arXiv:2203.02661v1 [math.NT] 5 Mar 2022

On integer values of sum and product of three positive rational numbers

M. Z. Garaev

Abstract

In 1997 we proved that if n is of the form

$$4k, \quad 8k-1 \quad \text{or} \quad 2^{2m+1}(2k-1)+3,$$

where $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there are no positive rational numbers x, y, z satisfying

$$xyz = 1, \quad x+y+z = n.$$

Recently, N. X. Tho proved the following statement: let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and let either $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ or $n \equiv 7 \pmod 8$. Then the system of equations

$$xyz = a, \quad x+y+z = an.$$

has no solutions in positive rational numbers x, y, z.

A representative example of our result is the following statement: assume that $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that at least one of the following conditions hold:

- $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$
- $n \equiv 7 \pmod 8$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 4$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$
- $a^2n^3 = 2^{2m+1}(2k-1)+27$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the system of equations

$$xyz = a, \quad x+y+z = an.$$

has no solutions in positive rational numbers x, y, z.

1 Introduction

Let $n \notin \{0, 3\}$ be an integer. The Diophantine equation

$$X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = nXYZ, \tag{1}$$

has been a subject of much investigation, starting from the works of Sylvester dating back to 1856. Sylvester proved that if $n = -6$, then (1) has no solutions in nonzero integers X, Y, Z, see [2]. The cases $n \in \{-1, 5\}$ were treated by Mordell [7]. In 1960 Cassels [1] proved that for $n = 1$ the equation (1) has no nonzero integer solutions.

Further contribution was made by Dofs [3]. One of his results claims that if $n^2 + 3n + 9$ is a prime number and if all prime divisors of $n - 3$ are of the form $2 \pmod 3$, then (1) has no solutions in nonzero integers X, Y, Z.

Considering the equation

$$\frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{z} + \frac{z}{x} = n \tag{2}$$

for $n = 4$, Sierpinski [8, p.80] noted that it was not known to him whether this equation has a solutions in positive integers x, y, z. From the mentioned result of Dofs it follows that for $n = 4$ the equation (2) has no solutions even in nonzero integers x, y, z.

In [4] we proved that if

$$n \in \{4k,\ 8k - 1,\ 2^{2m+1}(2k - 1) + 3\},$$

where k and m run through all positive integers, then (1) has no solutions in positive integers X, Y, Z. As a consequence of the Sylvester transformation, we showed that for the indicated set of values of n there do not exist positive rational numbers x, y, z satisfying

$$xyz = 1, \quad x + y + z = n.$$

In particular, the equation (2) has no positive integer solutions not only for $n = 4$ but also for any $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and for many odd values of n as well. In [6] we also proved that the equation

$$\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^u + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^v + \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^w = 4t$$

has no solutions in positive integers x, y, z, t, u, v, w.

Recently, Tho [9] proved the following statement: let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and let either $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ or $n \equiv 7 \pmod 8$. Then the system of equations

$$xyz = a, \quad x + y + z = an. \tag{3}$$

has no solutions in positive rational numbers x, y, z.

In the present paper we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let a, b and c be positive integers such that at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- $c \equiv 0 \pmod 4$
- $bc \equiv 7 \pmod 8$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ *and* $b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ *and* $bc \equiv 3 \pmod 4$
- $a \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, $b \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ *and* $c \equiv 0 \pmod 2$
- $a^2bc^3 = 2^{2m+1}(2k-1) + 27$ *for some* $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there do not exist positive rational numbers x, y, z satisfying

$$xyz = ab^2, \quad x + y + z = abc. \tag{4}$$

Taking $b = 1$ and $n = c$, we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 1. *Let a and n be positive integers such that at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$
- $n \equiv 7 \pmod 8$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 4$
- $a \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ *and* $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$
- $a^2n^3 = 2^{2m+1}(2k-1) + 27$ *for some* $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then (3) has no solutions in positive rational numbers x, y, z.

