

# On unit weighted zero-sum constants of $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Santanu Mondal, Krishnendu Paul, Shameek Paul\*

*Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Belur, Dist.  
Howrah, 711202, India*

## Abstract

Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , the constant  $C_A(n)$  is defined to be the smallest natural number  $k$  such that any sequence of  $k$  elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. The value of  $C_{U(n)}(n)$  is known when  $n$  is odd. We give a different argument to determine the value of  $C_{U(n)}(n)$  for any  $n$ . A  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  whose length is  $C_{U(n)}(n) - 1$  and which does not have any  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. We characterize the  $C$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  when  $n$  is a power of 2. For any  $n$ , we determine the value of  $C_A(n)$  where  $A$  is the set of all odd (or all even) elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and also when  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  where  $r < n$ .

## 1 Introduction

We denote the number of elements in a finite set  $S$  by  $|S|$ . In this paper,  $R$  will denote a ring with unity. The next three definitions are given in [8].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $A \subseteq R$ . A subsequence  $T$  of a sequence  $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  in  $M$  is called an  *$A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence* if the set  $I = \{i : x_i \in T\}$  is non-empty and for each  $i \in I$ , there exist  $a_i \in A$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Given a finite  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $A \subseteq R$ , the  $A$ -weighted Davenport constant  $D_A(M)$  is the least positive integer  $k$  such that any sequence in  $M$  of length  $k$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence.

**Definition 1.3.** Given a finite  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $A \subseteq R$ , the constant  $C_A(M)$  is the least positive integer  $k$  such that any sequence in  $M$  of length  $k$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms.

---

\*E-mail addresses: santanu.mondal.math18@gm.rkmvu.ac.in, krishnendu.p.math18@gm.rkmvu.ac.in, shameek.paul@rkmvu.ac.in

The next constant has been studied when  $M = R = \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Some results are given in [1], [3], [6], [7] and [13].

**Definition 1.4.** Given a finite  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $A \subseteq R$ , the  $A$ -weighted Gao constant  $E_A(M)$  is the least positive integer  $k$  such that any sequence in  $M$  of length  $k$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $|M|$ .

**Remark 1.5.** We denote the above three constants by  $D(M)$ ,  $C(M)$  and  $E(M)$  when  $A = \{1\}$ . For a finite  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $D_A(M) \leq C_A(M) \leq |M|$  (see Section 1 of [8]). Also, it follows easily that  $E_A(M) \geq D_A(M) + |M| - 1$ .

When  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we denote the constants  $D_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ ,  $E_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  and  $C_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  by  $D_A(n)$ ,  $E_A(n)$  and  $C_A(n)$  respectively. Yuan and Zeng have shown in [14] that  $E_A(n) = D_A(n) + n - 1$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ . A sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of length  $E_A(n) - 1$  which does not have any  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $n$ , is called an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $A$ . A sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of length  $C_A(n) - 1$  which does not have any  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms, is called a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $A$ .

We denote the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Let  $U(n)$  denote the group of units in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $U(n)^k = \{x^k : x \in U(n)\}$ . When  $n = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_s^{r_s}$  where the  $p_i$ 's are distinct primes, we let  $\Omega(n) = r_1 + \dots + r_s$ . We use the notation  $v_p(n) = r$  to mean  $p^r \mid n$  and  $p^{r+1} \nmid n$ . For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq k \leq b\}$  by  $[a, b]$ .

In Corollary 4 of [8] it has been shown that  $C_{U(n)}(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$  when  $n$  is odd. In Theorem 3.1 we show that  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = 2^a$  and as a consequence of this in Theorem 3.8 we show that for *any*  $n$  we have  $C_{U(n)}(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Thus, we obtain a new proof of Corollary 4 of [8] while also generalizing it.

In Theorem 4.1 we rederive the result that  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = a + 1$ . This result is a special case of the main result of Olson in [11]. We have included it here as our proof is very elementary. As a consequence of this we rederive the result that  $D_{U(n)} = \Omega(n) + 1$ . This can also be arrived at by using the result of Yuan and Zeng in [14], as the value of  $E_{U(n)}(n)$  has been obtained in [6] and [7].

We feel that it is of interest to derive the value of  $D_{U(n)}(n)$  without using the value of  $E_{U(n)}(n)$  since for other weight-sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ , the values of  $D_A(n)$  have been determined independently of the corresponding values of  $E_A(n)$ . Some of the other results in this article are the following.

- For a finite abelian group  $G$  we have that  $C(G) = |G|$ .

- We characterize the  $C$ -extremal and  $E$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  when  $n$  is a power of 2.
- Let  $n$  be even,  $v_2(n) = r$  and  $m = n/2^r$ . Let  $B$  denote the set of all odd elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\{m\} \subseteq A \subseteq B$ . Then we have that  $C_A(n) = 2^r$ .
- Let  $r \in [1, n-1]$  and  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . We show that  $C_A(n) = \lceil n/r \rceil$ .
- If  $p$  and  $k$  are odd primes such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k^2}$ , then  $D_{U(p)^k}(p) \leq k$ .

