arXiv:2203.02789v1 [math.FA] 5 Mar 2022

A monotonicity version of a concavity theorem of Lieb

Eric A. Carlen

Department of Mathematics, Hill Center,

Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway NJ 08854-8019 USA

March 8, 2022

Abstract

We give a simple proof of a strengthened version of a theorem of Lieb that played a key role in the proof of strong subadditivity of the quantum entropy.

1 Introduction

We write $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to denote the $n \times n$ complex matrices, $M_n^+(\mathbb{C})$ the subset consisting of positive semidefinite matrices, and $M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C})$ the subset consisting of positive definite matrices. The following theorem was proved by Lieb in 1973 [6, Theorem 6].

1.1 THEOREM (Lieb)**.** *For all self-adjoint $H \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the function*

$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(H + \log Y)\right] \tag{1.1}$$

is concave on $M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C})$.

As a simple consequence of this, Lieb deduced his *triple matrix inequality*, a generalization of the Golden-Thompson inequality to three self adjoint matrices. This played a fundamental role in the proof of strong subadditivity of the quantum entropy [7]. For more recent applications of Theorem 1.1, see the influential paper of Tropp [13].

We now prove a stronger version of this theorem in terms of monotonicity instead of concavity. Recall that a linear map $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_m(\mathbb{C})$ is *positive* if $\Phi(A) \in M_m^+(\mathbb{C})$ whenever $A \in M_n^+(\mathbb{C})$, and is unital if $\Phi(I) = I$, and *trace preserving* if $\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi(X)] = \mathrm{Tr}[X]$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We equip $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product, and we use $\Phi^\dagger$ to denote the corresponding adjoint of a linear map on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Note that Φ is unital if and only if $\Phi^\dagger$ is trace preserving. Our main result is:

[1]Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS 2055282.

1.2 THEOREM. *Let $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_m(\mathbb{C})$ be unital and positive. Then for all self-adjoint $H \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and all $Y \in M_m^{++}(\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(H + \log \Phi^\dagger(Y))\right] \geq \mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(\Phi(H) + \log Y)\right] \ . \tag{1.2}$$

Before giving the very simple proof, we explain how Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1. Let $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by

$$\Phi(H) = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and hence} \quad \Phi^\dagger\left(\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = Y_1 + Y_2 \ . \tag{1.3}$$

Thus,

$$\mathrm{Tr}[e^{H+\log(Y_1+Y_2)}] \geq \mathrm{Tr}[e^{H+\log Y_1}] + \mathrm{Tr}[e^{H+\log Y_2}] \ .$$

Since $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}[e^{H+\log Y}]$ is homogeneous of degree one, this is the same as concavity. Note that this particular map Φ is not only positive; it is completely positive.

Our proof is based on the well-known and elementary Gibbs variational principle for the free energy in terms of the entropy $S(X) = -\mathrm{Tr}[X \log X]$ of a density matrix X. This states that for all self-adjoint $K \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\log(\mathrm{Tr}[e^K]) = \sup\{\mathrm{Tr}[XK] - \mathrm{Tr}[X \log X] \ : \ X \in M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[X] = 1 \ \} \ . \tag{1.4}$$

A short proof from scratch can be found in Appendix A of [4]. There is a simple variant involving the relative entropy

$$D(X||Y) = \mathrm{Tr}[X(\log X - \log Y)]$$

of two density matrices: For $W \in M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C})$ replace K with $K + \log W$ in (1.4) to conclude that for all self-adjoint $K \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and all $W \in M_m^{++}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\log(\mathrm{Tr}[e^{K+\log W}]) = \sup\{\mathrm{Tr}[XK] - D(X||W) \ : \ X \in M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[X] = 1 \ \} \ . \tag{1.5}$$

We shall also use the result due to Müller-Hermes and Reeb [9] that the relative entropy is monotone under positive trace-preserving maps $\Phi^\dagger$. That is, for all such maps $\Phi^\dagger$, and all density matrices X, Y