2 Main Lemma

The following statement is the key of the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$n \in \{16k-4,\ 64k,\ 32k-16,\ 8k-1,\ 2^{2m+1}(2k-1)+27\},$$

where k and m run through all positive integers. Then the equation

$$x^3+y^3+n^2z^3 = nxyz \tag{5}$$

has no solutions in positive integers x, y, z.

Lemma 1 is implicitly contained in our note [5], without proof. Here, we shall give a complete proof of this statement.

We shall often use the following simple observation.

Claim 1. *Let x, y, z, A, B be positive integers such that*

$$x^3+y^3+Az^3 = Bxyz.$$

Assume that A is cubefree, $(x,y,z)=1$, and that any prime divisor of A is also a prime divisor of B. Then

$$(x,y)=(y,z)=(z,x)=(x,A)=(y,A)=1.$$

Indeed, if a prime number p divides (x,y), then p also divides A, implying that p divides B, and therefore p^3 divides $x^3, y^3, Bxyz$. Hence p^3 divides Az^3, which is impossible as A is cubefree and $(x,y,z)=1$. Therefore $(x,y)=1$, from which it also follows the remaining conclusion.

We proceed to prove Lemma 1. Assume that (5) holds for some positive integers x, y, z. We shall consider five cases.

2.1 The case $n = 16k-4$

We represent n in the form,

$$n = 4P_1P_2^2P_3^3,$$

where P_1 and P_2 are relatively prime squarefree positive integers. We also have that

$$P_1P_2^2P_3^3 \equiv 3 \pmod 4,$$

which means that P_1, P_2, P_3 are odd and

$$P_1P_3 \equiv 3 \pmod 4.$$

We have that

$$x^3 + y^3 + 16P_1^2P_2^4P_3^6z^3 = 4P_1P_2^2P_3^3xyz.$$

Therefore,

$$x^3 + y^3 + 2P_1^2P_2z_0^3 = 2P_1P_2P_3xyz_0,$$

where $z_0 = 2P_2P_3^2z$. Letting $d = (x, y, z_0)$ and denoting $x/d, y/d, z_0/d$ again by x, y, z, we get that the equality

$$x^3 + y^3 + 2P_1^2P_2z^3 = 2P_1P_2P_3xyz$$

holds for some positive integers x, y, z with $(x, y, z) = 1$. Note that $P_1^2P_2$ is an odd cubefree integer. Thus, from Claim 1 it follows that x and y are odd integers satisfying

$$(x, y) = (y, z) = (z, x) = (x, 2P_1P_2) = (y, 2P_1P_2) = 1.$$

From

$$x^3 + y^3 = 2P_1P_2z(P_3xy - P_1z^2),$$

it also follows that

$$x + y \equiv 0 \pmod 4. \tag{6}$$

There are two possibilities, depending on whether z is an even or an odd number.

Let z be an even number. Then $z = 2^rz_1$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and z_1 is odd. Then,

$$x^3 \equiv -2^{3r+1}P_1^2P_2z_1^3 \pmod{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2},$$

whence

$$X^2 \equiv -2^{3r+1}P_1^2P_2xz_1^3 \pmod{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}, \quad X = x^2.$$

Observe that the numbers $2^{3r+1}P_1^2P_2xz_1^3$ and $2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2$ are relatively prime positive integers. Indeed, if a prime number p divides both of

these numbers, then clearly p divides y, and therefore x as well, which contradicts to $(x, y) = 1$. Since $2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2$ is odd, we can pass to the Jacoby symbol and get that

$$1 = \left(\frac{-2^{3r+1}P_1^2P_2xz_1^3}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right) = \left(\frac{-2^{3r+1}P_2xz_1}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right). \tag{7}$$

Note that $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, which implies that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right) = -1.$$

We also have that

$$\left(\frac{2}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right)^{3r+1} = 1.$$

Indeed, it is trivial for $r = 1$, and for $r \geq 2$ it follows from the fact that $2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$.

