \text{ or } \beta_i; \\ \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a) + \mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes \beta_i, & \text{if } a = \alpha_i \beta_i; \end{cases}$
- $\Psi_2(\mathfrak{o}(a_1) \bar{\otimes} a_1 \bar{\otimes} a_2 \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a_2)) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2), & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i, a_2 = \alpha_{i+1}; \\ 0, & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i, a_2 = \beta_i; \\ \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}, & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i, a_2 = \alpha_{i+1} \beta_{i+1}; \\ \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2), & \text{if } a_1 = \beta_i, a_2 = \alpha_i; \\ \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2), & \text{if } a_1 = \beta_i, a_2 = \beta_{i-1}; \\ -\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2,0)}^2) + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \beta_i, & \text{if } a_1 = \beta_i, a_2 = \alpha_i \beta_i; \\ \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) - \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}, & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i \beta_i, a_2 = \alpha_i; \\ \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2), & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i \beta_i, a_2 = \beta_{i-1}; \\ \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i, & \text{if } a_1 = \alpha_i \beta_i, a_2 = \alpha_i \beta_i. \end{cases}$

More general, for  $Y_m := \mathfrak{o}(a_1) \bar{\otimes} a_1 \bar{\otimes} a_2 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a_m)$ , we denote  $X_m := a_1 \bar{\otimes} a_2 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , we have

- if  $X_m = \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{m+i-1}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^m);$$

- if  $X_m = \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-j+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2j-2}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = \mathfrak{q}^{-(j+1)(m-j-1)} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,m-j-1)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,m-j-1)}^m);$$

- if  $X_m = \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2l-2j-3}$ , then

$$(1) j > 0,$$

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{l+1} \mathfrak{q}^{-(l+1)-(l+j+2)(m-l-j-2)} \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2, m-l-j-2)}^m);$$

$$(2) j = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_m(Y_m) = & \mathfrak{q}^{-(l+1)-(l+j+2)(m-l-j-2)} \left[ (-1)^{l+1} \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2, m-l-j-2)}^m) \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{m-l-j} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1, m-l-j-1)}^m) \otimes \beta_{m+i-2l-2j-2} \right]; \end{aligned}$$

- if  $X_m = \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l+j-1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l+j} \beta_{i-l+j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l+j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l+j+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2l-3}$ , then

$$(1) j > 0,$$

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{m-l-j} \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-l-j-2)-(l+1)(m-l-1)} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1, m-l-1)}^m) \otimes \beta_{m+i-2l-2};$$

$$(2) j = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_m(Y_m) = & \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-l-j-2)-(l+1)(m-l-1)} \left[ (-1)^{l+1} \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2, m-l-2)}^m) \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{m-l-j} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1, m-l-1)}^m) \otimes \beta_{m+i-2l-2} \right]; \end{aligned}$$

- if  $X_m = \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+s-1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+s} \beta_{i-l-j+s} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+s} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2l-2j-4}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{m-j-s} \mathfrak{q}^{-(l+1)-(j+l+2)(m-j-l-2)-(m-l-j-s-3)} \alpha_{i+1} \otimes \beta_{m+i-2j-2l-3};$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-1}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i, m)}^m) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i, m)}^m);$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i+j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i+j+1} \beta_{i+j+1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i+j+1} \cdots \alpha_{m+i-2}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{(m-j-2)} \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-j-2)} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i, m)}^m) \otimes \beta_{m+i+1};$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-1)} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1, m-1)}^m) + (-1)^{(m-1)} \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-1)} \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i, m)}^m) \otimes \beta_{m+i+1};$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l} \beta_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \alpha_{m+i-2l-3}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{(m-l-2)} \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-2)-(m-l-2)-1} \alpha_i \otimes \beta_{m+i};$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+1} \beta_{i-l-j+l} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-j+1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2l-2j-3}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = (-1)^{(m-l-j-3)} \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-l-3)-(m-l-j-3)-(l+1)-(m-l-3)(l+1)-1} \alpha_i \otimes \beta_{m+i};$$

- if  $X_m = \alpha_i \beta_i \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \beta_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{i-l-1} \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} \alpha_{m+i-2l-4}$ , then

$$\Psi_m(Y_m) = \mathfrak{q}^{-(m-l-2)(l+2)} \alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1, m-l-2)}^m).$$

For the other case,  $\Psi_m(\mathfrak{o}(a_1) \bar{\otimes} a_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_m \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a_m)) = 0$ .

Now, let us consider the BV operator on Hochschild cohomology ring  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ . Here we consider it in different cases, that is, when  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a symmetric algebra and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism.

