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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unity, $M$ be a unitary $R$-module and $G$ a finite abelian group (viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module). The main objective of this paper is to study properties of mod-annihilators of $M$. For $x \in M$, we study the ideals $[x: M]=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq R x\}$ of $R$ corresponding to mod-annihilator of $M$. We investigate that when $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal of $R$. We prove that arbitrary intersection of essential ideals represented by mod-annihilators is an essential ideal. We observe that $[x: M]$ is injective if and only if $R$ is non-singular and the radical of $R /[x: M]$ is zero. Moreover, if essential socle of $M$ is non-zero, then we show that $[x: M]$ is the intersection of maximal ideals and $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$. Finally, we discuss the correspondence of essential ideals of $R$ and vertices of the annihilating graphs realized by $M$ over $R$.
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## 1 Introduction

A nonzero ideal in a commutative ring is called essential if it intersects with every other nonzero ideal nontrivially. The study of essential ideals in a ring $R$ is a classical problem. For instance, Green and Van Wyk in [7] characterized essential ideals in certain classes of commutative and
non-commutative rings. The authors in [4, 11] studied essential ideals in $C(X)$, where $C(X)$ denotes the set of continuous functions on $X$. They topologically characterized the scole and essential ideals. Moreover, essential ideals have been investigated in rings of measurable functions [13] and $C^{*}$ - algebras [10]. For more on essential ideals, see [3, 8, 9, 20].

Throughout, $R$ is a commutative ring (with $1 \neq 0$ ) and all modules are unitary unless otherwise stated. $[N: M]=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq N\}$ denotes an ideal of $R$. The symbols $\subseteq$ and $\subset$ have usual set theoretic meaning as containment and proper containment. We will denote the ring of integers by $\mathbb{Z}$, positive integers by $\mathbb{N}$ and the ring of integers modulo $n$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. For basic definitions from ring and module theory we refer to [6, 23].

For a $R$-module $M$ and $x \in M$, set $[x: M]=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq R x\}$, which clearly is an ideal of $R$ and an annihilator of the factor module $M / R x$, whereas the annihilator of $M$ denoted by $\operatorname{ann}(M)$ is $[0: M]$.

Recently in [17], the elements of a module $M$ have been classified into full-annihilators, semi-annihilators and star-annihilators. We recall a definition concerning full-annihilators, semiannihilators and star-annihilators of a module $M$.

Definition 1.1 An element $x \in M$ is $a$ :
(i) full-annihilator, if either $x=0$ or $[x: M][y: M] M=0$, for some nonzero $y \in M$ with $[y: M] \neq R$,
(ii) semi-annihilator, if either $x=0$ or $[x: M] \neq 0$ and $[x: M][y: M] M=0$, for some nonzero $y \in M$ with $0 \neq[y: M] \neq R$,
(iii) star-annihilator, if either $x=0$ or $\operatorname{ann}(M) \subset[x: M]$ and $[x: M][y: M] M=0$, for some nonzero $y \in M$ with $\operatorname{ann}(M) \subset[y: M] \neq R$.

We denote by $A_{f}(M), A_{s}(M)$ and $A_{t}(M)$ respectively the sets of full-annihilators, semiannihilators and star-annihilators for any module $M$ over $R$ and call these annihilators as modannihilators. We set $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}=A_{f}(M) \backslash\{0\}, \widehat{A_{s}(M)}=A_{s}(M) \backslash\{0\}$ and $\widehat{A_{t}(M)}=A_{t}(M) \backslash\{0\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the correspondence of essential ideals in $R$ and submodules of $M$ represented by mod-annihilators. For some finite abelian group $G$ (viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module), we determine the value of $n$ such that $[x: G]=n \mathbb{Z}$, where $x \in G$. We characterize all $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M$ such that $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal of $R$. Furthermore, we discuss that when $[x: M]$ as a $R$-module is injective and prove that if essential socle of $M$ is non-zero, then $[x: M]$ is the intersection of maximal ideals and $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$. In Section 3 , we discuss the correspondence of essential ideals of $R$ and vertices of the annihilating graphs realized by modules over commutative rings. We conclude this paper with a discussion on some problems in this area of research.

