

Axion electrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics

Jai-chan Hwang¹, Hyerim Noh²

¹*Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe,*

Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, 34051, Republic of Korea

²*Theoretical Astrophysics Group, Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*

We formulate axion-electrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) in the cosmological context assuming weak gravity. The two formulations are made for a general scalar field with general $f(\phi)$ -coupling, and an axion as a massive scalar field with ϕ^2 -coupling, with the helical electromagnetic field. The α -dynamo term appears naturally from the helical-coupling in the MHD formulation. In the presence of the electromagnetic coupling, however, the Schrödinger and hydrodynamic formulations of the coherently oscillating axion are *not* available for the conventional ϕ coupling; instead, ϕ^2 coupling allows successful formulations preserving the dark matter nature of the axion to nonlinear order.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The axion as a coherently oscillating massive scalar field is a fuzzy dark matter (DM) candidate [1–5]. The axion electrodynamics (ED) is a central topic in experimental searches for the DM axion or axion-like particles in the laboratory [6–8]. The pseudo-scalar nature of the axion allows a natural coupling with the helical electromagnetic (EM) field. The helical coupling can cause magnetic helicity generation, which has important implications in enhancing the large-scale magnetic field via dynamo action and inverse cascade [9, 10]. The origin and evolution of the magnetic field on the cosmic scale, unknown at the moment, are tied with the cosmological evolution. Thus, helically coupled axion is a subject of central importance in high-energy physics, astrophysics, and cosmology [11–16].

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a convenient approximation for handling the EM field interacting with a conducting fluid. Here, we *aim* to provide the complete sets of equations for the ED and the MHD combined with a general scalar field and an axion as a massive scalar field, in the presence of additional coupling between the scalar field and the helical EM field. We consider the weak gravity limit in the cosmological context.

For a coherently oscillating axion, under the Klein transformation, we can derive the Schrödinger equation in the non-relativistic limit. Further applying the Madelung transformation, we have the quantum hydrodynamic equations revealing the nature of axion as the fuzzy (or cold) DM candidate [17–19]. With the EM coupling, however, such transformations are *not* available for the conventional ϕ -coupling commonly used in direct detection experiments of axion as the DM [6–8]; for strong coupling, the DM nature of the axion is lost. Instead, a ϕ^2 -coupling allows successful transformations preserving the DM nature with coherent oscillation even to the nonlinear order.

We consider a scalar field generally coupled with the

helical EM field. The Lagrangian density is

$$L = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}(R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;c}\phi_{;c} - V(\phi) + L_m - \frac{1}{16\pi}F_{ab}F^{ab} - \frac{g\phi\gamma}{4}f(\phi)F_{ab}\tilde{F}^{ab} + \frac{1}{c}J_e^a A_a, \quad (1)$$

where L_m is the fluid part, R is the scalar curvature, Λ is the cosmological constant, ϕ is the scalar field, F_{ab} is the EM field strength tensor with \tilde{F}_{ab} its dual tensor, J_e^a is the electric four-current, and A_a is the four-potential; $F_{ab}\tilde{F}^{ab} = -4E^a B_a$ is parity-odd and leads to asymmetry between the two circular polarization states, thus helical.

Here, *assuming* weak gravity limit (see below) in cosmological context we will formulate the ED and MHD for a general scalar field with $V(\phi)$ and $f(\phi)$ *without* using the transformations, and for an axion with massive V and $f = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$ *with* the transformations. These are the scalar field-ED and MHD in Sections II and III, and axion-ED and MHD in Sections IV and V, respectively. In Section VI we investigate the gravitational and magnetic instabilities of the axion-MHD. Section VII is a discussion.

II. SCALAR FIELD-ED

Maxwell's equations, in the normal (laboratory) frame of reference, are modified by the axion-coupling as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi a(\varrho_e + \varrho_{e\phi}), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}(a^2 \mathbf{E})' = a \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{4\pi a^2}{c}(\mathbf{j}_e + \mathbf{j}_{e\phi}), \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}(a^2 \mathbf{B})' = -a \nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (5)$$

with the axion-induced electric charge and current densities, respectively [6, 20]

$$\varrho_{e\phi} = -g\phi\gamma \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f, \quad \mathbf{j}_{e\phi} = g\phi\gamma \left(\mathbf{B} \dot{f} - \frac{c}{a} \mathbf{E} \times \nabla f \right), \quad (6)$$

where $a(t)$ is the cosmic scale factor. These are Gauss's law, Ampère's law, no monopole condition, and Faraday's law, respectively, and are valid in the weak gravity (see later) limit. We use the Gaussian unit [21].