**6.1. Symmetric case.** If  $q = -1$ , the algebra  $A_q$  is a symmetric algebra. In this case, we apply Tradler's construction to the zigzag algebra  $A_q$ , and get the BV algebraic structure of Hochschild cohomology ring of  $A_q$ . Let us review Tradler's construction. Let  $\Lambda$  be an associative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. It is well-known that there is a Connes'  $\mathfrak{B}$ -operator  $\mathfrak{B} : HH_m(\Lambda) \rightarrow HH_{m+1}(\Lambda)$  in the Hochschild homology of  $\Lambda$  (see [28]). If  $\Lambda$  is symmetric, that is, there exists a symmetric associative non-degenerate bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . This bilinear form induces a duality between the Hochschild cohomology and the Hochschild homology of  $\Lambda$ . Via this duality, for  $m \geq 1$ , there is an operator  $\Delta : HH^m(\Lambda) \rightarrow HH^{m-1}(\Lambda)$ , which corresponds to the Connes's  $\mathfrak{B}$ -operator on the Hochschild homology. Tradler has given the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.4.** ([35]) *Let  $\Lambda$  be a symmetric  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra. There exists a symmetric associative non-degenerate bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . For any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes m}, \Lambda)$ , define  $\Delta(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda^{\otimes(m-1)}, \Lambda)$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Delta(f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-1}), a_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i(m-1)} \langle f(a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-1} \otimes a_m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}), 1_{\Lambda} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i \in \Lambda$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $\Delta$  induces a differential  $\Delta : HH^m(\Lambda) \rightarrow HH^{m-1}(\Lambda)$ . And  $(HH^*(\Lambda), \sqcup, [ \cdot, \cdot ], \Delta)$  is a BV algebra.

Recall that  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i\beta_i \mid i = 1, 2\}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of  $A_{-1}$ . It is well-known that the zigzag algebra  $A_{-1}$  is symmetric with respect to the symmetrizing form

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b = a^*; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any  $a, b \in A_{-1}$ , where

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & e_1 & e_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \hline a^* & \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & e_1 & e_2 \end{array}$$

Thus, there exists a BV operator  $\Delta$  such that  $(HH^*(A_{-1}), \sqcup, [ \cdot, \cdot ], \Delta)$  is a BV algebra. Now we use Tradler's construction to give the BV operator  $\Delta$  on

$$HH^*(A_{-1}) \cong (\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)) [w_0, w_1, w_2]/I.$$

Thanks to the formulas  $[f \sqcup g, h] = [f, h] \sqcup g + (-1)^{|f|(|h|-1)} f \sqcup [h, g]$  and  $[f, g] = -(-1)^{(|f|-1)|g|} (\Delta(f \sqcup g) - \Delta(f) \sqcup g - (-1)^{|f|} f \sqcup \Delta(g))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f \sqcup g \sqcup h) &= \Delta(f \sqcup g) \sqcup h + (-1)^{|g||h|} \Delta(f \sqcup h) \sqcup g + (-1)^{|f|+|g|+|h|} f \sqcup \Delta(g \sqcup h) \\ &\quad - \Delta(f) \sqcup g \sqcup h - (-1)^{|f||g|} f \sqcup h \sqcup \Delta(g) - (-1)^{|f|+|g|+|h|} f \sqcup \Delta(h) \sqcup g. \end{aligned}$$

This means that to determine operator  $\Delta$ , we only need to calculate  $\Delta(a)$  and  $\Delta(a \sqcup b)$  for all the generators  $a, b$  of  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ . Moreover, using the comparison morphisms  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$ , we compute  $\Delta(f)$  by formula

$$\Delta(f) = \Delta(f \circ \Psi_m) \Phi_{m-1},$$

for any  $f \in HH^m(A_{-1})$ , where, we equate the elements in  $HH^*(A_{-1})$  with their representatives for convenience. Note that the formula in Theorem 6.4 is also hold for the complex induced by the reduced bar resolution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(f)(a_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_{m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_0} \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i(m-1)} \langle f(a_i \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_{m-1} \bar{\otimes} b \bar{\otimes} a_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} a_{i-1}), 1 \rangle b^*, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in HH^m(A_{-1})$ ,  $b, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in A_{-1}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i\beta_i \mid i = 1, 2\}$  and  $1 = e_1 + e_2$ .

Next, we will discuss  $\Delta(f)$  for different degree of  $f$ . First,  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for  $f \in \{z_1, z_2\}$ , since  $\Delta$  is degree  $-1$ . Second, if  $f$  is degree 1, that is,  $f \in \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{z_i u_j \mid i = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq 4\}$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** *For the BV operator  $\Delta$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we have  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for any  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  with degree 1, except  $\Delta(u_2) = \Delta(u_3) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f)(e_i \otimes e_i) &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_1) \circ \Phi_0(e_i \otimes e_i) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_0} \langle f \circ \Psi_1(\mathfrak{o}(a) \bar{\otimes} a \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a)), 1 \rangle a^* \\ &= \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)), 1 \rangle \beta_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i) + \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \beta_i), 1 \rangle e_i \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we get  $\Delta(u_1)(e_i \otimes e_i) = \Delta(u_4)(e_i \otimes e_i) = 0$ ,  $\Delta(u_2)(e_i \otimes e_i) = \Delta(u_3)(e_i \otimes e_i) = e_i$ . Thus,  $\Delta(u_1) = \Delta(u_4) = 0$  and  $\Delta(u_2) = \Delta(u_3) = 1$ . Moreover, we have  $\Delta(z_i u_j) = 0$  since  $z_i u_j = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq 4$ .  $\square$