Thus, from (7) we get that

$$\left(\frac{P_2xz_1}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right) = -1. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, from $2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and the quadratic reciprocity law, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{P_2xz_1}{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}\right) &= (-1)^{(P_2xz_1-1)/2}\left(\frac{2^{r+1}P_1P_2P_3xz_1 - y^2}{P_2xz_1}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{(P_2xz_1-1)/2}\left(\frac{-y^2}{P_2xz_1}\right) = (-1)^{(P_2xz_1-1)/2}\left(\frac{-1}{P_2xz_1}\right) = 1,\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to (8).

Therefore, z should be an odd number. Then, from (6) it follows that either $xz \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ or $yz \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $xz \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. We have that

$$X^2 \equiv -2P_1^2P_2xz^3 \pmod{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}, \quad X = x^2.$$

Since the numbers $2P_1^2P_2xz^3$ and $2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ are relatively prime positive integers, with $2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ being odd, we can use the Jacoby symbol:

$$1 = \left(\frac{-2P_1^2P_2xz^3}{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) = \left(\frac{-2P_2xz}{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right). \tag{9}$$

We recall that $P_1P_3 \equiv xz \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Hence,

$$2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2 \equiv 2P_2 - 1 \pmod 8.$$

This and $2P_2 - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ implies that,

$$\left(\frac{-2}{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{2P_2 - 1}\right)\left(\frac{2}{2P_2 - 1}\right) = (-1)^{(P_2^2-P_2)/2} = (-1)^{(1-P_2)/2}.$$

From $2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $xz \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and the quadratic reciprocity law, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{P_2xz}{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) &= \left(\frac{2P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}{P_2xz}\right)\\ &= \left(\frac{-y^2}{P_2xz}\right) = (-1)^{(P_2xz-1)/2} = (-1)^{(3P_2-1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting the last two relations into (9), we conclude that

$$1 = (-1)^{(1-P_2)/2}(-1)^{(3P_2-1)/2} = (-1)^{P_2} = -1.$$

The obtained contradiction finishes the case $n = 16k - 4$ of our lemma.

2.2 The case $n = 64k$

We let

$$n = 64P_1P_2^2P_3^3,$$

where P_1, P_2 are squarefree relatively prime integers. Similar to the previous case, we arrive that there exists positive integers x, y, z such that $(x, y, z) = 1$ and

$$x^3 + y^3 + P_1^2P_2z^3 = 4P_1P_2P_3xyz.$$

By Claim 1, we have that

$$(x, y) = (y, z) = (z, x) = (x, P_1P_2) = (y, P_1P_2) = 1. \tag{10}$$

It follows that at least one of the numbers x and y is an odd number. Assume that y is odd. Then we can write,

$$x^3 \equiv -P_1^2 P_2 z^3 \pmod{4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}.$$

From (10) it follows that the numbers $P_1^2P_2z^3x$ and $4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ are relatively prime. Indeed, if a prime number p divides both them, then p divides y and hence, p divides $P_1^2P_2z^3x$, which contradicts to (10).

Thus, the numbers $P_1^2P_2z^3x$ and $4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ are relatively prime positive integers, with $4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ being odd, and therefore we can use the Jacoby symbol in the congruence

$$X^2 \equiv -P_1^2 P_2 xz^3 \pmod{4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}, \quad X = x^2.$$

Setting $P_2xz = 2^r t$, where t is odd and r is a nonnegative integer, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left(\frac{-P_1^2P_2xz^3}{4P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) = \left(\frac{-2^r t}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{-1}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}\right)^r \left(\frac{t}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}\right) \\
&= -\left(\frac{t}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}\right) = -(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t - y^2}{t}\right) \\
&= -(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{-y^2}{t}\right) = -(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{-1}{t}\right) = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

We have a contradiction, which finishes the case $n = 64k$.