The Klein-Gordon equation gives [6]

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi} - c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\phi + (c^2 + 2\Phi)V_{,\phi} = c^2g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \quad (7)$$

We kept the gravitational potential Φ in the weak gravity limit, as the mass term in the scalar field potential V is already c^2 order; for a massive field, $V = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\phi^2$. We have $F_{ab}\tilde{F}^{ab} = -4\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ which is related to the time derivative of the magnetic helicity, $\int_V \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3x$ with $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ [22].

For the fluid, for simplicity, we consider only the continuity and Euler equations in the non-relativistic limit

$$\dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\rho + \frac{1}{a}\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a}\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{\rho a} \left(\nabla p + \nabla_j \Pi_i^j \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\rho_e \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{j}_e \times \mathbf{B} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where ρ , \mathbf{v} , p and Π_{ij} are the density, velocity, pressure and anisotropic stress, respectively. The right-hand side of Eq. (9) is the Lorentz force. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling couples the EM field with the scalar field only, and does not directly affect the fluid conservation equations.

For the gravity, with $g_{00} \equiv -(1 + 2\Phi/c^2)$, $g_{ij} \equiv a^2(1 - 2\Psi/c^2)$ and $x^0 \equiv ct$, we have [24]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \left(\mu + 3p + \frac{2}{c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V + \frac{E^2 + B^2}{4\pi} \right) \\ + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \Lambda c^2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Psi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V + \frac{1}{2a^2}\phi^i\phi_{,i} + \frac{E^2 + B^2}{8\pi} \right) \\ - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We kept fluid variables (energy density $\mu \equiv \rho c^2$ and pressure p) to the weak gravity ($\Phi/c^2, \Psi/c^2 \ll 1$) and slow-motion ($v^i v_i/c^2 \ll 1$) limits; in non-relativistic limit we can ignore the pressure term. We note that the EM and the scalar fields are still fully relativistic, and this is why we kept two different potentials Φ and Ψ ; in the weak gravity limit, g_{0i} -component is non-vanishing as well. The consistent weak gravity limit combined with the relativistic matter parts is available in the uniform-expansion gauge, setting the trace of extrinsic curvature uniform in space [23, 24]. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling term does not directly appear in gravity because it does not contribute to the energy-momentum tensor. Notice that only Φ couples with the fields and the fluid; this is because we consider the non-relativistic order in fluid. For weak gravity limit combined with fully relativistic matter, see [23, 24].

These complete the scalar field-ED in the weak gravity combined with the non-relativistic fluid: the complete set is Eqs. (2)-(10). We considered general $V(\phi)$ and $f(\phi)$ in the cosmological context. In the Friedmann background, Eqs. (7), (8), (10) and (11) include the background order equations, and in perturbation analysis we may subtract the background order, see Section VI. The Friedmann background cannot accommodate the EM field. By setting $a \equiv 1$ and $\Lambda = 0$, we recover equations in the Minkowski background.

Our basic equations in (2)-(11) are derived from the fully relativistic formulation by sequentially taking the weak gravity, slow-motion and non-relativistic limits. A fully relativistic extension of the present work is currently in progress [25].

III. SCALAR FIELD-MHD

The *MHD approximation* considers $4\pi\sigma T \gg 1$ where σ is the electrical conductivity and T is the characteristic time scale of variation of the EM fields [26]. In the slow-motion limit, MHD (i) *adopts* a simple form of Ohm's law as

$$\mathbf{j}_e = \sigma \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \quad (12)$$

(ii) the displacement current term in Ampère's law is negligible, (iii) E^2 term in Eq. (10) is negligible, and (iv) in ordinary MHD, $\rho_e \mathbf{E}$ is negligible compared with the second Lorentz force term, but not in the presence of the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling; using the Ohm's law, we can show that only $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$ term coming from $\rho_e \mathbf{E}$ using Gauss' law is negligible compared with the second Lorentz force term [see Eq. (15)].