## 2 Some general results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a finite  $R'$ -module. Suppose  $A \subseteq R$  and  $B \subseteq R'$ . Then  $M \times N$  is a module over  $R \times R'$  and we have  $C_{A \times B}(M \times N) \geq C_A(M) C_B(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C_A(M) = k + 1$  and  $C_B(N) = l + 1$ . Suppose  $S_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a sequence in  $M$  which does not have any  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms and  $S_2 = (y_1, \dots, y_l)$  is a sequence in  $N$  which does not have any  $B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. Consider the sequence  $S$  in  $M \times N$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} &((x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0), (0, y_1), (x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0), (0, y_2), (x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0), (0, y_3), \\ &\dots, (x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0), (0, y_{l-1}), (x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0), (0, y_l), (x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $S$  has an  $A \times B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence  $T$  having consecutive terms. If no term of  $T$  is of the form  $(0, y_j)$  for any  $j \in [1, l]$ , then we get the contradiction that  $S_1$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. If  $T$  has a term of the form  $(0, y_j)$  for some  $j \in [1, l]$ , then by taking the projection to the second coordinate, we get the contradiction that  $S_2$  has a  $B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. Thus, we see that  $S$  does not have any  $A \times B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. Hence, we get that  $C_{A \times B}(M \times N) \geq C_A(M) C_B(N)$ .  $\square$

By a similar argument we get the next result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules and  $A \subseteq R$ . Then  $M \times N$  is an  $R$ -module and we have  $C_A(M \times N) \geq C_A(M) C_A(N)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a finite  $R'$ -module. Suppose  $A \subseteq R$  and  $B \subseteq R'$ . Then  $M \times N$  is a module over  $R \times R'$  and we have  $D_{A \times B}(M \times N) \geq D_A(M) + D_B(N) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D_A(M) = k + 1$  and  $D_B(N) = l + 1$ . Suppose  $S_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a sequence in  $M$  which does not have any  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence and  $S_2 = (y_1, \dots, y_l)$  is a sequence in  $N$  which does not have any  $B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Let  $S$  be the sequence in  $M \times N$  defined as

$$((x_1, 0), (x_2, 0), \dots, (x_{k-1}, 0), (x_k, 0), (0, y_1), (0, y_2), \dots, (0, y_{l-1}), (0, y_l)).$$

Suppose  $S$  has an  $A \times B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence  $T$ . If no term of  $T$  is of the form  $(0, y_j)$  for any  $j \in [1, l]$ , then we get the contradiction that  $S_1$  has an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. If  $T$  has a term of the form  $(0, y_j)$  for some  $j \in [1, l]$ , then by taking the projection to the second coordinate, we get the contradiction that  $S_2$  has a  $B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Thus, we see that  $S$  does not have any  $A \times B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Hence, we get that  $D_{A \times B}(M \times N) \geq D_A(M) + D_B(N) - 1$ .  $\square$

By a similar argument we get the next result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules and  $A \subseteq R$ . Then  $M \times N$  is an  $R$ -module and we have  $D_A(M \times N) \geq D_A(M) + D_A(N) - 1$ .*

### 3 $C_{U(n)}(n)$

**Theorem 3.1.**  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = 2^a$ .

*Proof.* We will prove that  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) \geq 2^a$  by using induction on  $a$ . This is clear when  $a = 1$ . For  $a > 1$ , by the induction hypothesis we have  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^{a-1}) \geq 2^{a-1}$ . Taking  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{Z}_2^{a-1}$  and  $N = \mathbb{Z}_2$  in Theorem 2.2 gives us  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) \geq 2^a$ . Also, from Theorem 1 of [8] we get  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) \leq 2^a$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any finite abelian group  $G$ , we have that  $C(G) = |G|$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on the rank of  $G$ , Corollary 1 of [8] and Theorem 2.2 we can show that  $C(G) \geq |G|$ . Also, from Theorem 1 of [8] we get that  $C(G) \leq |G|$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *Theorem 3.2 is in contrast to Theorem 1 of [12] which says that for a finite abelian group  $G$  we have that  $D(G) = |G|$  if and only if  $G$  is a finite cyclic group.*

Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ . We define the dot product of  $x$  and  $y$  to be  $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_m$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $v_1, \dots, v_a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  where  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then there exists a non-zero vector  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  such that for each  $i \in [1, a]$  we have  $w \cdot v_i = 0$  and the coordinates of  $w$  which are equal to one occur in consecutive positions.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the matrix of size  $m \times a$  whose columns are the vectors  $v_i$ . If  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , then  $wP$  is the vector in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$  which is the sum of those rows of the matrix  $P$  which correspond to the coordinates of  $w$  which are 1. From Theorem 3.1 we have  $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = 2^a$ . As  $m \geq 2^a$ , the sum of some consecutive rows of  $P$  is zero. Thus, we can find a vector  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  as in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_a$  be subsets of  $[1, m]$  where  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then there exists  $Y \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that the elements of  $Y$  are consecutive numbers and for each  $i \in [1, a]$  we have  $|Y \cap X_i|$  is even.*

*Proof.* We identify a subset  $A \subseteq [1, m]$  with the vector  $x_A \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  whose  $j^{\text{th}}$  coordinate is one if and only if  $j \in A$ . For any two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $[1, m]$  we observe that  $x_A \cdot x_B = 0$  if and only if  $|A \cap B|$  is even. So we see that Lemma 3.5 follows from Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

For a divisor  $m$  of  $n$ , we define the natural map  $f_{n,m} : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$  to be the map given by  $f_{n,m}(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = x + m\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  with  $v_p(n) = r$ . For a sequence  $S$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , we denote its image under  $f_{n,p^r}$  by  $S^{(p)}$ .

