

The case for a new quark with a mass of 2.9 GeV

Scott Chapman^{1,*}

¹*Institute for Quantum Studies, Chapman University, Orange, CA 92866, USA*

(Dated: December 23, 2022)

The existence of a fourth flavor of down-type quark with a mass of ~ 2.9 GeV is hypothesized. It is assumed that the W boson connects the right-chiral components of this quark and the charm quark. Experimental results that could be explained by such a quark include the following: The recently reported W boson mass measurement that differs from some Standard Model predictions by 7σ ; 3σ issues with some CKM matrix element measurements; and difficulties with inclusive hadronic cross section data. Many of the recently discovered exotic resonances thought to be 4- or 5-quark hadrons are re-interpreted as mesons and baryons involving the additional quark.

INTRODUCTION

This paper assumes the following hypothesis: There is a fourth down-type quark (charge $-\frac{1}{3}$) whose mass is approximately 2.9 GeV. The quark can decay to a right-chiral charm quark and a virtual W boson. Due to both left- and right-chiral weak interactions, the theory's Z boson can be a Flavor Changing Neutral Current (FCNC). This allows the hypothesized quark to also decay to other down-type quarks.

The paper begins by calculating how the mass of the W boson would be modified if another “fourth” down-type quark existed. With this additional quark, the W boson mass is calculated to be within 1.5σ of the recently reported measurement by CDF, a measurement that differs from some Standard Model predictions by 7σ .

Next, it is pointed out that CKM data suggest the possibility that some quark interactions with the W boson are right-chiral (aka right-handed). It is shown that the 3σ deviations in the data can be reproduced if the W-boson interactions involving both the first and fourth generations of down-type quark are right-chiral (with the second and third remaining left-chiral).

This mixture of right- and left-chiral interactions implies that the Z boson can be a flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC), especially for interactions involving the fourth down-type quark. This FCNC opens interesting new decay channels for hadrons involving the new quark.

Inclusive hadronic cross sections at the Z pole as well as “R value” measurements would seem to rule out the possibility of an additional quark. However, it is argued that $Z/\gamma \rightarrow u\bar{u}$ events are mostly indistinguishable from $Z/\gamma \rightarrow d\bar{d}$ events (rather than the opposite assumption that is usually made). In that case, with reasonable assumptions about how to combine those events, the model involving the new quark is able to reproduce inclusive hadronic cross section data.

When adding a new chiral quark, renormalizability requires ensuring that gauge anomalies still cancel in the theory as a whole. One way to do this would be to add

not just one down-type quark, but an entire fourth generation of quarks and leptons (with the usual caveats, like the fourth neutrino being heavy, etc.). Another way to do it that does not require a fourth up-type quark is proposed in the model presented in [1, 2].

If such a down-type quark exists, it should have been observed. Indeed, it is suggested that many of the exotic resonances observed over the last two decades are not 4- or 5-quark hadrons, but rather just normal mesons and baryons involving the new quark (labelled by f). The following Quark Model (QM) mappings are proposed:

Proposed f -quark Mesons and Baryons

| Name | QM | Quarks |
|---------------------------|----------|--|
| $X(3250), X(3350)$ | 1^1S_0 | $f\bar{u}, u\bar{f}, f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c3}(3842)$ | 1^3P_0 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ | 1^3P_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $Z_c^{\pm,0}(3900)$ | 1^1P_1 | $f\bar{u}, u\bar{f}, f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $X^{\pm,0}(4020)$ | 2^3S_1 | $f\bar{u}, u\bar{f}, f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $X(4160)$ | 1^1D_2 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $Y(4230)$ | 1^3D_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c1}(4274)$ | 2^3P_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $Z_c^{\pm}(4430)$ | 2^1P_1 | $f\bar{u}, u\bar{f}$ |
| $Y(4500)$ | 3^3S_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\psi(4660)$ | 2^3D_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c1}(4685)$ | 3^3P_1 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c0}(4700)$ | 3^3P_0 | $f\bar{d}, d\bar{f}$ |
| $R(3760)$ | 1^3S_1 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $X(3960)$ | 1^3P_0 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ | 1^3P_1 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $\psi(4360)$ | 2^3S_1 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $R(4407)$ | 1^3D_1 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $\chi_{c0}(4500)$ | 2^3P_0 | $f\bar{s}, s\bar{f}$ |
| $X(6600)$ | 1^3S_1 | $f\bar{f}$ |
| $X(6900)$ | 1^3P_0 | $f\bar{f}$ |
| $X(7200)$ | 2^3S_1 | $f\bar{f}$ |
| $P_c(4312)\dots$ | | fuu |
| $P_c(4457), P_{cs}(4459)$ | | fuu, fud |

(1)

* schapman@chapman.edu

The production and decay processes associated with

these mappings are explained after the sections describing CKM matrix modifications and FCNC.

1. W BOSON MASS

Recently CDF reported the following measurement of the mass of the W boson [3]:

$$M_W = 80.4335 \pm 0.0094 \text{ GeV.} \quad (2)$$

This measurement is 7σ larger than the Standard Model calculation of $80.360 \pm 0.006 \text{ GeV}$ reported by PDG [4].