2.3 The case $n = 32k - 16$

We write

$$n = 16P_1P_2^2P_3^3,$$

where P_1, P_2, P_3 are odd integers, P_1 and P_2 are relatively prime squarefree integers. Similar to the previous cases, we get that for some positive integers x, y, z with $(x, y, z) = 1$ one has

$$x^3 + y^3 + 4P_1^2P_2z^3 = 4P_1P_2P_3xyz.$$

By Claim 1 we have that

$$(x,y)=(y,z)=(z,x)=(x,2P_1P_2)=(y,2P_1P_2)=1.$$

From our equation it follows that,

$$x^4=-4P_1^2P_2xz^3 \pmod{4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2}.$$

Since the numbers $4P_1^2P_2xz^3$ and $4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2$ are relatively prime positive integers, with $4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2$ being odd, we can use the Jacoby symbol:

$$1=\left(\frac{-4P_1^2P_2xz^3}{4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2}\right)=\left(\frac{-P_2xz}{4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2}\right).$$

Let $P_2xz=2^rt$, where t is odd and r is a nonnegative integer. Note that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t-y^2}\right)=-1, \quad \left(\frac{2}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t-y^2}\right)^r=1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}1&=\left(\frac{-P_2xz}{4P_1P_2P_3xz-y^2}\right)=-\left(\frac{t}{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t-y^2}\right)\\&=(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{2^{r+2}P_1P_3t-y^2}{t}\right)=-(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{-y^2}{t}\right)\\&=-(-1)^{(t-1)/2}\left(\frac{-1}{t}\right)=-1.\end{aligned}$$

The obtained contradiction finishes the case $n=32k-16$.

2.4 The case $n=8k-1$

We write $n=P_1P_2^2P_3^3$, where P_1,P_2,P_3 are odd positive integers, P_1 and P_2 are squarefree relatively prime integers. The condition $n\equiv -1 \pmod 8$ implies that $P_1P_3\equiv -1 \pmod 8$. As in the previous cases, we get that there are positive integers x,y,z with $(x,y,z)=1$ such that

$$x^3+y^3+P_1^2P_2z^3=P_1P_2P_3xyz.$$

Since $(x,y,z)=1$ and $P_1^2P_2$ is cubrefree, from Claim 1 we get that

$$(x,y)=(y,z)=(z,x)=(x,P_1P_2)=(y,P_1P_2)=1.$$

We distinguish two possibilities, depending on whether z is even or odd. Assume that z is even. Then xy is odd. We also have that

$$x^4 \equiv -xy^3 \pmod{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}$$

Since the positive integers xy^3 and $P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2$ are relatively prime, with $P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2$ being odd, we can use the Jacoby symbol. Taking into account that $P_1P_3 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$, we consequently get that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left(\frac{-xy^3}{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}\right) = (-1)^{(P_1P_3xy-1)/2}\left(\frac{xy}{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{(-xy-1)/2}(-1)^{((xy-1)/2)((-xy-1))/2}\left(\frac{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}{xy}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{(-xy-1)/2}\left(\frac{-P_1^2z^2}{xy}\right) = (-1)^{(-xy-1)/2}(-1)^{(xy-1)/2} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $(-1)^{((xy-1)/2)((-xy-1))/2} = 1$.

The obtained contradiction shows that z should be an odd number. We again distinguish two cases, depending on whether xy is an even or an odd number.

Assume that xy is an even number. Without loss of generality, we can assume that y is even. Then x is odd. We have that

$$x^4 \equiv -P_1^2P_2xz^3 \pmod{P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}.$$

Since $P_1^2P_2xz^3$ and $P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2$ are relatively prime odd positive integers, we can use the Jacoby symbol and apply the quadratic reciprocity law. We get that

$$1 = \left(\frac{-P_1^2P_2xz^3}{P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) = (-1)^{(P_1P_2P_3xz-1)/2}\left(\frac{P_2xz}{P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right). \tag{11}$$