In the MHD, the fundamental dynamic variables are hydrodynamic ones like ρ , \mathbf{v} , and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} ; in our case, we have additional scalar field variable ϕ and gravity Φ . The Ohm's law determines \mathbf{E} , Gauss' law determines ρ_e , and Ampère's law determines \mathbf{j}_e ; these are respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{\mathbf{j}_e}{\sigma}, \quad \rho_e = \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}}{4\pi a} - \rho_{e\phi}, \\ \mathbf{j}_e = \frac{c\nabla \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi a} - \mathbf{j}_{e\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Notice that in our case with $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{j}_e are coupled; we may truncate it (using smallness of either $1/\sigma$ or $g_{\phi\gamma}$) at some point depending on the situation.

Using the Ohm's law and Ampère's law in Eq. (13), and assuming constant σ , the Faraday equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 \mathbf{B})' - \frac{1}{a} \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{c^2 \Delta \mathbf{B}}{4\pi \sigma a^2} \\ = \frac{cg_{\phi\gamma}}{\sigma a} \nabla \times \left(f \mathbf{B} - \frac{c}{a} \mathbf{E} \times \nabla f \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The second term in the left-hand side is the flux conserving induction term (in the absence of the other terms

the magnetic field is frozen-in with the fluid), and the third term is the diffusion. The right-hand side can work as the scalar field source for the magnetic field; especially, the first term can work as the α -dynamo [27] with $\alpha = cg_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}/\sigma$ [28, 29]. For an ideal MHD ($\sigma \rightarrow \infty$), the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling disappears. One remaining equation is $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. Linear solutions with exponential growth are given in Eq. (45).

For the fluid, the continuity equation in (8) remains the same. The Euler equation in (9), using the Gauss' and Ampère's laws in Eq. (13), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a}\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{\rho a}(\nabla p + \nabla_j \Pi_i^j) \\ = \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi \rho a} + g_{\phi\gamma} \frac{1}{\rho a} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \nabla f. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Notice the presence of $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling contribution in the scalar field-MHD while such a term is absent in the original scalar field-ED. Using the Ampère's and Ohm's laws in Eq. (13), we have

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{c\mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})}{4\pi\sigma a} - \frac{g_{\phi\gamma}}{\sigma} \left[\dot{f}B^2 - \frac{c}{a}\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \nabla f) \right] \quad (16)$$

For \mathbf{E} -term in the right-hand side, we may again use the Ohm's law with a truncation, see below Eq. (13). For the scalar field, Eq. (7) remains the same and we only need $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ expressed in the MHD approximation as in Eq. (16). In the ideal MHD limit, we have $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, and the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling *entirely* disappears. For the gravity, Equation (10) remains the same except for the absence of E^2 -term.

These complete the MHD approximation coupled with a scalar field with general $V(\phi)$ and $f(\phi)$: the complete set is Eqs. (13)-(16) together with Eqs. (7), (8) and (10) for the scalar field, fluid and gravity.

IV. AXION-ED

A. Klein transformation

From now on, we consider a massive field *with* $f = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$ and call it axion; notice the *difficulty*, in the presence of $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling, of applying the following two transformations with time-average for the conventional coupling with $f = \phi$. The *Klein transformation* is [30, 31]

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}} [\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)e^{-i\omega_c t} + \psi^*(\mathbf{x}, t)e^{+i\omega_c t}], \quad (17)$$

where ϕ is a real scalar field, and ψ is a complex wave function; $\omega_c \equiv mc^2/\hbar$ is the Compton frequency. This *ansatz* is valid if the scalar field oscillates with Compton frequency. On sub-Compton scale, the Laplacian term in Eq. (7) dominates and the scalar field does not oscillate. Thus, the Klein transformation works only on super-Compton scale [19].

Ignoring the rapidly oscillating parts (by taking time average), we have $f = \hbar^2 \varrho_\phi / (2m^2)$, and Eq. (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{e\phi} &= -\frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m} \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla |\psi|^2, \\ \mathbf{j}_{e\phi} &= \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m} \left[\mathbf{B}(|\psi|^2) \cdot -\frac{c}{a} \mathbf{E} \times \nabla |\psi|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Equation (7), in the non-relativistic ($c \rightarrow \infty$) limit [19], gives

$$i\hbar \left(\dot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \psi \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \psi + m\Phi\psi - \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \psi \quad (19)$$

which is the Schrödinger equation in expanding background, including the gravity and the EM coupling. For the gravity, from Eq. (10), we have

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G \left(\varrho + m|\psi|^2 + \frac{E^2 + B^2}{4\pi c^2} \right) + 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \Lambda c^2, \quad (20)$$

where we ignored (by time-average) oscillating parts and took the non-relativistic limit for the axion; we have *not* imposed the non-relativistic condition in the EM part.