In the modified minimal subtraction renormalization scheme, the W boson mass is given by

$$M_W = \frac{A_0}{\hat{s}_Z (1 - \Delta\hat{r}_{\text{SM}} - \Delta\hat{r}_f)^{1/2}}, \quad (3)$$

where the measured values of A_0 , \hat{s}_Z , and $\Delta\hat{r} = \Delta\hat{r}_{\text{SM}}$ are reported in [4]. In the above expression, $\Delta\hat{r}_{\text{SM}}$ involves Standard-Model quantum corrections, while $\Delta\hat{r}_f$ involves quantum corrections from the new quark.

For a quark with mass like the one discussed here, the quantum corrections arise mainly from its modification of the electromagnetic running coupling constant α at the scale of M_Z [4]. A rough estimate for this modification is the following:

$$\Delta\alpha_{\text{HAD}}^{(b)} < \Delta\hat{r}_f < \frac{1}{4}\Delta\alpha_{\text{HAD}}^{(c)}, \quad (4)$$

where the expressions above on the left and right are the modifications to α from the beauty quark and charm quark, respectively, taken from [5]. The factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ is due to the fact that the charge squared of the charm quark is 4 times that of the new quark. This estimate implies that the new quark generates a W boson mass of:

$$M_W = 80.432 \pm 0.015 \text{ GeV.} \quad (5)$$

In other words, if the fourth down-type quark exists, it could provide an explanation for the CDF W boson mass measurement.

2. CKM MATRIX MODIFICATIONS

As mentioned above, this fourth quark is proposed to have a right-chiral connection to the W boson. That implies a significant difference from the CKM matrix of the Standard Model. This section shows how existing CKM data motivate the existence of right-chiral quark interactions.

In the Standard Model, there are three generations of down-type quarks and three generations of up-type quarks. The down-type quarks have a 3x3 mass matrix M_D whose rows and columns connect left- and right-chiral quarks, respectively. Similarly, the up-type quarks have their own 3x3 mass matrix M_U . It is always possible

to find four 3x3 unitary matrices V that cause the following matrices to be diagonal: $V_L^D M_D V_R^{D\dagger}$ and $V_L^U M_U V_R^{U\dagger}$. The diagonal elements of these matrices are the mass eigenvalues (m_d, m_s, m_b) and (m_u, m_c, m_t) .

According to the Standard Model, the W boson connects only the left-chiral up- and down-type quarks from the same generation. The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix translates this same-generation connection into the mass eigenstates. Specifically, the Standard-Model CKM matrix is given by:

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = V_L^U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V_L^{D\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The unit matrix in the middle expression above has been inserted to emphasize the fact that in the Standard Model, the left-chiral components of all three generations are connected. Since the matrix in the middle is a unit matrix, V_{CKM} is just the product of two unitary matrices (V_L^U and $V_L^{D\dagger}$), so it is also a unitary matrix.

Recent data have hinted at a possible problem with the above Standard-Model CKM matrix structure. The latest measurements of the CKM matrix lead to a first-row unitarity calculation of 0.9985 ± 0.0005 [6], which is a 3σ variation from the unitary value of 1 predicted by the Standard Model. In addition, the following 2.9σ difference is measured:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vector: } |V_{us}| &= 0.22309(40)(39)(3) \\ \text{Axial Vector: } |V_{us}| &= 0.2254(3)(4)(3), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the first result above is from semi-leptonic kaon decay and the second is from leptonic [7]. If quark interactions with the W boson were exclusively left-chiral, there would be no difference between values of CKM elements measured from vector current vs. axial vector current decays. If the measured difference in these decays continues to persist with increased statistics, it suggests that the W boson may have right-chiral connections with some quarks.

Another example is the fact that V_{cb} measured via exclusive decays differs by 2-3 σ from V_{cb} via inclusive decays [8]. A similar discrepancy is seen for V_{ub} . Previous authors have suggested the possibility of the W boson having right-chiral interactions to help explain the tension generated by these V_{cb} and V_{ub} measurements [9–11].

It is worth reviewing the direct experimental evidence pertaining to whether left-chiral or right-chiral components of the known quarks interact with the W boson. Recent top quark polarization measurements by ATLAS confirm that for the third generation, the data are consistent with the W boson connecting only left-chiral quarks [12]. In addition, measurements by Belle of tau lepton polarization in $b \rightarrow c$ semi-leptonic decays imply that since the third generation connection is left-chiral, the second generation is also left-chiral [13].

On the other hand, there is no direct evidence that the W-boson connection for first generation quarks is

left-chiral. A complication is the fact that only about a third of the proton's spin comes from the helicity of its quarks [14], so polarization of protons does not directly translate into polarization of constituent quarks. In other words, there is no direct experimental evidence that would contradict a model that had right-chiral (instead of left-chiral) first-generation quark interactions with the W boson, as long as the model was able to reproduce the observed CKM data.

A simple modification of the Standard Model would be the following: For the second and third generations, continue to assume only left-chiral W-quark interactions, but for the first generation, assume only right-chiral W-quark interactions.

In such a model, one could define two different CKM matrices as follows:

$$V_{\text{CKM}}^{\pm} = V_R^U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_R^{D\dagger} \pm V_L^U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V_L^{D\dagger}. \quad (8)$$

A different CKM matrix should be used depending on whether a vector current or an axial vector current process is being considered. Specifically:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vector current decays: } & V_{\text{CKM}}^+ \\ \text{Axial vector current decays: } & V_{\text{CKM}}^- \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

There is no requirement for either of the above CKM matrices to be unitary.