**Observation 3.6.** *A sequence  $S$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  if and only if for every prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$  the sequence  $S^{(p)}$  is a  $U(p^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$  where  $v_p(n) = r$ .*

This is Observation 2.2 in [6]. The next result follows from Lemma 2.4 in [6] along with the remark which follows it.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n = p^r$  for some  $r$  and  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Suppose for each  $i \in [1, r]$ , the size of the set  $X_i$  is even where  $X_i = \{j : x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}\}$ . Then  $S$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

**Theorem 3.8.**  $C_{U(n)}(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 2 of [8] we have  $C_{U(n)}(n) \geq 2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Let  $a = \Omega(n)$  and  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of length  $m = 2^a$ . If we show that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms, it will follow that  $C_{U(n)}(n) \leq 2^{\Omega(n)}$ . For any prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$  and for each  $i \in [1, v_p(n)]$  we let

$$X_i^{(p)} = \{j : x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}\}.$$

We observe that we have  $a$  sets in the collection

$$\{X_i^{(p)} : p \text{ is a prime divisor of } n \text{ and } i \in [1, v_p(n)]\}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 we have a subset  $Y \subseteq [1, m]$  such that all the elements of  $Y$  are consecutive numbers and for each prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$  and for each  $i \in [1, v_p(n)]$  we have  $|Y \cap X_i^{(p)}|$  is even. Let  $T$  be the subsequence of  $S$  such that  $x_j$  is a term of  $T$  if and only if  $j \in Y$ .

Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  and  $v_q(n) = r$ . By Lemma 3.7 the sequence  $T^{(q)}$  is a  $U(q^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^r}$  as for each  $i \in [1, r]$  the set

$$Y \cap X_i^{(q)} = \{j \in Y : x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q^i}\}$$

has even size. Thus, by Observation 3.6 it follows that the sequence  $T$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, we see that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms.  $\square$

## 4 $D_{U(n)}(n)$

The next result is a special case of the main result in [11]. We are able to give a very simple argument and have hence included the proof. We can also prove Theorem 4.1 by using Theorem 2.4 and the easy observation that  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 2$ .

**Theorem 4.1.**  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = a + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_a\}$  be a basis of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$ . As  $B$  is linearly independent, the sequence  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_a)$  does not have any zero-sum subsequence and so we see that  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) \geq a + 1$ . Any sequence of length  $a + 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$  has a zero-sum subsequence as any set of  $a + 1$  vectors is linearly dependent. Hence, we see that  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) \leq a + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  where  $m \geq a + 1$ . Then there exists a non-zero vector  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  such that for each  $i \in [1, a]$  we have  $w \cdot v_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the matrix of size  $m \times a$  whose columns are the vectors  $v_i$ . If  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , then  $wP$  is the vector in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$  which is the sum of those rows of the matrix  $P$  which correspond to the coordinates of  $w$  which are 1. From Theorem 4.1 we have  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = a + 1$ . As  $m \geq a + 1$ , the sum of some rows of  $P$  is zero. Thus, we can find a vector  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  as in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

The proof of the next result is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_a$  be subsets of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , where  $m \geq a + 1$ . Then there exists a non-empty subset  $Y \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that for each  $i \in [1, a]$ , we have  $|Y \cap X_i|$  is even.*

**Theorem 4.4.**  $D_{U(n)}(n) = \Omega(n) + 1$ .

*Proof.* For a prime  $p$ , the argument in the proof of Theorem 2 of [8] shows that  $D_{U(p)}(p) = 2$ . So from Lemma 1.8 of [10] we see that  $D_{U(n)}(n) \geq \Omega(n) + 1$ .

Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of length  $m = \Omega(n) + 1$ . If we show that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence, then it will follow that  $D_{U(n)}(n) \leq \Omega(n) + 1$ . For any prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$  and for each  $i \in [1, v_p(n)]$  let  $X_i^{(p)} = \{j : x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}\}$ . The collection

$$\{X_i^{(p)} : p \text{ is a prime divisor of } n \text{ and } i \in [1, v_p(n)]\}$$

has  $\Omega(n)$  sets. As  $m = \Omega(n) + 1$ , by Lemma 4.3 we have a non-empty subset  $Y \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that for each prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$  and for each  $i \in [1, v_p(n)]$  we have  $|Y \cap X_i^{(p)}|$  is even. Let  $T$  be the subsequence of  $S$  such that  $x_j$  is a term of  $T$  if and only if  $j \in Y$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  and let  $v_p(n) = r$ . By Lemma 3.7 the sequence  $T^{(p)}$  is a  $U(p^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ , as for each  $i \in [1, r]$  the set

$$Y \cap X_i^{(p)} = \{j \in Y : x_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}\}$$

has even size. Thus, by Observation 3.6 the sequence  $T$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, it follows that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence.  $\square$

## 5 Zero-sum subsequences of length $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$

If  $T$  is a subsequence of a sequence  $S$ , then  $S - T$  denotes the sequence which is obtained by removing the terms of  $T$  from  $S$ .