## 2 Essential ideals represented by mod-annihilators

In this section, we discuss the correspondence of essential ideals in $R$ represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, and submodules of $M$ generated by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. We characterize essential ideals corresponding to $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. We discuss the cases of finite abelian groups where essential ideals which are represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ corresponding to submodules of $M$ are isomorphic. If $M$ is a non-simple $R$-module, then for $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, we show that an ideal $[x: M]$ considered as an $R$-module is injective. We also study essential ideals represented by mod-annihilators over hereditary and regular rings.

By Definition 1.1, we see that there is a correspondence of ideals in $R$ represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}, \widehat{A_{s}(M)}$, and $\widehat{A_{t}(M)}$ and cyclic submodules of $M$ generated by elements of sets $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, $\widehat{A_{s}(M)}$, and $\widehat{A_{t}(M)}$. Furthermore, the containment $A_{t}(M) \subseteq A_{s}(M) \subseteq A_{f}(M)$ is clear, so our main emphasis is on the set $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. However, one can study these sets separately for any module $M$.

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ be a partition of $n$ denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$. For any $\mu \vdash n$, we have an abelian group of order $p^{n}$ and conversely every abelian group corresponds to some partition of $n$. In fact, if $H_{\mu, p}=\mathbb{Z} / p^{\mu_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\mu_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\mu_{r}} \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $G_{\lambda, p}=$ $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\lambda_{r}} \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mu_{1} \leq \lambda_{1}, \mu_{2} \leq \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{r} \leq \lambda_{r}$. If these inequalities holds we write $\mu \subset \lambda$, that is a "containment order" on partitions. For example, a $p$-group $\mathbb{Z} / p^{5} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is of type $\lambda=(5,1,1)$. The possible types for its subgroup are: $(5,1,1),(4,1,1),(3,1,1),(2,1,1),(1,1,1), 2(5,1), 2(4,1), 2(3,1), 2(2,1), 2(1,1),(5),(4),(3),(2), 2$ (1). Note that the types $(5,1),(4,1),(3,1),(2,1),(1,1)$ are appearing twice in the sequence of partitions for a subgroup.

Let $\lambda=(1,1, \cdots, 1)=\left(1^{n}\right)$. A group of type $\lambda$ is nothing but the $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$-vector space $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Its subgroups are of type ( $1^{r}$ ), where $0 \leq r \leq n$. The essential ideals corresponding to subspaces of vector space $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ (represented by elements of the set $A_{f}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ are same. In fact, $[x: \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}]=$ $\operatorname{ann}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})=p \mathbb{Z}$.

More generally, for a finite abelain $p$-group of the type $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\alpha \geq 2$. The essential ideals represented by elements of the set $A_{f}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}\right)=$ $p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$.

A finite abelian group is isomorphic to the group of the form $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z}$ whereas a finitely generated abelian group with Betti number $n$ is of the from $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. It is very difficult to determine the exact ideals represented by modannihilators of sets $A_{f}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $A_{f}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus\right.$ $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. However, it is clear from the definition of mod-annihilators that for some $x \in$
$A_{f}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\right),\left[x: \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{1}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{2}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{n}} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\right]$ is some ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Using the description given above, we now characterize all essential ideals represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ and corresponding to $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

Lemma 2.1 If $M$ is any $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal if and only if $[x: M]$ is non-zero for all $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Clearly, $M$ is an abelian group in a unique way. For all $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, we have $[x: M]=n \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The ideal $n \mathbb{Z}$ intersects non-trivially with any ideal $m \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. So, if $M$ is a non-simple $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then for every $x \in M$, it follows that $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal. Note that $M$ is simple if and only if $\widehat{A_{f}(G)}=\emptyset$.

If possible, suppose $[x: M]=\{0\}$, then $[x: M]$ does not intersect non-trivially with nontrivial ideals of $\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

Since it is possible to have some finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-modules such that the set of modannihilators is equal to zero only which of course by definition is not an essential ideal. Consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, which is a direct sum of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to verify that $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}=\widehat{M}$ with $[x: M][y: M] M=0$ for all $x, y \in M$. The cyclic submodules generated by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ are simply lines with integral coordinates passing through the origin in the hyperplane $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and these lines intersect at the origin only. Thus, for each $x \in M$, it follows that $[x: M]$ is not an essential ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$. In fact $[x: M]$ is a zero-ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$.