Consider the following quark mass matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} M_D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.00997 & -0.02154 & -0.12076 \\ -0.01912 & 0.08793 & -0.14787 \\ -0.01738 & 0.07501 & 4.1750 \end{pmatrix} \\ M_U &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.00121 & -0.00214 & -0.06972 \\ -0.03031 & 1.2770 & 0.72562 \\ -7.9299 & 1.6388 & 171.81 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where each matrix element is in GeV. The four unitary matrices that diagonalize these mass matrices can be found by calculating the eigenvectors of the matrices multiplied by their transposes. Using these unitary matrices, the CKM matrices defined by eq (8) do a very good job of reproducing CKM data, including the differences between vector-current and axial-vector-current data. In particular, the above matrices reproduce first-row non-unitarity, the V_{us} differences of (7), and the difference between exclusive and inclusive measurements of V_{ub} .

One thing the above matrices do not reproduce well is the exclusive/inclusive difference measured for V_{cb} . This is not surprising since such a difference should come from the interplay of left- and right-chiral W-boson connections, but V_{cb} primarily involves only the second and third generations, and they both have only left-chiral connections.

The fourth down-type quark proposed in this paper helps the situation. This quark connects to the right-chiral component of the second-generation up-type quark (mostly the charm quark) via the W boson. In this model, the vector-current and axial-vector-current CKM matrices take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{CKM}}^{\pm} &= V_R^U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_R^{D\dagger} \\ &\pm V_L^U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_L^{D\dagger}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The down-type quark mass matrix is a 4x4 matrix, so the V_R^D and V_L^D unitary matrices that diagonalize it are also 4x4, leading to the above CKM matrices that are 3x4.

For a new quark with any mass larger than that of the charm quark, the additional parameters in this model allow one to reproduce the differences seen in exclusive/inclusive V_{cb} measurements, while still maintaining excellent fits for the other CKM data. As a concrete example, the following matrices do a very good job for a new quark with mass of 2.9 GeV:

$$\begin{aligned} M_D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.00017 & -0.03826 & 0.17047 & -1.48150 \\ 0.02266 & 0.08200 & 0.19498 & -0.32849 \\ 0.01590 & -0.11171 & 4.13736 & 0.38169 \\ -0.00118 & 0.02796 & -0.52294 & 2.44165 \end{pmatrix} \\ M_U &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.00011 & 0.00057 & 0.05897 \\ -0.02665 & 1.26536 & 0.88718 \\ -5.52290 & -2.87289 & 171.868 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

It should be noted that many transformations can be performed on these mass matrices without changing the CKM matrices. For example, linear combination mixtures of the 1st and 4th rows or of the 2nd and 3rd columns of M_D will not change the CKM matrices, since those changes have no effect on eq (11).

3. FCNC

In contrast to the Standard Model, in this model the Z boson can be a flavor changing neutral current (FCNC). FCNC is a consequence of the fact that quarks from different generations have different couplings to the Z boson. This in turn is implied by the fact that some generations of quarks have left-chiral connections to the W boson while others have right-chiral connections. The couplings to the Z boson by generation (compared to those of the

Standard Model) are:

| $Zu_i\bar{u}_i$ | g_L | g_R |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| $i = 1$ | $-\frac{2}{3}x$ | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}x$ |
| 2 | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}x$ | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}x$ |
| 3 | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}x$ | $-\frac{2}{3}x$ |
| SM | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}x$ | $-\frac{2}{3}x$ |

(13)

| $Zd_i\bar{d}_i$ | g_L | g_R |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $i = 1$ | $\frac{1}{3}x$ | $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x$ |
| 2 | $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x$ | $\frac{1}{3}x$ |
| 3 | $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x$ | $\frac{1}{3}x$ |
| 4 | $\frac{1}{3}x$ | $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x$ |
| SM | $-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x$ | $\frac{1}{3}x$ |

(14)

where $x = \sin^2 \theta_W$ and i is an index for a generation.

Since the couplings differ by generation, Z boson connections mix mass eigenstates. For example, the Z mixing matrix for left-chiral down-type quarks is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ZD}^L &= V_L^D \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3}x \end{pmatrix} V_L^{D\dagger} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.053 & -0.106 & -0.016 & 0.011 \\ -0.106 & -0.392 & -0.004 & 0.057 \\ -0.016 & -0.004 & -0.414 & -0.064 \\ 0.011 & 0.057 & -0.064 & 0.062 \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

where the diagonalizing matrix V_L^D was determined from the M_D fit of eq (12) and $x = \sin^2 \theta_W$ was taken from [4]. There are also Z mixing matrices for right-chiral down-type quarks as well as for right- and left-chiral up-type quarks. Most of the off-diagonal FCNC elements of these matrices are very small, but a few are more significant. For example, the Z mixing matrix elements $V_{Zf\bar{b}}^L$, $V_{Zf\bar{s}}^L$, $V_{Zf\bar{d}}^L$, $V_{Zb\bar{d}}^L$, and $V_{Zd\bar{s}}^L$ are non-negligible.

The non-negligible FCNC for down-type quark interactions with the Z boson has interesting implications for meson masses and decays. In a model without tree-level FCNC (like the Standard Model), it is natural to use quark mass eigenstates to differentiate between mesons. For example, the mesons $d\bar{u}$, $s\bar{u}$ and $b\bar{u}$ (where d , s , and b stand for mass eigenstates) are distinct to zeroth loop order, since they cannot transform into each other without loops in diagrams.