**Observation 5.1.** *Let  $(G, +)$  be a finite abelian group of order  $n$  and  $a \in G$ . Let  $S$  be a sequence of length  $n$  in  $G$  and  $S - a$  denote the sequence which is obtained by subtracting  $a$  from each term of  $S$ . If  $S - a$  is a zero-sum sequence then  $S$  is a zero-sum sequence.*

We now rederive a weaker version of Corollary 3.2 of [6]. The proof given in [6] uses Theorem 1.1 of [4]. We have given a different proof which uses the value of  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $S$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$  of length  $m + a$  where  $m$  is even and  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then  $S$  has a zero-sum subsequence of length  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m \geq 2^a$  and  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^a$  of length  $k = m + a$ . Suppose each term of  $S$  occurs an even number of times in  $S$ . As  $x + x = 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^a$ , it follows that  $S$  has a zero-sum subsequence of any even length and hence also of length  $m$ . So we may assume that some term of  $S$  occurs an odd number of times. By Observation 5.1 we can assume that that term is 0.

Let  $S'$  be the unique subsequence of  $S$  whose terms are all distinct and such that each term of  $S - S'$  occurs an even number of times in  $S - S'$ . Let the length of  $S'$  be  $k'$ . Suppose  $k' \leq a$ . It follows that  $k - k' = (m + a) - k'$  and so  $m \leq k - k'$ . As  $m$  is even, we see that  $S - S'$  (and hence  $S$ ) has a zero-sum subsequence of length  $m$ .

So we may assume that  $k' \geq a + 1$ . By Theorem 4.1 we get  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = a + 1$  and so we see that  $S'$  has a zero-sum subsequence. Let  $T$  be a zero-sum subsequence of  $S'$  having largest length. As 0 occurs an odd number of times in  $S$ , it is a term of  $S'$  and so 0 is a term of  $T$ . Let the length of  $T$  be  $l$ . As  $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^a) = a + 1$  and  $S' - T$  does not have any zero-sum subsequence, it follows that  $k' - l \leq a$ . We now claim that

$$l \leq m \leq (k - k') + l. \quad (1)$$

As all terms of  $S'$  are distinct, it follows that  $k' \leq 2^a$ . So as  $l \leq k'$  and  $2^a \leq m$ , we see that  $l \leq m$ . As  $k' - l \leq a$  and  $m = k - a$ , we have that  $m \leq (k - k') + l$ . This proves our claim (1).

Suppose  $l$  is even. From (1) we see that  $m - l \leq k - k'$ . As  $m - l$  is even and each term of  $S - S'$  occurs an even number of times, it follows that  $S - S'$  has a zero-sum subsequence having length  $m - l$ . As  $T$  is a zero-sum subsequence of  $S'$  having length  $l$ , we get a zero-sum subsequence of  $S$  having length  $m$ .

Suppose  $l$  is odd. As both  $m$  and  $k - k'$  are even, from (1) we see that  $m \leq (k - k') + l - 1$ . As  $m - (l - 1)$  is even and each term of  $S - S'$  occurs an even number of times, it follows that  $S - S'$  has a zero-sum subsequence having length  $m - (l - 1)$ . As 0 is a term of  $T$  which has length  $l$ , we get a zero-sum subsequence of  $S'$  having length  $l - 1$ . So we get a zero-sum subsequence of  $S$  having length  $m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $v_1, \dots, v_a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a}$  where  $m$  is even and  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then there exists a non-zero vector  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a}$  such that  $w$  has exactly  $m$  terms which are one and for  $i \in [1, a]$  we have  $w \cdot v_i = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2, where we use Theorem 5.2 in place of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$



The next result follows from this lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_a$  be subsets of  $\{1, \dots, m+a\}$  where  $m$  is even and  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then there exists a non-empty subset  $Y \subseteq \{1, \dots, m+a\}$  such that  $|Y| = m$  and for each  $i \in [1, a]$  we have  $|Y \cap X_i|$  is even.*

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $a = \Omega(n)$  and  $m$  be an even number such that  $m \geq 2^a$ . Suppose  $S$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  having length  $m+a$ . Then  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $m$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4, where we use Lemma 5.4 in place of Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** *Theorem 1.3 of [6] is a generalization of Theorem 5.5. The argument which is given in [6] for even  $n$ , uses a weaker version of Theorem 1.1 of [4]. We give a different argument in the proof of Theorem 5.5 which is more direct.*

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $m$  be even and  $m \geq 2^a$ . Then every  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a}$  has a vector  $v$  such that exactly  $m$  coordinates of  $v$  are equal to one.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a}$ . Then the dimension of  $W^\perp$  is  $a$ . Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_a\}$  be a basis of  $W^\perp$  and  $A$  be the  $a \times (m+a)$  matrix whose rows are the basis vectors of  $W^\perp$ . Then  $A$  gives a map  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^a$  whose kernel is  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  which can be shown to be  $W$ . For each  $j \in [1, m+a]$ , let  $C_j$  denote the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$ . By Theorem 5.2 we can find a subset  $I \subseteq [1, m+a]$  such that  $|I| = m$  and  $\sum_{i \in I} C_i = 0$ . Let  $v = (x_1, \dots, x_{m+a}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m+a}$  be such that  $x_i = 1$  if and only if  $i \in I$ . As we have that  $Av = \sum_{i \in I} C_i$ , it follows that  $v \in \ker A = W$ . Hence, we see that  $W$  has a vector  $v$  such that exactly  $m$  coordinates of  $v$  are equal to one.  $\square$

**Definition 5.8.** For a vector  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  we define the weight of  $v$  to be the number of coordinates of  $v$  which are equal to one. Let  $l(n, \bar{m})$  denote the largest integer  $k$  such that there is a subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  of dimension  $k$  which does not have any vector of weight  $\bar{m}$ . The notation  $l(n, \bar{m})$  was introduced in [4].