Next, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{P_2xz}{P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}\right) &= (-1)^{((P_1P_2P_3xz-1)/2)\cdot((P_2xz-1)/2)}\left(\frac{P_1P_2P_3xz - y^2}{P_2xz}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{((P_1P_2P_3xz-1)/2)\cdot((P_2xz-1)/2)}\left(\frac{-y^2}{P_2xz}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{((P_1P_2P_3xz-1)/2)\cdot((P_2xz-1)/2)}(-1)^{(P_2xz-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (11), we see that the number

$$u = \frac{P_1P_2P_3xz-1}{2} + \frac{P_1P_2P_3xz-1}{2} \cdot \frac{P_2xz-1}{2} + \frac{P_2xz-1}{2}$$

should be even. However, $P_1P_3 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u &\equiv \frac{-P_2xz-1}{2} + \frac{-P_2xz-1}{2} \cdot \frac{P_2xz-1}{2} + \frac{P_2xz-1}{2} \\ &\equiv -1 - \frac{(P_2xz)^2-1}{4} \equiv 1 \pmod 2. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction.

Therefore, we remained with the case when x, y, z are all odd numbers. From our equation we have that

$$x^4 \equiv -xy^3 \pmod{P_2z},\ x^4 \equiv -P_1^2P_2z^3x \pmod y,\ y^4 \equiv -P_1^2P_2z^3y \pmod x.$$

Hence, using the Jacoby symbol, we get that

$$\left(\frac{-xy^3}{P_2z}\right) = \left(\frac{-P_1^2P_2z^3x}{y}\right) = \left(\frac{-P_1^2P_2z^3y}{x}\right) = 1,$$

whence,

$$(-1)^{(P_2z-1)/2}\left(\frac{xy}{P_2z}\right) = (-1)^{(y-1)/2}\left(\frac{P_2zx}{y}\right) = (-1)^{(x-1)/2}\left(\frac{P_2zy}{x}\right) = 1.$$

Taking the product, we get that

$$(-1)^{(P_2z-1)/2+(x-1)/2+(y-1)/2}\left(\frac{xy}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{P_2zx}{y}\right)\left(\frac{P_2zy}{x}\right) = 1. \qquad (12)$$

Furthermore, from the properties of the Jacoby symbol and the quadratic reciprocity law, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{xy}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{P_2zx}{y}\right)\left(\frac{P_2zy}{x}\right) &= \left(\frac{x}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{y}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{P_2z}{y}\right)\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\left(\frac{P_2z}{x}\right)\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{P_2z}{x}\right)\left(\frac{y}{P_2z}\right)\left(\frac{P_2z}{y}\right)\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{((x-1)/2)((P_2z-1)/2)+((P_2z-1)/2)((y-1)/2)+((y-1)/2)((x-1)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, inserting this into (12), we obtain that the number

$$\frac{x-1}{2}+\frac{y-1}{2}+\frac{P_2z-1}{2}+\frac{x-1}{2}\cdot\frac{y-1}{2}+\frac{y-1}{2}\cdot\frac{P_2z-1}{2}+\frac{P_2z-1}{2}\cdot\frac{x-1}{2}$$

is an even integer. Note that for integers u, v, w the number

$$u+v+w+uv+vw+wu$$

is even if and only if either u, v, w are all even, or are all odd numbers. Hence, we have

$$x \equiv y \equiv P_2z \equiv r_0 \pmod 4,$$

where $r_0 \in \{1, 3\}$. Take

$$x = r_0 + 4x_0, \quad y = r_0 + 4y_0, \quad P_2z = r_0 + 4z_0.$$

Returning to our equation and recalling that $P_1P_3 \equiv -1 \pmod 8$, we see that

$$x + y + P_2z + P_2xyz \equiv 0 \pmod 8.$$

Thus,

$$3r_0 + 4(x_0 + y_0 + z_0) + r_0^3 + 4r_0^2(x_0 + y_0 + z_0) \equiv 0 \pmod 8.$$

But this is not true, as the left hand side is

$$r_0(3 + r_0^2) + 4(1 + r_0^2)(x_0 + y_0 + z_0) \equiv 4 \pmod 8.$$

This contradiction finishes the case $n = 8k - 1$.