In the presence of FCNC for down-type quarks, exchange of a Z boson without a loop could turn $f\bar{u}$ into $d\bar{u}$ (where f and d are quark mass eigenstates), so the two states are not distinct to zeroth order. It is still

possible to create a zeroth order orthonormal eigenbasis, but each of its states would involve an orthogonal linear combination of the quark mass eigenstates.

These orthonormal eigenbases should be different depending on how many light quarks are involved in the meson. These differences could show up in different effective quark masses to use in Quark Model meson mass calculations.

In the Hadron Mapping section below, the following effective masses are assumed to be appropriate for Quark Model (QM) fits:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{For } f\bar{f} \text{ mesons: } m_f \simeq 2.9 \text{ GeV} \\
 &\text{For } f\bar{s} \text{ mesons: } m_f \simeq 2.7 \text{ GeV} \\
 &\text{For } f\bar{u}, f\bar{d} \text{ mesons: } m_f \simeq 2.6 \text{ GeV}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

These quark mass relations come into play below when discussing OZI-suppressed decays.

The above arguments have another implication for meson decay. As mentioned above, the f quark state inside of an $f\bar{u}$ meson is some linear combination of quark mass eigenstates. Similarly, the d quark state inside of a $d\bar{u}$ meson is some linear combination of quark mass eigenstates. But the eigenbases for these two mesons do not have to be the same; they might be slightly different. If so, then there may be some overlap between the f state inside $f\bar{u}$ and the d state inside $d\bar{u}$.

If this kind of overlap exists, it would allow the following strong interaction and electromagnetic decays to take place (proportional to the amount of overlap): $f\bar{u} \rightarrow d\bar{u} + g/\gamma$, where g/γ is a gluon or photon that can then give rise to a quark pair, including a J/ψ via $\gamma \rightarrow c\bar{c}$. Since these decays can also be mediated by a Z boson, and are ultimately a result of FCNC in Z boson interactions, FCNC decays of this type are labelled below with notation like $f \rightarrow d + Z^*$.

Because of these decays, it is assumed that the primary decay of an f quark is to an s or d quark, rather than to a c quark. Also, these decays are assumed to happen much more quickly than W-boson-mediated decays, so widths of hadrons with f quarks are much wider than those with other quarks that can only decay weakly.

This model's FCNC could also have an impact on another curious observation in high energy collisions. In 2010, it was noted that Tevatron Run II appeared to be producing twice the number of charm quarks compared to the predictions of Standard Model event generators [15]. However, due to large experimental and theoretical uncertainties, the data was less than 2σ above the predictions. More recent experiments continue to produce twice the number of charm quarks than predicted by most event generators, although due to continued large uncertainties, the data and predictions are still within 2σ of each other (see for example [16]).

Different ideas have been suggested to address this 2x excess of charm seen in high energy collisions. For example, some authors have suggested that the proton must just have "intrinsic charm" [17]. Others note that since

charm quarks in high energy collisions are believed to come from strange quarks inside the proton [15], the effect could be explained by increasing the strange content of the proton. Along these lines, ATLAS has proposed new parton distribution functions that double the number of strange quark partons in the proton [18].

The flavor mixing of this model means that the d quark state inside a proton may have significant contributions from the s quark mass eigenstate (see the $d\bar{s}$ element of eq (15)). This would lead to more s quarks in the aftermath of pp collisions than predicted by the Standard Model. That could potentially help close the gap in the 2x excess of charm seen in high energy collisions.

4. INCLUSIVE HADRONIC CROSS SECTIONS

This model would appear to have a problem reproducing inclusive hadronic cross section data, both on and off the Z pole. The proposed model adds another quark and more than doubles the magnitude of the right-chiral coupling of the charm quark with the Z boson. As a result, it would seem that this model's prediction for the inclusive hadronic cross section at the Z pole should be significantly larger than that of the Standard Model. Since the data from LEP and SLD (as well as "R Values" over a wide range of energies [19]) are well reproduced by the Standard Model, they should not be well reproduced by this model.

But there is an assumption inherent in the Standard Model prediction that is worth highlighting. Namely, it is assumed that at zeroth order, it is a good approximation to treat $Z/\gamma \rightarrow u\bar{u}$ events as if they generate physical states that are different from those of $Z/\gamma \rightarrow d\bar{d}$ events. That assumption is what allows those matrix elements to be squared independently before being added, as in Fig. 1 (see eqs 1.43 and 1.37 of [20]). Squaring before adding




FIG. 1. SM assumption for hadronic cross sections

should be a good approximation for diagrams involving heavy quarks that carry a distinct quantum number like charm or beauty, but is it a good approximation for the up and down quark diagrams?

In [21], it is argued that for most $Z/\gamma \rightarrow u\bar{u}$ events, there is a $Z/\gamma \rightarrow d\bar{d}$ event that produces the same physical state. As a result, the $Z/\gamma \rightarrow u\bar{u}$ and $Z/\gamma \rightarrow d\bar{d}$ matrix elements should be added before being squared in calculation of the inclusive hadronic cross section. Some simplifying assumptions are suggested in [21]. If these assumptions are naively implemented, then the Standard

Model would underpredict the measured hadronic cross sections at the Z pole by about $\sim 38\%$. The Standard Model would also significantly underpredict the hadronic cross section measurements at other center-of-mass energies off the Z pole.