Third, whenever  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  is degree 2, that is,  $f \in \{w_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2\} \cup \{z_i w_j \mid i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2\} \cup \{u_i u_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 4\}$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.6.** *For the BV operator  $\Delta$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we have  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for any  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  with degree 2, except*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(z_1 w_0) &= 2u_1, & \Delta(z_1 w_1) &= u_2 - u_3, & \Delta(z_1 w_2) &= 2u_4, \\ \Delta(u_1 u_2) &= -2u_1, & \Delta(u_1 u_4) &= u_2 - u_3, & \Delta(u_2 u_3) &= u_3 - u_2, \\ \Delta(u_3 u_4) &= 2u_4. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the formula above, for any  $f \in HH^2(A_{-1})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_0} \langle f \circ \Psi_2(\mathfrak{o}(a) \bar{\otimes} a \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i) - \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} a \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a)), 1 \rangle a^* \\ &= \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1} - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle e_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1} - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations we yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) \\ &= \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} + \langle f(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle e_{i+1} \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_i - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} \\ &\quad - \langle f(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle e_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \beta_i), 1 \rangle e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $f = u_1 u_2$ , we get  $\Delta(u_1 u_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = 0$  and  $\Delta(u_1 u_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = (-1)^i 2\alpha_{i+1}$ . That is to say,  $\Delta(u_1 u_2) = -2u_1$ . Similarly, we have  $\Delta(z_1 w_0) = 2u_1$ . Taking  $f = u_1 u_4$ , we have  $\Delta(u_1 u_4)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = -\alpha_i$  and  $\Delta(u_1 u_4)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = \beta_i$ , and so that  $\Delta(u_1 u_4) = u_2 - u_3$ . Similarly,  $\Delta(u_2 u_3) = u_3 - u_2$ ,  $\Delta(z_1 w_1) = u_2 - u_3$ ,  $\Delta(u_3 u_4) = 2u_4$ ,  $\Delta(z_1 w_2) = 2u_4$ . Moreover, by direct calculation, we have  $\Delta(u_1 u_3) = \Delta(u_2 u_4) = \Delta(z_2 w_0) = \Delta(z_2 w_1) = \Delta(z_2 w_2) = 0$  and  $\Delta(w_i) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .  $\square$

Fourth, whenever  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  is degree 3, that is,  $f \in \{u_i w_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** *For the BV operator  $\Delta$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we have  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for any  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  with degree 3, except*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_1w_1) &= -w_0, & \Delta(u_1w_2) &= 2w_1, & \Delta(u_2w_0) &= 3w_0, \\ \Delta(u_2w_1) &= 2w_1, & \Delta(u_2w_2) &= w_2, & \Delta(u_3w_0) &= w_0, \\ \Delta(u_3w_1) &= 2w_1, & \Delta(u_3w_2) &= 3w_2, & \Delta(u_4w_0) &= -2w_1, \\ \Delta(u_4w_1) &= w_2.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in HH^3(A_{-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)) \\ &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_3)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_{i+1}) \otimes \beta_{i+1} \otimes \bar{\beta}_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_0} \langle f \circ \Psi_3(\mathfrak{o}(a) \otimes a \otimes \bar{\beta}_{i+1} \otimes \bar{\beta}_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i), 1) a^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_0} \langle f \circ \Psi_3(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \bar{\beta}_i \otimes a \otimes \bar{\beta}_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_{i+1})), 1) a^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_0} \langle f \circ \Psi_3(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_{i+1}) \otimes \bar{\beta}_{i+1} \otimes \bar{\beta}_i \otimes a \otimes \mathfrak{t}(a)), 1) a^* \\ &= \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^3)), 1) \alpha_i + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^3)), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^3)), 1) e_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,1)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,1)}^3)), 1) \beta_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^3)), 1) \alpha_{i+1} + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^3)), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,1)}^3) \otimes \beta_i), 1) e_i, \\ & \Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,1)}^2)) \\ &= \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^3)), 1) \alpha_i + \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^3)), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,1)}^3)), 1) e_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,2)}^3) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^3)), 1) \beta_i + \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^3) \otimes \beta_i), 1) e_i,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& \Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2)) \\ &= \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,3)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,3)}^3)), 1) \beta_{i+1} + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,2)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,2)}^3)), 1) \alpha_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,3)}^3) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1) e_i + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,2)}^3)), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,3)}^3) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,3)}^3)), 1) \beta_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,3)}^3) \otimes \beta_i), 1) e_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,3)}^3) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1) e_i.\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $f = u_1w_1$ , we have  $\Delta(u_1w_1)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)) = -e_i$ ,  $\Delta(u_1w_1)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,1)}^2)) = 0$  and  $\Delta(u_1w_1)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2)) = 0$ . That is to say,  $\Delta(u_1w_1) = -w_0$ . Similarly, we also get  $\Delta(u_1w_2) = \Delta(u_3w_1) = \Delta(u_2w_1) = 2w_1$ ,  $\Delta(u_2w_2) = \Delta(u_4w_1) = w_2$ ,  $\Delta(u_2w_0) = 3\Delta(u_3w_0) = 3w_0$ ,  $\Delta(u_3w_2) = 3w_2$ ,  $\Delta(u_4w_0) = -2w_1$  and  $\Delta(u_1w_0) = \Delta(u_4w_2) = 0$ .  $\square$

Fourth, whenever  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  is degree 3, let  $f \in \{u_iw_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.8.** *For the BV operator  $\Delta$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we have  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in HH^*(A_{-1})$  with degree 4.*

Now we can get the BV operator on Hochschild cohomology ring of the zigzag algebra  $A_{-1}$  completely.