$$D(\Phi^\dagger(X)||\Phi^\dagger(Y)) \leq D(X||Y) \ . \tag{1.6}$$

A somewhat weaker result is due to Uhlmann, who proved in 1977 that (1.6) is true whenever $\Phi^\dagger$ is the dual of a unital *Schwarz map*; i.e., a unital map Φ such that $\Phi(A^*A) \geq \Phi(A)^*\Phi(A)$. Earlier still in 1973, Lindblad had shown that (1.6) was valid unital completely positive Φ. Lindblad's proof relied on the Lieb Concavity Theorem [6]. It is well known that every completely positive unital map is a Schwarz map, and it is evident that Schwarz maps are positive. By now, quite simple proofs of the monotonicity of the relative entropy under the dual of a unital Schwarz map are known; see e.g., [10]. The deep result in [9] turns on ideas introduced by Beigi [1].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\mathrm{Tr}[e^{H+\log\Phi^\dagger(Y)}]) &= \sup\{\mathrm{Tr}[XH] - D(X||\Phi^\dagger(Y)) \ : \ X \in M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[X] = 1 \ \} \\
&\geq \sup\{\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi^\dagger(W)H] - D(\Phi^\dagger(W)||\Phi^\dagger(Y)) \ : \ W \in M_m^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[W] = 1 \ \} \\
&\geq \sup\{\mathrm{Tr}[W\Phi(H)] - D(W||Y) \ : \ W \in M_m^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[W] = 1 \ \} \\
&= \log \mathrm{Tr}\left[e^{\Phi(H)+\log Y}\right] \ .
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\{ \ \Phi^\dagger(W) \ : \ W \in M_m^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[W] = 1 \ \} \subset \{ \ X \in M_n^{++}(\mathbb{C}) \ , \mathrm{Tr}[X] = 1 \ \}$ and (1.6). Exponentiating both sides of the inequality yields (1.2). □

While Theorem 1.2 is strictly stronger than Theorem 1.1, the greatest interest in it may lie in the very simple proof that its proof provides of Theorem 1.1. This is an interesting example of how it may be easiest to prove a concavity result by first proving a monotonicity result, and then applying that to the particular map Φ that is defined in (1.3).

It is interesting to observe another advantage of the monotonicity approach to convexity or concavity inequalities. A duality method for proving convexity and concavity inequalities was introduced by myself and Lieb in [3] which uses the lemma:

1.3 LEMMA. *If $f(x, y)$ is jointly convex in x, y, then $g(x) := \inf_y f(x, y)$ is convex. If $f(x, y)$ is jointly concave in x, y, then $g(x) := \sup_y f(x, y)$ is concave.*

This may be found in [11, Theorem 1], and the simple proof is also given in [3]. Since $(X, W) \mapsto D(X||W)$, is jointly convex, for fixed K, $(X, W) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}[XK] - D(X||W)$, is jointly concave. Then by Lemma 1.3 and (1.5), $W \mapsto \log \mathrm{Tr}[e^{K+\log W}]$ is concave. However, this is a weaker statement than Theorem 1.1 since if $g(x) = \log(f(x))$ with f positive and twice continuously differentiable.

$$g''(x) = -\left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{f(x)} f''(x) \ .$$

Thus, concavity of f implies concavity of $\log f$, but not the other way around. However, monotonicity of f is equivalent to monotonicity of $\log f$. For this reason, we could simply use the Gibbs variational principle to prove our Theorem 1.2. Tropp [12] found an ingenious variational representation of $W \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}[e^{K+\log W}]$ which allowed him to give a proof of Theorem 1.1 using the joint convexity of the relative entropy and Lemma 1.3, along the lines of [3]. However, when using duality to to prove the monotonicity theorem, the logarithm is not an issue because log monotonicity is the same as monotonicity, and we do not need Lemma 1.3. Already in 1973 Epstein [5] gave a second proof of Theorem 1.1 using the theory of Herglotz functions, but this is considerably more involved than the present proof. For more infromation, see [2].

Aclknowledgement I thank Aleksander Müller-Hermes for helpful correspondence on his work with Reeb.

References

[1] S. Beigi. *Sandwiched Rényi Divergence Satisfies Data Processing Inequality.* J. Math. Phys., **54** (2013), 122202.

[2] E. A. Carlen, *On some convexity and monotonicity inequalities of Elliott Lieb*, arXiv:2202.03591

[3] E. A. Carlen and E. H. Lieb. A Minkowski-type trace inequality and strong subadditivity of quantum entropy II: Convexity and concavity. Lett. Math. Phys., **83** (2008)107-126,

[4] E. A. Carlen and E. H. Lieb, *Some trace inequalities for exponential and logarithmic functions*, Bull. Math. Sciences, **1**, No. 2 (2019) 1950008

[5] H. Epstein *On a concavity theorem of Lieb*, Comm.. Math. Phys. **31** (1973), 317–327

[6] E. H. Lieb, *Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture.* Advances in Math. **11** (1973), 267–288.

[7] E. H. Lieb and M. B. Ruskai: *Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy*, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 1973, pp. 1938-1941.

[8] G. Lindblad, *Expectations and entropy inequalities for finite quantum systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **39** (1974), 111–119.

[9] A. Müller-Hermes and D. Reeb. *Monotonicity of the quantum relative entropy under positive maps.* Annales Henri Poincaré, **18** (2107), 1777–1788.

[10] D. Petz, *Monotonicity of the qunatum relative entropy revisited*, Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003), 79-91.

[11] R. T. Rockafellar, *Conjugate duality and optimization*, Vol. 16, Regional conference series in applied mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1974

[12] J. Tropp, *From joint convexity of quantum relative entropy to a concavity theorem of Lieb*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **140** (2012), 1757–1760

[13] J. Tropp, *User-Friendly Tail Bounds for Sums of Random Matrices*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics **12** (2012), 389–434