Here it is assumed that the "simplifying assumptions" in [21] are over-simplified. These assumptions could be relaxed in a number of ways. For example, rather than assume that spin-0 $u\bar{u}$ quark combinations inside jets only produce π^0 , it could be assumed that some fraction of them also produce η and η' mesons. To implement a relaxation of the simplifying assumptions, it can be assumed that only some percent of $Z/\gamma \rightarrow u\bar{u}$ and $Z/\gamma \rightarrow d\bar{d}$ matrix elements should be added before squaring, the rest should be squared independently as in the standard approach. That percent is labelled p_{ud} .

It is also assumed that since an $f\bar{u}$ meson can decay strongly to an $s\bar{u}$ or $d\bar{u}$ meson, this occurs quickly enough that physical states coming from jets should replace f in hadrons with $d \sin \theta_f + s \cos \theta_f$ for some θ_f . The $d\bar{d}$ events coming from this transformation also participate in the combination with $u\bar{u}$ events mentioned above.

As an example, if it is assumed that $p_{ud} \simeq 66\%$ and that $\sin \theta_f \simeq 0.36$, then this model can reproduce the inclusive hadronic cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow$ hadrons at the Z pole as well as $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons at all center-of-mass energies above ~ 7 GeV. As more exotic hadron decays are measured, a value of θ_f for this model could be determined experimentally to see if the above picture is consistent. Nonetheless, if the arguments of [21] are even approximately correct, then inclusive hadronic cross sections appear to be telling us that the Standard Model is insufficient.

If the f quark exists, then why haven't experiments seen telltale narrow resonance spikes in lepton pair cross sections, such as those seen for the J/ψ and Υ ? The reason that the J/ψ and Υ resonances are narrow is because their masses are below the thresholds for decay to $D\bar{D}$ or $B\bar{B}$, respectively. The allowed decay channels are suppressed by the OZI rule [22], leading to the observed narrow resonances. In the allowed OZI-suppressed channels, decay to a pair of leptons is competitive with decay to hadrons, so the resonant spikes can be clearly seen for example in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross sections [23].

It is proposed that due to the flavor mixing experienced by the f quark, the $1^3S_1 f\bar{f}$ state's mass is larger than twice the mass of the 1^1S_0 ground state of $f\bar{u}$ (or $f\bar{d}$). In particular, it will be proposed below that the 1^1S_0 ground state of $f\bar{u}$ has a mass of around 3300 MeV, while the 1^3S_1 state of $f\bar{f}$ is the observed X(6600) resonance with a mass of around 6620 MeV. If true, then the X(6600) would decay strongly to a pair of $1^1S_0 f\bar{u}$ (or $f\bar{d}$) mesons by a gluon creating a $u\bar{u}$ or $d\bar{d}$ pair. Decay of the X(6600) to a pair of leptons would not be competitive with the preferred strong decay to hadrons. This explanation is compatible with the fact that no $5\sigma+$ resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ has been seen between the $\psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(1S)$ spikes [23].

That being said, given the hadron mappings of the next section, the 3.5σ hint of a resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ observed at 7250 MeV [23] is consistent with the observed X(7200) that is mapped to the 1^3S_1 resonance of $f\bar{f}$.

Why haven't resonances been seen in inclusive hadronic cross sections in this mass range? It is because the strong decay of the X(6600) generates a wide resonance (310 MeV), that gets flattened further by initial state radiation, similar to what is seen for $\Upsilon(4S)$, (see for example [24]). The inclusive hadronic cross section data in the $\sqrt{s} = 6.5$ to 8 GeV range appear to have large enough statistical and systematic uncertainties to accommodate this kind of flattened resonance (see for example [19] or fig 1 in [25]).

In addition to inclusive cross sections, the experiments at LEP and SLD measured partial width and asymmetry data for the c quark and found those data to be consistent with the $Zc\bar{c}$ coupling of the Standard Model. How could this model with its different $Zc_R\bar{c}_R$ coupling (see eq (13)) reproduce those data?

Charm quarks at LEP and SLD were primarily tagged in 3 ways [20]: (i) observing leptons coming from the decay $c_L \rightarrow s_L + W^+$, (ii) reconstructing D mesons from their decay products coming from $c_L \rightarrow s_L + W^+$, and (iii) observing the $c_L \rightarrow s_L + W^+$ vertex along with the kaons coming from it. All three of these methods measured c_L at the secondary vertex. That means that these tags were primarily tagging $Z \rightarrow c_L\bar{c}_L$ events, since c_L tags of $Z \rightarrow c_R\bar{c}_R$ events would have been suppressed by factors of m_c/m_Z . The $Z \rightarrow c_L\bar{c}_L$ coupling of this model is almost exactly the same as the $Z \rightarrow c_L\bar{c}_L$ coupling of the Standard Model, so this model would also be able to reproduce the LEP and SLD charm partial width and asymmetry data.

5. HADRON MAPPINGS

The FCNC decays of this model allow the possibility of re-interpreting some of the recently discovered exotic states (tetraquarks, pentaquarks, meson molecules, hadro-charmonium) as mesons and baryons involving the proposed f quark.