2.5 The case $n = 2^{2m+1}(2k-1) + 27$

We have that

$$n - 27 \equiv 2^{2m+1} \pmod{2^{2m+2}}.$$

We write $n = P_1P_2^2P_3^3$, where P_1, P_2, P_3 are odd positive integers, P_1, P_2 are squarefree relatively prime integers. As in the previous cases, we get that there are positive integers x, y, z with $(x, y, z) = 1$ such that

$$x^3 + y^3 + P_1^2P_2z^3 = P_1P_2P_3xyz.$$

Since $(x, y, z) = 1$ and $P_1^2 P_2$ is cubefree, from Claim 1 we get that

$$(x, y) = (y, z) = (z, x) = (x, P_1 P_2) = (y, P_1 P_2) = 1.$$

Clearly, there exists odd integers u and v such that

$$P_1 \equiv u^3 \pmod{2^{2m+2}}, \quad P_2 \equiv v^3 \pmod{2^{2m+2}}.$$

For instance, one can take $u = P_1^{(2^{2m+1}+1)/3}$ and similarly v. Then,

$$x^3 + y^3 + u^6 v^3 z^3 - 3xyu^2 vz \equiv u^3 v^3 P_3 xyz - 3xyu^2 vz \pmod{2^{2m+2}}.$$

Using the decomposition

$$4(A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC) = (A + B + C)\Big((2A - B - C)^2 + 3(B - C)^2\Big),$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y + u^2 vz)\Big((2x - y - u^2 vz)^2 + 3(y - u^2 vz)^2\Big) \\ \equiv 4xyzu^2 v(uv^2 P_3 - 3) \pmod{2^{2m+4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both hand side by $(uv^2 P_3)^2 + 3(uv^2 P_3) + 9$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y + u^2 vz)\Big((2x - y - u^2 vz)^2 + 3(y - u^2 vz)^2\Big)\Big((uv^2 P_3)^2 + 3(uv^2 P_3) + 9\Big) \\ \equiv 4xyzu^2 v(u^3 v^6 P_3^3 - 27) \equiv 4xyzu^2 v(P_1 P_2^2 P_3^3 - 27) \\ \equiv 4xyzu^2 v(n - 27) \equiv 2^{2m+3} xyz \pmod{2^{2m+4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $(uv^2 P_3)^2 + 3(uv^2 P_3) + 9$ is odd, we have that

$$(x + y + u^2 vz)\Big((2x - y - u^2 vz)^2 + 3(y - u^2 vz)^2\Big) \equiv 2^{2m+3} xyz \pmod{2^{2m+4}}.$$

If xyz were odd, we would have that $x + y + u^2 vz$ is odd, implying that

$$(2x - y - u^2 vz)^2 + 3(y - u^2 vz)^2 \equiv 2^{2m+3} \pmod{2^{2m+4}}.$$

This is obviously impossible, as the highest power of 2 which divides a number of the form $r^2 + 3s^2$ should be even.

Thus, we proved that xyz is an even number. Since x, y, z are pairwise primes, it follows that exactly one of them is even.

Now we show that z should be even. Assume contrary, let z be odd. Then, exactly one of the numbers x and y is even. Without loss of generality, we can assume that y is even, x is odd. From our equation we have the congruence

$$x^4 \equiv -P_1^2 P_2 z^3 x \pmod{P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2}.$$

Since $P_1^2 P_2 z^3 x$ and $P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2$ are relatively prime positive integers, and $P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2$ is odd, we can use the Jacoby symbol. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left(\frac{-P_1^2 P_2 z^3 x}{P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2}\right) = (-1)^{(P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2} \left(\frac{P_2 zx}{P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2} (-1)^{((P_2 zx-1)/2)((P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2)} \left(\frac{P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - y^2}{P_2 zx}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2} (-1)^{((P_2 zx-1)/2)((P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2)} \left(\frac{-y^2}{P_2 zx}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2} (-1)^{((P_2 zx-1)/2)((P_1 P_2 P_3 xz-1)/2)} (-1)^{((P_2 zx-1)/2)} \\
&= (-1)^{r+s+rs},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = \frac{P_1 P_2 P_3 xz - 1}{2}, \quad s = \frac{P_2 zx - 1}{2}.$$