**Corollary 5.9.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be such that  $m$  be even,  $m > n$  and  $m \geq 2^{n-m}$ . Then we have that  $l(n, \bar{m}) = m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $i \in [1, n]$  let  $e_i$  denote the vector in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  whose only non-zero coordinate is one which occurs in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  position. The subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  which is spanned by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$  does not have any vector of weight  $m$  and hence it

follows that  $l(n, \bar{m}) \geq m - 1$ . So by using Corollary 5.7 we see that if  $m$  is even,  $m > n$  and  $m \geq 2^{n-m}$ , we have that  $l(n, \bar{m}) = m - 1$ .  $\square$

Corollary 5.9 is a weaker version of Theorem 1.1 of [4]. We have rederived the result in Corollary 5.9 here as it is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.2.

## 6 Extremal sequences for $U(n)$ where $n = 2^k$

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $U(n)$ . Suppose  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and  $T = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$  are sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We say that  $S$  and  $T$  are  $A$ -equivalent if there is a unit  $c \in U(n)$ , a permutation  $\sigma \in S_k$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$  such that for each  $i \in [1, k]$  we have  $c y_{\sigma(i)} = a_i x_i$ .

**Remark 6.2.** Let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $U(n)$ . If  $S$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence (a  $D$ -extremal sequence) for  $A$  and if  $S$  and  $T$  are  $A$ -equivalent, then  $T$  is also an  $E$ -extremal sequence (a  $D$ -extremal sequence) for  $A$ .

When  $n = p^r$  where  $p$  is an odd prime, in Theorem 3 of [2] it was shown that a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$  if and only if it is  $U(n)$ -equivalent to the sequence

$$(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, 1, p, p^2, \dots, p^{r-1}).$$

In Theorem 4 of [2] it was shown that when  $n = 2^r$ , a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a  $D$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$  if and only if it is  $U(n)$ -equivalent to the sequence  $(1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1})$ .

The next result has been shown in [6] and [7]. We can also derive this as a consequence of Theorem 5.5.

**Theorem 6.3.** For any  $n$  we have  $E_{U(n)}(n) = n + \Omega(n)$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** A sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(2^r)$  if it is  $U(2^r)$ -equivalent to a sequence of length  $n + r - 1$  in which  $2^i$  occurs exactly once for each  $i \in [0, r - 2]$  and there exists an odd number  $m \in [1, 2^r - 1]$  such that  $2^{r-1}$  occurs exactly  $m$  times and the remaining terms are zero.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a sequence as in the statement of the theorem. Suppose  $T$  is a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of  $S$  of length  $2^r$ . Consider the set  $J = \{i \in [0, r - 2] : 2^i \in T\}$ . Suppose  $J \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $J$  has a least element  $i_0$ . As  $T$  cannot have only one non-zero term, we see that  $2^i$  is a term of  $T$  for some  $i \in [i_0 + 1, r - 1]$ . As  $2^{i_0+1}$  divides all the terms of  $T$  except  $2^{i_0}$  and as  $T$

is a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence, we get the contradiction that a unit is divisible by 2.

Thus we see that  $J = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $T$  is a sequence of length  $2^r$  in which there are an odd number of non-zero terms all of which are equal to  $2^{r-1}$ . As  $T$  is a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence, we see that an odd multiple of  $2^{r-1}$  is zero. This gives the contradiction that  $2^{r-1} = 0$ . Hence, we see that  $S$  is a sequence of length  $2^r + r - 1$  which does not have any  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $2^r$ . From Theorem 6.3 we get that  $E_{U(2^r)}(2^r) = 2^r + r$ . So we see that  $S$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(2^r)$ . It follows that a sequence which is  $U(2^r)$ -equivalent to  $S$  is also an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(2^r)$ .  $\square$

The next result is Lemma 1 (ii) of [7].

**Lemma 6.5.** *If a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  has a non-zero even number of units, then it is a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

**Theorem 6.6.** *If a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(2^r)$ , then it is  $U(2^r)$ -equivalent to a sequence in which  $2^i$  occurs exactly once for each  $i \in [0, r-2]$  and there is an odd number  $m \in [1, 2^r - 1]$  such that  $2^{r-1}$  occurs exactly  $m$  times and the remaining terms are zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2^r$  and  $S$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  which is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$ . By Theorem 6.3 we have that  $E_{U(n)}(n) = n + r$ . So any  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$  has length  $n + r - 1$ . Suppose  $S$  has at least two units. By Lemma 6.5 we see that  $S$  has a zero-sum subsequence of length  $t$  for any even  $t$  which is at most  $k - 1$ . We may assume that  $r \geq 2$  and so we get that  $k - 1 = n + r - 2 \geq n$ . Thus, we get the contradiction that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $n$ . Hence, we see that  $S$  has at most one unit.

Let  $s \leq r - 2$ . Suppose for each  $i \in [0, s - 1]$ , the sequence  $S$  has at most one term which is a unit multiple of  $2^i$ . We claim that  $S$  has at most one term which is a unit multiple of  $2^s$ . By our assumption, we see that  $S$  has at least  $k - s$  terms which are divisible by  $2^s$ . If our claim is not true, we can find a subsequence of  $S$  having length  $k - s - 1$  which has an even number of terms which are a unit multiple of  $2^s$ .