In 2020, LHCb reported a resonance with mass of 6900 MeV (the X(6900)) in cross section measurements of $pp \rightarrow 2J/\psi$ [26]. Since then, CMS and ATLAS have confirmed the X(6900) and have observed 2 other resonances also decaying to $2J/\psi$: X(6600) and X(7200) (see for example [27]). It is assumed that these resonances are the 1^3S_1 , 1^3P_0 , and 2^3S_1 states of $f\bar{f}$. Decay to two J/ψ can take place by the f and \bar{f} quarks annihilating into a gluon that creates $c\bar{c}$, while another gluon creates another $c\bar{c}$. Since this kind of decay is OZI-suppressed, the main decay for all of these (which causes their large widths) is an OZI-favored strong decay to the 1^1S_0 states of $f\bar{u} + u\bar{f}$ or $f\bar{d} + d\bar{f}$ discussed below.

The neutral X(3350) is hypothesized to be the 1^1S_0 states of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$. X(3350) was seen as a 6.2σ en-

hancement in the invariant mass of $\Lambda_c^+\bar{p}$ in the decay $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\bar{p}\pi^-$ [28]. The $B^- \rightarrow X(3350)\pi^-$ decay involves the FCNC process $b \rightarrow f + Z^* \rightarrow f\bar{d}\bar{d}$. The $X(3350) \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\bar{p}$ decay could involve $f \rightarrow c + W^- \rightarrow c\bar{u}$ with a gluon creating another $u\bar{u}$ pair. Alternatively, it could involve the FCNC process $f \rightarrow d + Z^* \rightarrow d\bar{c}$ with a gluon creating another $u\bar{u}$ pair. The charged X(3250) listed in [29] (Mesons: Further States) is assumed to be the isospin partner of X(3350) and to follow similar processes. Given the sizable uncertainty in their mass measurements, it is assumed that the actual masses for X(3250) and X(3350) are both about 3300 MeV (their average). Since this mass is less than half that of the X(6600), that enables the OZI-favored strong decays mentioned above.

The exotic charged resonances $Z_c^\pm(3900)$ have been determined to have the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ [30]. In this paper, $Z_c^\pm(3900)$ are assumed to be the 1^1P_1 resonances of $f\bar{u}$ and $u\bar{f}$. The neutral $Z_c(3900)^0$ is assumed to be the 1^1P_1 resonances of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$.

The $Z_c(3900)^-$ can decay by the following FCNC process: $f\bar{u} \rightarrow d\bar{u} + Z^* \rightarrow d\bar{u}c\bar{c}$. This quark decay and its Hermitian conjugate allow the $Z_c^\pm(3900)$ to decay to either $\pi^\pm J/\psi$ or $(D\bar{D}^*)^\pm$, as observed.

Production of $Z_c^\pm(3900)$ has been observed in the strong decay $Y(4230) \rightarrow Z_c^\pm(3900)\pi^\mp$, where $Y(4230)$ is an exotic 1^{--} resonance produced by $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4230)$ [31–33]. In this model, $Y(4230)$ is assumed to be the 1^3D_1 states of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$. It is assumed to be produced through the FCNC processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y(4230)$. The strong decay $Y(4230) \rightarrow Z_c^\pm(3900)\pi^\mp$ is facilitated by a gluon producing a $u\bar{u}$ pair.

Using the same reasoning as above, the $Z_c^\pm(4430)$ resonance is mapped to the 2^3P_1 states of $f\bar{u}$ and $u\bar{f}$, and the Y(4660) resonance is mapped the 2^3D_1 states of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$.

By similar reasoning, the Y(4360) resonance is mapped the 2^3S_1 states of $f\bar{s}$ and $s\bar{f}$. The observed decay to a $h_c\phi$ is facilitated through the FCNC process $f\bar{s} \rightarrow s\bar{s} + Z^* \rightarrow s\bar{s}c\bar{c}$.

The recently discovered Y(4500) [34] is mapped to the 3^3S_1 states of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$. The also recently discovered R(3760) [35] and R(4407) are mapped to the 1^3S_1 and 1^3D_1 states of $f\bar{s}$ and $s\bar{f}$.

The $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has been determined to have $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ [36]. In this model it is assumed to be the 1^3P_1 states of $f\bar{d}$ and $d\bar{f}$. Production via $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}K^+$ involves the FCNC process $\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{f} + Z^* \rightarrow \bar{f}d\bar{s}$. Decay of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ involves one of three FCNC processes: “Decay 1” is $f \rightarrow d + Z^* \rightarrow dc\bar{c}$; “Decay 2” is $f \rightarrow d + Z^* + gg \rightarrow dc\bar{c}u\bar{u}$; and “Decay 3” is the annihilation $f\bar{d} \rightarrow Z^* + g \rightarrow c\bar{c}u\bar{u}d\bar{d}$. These 3 decays primarily generate $D^{0*}\bar{D}^0$, $D^0\bar{D}^0\pi^0$, and $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$, respectively (although Decay 2 can also provide a small percentage of the latter).

The $u\bar{f}$ charged partner $X^+(3872)$ of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ cannot undergo Decay 3. As a result, the branching ratio of $X^+(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0J/\psi$ should be significantly smaller than $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$. That comparison was mea-

sured in [37], and the $X^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0 J/\psi$ contribution was indeed found to be smaller. That paper concluded that $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ therefore does not have a charged isospin partner. This model predicts that χ_{c1} does in fact have a charged partner, X^+ , and that the smaller branching ratio seen in [37] is just a consequence of X^+ having fewer decay modes leading to the $\pi\pi J/\psi$ final state.