**Theorem 6.9.** *Let  $A_{-1}$  be the zigzag algebra of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ . Then the BV operator on Hochschild cohomology ring*

$$HH^*(A_{-1}) \cong (\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)) [w_0, w_1, w_2]/I,$$

*is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_2) &= \Delta(u_3) = 1, & \Delta(z_1w_0) &= 2u_1, & \Delta(z_1w_1) &= u_2 - u_3, \\ \Delta(z_1w_2) &= 2u_4, & \Delta(u_1u_2) &= -2u_1, & \Delta(u_1u_4) &= u_2 - u_3, \\ \Delta(u_2u_3) &= u_3 - u_2, & \Delta(u_3u_4) &= 2u_4, & \Delta(u_1w_1) &= -w_0, \\ \Delta(u_1w_2) &= 2w_1, & \Delta(u_2w_0) &= 3w_0, & \Delta(u_2w_1) &= 2w_1, \\ \Delta(u_2w_2) &= w_2, & \Delta(u_3w_0) &= w_0, & \Delta(u_3w_1) &= 2w_1, \\ \Delta(u_3w_2) &= 3w_2, & \Delta(u_4w_0) &= -2w_1, & \Delta(u_4w_1) &= w_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the ideal  $I$  is given by

$$(u_1u_3, u_2u_4, z_2w_0, z_2w_1, u_1u_2 + z_1w_0, u_1u_4 - z_1w_1, u_1u_4 - u_3u_2, u_3u_4 - z_1w_2, z_2w_2, u_1w_1 + u_3w_0, u_1w_2 - u_3w_1, u_2w_1 + u_4w_0, u_2w_2 - u_4w_1, w_1^2 + w_0w_2).$$

*Proof.* The theorem follows from Lemmas 6.5–6.8.  $\square$

Using the BV operator  $\Delta$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$ , we can determine the Gerstenhaber bracket  $[\ , \ ]$  on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$  by setting

$$[\alpha, \beta] = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left( (-1)^{|\alpha|+1} \Delta(\alpha \sqcup \beta) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \Delta(\alpha) \sqcup \beta + \alpha \sqcup \Delta(\beta) \right),$$

for any homogeneous elements  $\alpha, \beta \in HH^*(A_{-1})$ . Then the Gerstenhaber algebraic structure on  $HH^*(A_{-1})$  can be induced.

**Corollary 6.10.** *Let  $A_{-1}$  be the zigzag algebra of type  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$ . The Gerstenhaber algebra  $(HH^*(A_{-1}), \sqcup, [\ , \ ])$  is isomorphic to*

$$(\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)) [w_0, w_1, w_2]/I,$$

where the Gerstenhaber bracket is zero for all pairs of homogeneous generators except:

$$\begin{aligned} [z_1, u_2] &= [z_1, u_3] = -z_1, & [z_2, u_2] &= [z_2, u_3] = -z_2, & [z_1, w_0] &= -2u_1, \\ [z_1, w_1] &= u_3 - u_2, & [z_1, w_2] &= -2u_4, & [u_1, u_2] &= u_1, \\ [u_1, u_3] &= -u_1, & [u_1, u_4] &= u_3 - u_2, & [u_2, u_4] &= u_4, \\ [u_3, u_4] &= -u_4, & [u_1, w_1] &= w_0, & [u_1, w_2] &= -2w_1, \\ [u_2, w_0] &= -2w_0, & [u_2, w_1] &= -w_1, & [u_3, w_1] &= -w_1, \\ [u_3, w_2] &= -2w_2, & [u_4, w_0] &= 2w_1, & [u_4, w_1] &= -w_2, \end{aligned}$$

the ideal  $I$  is given by

$$(u_1u_3, u_2u_4, z_2w_0, z_2w_1, u_1u_2 + z_1w_0, u_1u_4 - z_1w_1, u_1u_4 - u_3u_2, u_3u_4 - z_1w_2, z_2w_2, u_1w_1 + u_3w_0, u_1w_2 - u_3w_1, u_2w_1 + u_4w_0, u_2w_2 - u_4w_1, w_1^2 + w_0w_2).$$

**6.2. Frobenius case.** If  $q \neq -1$ , then  $A_q$  is not symmetric. But  $A_q$  is a Frobenius algebra. We define bilinear form by