B. Madelung transformation

The *Madelung transformation* is [17]

$$\psi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\varrho_\phi}{m}} e^{imu/\hbar}. \quad (21)$$

Equation (18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{e\phi} &= -\frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2} \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \varrho_\phi, \\ \mathbf{j}_{e\phi} &= \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2} \left(\mathbf{B} \dot{\varrho}_\phi - \frac{c}{a} \mathbf{E} \times \nabla \varrho_\phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Imaginary and real parts, respectively, of Eq. (19) give [17–19]

$$\dot{\varrho}_\phi + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\varrho_\phi + \frac{1}{a}\nabla \cdot (\varrho_\phi \mathbf{v}_\phi) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_\phi + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\mathbf{v}_\phi + \frac{1}{a}\mathbf{v}_\phi \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_\phi + \frac{1}{a}\nabla \Phi \\ = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{1}{a^3} \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\phi}}{\sqrt{\varrho_\phi}} \right) + \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 a} \nabla (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we identified $\mathbf{v}_\phi \equiv \frac{1}{a}\nabla u$, thus $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_\phi = 0$. The potential-flow nature of the axion velocity is an important characteristic of the axion fluid; the quantized vortices (see below) appear in the Schrödinger formulation in Eq. (19), and their cosmological roles are studied in [32–34]. The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (24) is the quantum stress [35]. Notice the difference in the EM parts between Eqs. (9) and (24).

The non-equivalence between the Schrödinger formulation and the hydrodynamic formulation by Madelung is recognized in the literature: while the hydrodynamic formulation has potential flow without vortex, the Schrödinger formulation has quantized vortices [35, 36]. The single valuedness of the wave function demands the circulation around any closed path to be quantized

$$\Gamma = \oint_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \oint_C (\nabla u) \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \oint_C du = n \frac{h}{m}, \quad (25)$$

where n is an integer and $n \neq 0$ for a path encircling vanishing density [37]. Using Stokes' theorem, the circulation is related to the vorticity $\vec{\omega} \equiv \frac{1}{a} \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ as

$$\Gamma = \iint_S a(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot d\vec{S} = \iint_S a^2 \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{S}. \quad (26)$$

The hydrodynamic formulation reveals the fuzzy DM nature of axion preserved for ϕ^2 -coupling; for ϕ -coupling, however, these two transformations are *not* possible, and the DM nature is *lost* to nonlinear order, see later. For the gravity, Eq. (20) remains the same with $\varrho_\phi = m|\psi|^2$.

Combining with the fluid equations in (8) and (9) and Maxwell's equations in (2)-(6) we have the complete sets of axion-ED in either the Schrödinger formulation or the fluid formulation for the axion field.

V. AXION-MHD

Now, we present the *axion-MHD* approximation for ϕ^2 -coupling. The MHD conditions in Eqs. (12) and (13) remain the same. Using the Ohm's law and Ampère's law in Eq. (13), and assuming constant σ , the Faraday equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 \mathbf{B}) \cdot - \frac{1}{a} \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{c^2 \Delta \mathbf{B}}{4\pi a^2 \sigma} \\ = \frac{c \hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \sigma a} \nabla \times \left(\dot{\varrho}_\phi \mathbf{B} - \frac{c}{a} \mathbf{E} \times \nabla \varrho_\phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The first term in the right-hand side works as the α -effect of mean field dynamo with $\alpha = \frac{c \hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \sigma} \dot{\varrho}_\phi$. In dynamo theory, the α -term arises from the induction term using the mean field MHD [27, 38, 39]; kinetic energy is converted to the magnetic one by turbulent motion. Here the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling directly causes the α -term for a finite σ . Linear solutions with exponential growth are given in Eq. (45).