For any $R$-module $M$ and $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, it would be interesting to characterize essential ideals $[x: M]$ represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ such that the intersection of all essential ideals is again an essential ideal. It is easy to see that a finite intersection of essential ideals in any commutative ring is an essential ideal. But an infinite intersection of essential ideals need not to be an essential ideal, even a countable intersection of essential ideals in general is not an essential ideal, as can be seen in [4]. If the cardinality of $M$ is finite over $R$, then the submodules determined by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ are finite and therefore the ideals corresponding to submodules are finite in number. Thus, we conclude that for every $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, the intersection of essential ideals $[x: M]$ in $R$ is an essential ideal. For the other case, that is, if the cardinality of $M$ is infinite over $R$, we have the following result. Note that, a nonzero submodule of a module $M$ is said to be an essential submodule of $M$ if it intersects non-trivially with other nonzero submodules of $M$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $M$ be a $R$-module such that every proper submodule of $M$ is cyclic over $R$. For $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, if the submodule generated by $x$ intersects non-trivially with every other nonzero submodule of $M$, then $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal in $R$.

Proof. Assume $\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$. If $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}=\phi$, then $M$ is simple, a contradiction. Let $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ and let $R x$ be the submodule generated by $x$. Since $R x$ intersects non-trivially with every other submodule, so there exist $y \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ such that $R x \cap R y \neq 0$. It suffices to prove the result for $R x \cap R y$. Let $z \in R x \cap R y$ and let $[x: M]$, $[y: M],[z: M]$ be ideals of $R$ corresponding to submodules $R x, R y$ and $R z$. Then $[z: M] \subseteq[x: M] \cap[y: M] \neq 0$, which implies $[x: M]$ intersects non-trivially with every nonzero ideal corresponding to the submodule generated by an element of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. For any other ideal $I$ of $R$, it is clear that $I M=\left\{\sum_{\text {finite }} a m: a \in I, m \in M\right\}=R a$ for some $a \in M$. Thus $I$ corresponds to the cyclic submodule generated by $a \in M$. It follows that $[x: M] \cap I \neq 0$, for every nonzero ideal of $R$ and we conclude that $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal for each $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$.

The converse of Theorem [2.2 is not true in general. We can easily construct examples from $\mathbb{Z}$-modules such that an ideal corresponding to the submodule generated by some element of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ is an essential ideal, but the intersection of all submodules determined by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ is empty. However, if every ideal $[x: M]$, where $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ corresponds to an essential submodule of $M$, then we have a non-zero intersection.

Corollary 2.3 Let $M$ be a $R$-module.
(i) For $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, if the cyclic submodule $R x$ intersects with every other cyclic nonzero submodule of $M$ non-trivially, then $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal in $R$.
(ii) The intersection $\bigcap_{x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}}[x: M]$ is an essential ideal in $R$ if and only if every submodule of $M$ is essentially cyclic over $R$.

In the preceding results, we proved that "arbitrary intersection of essentials ideals is an essential ideal". We formulated this theory of essential ideals using the concept of mod-annihilators and mainly the theory involves study of cyclic submodules of $M$. It is interesting to develop a similar theory that would employ the other finitely generated submodules of $M$. So, motivated by [4], we have the following question regarding essential ideals represented by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}\left(M_{N}\right)}$, where $\widehat{A_{f}\left(M_{N}\right)}=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq N\}, N$ is a finitely generated submodule of $M$.

Problem 2.4 Let $M$ be a $R$-module. For $x \in \widehat{A_{f}\left(M_{N}\right)}$, characterize essential ideals $[x: M]$ in $R$ such that their intersection is an essential ideal.

For a $R$-module $M$, let $Z(M)$ denote the following.

$$
Z(M)=\{m \in M: \operatorname{ann}(m) \text { is an essential ideal in } R\} .
$$

If $Z(M)=M$, then $M$ is said to be singular and if $Z(M)=0$, then $M$ is said to be nonsingular. By $\operatorname{rad}(M)$, we denote the intersection of all maximal submodules of $M$. So, $\operatorname{rad}(R)$ is the Jacobson radical $J(R)$ of a ring $R$. The socle of an $R$-module $M$ denoted by $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ is the sum of simple submodules or equivalently the intersection of all essential submodules. To say that $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ is an essential socle is equivalent to saying that every cyclic submodule of $M$ contains a simple submodule of $M$. An essential socle of $M$ is denoted by $\operatorname{essoc}(M)$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $M$ be a $R$-module with $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0, \bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$. Then for $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, $R /[x: M]$ is a singular module.