That being said, [37] did detect a small signal of an $X^+(3872)$ candidate with a mass of 3873 ± 6 MeV in the $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^- X^+$ channel. Although the signal for X^+ was smaller than that for χ_{c1} (as predicted by this model), the signal seen by [37] may have been an actual observation of $X^+(3872)$. It would be worth studying events like these in more depth.

The model also predicts that it should be possible to see a significant charged resonance from $X^+(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ or $X^+(3872) \rightarrow D^+ \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$, since both of those use the Decay 2 process. Both of these decays should be studied.

Since \bar{D}^0 can oscillate to D^0 or undergo a wrong-sign decay [38], the first process above could look like $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$. A resonance in $D^0 D^0 \pi^+$ has been seen by LHCb and named T_{cc}^+ [39]. Since its mass and width are very similar to those of the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$, it is tempting in this model to consider T_{cc}^+ to be the charged isospin partner of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$. $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow D^0$ oscillation was addressed in [40], and it was stated that the data do not favor this possibility. However, due to its differences with the Stan-

dard Model, this model may enable the possibility that $T_{cc}^+(3875)$ is the $X^+(3872)$ isospin partner of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$.

The production and decay modes of all of the other mesons listed in the table of eq (1) are similarly facilitated by FCNC quark decay processes.

Another mapping that is consistent with the proposed quark mass involves the $P_c(4312)$ [41] and other similar positively charged ‘‘pentaquark’’ resonances. Rather than pentaquarks, it is proposed that these are fuu baryons. Production via $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow P_c(4312)K^-$ involves the FCNC exchange of a Z boson to facilitate $bd \rightarrow fs$ with a gluon creating $u\bar{u}$. The decay $P_c(4312) \rightarrow p + J/\psi$ involves the FCNC process $f \rightarrow d + Z^* \rightarrow dc\bar{c}$.

It is also proposed that $P_{cs}(4459)$ [42] is the fud baryon isospin partner of the fuu baryon $P_c(4457)$. The decay $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow P_{cs}(4459)K^-$ involves the FCNC process $b \rightarrow f + Z^* \rightarrow fu\bar{u}$. The decay $P_{cs}(4459) \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$ involves the FCNC process $f \rightarrow s + Z^* \rightarrow sc\bar{c}$.

In summary, the recently reported W boson mass measurement, 3σ variances in CKM measurements, and many recently discovered exotic charmonium-like resonances could all be explained by a fourth down-type quark with a mass of ~ 2.9 GeV whose right-chiral component connects via the W boson with the right-chiral component of the charm quark. Under reasonable assumptions, inclusive hadronic cross section data on and off the Z pole are compatible with the existence of such a quark.

-
- [1] S. Chapman, An Alternative to the Standard Model, *Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations* **9**, 235 (2022).
- [2] S. Chapman, Twisted superfields (2022), an update to ‘‘An alternative to the Standard Model’’, [arXiv:2112.04469](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.04469).
- [3] T. Aaltonen and et al (CDF), High-precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF II detector, *Science* **376**, 170 (2022).
- [4] J. Erler and A. Freitas, *Electroweak Model and Constraints on New Physics* (2022).
- [5] S. Bodenstein, C. A. Dominguez, K. Schilcher, and H. Spiesberger, Hadronic contribution to the QED running coupling alpha, *Physical Review D* **86**, 10.1103/physrevd.86.093013 (2012), [arXiv:1209.4802](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.4802).
- [6] P. A. Zyla, et al, and (Particle Data Group), *CKM Quark-Mixing Matrix* (2020), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020 and 2021 update, 083C01.
- [7] J. Rosner, S. Stone, R. Van de Water, and (Particle Data Group), *Leptonic decays of charged pseudoscalar mesons* (2021), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020 and 2021 update, 083C01.
- [8] P. A. Zyla, et al, and (Particle Data Group), *Determination of V_{cb} and V_{ub}* (2020), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2020 and 2021 update, 083C01.
- [9] C.-H. Chen and S.-h. Nam, Left–right mixing on leptonic and semileptonic b to u decays, *Physics Letters B* **666**, 462–466 (2008), [arXiv:0807.0896](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.0896).
- [10] A. Crivellin, Effects of right-handed charged currents on the determinations of V_{ub} and V_{cb} , *Physical Review D* **81**, 10.1103/physrevd.81.031301 (2010), [arXiv:0907.2461](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2461).
- [11] F. U. Bernlochner, Z. Ligeti, and S. Turczyk, New ways to search for right-handed current in B meson decay, *Physical Review D* **90**, 10.1103/physrevd.90.094003 (2014), [arXiv:1408.2516](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2516).
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, *CERN-EP-2021-263*, Tech. Rep. (CERN, Geneva, 2022) Measurement of the polarisation of single top quarks and antiquarks produced in the t -channel at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and bounds on the tWb dipole operator from the ATLAS experiment, [arXiv:2202.11382](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11382).
- [13] S. Hirose, T. Iijima, and et al (Belle), Measurement of the tau lepton polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the decay of B-mesons at Belle, *Physical Review D* **97**, 10.1103/physrevd.97.012004 (2018), [arXiv:1709.00129](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.00129).
- [14] F. Myhrer and A. Thomas, A possible resolution of the proton spin problem, *Physics Letters B* **663**, 302–305 (2008), [arXiv:0709.4067](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4067).
- [15] T. Dorigo, *W And Charm - Twice As Many As Predicted* (2010), *Science* 2.0.
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of D meson production cross sections in pp collisions at 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Nuclear Physics B* **907**, 717 (2016).
- [17] B. Yirka, *New support for intrinsic charm quarks* (2022).
- [18] ATLAS Collaboration, *How strange is the proton* (2017).
- [19] F. Halzen and D. Martin, *Quarks and Leptons: An Introductory Course in Modern Particle Physics* (Wiley, 1984).