For the fluid, the continuity equation in (8) remains the same. The Euler equation in (9), using the Gauss' and Ampère's laws in Eq. (13), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{\varrho a} \left(\nabla p + \nabla_j \Pi_i^j \right) \\ = \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi \varrho a} + \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \varrho a} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \nabla \varrho_\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Using the Ampère's and Ohm's laws in Eq. (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{c \mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})}{4\pi \sigma a} \\ - \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \sigma} \left[B^2 \dot{\varrho}_\phi - \frac{c}{a} \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \nabla \varrho_\phi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

These complete the axion-MHD with ϕ^2 -coupling: the complete set is Eqs. (27)-(29) together with Eqs. (13) and (8) for the EM field and fluid. For the gravity, Eq. (20) is valid without the E^2 term. For the axion, we have either the Schrödinger formulation in Eq. (19) or the Madelung's hydrodynamic formulation in Eqs. (23) and (24), with $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ in Eq. (29). In the ideal MHD, the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling effect *entirely* disappears.

VI. INSTABILITIES OF AXION-MHD

A. Gravitational instability

As an application, we consider gravitational instability of the fluid and axion caused by the MHD with ϕ^2 -coupling. We set $\varrho \rightarrow \varrho + \delta\varrho \equiv \varrho(1 + \delta)$, and similarly for p and ϱ_ϕ . We keep to the linear perturbation orders in the fluid and the axion, but keep nonlinear order in the magnetic field. To the background order, Eqs. (8), (23) and (20) give

$$(a^3 \varrho) \cdot = 0 = (a^3 \varrho_\phi) \cdot, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\varrho + \varrho_\phi) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}. \quad (30)$$

For perturbed parts, we subtract the background equations.

For the fluid perturbation, Eqs. (8) and (9) give

$$\begin{aligned} \delta + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi + \frac{1}{\varrho a} \left(\nabla p + \nabla_j \Pi_i^j \right) \\ &= \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi \varrho a} + \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 a} \frac{\varrho_\phi}{\varrho} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \nabla \delta\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Keeping nonlinear order only in EM field, Eq. (16) gives

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{c \mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})}{4\pi \sigma a} - \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \sigma} B^2 \dot{\varrho}_\phi. \quad (32)$$

By taking divergence and curl operations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\delta} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\delta} - \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi - \frac{1}{\varrho a^2} (\Delta p + \nabla_i \nabla_j \Pi^{ij}) \\ = - \frac{\nabla \cdot [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}]}{4\pi \varrho a^2}, \\ \frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 \vec{\omega}) \cdot + \frac{1}{\varrho a^2} \eta_{ijk} \nabla^j \nabla_\ell \Pi^{k\ell} = \frac{\nabla \times [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}]}{4\pi \varrho a^2} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\vec{\omega} \equiv \frac{1}{a} \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$; we ignore $g_{\phi\gamma}$ contributions which already involve perturbed axion density in nonlinear context. Thus, the ideal MHD can source the density and

the angular momentum [40, 41]. We note that, although we kept only to the linear order in perturbed fluid variables (by *ignoring* writing the nonlinear terms), what is generated by the magnetic field is *nonlinear* order fluid perturbations.

For the axion perturbation, Eqs. (23) and (24) give

$$\dot{\delta}_\phi + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_\phi = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_\phi + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \mathbf{v}_\phi + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \Phi = \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla \Delta \delta_\phi}{4m^2 a^3} + \frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 a} \nabla (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \quad (37)$$

By taking divergence and curl operations, we have

$$\ddot{\delta}_\phi + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\delta}_\phi - \frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi + \frac{\hbar^2 \Delta^2}{4m^2 a^4} \delta_\phi = -\frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma} \Delta}{2m^2 a^2} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 \vec{\omega}_\phi)^\cdot = 0, \quad (39)$$

where $\vec{\omega}_\phi \equiv \frac{1}{a} \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_\phi$. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ -coupling sources axion density perturbation for a finite σ , whereas the vorticity of the axion is free from the coupling due to the potential nature of \mathbf{v}_ϕ . In hydrodynamic formulation, we have $\vec{\omega}_\phi = 0$ exactly to nonlinear order, see Eq. (24); to make the hydrodynamic formulation equivalent to the Schrödinger formulation we need additional quantized vortices added by hand [36]. For gravity, Eq. (20) gives

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2} \Phi = 4\pi G \left(\varrho \delta + \varrho_\phi \delta_\phi + \frac{B^2}{4\pi c^2} \right). \quad (40)$$