Thus, $r + s + rs$ should be an even number, implying that both r and s are even numbers. That is,

$$P_1 P_2 P_3 xz \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \quad P_2 xz \equiv 1 \pmod 4.$$

It follows that $P_1 P_3 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, whence we get that

$$n = P_1 P_2^2 P_3^3 \equiv P_1 P_3 \equiv 1 \pmod 4,$$

which is contradiction with $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Thus, we get that z is an even number, xy is odd. From our equation we have that

$$x^4 \equiv -xy^3 \pmod{P_1 P_3 xy - P_1^2 z^2}.$$

Again, xy^3 and $P_1 P_3 xy - P_1^2 z^2$ are relatively prime positive integers, with

latter being odd. Hence, we can use the Jacoby symbol and get that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left(\frac{-xy^3}{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}\right) = (-1)^{(P_1P_3xy-1)/2}\left(\frac{xy}{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1P_3xy-1)/2}(-1)^{((xy-1)/2)((P_1P_3xy-1)/2)}\left(\frac{P_1P_3xy - P_1^2z^2}{xy}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1P_3xy-1)/2}(-1)^{((xy-1)/2)((P_1P_3xy-1)/2)}\left(\frac{-P_1^2z^2}{xy}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{(P_1P_3xy-1)/2}(-1)^{((xy-1)/2)((P_1P_3xy-1)/2)}(-1)^{(xy-1)/2} \\
&= (-1)^{r+s+rs},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = \frac{xy-1}{2}, \quad s = \frac{P_1P_3xy-1}{2}.$$

Thus, $r + s + rs$ should be an even number, implying that r and s are both even numbers. It follows that

$$xy \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \quad P_1P_3xy \equiv 1 \pmod 4,$$

implying again that $P_1P_3 1 \pmod 4$ and

$$n = P_1P_2^2P_3^3 \equiv P_1P_3 \equiv 1 \pmod 4.$$

This contradiction finishes the case $n = 2^{2m+1}(2k-1) + 27$.

Lemma 1 is proved.

3 Proof of the theorem

We shall use the following statement due to Sylvester [2].

Lemma 2. *Let $A, B, C, D, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be arbitrary real numbers for which*

$$A\alpha^3 + B\beta^3 + C\gamma^3 = D\alpha\beta\gamma.$$

Then

$$f^3 + g^3 + ABCh^3 = Dfgh,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= A^2B\alpha^6\beta^3 + B^2C\beta^6\gamma^3 + C^2A\gamma^6\alpha^3 - 3ABC\alpha^3\beta^3\gamma^3, \\
g &= AB^2\alpha^3\beta^6 + BC^2\beta^3\gamma^6 + CA^2\gamma^3\alpha^6 - 3ABC\alpha^3\beta^3\gamma^3, \\
h &= \alpha\beta\gamma\left(A^2\alpha^6 + B^2\beta^6 + C^2\gamma^6 - AB\alpha^3\beta^3 - BC\beta^3\gamma^3 - CA\gamma^3\alpha^3\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to prove our theorem. Assume that a, b, c satisfies one of the conditions listed in the theorem and assume that the positive rational numbers x, y, z are such that

$$xyz = ab^2, \quad x + y + z = abc$$

First of all we note that if $x = y = z$, then $a^2bc^3 = 27$ which is not satisfied by conditions of the theorem.