Proof. Since $\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0$, therefore, $\widehat{A_{f}(M)} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal. Moreover, $Z(R /[x: M])=R /[x: M]$. Therefore, $R /[x: M]$ is a singular module.

A ring $R$ is said to be a regular ring if for all $a \in R, a^{2} x=a$ for some $x \in R$.
Lemma 2.6 [22] $A$ commutative ring $R$ with unity is regular if and only if every simple $R$ module is injective.

Now, we consider singular simple $R$-modules (ideals) which are injective, and obtain some properties of essential ideals corresponding to submodules generated by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$.

Theorem 2.7 Let $M$ be a $R$-module with $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0$ and $\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$. Then every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M], x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ is injective if and only if $Z(R)=0$ and $\operatorname{rad}(R /[x: M])=$ 0 .

Proof. We have $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0$ and $\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$, so that $\widehat{A_{f}(M)} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore corresponding to every cyclic submodule generated by elements of $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, we have an ideal in $R$. For $x \in$ $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, suppose all singular simple $R$-modules $[x: M]$ are injective. If for some $z \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, $I=[z: M] \subseteq Z(R)$ is a simple $R$-module, then $Z(I)=I$. This implies that $I$ is injective and thus a direct summand of $R$. However, the set $Z(R)$ is free from nonzero idempotent elements. Therefore, $I=0$ and so $Z(R)=0$. For $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, clearly $A=[x: M]$ is an essential ideal of $R$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $R / A$ is a singular module and so is every submodule of $R / A$. Therefore every simple submodule of $R / A$ is injective, which implies that every simple submodule is excluded by some maximal submodule. Thus we conclude that $\operatorname{rad}(R / A)=0$.

For the converse, we again consider the correspondence of cyclic submodules of $M$ and ideals of $R$. Let $\tilde{I}$ be a singular simple $R$-module corresponding to the submodule of $M$. In order
to show that $\tilde{I}$ is injective, we must show that for every essential ideal $A$ in $R$ corresponding to the submodule determined by an element $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, \tilde{I})$ has a lift $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, \tilde{I})$ such that the following diagram commutes.


Let $K=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$. We claim that $K$ is an essential ideal of $R$. For, if $K \cap J=\{0\}$, for some nonzero ideal $J$ of $R$, then $I^{*}=J \cap A \neq 0$ and $I^{*} \cap K=\{0\}$. This implies that $I^{*} \subseteq \varphi\left(I^{*}\right) \subseteq \tilde{I}$, a contradiction, since $\tilde{I}$ is a singular simple submodule and $Z(R)=0$. For $\mu \neq 0$, it is clear that $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\mu: A / K \longrightarrow \tilde{I}$. So, $A / K$ is a simple $R$-submodule of $R / K$. By our assumption, $\operatorname{rad}(R / K)=0$, so there is a maximal submodule $M / K$ such that $R / K=A / K \oplus M / K$. Let $g: R \longrightarrow R / K$ be a canonical map and let $p: R / K \longrightarrow A / K$ be a projection map. Then, we have $p g: R \longrightarrow A / K$. Therefore the composition $\psi=\mu p g: R \longrightarrow \tilde{I}$ is the required lift such that the above diagram commutes.

Now, we discuss some interesting consequences of the preceding theorem.
Theorem 2.8 Let $M$ be an $R$-module with $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0, \bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$ and for $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, let every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M]$ be injective. Then every ideal $[x: M]$ is an intersection of maximal ideals, $J(R)^{2}=0$ and $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$.

Proof. For any $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, clearly $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal in $R$. Therefore, $J(R) \subseteq[x: M]$, since $J(R)$ is contained in every essential ideal of $R$. On the other hand, intersection of all essential ideals in $R$ is Socle of $R$, therefore $J(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Soc}(R)$. This implies that $J(R)^{2}=0$ and $[x: M]$ is the intersection of maximal ideals in $R$. Suppose that $[x: M]^{2} \neq[x: M]$, for an essential ideal $[x: M$ ] of $R$. By Theorem $2.7, Z(R)=0$ and therefore for every essential ideal $I$, we have $I \subseteq I^{2}$. In particular, $[x: M] \subseteq[x: M]^{2}$ for each $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. It follows that $[x: M]^{2}$ is an essential ideal and is the intersection of maximal ideals in $R$. Finally, if $y \in[x: M]^{2}, y \notin[x: M]$, there is some maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ such that $[x: M] \subseteq P, y \notin P$. Then $R=R y+P$, that is, $1=r y+m$. This implies that $y=y r y+y m \in P$, a contradiction. Hence we conclude that $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$.