Combining Eqs. (34), (38) and (40), assuming zero-pressure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\delta} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G \left(\varrho \delta + \varrho_\phi \delta_\phi + \frac{B^2}{4\pi c^2} \right) \\ = -\frac{\nabla \cdot [(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}]}{4\pi \varrho a^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\delta}_\phi + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\delta}_\phi - 4\pi G \left(\varrho \delta + \varrho_\phi \delta_\phi + \frac{B^2}{4\pi c^2} \right) + \frac{\hbar^2 \Delta^2}{4m^2 a^4} \delta_\phi \\ = -\frac{\hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma} \Delta}{2m^2 a^2} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

B. Magnetic instability

For magnetic field, to the linear order, Eq. (27) gives

$$\frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 \mathbf{B})^\cdot - \frac{c^2 \Delta \mathbf{B}}{4\pi a^2 \sigma} = \frac{c \hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{2m^2 \sigma a} \dot{\phi} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (43)$$

In the case of general scalar field, from Eq. (14) we have $\hbar^2 \varrho_\phi / (2m^2) \rightarrow f$. In Fourier space with $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \int d^3 x e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and using the orthonormal helicity (circular polarization) basis [21] ($\hat{\mathbf{e}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_-, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$) with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm \equiv (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \pm i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \equiv \mathbf{k}/k$, and $\mathbf{B} \equiv B_+ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_+ + B_- \hat{\mathbf{e}}_- + B_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^2} (a^2 B_\pm)^\cdot = \frac{c^2}{4\pi \sigma} \left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2} \pm \frac{2\pi \hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{m^2 c} \frac{k}{a} \dot{\phi} \right) B_\pm, \quad (44)$$

with solutions [28, 29, 42]

$$B_\pm = B_{\pm i} \frac{a_i^2}{a^2} \exp \left[\int_{t_i}^t \frac{c^2}{4\pi \sigma} \left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2} \pm \frac{2\pi \hbar^2 g_{\phi\gamma}}{m^2 c} \frac{k}{a} \dot{\phi} \right) dt \right] \quad (45)$$

and B_3 pure decaying. The first term is diffusion damping. The second term causes exponential growth of the magnetic field for small enough k and steady $\dot{\phi}/(\sigma a)$, with maximum growth rate for $k = \frac{\pi \hbar^2 a}{m^2 c} |g_{\phi\gamma} \dot{\phi}|$, and the system tends toward to maximal helicity state [28, 29, 43, 44]. The maximal helicity state can cause inverse cascade of the magnetic energy to larger scales [9, 10, 12].

VII. DISCUSSION

Assuming weak gravity, we formulated ED and MHD for a general scalar field with a general $f(\phi)F\tilde{F}$ -coupling and a coherently oscillating axion with $\phi^2 F\tilde{F}$ -coupling. The latter axion formulations use the Schrödinger and the hydrodynamic formulations for the axion available for the ϕ^2 -coupling. We also presented the gravitational instability of the fluid and axion caused by the MHD and the magnetic instability caused by the axion.

In the presence of the EM coupling, the Schrödinger or hydrodynamic formulations are *not* available for the conventional ϕ -coupling. This conventional coupling can cause deviation in the DM nature of the axion. In the laboratory experiments the trouble is avoided by *assuming* sufficiently small coupling of $g_{\phi\gamma}$ [7]. For example, in the experimental setting at the laboratory, with strong static aligned \mathbf{B} , and $g_{\phi\gamma}$ assumed to be sufficiently small, the generated \mathbf{E} is small as well, thus Eqs. (2) and (3) give $\mathbf{E} = -g_{\phi\gamma} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \phi$, and right-hand sides of Eqs. (5) and (7) are negligible.

For non-negligible $g_{\phi\gamma}$ term with ϕ -coupling in Eq. (7), however, the coherent oscillation of the axion cannot be maintained. In a perturbative sense, as the EM correction in Eq. (7) is already second-order, we can apply the Klein and Madelung transformations to the linear order, with consequent Schrödinger and hydrodynamic formulations. But, from the second order, the fuzzy (or cold) DM nature of the axion is *threatened*.