We apply Lemma 2 with

$$A = x,\ B = y,\ C = z, \quad \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1, \quad D = abc.$$

It follows that

$$f^3 + g^3 + ab^2h^3 = abcfgh,$$

where

$$f = x^2y + y^2z + z^2x - 3xyz, \quad g = xy^2 + yz^2 + zx^2 - 3xyz,$$
$$h = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xy - yz - zx.$$

Since not all the positive rational numbers x, y, z are equal, from the elementary inequalities we get that f, g and h are also positive rational numbers. We have that

$$f^3 + g^3 + (a^2bc^3)^2h_1^3 = a^2bc^3fgh_1,$$

where $h_1 = h/ac^2$. Thus, for $n = a^2bc^3$ the equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + n^2z^3 = nxyz,$$

has a solution in positive rational numbers x, y, z. Therefore, it also has a solution in positive integers x, y, z.

If $c \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then n is of the form $64k$.

If $bc \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, then $bc^3 \equiv 7 \pmod 8$. Hence, a^2bc^3 is one of the forms $64k$, $32k - 16$, $16k - 4$ or $8k - 1$.

If $a \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, then n is either of the form $64k$ or of the form $32k - 16$.

If $a \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and $bc \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, then n is one of the forms $64k$, $32k - 16$ or $16k - 4$.

If $a \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, $b \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $c \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, then n is either of the form $64k$ or of the form $32k - 16$.

By our Lemma 1 non of theses cases, neither the case $a^2bc^3 = 2^{2m+1}(2k - 1) + 27$ is possible.

Theorem 1 is proved.

4 Remarks

The proof of Lemma 1 also leads to a complete proof of the result that we announced in our work [5]. That is, if

$$n \in \{16k-4,\, 64k,\, 32k-16,\, 8k-1,\, 2^{2m+1}(2k-1)+27\},$$

where k and m run through all positive integers, then the equation

$$\frac{(x+y+z)^3}{xyz} = n \tag{13}$$

has no solutions in positive integers x, y, z. Indeed, assume that there are positive integers x, y, z satisfying this equality. We apply the Sylvester transformation to the equality

$$x \cdot 1^3 + y \cdot 1^3 + z \cdot 1^3 = (x+y+z) \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1.$$

It follows that

$$f^3 + g^3 + xyzh^3 = (x+y+z)fgh,$$

for some positive integers f, g, h. Multiplying by n^3 and denoting

$$X = nf, \quad Y = ng, \quad Z = (x+y+z)h$$

we get

$$X^3 + Y^3 + n^2 Z^3 = nXYZ.$$

By Lemma 1 this is impossible. Thus, for the indicated set of values of n the equation (13) has no solutions in positive integers x, y, z.

The problem of representability of integers n in the form (13) with some positive integers x, y, z is due to Richard Guy, see for the details the work of Tho [10] and the references therein.

References

[1] J. W. S. Cassels, *On a Diophantine Equation,* Acta Arith. 1960, vol.6, pp. 47–51.

[2] L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, vol. 2, New York, 1934.

[3] E. Dofs, *On some classes of homogeneous ternary cubic Diophantine equations*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), pp. 29–72.

[4] M. Z. Garaev, *Third degree diophantine equations,* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 218 (1997), pp. 94–103.

[5] M. Z. Garaev, *On the diophantine equation* $(x+y+z)^3 = nxyz$, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 1 (2001), p. 46.

[6] M. Z. Garaev, *On the diophantine equation* $\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^u + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^v + \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^w = 4t$, (in Russian), Fundam. Prikl. Mat., Vol 7(1) (2001), pp. 267–270.

[7] L. J. Mordell, *The Diophantine Equation* $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + kxyz = 0$, in: Colloque sur la theorie des nombers, Bruxelles, 1955, pp. 67–76.

[8] W. Sierpinski, 250 Problems in Elementary Number Theory, American Elsevier Publ. Com, New York (1970).

[9] N. X. Tho, *On a remark of Sierpinski*, 2021 (preprint, available in internet).

[10] N. X. Tho, *On a problem of Richard Guy,*, Bull. Austr. Math Soc. 105(1) (2022), 12–18.

Address of the author:

M. Z. Garaev, Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México,

Email: garaev@matmor.unam.mx