Corollary 2.9 Let $M$ be an $R$-module, where $R$ is hereditary. For $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, if $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal of $R$ and $J(R)^{2}=0$, then every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M]$ is injective.

Proof. Let $R$ be hereditary. From [6], the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ann}(x) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R x \longrightarrow 0
$$

splits for any $x \in R$. Since $J(R)^{2}=0$ and $R / J(R)$ is an artinian ring, therefore $J(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Soc}(R)$. But any essential ideal of $R$ contains $\operatorname{Soc}(R)$. So, $J(R) \subseteq[x: M]$. This implies that $R /[x: M]$ is a completely reducible $R$-module and therefore $\operatorname{rad}(R /[x: M])=0$. Thus, by Theorem [2.7, every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M]$ is injective.

Next, we consider the modules over regular rings.
Theorem 2.10 Let $M$ be an $R$-module such that every submodule of $M$ is cyclic over $R$ and $\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in M} R x \neq 0$. The following are equivalent.
(i) $R$ is regular
(ii) $A^{2}=A$ for each ideal $A$ of $R$
(iii) $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$ for each $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is clear and certainly (ii) implies (iii). Thus, we just need to show that (iii) implies (ii). By Theorem 2.7, $[x: M]$ is an essential ideal for each $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. Suppose $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$. Choose $J$ to be maximal ideal of $R$ such that $A \cap J=0$, where $A$ is some non essential ideal of $R$. Then $A+J$ is an essential ideal of $R$. Therefore again by Theorem [2.7, $A+J$ corresponds to some submodule of $M$ and we have $A+J=[z: M]$ for some $z \in M$. So, $(A+J)^{2}=A^{2}+J^{2}=A+J$. If $x \in A$, then $x=\sum_{\text {finite }} a b+\sum_{\text {finite }} m n$, where $a, b \in A$ and $m, n \in J$. Therefore,

$$
x-\sum_{\text {finite }} a b=\sum_{\text {finite }} m n \in A \cap J=0 .
$$

This implies that $x \in A^{2}$ and we conclude that $A=A^{2}$.

Corollary 2.11 Let $M$ be an $R$-module with $\operatorname{essoc}(M) \neq 0$ and $\bigcap_{x \in M} R x \neq 0$. Then every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M]$, where $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, is injective if and only if $R$ is regular.

Proof. By Theorem [2.8, if every singular simple $R$-module $[x: M]$ is injective, then for $x \in$ $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}$, we have $[x: M]^{2}=[x: M]$. Therefore, by Theorem [2.10, $R$ is regular. If $R$ is regular, then by Lemma 2.6 every simple $R$-module is injective.

## 3 Representation of essential ideals by vertices of annihilating graphs

In this section, we give a brief discussion on representation of essential ideals by vertices of graphs realized by modules over commutative rings.

A simple graph $\Gamma$ consists of a vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and an edge set $E(\Gamma)$, where an edge is an unordered pair of distinct vertices of $\Gamma$. One of the areas in algebraic combinatorics introduced by Beck [5] is to study the interplay between graph theoretical and algebraic properties of an algebraic structure. Continuing the concept of associating a graph to an algebraic structure, another combinatorial approach of studying commutative rings was given by Anderson and Livingston in [1]. They associated a simple graph to a commutative ring $R$ with unity called the zero-divisor graph denoted by $\Gamma(R)$ with vertex set $Z^{*}(R)=Z(R) \backslash\{0\}$, where two distinct vertices $x, y \in Z^{*}(R)$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(R)$ if and only if $x y=0$. The study of graph theoretical parameters and spectral properties in zero-divisor graphs of commutative rings are explored in [1, 2, 14-16, 18]. In [1, 18], authors have discussed chromatic number, clique number and metric dimensions of zero-divisor graphs associated with finite commutative rings whereas [14, 16] are related to eigen values and Laplacian eigen values of zero-divisor graphs associated to finite commutative rings of type $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for $n=p^{N_{1}} q^{N_{2}}$, where $p<q$ are primes and $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are positive integers. The extension of zero-divisor graphs to non-commutative rings and semigroups can be found in [12, 21].