So given any even number  $t$  which is at most  $k - s - 1$ , by Lemma 6.5 we see that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having length  $t$ . As  $n = k - (r - 1)$  and  $s \leq r - 2$ , it follows that  $n \leq k - 1 - s$ . Thus, we get the contradiction that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $n$ . Hence, our claim must be true. Thus, we see by induction that for each

$i \in [0, r-2]$  the sequence  $S$  can have at most one term which is a unit multiple of  $2^i$ .

We now claim that for each  $i \in [0, r-2]$  the sequence  $S$  has exactly one term which is a unit multiple of  $2^i$ . If not, there are at most  $r-2$  such terms and so  $S$  will have at least  $k - (r-2) = n+1$  terms which are either zero or a unit multiple of  $2^{r-1}$ . We can find a subsequence of  $S$  having length  $n$  which has an even number of terms which are a unit multiple of  $2^{r-1}$ . So by Lemma 6.5 we get the contradiction that  $S$  has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length  $n$ . Hence, we see that our claim is true.

Thus, we see that  $S$  has  $k - (r-1) = n$  terms which are either zero or a unit multiple of  $2^{r-1}$ . By Lemma 6.5 we see that the number of terms of  $S$  which are a unit multiple of  $2^{r-1}$  must be odd. Thus,  $S$  is  $U(n)$ -equivalent to a sequence in which  $2^i$  occurs exactly once for each  $i \in [0, r-2]$  and there is an odd number  $m \in [1, n-1]$  such that  $2^{r-1}$  occurs exactly  $m$  times and the remaining terms are zero.  $\square$

For example, a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  is an  $E$ -extremal sequence for  $U(8)$  if and only if it is  $U(8)$ -equivalent to one of the following sequences:

$$(1, 2, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 4, 4, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

$$(1, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 0).$$

We will now characterize the  $C$ -extremal sequences for  $U(2^r)$ . The following result is Lemma 5 in [8].

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $S$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  such that every term of  $S$  is divisible by  $p$ . Suppose  $n' = n/p$  and  $S'$  is the sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$  whose terms are obtained by dividing the terms of  $S$  by  $p$  and taking their images under  $f_{n,n'}$ . If  $S'$  is a  $U(n')$ -weighted zero-sum sequence, then  $S$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

**Theorem 6.8.** *Let  $n = 2^r$ ,  $n' = n/2$  and  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Suppose  $S_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_{n'-1})$  and  $S_2 = (x_{n'+1}, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . Then  $S$  is a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$  if and only if all the terms of  $S$  are even except the ‘middle’ term  $x_{n'}$  and  $S'_1, S'_2$  are  $C$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n')$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$  where  $S'_1$  and  $S'_2$  denote the sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$  which are obtained by dividing the terms of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  by two and then taking their images under  $f_{n,n'}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2^r$ ,  $n' = n/2$  and  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  be a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n)$ . Suppose  $S$  has at least two odd terms. We can find a subsequence  $T$

of consecutive terms of  $S$  which has exactly two odd terms. By Lemma 6.5 we get the contradiction that  $T$  is a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. Thus, we see that at most one term of  $S$  can be odd.

Suppose the ‘middle’ term  $x_{n'}$  is even. As at most one term of  $S$  is odd, we can find a subsequence  $T$  of consecutive terms of  $S$  of length  $n'$  all of whose terms are even. Let  $T'$  be the sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$  whose terms are obtained by dividing the terms of  $T$  by two and then taking their images under  $f_{n,n'}$ . As  $n' = 2^{r-1}$  by Theorem 3.8 we have that  $C_{U(n')}(n') = n'$ . Hence, as  $T'$  has length  $n'$  we see that  $T'$  has an  $U(n')$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. So by Lemma 6.7 we get the contradiction that  $T$  (and hence  $S$ ) has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms.

Thus, we see that  $S$  has a unique odd term which is  $x_{n'}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  consider the sequences  $S_i$  and  $S'_i$  which are as defined in the statement of the theorem. Suppose  $S'_1$  has a  $U(n')$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. By Lemma 6.7 we get the contradiction that  $S_1$  (and hence  $S$ ) has a  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. As  $S'_1$  has length  $n' - 1$ , it follows that  $S'_1$  is a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n')$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$ . A similar argument shows that  $S'_2$  is also a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(n')$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n'}$ .

The proof of the converse part is similar to the proof of Theorem 5 of [9] and so we will omit it.  $\square$

For example, a sequence  $S$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  is a  $C$ -extremal sequence for  $U(8)$  if and only if there exist units  $a_1, \dots, a_7 \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  such that

$$S = (4a_1, 2a_2, 4a_3, a_4, 4a_5, 2a_6, 4a_7).$$

## 7 Some other weight-sets

For a real number  $x$ , we denote the smallest integer which is greater than or equal to  $x$  by  $\lceil x \rceil$ . When  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  where  $r < n$ , it is shown in Theorem 3 (i) of [13] that  $D_A(n) = \lceil n/r \rceil$ . As we have that  $C_A(n) \geq D_A(n)$ , it follows that  $C_A(n) \geq \lceil n/r \rceil$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  where  $r < n$ . Then we get  $C_A(n) = \lceil n/r \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $C_A(n) \leq \lceil n/r \rceil$ . Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of length  $m = \lceil n/r \rceil$ . Consider the sequence

$$S' = (\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{r \text{ times}}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{r \text{ times}}, \dots, \overbrace{x_m, \dots, x_m}^{r \text{ times}}).$$