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } ab = \alpha_1\beta_1 \text{ or } \alpha_2\beta_2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the corresponding semisimple Nakayama automorphism  $\nu$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a \in \mathcal{B} & e_1 & e_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \hline \nu(a) & e_1 & e_2 & -q\alpha_1 & -q\alpha_2 & -q^{-1}\beta_1 & -q^{-1}\beta_2 & \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 \end{array}$$

That is  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, \nu(a) \rangle$ , for any  $a, b \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . In [26] and [36], the authors proved that the Hochschild cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism is a BV algebra in different ways. For the algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we can define an automorphism  $(\tilde{\cdot})$  by

$$\frac{a \in \mathcal{B}}{\tilde{a}} \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} e_1 & e_2 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \alpha_1\beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & -\mathfrak{q}\alpha_1 & -\mathfrak{q}\alpha_2 & e_1 & e_2 \end{array} \right.$$

then

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b = \tilde{a}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus, we can calculate  $\Delta(\alpha)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(\alpha)(a_1 \bar{\otimes} \dots \bar{\otimes} a_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_1} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i(n-1)} \alpha(a_i \bar{\otimes} \dots \bar{\otimes} a_{n-1} \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \nu(a_1) \bar{\otimes} \dots \bar{\otimes} \nu(a_{i-1})), 1 \right\rangle b, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha \in HH^n(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i \mid i = 1, 2\}$ .

Now, we can give the BV operator on  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  for Frobenius algebra  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Firstly, if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity, we get the BV algebraic structure on  $HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  as the following theorem and corollary by direct calculation.

**Theorem 6.11.** *Let  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the quantum zigzag algebra, where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a root of unity. Then the BV operator  $\Delta$  on Hochschild cohomology ring*

$$HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cong \mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2),$$

is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:

$$\Delta(u_1) = \Delta(u_2) = 1, \quad \Delta(u_1 u_2) = u_2 - u_1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta$  is degree  $-1$ ,  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for  $f \in \{z_1, z_2\}$ . If  $f \in \{u_j, z_i u_j \mid i, j = 1, 2\}$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f)(e_i \otimes e_i) &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_1) \Phi_0(e_i \otimes e_i) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_1} \langle f \circ \Psi_1(\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{b}) \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(a)), 1 \rangle b \\ &= -\mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)), 1 \rangle \beta_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i) + \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \beta_i), 1 \rangle e_i, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $\Delta(u_1)(e_i \otimes e_i) = \Delta(u_2)(e_i \otimes e_i) = e_i$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(u_1) = \Delta(u_2) = 1$ . Similarly, one can check that  $\Delta(z_i u_j) = 0$  for any  $i, j = 1, 2$ . If  $f \in HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  with degree 2, that is,  $f = u_1 u_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_1} \langle f \circ \Psi_2(\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{b}) \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \nu(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\nu(\alpha_i)) - \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\tilde{b})), 1 \rangle b \\ &= -\langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) - \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i + \mathfrak{q}^2 \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1} \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_{i+1} + \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) \\ &= -\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\alpha_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle e_i - \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2,0)}^2) - \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \beta_i)), 1 \rangle e_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_i. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\Delta(u_1u_2)(\sigma(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = \alpha_i$  and  $\Delta(u_1u_2)(\sigma(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = -\beta_i$ . Thus,  $\Delta(u_1u_2) = u_2 - u_1$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.12.** *Let  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the quantum zigzag algebra, where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not root of unity. Then the BV algebra  $(HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}), \sqcup, [ \ , \ ], \Delta)$  is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2),$$

where the Gerstenhaber bracket is zero for all pairs of homogeneous generators except:

$$[z_i, u_j] = -z_i, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2,$$

and the BV operator is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:

$$\Delta(u_1) = \Delta(u_2) = 1, \quad \Delta(u_1u_2) = u_2 - u_1.$$

Similarly, for the case the case of  $\mathfrak{q} = 1$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.13.** *The BV algebra  $(HH^*(A_1), \sqcup, [ \ , \ ], \Delta)$  is isomorphic to*

$$(\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \wedge^*(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)) [w_0, w_1, w_2]/I,$$

where the Gerstenhaber bracket is zero for all pairs of homogeneous generators except:

$$\begin{aligned} [z_1, u_2] = [z_1, u_3] = -z_1, & \quad [z_2, u_2] = [z_2, u_3] = -z_2, & \quad [z_1, w_0] = 2u_1, \\ [z_1, w_1] = u_3 - u_2, & \quad [z_1, w_2] = -2u_4, & \quad [u_1, u_2] = u_1, \\ [u_1, u_3] = -u_1, & \quad [u_1, u_4] = u_3 - u_2, & \quad [u_2, u_4] = u_4, \\ [u_3, u_4] = -u_4, & \quad [u_1, w_1] = -w_0, & \quad [u_1, w_2] = -2w_1, \\ [u_2, w_0] = -2w_0, & \quad [u_2, w_1] = -w_1, & \quad [u_3, w_1] = -w_1, \\ [u_3, w_2] = -2w_2, & \quad [u_4, w_0] = -2w_1, & \quad [u_4, w_1] = -w_2, \end{aligned}$$