The combinatorial properties of zero-divisors discovered in 5] have also been investigated in module theory. In [17], the authors introduced annihilating graphs realized by modules over commutative rings known as full-annihilating, semi-annihilating and star-annihilating graphs, denoted by $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$, ann $(\Gamma(M))$ and $\operatorname{ann}_{t}(\Gamma(M))$. The vertices of annihilating graphs are elements of sets $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}, \widehat{A_{s}(M)}$ and $\widehat{A_{t}(M)}$ respectively, where two vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $[x: M][y: M] M=0$. The three simple graphs: full-annihilating, semi-annihilating and star-annihilating with vertex sets: $\widehat{A_{f}(M)}, \widehat{A_{s}(M)}, \widehat{A_{t}(M)}$ are natural generalizations of the zero-divisor graph introduced in [1]. This concept was further studied in [19].

We call a vertex $x$, an essential vertex in $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ if the ideal represented by $x$ is essential in $R$. Recall that a graph $\Gamma$ is said to be a complete if there is an edge between every pair of distinct vertices.

By Definition 1.1, we see the containment $a n n_{t}(\Gamma(M)) \subseteq a n n_{s}(\Gamma(M)) \subseteq a n n_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ as induced subgraphs of the graph $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$, since $A_{t}(M) \subseteq A_{s}(M) \subseteq A_{f}(M)$. If $a n n_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ is a finite graph, then by [ [17], Theorem 3.3 and Example 2.2], $\left|\widehat{A_{f}(M)}\right|=\left|\widehat{A_{s}(M)}\right|$ and annihilating graphs $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$, $a n n_{s}(\Gamma(M))$ coincide, whereas the graph $a n n_{t}(\Gamma(M))$ with vertex set $\widehat{A_{t}(M)}$ may be different. For a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, we have by Definition $1.1[x: M][y$ : $M] M=0$ for all $x, y \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$. Therefore $a n n_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ is a complete graph whereas the graph $\operatorname{ann}_{s}(\Gamma(M))$ is an empty graph. Thus for finitely generated infinite modules, graphs $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ and $a n n_{s}(\Gamma(M))$ are different.

As discussed in Section 2, for a module $M=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the ideal $[x: M]$ represented by a
vertex $x \in \widehat{A_{f}(M)}$ of the graph $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$ is not an essential ideal. So, $x$ is not an essential vertex of the graph $\operatorname{ann}_{f}(\Gamma(M))$. On the other hand, every vertex of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is an essential vertex of the graph $\operatorname{ann}_{f}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)\right)$, where $p$ and $q$ are any two primes.

Finally, Problem 2.4 can be restated in the graph theoretical version as follows.
Problem 3.1 Characterize all annihilating graphs realized by a module $M$ such that every vertex $x \in \widehat{A_{f}\left(M_{N}\right)}$ of an annihilating graph is an essential vertex.

Conclusion: In this paper, we formulated a new approach of recognition of essential ideals in a commutative ring $R$. This formulation of essential ideals corresponds to mod-annihilators of a $R$-module $M$. It is interesting to characterize essential ideals such that their arbitrary intersection is an essential ideal, since it is specified in [4] that an arbitrary intersection of essential ideals may not be an essential ideal. Furthermore, we obtained the results related to ideals $[x: M]$ of $R$, where $x$ is a mod-annihilator of $M$ and discussed the representation of vertices of annihilating graphs by essential ideals of $R$. Apart from the research problems which we mentioned in Sections 2 and 3, the following problems could be investigated for the future work.

1. If $G$ is a finite abelian $p$-group (viewed as a finite $\mathbb{Z}$-module) of rank at least 3. Determine value of $n$ for the essential ideal $[x: G]=n \mathbb{Z}$, where $x \in G$.
2. If $G$ is any finite abelian group (viewed as a finite $\mathbb{Z}$-module). Determine value of $n$ for the essential ideal $[x: G]=n \mathbb{Z}$, where $x \in G$.
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