If the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ term with ϕ -coupling in Eq. (7) can be ignored, we can proceed the two transformations in Eqs. (17) and (21), and consequently, Eq. (19) for the Schrödinger equation and Eqs. (23) and (24) for the axion-fluid equations are valid without the $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ terms. Still, we have trouble employing the transformations in the axion-induced charge and current densities in Eq. (6), and we have to use the field (ϕ) instead of the wavefunction (ψ) or the fluid quantities (ϱ_ϕ and \mathbf{v}_ϕ) in the ED or the MHD equations.

We can estimate the effect of axion-coupling on the MHD. In a static medium, the right-hand sides of Eqs. (14) and (27) can be estimated as $(g_{\phi\gamma} \dot{f}/\sigma) c \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ with $f = \hbar^2 \varrho_\phi / (2m^2)$ for ϕ^2 -coupling. In our convention,

$g_{\phi\gamma}f$, thus $g_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}/\sigma$ are dimensionless. For the axion-coupling term to be important in the Faraday equation, we need the coupling constant to be $g_{\phi\gamma} \sim \sigma/\dot{f}$ which becomes $2m^2\sigma/(\hbar^2\dot{\varrho}_\phi)$ for ϕ^2 -coupling. In non-relativistic fully ionized plasma, the conductivity is given as $\sigma \sim (k_B T)^{3/2}/(e^2 m_e^{1/2}) \sim 3 \times 10^{14} T_{\text{eV}}^{3/2}/\text{sec}$ with T_{eV} the temperature in eV unit [45]. Using $\dot{\varrho}_\phi \sim H\varrho_\phi$ with $H = 100 \text{ km/sec/Mpc}$, $\varrho_\phi = \Omega_\phi \varrho_{\text{cr}}$ and $\varrho_{\text{cr}} \equiv 3H^2/(8\pi G)$, we have $g_{\phi\gamma} \sim 4 \times 10^{-7} m_{22}^2 T_{\text{eV}}^{3/2}/(\Omega_\phi h^3) \text{ cm/eV}$ where $m_{22} \equiv mc^2/(10^{-22} \text{ eV})$.

Although the coherent oscillation is preserved, the ϕ^2 -coupling is difficult to motivate in high-energy physics, and calling the case an axion may cause controversy. Despite lacking physical motivation as an axion, the success-

ful formulation of the ϕ^2 -coupling in the MHD, structure formation, and source for α -dynamo may deserve further study.

We thank Professors Kiwoon Choi and Dongsu Ryu, and Drs. Heejung Kim and Hyeonseok Seong for useful discussion. H.N. was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. 2018R1A2B6002466 and No. 2021R1F1A1045515). J.H. was supported by IBS under the project code, IBS-R018-D1, and by the NRF of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. NRF-2019R1A2C1003031).