the BV operator is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_2) = \Delta(u_3) = 1, & \quad \Delta(z_1w_0) = -2u_1, & \quad \Delta(z_1w_1) = u_2 - u_3, \\ \Delta(z_1w_2) = 2u_4, & \quad \Delta(u_1u_2) = -2u_1, & \quad \Delta(u_1u_4) = u_2 - u_3, \\ \Delta(u_2u_3) = u_3 - u_2, & \quad \Delta(u_3u_4) = 2u_4, & \quad \Delta(u_1w_1) = w_0, \\ \Delta(u_1w_2) = 2w_1, & \quad \Delta(u_2w_0) = 3w_0, & \quad \Delta(u_2w_1) = 2w_1, \\ \Delta(u_2w_2) = w_2, & \quad \Delta(u_3w_0) = w_0, & \quad \Delta(u_3w_1) = 2w_1, \\ \Delta(u_3w_2) = 3w_2, & \quad \Delta(u_4w_0) = 2w_1, & \quad \Delta(u_4w_1) = w_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the ideal  $I$  is given by

$$(u_1u_3, u_2u_4, z_2w_0, z_2w_1, u_1u_2 - z_1w_0, u_1u_4 - z_1w_1, u_1u_4 - u_3u_2, u_3u_4 - z_1w_2, z_2w_2, u_1w_1 - u_3w_0, u_1w_2 - u_3w_1, u_2w_1 - u_4w_0, u_2w_2 - u_4w_1, w_1^2 - w_0w_2).$$

Finally, for the case where  $\mathfrak{q}$  a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s \geq 3$ ) root of unity, similar to the discussion and calculation of case  $\mathfrak{q} = 1$ , we can obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.14.** *Let  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  be the quantum zigzag algebra, where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a primitive  $s$ -th ( $s > 2$ ) root of unity.*

(1) *If  $s$  is odd, then the BV algebra  $(HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}), \sqcup, [ \ , \ ], \Delta)$  is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} (\wedge^*(u_1, u_2)[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - w_0w_2)),$$

where the Gerstenhaber bracket is zero for all pairs of homogeneous generators except:

$$\begin{aligned} [z_1, u_1] = [z_1, u_2] = -z_1, & \quad [z_2, u_1] = [z_2, u_2] = -z_2, & \quad [u_1, w_0] = -2sw_0, \\ [u_1, w_1] = -sw_1, & \quad [u_2, w_1] = -sw_1, & \quad [u_2, w_2] = -2sw_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the BV operator is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_1) &= 1, & \Delta(u_2) &= 1, & \Delta(u_1 u_2) &= u_2 - u_1, \\ \Delta(u_1 w_0) &= (2s+1)w_0, & \Delta(u_1 w_1) &= (s+1)w_1, & \Delta(u_1 w_2) &= w_2, \\ \Delta(u_2 w_0) &= w_0, & \Delta(u_2 w_1) &= (s+1)w_1, & \Delta(u_2 w_2) &= (2s+1)w_2.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If  $s$  is even, then the BV algebra  $(HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}}), \sqcup, [ \ , \ ], \Delta)$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{k}[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2) \times_{\mathbb{k}} \left( \wedge^*(u_1, u_2)[w_0, w_1, w_2]/(w_1^2 - \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{s}{2}} w_0 w_2) \right),$$

where the Gerstenhaber bracket is zero for all pairs of homogeneous generators except:

$$\begin{aligned}[z_1, u_1] &= [z_1, u_2] = -z_1, & [z_2, u_1] &= [z_2, u_2] = -z_2, & [u_1, w_0] &= -s w_0, \\ [u_1, w_1] &= -\frac{s}{2} w_1, & [u_2, w_1] &= -\frac{s}{2} w_1, & [u_2, w_2] &= -s w_2,\end{aligned}$$

and the BV operator is zero on homogeneous generators and their product except:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u_1) &= 1, & \Delta(u_2) &= 1, & \Delta(u_1 u_2) &= u_2 - u_1, \\ \Delta(u_1 w_0) &= (s+1)w_0, & \Delta(u_1 w_1) &= \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right)w_1, & \Delta(u_1 w_2) &= w_2, \\ \Delta(u_2 w_0) &= w_0, & \Delta(u_2 w_1) &= \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right)w_1, & \Delta(u_2 w_2) &= (s+1)w_2.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $s$  is odd. Then  $\Delta(f) = 0$  for  $f \in \{z_1, z_2\}$ . If  $f \in \{u_j, z_i u_j \mid i, j = 1, 2\}$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f)(e_i \otimes e_i) &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_1) \Phi_0(e_i \otimes e_i) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_1} \langle f \circ \Psi_1(\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{b}) \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\tilde{b})), 1 \rangle b \\ &= -\mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)), 1 \rangle \beta_i + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i \\ &\quad + \langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i) + \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \beta_i), 1 \rangle e_i,\end{aligned}$$

we get  $\Delta(u_1)(e_i \otimes e_i) = \Delta(u_2)(e_i \otimes e_i) = e_i$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(u_1) = \Delta(u_2) = 1$ . Similarly, one can check that  $\Delta(z_i u_j) = 0$  for any  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