We observe that the length of  $S'$  is  $mr$  which is at least  $n$ . By Theorem 1 of [8] we have  $C_{\{1\}}(n) \leq n$  and so we see that  $S'$  has a zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. Thus, we obtain an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of  $S$  having consecutive terms. Hence, it follows that  $C_A(n) \leq \lceil n/r \rceil$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $n$  be even,  $v_2(n) = r$  and  $m = n/2^r$ . Let  $B$  denote the set of all odd elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\{m\} \subseteq A \subseteq B$ . Then we have that  $C_A(n) = 2^r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  having length  $k = 2^r$ . Let  $r = v_2(n)$ ,  $m = n/2^r$  and  $S'$  denote the image of the sequence  $S$  under the map  $f_{n,2^r}$ . By Theorem 1 of [8] we have that  $C_{\{1\}}(2^r) \leq 2^r$ . So there is a subsequence  $T$  of  $S$  having consecutive terms such that the image  $T'$  of  $T$  under  $f_{n,2^r}$  is a zero-sum subsequence. It follows that the sum of the terms of  $T$  is divisible by  $2^r$ . So we see that  $T$  is an  $\{m\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. Thus, we see that  $C_{\{m\}}(n) \leq 2^r$ .

By Corollary 2 of [8] we have that  $C_{U(2^r)}(2^r) \geq 2^r$ . So there is a sequence  $S'$  of length  $2^r - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  which has no  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of consecutive terms. As the map  $f_{n,2^r}$  is onto, we can find a sequence  $S$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  whose image under  $f_{n,2^r}$  is  $S'$ . Suppose  $S$  has a  $B$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. As  $n$  is even, we see that the image of  $B$  under  $f_{n,2^r}$  is contained in  $U(2^r)$ . So we get the contradiction that  $S'$  has a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. Thus, we see that  $C_B(n) \geq 2^r$ .

As we have that  $\{m\} \subseteq A \subseteq B$ , it follows that  $C_B(n) \leq C_A(n) \leq C_{\{m\}}(n)$  and so we get that  $C_A(n) = 2^r$ .  $\square$

Our next result generalizes a result of [13]. We follow a similar argument as in Theorem 7.2.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $n$  be even,  $v_2(n) = r$  and  $m = n/2^r$ . Let  $B$  denote the set of all odd elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\{m\} \subseteq A \subseteq B$ . Then we have that  $D_A(n) = r + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  having length  $k = r + 1$ . Let  $r = v_2(n)$ ,  $m = n/2^r$  and  $S'$  denote the image of the sequence  $S$  under the map  $f_{n,2^r}$ . By Theorem 4.4 we have that  $D_{U(2^r)}(2^r) = r + 1$ . So there is a subsequence  $T$  of  $S$  such that the image  $T'$  of  $T$  under  $f_{n,2^r}$  is a  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. By Lemma 7 of [9] we see that the map  $f_{n,2^r}$  maps  $U(n)$  onto  $U(2^r)$ . So if  $I$  denotes the set  $\{i : x_i \text{ is a term of } T\}$ , we see that for each  $i \in I$  there exists  $a_i \in U(n)$  such that  $f_{n,2^r}(\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i) = 0$ . Hence, it follows that  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i$  is divisible by  $2^r$  and so we see that  $T$  is an  $\{m\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. Thus, we see that  $D_{\{m\}}(n) \leq r + 1$ .

By Theorem 4.4 we have that  $D_{U(2^r)}(2^r) = r + 1$ . So there is a sequence  $S'$  of length  $r$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  which has no  $U(2^r)$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. The rest of the proof is very similar to the argument given in the second and third paragraphs of the proof of Theorem 7.2. Hence, we get that  $D_A(n) = r + 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.4.** When  $n$  is even and  $A$  is the set of all even elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , in [13] it is shown that  $D_A(n) = 2$  and so it follows that  $C_A(n) = 2$ .

When  $n$  is odd and  $A$  is the set of all odd (or all even) elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , in [13] it is shown that  $D_A(n) = 3$ . From the proof of this result we see that  $C_A(n) = 3$ .

**Theorem 7.5.** Let  $p$  and  $k$  be odd primes such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k^2}$ . Then we have that  $D_{U(p)^k}(p) \leq k$ .

*Proof.* As  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  there exists  $c \in U(p)$  such that  $c$  has order  $k$ . The subgroup  $U(p)^k$  is the image of the map  $U(p) \rightarrow U(p)$  given by  $x \mapsto x^k$ . The kernel of this map has at most  $k$  elements and contains  $c$ . As  $c$  has order  $k$ , it follows that the kernel is  $\langle c \rangle$ . Thus, we have that  $|U(p)| = k |U(p)^k|$  and so we see that  $U(p)/U(p)^k$  has order  $k$ .

Suppose  $c \in U(p)^k$ . Then there exists  $a \in U(p)$  such that  $c = a^k$  and so  $a^{k^2} = c^k = 1$ . As  $k$  is a prime and  $a^k = c \neq 1$ , we see that the order of  $a$  is  $k^2$ . However, as  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k^2}$  there is no element of order  $k^2$  in  $U(p)$ . Thus, we see that  $c \notin U(p)^k$ . For  $x \in U(p)$  if we denote the coset  $xU(p)^k$  by  $[x]$  we see that  $[c] \neq [1]$ . As  $k$  is prime we see that  $[c]$  has order  $k$ . Hence, we get a partition of  $U(p)$  by the cosets  $[1], [c], \dots, [c^{k-1}]$ .

Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a sequence in  $U(p)$ . Suppose we show that  $S$  has a  $U(p)^k$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. It will follow that  $D_{U(p)^k}(p) \leq k$ .

*Case 7.5.1.* There exist two elements of  $S$  which are in the same coset.

As there exists  $l \in [0, k-1]$  such that  $x_i, x_j \in [c^l]$ , it follows that there exist  $a, b \in U(p)^k$  such that  $x_i = a c^l$  and  $x_j = b c^l$ . Then we have that  $(-b)x_i + a x_j = 0$ . As  $k$  is odd, we see that  $-1 \in U(p)^k$ . Hence, it follows that  $(x_i, x_j)$  is a  $U(p)^k$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of  $S$ .

*Case 7.5.2.* No two elements of  $S$  are in the same coset.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that for each  $i \in [1, k]$  there exist  $a_i \in U(p)^k$  such that  $x_i = a_i c^{i-1}$ . Then  $a_1^{-1} x_1 + a_2^{-1} x_2 + \dots + a_k^{-1} x_k = 1 + c + \dots + c^{k-1} = 0$  as  $c$  satisfies  $X^k - 1 = (X - 1)(X^{k-1} + \dots + X + 1)$  and  $c \neq 1$ . Thus, it follows that  $S$  is a  $U(p)^k$ -weighted zero-sum sequence.  $\square$

From Theorem 3 of [3] we see that  $D_{U(p)^2}(p) = 3$  where  $p$  is an odd prime. This shows that Theorem 7.5 is not true when  $k = 2$ . The next result is Corollary 1 of [9].

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $A$  be a subgroup of  $F^*$ . A sequence  $S = (x, y)$  in  $F$  does not have an  $A$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence if and only if  $x$  and  $-y$  are in different cosets of  $A$  in  $F^*$ .*

**Corollary 7.7.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $A$  be a proper subgroup of  $F^*$ . Then we have that  $D_A(F) \geq 3$ .*

**Corollary 7.8.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ . Then we have that  $D_{U(p)^k}(p) \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* As  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , there is an element in  $U(p)$  of order  $k$ . So as in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7.5 we see that the index of the subgroup  $U(p)^k$  of  $U(p)$  is  $k$ . As  $k \geq 2$  we see that  $U(p)^k$  is a proper subgroup of  $U(p)$  and so the result follows from Corollary 7.7.  $\square$

## 8 Concluding remarks

It will be interesting to characterize the extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  for the  $U(n)$ -weighted zero-sum constants  $D_{U(n)}(n)$ ,  $C_{U(n)}(n)$  and  $E_{U(n)}(n)$ , when  $n$  is an even number which is not a power of 2. In [2] the  $D$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  have been characterized when  $n$  is odd and the  $E$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  have been characterized when  $n$  is a power of an odd prime. In [9] the  $C$ -extremal sequences for  $U(n)$  have been characterized when  $n$  is odd.

In Theorem 3 of [13] and Remark 7.4 it is shown that  $C_A(n) = D_A(n) = 3$  when  $n$  is odd and  $A$  is the set of all odd elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . In Theorem 3 of [3] and Theorem 4 of [8] it is shown that  $C_{Q_p}(p) = D_{Q_p}(p) = 3$  where  $p$  is an odd prime. This makes us curious to see if we can find a weight-set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $n$  is odd such that  $A$  has size  $(n-1)/2$  and we have that  $D_A(n) > 3$  or  $C_A(n) > 3$ .

**Acknowledgement.** Santanu Mondal would like to acknowledge CSIR, Govt. of India, for a research fellowship.

## References

- [1] S. D. Adhikari, The relation between two combinatorial group invariants: History and ramifications *Math. Student* **77** nos. 1-4 (2008), 131-143.



- [2] S. D. Adhikari, I. Molla and S. Paul, Extremal sequences for some weighted zero-sum constants for cyclic groups, *CANT IV, Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **347** (2021), 1-10.
- [3] S. D. Adhikari and P. Rath, Davenport constant with weights and some related questions, *Integers* **6** (2006), #A30.
- [4] H. Enomoto, P. Frankl, N. Ito and K. Nomura, Codes with given distances, *Graphs Combin.* **3** (1987), 25-38.
- [5] W. D. Gao, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, *J. Number Theory* **58** (1996), 100-103.
- [6] S. Griffiths, The Erdős-Ginzberg-Ziv theorem with units, *Discrete Math.* **308** no. 23 (2008), 5473-5484.
- [7] F. Luca, A generalization of a classical zero-sum problem, *Discrete Math.* **307** no. 13 (2007), 1672-1678.
- [8] S. Mondal, K. Paul and S. Paul, On a different weighted zero-sum constant, *Discrete Math.* **346** no. 6 (2023), 113350.
- [9] S. Mondal, K. Paul and S. Paul, Extremal sequences for a weighted zero-sum constant, *Integers* **22** (2022), #A93.
- [10] S. Mondal, K. Paul and S. Paul, Zero-sum constants related to the Jacobi symbol. arXiv:2111.14477v3.
- [11] J.E. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, I, *J. Number Theory* **1** (1969), 8-10.
- [12] J.E. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, II, *J. Number Theory* **1** (1969), 195-199.
- [13] X. Xia and Z. Li, Some Davenport constants with weights and Adhikari and Rath's conjecture, *Ars Combin.* **88** (2008), 83-95.
- [14] P. Yuan and X. Zeng, Davenport constant with weights, *European J. Combin.* **31** (2010), 677-680.