-
- [1] W. Hu, R. Barkana and A. Gruzinov, Cold and fuzzy dark matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1158 (2000).
 - [2] D.J.E. Marsh, Axion cosmology, *Phys. Rep.* **643**, 1 (2016).
 - [3] J.C. Niemeyer, Small-scale structure of fuzzy and axion-like dark matter, *Prog. Particle Nucl. Phys.* **113**, 103787 (2020).
 - [4] E.G.M. Ferreira, Ultra-light dark matter, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **29**, 7 (2020).
 - [5] L. Hui, Wave dark matter, *Ann. Rev. of Astron. Astrophys.* **59**, 247 (2021).
 - [6] P. Sikivie, Experimental Tests of the Invisible Axion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1415 (1983).
 - [7] I.G. Irastorza and J. Redondo, New experimental approaches in the search for axion-like particles, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **102**, 89 (2018).
 - [8] P. Sikivie, Invisible axion search methods, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 015004 (2021).
 - [9] A. Brandenburg and K. Subramanian, Astrophysical magnetic fields and nonlinear dynamo theory, *Phys. Rep.* **417**, 1 (2005).
 - [10] U. Frisch, A. Pouquet, J. Léorat and A. Mazure, Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* **68**, 769 (1975).
 - [11] D. Grasso and H.R. Rubinstein, Magnetic fields in the early universe, *Phys. Rep.* **348**, 163 (2001).
 - [12] L.M. Widrow, Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 775 (2002).
 - [13] M. Giovannini, The magnetized universe, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 391 (2004).
 - [14] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, Cosmological magnetic fields: their generation, evolution and observation, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21**, 62 (2013).
 - [15] K. Subramanian, The origin, evolution and signatures of primordial magnetic fields, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **79**, 076901 (2016).
 - [16] T. Vachaspati, Progress on cosmological magnetic fields, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **84**, 074901, (2021).
 - [17] E. Madelung, Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer Form (in German), *Z. Phys.* **40**, 322 (1927).
 - [18] P.H. Chavanis, Growth of perturbations in an expanding universe with Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter, *Astron. Astrophys.* **537**, A127 (2012).
 - [19] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion as a fuzzy dark matter candidate: Proofs in different gauges, arXiv: 2109.05436
 - [20] F. Wilczek, Two Applications of Axion Electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1799 (1987).
 - [21] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (McGraw-Hill, 1975).
 - [22] E.G. Blackman, Magnetic Helicity and Large Scale Magnetic Fields: A Primer, *Space Sci. Rev.* **188**, 59 (2015).
 - [23] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Special relativistic hydrodynamics with gravitation, *Astrophys. J.* **833**, 180 (2016).
 - [24] H. Noh, J. Hwang and M. Bucher, Special relativistic magnetohydrodynamics with gravitation, *Astrophys. J.* **877**, 124 (2019).
 - [25] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Relativistic axion electrodynamics, in preparation (2022).
 - [26] B.V. Somov, *Fundamentals of Cosmic Electrodynamics* (Springer, 1994) Chapter 6.
 - [27] F. Krause and K.-H., Rädler, *Mean-Field Magnetohydrodynamics and Dynamo Theory* (Pergamon Press, 1980).
 - [28] G.B. Field and S.M. Carroll, Cosmological magnetic fields from primordial helicity, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 103008 (2000).
 - [29] L. Campanelli and M. Giannotti, Magnetic helicity generation from the cosmic axion field, *Phys. Rev. D*, **72**, 123001 (2005).
 - [30] O. Klein, Elektrodynamik und Wellenmechanik vom Standpunkt des Korrespondenzprinzips (in German), *Z. Phys.* **41**, 407 (1927).
 - [31] P.-H. Chavanis and T. Matos, Covariant theory of Bose-Einstein condensates in curved spacetimes with electromagnetic interactions: the hydrodynamic approach, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **132**, 30 (2017).
 - [32] T. Rindler-Dallas and P.R. Shapiro, Angular momentum and vortex formation in Bose-Einstein-condensed cold dark matter haloes, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **422**, 135 (2012).
 - [33] S. Alexander, C. Capanelli, E.G.M. Ferreira and E. McDonough, Cosmic Filament Spin from Dark Matter Vortices, arXiv:2111.03061
 - [34] L. Hui, A. Joyce, M.J. Landry and X. Li, Vortices and waves in light dark matter, *JCAP*, **01**, 011 (2021).
 - [35] T. Takabayasi, On the formulation of quantum mechanics associated with classical pictures, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **8**, 143 (1952).

- [36] T.C. Wallstrom, Inequivalence between the Schrödinger equation and the Madelung hydrodynamic equations, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 1613 (1994).
- [37] J.O. Hirschfelder, C.J. Goebel and L.W. Bruch, Quantized vortices around wavefunction nodes. II, *J. Chem. Phys.* **61**, 5456 (1974).
- [38] H.K. Moffatt, *Magnetic field generation in electrically conducting fluids* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983).
- [39] A.R. Choudhuri, *The physics of fluids and plasmas* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998).
- [40] I. Wasserman, On the origins of galaxies, galactic angular momenta, and galactic magnetic fields, *Astrophys. J.* **224**, 337 (1978).
- [41] E.-J. Kim, A.V. Olinto and R. Rosner, Generation of density perturbations by primordial magnetic fields, *Astrophys. J.* **468**, 28 (1996).
- [42] A.J. Long and T. Vachaspati, Implications of a primordial magnetic field for magnetic monopoles, axions, and Dirac neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 103522 (2015).
- [43] D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman and S.P. Kuma, Nonequilibrium production of photons via $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in disoriented chiral condensates, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 3929 (1997).
- [44] W.D. Garreston, G.B. Field and S.M. Carroll, Primordial magnetic fields from pseudo Goldstone bosons, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 5346 (1992).
- [45] L. Spitzer, *Physics of Fully Ionized Gases* (Interscience Publishers, 1956) Chapter 5.