If  $f \in HH^*(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$  with degree 2, that is,  $f = u_1 u_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) &= \Delta(f \circ \Psi_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_1} \langle f \circ \Psi_2(\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{b}) \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \nu(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\nu(\alpha_i)) - \mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \bar{\otimes} \alpha_i \bar{\otimes} \tilde{b} \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{t}(\tilde{b})), 1 \rangle b \\ &= -\langle f(\alpha_i \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) - \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_i + \mathfrak{q}^2 \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1} \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \beta_{i+1}), 1 \rangle e_{i+1} + \mathfrak{q} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,2)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,2)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_{i+1},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) &= -\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\alpha_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle e_i - \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_{i+1} \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+2,0)}^2) - \mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \beta_i)), 1 \rangle e_i \\ &\quad - \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,0)}^2)), 1 \rangle \alpha_{i+1} + \langle f(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i+1,1)}^2)), 1 \rangle \beta_i.\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\Delta(u_1 u_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\alpha_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\alpha_i)) = \alpha_i$  and  $\Delta(u_1 u_2)(\mathfrak{o}(\beta_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\beta_i)) = -\beta_i$ . Hence,  $\Delta(u_1 u_2) = u_2 - u_1$ . If  $f \in \{z_i w_j, w_j \mid i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2\}$  or  $f \in \{w_i w_j \mid i, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ , by direct calculation, we get  $\Delta(f) = 0$ .

If  $f \in HH^*(A_q)$  with degree  $2s + 1$ , that is,  $f \in \{u_i w_j \mid i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ . Denote  $\chi_k := a_k \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{2s} \otimes \tilde{b} \otimes \nu(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu(a_{k-1})$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 2s$ . Note that  $\langle u_i w_j \circ \Psi_{2s+1}(\mathfrak{o}(a_k) \otimes \chi_k \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\nu(a_{k-1}))), 1 \rangle = 0$  except

$$(i) \text{ if } \chi_k = \beta_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{k-l+1} \otimes \alpha_{k-1+1} \beta_{k-1+1} \otimes \nu(\beta_{k-l}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu(\beta_{k+2s-j_s-2l-1}) \otimes \nu(\alpha_{k+2s-j_s-2l-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu(\alpha_{k+2s-2l-2}),$$

$$\langle u_1 w_j \circ \Psi_{2s+1}(\mathfrak{o}(a_l) \otimes \chi_l \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\nu(a_{l-1}))), 1 \rangle = 1;$$

$$(ii) \text{ if } \chi_k = \beta_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{j_s+k-1} \otimes \alpha_{j_s+k-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{j_s+k-l} \otimes \alpha_{j_s+k-l-1} \beta_{j_s+k-l-1} \otimes \nu(\alpha_{j_s+k-l-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu(\alpha_{2s+k-2l-2}),$$

$$\langle u_2 w_j \circ \Psi_{2s+1}(\mathfrak{o}(a_k) \otimes \chi_k \otimes \mathfrak{t}(\nu(a_{k-1}))), 1 \rangle = 1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_1 w_j)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j')}^{2s}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j')}^{2s})) &= \begin{cases} (2s - j_s + 1)e_i, & j' = j_s; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} e_i, & j' = j = 0; \\ (s + 1)e_i, & j = 1, j' = s; \\ (2s + 1)e_i, & j = 2, j' = 2s; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_2 w_j)(\mathfrak{o}(f_{(i,j')}^{2s+1}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}(f_{(i,j')}^{2s+1})) &= \begin{cases} (j_s + 1)e_i, & j' = 2s - j_s; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} e_i, & j' = 2s, j = 0; \\ (s + 1)e_i, & j' = s, j = 1; \\ (2s + 1)e_i, & j' = 0, j = 2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

That is to say,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_1 w_0) &= (2s + 1)w_0, & \Delta(u_1 w_1) &= (r + 1)w_1, & \Delta(u_1 w_2) &= w_2, \\ \Delta(u_2 w_0) &= w_0, & \Delta(u_2 w_1) &= (s + 1)w_1, & \Delta(u_2 w_2) &= (2s + 1)w_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the BV operator  $\Delta$ , we obtain the Gerstenhaber bracket which is given in the theorem. If  $s$  is even, the proof is similar and will not be repeated here.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Angel A., Duarte D.: A BV-algebra Structure on Hochschild Cohomology of the Group Ring of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups. arXiv:1704.03075.
- [2] Beilinson A., Ginzburg V., Soergel W.: Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**, 473–527 (1996)
- [3] Bian N., Zhang G., Zhang P.: Setwise homotopy category. Appl. Categ. Structures **17**, 561–565 (2009)
- [4] Buchweitz R.O., Green E.L., Madsen D., Solberg Ø.: Finite Hochschild cohomology without finite global dimension. Math. Res. Lett. **359**, 805–816 (2005)
- [5] Butler M.C.R., King A.D.: Minimal resolutions of algebras. J. Algebra **212**, 323–362 (1999)
- [6] Cartan H., Eilenberg S.: Homological algebra. pp. 171-174. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, (1956)
- [7] Ehrig M., Tubbenhauer D.: Algebraic properties of zigzag algebras. comm. Algebra **48**, 11–36 (2020)
- [8] Erdmann K., Holloway M., Snashall N., Solberg Ø., Taillefer R.: Support varieties for selfinjective algebras. K-Theory **33**, 67–87 (2004)
- [9] Evseev A., Kleshchev A.: Blocks of symmetric groups, semicuspidal KLR algebras and zigzag Schur-Weyl duality. Ann. Math. **188**, 453–512 (2018).
- [10] Gerstenhaber M.: The cohomology structure of an associative ring. Ann. Math. **78**, 267–288 (1963)
- [11] Getzler E.: Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories. Comm. Math. Phys. **159**, 265–285 (1994)
- [12] Ginzburg V.: Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv: math.AG/0612139.