- [20] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL Collaborations, Precision Electroweak Measurements on the Z Resonance, *Physics Reports* **427**, 257–454 (2006), [arXiv:hep-ex/0509008](#).
- [21] S. Chapman, An assumption required to reproduce LEP hadronic cross section data (2022), [arXiv:2212.03707](#).
- [22] *Ozi rule* (2022), wikipedia entry accessed Dec 4, 2022.
- [23] G. Apollinari, M. Barone, W. Carithers, M. Dell’Orso, T. Dorigo, I. Fiori, M. Franklin, P. Giannetti, P. Giromini, F. Happacher, S. Miscetti, A. Parri, F. Ptohos, and G. Velev, Search for narrow resonances below the upsilon mesons, *Physical Review D* **72**, [10.1103/physrevd.72.092003](#) (2005), [arXiv:hep-ex/0507044](#).
- [24] CLEO Collaboration, Syracuse university: Physics of cleo (2022), site visited on Nov. 29, 2022.
- [25] Z. ZHAO, R-VALUES FROM LOW ENERGY e+e- ANNIHILATION, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **15**, 3739 (2000), [arXiv:hep-ex/0002025](#).
- [26] L. Collaboration, CERN-EP-2020-115 (2020), observation of structure in the J/psi pair mass spectrum.
- [27] E. Bouhova-Thacker and et al (ATLAS), *ATLAS results on exotic hadronic resonances* (2022), proceedings at ICHEP 2022.
- [28] N. Gabyshev and et al (Belle), Study of decay mechanisms in $b \rightarrow c$ λ baryon π decay and observation of low mass structure in the $(\lambda \bar{c} \pi^+ \bar{p})$ system, *Physical Review Letters* **97**, [10.1103/physrevlett.97.242001](#) (2006), [arXiv:hep-ex/0409005](#).
- [29] R. L. Workman, et al, and (Particle Data Group), *Particle Listings* (2022), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 083C01.
- [30] M. Ablikim and et al (BESII), Determination of the Spin and Parity of the $Z_c(3900)$, *Physical Review Letters* **119**, [10.1103/physrevlett.119.072001](#) (2017), [arXiv:1706.04100](#).
- [31] M. Ablikim and et al (BESIII Collaboration), Cross section measurement of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \psi(3686)$ from $E_{cm} = 4.0076$ GeV to 4.6984 GeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, [052012](#) (2021).
- [32] Z. Q. Liu and et al, Study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ and Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike State at Belle, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, [10.1103/physrevlett.110.252002](#) (2013), [arXiv:1304.0121](#).
- [33] M. Ablikim and et al, Confirmation of a charged charmoniumlike state $Z_c(3885)^+$ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+(D\bar{D}^*)^-$ with double D tag, *Physical Review D* **92**, [10.1103/physrevd.92.092006](#) (2015), [arXiv:1509.01398](#).
- [34] M. Ablikim and et al (BESIII), Observation of the $\psi(4230)$ and a new structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow k^+k^-j/\psi$, *Chinese Physics C* **46**, [111002](#) (2022), [arXiv:2204.07800](#).
- [35] M. Ablikim and et al (BESIII Collaboration), Direct Measurement of the Branching Fractions $\psi(3686)$ to $J/\psi X$ and $\psi(3770)$ to $J/\psi X$, and Observation of the State $R(3760)$ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, [082002](#) (2021).
- [36] R. Aaij and et al (LHCb Collaboration), Determination of the $x(3872)$ meson quantum numbers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, [222001](#) (2013).
- [37] S.-K. Choi and et al (BELLE), Bounds on the width, mass difference and other properties of $x(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-j/\psi$ decays, *Physical Review D* **84**, [10.1103/physrevd.84.052004](#) (2011), [arXiv:1107.0163](#).
- [38] D. Asner, A. Schwartz, and (Particle Data Group), *D \bar{D} mixing* (2021), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020 and 2021 update, 083C01.
- [39] R. Aaij and et al (LHCb), Study of a doubly charmed tetraquark state, *Nature Communications* **13**, [3351](#) (2022).
- [40] R. Aaij and et al (LHCb), Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark, *Nature Physics* **18**, [751](#) (2022), [arXiv:2109.01038](#).
- [41] R. Aaij and et al (LHCb), Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $pc(4312)^+$, and of the two-peak structure of the $pc(4450)^+$, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, [10.1103/physrevlett.122.222001](#) (2019), [arXiv:1904.03947](#).
- [42] R. Aaij and et al (LHCb Collaboration), Evidence of a j/ψ λ structure and observation of excited χ -states in the $\chi_{b1} \rightarrow j/\psi \lambda$ k^- decay, *Sci. Bull.* **66**, [1278](#) (2021).