- [13] Green E., Huang R.Q.: Projective resolution of straightening closed algebras generated by minors. *Adv. Math.* **110**, 314–333 (1995)
- [14] Green E.L., Hartman G., Marcos E.N., et al: Resolution over Koszul algebras. *Arch. Math.* **85**, 118–127 (2005)
- [15] Han Y.: Hochschild (co)homology dimension. *J. London Math. Soc.* **73**, 657–668 (2006).
- [16] Happel D.: Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras. *Lecture Notes in Math.* Vol **1404**, pp.108–126, Springer, (1989)
- [17] Hermann R.: Homological epimorphisms, recollections and Hochschild cohomology—with a conjecture by Snashall-Solberg in view. *Adv. Math.* **299**, 687–759 (2016)
- [18] Hochschild G.: On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra. *Ann. Math.* **46**, 58–67 (1945)
- [19] Hou B., Gao J.: Hochschild cohomology of zigzag algebras of type  $\tilde{A}$ . in preparation.
- [20] Huerfano R.S., Khovanov M.: A category for the adjoint representation. *J. algebra* **246**, 514–542 (2001)
- [21] Ivanov A.: BV-algebra structure on Hochschild cohomology of local algebras of quaternion type in characteristic 2. *Zap. Nauch Sem. POMI* **430**, 136–185 (2014)
- [22] Ivanov A., Ivanov S., Volkov Y., Zhou G.: BV structure on Hochschild cohomology of the group ring of quaternion group of order eight in characteristic two. *J. Algebra* **435**, 174–203 (2015)
- [23] Khovanov M., Mazorchuk V., Stroppel C.: A brief review of abelian categorifications. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **22**, 479–508 (2009)
- [24] Khovanov M., Seidel P.: Quivers, Floer cohomology, and braid group actions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**, 203–271 (2002)
- [25] Kowalzig N., Krähmer U.: Batalin-Vilkovisky structures on Ext and Tor. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **697**, 159–219 (2014)
- [26] Lambre Th., Zhou G., Zimmermann A.: The Hochschild cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. *J. Algebra* **446**, 103–131 (2016)
- [27] Liu Y., Zhou G.: The Batalin-Vilkovisky structure over the Hochschild cohomology ring of a group algebra. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **10**, 811–858 (2016)
- [28] Loday J.L.: *Cyclic homology*. Second Edition. pp. 139–153. Grundlehren 301, Springer, Berlin, (1998)
- [29] Parker A., Snashall N.: A family of Koszul self-injective algebras with finite Hochschild cohomology. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216**, 1245–1252 (2012)
- [30] Siegel S.F., Witherspoon S.J.: The Hochschild cohomology ring of a group algebra. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **79**, 131–157 (1999)
- [31] Snashall N., Solberg Ø.: Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **88**, 705–732 (2004)
- [32] Snashall N., Taillefer R.: The Hochschild cohomology ring of a class of special biserial algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **9**, 73–122 (2010)
- [33] Snashall N., Taillefer R.: Hochschild cohomology of socle deformations of a class of Koszul self-injective algebras. *Colloq. Math.* **119**, 79–93 (2010)
- [34] Suter R.: Modules for  $\mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163**, 359–393 (1994)
- [35] Tradler T.: The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **58**, 2351–2379 (2008)
- [36] Volkov Y.: BV-differential on Hochschild cohomology of Frobenius algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **220**, 3384–3402 (2016)
- [37] Volkov Y.: Gerstenhaber bracket on the Hochschild cohomology via an arbitrary resolution. *P. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **62**, 817–836 (2019)
- [38] Xiao J.: Finite-dimensional representations of  $U_t(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  at roots of unity. *Can. J. Math.* **49**, 772–787 (1997)
- [39] Yang T.: A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on the Hochschild cohomology of truncated polynomials. *Topology Appl.* **160**, 1633–1651 (2013)
- [40] Zhao D., Han Y.: Koszul algebras and finite Galois covering. *Sicence in China A* **52**, 2145–2153 (2009)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HENAN UNIVERSITY, KAIFENG 475001, PR CHINA.

*Email address:* bohoul981@163.com, gaojin528@163.com.